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Abstract

Aging is associated with a decline in multiple aspects of cognitive function, with spatial cognition being particularly sensitive to age-related decline. Environmental stressors, such as high-fat diet (HFD) exposure, that produce a diabetic phenotype and metabolic dysfunction may indirectly lead to exacerbated brain aging and promote the development of cognitive deficits. The present work investigated whether exposure to HFD exacerbates age-related cognitive deficits in adult versus aged mice. Adult (5 months old) and aged (15 months old) mice were exposed to control diet or HFD for three months prior to, and throughout, behavioral testing. Anxiety-like behavior in the light-dark box test, discrimination learning and memory in the novel object/place recognition tests, and spatial learning and memory in the Barnes maze test were assessed. HFD resulted in significant gains in body weight and fat mass content with adult mice gaining significantly more weight and adipose tissue due to HFD than aged mice. Weight gain was attributed to food calories sourced from fat, but not total calorie intake. HFD increased fasting insulin levels in all mice, but adult mice showed a greater increase relative to aged mice. Behaviorally, HFD increased anxiety-like behavior in adult but not aged mice without significantly affecting spatial cognition. In contrast, aged mice fed either control or HFD diet displayed deficits in novel place discrimination and spatial learning. Our results suggest that adult mice are more susceptible to the physiological and anxiety-like effects of HFD consumption than aged mice, while aged mice displayed deficits in spatial cognition regardless of dietary influence. We conclude that although HFD induces systemic metabolic dysfunction in both adult and aged mice, overall cognitive function was not adversely affected under the current experimental conditions.
Introduction

A common, but not inevitable consequence of aging is a gradual decline in cognitive capabilities. Age-related cognitive deficits depend on specific cognitive domains, with some declining while others remain stable [1]. Given that not all older adults experience cognitive deficits, determining which factors can best facilitate maintaining cognitive abilities with age is of interest and considered among the most important aspects of successful aging [2,3]. Spatial cognition is especially sensitive to age-related cognitive decline [4] with age-related deficits reported in spatial learning and memory, and reversal learning [5,6].

Exposure to environmental stressors may be a driving factor in the differential susceptibility to age-related cognitive decline [7]. Furthermore, the escalating prevalence of obesity may exacerbate age-related cognitive decline. A systematic review of longitudinal population-based studies suggests that obesity in midlife is associated with lower cognitive functioning in later life [7]. However, the association between obesity in late life and cognitive abilities remains inconclusive [8]. Similarly, studies using rodent models indicate that the age of the animals when exposed to high-fat diet (HFD) is important for the development of cognitive impairment. For example, juvenile mice [9,10] and aged mice [11,12] appear more susceptible to HFD-induced impairments in learning and memory compared with adult mice.

The systemic effects of HFD exposure, such as increased fat mass, insulin resistance and general metabolic dysfunction have been identified as factors that may lead to impaired cognitive function [13]. For example, greater levels of visceral fat have been associated with impairments in the executive functioning of adolescents [14]. Furthermore, individuals with higher fasting insulin levels have a greater risk of developing Alzheimer’s disease [15]. Animal studies suggest that impairments in cognitive function after HFD exposure are associated with increased brain inflammation [16] and oxidative stress [11] that may be indirect consequences of systemic metabolic dysfunction. Importantly, the aging brain is considered to be particularly sensitive to these aspects of HFD exposure [11,13].

