Iterated finite-orbit Monte Carlo simulations with full-wave fields for modeling tokamak ion cyclotron resonance frequency wave heating experiments\textsuperscript{a)}
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The five-dimensional finite-orbit Monte Carlo code ORBIT-RF [M. Choi et al., Phys. Plasmas 12, 1 (2005)] is successfully coupled with the two-dimensional full-wave code all-orders spectral algorithm (AORSA) [E. F. Jaeger et al., Phys. Plasmas 13, 056101 (2006)] in a self-consistent way to achieve improved predictive modeling for ion cyclotron resonance frequency (ICRF) wave heating experiments in present fusion devices and future ITER [R. Aymar et al., Nucl. Fusion 41, 1301 (2001)]. The ORBIT-RF/AORSA simulations reproduce fast-ion spectra and spatial profiles qualitatively consistent with fast ion D-alpha [W. W. Heidbrink et al., Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 49, 1457 (2007)] spectroscopic data in both DIII-D [J. L. Luxon, Nucl. Fusion 42, 614 (2002)] and National Spherical Torus Experiment [M. Ono et al., Nucl. Fusion 41, 1435 (2001)] high harmonic ICRF heating experiments. This work verifies that both finite-orbit width effect of fast-ion due to its drift motion along the torus and iterations between fast-ion distribution and wave fields are important in modeling ICRF heating experiments. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3314336]

I. INTRODUCTION

Ion cyclotron resonance frequency (ICRF) wave is one of main auxiliary plasma heating methods in present tokamak experiments and future ITER.\textsuperscript{1} In particular, the ICRF wave with frequency equivalent to high ion cyclotron harmonic number has been used to heat background thermal electrons and drive noninductively plasma current in the DIII-D (Ref. 2) and National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) (Ref. 3) devices. Although primary damping of ICRF wave is expected to occur on thermal electrons, theory predicts that partial damping of ICRF wave may also occur on fast-ions when a large population of fast-ions exists in the form of injected neutral beam ion and fusion born alpha due to $k_{\perp} \rho \approx 1$ ($k_{\perp}$ is the perpendicular wave number and $\rho$ is the fast-ion Larmor radius), which results in a reduction of current drive efficiency.

This theoretical prediction has been observed in both DIII-D\textsuperscript{4,5} and NSTX\textsuperscript{6,7} high harmonic ICRF wave heating experiments in neutral beam preheated plasma aimed at full noninductive current drive. Experimental results indicated significant parasitic absorption by injected deuterium beam fast-ion at high harmonics. A first indication is enhanced neutron emission rate measured from neutron detector, increasing by a factor of 2 in DIII-D\textsuperscript{4,5} and a factor of 3 in NSTX\textsuperscript{6,7} during the ICRF heating. A second indication is seen from fast ion information measured by the diagnostic fast ion D-alpha (FIDA).\textsuperscript{4-8} FIDA, a type of charge-exchange recombination spectroscopy, infers fast-ion energy and spatial profile by exploiting Doppler shift of emitted photons with a wavelength ($\lambda$). The Doppler shift is determined only by the component of fast-ion velocity in the direction of the collection optics. Therefore, it is convenient to relate measured wavelength to an equivalent (or “observed”) fast-ion energy, $E_{k}$. Viewing channels are located vertically along the major radius (in DIII-D, nine channels and in NSTX, 16 channels). FIDA has resolutions of 5 cm spatial, 10 keV spectral and temporal 10 ms. Fast-ion signals from FIDA show higher count rates above beam injection energy in both DIII-D and NSTX ICRF heating experiments,\textsuperscript{4-7} demonstrating that fast ions are accelerated above beam injection energy. Measured fast-ion spatial profiles show outward radial shifts from primary resonance layers near magnetic axis in both experiments.

