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INTRODUCTION

This report is part of a study of the interactions of $K^-$ in hydrogen and deuterium at incident beam momenta in the range 830 to 1120 MeV/c. (These momenta correspond to center-of-mass energies in the $K^-$-nucleon system in the range 1700 MeV to 1850 MeV.) Our immediate concern has been the determination of the quantum numbers of the two proposed resonances in the $K^-$-nucleon system, the $Y_0^*$ (1815) and the $Y_1^*$ (1765).

Professor Yodh has reviewed the history of these resonances in the previous paper. Briefly, the $I = 0$, $Y^*$ (1815) was first suggested by Chamberlain et al.\(^1\) to account for a broad rise in the $K^-$ p total cross-section at a center-of-mass energy of 1815 MeV. A comparison of the $K^-$ p and $K^-$ n cross-sections led to the isospin assignment. On the basis of the $K^-$ p elastic differential cross-section which requires up to fifth order terms in $\cos \Theta$, Beall et al.\(^2\) deduced the spin of the resonance to be 5/2. The width of the enhancement in the total cross-section led these investigators to conclude that the width of the $Y_0^*$ (1815) was $\Gamma = 120$ MeV. Later, Barbaro-Galtieri, Hussain and Tripp\(^3\) observed an enhancement in the $K^-$ p system at 1765 MeV in the reaction $K^- n \rightarrow K^- p \pi^-$ at an incident $K^-$ momentum of 1.51 BeV/c. They argue, using the results of Beall et al.\(^2\), that there are two resonances, the $Y_1^*$ (1765) and the $Y_0^*$ (1815) both with spin 5/2 and with opposite
parities. The spin 5/2 assignment is suggested by the existence of the
fourth order term and no higher even power terms in the production angu-
lar distributions. The fifth order term requires an interference between
amplitudes of opposite parities. In addition, Wohl et al. (4) found the
fifth order term in the K⁻ p→Kⁿn channel to be of opposite sign to
that found by Beall et al. in the elastic scattering channel, which sug-
gests that the two resonances have different I spins. Since the Y₀⁺ (1815)
has I = 0, the Y₁⁻ (1765) is assumed to be I = 1.

Adherents of Regge Polology prefer that the Y₀⁺ (1815) be a 5/2+
state and, hence, be a candidate for the recurrence of the Λ. This, by
Tripp's argument, would make the Y₁⁻ (1765) 5/2-. In this paper we will
present evidence confirming the 5/2+ assignment for the Y₀⁺ (1815).

Professor Yodh and co-workers have analyzed the reactions K⁻ p→Kⁿ + n
and K⁻ + p→ Λ + πⁿ at incident K⁻ momenta between 900 MeV/c and 1000 MeV/c
and they conclude that although there is evidence for a J = 5/2 amplitude
in the I = 1 channel at 1760 MeV, there is no proof that this amplitude
is resonant. Our data agree with theirs on this point. In addition, utilizing
our result on the parity of the Y₀⁺ (1815) we will conclude
that this I = 1 amplitude near 1760 MeV is 5/2-.

DATA ANALYSIS

We have studied the reactions

K⁻ + p→ Kⁿ + n \hspace{1cm} (a)

→ Λⁿ + πⁿ \hspace{1cm} (b)

→ π⁻ + Y₁⁻ (1385)→ π⁻ⁿ + π⁻ + Λ \hspace{1cm} (c) (1)

K⁻ + n→ Λ⁻ + π⁻ \hspace{1cm} (d)

→ π⁻ + Y₁⁻ (1385)→ π⁻ + π⁻ⁿ + Λ \hspace{1cm} (e)
at incident $K^-$ momenta of 825, 875, 925, 975, 1025, 1060 and 1115 MeV/c
in hydrogen and at 920 and 1020 MeV/c in deuterium. The film was taken
in the 25-inch hydrogen bubble chamber at the Bevatron with a separated
$K^-$ beam. About 30,000 events have been measured on the Flying Spot
Digitizer and processed through the FOG-CLOUDY-FAIR analysis system.