The goal of the present study was to characterize age-related impairments in spatial cognition and the impact of exposure to an environmental stressor, HFD. We hypothesized that spatial cognitive function would be impaired in aged mice compared to younger adult mice. Furthermore, exposure to HFD would induce systemic metabolic dysfunction and worsen or accelerate spatial cognitive impairments in aged and adult mice, respectively. To determine the systemic effects of HFD exposure we examined food consumption, fat mass content and fasting insulin/glucose levels. Behavioral testing included locomotor activity, anxiety-like behavior in the light-dark box test, object and place discrimination in the novel object/place recognition tasks and spatial learning, memory and reversal learning in the Barnes maze test. The results of the present studies demonstrated that although HFD exposure induced clear systemic metabolic dysfunction and aging led to impaired spatial cognition, HFD exposure did not further impair spatial cognitive function in adult or aged mice.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The present study used a total of 63 C57BL/6N male mice (Taconic Biosciences Inc., Taconic Farms Inc., Hudson, NY, USA) comprised of two age groups: adult (5 months old) and aged (15 months old, former breeders). Aged mice were 18–19 months old during behavioral testing to ensure that detected deficits in spatial learning were not attributed to loss of vision, smell and hearing. The mice were single-housed for the duration of the study in a humidity- and temperature-controlled animal facility on a 12 h/12 h reverse light/dark cycle (lights off at 7:00
AM) with ad libitum access to food and water. Behavioral testing was conducted during the dark phase of the light/dark cycle. All of the experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and National Research Council’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the University of California San Diego Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol S06137).

Experimental timeline
Both adult (5 months old) and aged mice (15 months old) were separated into two groups (n = 15–16 per group) and placed on a control diet (CD; Teklad Diets 8604: 32% protein, 14% fat, 54% carbohydrates) or a HFD (Research Diets D12492: 20% protein, 60% fat, 20% carbohydrates). This HFD has been commonly used to induce obesity and produced insulin resistance [17], and reported to exacerbate cognitive decline in aged mice [16]. Food consumption was assessed by weighing daily food intake for three days after two months on the HFD and prior to behavioral testing (three months after beginning the HFD). Behavioral testing was completed in the following order: light-dark box test, locomotor activity, object/place recognition tests, and Barnes maze test. After Barnes maze completion, adult (9–10 months old) and aged (19–20 months old) mice were tested for fat mass content using magnetic resonance imaging, insulin sensitivity and glucose tolerance to confirm systemic physiological effects of HFD.

Light-dark box test
The light-dark box test was conducted as previously described [18]. Three chambers were used for the light-dark box test (San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA, USA). Each chamber consisted of two compartments: a dark compartment (16 × 21 × 33 cm) and a light compartment (26 × 21 × 33 cm) that were separated by a divider that left a 5 cm horizontal gap for the mouse to move from one compartment to the other. The light intensity in the middle of the light compartment was approximately 900 lux (as used in the Barnes maze, see below). In the dark compartment, the level of illumination was ~4 lux. Mice were placed in the dark compartment with their head facing away from the light compartment and the 5 min test was started. The total time spent in the light compartment and latency to the first transition to the light compartment (± 2.5 s) were recorded.

Locomotor activity test
Locomotor activity was assessed in four open field arenas (60 x 60 cm) equipped with infra-red beams (Med Associates, St. Albans, VT, USA) to calculate total distance travelled. Mice were tested in the dark for a total of 60 min.

Object and place recognition tests
Behavior was sequentially assessed in the novel object and novel place recognition tests using a 2-day protocol [19]. A Perspex box (63 x 42.5 x 22 cm), separated into three equal sized compartments was used [20]. Mice could move from one compartment to the next through centrally located openings (15 x 15 cm) that could be blocked with white removable dividing panels. Briefly, the novel object recognition test consisted of three 10-min phases: habituation, familiarization, and final test phases. For the familiarization phase, mice were confined to the middle compartment and two identical cups, either chrome with vertical bars (Galaxy pencil cup, Spectrum Diversified) or black with mesh grating (Nestable jumbo mesh pencil cup, WebOfficeMart), were placed (one per compartment) at one end of the side compartments.
The dividers were removed and mice were given access to the entire arena and cups. For the test phase, one of the cups was replaced with an alternative/novel cup. The following day mice were tested in the novel place recognition test. The novel place recognition test was identical to the novel object recognition test but rather than replacing one cup with a novel cup in the test phase, one cup was moved to the opposite end of the side compartment. A scored interaction involved the nose oriented toward the object (no further than 1 cm). Mice were excluded from the analysis if they failed to interact with any of the objects during the familiarization session for less than 25 s in the familiarization session. Based on these criteria, a total of 6 mice were excluded from the novel object test (Adult mice: 1 control diet and 1 high-fat diet; Aged mice: 2 control diet and 2 high-fat diet) and 15 mice were excluded from the novel place test (Adult mice: 4 control diet and 5 high-fat diet; Aged mice: 2 control diet and 4 high-fat diet). The data were expressed as the following discrimination ratio of the duration of object exploration: (Novel — Sample) / Total. A positive discrimination ratio represents a greater level of interaction with the non-familiar object or place.

