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450-Mev/c K⁻ AND ̅p BEAMS
AT THE NORTHWEST TARGET AREA OF THE BEVATRON
SEPARATED BY THE COAXIAL VELOCITY SPECTROMETER
Nahmin Horwitz, Joseph J. Murray, Ron R. Ross, and Robert D. Tripp
June 1958
This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission to the extent that such employee or contractor prepares, handles or distributes, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission.
Enriched beams of 450-Mev/c K\(^{-}\) mesons and antiprotons have been produced by separation with the coaxial static electromagnetic velocity spectrometer. Characteristics of the final separated beams as observed in the 15-inch hydrogen bubble chamber are given together with a detailed description of the beam optics and apparatus.
The beams referred to are in fact the same beam, arising from a copper target in the Bevatron proton beam, with the coaxial spectrometer set to transmit either \( K^- \) or \( \bar{p} \). In Fig. 1 is a schematic diagram of the complete system. In Fig. 2 are shown the over-all characteristic curves of the spectrometer, with operating points indicated for this particular application. Table I lists values of the significant parameters of each of the other elements of the system.

Characteristics of the final separated beams, as observed in the 15-inch hydrogen bubble chamber with enough absorber to stop the desired particles in the chamber, are as follows (containment of the desired particles in the visible range interval presented by the chamber was essentially 100%):

- Momentum spread: \( \pm 2\% \)
- Beam size: 5 in. horizontal by 1\( \frac{1}{2} \) in. vertical at center of bubble chamber, 25 in. beyond final collimator (C\(_2\))
- Virtual source in horizontal plane: \( \frac{1}{2} \)-in. slit at final collimator
- Virtual source in vertical plane: at \( \infty \) with \( \pm 0.01 \) radian angular limits
- Dispersion: essentially no correlation between position and momentum
- Total background tracks per stopped \( K^- \): 65:1

---

2. The radial equation of motion in the spectrometer for particles coplanar with the spectrometer axis is

\[
d^2 \rho/dz^2 = F \left( \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} \right) = \left[ \frac{(E/\beta - H)}{\rho} \right] \left( \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} \right),
\]

where \( \rho \) is the radial coordinate, \( \rho \) is momentum, and \( E \) and \( H \) are the field intensities at the inner conductor radius, \( \rho_0 \). Thus we have \( F = (E/\beta - H)/\rho \), and at a certain value \( F_\pi \) the inner envelope of the trajectories of pions emerging from the spectrometer just excludes the exit aperture. That is, the value of \( F = F_\pi \) satisfies the criterion for rejection. At the same time \( F_\pi \) serves as a convenient unit to describe the spectrometer forces in any situation. Optimum transmission, for example, occurs for \( F/F_\pi \approx 0.2 \). The straight lines in Fig. 2 are the loci of values of \( E \) and \( H \) for \( F/F_\pi = 1 \) for pions at the various momenta indicated and for entrance- and exit-aperture diameters of 4 inches and 3 inches, respectively.
Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the system.
Fig. 2. Characteristics of a Coaxial Static Electromagnetic Velocity Spectrometer. Heavy portions of momentum contours are the useful operating regions for particles indicated. Rejected particles are pions. The appended numbers are ratios of the force constants of the selected particles and pions. This ratio determines the proper image distance for the entrance lens. A: 450-Mev/c $\bar{p}$; 112 kev; 2530 amp; $(F/F_\pi) = 1.0; (F/F_\pi)_{-\pi} = 0.1; 3.5$-inch diam. entrance and exit apertures. B: 450-Mev/c $K$; 250 kev; 3700 amp; $(F/F_\pi) = 0.88; (F/F_\pi)_{K} = 0.17; 3.5$-inch diam entrance and exit apertures.
### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Currents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target</strong></td>
<td>Copper, $3\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}$ inches</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flipped azimuthally</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radius $597\frac{1}{2}$ inches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Azimuth $72^\circ 29'$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Momentum $450$ Mev/c at $1$ pip $33 + 5$ msec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target angle $\approx 0^\circ$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bevatron exit window</strong></td>
<td>0.008-inch aluminum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helium bag</strong></td>
<td>0.00025-inch polyethylene. Ends, 40&quot; long in M1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M1</strong></td>
<td>General Electric C Magnet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pole tips MK I (see Magnet Testing Book 294, Joe Dorst)</td>
<td>870 amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pole tips MK II (see Engr. Note 7910-55 MT-2, Apr. 18, 1958, L. G. Ratner)</td>
<td>694 amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Q1</strong></td>
<td>Single 4 in. -diam quadrupole</td>
<td>With MK I pole tips in M1: 30 amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical plane: defocusing</td>
<td>With MK II pole tips in M1: 40 amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Horizontal plane: focusing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filled with brass collimator 1 foot long, 3.5 in. inside diameter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spectrometer entrance window</strong></td>
<td>0.005-inch aluminum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spectrometer</strong></td>
<td>See Fig. 2 for operating points</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See UCRL-3492 for general description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See Engr. Note 7307-01 M23, Feb. 27, 1958, R. A. Kilpatrick for design data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spectrometer exit window</strong></td>
<td>0.020-inch aluminum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table I (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>Brass collimator, 1 foot thick, 3.5-inch inside diam, 9 × 9 inches outside dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Two-element 4-in.-diam quadrupole 8-in.-long sections spaced 7.5 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical plane: focusing - defocusing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Horizontal plane: defocusing - focusing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section near spectrometer: 210 amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section near bubble chamber: 380 amp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Copper collimator with uranium slit jaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevation in beam direction:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Center located 21(\frac{1}{2}) in. beyond end of pole tip of final section of Q2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Absorber                  | For stopping K⁻ in bubble chamber: 1.62 in. Cu, 35 g/cm²                                  |
|                          | For stopping \(\bar{p}\) in bubble chamber: 1/16 in. Cu                                  |
Table I (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bubble-chamber window</th>
<th>1/4 in.-stainless steel, 4.9 g/cm$^2$ Cu equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Stopped K⁻ per $10^{10}$ protons on target \[1:4\]
Total background tracks per stopped $\bar{p}$ \[4000:1\]
Stopped $\bar{p}$ per $10^{10}$ protons on target \[1:250\]
Background constitution: \(~85\% \mu \text{ (and e?), ~}15\% \pi\)
Ratio of particle fluxes in chamber with separator off and on (definition of "rejection ratio") \[700:1\]

