UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Evaluation of Chinese Saccharomyces cerevisiae Wine Strains from Different Geographical Origins

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4fc029ft

Journal American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 68(1)

ISSN 0002-9254

Authors

Sun, Yue Qin, Yi Pei, Yingfang <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2017

DOI

10.5344/ajev.2016.16059

Peer reviewed

Evaluation of Chinese Saccharomyces cerevisiae wine strains from different
 geographical origins

3

4Yue Sun^{1, 2, +}, Yi Qin^{1, +}, Yingfang Pei¹, Guoping Wang¹, C.M. Lucy Joseph², Linda F 5Bisson^{2,*}, and Yanlin Liu^{1,*}, 6¹ College of Enology, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi, China 7² Department of Viticulture & Enology, University of California, Davis, One Shields 8Avenue, Davis, CA 95616 9 10^{*}Corresponding Author: 11Yanlin Liu 12College of Enology 13Northwest A&F University 1422 Xinong Road, Yangling, 712100, Shaanxi, China 15Email: <u>yanlinliu@nwsuaf.edu.cn</u> 16Tel/Fax: 0086-29-87092931 17 18Linda F Bisson 19Department of Viticulture and Enology, University of California, Davis, One Shields 20Ave, CA USA 95616 21Email: lfbisson@ucdavis.edu 22Tel: 530752-3835; fax: 530 752-0382 23 24

25Running Title: Chinese Saccharomyces wine strains

27Key words: wine; Saccharomyces cerevisiae; genetic diversity; interdelta sequence

28typing

29Abstract: Interdelta sequence typing was used to investigate the genetic diversity of 3054 Chinese indigenous wine strains of *S. cerevisiae* selected on the basis of 31preliminary sequence analysis from 349 strains that were isolated previously from 15 32spontaneous fermentations in Shanshan, Xinjiang and Qing Tongxia, Ningxia, China. 33Of the 54 strains tested 78% (42/54) were confirmed as genetically distinct. 34Dendrograms based on strain similarity revealed differences in the genetic 35 relationships of Xinjiang yeast populations between table and wine grape varieties in 36addition to differences between red and white grape varieties in Ningxia (Dice 37coefficients of 0.448 and 0.674, respectively). When data from Saccharomyces strains 38collected from California, France, Italy, Northern Europe, and Spain were included in 39the analysis, the dendrogram revealed 5 groups containing 51, 4, 48, 3, and 1 strain 40respectively. Ningxia and Xinjiang provinces displayed local specific *S. cerevisiae* 41biotas that show a clear separation from other strains. Cluster XJ19 isolated from 42Xinjiang displayed a high level of similarity with UCD587, UCD2515, and UCD2516 43from California. Clusters XJ2, XJ7, XJ20, and XJ3 also isolated from Xinjiang had a 44 lower degree of similarity with other Chinese indigenous genotypes and strains from 45other regions. This study compares, for the first time, the genetic diversity and 46 relationships between indigenous S. cerevisiae wine strains collected from Xinjiang 47and Ningxia provinces in China with wine strains from different geographic regions.

Introduction

Saccharomyces cerevisiae is an important experimental model organism in 50addition to its commercial significance as the predominant yeast species during wine 51fermentation. Modern strains of *S. cerevisiae* are thought to have arisen in Asia given 52the diversity of strains and reproductive isolation observed in a study of *S. cerevisiae* 53isolates from human-associated and non-human-associated environments in China 54(Wang et al. 2012, Liti 2015). Distinct linages were observed for isolates from 55primeval and secondary forests (Wang et al. 2015). However this study considered 56few isolates from wine environments and found fewer isolates of *S. cerevisiae* from 57fruit sources and more from tree bark, rotting wood and soil samples than from fruit 58samples. Of the fruits evaluated the fewest isolates were obtained from grapes (Wang 59et al. 2012). The authors concluded that grape and orchard isolates were similar to 60those of the wine European lineage. Our goal was to evaluate in greater depth the 61diversity of natural vineyard isolates from two wine regions in China.