Barnes maze test

The Barnes maze testing was conducted similar to that described previously [18]. The maze consisted of a white, acrylic, circular disc (90 cm diameter) that was elevated 90 cm above the floor, with 20 equally spaced holes (San Diego Instruments) with a black acrylic escape box (20 × 5 × 6 cm) placed under one of the holes. The maze was surrounded by four spatial cues at the height of the maze. Illumination in the center of the maze was approximately 900 lux. The maze was rotated 90 degrees each day to avoid the use of local cues on the maze by the mice.

**Acquisition trials.** Each mouse underwent 20 acquisition trials over 5 days, tested four times with an inter-trial interval of 10–15 min. Immediately prior to the first trial, all of the mice were individually placed into the escape tunnel for 1 min to avoid any neophobic responses. During testing, the mice were placed into a starting cylinder (10 cm diameter) in the center of the maze for 30 s. The cylinder was then removed, and the mouse was allowed to explore the arena to find the escape tunnel. The trial ended when the mouse entered the escape tunnel (i.e., when all four paws left the maze). When the mouse entered the escape tunnel, the entry was blocked, and the mouse was left in the tunnel for 1 min. If the mouse did not find or enter the escape tunnel within 3 min, then it was manually placed into the escape tunnel.

**Probe trial.** The 3-min probe trial was conducted on day 6 and was identical to the acquisition trials, with the exception that the escape tunnel was removed.

**Memory retention.** Two weeks after the probe test, the mice were tested for memory retention over four trials identical to the acquisition trials.

**Reversal learning.** For two days after the memory retention trials mice were tested for reversal learning. Each day consisted of four trials identical to the acquisition trials, but the location of the escape tunnel for each mouse was shifted 180°.

**Behavioral measures.** All behaviors were scored from video files by an experimenter who was blind to the experimental conditions and study hypotheses. The measures assessed were the latency to find the target hole, number of reference errors, number of working memory errors, and number of perseverative errors. Reference errors were defined as any incorrect hole inspection. Working memory errors were defined as searching the same hole twice within a trial when the revisit occurred after the inspection of other holes. Perseverative errors were defined as repeated searches of the same hole without searching another hole in between. Search strategy was also assessed in the acquisition, retention, and reversal trials. The search strategy was defined as one of three categories; random/mixed, serial, and spatial. A spatial
strategy was defined as finding the target hole directly or after inspecting one of the adjacent holes first (thus having a maximum of one reference error). Random/mixed (< 74%) and serial (≥ 75%) strategy scores were all defined based on the percentage of errors that were made in a serial fashion. For an error to be defined as serial, this error had to be part of a minimum of three consecutive errors made in either direction around the maze without skipping a hole or changing direction. The percentage of the 4 trials per day that mice used each respective strategies were calculated. In the probe trial, the time spent by each mouse in the quadrant of the maze that contained the target hole was calculated.

Fat mass magnetic resonance imaging
Analysis of fat distribution was studied using a Bruker 7 Tesla small animal MRI scanner (Bruker-Biospin, Ettlingen, Germany). Animals were anesthetized using 2% isoflurane. A T1 weighted imaging sequence was used to render the signal from fat high intensity, and non-fat tissues low intensity. Ninety-five 0.5 mm thick contiguous axial slices were acquired using a multi-slice, multi-echo sequence (Repetition time/Echo time = 1630.2 ms/10.5 ms), matrix 256 x 128, in-plane resolution 170 x 250 microns (varied slightly due to mouse size and hence field of view). Scans were averaged (3nex) to minimize blur from respiration. Imaging time was 10 minutes, 25 seconds. Using Amira software (FEI Hillsboro, Oregon USA), non-fat tissue was segmented from fat tissue using a threshold value of 2000–9600 for non-fat, and above 9600 for fat. Abdominal visceral and subcutaneous fat masses were separated by delineating the muscles of the abdominal wall. Scrotal fat was designated as abdominal fat.

