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The biological response to implant materials has been a topic of extensive research and discussion throughout the years. More recently, the field has become particularly exciting due to advances in nanotechnology, and the indications and belief that living cells sense and respond to cues on the nanoscale. A nanostructured material of special interest for orthopedic implant applications is the vertically aligned, laterally spaced titanium oxide (TiO$_2$) nanotube arrays formed via
electrochemical anodization techniques. Foundational work in the Jin Lab has demonstrated the advantages of the TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube surface due to indications that the nanotube architecture significantly accelerates osteoblast cell growth [1], improves bone-forming functionality [2], and even directs mesenchymal stem cell fate [3]. However, these findings raise questions such as whether the same nano-architecture can be equally effective when exhibiting different surface chemistries. In addition, the feasibility of fabricating the nanotube structure from a thin film of titanium on the surface of an orthopedic implant composed of another material as a bioactive coating has been uncertain. The work reviewed in this dissertation attempts to answer these questions by providing in-depth experimental analysis of (a) comparative osteogenic behavior on nanotube surfaces of varying surface chemistries including ZrO\textsubscript{2}, TiO\textsubscript{2}, Ta, and Ta\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, and (b) optimized anodization parameters for thin film TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube layers applied to industry-supplied orthopedic implant materials (i.e. zirconia and CoCr alloy), and initial osteoblast cell response to such coatings. The research of this dissertation conveys substantial contributions towards the field of orthopedic surface technology, and for furthered understanding of cellular interactions with complex nano-interfaces.
CHAPTER 1

1. Introduction

1.1 Characteristics and Function of Normal Bone

Bone is a complex tissue that has the ability to heal and regenerate itself [4], and is continuously in the cycle of remodeling from before birth until death [5]. The process of bone modeling and remodeling typically occurs to help the bone adapt to mechanical forces or to replace microdamaged bone with new, stronger bone [5]. Bone modeling is the process of new bone formation due to physiological influences and mechanical forces, which usually occurs in adolescents. The remodeling of bone occurs in adults more than in children, and is the process in which old bone is removed and new bone is formed in order to maintain bone strength and mineral homeostasis.

The process of bone remodeling is composed of four distinct phases: activation, resorption, reversal, and formation [5]. Each of these phases is a result of complex physiological and cellular reactions, which have been briefly described here for the purpose of giving a basic understanding of bone remodeling. During the activation phase, osteoclast precursors are recruited and activated, leading to the resorption phase. Osteoclasts are responsible for resorbing (breaking down) bone during the resorption phase. The cycle is then reversed.
(reversal phase) when monocytes and osteocytes are released from bone matrix and begin to recruit pre-osteoblasts. Lastly, new bone is formed by osteoblasts which synthesize new collagenous organic matrix and regulate mineralization of matrix. The process of bone formation takes approximately 4 to 6 months to complete [5].

Occasionally bone defects will form that are unable to heal on their own, either due to bone disease or trauma. In these cases, bone reconstruction is necessary, which requires osteoproduction (colonization of osteogenic stem cells at defect site), osteoinduction (induced bone formation), osteoconduction (growth of bone on a surface), osseointegration (stable anchorage of an implant achieved by direct bone-to-implant contact), mechanical stimulation, and vascularization [4, 6-7]. In many cases an orthopedic (bone) implant is needed in order to stabilize the defect and provide support for new bone to grow.

The Clinical Need for Improved Orthopedic Implants

Although current technology in the field of orthopedic implants is highly advanced when compared to even 20 years ago, there remains to be room for improvement. To date, an estimated 5-15 % of bone implant (including dental and orthopedic) failures still occur, causing pain and frustration with the patient when the need for revision surgery is realized [8]. In addition, the average bone implant lifetime is less than 15 years [9].
The need for improved orthopedic materials surfaces is well-recognized by patients, surgeons, and researchers alike, especially for young patients who will outlive their orthopedic implants by many years, thus requiring multiple revision surgeries throughout their lives.

There are many causes for bone implant failure, such as:

- Insufficient initial bone growth on the implant surface
- Production of wear debris at the articulating surface, which becomes lodged between the implant and surrounding tissue, leading to cell death
- Imbalanced stress and strain between the implant and surrounding tissue, leading to implant loosening and fracture [9]

These modes of failure are no doubt a result of combined issues, likely sometimes including poor patient bone quality, which prevents the ability of the bone to securely interlock into the implant. However, as was suggested by Sato & Webster [9], by designing an implant material surface that stimulates rapid bone regeneration, thus filling any voids or deficiencies at the bone-implant interface, many of these problems could be overcome. Additionally, if bone tissue was able to quickly form a secure connection with the implant surface, there would be less chance for bone cell death as a result of wear debris, since there would be no spaces for debris particles to accumulate.
1.2 The Evolution of Biomedical Materials Technology

The technology and design of materials for bone implants have evolved tremendously over the past 50 years, through what Hench, et al. defines as three generations of biomedical materials [10]. First-generation biomedical materials were developed in the 1960s and 1970s, for which the main goal was to “achieve a suitable combination of physical properties to match those of the replaced tissue with a minimal toxic response in the host” [10]. The most important feature of biomaterials then was their biological inertness (minimum immune response to the foreign material), and this is still an extremely valuable requirement today. In general, biomaterials were traditionally designed to macroscopically match the properties of the tissue into which they would be implanted [11].