A momentum distribution of background tracks observed in the bubble chamber is shown in Fig. 3. If this distribution could be separated into muon and pion components, one would expect, on the basis of background calculations mentioned in an earlier report, \(^1\) to find two fairly well defined groups of muons at \(~450\) Mev/c and \(260\) Mev/c (in a ratio of approximately \(9:1\)) whereas the pion component would be broadly distributed over the full range of momenta.

The pion content of the background was determined by comparison of the observed cross section of background particles for production of $\pi$-p interactions with a "known" $\pi$-p cross section. The result, \(15\%\), is consistent with the expected pion content, but is uncertain by a factor of about \(2\) because of the very uncertain momentum distribution of the background pions.

The nature and sources of the background, and the role of the rear-end optical system, which consists of Q2 and of the collimators C1 and C2 ahead of and following Q2, are as follows:

The transmitted beam arriving at collimator C1 (just ahead of Q2) forms a slightly elliptical, ring-shaped image, as indicated by the beam profiles in Fig. 4. It has an angular distribution largely contained between directions paraxial and divergent by \(0.01\) radian. (The spectrometer optics is such that a representation of its effect in terms of a virtual source for Q2 is not particularly useful.) In order to accept this beam, the final collimator C2 (following Q2) is adjusted to present an angular aperture ahead of Q2 of \(\pm 0.01\) radian.

The muon contamination at this same point has a fairly uniform angular distribution over a range much greater than \(0.01\) radian but including the paraxial direction. Hence some of the muon contamination is necessarily accepted, and the component having full momentum constitutes a minimum background reducible only by further velocity analysis.

When the spectrometer is operated in a condition corresponding ideally to complete rejection of pions (this means no possible pion trajectories that connect the entrance and exit apertures directly), the pion contamination consists only of (a) $\pi$ mesons scattered out of the collimator ahead of Q2, and (b) those scattered in from the outer conductor. With increasing rejection force (a) should decrease, (b) should increase, and (a) should be much more sensitive than (b). The pion background as a function of rejecting force should therefore exhibit a minimum, and at the minimum should consist mainly of $\pi$ mesons scattered in from the outer conductor. The observed background indeed has a minimum, which occurs at a rejecting force approximately equal to the ideal minimum value (see Figs. 5 and 6).
Fig. 3. Momentum distribution of background particles observed in bubble chamber with 35 g/cm² of Cu absorber ahead of bubble chamber. The high- and low-momentum μ components result from π-μ decays in which the μ is almost forward and almost backward, respectively, in the center-of-mass frame. Because of the limited angular acceptance of the rear-end optics only these distinct components are expected to appear in the background, and should occur in a ratio ~9:1 respectively. In addition the background contains an estimated 15% pion component broadly distributed in momentum.
Fig. 4. Vertical and horizontal beam profiles 3 feet beyond spectrometer (just ahead of collimator). $F/F_\pi = 0.2$. Total flux integrated over 3-in. -diam central area was $15 \times 10^3 \pi/10^{10}$ protons on target.
Fig. 5. Background flux vs $F/F_\pi$ taken directly behind 3-in. diam collimator ahead of Q2. $V = 240$ kv constant; $H$ varied.
Fig. 6. Background flux vs $F/F_\pi$ behind final collimator (1-in. vertical by 0.5-in. horizontal uranium-jawed slit). Collimator ahead of Q2 3.5 in. in diameter. Brass rod 0.75 in. in diam was installed on Q2 axis. $V = 250$ kv constant; H varied.
Most of the pions scattered from the outer conductor originate near the end of the spectrometer and arrive at Q2 with angles greater than 0.01 radian, that is, outside the acceptance aperture for full-momentum particles presented by the final collimator. Those originating before the end of the spectrometer may be deflected by outward forces in the spectrometer so as to arrive at Q2 with angles less than 0.01 radian and hence be accepted. Furthermore, the scattered pions in general have momenta less than maximum and some can, in spite of large entrance angles, arrive at the final aperture via anomalous trajectories in Q2. Since these anomalous trajectories cross or pass near the axis of Q2, they may be interrupted by an obstruction along the axis of Q2. Such an obstruction also favors transmission of desired particles over transmission of \( \mu \) background, since the former is minimum on axis, while the latter is essentially uniform. It was found that a coaxial 3/4-inch-diameter brass rod stopping 8 inches short of the end of Q2 increased the rejection ratio about 30%.