Fasting insulin and glucose testing
For fasting insulin/glucose measurements, mice were fasted for 8 hours prior to tail vein sampling. Whole blood glucose was measured using the OneTouch Ultra 2 glucometer. Plasma insulin was quantified using the Ultra Sensitive Mouse Insulin ELISA kit (ALPCO). The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated using the following formula: \( \text{fasting blood glucose (mg/dl)} \times \text{fasting insulin (μU/ml)} / 405 \) [21].

Statistical analyses
All of the analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics 19 (Chicago, IL, USA). All general and behavioral data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), with Age and Diet as the between-subject factors. Repeated-measures ANOVAs were used when additional within-subject factors were present. When appropriate, post hoc comparisons were performed using Least Significant Difference (LSD) analyses. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Differences were considered statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

Results
Body weight
At the beginning of the experiment, aged mice weighed more than adult mice (\( F_{1,59} = 162.2, p < 0.001 \)). Over the course of the experiment, weight gain was dependent on both age and diet (Table 1). After 3 months on CD or HFD, significant main effects of Age (\( F_{1,56} = 34.9, p < 0.001 \)) and Diet (\( F_{1,56} = 115.2, p < 0.001 \)), and a significant interaction of Age x Diet (\( F_{1,56} = 95.0, p < 0.05 \)) were observed. All groups were significantly different from one another with the adult mice on the CD weighing the least, followed by the aged mice on the CD, then the adult mice on the HFD with the aged mice on the HFD weighing the most. A similar profile was evident after 4.5 months, with significant main effects of Age (\( F_{1,54} = 17.6, p < 0.001 \)) and
Diet \( (F_{1,54} = 70.0, p < 0.001) \), in addition to a significant interaction of Age x Diet \( (F_{1,54} = 5.9, p < 0.05) \). However, at 4.5 months, there was no longer a significant difference in weight between adult and aged mice on the HFD. When weights were expressed as a percentage of baseline weight, adult mice gained 72% while aged mice gained 35%, indicating that the adult mice fed the HFD gained relatively more weight than their older counterparts.

Food consumption and fat mass

Food consumption and calorie intake were measured after 2 months on either the CD or the HFD. A similar profile of weight gain (Fig 1A) to that at three months was observed (see section 3.1). There were significant main effects of Age \( (F_{1,59} = 28.8, p < 0.001) \) and Diet \( (F_{1,59} = 322.0, p < 0.001) \), and a significant interaction of Age x Diet \( (F_{1,59} = 17.7, p < 0.001) \). A comparison of the profiles of the daily intake of fat-based calories versus total calories (per gram of bodyweight) suggested that at this time-point (after 2 months of HFD intake), fat content (Fig 1B) contributes more to weight gain than total calorie intake (Fig 1C). For example, for average calories sourced from fat there were significant main effects of Age \( (F_{1,59} = 19.2, p < 0.001) \) and Diet \( (F_{1,59} = 999.0, p < 0.001) \), and a significant interaction of Age x Diet \( (F_{1,59} = 5.5, p = 0.023) \). Mice on the HFD consumed significantly more fat-based calories than those on the CD. Adult mice on the HFD consumed significantly more fat-based calories than aged mice on the HFD \( (p < 0.001) \). Conversely, for total calorie intake there were significant main effects of Age \( (F_{1,59} = 29.6, p < 0.001) \) and Diet \( (F_{1,59} = 23.7, p < 0.001) \) with adult mice on the CD consuming the most calories and aged mice on the HFD consuming the least calories compared with the other groups \( (p < 0.01) \).