The three-dimensional bounce-averaged Fokker–Planck (FP) code COQL3D (Ref. 9) combined with ray-tracing code GENRAY (Ref. 10) was previously used to simulate ICRF heating experiments. Preliminary result on the DIII-D experiment shows that COQL3D/GENRAY reproduces fast ion spectra qualitatively consistent with FIDA, however it computes more peaked spatial profile near magnetic axis than the measured profile from FIDA.\textsuperscript{4-6} A similar discrepancy is also
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found for the NSTX experiment. The discrepancy is likely due to the fact that the CQL3D computes fast-ion distribution with zero-orbit width approximation. It has been known that finite orbit motion of fast-ion may significantly modify ICRF wave propagation and absorption in the plasma.\textsuperscript{11} Therefore, this work is aimed at resolving this previous discrepancy by including finite drift orbit width effect in computing fast-ion distribution.

For this, substantial computational work has been done through collaborations with the RF SciDAC community.\textsuperscript{12} As a result, the five-dimensional (5D) Monte Carlo code ORBIT-RF (Ref. 13) is successfully coupled with the two-dimensional (2D) global-wave field code all-orders spectral algorithm (AORSA).\textsuperscript{14} Figure 1 explains how two codes are combined in a self-consistent way. ORBIT-RF computes a particle distribution function of an ensemble of fast-ion species in velocity and spatial space by solving a set of Hamiltonian guiding center drift orbit equations under Coulomb collisions and quasilinear (QL) diffusive wave heating. Detailed description for ORBIT-RF is given in Sec. III. AORSA computes ICRF wave fields by solving Maxwell’s equations with oscillating current related to wave fields by a constitutive relation (conductivity tensor). The particle distribution computed from ORBIT-RF is noisy due to Monte Carlo technique. Therefore, a new code P2F (Ref. 15) was developed to reconstruct a smoothed distribution function from the noisy particle distribution to compute a dielectric tensor used in AORSA. Wave field amplitude and its spatial pattern computed from AORSA are passed to ORBIT-RF to evolve fast-ion distribution. Evolved fast-ion distribution is then fed back to AORSA to update the dielectric tensor and ICRF wave fields. In principle, iterations may be done until results converge. As similar self-consistent simulation packages, there are SELFQ (Ref. 16) and TASK/WM/GNET.\textsuperscript{17} However, these packages have some limitations when applied to high harmonic ICRF heating experiments in shaped plasmas, since TASK/WM is currently valid up to second harmonic, and FIDO is developed for a circular shaped plasma. Therefore, the success of this iteration between ORBIT-RF and AORSA motivates simulations of high harmonic ICRF heating experiments to understand the effect of nonzero orbit width on fast-ions in DIII-D and NSTX experiments.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the DIII-D and NSTX high harmonic ICRF heating experimental results are described. To model fast-ion resonant interaction with the ICRF wave in these experiments, Hamiltonian guiding center equations following fast-ion trajectory with finite drift orbit width are solved with Monte Carlo collision and QL heating operators. Details on numerical modeling work are given in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, latest simulation results from ORBIT-RF coupled with AORSA on DIII-D and NSTX heating experiments are presented and compared to the measurements from FIDA and neutron detector, and also with previous CQL3D/GENRAY simulations. Lastly, a summary is given in Sec. V.

