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Meta-analysis of Genome-Wide Association Studies Identifies Novel Loci Associated With Optic Disc Morphology

Abstract

Primary open-angle glaucoma is the most common optic neuropathy and an important cause of irreversible blindness worldwide. The optic nerve head or optic disc is divided in two parts: a central cup (without nerve fibers) surrounded by the neuroretinal rim (containing axons of the retinal ganglion cells). The International Glaucoma Genetics Consortium conducted a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies consisting of 17,248 individuals of European ancestry and 6,841 individuals of Asian ancestry. The outcomes of the genome-wide association studies were disc area and cup area. These specific measurements describe optic nerve morphology in another way than the vertical cup-disc ratio, which is a clinically used measurement, and may shed light on new glaucoma mechanisms. We identified 10 new loci associated with disc area (CDC42BPA, F5, DIRC3, RARB, ABI3BP, DCAF4L2, ELP4, TMTC2, NR2F2, and HORMAD2) and another 10 new loci associated with cup area (DHRS3, TRIB2, EFEMP1, FLNB, FAM101, DDHD1, ASB7, KPNB1, BCAS3, and TRIOBP). The new genes participate in a number of pathways and future work is likely to identify more functions related to the pathogenesis of glaucoma.
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Introduction

The optic nerve is a white matter tract approximately 55 millimeters in length that transmits visual information from the eye to the brain. Various diseases—the most common of which is glaucoma—affect the optic nerve morphology and function. There are many types of glaucoma and in this manuscript we focus on primary open-angle or simple glaucoma, which is one of the leading causes of irreversible blindness worldwide. The optic nerve head, often referred to as the optic disc, is the place where the retinal ganglion cell axons leave the eye and bundle together to form the optic nerve. It is visible at the back of the eye.
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by ophthalmoscopy and is valuable in the assessment of optic nerve-related diseases. Additionally, the optic nerve morphology is a major target of imaging devices (including the Heidelberg Retina Tomography and Optical Coherence Tomography) in screening and follow-up of glaucoma-suspect persons and glaucoma patients. The optic disc consists of two morphologically distinct parts: the cup in the center of the disc, without nerve fibers, and the (neuroretinal) rim, carrying the axons of the retinal ganglion cells. There is a small, age-related decline in the number of axons during life: the decrease is about one third of axons in 100 years [Jonas et al., 1990; Jonas et al., 1992]. Glaucoma is characterized by an accelerated loss of retinal ganglion cell axons, resulting in an enlarged cup and a reduced rim area. The heritability of optic nerve morphological features is estimated to be 52–83% for the disc area, 66–77% for the cup area, and 34–39% for the rim area [Sanfilippo et al., 2010; van Koolwijk et al., 2007]. The majority of genetic studies has focused on the vertical cup-disc ratio (VCDR), which is a measure used to assess glaucoma clinically. However, different mechanisms (growth vs. degeneration) may underlie the disc, cup, and rim area. This raises the question whether gene discovery focusing on other measures (parameters) describing the optic disc than only the VCDR may shed light on the development and pathogenesis and mechanisms of diseases of the optic nerve. To date, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified four loci for disc area within or near to the genes ATOH7, CARD10, CDC7/TGFBR3, and SALL1 and one locus for rim area (RERE) [Axenovich et al., 2011; Khor et al., 2011; Macgregor et al., 2010; Ramdas et al., 2010]. We performed a meta-analysis of GWAS for these disc area parameters within the International Glaucoma Genetics Consortium (IGGC).

**Methods**

**Study design**

We performed a meta-analysis on directly genotyped and imputed SNPs from individuals of European ancestry in seven studies, with a total of 17,248 individuals (stage 1). Subsequently, we evaluated significantly associated SNPs in 6,841 subjects of Asian origin including four different studies (stage 2) and performed a meta-analysis on all individual studies from stage 1 and stage 2 (stage 3).

**Participants and Phenotyping**

All studies included in this meta-analysis are part of the International Glaucoma Genetics Consortium (IGGC). The ophthalmic examination of each study included an assessment of the optic nerve head (Supplementary Table S1B).