The portion of the measuring which can be done on the FSD has gone
very rapidly, but the scanning for beam normalization to obtain absolute
cross-sections is somewhat slower. Thus, the cross-sections are based
on only $1/3$ of the data included in the angular distributions. The
results are not final in the sense that some remeasuring and check-
scanning remains to be done; however, we believe that the conclusions
which we draw will not change.

The cross-sections and angular distributions from reactions (a),
(b) and (d) have been discussed quite extensively at the American Physical
Society meetings ($^5$) in Berkeley and Washington by our group and in Chicago
and Berkeley by Charlton et al. of Maryland and by Armenteros et al. of
CERN. There is substantial agreement about the conclusions. However,
since there is as yet nothing in print, we shall discuss our data here.
There has been very little discussion of reactions (c) and (e).

THE EXISTENCE AND SPIN OF THE TWO RESONANCES

Figures 1, 2 and 3 show the total cross-sections for reactions 1a,
1b and 1c. The closed circles are our data and the open circles are
the data of Bastien and Berge et al. ($^6$) at lower energies, and of Graziano
and Wojcicki ($^7$) and Ferro-Luzzi et al. ($^8$) at higher energies. The absence
of any enhancement at 1815 MeV in the $\Lambda\pi^0$ channel, which is pure
$I = 1$, contrasted with the pronounced enhancement in both the $K^0 n$
$\Lambda\pi\pi$ channels is exactly what one expects from a $I = 0$, $Y^*$ (1815). On
the other hand, there is no pronounced bump at 1760 MeV. Even the pure
I = 1, Λ π channel (Fig. 2) shows only a gradual rise and fall which does
not clearly indicate a resonance. The width of the Y_0^* (1815) (as seen
in Figs. 1 and 3) is clearly much less than the previously reported
value of 120 MeV \(^{(2)}\). The solid curves drawn at 1815 MeV in Figs. 1 and
3 are Breit-Wigner forms with a width of 60 MeV. With this width there
is a shoulder on the lower edge of the Y_0^* (1815) which is consistent with
a resonance at 1760 MeV but which could also be due to non resonant am-
plitudes.

In addition we have fitted the production angular distributions in
the K^0 n system (Fig. 4) with an expansion in the Legendre polynomials.
\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{A}{4} \sum A_n \rho_n (\cos \theta) \quad (\text{where } \cos \theta = \vec{K}^0 \cdot \vec{K}^-)(1)
\]
A Breit-Wigner curve with \(\Gamma = 60\) MeV and \(M_0 = 1815\) MeV has been drawn
through coefficients of the \(P_4\) term. This, together with the momentum
dependence of the coefficient of the \(P_5\) term, has been plotted in Fig. 5.
The sharp peak in the coefficient \(A_4\) at 1815 MeV, coupled with the absence
of higher order even terms in \(\cos \theta\) agrees with the \(J = 5/2\) assignment
for \(Y_0^* (1815)\).

The angular distributions at 1760 MeV require a significant fourth
order term, suggesting the presence of partial waves with \(J = 5/2\). The
coefficient \(A_5\) is negative at 1815 MeV, as found by Wohl, and remains
negative down to 1760 MeV. If there are no amplitudes of \(\text{spin } > 5/2\)
present, this coefficient is due to an interference between two \(J = 5/2\)
amplitudes of opposite parity.

**THE PARITY OF THE Y_0^* (1815)**

Although the proposed \(Y_1^* (1765)\) is difficult to see in our data,
the \(Y_0^* (1815)\) stands out clearly in both reactions la and lc (Figs. 1
and 3). We will show that the reaction lc, \( K^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi^+ + \pi^- \), proceeds mainly through the intermediate state \( K^- + p \rightarrow Y_1^*(1385) + \pi \).