Several studies have reported on the genetic diversity of *S. cerevisiae* strains in 63different wine-producing regions. These studies revealed that geographic region 64(Versavaud et al. 1995, Goddard et al. 2010), climatic conditions (Valero et al. 2007), 65vintage (Sabate et al. 1998, Mercado et al. 2007), grape varieties and must 66characteristics (Blanco et al. 2012), inoculation of starter yeasts (Santamaria et al. 672005), and SO₂ addition (Wang and Liu 2013) affected the diversity of *S. cerevisiae* 68observed. In many cases genetically distinct strains of *S. cerevisiae* were isolated from 69the same fermentation during wine fermentation (Mercado et al. 2007, Wang and Liu 702013). The diversity of *S. cerevisiae* strains present in fermentations has been shown 71to play an important role in the characteristics of the final product (Egli et al. 1998, 72Pérez-Cooello et al 1999). Numerous molecular methods have been developed to 73study the ecology and population dynamics of *S. cerevisiae* strains (reviewed in 74Querol and Ramon 1996, Fernández Espinar et al.2006). Interdelta sequencing typing 75uses the variation of the number and position of the delta element, a repeated 76sequence that flanks the Ty1/Ty2 retrotransposon (Ness et al. 1993), that allows 77interpreting strain similarities and evolutionary or adaptive distance (Legras and Kerst 782003, Liu et al. 2014).

A succession of different *S. cerevisiae* strains are established during native as well noculated fermentations that could have positive or negative effects on the course fermentation and wine quality (Mercado et al 2007,Wang and Liu 2013). Vezinhet net al. (1992) analyzed the evolution of *S. cerevisiae* strains isolated from spontaneous aftermentations during six consecutive years. These authors concluded that the wide addistribution of some strains in the studied areas and their presence over years, sconstitute evidence for the occurrence of specific indigenous strains representative of after enological region.

China is an important wine-producing country and while some studies have 88investigated indigenous yeast species and population dynamics during wine 89fermentation within local viticulture regions (Sun et al. 2009, Li et al. 2010, Li et al. 902011, Wang and Liu 2013, Sun et al. 2014); few studies (Wang and Liu 2013, Sun et 91al. 2014) have focused on the breadth of the diversity of *S. cerevisiae* wine genetic

92resources of China. A study of human- and non-human-associated strains of China 93found novel distinct lineages only distantly related to the wine strain linages (Wang et 94al. 2012). The genetic diversity and relatedness of indigenous wine *S. cerevisiae* 95resources have not been extensively compared with that of wine strains isolated from 96other geographic regions. Ningxia and Xinjiang provinces, where the strains in this 97study were isolated, are two of the oldest wine producing regions in China. Shanshan, 98Xinjiang in northwestern China belongs to a temperate continental climate, with an 99average temperature of 12°C. It is situated 92°22′E, 42°87′N with an average altitude 100of 3986 m. Qing Tongxia, Ningxia in north central China also belongs to a temperate 101zone (average temperature is 9°C) with an arid and semi-arid climate. It is situated 102105°21′ to 105°21′ E, 37°36′ to 38°15′N with an average altitude of 1118 m. A 103comparison of the genetic diversity of *S. cerevisiae* resources in different viticulture 104regions of China with isolates from other diverse geographical regions is of 105importance to the study of global *S. cerevisiae* ecology.

In the present study, interdelta sequence typing with improved primers (Legras 107and Karst 2003) was used as genetic marker for the distinction of *S. cerevisiae* strains. 108Dendrograms were constructed based on similarity among different patterns of bands 109and the genetic relationships of all strains were evaluated. The strains used in the 110study were either isolated from fermentations of different grape varieties in the 111Ningxia and Xinjiang Provinces in China or obtained from the Department of 112Viticulture and Enology Culture Collection at the University of California, Davis. The 113aims of the present work were to evaluate the genetic diversity and relatedness among 114*Saccharomyces* strains of different geographic origin, to establish a strain collection to 115preserve the *S. cerevisiae* genetic resources of China, and to identify strains useful for 116further development for commercial wine production in China.

117 Materials and Methods

Yeast strains. Fifty-four isolates collected from fifteen spontaneous 118 119fermentations of grapes grown in China and one commonly used commercial yeast, 120Lavin RC212, were used in this study and obtained from the collections of the 121College of Enology, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, China. This set of 122strains was selected on the basis of interdelta sequence profiles from a total of 349 123isolates collected from fifteen spontaneous fermentations of grapes grown in 124Shanshan, Xinjiang and Qing Tongxia, Ningxia. Fifty-nine yeast colonies were 125isolated from six spontaneous fermentations of different commonly used grape 126varieties: Red Globe, Small-berry Thompson Seedless, Big-berry Thompson Seedless, 127Merlot, Mixed red (Cabernet Gernischt, Cabernet Franc, and Cabernet Sauvignon) 128and Mixed white (Small-berry Thompson Seedless, and Big-berry Thompson 129Seedless) in Xinjiang. Two hundred ninety isolates were obtained from nine 130spontaneous fermentations of the grape varieties Cabernet Gernischt, Cabernet 131Sauvignon, Cinsault, Merlot, Pinot Noir, Riesling, Sauvignon Blanc, Semillon, and 132Yan73 in Ningxia (Pei 2009). The grape must fermentations were allowed to proceed 133spontaneously at 25~28°C for 7~11 days until dry. Fermentations were sampled at 134early, mid and the final stage of fermentation, and serial ten-fold dilutions were 135inoculated onto WLN (Pallmann et al. 2001) and incubated for five days at 28°C.

