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Abstract

Energy loss due to wakefields within a long undulator, if not compensated by an appropriate tapering of the magnetic field strength, can degrade the FEL process by detuning the resonant FEL frequency. The wakefields arise from the vacuum chamber wall resistivity, its surface roughness, and abrupt changes in its aperture. For LCLS parameters, the resistive-wall component is the most critical and depends upon the chamber material (e.g., Cu) and its radius. Of recent interest[1] is the so-called “AC” component of the resistive-wall wake which can lead to strong variations on very short timescales (e.g., ~ 20 fs). To study the expected performance of the LCLS in the presence of these wakefields, we have made an extensive series of start-to-end SASE simulations with tracking codes PARMELA and ELEGANT, and time-dependent FEL simulation codes GENESIS1.3 and GINGER. We discuss the impact of the wakefield losses upon output energy, spectral bandwidth, and temporal envelope of the output FEL pulse, as well as the benefits of a partial compensation of the time-dependent wake losses obtained with a slight z-dependent taper in the undulator field. We compare the taper results to those predicted analytically[2].

INTRODUCTION

The Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS)[3] currently under construction at SLAC will operate in the x-ray wavelength range of 0.15 – 1.5 nm. Due to the need for both a large undulator field strength (≈ 1.25 T) and a relatively short period (30 mm), the undulator chamber beam pipe must be quite small with an inner radius of 2.5 mm. The interaction of this chamber with the large instantaneous current of the LCLS electron pulse, ≈ $O(1 - 10 \text{ kA})$ can induce strong electromagnetic wakefields. The longitudinal wakefield can disrupt FEL performance by accelerating electrons off-resonance. Because the wakefields at a given z are not constant in time but depend on the position along the electron bunch, their effects cannot be completely compensated either locally or globally in z by an adjustment of the undulator field (taper). Recently (see, e.g., [1]), there has been strong interest in examining the so-called “AC” component of the resistive-wall longitudinal wake. When excited by high frequency structure on the sub-ps duration electron bunch, the resultant wakefields can vary strongly on timescales as short as 20 fs, with most parts of the pulse suffering net deceleration but other parts net acceleration.

For a SASE FEL device like the LCLS, simple scaling arguments suggest that if by nominal saturation length $L_{sat} ≈ 1.5\lambda_u/\rho$ a given portion of the pulse suffers a net acceleration equivalent to a shift of the resonant wavelength by twice the RMS bandwidth (i.e., $\Delta \gamma/\gamma ≈ 1.2\rho$) there should be a strong effect upon the instantaneous output power. Here $\rho$ is the FEL parameter and $\lambda_u$ is the undulator wavelength. A far more detailed analysis [2] shows that the output power has a FWHM in $\Delta \gamma/\gamma ≈ 4\rho$ at saturation, and, moreover, using applying net $\Delta \gamma/\gamma ≈ 2\rho$ (i.e., including wakes, spontaneous energy losses, and the effects of a linear taper if any) over the saturation length approximately doubles the maximum power extraction as compared with no net $d\gamma/dz$. For LCLS with $\rho ≈ 5 \times 10^{-4}$ and $L_{sat} ≈ 90 \text{ m}$ this suggests using an optimum taper equivalent to a net positive 150 kv/m accelerating field.

In the remainder of this paper, we briefly discuss calculations for the time-dependent wakes for sample predicted LCLS pulses obtained from “start-to-end” simulations upstream of the undulator. To model the expected FEL output radiation for this relatively complex problem, we use two fully time-dependent FEL simulation codes, GENESIS and GINGER. Of particular interest is the degree to which wakefield effects can be compensated by a simple linear taper in undulator strength (represented in the simulation codes by a constant $E_z$). We concentrate upon two particular operational modes of the LCLS: (1) the “normal” 1-nc bunch charge case for which there are large head and tail current spikes, each of which couples strongly to the resistive-wall wake and (2) a “low” 200-pC bunch charge case [4] in which the current is far more uniform with time. Our results suggest that the latter case should be given strong consideration as the preferred operating mode because wake compensation by a simple undulator strength taper gives a a far more constant output $P(t)$ with little difference in output pulse energy.