Fat mass was assessed using MRI after 4.5 months on either the CD or the HFD. For the total fat mass there were significant main effects of Age \( (F_{1,53} = 5.3, p < 0.05) \) and Diet \( (F_{1,53} = 65.7, p < 0.001) \) with both increased age and HFD consumption increasing fat mass (Fig 1D). A similar pattern was observed for abdominal fat mass with significant main effects of Age \( (F_{1,53} = 5.5, p < 0.05) \) and Diet \( (F_{1,53} = 31.6, p < 0.001) \) with both increased age and HFD consumption increasing fat mass (Fig 1E). For the subcutaneous fat mass there were significant main effects of Age \( (F_{1,53} = 4.7, p < 0.05) \) and Diet \( (F_{1,53} = 87.6, p < 0.001) \), and a significant interaction of Age x Diet \( (F_{1,53} = 5.1, p < 0.05) \). Both increased age and HFD consumption increased subcutaneous fat mass (Fig 1F). However, while aged mice on the CD had significantly higher subcutaneous fat mass than adult mice on the CD \( (p < 0.01) \), there was no significant difference between adult and aged mice on the HFD suggesting that adult mice gained relatively more subcutaneous fat due to the HFD than aged mice.

| Table 1. Effects of age and diet on weight (g) and weight gain (% of baseline). |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Adult-CD        | Adult-HFD       | Aged-CD         | Aged-HFD        |
| Mean   | SEM   | N    | Mean   | SEM   | N    | Mean   | SEM   | N    | Mean   | SEM   | N    |
| Weight (g) |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Baseline | 29.8  | 0.5  | 16    | 29.5  | 0.5  | 16    | 39.9  | 1.1  | 15    | 40.3  | 1.1  | 16    |
| 3-months | 34.2  | 0.8  | 16    | 48.3  | 0.9  | 16    | 43.1  | 1.4  | 15    | 52.1  | 1.1  | 13    |
| 4.5-months | 36.6  | 1.1  | 16    | 50.8  | 1.0  | 16    | 45.3  | 1.6  | 15    | 53.1  | 1.5  | 11    |
| Weight (%) |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| 3-months | 114.5 | 1.5  | 16    | 163.6 | 2.6  | 16    | 107.9 | 1.6  | 15    | 132.8 | 2.9  | 13    |
| 4.5-months | 122.3 | 2.3  | 16    | 172.3 | 3.8  | 16    | 113.4 | 2.2  | 15    | 134.6 | 5.4  | 11    |

CD, Control diet; HFD, High-fat diet.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140034.t001
Physiological effects

There were significant main effects of Age ($F_{1,43} = 4.9, p < 0.05$) and Diet ($F_{1,43} = 85.5, p < 0.001$), and a significant interaction of Age x Diet ($F_{1,43} = 4.9, p < 0.05$) for fasting insulin levels (Fig 1G). HFD significantly increased fasting insulin levels compared with the CD and this effect was greater in adult mice compared with aged mice on the HFD ($p < 0.001$). Conversely, no significant effects of Age or Diet were observed on fasting glucose levels (Fig 1H). For the Homeostasis Model Assessment of insulin response (HOMA-IR) level (Fig 1I), which reflects insulin sensitivity, significant main effects of Age ($F_{1,43} = 5.3, p < 0.05$) and Diet
(F_{1,43} = 57.6, p < 0.001), and a significant interaction of Age x Diet (F_{1,43} = 4.9, p < 0.05) were observed, with a similar pattern of results observed to those for fasting insulin levels.

Anxiety-like behavior in the light-dark box test
There was a significant main effect of Diet (F_{1,56} = 4.5, p < 0.05) and significant interaction of Age x Diet (F_{1,56} = 5.8, p < 0.05) observed on the duration spent in the light compartment of the light-dark box. Adult mice on HFD spent significantly less time in the light compartment than all other groups (Fig 2A). No significant main effects or interactions of Age or Diet were observed on the latency to enter the light compartment (Fig 2B).