136These yeasts were differentiated and classified according to colony morphology and 137color. *S. cerevisiae* isolates were purified and then maintained in 20% (v/v) glycerol at 138-80 °C until further analysis, resulting in the selected set of 349 isolates for the 139interdelta sequence analysis. The composition of the different grape musts is reported 140in Supplemental Table 1 for Ningxia and in Supplemental Table 2 for the 141fermentations from Xinjiang.

The fifty-four yeast strains selected from this larger population of isolates 143represented the major strain clusters of interdelta sequence profiles identified in the 144earlier preliminary study. The origins of the 54 isolates used in this study are shown in 145Table 1. Identification of *S. cerevisiae* was confirmed by PCR-RFLP of the 5.8S-ITS 146rDNA using restriction enzymes *Hae*III, *Hpa*II, and *Scr*FI as described by Li et al. 147(2012). Strains were maintained in frozen stocks (glycerol, 20% v/v) at -80°C before 148use. Note that a similar strain numbering system was independently used by Wang et 149al. (2012) in their study but the strains are unrelated. We retained our numbering 150system since that is the designation given to the strains in the Northwest A&F 151University strain collection.

Other strains were obtained from the Wine Yeast and Bacteria Collection of the 153Department of Viticulture and Enology at the University of California, Davis. The 154data from all fifty-two *Saccharomyces* isolates listed in Table 1 of Liu et al. (2014) 155were included in this study as the method of interdelta sequence analysis was 156identical. These yeast strains were collected from California, France, Italy, Northern 157Europe, and Spain (Liu et al. 2014).

158 **DNA extraction.** DNA from *S. cerevisiae* in the collection at the Northwest A&F

159University was extracted as described by Zhou et al. (2004).

Interdelta sequence typing. PCR amplification of the interdelta sequence was 160 161carried out using primers δ12 (5'-TCAACAATGGAATCCCAAC-3') and δ21 (5'-162CATCTTAACACCGTATATGA-3') (Legras and Karst 2003). Amplification reactions 163and the DNA fragment separations were performed according to Liu et al. (2014). In 164brief, PCR amplifications were performed in a 25 µL reaction volume containing 2.5 165µL of 10× PCR buffer, 2.5 µL 25 mM MgCL₂, 2.0 µL 2.5 mM dNTPs, 1.25 µL of 10 166μmole/L of each primer, 0.4 μL of 5 U Taq DNA polymerase, 30-100 μL of template 167DNA (amount varied dependent upon DNA quantification value of the sample) and 168double distilled H_2O to make the volume up to 25 µL. The same volume of DNA 169sample (1µL) was added to each lane. PCR and interdelta typing analysis was 170performed multiple times (3 to 6 replicates) for each isolate in order to obtain a 171replicated stable interdelta pattern prior to analysis. Band positions were determined 172by reference to a standard DNA Ladder (100 bp Plus DNA Ladder (Fermentas)) to 173enable comparisons across gels. PCR reactions were repeated in cases where a low 174DNA content was evident on the gel.

175 **Cluster analysis of the strains.** The interdelta sequence patterns obtained after 176gel electrophoresis were used for the construction of a presence/absence matrix, 177taking into account the total number of different bands observed. The interdelta 178sequence patterns were obtained following electrophoresis. All visible bands were 179assigned a number based upon relative position to the DNA ladder. Each position was 180then assigned a "0" or a"1" to indicate absence or presence of the band, respectively.

181The 0/1 matrix was then used to generate the dendrograms. Similarities based on the 182Dice coefficient were calculated and UPGMA clustering was obtained using NTSYS 183software (Mercado et al. 2010).

The comparative cluster analysis of different strains integrates banding pattern 185data from two separate experiments. The data were combined in a single matrix. 186Although banding patterns differed there were some band positions in common in 187some strains across the two studies. The reagents used in the two studies were 188identical; however the PCR thermal cycler was different, a GeneAmp PCR System 1892700 was used in this study.