The meta-analysis of stage 1 was based on seven studies of European ancestry: Brisbane Adolescent Twin Study (BATS), Erasmus Rucphen Family (ERF) Study, Gutenberg Health Study (GHS I/GHS II), Raine Study, Rotterdam Study (RS-I/RS-II/RS-III), Twins Eye Study in Tasmania (TEST), and TwinsUK. Stage 2 comprised four Asian studies: the Beijing Eye Study (BES), Singapore Chinese Eye Study (SCES), Singapore Malay Eye Study (SIMES), and Singapore Indian Eye Study (SINDI).
Information on general methods, demographics, phenotyping, and genotyping methods of the study cohorts can be found in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2 and the Supplementary Note. All studies were performed with the approval of their local medical ethics committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Genotyping and Imputation**

Information on genotyping in each cohort, the particular platforms used to perform genotyping and the methods of imputation can be found in more detail in Supplementary Table S1C. To produce consistent datasets and enable a meta-analysis of studies across different genotyping platforms, the studies performed genomic imputation on available HapMap Phase 2 genotypes with MACH [Li et al., 2010] or IMPUTE [Marchini et al., 2007], using the appropriate ancestry groups as templates. Each study applied stringent quality control procedures for imputation (Supplementary Note). For the metaanalysis, only single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with minor allele frequency ≥1%, Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium $P$-value > $10^{-6}$, and imputation quality scores ≥0.3 (proper-info of IMPUTE) or $R^2$ ≥0.3 (MACH) were included.

**Statistical Analysis**

As the rim area is the difference between the disc area and cup area, there are two independent variables. Of these, we selected (essentially arbitrarily from a mathematical point of view) disc area and cup area. Moreover, disc and cup area are clearly correlated (Pearson correlation coefficient is 0.59 in Rotterdam Study I). For that reason, we analyzed (1) disc area and (2) cup area adjusted for disc area. We used the mean of the measurements of both eyes. Unreliable optic nerve head data were excluded (e.g., images with standard deviation > 50 for the Heidelberg Retina Tomograph). In cases of missing or unreliable data for one eye, data for the other eye were taken. Each individual study did a linear regression model between the outcomes and approximately 2.5 million HapMap stage 2 SNPs under the assumption of an additive model for the effect of the risk allele. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, and the first two principal components (for population-based studies) or family structure (for family-based studies) to correct for population substructure. Adding additional principal components did not appreciably change the lambda. Glaucoma is characterized by an increased cupping independent of the size of the disc. Therefore, in the linear regression analysis with cup area as outcome, we used disc area as an extra covariate.

All study effect estimates were oriented to the positive strand of the NCBI Build 36 reference. Positioning and annotations for the SNPs were done using the NCBI Build 37 reference. We performed an inverse variance weighted fixed-effect meta-analysis using METAL software [Willer et al., 2010]. We used the ‘genomic control’ option in METAL that estimates the inflation of the test statistic of each individual study and corrects the standard error of each individual study for the inflation.

In stage 1, a $P$-value < $5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ (the genome-wide threshold of association) was considered significant. In the replication stage 2, a nominal $P$-value < 0.05 was considered significant given the already high prior probabilities of association from stage 1.
wide significant SNPs for disc area were tested for cup area, and vice versa. In total, there were 36 independent SNPs. Therefore, our Bonferroni corrected significant threshold for this analysis was $0.05/36 = 1.39 \times 10^{-3}$. Manhattan, regional, and forest plots were made using R (http://www.r-project.org/), LocusZoom [Pruim et al., 2010], and Stata/SE 12.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA), respectively.

Gene-Based Test Using VEGAS

Gene-based testing was performed using VEGAS software [Liu et al., 2010], which combines the test statistics of all SNPs present within and 50 kb upstream or downstream of each gene. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) between the markers was accounted for through simulations from the multivariate normal distribution, based on estimates of LD from reference populations. Because Asian and European ancestry populations show different LD patterns, we performed separate gene-based tests for each population. Hapmap 2 CEU population was used as a reference to calculate LD for European ancestry data, whereas Hapmap 2 JPT and CHB combined population was used as a reference for Asian ancestry data. After calculation of gene-based test statistics for Asian and European ancestry populations separately, meta-analysis was conducted using Fisher’s method for combining $P$-values. VEGAS was applied to the summary data from the full disc and cup area analysis (as in Tables 1 and 2).