II. HIGH HARMONIC ICRF HEATING EXPERIMENTS IN DIII-D AND NSTX

A. DIII-D

Figure 2 shows time traces of experimental data from the discharge 122993 in which fifth harmonic 60 MHz ICRF waves were damped near the plasma center on injected deuterium (D) beam ions.\textsuperscript{4} Major radius at magnetic axis is $R_0 = 1.75$ m, the minor radius $a = 0.6$ m, and the toroidal magnetic field $B_T = 1.54$ T. Neutral beams inject 1.2 MW of 75–81 keV D ions in the plasma in the direction of plasma current $I_p \sim 1.0$ MA. Tangency radius is 1.15 m (the left
source). After neutral beam preheats the plasma, 1.0 MW ICRF power is coupled to the plasma for a 1.5 s pulse [Fig. 2(a)]. Four-element phased array is used to launch 60 MHz ICRF wave into the plasma in countercurrent drive phasing with a peak in the vacuum spectrum at $k_i = 5$ m$^{-1}$. At $B_0 = 1.54$ T, the 60 MHz ICRF wave interacts with the D beam ions at three cyclotron resonance layers along the major radius, the fourth harmonic at $R = 136$ cm, the fifth at $R = 174$ cm near plasma center, and the sixth at $R = 206$ cm. Resonant interaction occurs mostly at fifth harmonic.

Figure 2(b) indicates that the central electron temperature, $T_e(0)$, increases slightly during ICRF heating. During the discharge, typically, $n_e(0) = 3.0 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$, $T_e(0) = 2.0$ keV, central plasma ion temperature $T_i(0) = 3.0$ keV, and the effective charge $Z_{eff} = 2.0$. As shown in Fig. 2(c), measured $D - D$ (mostly from beam-plasma reactions) neutron emission increases about a factor of 2 during ICRF heating. This demonstrates fast-beam ions are accelerated by the ICRF heating. Neutron rate becomes stationary approximately after 200 ms.

Spectroscopic measurement of cold H-alpha and D-alpha lines indicates that the hydrogen concentration is usually below 1% during this discharge. Therefore, the resonant interaction between the ICRF wave and minority hydrogen is ignored in this work.

III. MODELING OF FAST-ION RESONANT INTERACTION WITH ICRF WAVE

A. Fast-ion guiding-center drift motion

Equations (1)–(4) are Hamiltonian guiding-center drift equations$^{18}$ implemented in ORBIT-RF to solve fast-ion motion including nonzero drift orbit width in the plasma:

$$
\dot{\psi}_p = \left\{ -\frac{g}{D}(\mu + \rho_i^2 B) \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + \frac{I}{D}(\mu + \rho_i^2 B) \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta} \right\},
$$

$$
\dot{\theta} = \frac{\rho_i B^2}{D}(1 - \{\rho_i g\}) + (\mu + \rho_i^2 B) \frac{q}{D} \left\{ \frac{\partial B}{\partial \psi_p} \right\},
$$

$$
\dot{\rho}_i = \frac{(1 - \{\rho_i g\})(\mu + \rho_i^2 B) \partial B}{D} \left( \frac{q + \{\rho_i f\}}{\mu + \rho_i^2 B} \right) \frac{\partial B}{\partial \zeta},
$$

$$
\dot{\zeta} = \frac{\rho_i B^2}{D}(q + \{\rho_i f\}) - (\mu + \rho_i B) \frac{I}{D} \left\{ \frac{\partial B}{\partial \psi_p} \right\}.
$$

Magnetic field perturbations and radial electric fields are ignored. Here, $D = gq + I + \rho_i[gl - Ig]$, $\psi_p$ is the poloidal flux coordinate, $\theta$ is the poloidal angle, $\rho_i$ is the normalized parallel gyroradius, $\zeta$ is the angular coordinate, and $\mu$ is the magnetic moment. The Jacobian of these flux coordinates is given by $J^{-1} = \nabla \psi_p \times \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \zeta$. The function $g(\psi_p)$ is the poloidal current, $I(\psi_p)$ is the toroidal current, and $q(\psi_p)$ is the safety factor. Equilibrium data for $g(\psi_p)$, $I(\psi_p)$, $q(\psi_p)$, and $B(\psi_p, \theta)$ are read from EFIT.$^{19}$