190

Results

Interdelta sequencing typing of *S. cerevisiae* **isolates** *in* **Xinjiang and Ningxia,** 192**China.** In a previous study 349 strains isolated from different native fermentations in 193China were screened by interdelta sequence typing. The number of strains tested in 194this analysis did not allow definitive comparisons of highly similar strains as each 195strain was only run once. However based on this preliminary analysis 54 different 196banding patterns were identified. These 54 strains were studied in more detail under 197conditions enabling conclusive comparisons of DNA band profile. Samples of the 198strains were run on gels multiple times and band positions calculated in comparison to 199the DNA ladder on each gel. Strains were run on different gels and yielded identical 200patterns on the replicate gels. This method generated stable replicate banding patterns 201for each isolate. The replicated interdelta sequence typing methodology enabled clear 202differentiation of forty-two distinct *S. cerevisiae* genotypes among the 54 *S*. 203*cerevisiae* isolates of the first study. Twenty-one strains of *S. cerevisiae* isolated from 204Xinjiang revealed eighteen different patterns (Figure 1). The analysis of thirty-three *S.* 205*cerevisiae* isolates from Ningxia revealed the existence of twenty-four distinct profiles 206(Figure 2) in other words, 24 differentiated strains. The results also showed that the 207interdelta profile of genotype NX10 was identical to that of commercial yeast Lalvin 208RC212, which has been used in this region as a commercial starter strain. In general, 209the Xinjiang and Ningxia regions evaluated in this study exhibited different *S.* 210*cerevisiae* populations. There were no identical strains between the regions. In 211addition, comparison with the forty-four interdelta genetic patterns found among the 212fifty-two *Saccharomyces* strains from Liu et al. (2014) revealed that no identical 213strains were present between the studies.

Genetic relationships among the strains from Xinjiang and Ningxia. The PCR 215amplification profiles obtained by interdelta sequence typing were used to obtain 216independent presence-absence matrices for *S. cerevisiae* isolates from Xinjiang and 217Ningxia, China. The dendrograms deduced by interdelta sequence typing are 218presented in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The dendrograms demonstrated that native 219wine fermentations were conducted by a mixture of *S. cerevisiae* strains. In general a 220minimum of two interdelta sequence profiles could be detected during each of the 221spontaneous fermentations with the exception of the fermentation of Big-berry 222Thompson Seedless. Some strains showed highly similar but not identical banding 223patterns and are thought to represent genetically related strains. Six clusters of two 224isolates each, cluster XJ4 and XJ5, XJ12 and XJ15, XJ2 and XJ7 (Figure 3); NX2 and

225NX3, NX29 and NX33, and NX9 and NX32 (Figure 4) showed highly conserved 226interdelta sequence patterns indicating that they are likely genetically distinct 227derivatives of the same strain. Two clusters of four isolates, cluster NX1, NX4, NX5, 228and NX8; cluster NX17, NX30, NX31, and NX26 also displayed conserved interdelta 229sequencing patterns (Figure 4) again suggesting a genetic relationship among the sets 230of strains. The S. cerevisiae isolates from Xinjiang that clustered together were from 231the same grape variety, but, in contrast, the isolates from Ningxia that clustered 232together were from more than two different grape varieties. NX10 from a native 233Riesling fermentation was indistinguishable from the commercial strain RC212. A difference was observed in the genetic relationships of *S. cerevisiae* among 234 235strains isolated from table and wine grape varieties planted in Xinjiang (Figure 3). 236Both table and wine grapes are used for wine production in Xinjiang. Four groups 237containing nine, eight, three, and one isolate, respectively, were distinguished with a 238Dice coefficient of 0.448. All nine isolates in group I and all the 3 isolates in group III 239were obtained from the table grape varieties Red Globe, Small-berry Thompson 240Seedless, Big-berry Thompson Seedless, and Mixed white (Small-berry Thompson 241Seedless and Big-berry Thompson Seedless). Group II had seven isolates from wine 242grape varieties of Merlot and Mixed red (Cabernet Gernischt, Cabernet Franc, and 243Cabernet Sauvignon), and one isolate from the table grape variety Red Globe. Group 244IV only included one genotype, XJ3, also from Red Globe (Figure 3). Thus the 245 majority of isolates from the four different table grape fermentations were genetically 246similar in banding pattern and clustered together. In addition, a difference was

247observed in the genetic relationships of *S. cerevisiae* among strains isolated from red 248and white wine grape varieties planted in Ningxia (Figure 4). Five groups are 249indicated in Figure 4, when the Dice coefficient is 0.674. Groups I, II, and III 250consisted of isolates from more than three grapes varieties, while group V only 251included isolates from Pinot Noir. Group IV included only 1 isolate, NX20, from 252Cabernet Sauvignon. Most of the *S. cerevisiae* isolates included in group I were 253isolated from red grape varieties (8 of the 13 isolates in group I), while isolates in 254group III were mainly from white grape varieties (9 of the 12 isolates in group III). 255All the isolates in group II were from the red grape varieties of Cabernet Sauvignon, 256Merlot, and Cinsault (Figure 4).