Phenotypic Variability

To evaluate whether the different optic nerve head area parameters have a shared genetic component with primary open-angle glaucoma, two genetic risk scores were calculated based on the GWAS results for disc area and cup area. The genotyped SNPs from the discovery cohort were categorized into 17 categories according to $P$-values, and risk scores for each category were calculated in the ANZRAG study consisting of 1,155 glaucoma cases and 1,992 controls and NEIGHBOR consisting of 2,131 glaucoma cases and 2,290 controls as target cohorts (see Supplementary Information). To maximize the overlap between the genotyped SNPs from the discovery cohort and the SNPs included in the target cohorts, the imputed SNPs with imputation quality score > 0.8 in the target cohort were used for risk score calculation to replace SNPs that were not genotyped in the target cohort. For each individual, the score for a particular SNP was calculated as the effect estimate of the SNP multiplied by the dosage of the effect allele of that SNP. The risk score was defined as the mean of the scores for all SNPs. Logistic regression analyses with glaucoma as outcome adjusted for sex as covariate were performed to calculate the Nagelkerke R-square for the two risk scores (disc area and cup area).

Pathway-Analysis Using Pathway-VEGAS

Prespecified pathways from the Gene Ontology database with size ranging in 5–500 genes were used to perform pathway analysis. Pathway-VEGAS combines VEGAS gene-based test statistics based on prespecified biological pathways [Lu et al., 2013]. Pathway $P$-values were computed by summing $\chi^2$ test statistics derived from VEGAS $P$-values. Empirical “VEGAS-pathway” $P$-values for each pathway were computed by comparing the real data summed $\chi^2$ test statistics with 500,000 simulations where the relevant number (as per size of
pathway) of randomly drawn χ² test statistics was summed. To ensure clusters of genes did not adversely affect the result, gene-sets were pruned such that each gene was >500 kb from all other genes in the pathway. When genes were clustered, only one of the clustered genes was included for that pathway. Pathway-VEGAS was performed separately for European and Asian ancestry datasets. Meta-analysis was conducted using Fisher’s method for combining P-values.

Results

This work followed two parallel directions that corresponded to multistage meta-analyses of two phenotypes of interest. Although there were superimpositions in the genetic risk of each of these phenotypes leading to regulation of optic disc morphology in the populations, results will be broken down and reported individually for each. As described in the Methods, we tested for association using linear regression models adjusting for age, sex, and two principal components or family structure.

Disc Area

Stage 1 included 17,248 individuals of European ancestry. We analyzed approximately 2.5 million directly genotyped or imputed (HapMap) SNPs. The inflation factors (λ) varied between 0.98 and 1.06 (1.10 for the meta-analysis), implying adequate within-study control of population substructure (Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Fig. S2A, B, and C). This analysis yielded 296 genome-wide significant (P < 5.0 × 10⁻⁸) SNPs located across five chromosomal regions (CDC7/TGFBR3, CDC42BPA, DCAF4L2, ATOH7, and SALL1) (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. S1A and Supplementary Table S3).

Stage 2 included 6,841 individuals of Asian ancestry. The λ varied between 1.00 and 1.03. Of the most significantly associated SNPs at each of the five chromosomal regions in Europeans, three reached nominal significance (P < 0.05) in the Asians: CDC7/TGFBR3, CDC42BPA, and ATOH7. The SNP with the most significant association at the chromosome 8 region (DCAF4L2) in stage 1 was not imputed in the Asian population. The second most associated SNP in Europeans (rs12547416, β = −0.03, P = 3.25 × 10⁻⁸) at this region was significant in the Asian population (β = −0.03, P = 2.95 × 10⁻⁴).