Locomotor activity
There were significant main effects of both Age (F_{1,56} = 33.0, p < 0.001) and Diet (F_{1,56} = 7.8, p < 0.01) with both increased age and HFD decreasing the total distance travelled in the open field (Fig 2C). For example, both aged mice and adult mice on the HFD showed decreased locomotor activity compared with adult mice and aged mice on CD, respectively. There was no significant interaction of Age x Diet.

Novel object
There was a significant main effect of Phase on discrimination index (F_{1,50} = 83.8, p < 0.001) with mice showing a greater interaction bias between objects during the test phase compared with the familiarization phase (Fig 3A). No significant main effects or interactions of Age or Diet were observed in the total interaction times of either the familiarization or test phase (data not shown) or for any measures in novel object recognition.

Novel place
There was a significant main effect of Phase on discrimination index (F_{1,41} = 17.0, p < 0.001) with mice showing a greater interaction bias between objects during the test phase compared with the familiarization phase (Fig 3B). A significant interaction of Phase x Age (F_{1,41} = 4.6, p < 0.05) was also observed. Adult mice displayed a significantly greater discrimination index in the test phase compared with the familiarization phase (p < 0.001), whereas aged mice did not. No significant effects of Age were observed in the total interaction times in either the familiarization or the test phase (data not shown). There were no significant effects of Diet for any measures in novel place recognition.

Barnes maze acquisition trials
  
  **Latency.** During task acquisition, significant main effects of Day (F_{4,216} = 86.4, p < 0.001) and Trial (F_{3,162} = 13.0, p < 0.001) were observed, with all mice exhibiting a reduction in the latency to find the target hole across the days of testing (Fig 4A). A significant main effect of Age (F_{1,54} = 19.7, p < 0.001) was also observed with aged mice taking significantly longer to locate the target hole than adult mice (Fig 4E). A significant interaction of Day x Age (F_{4,216} = 3.9, p < 0.05) was observed as aged mice took significantly longer than adult mice on days 1–4 (p < 0.01 for all) but not day 5 of training. There were no significant effects of Diet for latency during acquisition trials (Fig 4G).
  
  **Reference errors.** During task acquisition, significant main effects of Day (F_{4,216} = 29.1, p < 0.001) and Trial (F_{3,162} = 3.0, p < 0.05) were observed, with all mice exhibiting a reduction in reference errors prior to finding the target hole across the days of testing (Fig 4B). A significant main effect of Age (F_{1,54} = 5.2, p < 0.05) was also observed with aged mice making more
reference errors prior to locating the target hole than adult mice (Fig 4F). Although a significant interaction of Day x Diet was observed for reference errors ($F_{4,216} = 2.5, p < 0.05$), no meaningful group differences were observed (Fig 4I).

**Working memory errors.** During task acquisition, significant main effects of Day ($F_{4,216} = 37.8, p < 0.001$) and Trial ($F_{3,162} = 5.9, p < 0.001$) were observed, with all mice exhibiting a reduction in working memory errors prior to finding the target hole across the days of testing (Fig 4C). A significant main effect of Age ($F_{1,54} = 14.0, p < 0.001$) was also observed with aged mice making more working memory errors prior to locating the target hole than adult mice (Fig 4G). A significant interaction of Day x Diet was observed for working memory errors ($F_{4,216} = 3.2, p < 0.05$). Mice on the HFD made more working memory errors on Day 4 of acquisition compared with mice on the CD ($p < 0.05$) (Fig 4K).

**Perseverative errors.** During task acquisition, significant main effects of Day ($F_{4,216} = 21.7, p < 0.001$) and Trial ($F_{3,162} = 4.4, p < 0.01$) were observed, with all mice exhibiting a reduction in perseverative errors prior to finding the target hole across the days of testing (Fig 4D). A significant main effect of Age ($F_{1,54} = 4.6, p < 0.05$) was also observed with aged mice making more perseverative errors prior to locating the target hole than adult mice (Fig 4H). A significant interaction of Day x Diet was observed for perseverative errors ($F_{4,216} = 3.5, p < 0.05$). Mice on the HFD made more perseverative errors on Day 4 of acquisition compared with mice on the CD ($p < 0.05$) (Fig 4L).