B. NSTX

Time traces of NSTX experimental data$^{6,7}$ are shown in Fig. 3. The three shots, 128739, 128740, and 128741, are nominally identical ICRF heating discharges, whereas the discharge 128742 is a reference discharge with the same neutral beam (NB) timing and power but no ICRF heating. $R_0 = 104$ cm, $a = 67$ cm, and $B_0 = 0.55$ T. In all discharges, 1.0 MW beam injects 65 keV D beam ions in the direction of plasma current $I_p \sim 0.8$ MA from 150 to 400 ms. Tangency radius is 0.59 m. 1.1 MW ICRF power with 30 MHz frequency is coupled to the plasma from 210 to 370 ms for three ICRF heating discharges [Fig. 3(a)]. 12-strap antenna is used to launch 30 MHz ICRF power with $k_i = 7$ m$^{-1}$. At $B_0 = 0.55$ T, the 30 MHz ICRF wave interacts with the D ions at several cyclotron resonance layers (third–11th) along the major radius. The eighth harmonic layer is located near the magnetic axis at $R = 104$ cm.

Figure 3(b) shows that larger increase in $n_e(0)$ is measured during ICRF heating, compared with the no-ICRF heating discharge, whereas the change of $T_e(0)$ due to ICRF heating is small. When the ICRF heating turns off, $n_e(0) = 3.0 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$, $T_e(0) = 1.0$ keV, and $T_i(0) = 1.0$ keV. Measured neutron emission increases about a factor of 3 during ICRF heating [Fig. 3(c)].
The change in parallel velocity of fast-ion due to Coulomb collision with background plasma is calculated using slowing-down frequency between ion-ion and ion-electron, \( \dot{\nu}_i = -\nu \eta_i \Delta t \), \( \eta_i = 1.6 \times 10^{-9} A_f^{-1/2} T_e^{1/2} n_i(\psi) Z_f^2 \Lambda_f(\psi) \times [1 + (E_i/E_f)^{1/2}] (1/s) \) \( \Delta \psi = p \eta_i \Delta t + R_i \sqrt{1 - p^2} \eta_i \Delta t \), \( \eta_i = 1.8 \times 10^{-7} A_f^{-1/2} E_f^{1/2} n_i(\psi) Z_f^2 \Lambda_f(\psi) (1/s) \) \( \Delta \psi = p \eta_i \Delta t + R_i \sqrt{1 - p^2} \eta_i \Delta t \), \( \eta_i = 1.8 \times 10^{-7} A_f^{-1/2} E_f^{1/2} n_i(\psi) Z_f^2 \Lambda_f(\psi) (1/s) \) In Eqs. (5)–(8), \( E_c = 14.8 \times A_f / A_i^{2/3} T_e(\psi) \) is the critical energy, \( A_f \) is the atomic mass number of fast-ion, \( \Lambda_f \) is the Coulomb logarithm, \( E_i \) is fast-ion energy, \( n_i \) is the plasma ion density, \( n_e \) is the plasma electron density, and the subscripts \( f \) and \( i \) denote fast-ion and background thermal-ion, and \( R_i \) is a random number. We assume that the density and temperature of background plasma ions and electrons, obtained from experimental data, are fixed during simulations. The change in \( \mu \) due to the ICRF heating is computed using stochastic QL diffusive wave heating operator, which is described in Sec. III B.

Quantities inside \{\} in Eqs. (1)–(4) describe drift orbit width terms. To understand effect of drift orbit terms on a trajectory of fast-ion along magnetic flux surface, Eqs. (1)–(4) are solved for a single fast-ion with energy of 60 keV and pitch of 0.04 with and without drift terms. Simulations are done for a few bounce times. As shown in Fig. 4, when drift terms are included, fast-ion moves across flux surfaces and makes banana orbit trajectory, whereas fast-ion stays at the same flux surface when ignored. In case a resonance layer is located close to magnetic axis, the zero orbit fast-ion trajectory intersects always two resonance points, whereas banana orbit characteristic fast-ion passes through four resonant points, which may produce much broader local wave absorption profile.\(^{11}\)

In addition, fast-ion drift motion across flux surface may induce radial diffusion of fast-ion when fast-ion is heated by the ICRF wave in the presence of collisions. To understand this, simple simulations are performed using an ensemble of 80 keV \( D \) ions for 20 toroidal transit times. As shown in Fig. 5, results demonstrate ICRF heated fast-ions near magnetic axis move outward in radial direction when drift terms are included. However, when ignored, heated fast-ions do not move radially since they are forced to stay at the same flux surface and thus spatial diffusion is not produced. Therefore, allowing of fast-ion motion with finite orbit drift terms is important for more accurate modeling.