The combined analysis in stage 3 (overall λ = 1.10) resulted in nine additional genome-wide significant chromosomal regions. The results of these SNPs were genome-wide suggestive (P < 5.0 × 10⁻⁵) in the individuals of European ancestry and nominally significant in individuals of Asian ancestry (P < 0.05). Of the 14 associated regions (five associated in Europeans and Asians and nine identified using all cohorts), 10 were not previously related to disc area: CDC42BPA (chr. 1) and DCAF4L2 (chr. 8) identified in stage 1, and F5 (chr. 1), DIRC3 (chr. 2), RARB (chr. 3), ABI3BP (chr. 3), ELP4 (chr. 11), TMTC2 (chr. 12), NR2F2 (chr. 15), and HORMAD2 (chr. 22) identified in stage 3.

In order to identify new loci that were not found through per-SNP test, we performed a gene-based test using VE-GAS software. Because of the smaller number of genes tested (17,872), our gene-based significance threshold p_{gene-based} was 2.80 × 10⁻⁶ (0.05/17,872). Supplementary Table S5 shows 23 genes with a P-value below 2.80 × 10⁻⁶ for the gene-
based test. Of these 23 genes, 22 genes were located in loci identified by the GWAS. In addition to the loci already identified, we found a gene-based significant association of \( PAX6 \) with disc area (gene-based test \( P = 5.15 \times 10^{-8} \)).

**Cup Area**

Stage 1 included 17,218 individuals of European ancestry, with \( \lambda \)-values varying between 0.98 and 1.06 (1.10 for the meta-analysis), implying adequate within-study control of population substructure (Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Fig. S6A, B, and C). In total, 342 SNPs located across 15 chromosomal regions were genome-wide significant (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. S5A and Supplementary Table S4).

Stage 2 consisted of 6,613 individuals of Asian ancestry (\( \lambda \) 1.01–1.03). Nine of the 15 most associated SNPs across the 15 chromosomal regions were nominal significant in this Asian population. The most significantly associated SNP on chromosome 16 in Europeans could not be imputed with sufficient accuracy for use in individuals of Asian ancestry (\( SALL1 \)). The second most significant associated SNP in the Europeans (rs4238758, \( \beta = -0.02 \), \( P = 4.83 \times 10^{-8} \)) did not replicate in individuals of Asian ancestry (\( \beta = -0.02 \), \( P = 3.11 \times 10^{-1} \)).

In stage 3, the combined analysis (meta-analysis \( \lambda \) 1.10) yielded seven additional genome-wide significant loci. Of the 22 (15 + 7) chromosomal regions, 12 were previously genome-wide significant associated with the VCDR, the clinically used optic disc parameter [Springelkamp et al., 2014]. The VCDR is highly correlated to cup area (\( r = 0.78 \), calculated in the Rotterdam Study I). The other 10 loci were new: \( DHR53 \) (chr.1), \( TRIB2 \) (chr.2), \( KPNB1 \) (chr.17), and \( BCAS3 \) (chr.17) identified in stage 1, and \( EFEMP1 \) (chr. 2), \( FLNB \) (chr.3), \( FAM101A \) (chr.12), \( DDHD1 \) (chr.14), \( ASB7 \) (chr.15), and \( TRIOBP \) (chr.22) identified in stage 3.

In the gene-based analysis, \( FAT4 \) was significantly associated with cup area, but this association disappeared after correction for disc area. This gene is also associated with disc area (nominal significant; \( P = 6.69 \times 10^{-3} \)) suggesting that \( FAT4 \) acts primarily through its effect on disc area. For the cup area adjusted for disc area analysis, 27 genes were significant but all of them are located in regions identified by the GWAS.