START-TO-END SIMULATIONS AND UNDULATOR VACUUM CHAMBER WAKE CALCULATIONS

To produce realistic 6-D phase space distributions as input for the FEL calculations discussed in the following sections, we did detailed “start-to-end” tracking simulations beginning with PARMELA for the gun and injector (for which we thank C. Limborg) followed by ELEGANT for
the remainder of the SLAC linac. The studies (see [4] for more detail) included CSR effects and presumed the existence of a laser-based beam heater [5] used to Landau damp the longitudinal space-charge instability. We modeled both the 1-nC and 200-pC bunch charge cases; Table 1 gives various relevant parameters for each. The low charge case is of particular interest because it is possible to virtually eliminate the high current spikes at the beam head and tail present in the 1-nC case (i.e., compare the plots in Fig. 1). To obtain a 2.1 kA current in the undulator, the 200-pC case requires a significantly shorter bunch length (5 μm rms) than that required at 1 nC (22 μm). With a total compression factor of 70 (up from 40 at 1-nC) to limit pulse-to-pulse current jitter, the initial bunch length is then 1.5 times smaller. This together with the 5-times less charge drops the peak current in the RF gun to 30 A from 100 A; we believe that a 20% or greater reduction in transverse emittance at the gun is possible. The low bunch charge case has associated chirped energy spread. This constancy is due in part to the much shorter duration of the 200-pC bunch and in part to the absence of a high current spike at the beam head.

At present, both the GINGER and GENESIS simulation codes model the effective longitudinal wake as the sum of various components, the most important being the resistive-wall wake, the surface roughness wake, and the “geometric” wake which arises from discrete changes in chamber aperture (e.g., pumping ports). For chamber roughnesses with reasonably large ratios of longitudinal scale length to transverse size, the resistive-wall component should dominate. Figure 2 displays some of the wake components calculated for the current waveform of a 1-nC LCLS pulse (the upper right plot of Fig. 1). For these calculations we presumed a round 2.5-mm inner radius vacuum chamber with a rms surface roughness of 100 nm over a period of 30 μm and an effective geometric wake gap length of 0.18 m over a 4-m period. The curve labeled “DC” refers to the resistive-wall wake calculated from a frequency-independent conductivity model for copper. The “AC” curve shows the predicted wake using a frequency-dependent σ model which for copper used a DC resistivity of 1.725 × 10⁻⁸ ohm/m and a time constant τ of 27 fs; for aluminum, the equivalent numbers are 2.733 × 10⁻⁸ and 8 fs. The AC and DC conductivity are related by  

$$\sigma_{AC}(\omega) = \sigma_{DC}/(1 - i\omega \tau)$$

where ω is the angular frequency.

The most striking difference between the two conductivity models is the nearly sinusoidal shape of the AC wake with a period of order 100-fs, much shorter than the 1-nC LCLS pulse duration. With a peak-to-peak difference of nearly 1 MV/m, one can see that it will be impossible via an undulator strength taper to keep all of the LCLS pulse in optimal resonance. Figure 3 plots the total wake versus time for both the 1-nC and 200-pC bunch charge cases. In contrast to the wake for the 1-nC bunch charge, the 200-pC wake is far more uniform in time for both Cu and Al vacuum chambers with a value between -100 and -200 kV/m. This constancy is due in part to the much shorter duration of the 200-pC bunch and in part to the absence of a high current spike at the beam head.

### SIMULATION RESULTS

We used both the time-dependent FEL simulation codes GENESIS and GINGER to examine the predicted performance of the LCLS including vacuum chamber wakefields.
and possible compensating undulator strength tapers. Both codes imported 6D macroparticle distributions from the ELEGANT tracking runs described in the previous section. In order to have sufficient spectral bandwidth around the nominal central radiation wavelength of 0.15 nm, we chose a slice-to-slice spacing of \( \approx 12 \) attoseconds. Since the necessary number of slices for this slice spacing was quite large (\( \approx 20000 \) for the 1-nC bunch charge case), both codes employed (individually different) algorithms to expand the number of ELEGANT macroparticles many-fold while preserving the fine scale details of the complicated 6D phase space (e.g., correlations between \( x \) and \( \gamma \); bimodal \( \gamma \) distributions in the head and tail regions, etc.). We also removed temporally-constant transverse offsets and tilts in order to optimize FEL performance. For GINGER this was important as its field solver is cylindrically axisymmetric; for GENESIS it is less so due to its full \( x-y \) solver although uncorrected tilts can still strongly degrade performance. The simulations adopted the “standard” LCLS undulator configuration (as of fall 2004) including break sections and discrete quadrupole focusing magnets. For the wake calculations, we presumed a 2.5-mm inner radius vacuum chamber. No undulator errors were included nor were the effects of spontaneous radiation energy losses. 