Genetic relationships among the strains from different countries. Genetic 258relatedness was also evaluated by constructing a dendrogram compiled from all 259interdelta sequence patterns in this study and Liu et al. (2014) (Figure 5). Differences 260were observed in the genetic relationships between the Chinese strains and the strains 261from the UC Davis collection. The similarity of strains was correlated with their 262geographical regions of origin: Ningxia strains were closer to the Xinjiang strains, 263while a clear separation between the indigenous Chinese and the UC Davis strains 264was observed. The dendrogram produced by interdelta sequence typing revealed five 265groups containing fifty-one, four, forty-eight, three, and one isolate each when the 266Dice coefficient is 0.418 (Figure 5). The largest group, group I, contained fifty 267isolates from China and one from California (UCD2211, *S. servazzii*). There is a 268difference observed in the genetic relatedness between Xinjiang and Ningxia 269indigenous *S. cerevisiae* strains. Group I could be further divided into five subgroups, 270I-1 to I-5, when the Dice coefficient is 0.506. Most of the *S. cerevisiae* isolates 271included in subgroups I-1 (5 of 6) and I-5 (6 of 7) as well as all three in I-4 were 272isolated from Xinjiang, while isolates in I-3 (29 of 31) and I-2 (3 of 3) were mainly 273from Ningxia (Figure 3). Group II displayed a high similarity with XJ19 (Xinjiang), 274UCD587 (a winery isolate), UCD2515 and UCD2516 (both are lab strains known to 275be related to each other). Forty-eight out of fifty-two *Saccharomyces* isolates from the 276UC Davis collection were clustered in group III. XJ2, XJ7, and XJ20 were clustered 277in group IV. Group V consisted only of XJ3. Clusters IV and V containing XJ2, XJ7, 278XJ20, and XJ3 had a low degree of similarity with other Chinese indigenous 279genotypes and UC Davis collection strains (Figure 5). In addition, this dendrogram 280showed that identical isolates were found only in the same geographic regions with 281the exception of UCD522 (commercial yeast) and UCD514 (origin in Spain).

282

Discussion

Understanding the genetic diversity of *S. cerevisiae* strains from different 284geographical origins can make an important contribution towards delineating the 285genetic distance of these strains as well as providing genetic material for further strain 286development. The genetic diversity of indigenous *S. cerevisiae* was investigated 287during the spontaneous fermentations of grape must in Xinjiang and Ningxia, China. 288Eighteen distinct interdelta profiles were found in Xinjiang, and twenty-four in 289Ningxia. Forty-two different *S. cerevisiae* strains were distinguished out of a total of 290three hundred and forty nine isolates analyzed. All forty-two of these isolates were 291unique when compared to a set of strains from other major international wine 292producing regions (Dendrogram 3 groups I, IV, V).

Lavin RC212, showing the same interdelta sequence patterns as genotype NX10 294isolated from Ningxia was detected during the spontaneous fermentations in this 295study. Similar to other studies, commercial yeasts were detected in fermentations 296without inoculation (Santamaria et al. 2005, Mercado et al. 2007, Sun et al. 2014). In 297this study, the detection of Lavin RC212 colonizing a spontaneous fermentation could 298be explained by the winery practice of dumping grape skins on the road for drying. 299Valero et al. (2005) analyzed the dissemination and survival of commercial wine yeast 300in the vineyards near wineries and they suggested that the dispersion of commercial 301strains is mainly mediated by water run-off and derived from macerated grape skin at 302dumping sites. Drying the grape skins on the roads for further processing is a normal 303practice at the Imperial Horse Winery, Qing Tongxia, Ningxia, China, where the 304spontaneous fermentations were conducted. It is understandable that this practice 305could have contributed to the dissemination and survival of Lavin RC212 in the 306vineyards and wineries, and its occurrence in spontaneous fermentations.