B. Stochastic quasilinear diffusive wave heating

In this work, the QL theory\(^{21}\) is used to model resonant interaction of fast-ion with the ICRF wave. It is based on stochastic diffusion of fast-ion in velocity space. When ions pass through ion cyclotron resonance layers, they may either absorb energy from or lose energy to the wave, depending on their phase difference with respect to the wave polarization.
Assuming that resonant ions lose their phase information with ICRF wave through successive collisions and wave stochasticity before they reenter the resonance region, a random walk model is appropriate, as shown in Eq. (9), to reproduce stochastic nature in $\mu$ space

$$\Delta \mu = \sum_{\text{particles}} \left[ (\Delta \mu) + R_{\text{a}}\sqrt{\Delta \mu^2} \right],$$

(9)

A time independent mean change $(\langle \Delta \mu \rangle)$, representing drag, and rapidly fluctuating part $(\sqrt{\langle \Delta \mu^2 \rangle})$, representing dispersion, are derived by connecting Brownian motion theory of individual particle to FP equation by Chandrasekhar.\(^{22}\) As a result, $\langle \Delta \mu \rangle$ is formulated as\(^{23}\)

$$\langle \Delta \mu \rangle = \frac{\pi a^2 l^2 \Omega^2}{m \omega^2 B} K[E_+]^2 \left[ \frac{J_{l+1} + e^{2i\theta_k} E_{-} J_{l+1}}{E_+} \right]^2$$

$$+ \mu \left[ 2 \left( J_{l-1} + e^{2i\theta_k} E_{-} J_{l+1} \right) \right] \frac{\partial J_{l-1}}{\partial \mu} + e^{2i\theta_k} E_{-} J_{l+1} \left( \frac{\partial J_{l-1}}{\partial \mu} \right) \delta(w_i),$$

(10)

where $J_{l\pm 1}$ is the $(l \mp 1)$th order Bessel function of the first kind, $l$ is the ion cyclotron harmonic number $v_i=\omega=\Omega - k_i v_i$ determining the resonance condition, $\omega$ is the wave frequency, $\Omega$ is the ion cyclotron frequency, $k_i$ is the parallel wave number, $v_i$ is the parallel velocity, $\rho=\nu_i/\Omega = \sqrt{2\mu B/\Omega}$, $B$ is the magnetic field, $v_{\perp i}$ is the perpendicular velocity, $k_{\perp}$ is the perpendicular wave number, $m$ is fast-ion mass, $\theta_k$ is the direction of wave in x-y plane, $\cos \theta_k(x,y)=k_x/k_\perp$, and $\sin \theta_k(x, Z)=k_y/k_\perp$. Energetic ions absorb power at high harmonics of the ion cyclotron frequency, where Finite Larmor Radius (FLR) effects are important. The argument of Bessel function, $k_{\perp} \times \rho_i$ takes into account this FLR effect. A factor $K$, associated with the integral over $v_i$, physically related to a resonant interaction time, is present to account for correlation effect when energetic particle orbit intersects two resonances close to each other or resonance is located at turning point where $\Omega=0$. The expression for $\langle \Delta \mu^2 \rangle$ is similarly formulated.\(^{23}\)