**From Genes to Glaucoma**

To investigate the relevance of the disc area and cup area SNPs in the clinical disease glaucoma, we calculated the explained variance of glaucoma in ANZRAG and NEIGHBOR. The top SNPs from the disc area analysis (\( P < 10^{-8} \)) explained 0.1% (ANZRAG) and 0.07% (NEIGHBOR) of the variance of glaucoma (Table 3). The top SNPs from the cup area analyses (\( P < 10^{-5} \)), explained 2.1% (ANZRAG), and 3.2% (NEIGHBOR) of the variance. The top SNPs mainly consisted of SNPs in \( CDKN2B-AS1 \) and \( SIX6 \). To investigate the effect of other SNPs, we removed SNPs within 1 MB from \( CDKN2B-AS1 \) and \( SIX6 \) in ANZRAG. The explained variance of glaucoma decreased from 1.5% to 1.0% (SNPs \( P < 0.1 \)), but was still significant (\( P = 1.36 \times 10^{-6} \)). In the Rotterdam Study I, the 10 new cup area SNPs explained an additional 0.9% of the VCDR phenotypic variability compared to known VCDR SNPs [Springelkamp et al., 2014].
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**Pathway Analysis**

To test whether the genes found through the VEGAS gene-based approach were enriched in 4,628 prespecified Gene Ontology pathways we performed a pathway analysis using Pathway-VEGAS [Lu et al., 2013]. We used a pathway-wide significance threshold of $1.08 \times 10^{-5}$ ($0.05/4,628$). One pathway exceeded the pathway-wide significance level for disc area: “Entrainment of circadian clock” ($P = 8.00 \times 10^{-6}$). This pathway result was driven by the strong association signal at ATOH7. For cup area (unadjusted for disc area), the top pathway is “Negative regulation of cyclin-dependent protein kinase activity” that is also associated with VCDR [Springelkamp et al., 2014]. After adjustment for disc area, the top pathway for cup area was “G1/S transition checkpoint” ($P = 4.66 \times 10^{-5}$) (Supplementary Table S6). The known POAG gene CDKN2B-AS1 is part of this pathway.

**Discussion**

This study identified new genetic loci associated with two parameters describing the morphology of the optic nerve head. In total, we identified 10 new disc area loci and 10 new cup area loci. Gene-based analysis identified one additional region associated with disc area. Of the 10 new disc area loci, two were identified in stage 1 and did replicate in stage 2. The eight other new loci were identified in stage 3 and therefore replication is missing because of lack of samples. In the cup area analysis, four new loci were identified in stage 1 and the KPNB1 and BCAS3 SNPs did not replicate in stage 2. However, the effect estimates are similar and in the same direction in Caucasian and Asian populations so this might be due to lack of power since we included less samples in stage 2. For the six other new loci from stage 3, replication is also missing. Although there is lack of replication for the new loci identified in stage 3, the $P$-values of the associations from stage 1 are low for these SNPs and the effect estimates are similar and in the same direction in stage 2, suggesting that these new loci are real new loci. Some SNPs showed heterogeneity. Therefore, we ran also a random-effect meta-analysis. For the new loci, most effect estimates and $P$-values remain similar after the random-effect meta-analysis. Only the $P$-value for BCAS3 (cup area) decreased from $4.49 \times 10^{-11}$ to $9.27 \times 10^{-3}$, but the effect estimate remained similar ($-0.018$ vs. $-0.017$), which is compatible with the heterogeneity as measured with the $I^2$.

We investigated the expression of the genes implicated in the parameters for optic nerve head areas by these analyses in various eye tissues using published literature or human ocular gene expression databases (Supplementary Tables S7 and S8) [Bowes Rickman et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2011; Wagner et al., 2013; Young et al., 2013]. The highest expression in the optic nerve was found for ABI3BP. Most of the other genes were also expressed in the optic nerve or other glaucoma-related eye tissues like the trabecular meshwork and the cornea.

The genes in the new disc area loci have different functions. An interesting gene is RARB, which limits cell growth by regulating gene expression. Also NR2F2 plays a role in gene regulation. PAX6 was identified by gene-based analysis. Although PAX6 is a neighboring gene (with linkage disequilibrium extending across this region) to ELP4, which was associated with disc area in the GWAS, the strong biological relevance of PAX6 to eye
development (it is expressed in developing eyes, and rare mutations cause aniridia, a rare developmental eye disorder [Jordan et al., 1992]) suggests that genetic variation in this region more likely influences the regulation of PAX6 rather than other genes in the region.