In this study, comparison between eighteen and twenty-four different *S*.
308*cerevisiae* patterns by interdelta sequence typing revealed that yeast strains from
309Xinjiang and Ningxia did not share the same interdelta profiles. The same
310observations made in the Western Cape, South Africa (Khan et al. 2000) showed that
311different *S. cerevisiae* strains were present at different regions in the different climate
312zones. In addition, the differences could be attributed to the fact that the grape

313varieties studied were different in these two regions: table and wine grape varieties in 314Xinjiang vs. wine grape varieties in Ningxia. This result agrees with a previous study 315that demonstrated the impact of grape variety on yeast diversity (Mercado et al. 2011). 316Further, this study suggests that these two winemaking regions are biologically 317isolated from each other.

Geographic location and ecological niches are both thought to play a significant 318 319role in Saccharomyces strain diversity (Bisson 2012). In comparison with strains 320isolated from other winemaking regions, the Ningxia and Xinjiang strains showed a 321high degree of similarity. This suggests that the indigenous Chinese strains are distinct 322from European and new world lineages. Nearly identical strains were only found in 323the wine samples collected in the same viticulture region with the exception of 324UCD522 (commercial yeast) and UCD514 (origin in Spain). These results are in 325agreement with previous studies on geographically close regions (Versavaud et al. 3261995) and widely distant geographic regions (Goddard et al. 2010). According to 327Ezeronye and Legras (2009), who studied the genetics of *S. cerevisiae* strains isolated 328 from palm wine in eastern Nigeria, geographic and/or ecological isolation results in a 329specific population of *S. cerevisiae*. These analyses have led to the conclusion that 330geographic location plays a significant role in genetic divergence. Strain XJ19 331displayed a high similarity with UCD587 (a California must isolate), UCD2515 332(YPH500) and UCD2516 (BY4743) (two genetically related lab strains with 333California origins (Mortimer and Johnston 1996). The relatedness of these four strains 334may imply a common origin or a commonality of evolutionary forces in the wild.

Interestingly as a group the Chinese wine strains appear to show greater overall Interestingly as a group the Chinese wine strains appear to show greater overall Interestingly as compared to the wine isolates from the rest of the world. This is Interestingly as compared to the wine isolates from the rest of the world. This is Interestingly as compared to the Wine European lineage previously described Interesting the approximation of overall greater diversity of natural *S*. Interesting isolates from China as compared to other regions (Liti 2015). The greater Interesting diversity of isolates of *S. cerevisiae* from China many represent an untapped Interesting the strain improvement and breeding programs. Knowledge about Interesting the strains can also help preserve and employ the most representative Interesting from a wine region (Tristezza et al. 2014).

344

Conclusion

This study investigated the genetic diversity of *S. cerevisiae* in Ningxia and 346Xinjiang, China, which has not previously been examined. The results of this study 347showed that different *S. cerevisiae* strains were associated with different viticulture 348regions in China. In addition, the results demonstrated that a commercial yeast was 349detected in spontaneous fermentations at one winery. Ningxia and Xinjiang are two of 350the best known viticulture regions in China; therefore, the preservation of biodiversity 351and genetic resources of indigenous yeasts is very important in these regions.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the National Natural Science 354Fund Program (31271917) and also funded by the China Agriculture Research System 355(CARS-30-jg-03) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities 356(Z109021201).

Literature Cited 358 359Bisson LF 2012. Geographic origin and diversity of wine strains of Saccharomyces. Am J Enol Vitic 63:165-176. 360 361Blanco P, Mirás-Avalos JM and Orriols I. 2012. Effect of must characteristics on the 362 diversity of *Saccharomyces* strains and their prevalence in spontaneous 363 fermentations. J Appl Microbiol 112:936-944. 364Egli CM, Edinger WD, Mitrakul CM and Henick-Kling T. 1998. Dynamics of 365 indigenous and inoculated yeast populations and their effect on the sensory 366 character of Riesling and Chardonnay wines. J Appl Microbiol 85:779-789. 367Ezeronye OU and Legras JL. 2009. Genetic analysis of Saccharomyces cerevisiae 368 strains from palm wine in eastern Nigeria. Comparison with other African strains. J Appl Microbiol 106:1569-78. 369 370Fernández Espinar MT, Martorell P, de Llanos R and Querol A. 2006. Molecular 371 methods to identify and characterize yeasts in food and beverages. *In* Yeasts in Food and Beverages. A Querol and GH Fleet (eds), pp 55-82. Springer-Verlag, 372 373 Berlin. 374Goddard MR, Anfang N, Tang R, Gardner RC and Jun C. 2010. A distinct population 375 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in New Zealand: evidence for local dispersal by 376 insects and human aided global dispersal in oak barrels. Environ Microbiol 377 12:63-73. 378Khan W, Augustyn OPH, van der Westhuizen TJ, Lambrechts MG and Pretorius IS. 379 2000. Geographic distribution and evaluation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains 380 isolated from vineyards in the warmer, inland regions of the Western Cape in South Africa. S Afr J Enol Vitic 21:17-31. 381

357

382Legras JL and Karst F. 2003. Optimisation of interdelta analysis for Saccharomyces

cerevisiae strain characterization. FEMS Microbiol Lett 221:249-255.