Figures 6 and 7 show Dalitz plots of the square of the invariant mass of the \( \Lambda \pi^- \) system versus that of the \( \Lambda \pi^+ \) system for reaction lc at total energies of 1760 MeV and 1820 MeV. The bands corresponding to the \( Y_1^*(1385) \) are very pronounced. Using the density of events outside of the \( Y^* \) bands as a measure of the background present, we conclude that reaction lc accounts for 90\% or more of the total \( \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \) production at these energies.

Since the bands are reasonably well separated on the Dalitz plot at 1820 MeV, we have identified the \( Y_1^*(1385) \) as that \( \Lambda \pi^- \) system with an invariant mass in the range 1385 \pm 50 MeV. This provides a unique separation and enriches the \( Y_1^*(1385) \) sample in the final state. Attempts to analyze the \( Y_1^*(1385) \) production at lower energies have been complicated by interference and the necessity of symmetrizing the \( \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \) final state \((9)\) but these effects are not expected to be large in the production angular distributions at center-of-mass energies near 1815 MeV.

On the basis of the cross-section for the reaction \( K^- p \rightarrow Y_1^*(1385) + \pi^- \) (Fig. 3) and the known elastic cross-sections, we reported at Berkeley \((5)\) that the branching ratio of the \( Y_0^*(1815) \) into \( Y_1^*(1385) + \pi^- \) was 20 \( \pm \) 5\%. Thus the process

\[
K^- + p \rightarrow Y_0^*(1815) \rightarrow Y_1^*(1385) + \pi^- \tag{3}
\]

appears to make an important contribution to reaction lc at incident \( K^- \) momenta of 1015 MeV/c and 1060 MeV/c.

Minami \((10)\) has observed that when the \( Y_0^*(1815) \) is produced in reaction (lc) it is highly aligned, \( J_z = \pm 1/2 \), and hence, the angular distribution of its decay into the spin 3/2 \( Y_1^*(1385) \) and a pion will
depend upon its spin and parity. Specifically, assuming the \( Y_e^{*} (1385) \) to be \( 3/2^+ \), as shown by Huwe et al. (11), and if the \( Y_o^{*} (1815) \) is \( 5/2^+ \), then the orbital state of the \( Y_e^{*} (1385) + \pi \) system is either \( p_{5/2} \) or \( f_{5/2} \). On the other hand, if the \( Y_o^{*} (1815) \) is \( 5/2^- \), then \( Y_e^{*} (1385) + \pi \) system is either \( d_{5/2} \) or \( g_{5/2} \). Assuming the lower angular momentum state to be highly favored by the angular momentum barrier, we expect the angular distribution of the \( Y_e^{*} (1385) + \pi \) system to be nearly of form \( (1 + 2 \cos^2 \theta) \) for the \( 5/2^+ \) choice and \( (1 + 10 \cos^2 \theta - 10 \cos^4 \theta) \) for the \( 5/2^- \) choice, where \( \theta \) is the angle between the \( Y_e^{*} (1385) \) and the incident \( K^- \) (the axis of alignment) in the \( K^- \) p center-of-mass system. Of course, the success with which one can make this test depends upon the purity of the sample of \( Y_o^{*} (1815) \).

The \( K^- \) p system is a mixture of equal parts \( I = 0 \) and \( I = 1 \) while the \( Y_o^{*} (1815) \) produced in reaction (3) is in the \( I = 0 \) channel. We would like to remove the background caused by the \( I = 1 \) channel. We have measured the \( I = 1 \) amplitude from:

\[
K^- + n \rightarrow Y_e^{*} (1385) + \pi \tag{4}
\]

in the deuterium data. The isotopic spin parts of the differential cross-sections for the reactions \( K^- + p \rightarrow Y_i^{*} (1385)^+ + \pi^+ \) are