$E_+$ and $E_-$, defined as $E_{\pm}=(E_+ \pm iE_-)$, are the left-hand and right-hand polarized components of ICRF wave electric field. In fundamental or low harmonic heating experiments such as in the Alcator C-Mod tokamak, $E_-$ component does not play a significant role in $\Delta \mu$ due to $k_i\rho \ll 1$. However, in high harmonic ICRF heating regimes that we simulate in this work, the contribution of $E_-$ component in $\Delta \mu$ is not negligible due to large $k_i\rho$. For example, in DIII-D heating experiments, typically $\rho \approx 3$ cm, while in NSTX it can be up to $\rho \approx 20$ cm depending on fast-ion energy and magnetic field.\(^{10}\) Magnitude and structure of $E_+$ and $E_-$ are computed from AORSA, which is described in Sec. III D.

C. Beam fast-ion slowing down distribution

As shown in Fig. 2(a), 1.2 MW beam injection preheats the plasma before the ICRF waves are coupled to the plasma. Therefore, the beam preheated plasma is first simulated to reproduce the experimental conditions before the ICRF heating. Figure 6 shows the Monte Carlo beam fast-ion distribution function in phase space computed from ORBIT-RF [Fig. 6(a)] and NUBEAM (Ref. 24) [Fig. 6(b)] before the ICRF turns on. The experimental data for the DIII-D discharge 122993, as shown in Fig. 2, is used. In the experiment, the beam has three energy components (full: half: one-third). In usual DIII-D discharges, their fractions are 75%:15%:10%, respectively. In ORBIT-RF, only the full energy component is simulated. Therefore the beam injection power is adjusted to 0.9 MW (75% of averaged experimental beam power 1.2 MW). Beam fast-ion slowing down distribution computed from ORBIT-RF is in reasonable agreement with NUBEAM computed distribution with three beam energy components at 1.2 MW beam power. Since fast-ion contributions from half and one-third energy components to FIDA measurement are ignorable due to very little acceleration of these low energy ions, modeling of injected beam fast-ion with a single full energy component with adjusted beam power would not affect significantly our comparison results between ORBIT-RF/AORSA and FIDA. The presence of MHD, possibly leading to nonclassical fast ion redistribution, may lead to inaccurate modeling of the distribution from simulation codes. The discharges have sawteeth but other MHD is negligible; the effect of the sawteeth on fast-ion redistribution is ignored in our simulations.

D. The ICRF wave fields

The ICRF wave field magnitude and spatial pattern in the plasma, used to compute “kicks” in the magnetic moment in expressions (9) and (10), are computed from AORSA, as described in Sec. I. Figure 7 shows $E_+$ and $E_-$ components of the ICRF wave fields in $(R, Z)$ space for the DIII-D discharge 122993 ICRF heating parameters. Beam fast-ion distribution shown in Fig. 5 is used as initial beam ion condition. The phase difference between $E_+$ and $E_-$, which is not computed by AORSA, is assumed to be zero. Toroidal mode number $N_e=13$ is set, which corresponds to a peak $k_i$ in the antenna spectrum. Wave amplitudes are normalized with launched ICRF power 1.0 MW, assuming launched power is 100% absorbed by the plasma.
IV. RESULTS

A. DIII-D discharge 122993

ORBIT-RF is iterated twice with AORSA including QL and collisional orbit diffusion for 160 ms (approximately one slowing down time). First iteration between fast ion distribution and ICRF wave field is done at 80 ms. Figure 8 shows beam fast-ion distribution, \( f(E, R) \), where \( E \) is the beam-ion energy and \( R \) is the major radius, calculated by ORBIT-RF before the ICRF turns on. Beam injection energy and tangency radius are 80 keV and 115 cm, respectively. In Fig. 8(b), structure and magnitude of \( E_\perp \) component of ICRF wave field computed from AORSA using the particle distribution function as given in Fig. 8(a) are shown as a dotted curve. ORBIT-RF evolves fast-ion distribution [Fig. 8(a)] with ICRF wave fields [Fig. 8(b)] during the first 80 ms. As a result, fast-ion distribution is modified due to ICRF induced kicks, as shown in Fig. 8(c), and beam tails are built-up above the beam injection energy. This modified distribution is passed on to AORSA to update the dielectric tensor and recompute ICRF wave fields. Dash-dotted curve in Fig. 8(a) shows the modified ICRF wave field structure and magnitude, indicating that the amplitude of ICRF wave field is reduced at the resonant layer (marked with bar) since the ICRF wave is damped strongly on fast ion tail. Solid curve in Fig. 8(a) shows the modified wave field after a second iteration between fast-ion distribution and ICRF wave field. For this case, wave fields appear to rapidly converge after two iterations. This supports the validity of the proposed iteration scheme.