384Li SS, Cheng C, Li Z, Chen JY, Yan B, Han BZ and Reeves M. 2010. Yeast species

associated with wine grapes in China. Int J Food Microbiol 138:85-90.

386Li EH, Liu AG, Xue B and Liu YL. 2011. Yeast species associated with spontaneous

387 wine fermentation of Cabernet Sauvignon from Ningxia, China. World J

388 Microbiol Biotechnol 27:2475-2482.

389Li EH, Liu CH and Liu YL. 2012. Evaluation of yeast diversity during wine

fermentations with direct inoculation and *pied de cuve* method at an industrial

scale. J Microbiol Biotechnol 22:960-966.

392Liti G 2015. The fascinating and secret wild life of the budding yeast *S. cerevisaie*.

eLIFE 4:e05835. doi 10.7554/eLife.05835.

394Liu YL, Wang CX, Joseph CML and Bisson LF. 2014. Comparison of two PCR-

based genetic fingerprinting methods for assessment of genetic diversity in

396 *Saccharomyces* strains. Am J Enol Vitic 65:109-116.

397Mercado L, Dalcero A, Masuelli R, and Combina M. 2007. Diversity of

398 *Saccharomyces* strains on grapes and winery surfaces: Analysis of their

399 contribution to fermentative flora of Malbec wine from Mendoza (Argentina)

400 during two consecutive years. Food Microbiol 24:403-412.

401Mercado L, Jubany S, Gaggero C, Masuelli RW and Combina M. 2010. Molecular

402 relationships between *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains involved in winemaking

403 from Mendoza, Argentina. Curr Microbiol 61:506-14.

404Mercado L, Sturm ME, Rojo MC, Ciklic I, Martínez C and Combina M. 2011.

405 Biodiversity of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* populations in Malbec vineyards from

406 the "Zona Alta del Río Mendoza" region in Argentina. Int J Food Microbiol

407 151:319-326.

408Mortimer RK, and Johnston JR. 1986. Genealogy of principal strains of the yeast409 genetic stock center. Genetics 113:35-43.

410Ness F, Lavallée F, Dubourdieu D, Aigle M, and Dulau L. 1993. Identification of

411 yeast strains using the polymerase chain reaction. J Sci Food Agric 62:89-94.
412Pallmann CL, Brown JA, Olineka TL, Cocolin L, Mills DA, and Bisson LF. 2001.
413Use of WL medium to profile native flora fermentations. Am J Enol Vitic 53:198-203.
414Pei YF 2009. Genetic diversity of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains during the

spontaneous fermentations of wine from XinJiang and NingXia regions. Thesis,
Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi.

417Pérez-Coello MS, Briones Pérez AI, Ubeda Iranzo JF and Martin Alvarez PJ. 1999.

418 Characteristics of wines fermented with different *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

strains isolated from the La Mancha region. Food Microbiol 16:563-573.

420Querol A and Ramon D. 1996. The application of molecular techniques in wine

421 microbiology. Trends Food Sci Technol 7:73-78.

422Sabate J, Cano J, Querol A and Guillamon JM. 1998. Diversity of *Saccharomyces*strains in wine fermentations: Analysis for two consecutive years. Lett Appl
Microbiol 26: 452-455.

425Santamaría P, Garijo P, López R, Tenorio C and Gutiérrez AR. 2005. Analysis of
426 yeast population during spontaneous alcoholic fermentation: effect of the age of
427 the cellar and the practice of inoculation. Int J Food Microbiol 103:49-56.
428Sun HH, Ma HQ, Hao ML, Pretorius IS and Chen SW, 2009. Identification of yeast
429 population dynamics of spontaneous fermentation in Beijing wine region, China.
430 Ann Microbiol 59:69-76.

431Sun Y, Guo JJ, Liu FB and Liu YL. 2014. Identification of indigenous yeast flora

432 isolated from the five winegrape varieties harvested in Xiangning, China. Antonie433 van Leeuwenhoek 105:533-540.

434Tristezza M, Fantastico L, Vetrano C, Corallo D, Grieco F, Mita G and Grieco F.