Our study shows that studies of optic nerve head parameters may shed light on clinical outcomes. The genetic overlap between disc area and glaucoma is small, but the direction of the significant risk scores ($P$ threshold $< 0.1$ and higher) might suggest that a larger disc area increases the risk of glaucoma. There is a strong genetic overlap between the cup area and glaucoma: 2.1% and 3.2% of the variance of glaucoma is explained by the most significant SNPs for cup area in two independent glaucoma case–control studies (ANZRAG and NEIGHBOR, respectively). This is mostly explained by the known genes CDKN2B-AS1 and SIX6, but SNPs in other genes explain also 1.0% of the variance, based on a polygenic risk score comprising all SNPs associated at $P < 0.1$ with cup area. The loci that are associated with cup area are also associated with VCDR (Supplementary Table S9). The region on chromosome 22 (with the top SNP rs5756813) contains the CARD10 gene that was previously reported to be associated with disc area. However, it seems that the TROBP gene is responsible for the association with cup area. Its protein interacts with trio, which is interesting because of the role of trio in neural tissue development [Seipel et al., 2001]. The nearest gene to the top SNP on chromosome 14 (rs10130566) is DDHD1, but the association might be explained by the BMP4 gene. This gene is a member of the bone morphogenetic protein family, which is part of the transforming growth factor-beta superfamily. Another member of this family is BMP2, which is also associated with VCDR [Springelkamp et al., 2014]. While the top SNP on chromosome 15 is located near to the ASB4 gene, the ADAMTS17 in this region may contribute more to disease susceptibility. This gene belongs to the same family of ADAMTS8, which is associated with VCDR [Springelkamp et al., 2014]. Furthermore, ADAMTS17 is already linked to some forms of (syndromal) glaucoma [Morales et al., 2009]. Pathway analysis implicated that cell growth and death is an important mechanism associated with cup area.

Figure 3 shows the overlap between the different optic nerve head area parameters. Overall, most loci were only associated with disc area or cup area. ATOH7 was associated with disc and cup area as well as with glaucoma [Ramdas et al., 2011]. SIX6 and CDKN2B-AS1 were associated with cup area and glaucoma [Burdon et al., 2011; Wiggs et al., 2012]. The figure shows only genome-wide significant SNPs, but it is likely that other SNPs affect also more than one trait, including rs11129176 (RARB), which is genome-wide significant in disc area and reached a $P$-value of $1.12 \times 10^{-5}$ in the cup area analysis.

In summary, we found 20 new loci associated with optic nerve head area and/or cupping which explain a further proportion of the missing heritability of glaucoma. These results showed that investigation of more refined measurements of optic nerve head morphology, especially the cup area, is a fruitful approach to discover new glaucoma-related loci, in addition to the crude VCDR linear measurement commonly used in clinical practice and previously investigated [Springelkamp et al., 2014]. The new loci contain many genes with different functions, and while there appears to be one strong candidate causal gene in some regions, there are several possible candidate genes in others. Further research including exome sequencing and functional studies is necessary to unravel the causative associations.
in the gene-dense regions and the mechanism of these genes in the pathophysiology of glaucoma. Our findings are an important step toward a better understanding of the disease.
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Figure 1.
Manhattan plot of the GWAS meta-analysis for disc area in the combined analysis (n = 24,089 subjects of European and Asian ancestry). The plot shows −log10-transformed \( P \)-values for all single nucleotide polymorphisms. The red dotted horizontal line represents the genome-wide significance threshold of \( P < 5.0 \times 10^{-8} \); the blue dotted line indicates \( P \)-value of \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \). Gene loci in bold have been previously associated with disc area.
Figure 2.
Manhattan plot of the GWAS meta-analysis for cup area (adjusted for disc area) in the combined analysis (n = 23,831 subjects of European and Asian ancestry). The plot shows −log10-transformed P-values for all single nucleotide polymorphisms. The red dotted horizontal line represents the genome-wide significance threshold of $P < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$; the blue dotted line indicates $P$-value of $1 \times 10^{-5}$. Gene loci in bold have been previously associated with cup area.
Figure 3.
Overlap between the different optic nerve head parameters. Genes that reached genome-wide significance are shown. VCDR = vertical cup-disc ratio, * = genes that have been previously associated with glaucoma. All SNPs associated with cup area, are also associated with VCDR (Supplementary Table S9).
### Table 1