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (K^- p \rightarrow Y_e^{*+} \pi^-) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |a_o|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |a_1|^2 + \frac{3}{4} \Re (a_o^* a_1) \right] \tag{5a}
\]

and

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (K^- p \rightarrow Y_e^{*+} \pi^+) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |a_o|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |a_1|^2 - \frac{3}{4} \Re (a_o^* a_1) \right] \tag{5b}
\]

where \( a_o \) and \( a_1 \) represent the partial wave amplitudes for \( I = 0 \) and \( I = 1 \) production respectively. These cross-sections contain an interference term which cancels out if we consider the sum of the angular distributions for \( Y_e^{*+} (1385) \) and \( Y_e^{*-} (1385) \):

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (K^- p \rightarrow Y_e^{*+} \pi^-) + \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (K^- p \rightarrow Y_e^{*-} \pi^+) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} |a_o|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |a_1|^2 \right] \tag{6}
\]

The differential cross-section for reaction (4) is proportional to \( |a_1|^2 \).
and when appropriately normalized, can be subtracted from the \( K^- p \) data to give the angular distribution for the \( I = 0 \) channel.

Figure 8 shows the combined angular distributions of \( K^- p \rightarrow Y^{-}_{1} \pi^- \) and \( K^- p \rightarrow Y^{+}_{1} \pi^+ \) at each of our incident \( K^- \) momenta with curves representing the fit in Legendre polynomials up to fourth order. The most notable feature is the change in sign of the curvature between the 925 MeV/c (1760 MeV) region and the 1015 MeV/c (1815 MeV) region.

For the purpose of applying the above test for the parity of \( Y^{+}_{0} (1815) \) we have combined our \( K^- p \) data at incident momenta of 1015 MeV/c and 1060 MeV/c. These momenta straddle the peak corresponding to the formation of the \( Y^{+}_{0} (1815) \) as shown in Fig. 3. The angular distribution for the sum of these two momenta is shown as the solid line in Fig. 9a. The dotted line is the \( K^- n \rightarrow Y^{-}_{1} \pi^- \), \( I = 1 \), angular distribution at \( p_K = 1015 \) MeV/c normalized to 50% of the total \( K^- p \) cross-section. This normalization is based on the cross-sections from the \( K^- d \) data which indicate that the \( I = 1 \) amplitude for \( K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \) accounts for 50 \pm 5% of the total cross-section for the \( K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \) channel at incident \( K^- \) momenta of 1015 MeV/c and 1060 MeV/c.

Figure 9b shows the \( I = 0 \) angular distribution, i.e. the difference between the two distributions in Fig. 9a, folded about \( \cos \Theta = 0 \), to remove the odd terms which may arise from interference with the background. The solid curve on Fig. 9b is \( 1 + 2 \cos^2 \Theta \) and the broken curve is \( 1 + 10 \cos^2 \Theta - 10 \cos^4 \Theta \). The total cross-section data at 1815 is consistent with up to 30% non-resonant background. With this as an upper limit, we have investigated the possibility of the background causing significant deviations from the predicted curves. The experimental data can easily be accounted for in terms of the \( 1 + 2 \cos^2 \Theta \).
distribution together with a contribution from the background, whereas we have found no way in which this amount of background can change the $1 + 10 \cos^2 \theta - 10 \cos^4 \theta$ distribution to fit the experimental data.

We conclude on the basis of this that the $Y_0^* (1815)$ is $(5/2)^+$. 

**SUMMARY**

An analysis of the angular distribution of the $K^- + p \rightarrow Y_1^* (1385) + \pi$ and $K^- + n \rightarrow Y^* (1385) + \pi$ at center-of-mass energies near 1815 MeV shows the $Y_0^* (1815)$ to be $5/2^+$. 