---

Fig 3. Effects of aging and exposure to high-fat diet on novel object and novel place recognition memory. Novel object (A) and novel place (B) recognition tasks in adult and aged mice on control diet (CD) or high-fat diet (HFD). Aged mice showed impaired novel place performance compared with adult mice, reflected by a similar discrimination index ([novel—familiar] / total) in the familiarization phase compared with the test phase of the task. No significant effects of HFD were evident. The data are expressed as mean ± SEM. ***$p < 0.001$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140034.g003
During task acquisition, a significant main effect of Day was observed for spatial (Fig 5A; $F_{4,216} = 8.9$, $p < 0.001$), serial (Fig 5B; $F_{4,216} = 31.9$, $p < 0.001$), and random/mixed (Fig 5C; $F_{4,216} = 84.2$, $p < 0.001$) strategies. However, a specific profile for each strategy used was observed across the days of testing. Spatial strategy frequency increased across the days of testing with the greatest use on days 4 and 5 ($p < 0.05$ vs. days 1–3). Serial strategy frequency also increased across the days of testing but the greatest use was on days 3–5 ($p < 0.001$ vs. days 1 and 2). Random/mixed strategy frequency decreased significantly from day 1 to day 2 ($p < 0.001$) and again from day 2 to day 3 ($p < 0.001$) and plateaued on days 3–5. A significant main effect of Age ($F_{1,54} = 4.8$, $p < 0.001$) was observed for spatial strategy with aged mice using a spatial strategy less than adult mice (Fig 5D). The decrease in spatial strategy use observed in aged mice appeared to be due to marginal increases in both serial (Fig 5E) and mixed/random (Fig 5F) strategies. There was also a significant interaction of Day x Age x Diet ($F_{4,216} = 3.4$, $p < 0.05$) observed for spatial strategy used. However, no meaningful group differences were observed (Fig 5A).
Effects of Age and High-fat Diet

Barnes maze probe trial

There was a significant difference in the duration of time spent in each quadrant of the Barnes maze (significant main effect of Quadrant \( F_{3,162} = 64.9, p < 0.001 \), data not shown). Mice spent significantly more time in the target quadrant than all others \( p < 0.001 \) and significantly less time in the opposite quadrant than all others \( p < 0.05 \). However, there were no significant effects or interactions of Age or Diet on time spent in any quadrant during the probe trial.
Barnes maze retention trials
There was a significant main effect of Age ($F_{1,52} = 4.7, p < 0.05$) for latency, with aged mice taking longer than adult mice to locate the target hole (Fig 4E). There were no significant effects of Age on reference, working memory, and perseverative errors, or strategy use in the retention trials. There were no significant effects of Diet on any measure during the retention trials.

Barnes maze reversal trials
There were no significant effects of Age on latency, errors including reference, working memory, and perseverative errors, or strategy use in the reversal trials (Figs 4 and 5). In the Barnes maze reversal trials a significant main effect of Diet ($F_{1,52} = 5.6, p < 0.05$) was observed for serial strategy use. Compared with mice on CD, mice on HFD used less serial strategies (Fig 5H) with a concomitant increase in the use of random/mixed strategies (Fig 5I), although this effect did not reach significance. There were no significant effects of Diet on any other measures during the reversal trials.

Discussion
The results of the present study showed that exposure to the HFD resulted in metabolic dysfunction as reflected by significant weight gain, fat mass gain, increased fasting insulin levels and insulin resistance. Surprisingly, adult mice appeared to be affected by HFD exposure to a greater extent than aged mice, showing comparatively higher fasting insulin levels, greater gains in subcutaneous fat mass and larger weight gain. Exposure to HFD also increased anxiety-like behavior in adult mice only. Consistent with our hypothesis, aged mice showed impairments in spatial learning (Barnes maze acquisition) and spatial recognition memory (novel place discrimination). However, contrary to our hypothesis, HFD exposure did not significantly contribute to any age-related impairments in spatial cognition in either adult or aged mice.