435 2014. Molecular and technological characterization of *Saccharomyces*

436 *cerevisiae* strains isolated from natural fermentation of Susumaniello grape must

437 in Apulia, Southern Italy. Int J Food Microbiol doi:10.1155/2014/897428.

438Valero E, Schuller D, Cambon B, Casal M and Dequin S. 2005. Dissemination and

survival of commercial wine yeast in the vineyard: a large-scale, three yearsstudy. FEMS Yeast Res 5:959-969.

441Valero E, Cambona B, Schullerc D, Casal M and Dequin S. 2007. Biodiversity of

442 *Saccharomyces* yeast strains from grape berries of wine-producing areas using

starters commercial yeasts. FEMS Yeast Res 7:317-29.

444Versavaud A, Courcoux P, Rouliand C, Dulau L and Hallet JN. 1995. Genetic

diversity and geographical distribution of wild *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains

446 from the wine producing area of charentes france. Appl Environ Microbiol

447 61:3521-3529.

448Vezinhet F, Hallet JN, Valade M and Poulard A. 1992. Ecological survey of wine
yeast strains by molecular methods of identification. Am J Enol Vitic 43:83-86.
450Wang Q-M, Liu W-Q, Liti G, WangS-A and Bai F-Y. 2012. Surprisingly diverged

451 populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in natural environments remote from
452 human activity. Molec Ecol 21:5404-5417.

453Wang CX, Liu YL. 2013. Dynamic study of yeast species and Saccharomyces

454 *cerevisiae* strains during the spontaneous fermentations of Muscat blanc in

455 Jingyang, China. Food Microbiol 33:172-177.

456Zhou XL, Shen W, Rao ZM, Wang ZX and Zhu GJ. 2004. A rapid method for

457 preparation of fungal chromosome DNA. Microbiol China 31:89-92.

460Figure 1: Interdelta sequence profiles of the 21 genetically distinct *S. cerevisiae*461isolates in Xinjiang, China. M: 100bp Plus DNA Ladder; 1 XJ1, 2: XJ2, 3: XJ3, 4:
462XJ4, 5: XJ5, 6: XJ6, 7: XJ7, 8: XJ8, 9: XJ9, 10: XJ10, 11: XJ11, 12: XJ12, 13: XJ13,
46314: XJ14, 15: XJ15, 16: XJ16, 17: XJ17, 18: XJ18, 19 XJ19, 20: XJ20, 21: XJ21.
464

465Figure 2: Interdelta sequence profiles of the 33 genetically distinct *S. cerevisiae*466isolates in Ningxia, China. M: 100bp Plus DNA Ladder; 1: NX1, 2: NX2, 3: NX3, 4:
467NX4, 5: NX5, 6: NX6, 7: NX7, 8: NX8, 9: NX9, 10: NX10, 11: NX11, 12: NX12, 13:
468NX13, 14: NX14, 15: NX15, 16: NX16, 17: NX17, 18: NX18, 19: NX19, 20: NX20,
46921: NX21, 22: NX22, 23: RC212, Commercial *S. cerevisiae* strain RC212, 24: NX23,
470i25: NX24, 26: NX25, 27: NX26, 28: NX27, 29: NX28, 30: NX29, 31: NX30, 32:
471NX31, 33: NX32, 34: NX33.

Figure 3: Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic mean (UPGMA)
474dendrogram showing genetic relatedness of the *S. cerevisiae* isolates obtained from
475Xinjiang, China. Four distinct groupings of strains were evident with a Dice
476coefficient of 0.448. The black line represents the Dice coefficient and groups are
477designated by use of Roman numerals.

Figure 4: UPGMA dendrogram showing genetic relatedness of the *S. cerevisiae*480isolates obtained from Ningxia, China. Five distinct groupings of strains were evident

481with a Dice coefficient of 0.674. The black line represents the Dice coefficient and 482groups are designated by use of Roman numerals.

484**Figure 5:** UPGMA dendrogram generated by cluster analysis of interdelta region 485profiles obtained of *Saccharomyces* from our culture collection and Department of 486Viticulture and Enology Culture Collection in University of California, Davis. Five 487distinct groupings of strains were evident with a Dice coefficient of 0.418. Two of 488these groups were large: group I (51 isolates) and group III (48 isolates). Using a 489higher Dice coefficient (0.506), the two larger groups can be differentiated in to 490subgroups. The black line represents the Dice coefficient and groups are designated 491by use of Roman numerals. The subgroups are designated by use of Arabic numerals.