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Abstract

Infantile myofibromatosis is a rare disorder of fibroblastic/myofibroblastic proliferation and represents the most frequent type of mesenchymal tumor in the neonatal period and primary infancy. Three clinical types have been described: solitary, multicentric, and generalized (with visceral involvement). A correct characterization of the histopathology is essential to diagnose these neoplasias in early infancy. We present a case of multicentric infantile myofibromatosis with regression over time.
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Introduction

Infantile myofibromatosis (IM) is considered the most frequent type of mesenchymal tumor in the neonatal period and primary infancy [1]. It represents a rare disorder of fibroblastic/myofibroblastic proliferation with unknown etiology [2]. Three clinical types have been described: solitary (most frequent), multicentric (involving the skin, subcutaneous tissues, muscles and bone), and generalized (with visceral involvement). We present a case of multicentric IM in an infant with spontaneous regression over time.

Case Synopsis

A 2-month-old female infant was referred to our department because of the presence of four congenital erythematous-violaceous cutaneous lesions localized on the temporal, dorsal, and lumbar regions.

Figure 1. Clinical aspect of the lesions: (A-C) initial lesions; (D-F) regression of the lesions on follow-up visit after 18 months.
and lower right leg. The patient was otherwise healthy, with normal psychomotor development.

On physical examination, the patient had a linear erythemato-violaceous plaque localized to the right temporal region of the head (Figure 1A), two erythematous nodules on the back (Figure 1B), one dorsal and one lumbar, and an erythematoviolaceous ovoid plaque on the popliteal region of the right leg (Figure 1C).

A skin biopsy was performed on both nodules on the back revealing bundles and whorls of cytologically bland myoid spindle cells with tapering nuclei and palely eosinophilic cytoplasm, focally associated with delicate thin-walled vascular channels compatible with myofibroma (Figure 2A, B). Immunostaining was positive for vimentin, smooth muscle actin, calponin, and CD 68 (focally), and negative for desmin, S100, and EMA (Figure 2, C, D).

A diagnosis of IM was made. Chest radiography and abdominal and transfontanelar ultrasound were normal and the patient had no clinical signs of visceral involvement. On follow-up visit after 18 months the lesions had regressed completely leaving residual, slightly atrophic violaceous scars (Figure 1, D-F).

Case Discussion
IM was first described in 1954 by Stout, who named this entity “congenital generalized fibromatosis” [3]. It was only in 1981 that Chung and Enzinger introduced the term “infantile myofibromatosis” based on histochemical findings that allowed the authors to identify cell lines from which the tumor arises, further dividing this entity into subgroups with distinct prognosis depending on the location and involvement (with or without visceral lesions) [4]. More recently, IM has been considered to be part of a spectrum of tumors with perivascular myoid differentiation [5, 6].

The disorder may be present from birth to two years of age and rarely occurs in older children and adults [7]. Three clinical types have been described. The solitary type (most common) presents as a solitary, firm, well-circumscribed, and painless nodule, usually affecting the skin, muscle, bone and subcutaneous tissue in the head, neck, or trunk. The multicentric form (as in our case), consists of multiple lesions with involvement limited to the skin, subcutaneous tissues, muscles, and bone, without visceral involvement. The generalized form is defined by skin and visceral involvement [8, 9].

The diagnosis must be confirmed by a biopsy of the lesion(s). Histologically, there is a proliferation of spindle-shaped collagen-forming cells, sometimes arranged in whorled or interlacing fascicles. Immunohistochemical staining shows characteristics between fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells, being positive for vimentin and SMA; reactivity for desmin is variable [4]. CD-34 antigen has also been reported to be positive in cases of infantile myofibromatosis with hemangiopericytoma-like pattern [6].

Calponin is considered to be a family of actin filament-associated proteins expressed in both smooth muscle and non-smooth muscle cells [10]. In routine biopsy practice, immunohistochemical detection of calponin mainly serves to confirm smooth muscle and myoepithelial cell differentiation. Monoclonal antihuman calponin antibodies stain positively with differentiated visceral and vascular smooth muscle cells, myoepithelial cells in the lobules, ducts, and galactophorous tissue of normal human breasts, as well as periacinar and periductal myoepithelial cells of the salivary glands. Thus, calponin is expressed not only in some mesenchymal neoplasms, but also in benign or malignant tumors of myoepithelial origin (salivary glands, breast, or skin) [11-14].
The prognosis is largely dependent on the presence of visceral involvement. Most cases have a benign, self-limited course, with spontaneous regression being the usual course. However, in cases of visceral involvement the prognosis is poor, with mortality rates of up to 73% [2, 15, 16].

No treatment is usually necessary for the solitary type. Surgical treatment is warranted when vital structures are affected, especially in the generalized variety. Chemotherapy has also been used with some success to treat patients with recurrent or nonresectable tumors [17, 18]. Follow-up is essential in all patients owing to the possibility of recurrence.

The diagnosis and treatment of IM is challenging at times. Thorough histopathological evaluation is essential to diagnose these. tumors in early infancy and to avoid unnecessary interventions and morbidity in these patients.

Acknowledgements
We thank Dr. Cristopher D.M. Fletcher, Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, for the help with the histological diagnosis.

References