In Fig. 9, the evolution of fast-ion distribution, \( f(E, p) \) where \( p \) is the particle pitch, is plotted at three consecutive time slices during the 160 ms computation time interval after the ICRF wave turns on at \( t=20 \) ms [Fig. 9(b)], \( t=70 \) ms [Fig. 9(c)], and \( t=160 \) ms [Fig. 9(d)]. Figure 9(a) is the initial beam distribution before ICRF turns on. It shows that fast ion tails are continuously being accelerated above beam injection energy (80 keV) due to the ICRF heating.

In Figs. 10 and 11, fast-ion tail spectra and spatial profile computed from ORBIT-RF/AORSA are compared to those measured from FIDA and computed from QL3D/GENRAY. The FIDA signal is integrated over particular wavelengths that are related to fast-ion energies with a response function to take into account an effective averaging over phase-space, specific viewing and beam geometry and recombination rate of fast ions. Fast ion distribution functions simulated from
ORBIT-RF/AORSA and CQL3D/GENRAY are used as inputs to the FIDA simulation code to include a response function in simulations for more quantitative comparison. Three curves for fast-ion spectra in Fig. 10 are obtained at major radii that indicate peaks of fast-ion density in each case. Both ORBIT-RF/AORSA and CQL3D/GENRAY predict fast-ion tail spectra qualitatively consistent with FIDA. As a quantitative measure of fast-ion acceleration due to the ICRF heating, the neutron enhancement factor $S_n$ is calculated using the ratio of neutron reaction rates between NB only (no ICRF) and NB coupled with the ICRF wave, given by

$$S_n = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{nc} \langle \sigma v \rangle w_i \right)^{\text{NB+RF}} / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{nc} \langle \sigma v \rangle w_i \right)^{\text{NB only}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

where $nc$ is the number of Monte Carlo test ions, $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ is the reaction rate for beam-plasma, and $w_i$ is the weighting of each fast-ion. $S_n$ is computed as $\sim 2.1$ from ORBIT-RF/AORSA, which is slightly smaller than experimental number measured from the neutron detector, 2.4. This is likely due to that the neutron rate keeps increasing in experiment [Fig. 2(c)] until 200 ms, whereas the simulation is done for 160 ms. Therefore, for a more quantitative comparison to the measured data from stationary state, simulation should be extended to a longer time scale.

Figure 11 compares fast-ion spatial profiles from the theory and the experiment. As discussed earlier, the ICRF wave field is updated after fast-ion is evolved for 80 ms. Two ORBIT-RF/AORSA results are shown at 80 and 160 ms evolution. As shown in Fig. 9, fast-ion distribution is continuously evolving during 160 ms, which is expected from increasing neutron rates from experiment until 200 ms [Fig. 2(c)]. The fast-ion distribution computed for 160 ms reproduces outward spatial shift of ICRF heated fast-ion qualitatively consistent with FIDA measurement, whereas CQL3D/GENRAY predicts more peaked radial profile near magnetic axis near primary fifth harmonic resonance layer. A noted discrepancy is that fast ions computed from ORBIT-RF/AORSA indicate more outward radial shift than the peak observed from FIDA.