So far we are unable to verify the existence of the proposed $Y_1^* (1765)$ in our data. However, there are fifth order coefficients of opposite sign in the differential cross-section for the elastic and charge exchange channels in the region 1760 - 1815 MeV. This indicates an interference between the $Y_0^* (1815)$ and a spin $\geq 5/2$, isospin 1, negative parity amplitude.
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Fig. 1. The total cross-section measured in units of $\pi \lambda^2$ for the reaction $K^- + p \rightarrow K^0 + n$ as a function of the $K^-$ laboratory momentum. The closed circles are our data and the open circles are the data of Bastien and Berge\(^{(6)}\) at lower energies, and of Graziano and Wojcicki\(^{(7)}\) and Ferro-Luzzi et al.\(^{(8)}\) at higher energies. The solid curve is a Breit-Wigner form with $\Gamma = 60$ MeV and $M_\circ = 1815$.

Fig. 2. The total cross-section measured in units of $\pi \lambda^2$ for the reaction $K^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi^0$ as a function of the $K^-$ laboratory momentum. The closed circles are our data and the open circles are the data of Bastien and Berge\(^{(6)}\) at lower energies, and of Graziano and Wojcicki\(^{(7)}\) and Ferro-Luzzi et al.\(^{(8)}\) at higher energies.

Fig. 3. The total cross-section measured in units of $\pi \lambda^2$ for the reaction $K^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi^0$ as a function of the $K^-$ laboratory momentum. The closed circles are our data and the open circles are the data of Bastien and Berge\(^{(6)}\) at lower energies, and of Graziano and Wojcicki\(^{(7)}\) and Ferro-Luzzi et al.\(^{(8)}\) at higher energies. The solid curve is a Breit-Wigner form with $\Gamma = 60$ MeV and $M_\circ = 1815$.

Fig. 4. Production angular distributions of $K^- + p \rightarrow K^0 + n$ at each of seven incident $K^-$ momenta, with curves representing the fifth order fit in Legendre polynomials.

Fig. 5. The coefficients $A_4$ and $A_5$ in the angular distributions for $K^- + p \rightarrow K^0 + n$ plotted as a function of incident $K^-$ laboratory momentum. A Breit-Wigner curve with $\Gamma = 60$ MeV and $M_\circ = 1815$ MeV has been drawn through the coefficient $A_4$. $\cos \Theta$ is defined as $(\vec{K}^0 \cdot \vec{K}^-)$. 
Fig. 6. Dalitz plot of the square of the invariant mass of the $\Lambda \pi^-$ system vs. that of the $\Lambda \pi^+$ system for the reaction $K^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi^+ + \pi^-$ at a center of mass energy of 1760 MeV.

Fig. 7. Dalitz plot of the square of the invariant mass of the $\Lambda \pi^-$ system vs. that of the $\Lambda \pi^+$ system for the reaction $K^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi^+ + \pi^-$ at a center of mass energy of 1820 MeV.

Fig. 8. Angular distributions of the sum of the reactions $K^- + p \rightarrow \gamma^* + \pi^-$ and $K^- + p \rightarrow \gamma^* + \pi^+$ at each of the seven incident $K^-$ momenta, with curves representing the fourth order fit in Legendre polynomials.

Fig. 9(a). Solid line is the sum of the angular distributions for the reaction $K^- + p \rightarrow \gamma^* + \pi$ at incident $K^-$ momenta of 1015 MeV/c and 1060 MeV/c. The broken line is the angular distribution for the reaction $K^- + n \rightarrow \gamma^* + \pi$ at an incident $K^-$ momentum of 1020 MeV/c, normalized to contain 1/2 the events in solid histograms. (b). The difference between the two histograms in (a), folded about $\gamma^* \cdot K^- = 0$. 
$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$
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- Graphs showing distributions of particle yields for different energies:
  - 825 Mev/c
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  - 925 Mev/c
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  - 1060 Mev/c
  - 1115 Mev/c

- X-axis: Cos (K° K)
- Y-axis: Yields
\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i} P_{n}(\cos \theta)
\]

Fig. 5

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{A} P_{n}(\cos \theta)
\]
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\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig8.png}
\caption{Distribution of \( \cos(Y^*_K) \) for various energies.}
\end{figure}
This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.