In general, most of the background (~95%) ahead of Q2 has angles greater than 0.01 radian. This is demonstrated by the fact that the spatial distribution of the background at the focus of Q2 exhibits a minimum on axis and is concentrated in a region outside the main body of the transmitted beam (see Fig. 7).

The front-end optical system has the twofold purpose of dispersion removal and image formation. The bending angle in M1 is chosen so that, to first order, images formed at any momentum lie on the axis of the spectrometer. Q1 supplements focusing in the Bevatron field and in M1 so as to form a stigmatic central momentum image about 3 feet ahead of the end of the spectrometer.

Before the spectrometer was installed, the unobstructed image formed by the front-end optical system was studied (see Fig. 8). The width of the vertical profile is consistent with multiple scattering and finite source size. The excess horizontal width is presumably due to incomplete dispersion removal.

Comparing the integrated intensity in the unobstructed image--
\[40 \times 10^3 \frac{\pi}{10^{10}} \text{ protons on target}--\text{with the integrated intensity entering Q2--}\]
\[11 \times 10^3 \frac{\pi}{10^{10}} \text{ protons (see Fig. 9)}--\text{and correcting for } \pi \text{ decay, one concludes that the transmission efficiency of the spectrometer and rear-end optical system is about 1/3 for pions. By insertion of additional scattering material at the various windows so as to simulate transmission of K mesons, the relative K/\pi transmission was found to be 0.8. Thus the over-all transmission efficiency for K mesons, neglecting decay, is about 25\%. The relative } p/\pi \text{ transmission is 0.4, giving an over-all } p/\pi \text{ transmission efficiency of about 15\%.}

Using the relative efficiencies quoted above and correcting for decay and loss in the absorber ahead of the bubble chamber, one obtains K^-/\pi and \( p/\pi \) ratios at the target equal to 1:1000 and 1:2 \times 10^6, respectively.

In Fig. 10 are curves that show how the intensities of the transmitted beam and of the background vary with steering-magnet current (M1). The former shows a peak and the latter shows a minimum at about the same magnet current. The increase in background for nonoptimum currents is presumably
Fig. 7. Horizontal profiles of transmitted beam and of background 5 ft behind bubble chamber. Q2 was focused at this point with no final collimator. Rejection ratio with 1-in.-diameter counter was 1500.
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Fig. 8. Vertical and horizontal beam profiles at unobstructed image point 130 inches behind Q1 (spectrometer not installed). Integrated intensity was $4 \times 10^4 \pi/10^{10}$ protons on target.
Fig. 9. Vertical and horizontal beam profiles at focus of Q2 (position of final collimator). \( F/F_{max} = 0.2 \). Total flux integrated over 1-in. vertical by 0.5-in. horizontal central area was \( 11 \times 10^3 \pi / 10^{10} \) protons on target.
Fig. 10. Transmission and background vs steering-magnet current taken behind 1-in.-diam collimator at Q2 focus. Collimator ahead of Q2 3 in. in diameter.
due to an increase in the pion component scattered in from the outer conductor, which occurs when the beam is steered into the outer conductor. From these curves one can estimate the effect, in terms of transmission loss and background increase, resulting from magnet-current instability or varying Bevatron field. The latter may be important for beam spills prolonged more than 20 msec.

Analysis of this beam by means of an emulsion stack is described in the accompanying report. ³

This work was done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.