Age-dependent alterations in anxiety-like behavior were observed in mice on HFD. Studies in adult human subjects have reported that diets high in processed food (more similar to ‘western diets’) are associated with increased anxiety [22]. In the light-dark box test, adult, but not aged, mice on HFD spent less time in the light compartment compared with the other groups, suggesting increased anxiety-like behavior. This is consistent with other work showing increased anxiety-like behavior in mice exposed to HFD during adulthood [23], but not at puberty [24]. Our findings suggest that there may be a susceptible window in adulthood for HFD-induced increases in anxiety-like behavior.

Age-related impairments in spatial learning in the Barnes maze or Morris water maze are commonly reported in rodents [25,26]. The hippocampus, in particular, is a critical region involved in both age-related cognitive impairments and spatial learning/memory in both humans and rodents [27,28]. In our study, aged mice made more reference, working memory, and perseverative errors during the acquisition trials compared with adult mice. However, both age groups exhibited similar performance by the end of the acquisition trials indicating that aged mice were as capable of learning the task as adult mice but were slower to reach a similar level of competence. This finding was consistent with similar time spent in the target quadrant during the probe trial. Age-related impairments were limited to spatial learning (Barnes maze acquisition) and place discrimination (novel place test) and not object discrimination (novel object test) or executive functioning (Barnes maze reversal). Taken together, our findings, based upon testing that is thought to be representative of hippocampal function, suggest that the hippocampus is more sensitive to age-related impairments than the cortical regions implicated in executive functions [29] and novel object discrimination [30].
Mice on HFD showed relatively unimpaired cognitive performance when compared to mice on CD. Our results are consistent with other studies showing no cognitive impairments in mice and rats exposed to HFD and tested for spatial learning in the Morris water maze at various ages [31–33]. However, other studies using the T-maze to assess spatial learning and memory retention have observed HFD-induced cognitive impairments [11,16]. Thus, certain aspects of spatial cognition may be differentially sensitive to impairments by HFD.

The physiological effects of HFD exposure and associated obesity, such as increased fasting insulin and insulin resistance, are well described in both rodents [34] and humans [35]. For example, HFD and obesity have been shown to result in the accumulation of immune cells such as activated macrophages in adipose and liver tissue causing the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines that can directly impair insulin sensitivity in insulin target cells [17]. Although aging alone had only subtle effects on systemic physiology (increased subcutaneous fat mass), age played an important role in the impact of HFD exposure. For example, HFD-induced increases in fasting insulin levels and HOMA-IR were more severe in adult mice than aged mice. These more drastic metabolic effects in adult versus ages mice may in turn contribute to the larger gains in subcutaneous fat mass as well as increases in anxiety-like behavior observed only in this group. Overall however, these data suggest that impaired insulin sensitivity, weight gain and fat mass content do not directly impact spatial cognition or its decline with age under the current experimental conditions. However, age-dependent effects on anxiety-like behavior do suggest that under certain conditions (i.e. time of life) systemic metabolic dysfunction may alter brain function.

Conclusions

Age-related impairments in spatial cognition in both humans and mice occur, but the underlying etiology is not well understood. Our study in adult and aged mice confirms aged-related spatial cognitive deficits, but does not support a causative role of HFD. The HFD did induce expected changes in weight gain and systemic metabolic dysfunction in both adult and aged mice. The effects of HFD also differed by age, with the adult mice experiencing the greatest changes. Our finding suggest that anxiety-like behavior may be more susceptible to HFD exposure during midlife rather than later in life. This effect may be attributable to increased susceptibility to the physiological effects of HFD exposure in adult mice. Taken together, these results suggest that the time of greatest intervention may be in mid-life. Future research as to whether HFD may induce an acceleration of deficits in other aspects of cognition is warranted.
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