Summary of SNPs that showed genome-wide significant ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) association with disc area in the combined analysis ($n = 24,089$ subjects with European and Asian descent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Chr.</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Annotation</th>
<th>Nearest Gene</th>
<th>A1/A2</th>
<th>Europeans MAF</th>
<th>Asians MAF</th>
<th>Combined ($n = 24,089$)</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
<th>Cup area combined ($n = 23,831$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs1192419</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>92,080,059</td>
<td>Intergenic</td>
<td>CDC7/IGFBP3</td>
<td>a/g</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>7.98 \times 10^{-16}</td>
<td>8.51 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6671926</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>227,386,971</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>CDC42BP1</td>
<td>a/g</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.69 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>9.21 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9869524</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>88,746,846</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>DCAF4L2</td>
<td>t/a</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.54 \times 10^{-8}</td>
<td>4.36 \times 10^{-7}</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1900004</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70,000,881</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>ATOMT1</td>
<td>t/c</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.13 \times 10^{-7}</td>
<td>7.27 \times 10^{-7}</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1362756</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>51,458,290</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>SALL1</td>
<td>a/g</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9.27 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>5.84 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs12406092</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>169,543,131</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>P38</td>
<td>a/g</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3.32 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>3.3 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1547173</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>218,472,172</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>DBC3</td>
<td>t/c</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.03 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>4.03 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11129176</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25,049,310</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>RAR6</td>
<td>a/g</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.74 \times 10^{-8}</td>
<td>1.74 \times 10^{-8}</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs986250</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100,637,871</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>ARID1B</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.42 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>2.42 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1101436</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31,663,882</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>ELP4</td>
<td>t/a</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>6.43 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>3.63 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1511589</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84,061,431</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>TMYC2</td>
<td>a/g</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.08 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>5.40 \times 10^{-8}</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs8035495</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>96,719,229</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>NRZ1F</td>
<td>a/c</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.54 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>2.54 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2412970</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30,486,626</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>HORMAD2</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>3.40 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>3.40 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9607469</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37,919,267</td>
<td>Upstream gene variant</td>
<td>CARD10</td>
<td>a/g</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.29 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.31 \times 10^{-13}</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We tested for heterogeneous effects, for which $P$-values and $I^2$ are shown. Results for the combined meta-analysis of cup area are shown. The first five SNPs were genome-wide significant in stage 1 (meta-analysis of subjects with European descent); the last nine SNPs matched genome-wide significance in stage 3 (meta-analysis of subjects with European and Asian descent). SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; nearest gene, reference NCBI build37; A1, reference allele; A2, other allele; MAF, average minor allele frequency; NA, not available; $\beta$, effect size on disc area based on allele A1; $\beta(R)$, effect size on disc area based on allele A1 based on a fixed-effect meta-analysis; $P$-value, $P$-value in the combined analysis; SE, standard error of the effect size.

*Italic* allele A1 is based on a fixed-effect meta-analysis; $P$-value is the $P$-value based on a random-effect meta-analysis; $\beta(R)$ is the $\beta$-value based on a random-effect meta-analysis; SE, standard error of the effect size.