Figure 4 displays the predicted instantaneous SASE power from a 1-nC bunch charge at the 100-m location in the undulator. The black-colored “No wake” curve shows that in the absence of wakefield losses the radiation has an average level of approximately 14 GW and a duration of 180-fs. Comparison with the current profile in Fig. 1 shows that there is relatively little radiation produced in the head and tail high current spike regions. When uncompensated wakefields are included (the magenta and red curves), the overall radiation level is strongly suppressed and is mostly confined to three temporal regions around -60, -20, and +60 fs. Examination of the 1-nC Cu wake curve in Fig. 3 shows that these times correspond to when the wakefield lies between 0 and +200 kV/m. When a compensating taper equivalent to +300 kV/m is applied, the emission comes from temporal regions where the wakefield has a strength -350 to -200 kV/m. Given the computational complexity of these runs, the agreement between the two simulation codes is excellent, both in the level of the output emission and the temporal locations with the major exception being the power levels in the head current spike region (which due to a centroid offset in this region requires another 20 m of undulator for the GINGER simulation to reach the power levels shown by GENESIS at 100 m). Additional comparisons may be examined in Ref. [6]. One can see that time-integrated pulse energy (Fig. 5) drops more than five-fold when the uncompensated wake is compared with the no-wake case. At best, tapering recovers \( \approx 80\% \) of the energy by \( z = 130 \) m for a Cu vacuum chamber; the equivalent at the 100-m point is only 60\%. Aluminum chambers result in somewhat better performance although we have not done extensive runs at 1-nC bunch charge for this material.

Simulation runs for the 200-pC bunch charge case show similar results in terms of optimal taper values. With no wake effects (the black curve in Fig. 6), the average power level is about 12 GW over a duration of \( \approx 70 \) fs. Including the effects of an uncompensated wake (typical strength \( \approx 100 \) to -200 kV/m) drops the power level by nearly an order of magnitude. As increasingly strong compensating tapers are applied, the power is restored to the non-wake level by \( \approx +200 \) kV/m and nearly doubles for tapers in the +200 kV/m to +300 kV/m region. The optimal taper of +300 kV/m, which corresponds to a net acceleration of \( \approx +150 \) kV/m, is in good agreement with the analytic prediction of Ref. [2] that one can double the output power over a nominal no wake, no taper case. Greater overcompensation of the wake field with larger tapers steadily reduces the output power; by +600 kV/m the power is down more than four-fold from the no wake case.
Figure 6: GINGER predictions for instantaneous power at z = 130 m for a 200-pC bunch charge propagating in a Cu vacuum chamber for various degrees of wake compensation tapers.

Figure 7: Pulse energy vs. z for 200-pC bunch charge propagating in Al & Cu vacuum chambers for various wake compensation undulator strength tapers.

Examining the total radiation pulse energy evaluated at the undulator exit of z = 130 m (Fig. 7), one sees that in accord to the predictions of Ref. [2], one can double the radiation power by overcompensating the effects of the wake. Although the exponential growth rate is only slightly increased by optimally tapering relative to the no-wake case, the power level at “first saturation” (z ≈ 90 m) is larger by ≈ 50% and then increases in a relative sense even more so in the next 40 meters of undulator.

One important question is whether the increase in output power level at and beyond L_sat is accompanied by an increase in spectral brightness. For a constant parameter pulse, Ref. [2] suggested that the spectral bandwidth should not be significantly changed when one uses the optimal net taper. The SASE simulations confirm this prediction as can be seen from examination of Fig. 8 where we plot the normalized inverse RMS bandwidth \( \omega_0 / \Delta \omega \) versus z. The optimal taper shows an decrease in inverse bandwidth of 20% or less as compared with the no-wake, no-taper case. Consequently, one can in fact achieve a significant increase in spectral brightness by operating with the a taper that leads to a net acceleration of \( \Delta \gamma \approx 2\rho \gamma \).

CONCLUSIONS

The inclusion of AC conductivity effects causes strong temporal oscillations (\( \tau \approx 100 \) fs) in the strength of the predicted resistive-wall wake for a 1-nC LCLS bunch charge propagating in either copper or aluminum vacuum chambers. This oscillation is “shock excited” by the large current spike at the beam head and cannot be completely compensated for by tapering the undulator field strength with z. According to both GINGER and GENESIS time-dependent simulations, the end result is a temporal fragmentation of the output SASE radiation pulse into multiple sub-pulses. We note that the present LCLS design calls for a rectangular vacuum chamber which will reduce the wake strengths by ≈30%, partially ameliorating the situation.

Operating the LCLS at a lower charge of 200 pC improves not only the linac stability properties [4] but also strongly reduces peak-to-peak oscillations of the undulator wakefields, both because of the reduced average pulse current and the nearly complete elimination of the current spike at the beam head. Copper and aluminum vacuum chambers produce similar wakes that can be nearly completely compensated by undulator field tapers, resulting in a temporally smooth, relatively constant output radiation output. The simulations confirm the prediction by Ref. [2] that a net field taper equivalent to \( \sim 150 \) kV/m for LCLS parameters approximately doubles the instantaneous power at and beyond first saturation relative to a no-wake case. Most importantly, the output coherent photon count (1.3 mJ pulse energy \( 0.15 \) nm photons) is reduced by only 25% relative to the 1-nC case. Due to the expectations of more stable operation and less demanding requirements upon the photoinjector, we believe that the low charge case should become the strongly preferred option.
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