B. NSTX discharge 128739

Among the three identical ICRF shots shown in Fig. 3, the discharge 128739 is simulated in this work. ORBIT-RF is run for about 50 ms with the ICRF wave fields computed from AORSA. No iteration is done on this preliminary work. ICRF heated fast-ion tail spectra and spatial profile computed from ORBIT-RF/AORSA are compared to those measured from FIDA and computed from CQL3D/GENRAY in Figs. 12 and 13. Similarly, three curves for fast-ion spectra in

FIG. 10. (Color online) Fast-ion spectra from FIDA (signal $\times$ with error bar), CQL3D/GENRAY (dashed line) and ORBIT-RF/AORSA (solid line) for DIII-D 122993 fifth harmonic ICRF heating discharge. Here, $E_\perp$ is the component of fast-ion velocity along vertical collection lens.

FIG. 11. (Color online) Fast-ion spatial profiles from FIDA (signal $\times$ with error bar), CQL3D/GENRAY (solid with $\blacktriangle$), ORBIT-RF/AORSA (dashed line with $\bullet$ for 80 ms simulation and solid line with $\bullet$ for 160 ms simulation) for DIII-D 5th harmonic ICRF heating discharge 122793. Here, vertical dotted lines are fifth and sixth harmonic resonance layers of injected deuterium beam ions.

FIG. 12. (Color online) Fast-ion spectra from FIDA (signal $\times$ with error bar), CQL3D/GENRAY (dashed line) and ORBIT-RF/AORSA (solid line) for NSTX 128739 high harmonic ICRF heating discharge. Here, $E_\perp$ is the component of fast-ion velocity along vertical collection lens.
Fig. 13 shows that CQL3D/GENRAY computes a peak near magnetic axis, as expected, whereas ORBIT-RF/AORSA computes outward radial shift qualitatively consistent with FIDA. However, a similar discrepancy is found, showing more outward radial shift of fast ions than FIDA.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Previous numerical study with zero-orbit approximation of fast-ion motion could not fully explain experimental observations in DIII-D and NSTX high harmonic ICRF heating experiments. In particular, a peak in radial fast-ion density profile measured from FIDA spectroscopy indicated outward radial shift from primary resonance layer near magnetic axis, whereas zero-orbit theory predicts a peak near magnetic axis. To assess finite drift orbit effect on this discrepancy, the 5D finite-orbit Monte Carlo code ORBIT-RF is coupled with the 2D full-wave code AORSA in a self-consistent way under the RF SciDAC project.

Successful simulations of ORBIT-RF coupled by AORSA including QL and collisional orbit diffusion in both DIII-D and NSTX high harmonic ICRF heating experiments confirm that finite drift orbit effect on fast-ion motion and iterative simulation between fast-ion distribution and ICRF wave fields are important in modeling ICRF heating experiments. ORBIT-RF/AORSA simulations predict outward radial shift qualitatively consistent with FIDA in both DIII-D and NSTX, which cannot be reproduced by zero-orbit theory. This outward shift is due to radial diffusion of ICRF heated fast-ions across magnetic surfaces. As verified in Sec. II, finite drift orbit terms included in guiding center equations of fast-ion motion makes ICRF heated fast-ion move outward. As shown in Fig. 11, twice-iterated DIII-D simulation results between fast-ion distribution and ICRF wave fields produce more consistent results with FIDA measurements than once-iterated result. Computed neutron rate is in reasonable agreement with measurement from neutron detector, though slightly smaller than measurement. A noted discrepancy is that ORBIT-RF/AORSA computes further outward shift from magnetic axis than FIDA. Data measured by FIDA data is averaged over a fairly long time window to get better statistics for the steady-state discharge, whereas simulations are done for 160 ms. This suggests simulations should be extended for more quantitative comparison to FIDA data from stationary phase. Further investigation such as statistics and convergence study is underway to improve the difference in peak of fast-ion density. Similar extensive study is also underway to resolve the discrepancy in NSTX result.
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