$a$ Are the newly identified loci.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Chr.</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Annotation</th>
<th>Nearest gene</th>
<th>Europeans MAF</th>
<th>Asians MAF</th>
<th>Combined (n = 23,831)</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
<th>Disc area combined (n = 24,389)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A1/A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P-value (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs30801</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,495,945</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>RERE</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.003 4.55 × 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3924048</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12,614,848</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>DIS3P</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.0016</td>
<td>0.003 1.34 × 10⁻¹⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2113818</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12,890,860</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>TRB2</td>
<td>c/t</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.002 1.19 × 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2653325</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99,131,755</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>COLIA1</td>
<td>a/c</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.005 4.36 × 10⁻¹⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7865618</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22,030,015</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>CDKN2B-AS1</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.013 1.37 × 10⁻²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs558145</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70,011,838</td>
<td>Regulatory</td>
<td>ATM97</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.003 7.83 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1346</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>65,337,251</td>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>SSAC1</td>
<td>t/a</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>0.003 7.9 × 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7925258</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84,130,036</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>TMC2</td>
<td>c/t</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.003 9.34 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs954434</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36,694,391</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>DCLK1</td>
<td>t/c</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.003 2.11 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10483727</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>61,072,875</td>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>SD6</td>
<td>t/c</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006 2.10 × 10⁻²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11696917</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>51,428,908</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>SALL1</td>
<td>t/g</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.003 1.84 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11872605</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47,732,605</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>KPNB1P</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.002 1.57 × 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1165185</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>59,286,263</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>BCAS3P</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.0018</td>
<td>0.003 4.49 × 10⁻¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs609338</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>63,844,064</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>BMP2</td>
<td>t/g</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.002 2.13 × 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1036667</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2,913,000</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>CHEK2</td>
<td>t/c</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.003 1.13 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs134678</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36,108,335</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>EFEMP1</td>
<td>c/t</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.004 4.26 × 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs676418</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>58,005,266</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>FLNB</td>
<td>t/g</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.003 1.10 × 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs14202538</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>122,388,851</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>HSF2</td>
<td>a/g</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.0014</td>
<td>0.002 4.33 × 10⁻³⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10866617</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12,662,131</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>FAM10A</td>
<td>c/g</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.0012</td>
<td>0.002 7.17 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10130556</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>51,907,075</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>DHRD1/BMP4</td>
<td>g/c</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.002 4.53 × 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11247230</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>101,197,005</td>
<td>Intronic</td>
<td>ASR1</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.003 1.34 × 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs5758813</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38,175,477</td>
<td>Downstream</td>
<td>TRIB3</td>
<td>g/a</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.003 1.49 × 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We tested for heterogeneous effects, for which P-values and I² are shown. Results for the combined meta-analysis for disc area are shown. The first 15 SNPs were genome-wide significant in stage 1 (meta-analysis of subjects with European descent); the last seven SNPs reached genome-wide significance in stage 3 (meta-analysis of subjects with European and Asian descent). SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; nearest gene, reference NCBI build 37; A1, reference allele; A2, other allele; MAF, average minor allele frequency; NA, not available; β, effect size on disc area based on allele A1 based on a fixed-effect meta-analysis; β(R), effect size on cup area based on allele A1 based on a random-effect meta-analysis; P-value (R) is the P-value based on a random-effect meta-analysis; SE, standard error of the effect size.
Are the newly identified loci.
### Table 3

The explained variance (Nagelkerke $R^2$) for glaucoma in ANZRAG (1,155 cases and 1,992 controls) and NEIGHBOR (2,131 cases and 2,290 controls) determined by the single nucleotide polymorphisms from the genome-wide association analysis for disc area and cup area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P-value threshold</th>
<th>number of tested SNPs</th>
<th>ANZRAG</th>
<th></th>
<th>Cup area</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>$P$-value</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>$P$-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;10^{-8}$</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>1.08 x 10^{-1}</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>1.25 x 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;10^{-7}$</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>1.24 x 10^{-1}</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>1.34 x 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;10^{-6}$</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>1.52 x 10^{-1}</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>1.02 x 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;10^{-5}$</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>2.62 x 10^{-1}</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>2.95 x 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;10^{-4}$</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>5.87 x 10^{-1}</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>1.29 x 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;10^{-3}$</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>9.22 x 10^{-1}</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>7.72 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;10^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>6.67 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>2.85 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;0.1$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>3.71 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>3.87 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;0.2$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>8.39 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>5.73 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;0.3$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>2.94 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>2.54 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;0.4$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>2.20 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>2.76 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;0.5$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>1.97 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>1.42 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;0.6$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>1.93 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>1.05 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;0.7$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>1.96 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>1.30 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;0.8$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>1.83 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>1.19 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;0.9$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>1.96 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>1.15 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;1.0$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>2.04 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>1.15 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>