In the late 70s to early 80s, the focus of biomaterials design shifted from solely a bioinert tissue response to include a bioactive tissue response which would trigger a controlled reaction in vivo [10]. Bioactive materials reached clinical use by the mid-1980s for orthopedic and dental applications, including bioactive glasses, ceramics, and composites [10]. Resorbable biomaterials (which dissolve into the body) were also introduced to the market, and resorbable polymer sutures became routinely used. At this point, biomaterials properties were beginning to be
designed to match the body tissue at the microscale. The bioinert, bioactive, and resorbable implants have provided great success in clinical practice over the years, and remain to be the basis of many biomaterials in use today. However, the life-expectancy of our population has increased considerably in recent decades, and the U.S. is presented with a growing population of elderly with diminished bone regeneration capacity [12]. One-third to one-half of current orthopedic implant prostheses are said to fail within 10 to 25 years of implantation, requiring revision surgery [10]. Although substantial progress has been made in the area of orthopedic materials, the failure rates of such implants have not been significantly improved.

As the search continues for an orthopedic implant technology that provides a stable osseointegration that out-lives the patient, the third generation of biomaterials has evolved. The aim of third-generation biomaterials is that the material is designed in such a way that it would stimulate certain cellular responses at the molecular level [10]. By modifying the surfaces at the molecular and nanoscale levels, researchers have been able to direct cell proliferation, differentiation, and extracellular matrix (ECM) production and organization [10].
1.3 Engineering the Cell-Surface Interface

One of the most critical factors for bone implant success is implant stability at the interface. A loose bone implant at any stage will lead to failure. Thus, it is important to obtain a secure implant-to-bone interface as soon as possible after surgery. There are two approaches in use today to reduce mobility: cemented implants or press-fit implants. For cemented bone implants, bone cement is used to provide immediate chemical fusion between the bone and implant [13]. The use of bone cement has some complications including being difficult to apply and cure at the correct implant location [13]. The second approach is to use press-fit implants, which are placed in tight contact with the bone by securely wedging the implant into the bone [14]. The research applications considered in this dissertation focus on press-fit implants, since this method provides direct material-to-bone contact which, with an optimal material surface design, can promote bone formation and implant integration.

1.3.1 The Hierarchical Structure of Bone

The trend that biomaterials research has taken over the years from macro to nano is entirely logical when one examines the hierarchical structure of bone (or any living system, for that matter), from the macro to nano scale. Figure 1.3-1 depicts a schematic of the hierarchical structure
of bone, illustrating the intricate nature of the smallest elements, i.e. collagen molecules and hydroxyapatite crystals in the extracellular matrix (ECM) [11]. A very important part of orthopaedic implant design is choosing or engineering the implant material to match the macro scale characteristics of bone (i.e. strength, Young’s Modulus). A considerable amount of research has focused on advanced alloying techniques (particularly with titanium) in order to achieve lower elastic moduli that are more on the level of the modulus of cortical bone (~15-25 GPa) [15]. This approach to advance orthopaedic implant materials is a key part of this field of research. However, Figure 1.3-1 lays emphasis on the need to consider not only the macro scale of the structure of bone, but components in the micro and nano regime as well. Within the scope of this dissertation, we consider the cellular level and smaller to be of utmost importance when contemplating orthopaedic implant design.
Figure 1.3-1. Schematic illustration of the hierarchical organization of bone, from the macro to nano scale [11]. Bone is composed of a strong calcified outer compact layer (A), which consists of many cylindrical osteons (B). Bone cells respond to interactions with various extrinsic factors from the micro to nano regime (C), including the well-defined nanoarchitecture of the surrounding extracellular matrix (D).

It is worthy to note that although the cell is the smallest living unit of the body, cells are guided and influenced by factors at the molecular level both intrinsically (inside the cell) and extrinsically (outside the cell) [11]. Cells are readily influenced by external factors due to the direct connection between the cytoskeleton components (actin microfilaments)
and the extracellular matrix through integrins, which are embedded in the cell membrane, as illustrated in Figure 1.3-2. The normal cell environment is composed of a complex network of biomolecules and collagen fibers on the nanoscale. The cell’s ability to properly function in terms of proliferation, differentiation, and cell-specific operations intimately depends on the cell’s capability to create and maintain an intact ECM [16]. Cells are predisposed to interact with and gain guidance from nanostructured features outside of the cellular environment [17]. Consequently, one of the most important parts of biomaterials design is the features of the surface on the nanoscale. By examining the intricate relationship between the cell and a nano-surface will provide information crucial to the future of materials science for tissue regeneration and beyond.
1.3.2 The Importance of the Surface

The fact that cells can be regulated by extrinsic signals to the cell from the surrounding extracellular matrix (ECM) has triggered an entirely new level of biomaterials design, in which researchers attempt to promote or mimic the natural extracellular matrix of a cell in order to guide cell response. The implant surface has been shown to play an important role in the rate and extent of implant osseointegration [19-23]. Events leading to either implant acceptance or rejection occur at the tissue-biomaterial interface. The surface plays a vital role in the biological response to a material for four reasons: (1) the surface is uniquely reactive, (2) the surface is usually very different in morphology and chemistry than the bulk,
(3) the surface is the only part of the implant that is in direct contact with the biological environment, and (4) surfaces readily contaminate (especially in biological fluids). Material surface properties such as chemistry [24], roughness [25-26], wettability [27], surface energy [28-29], surface charge [30], surface oxidation [31], and surface features and shape [32] are among some of the most critical factors which affect cell and tissue-materials interactions [33]. The reason that cells are so sensitive to such minute materials characteristics has to do with the complex mechanism with which a cell adheres to and senses a surface.

The initial event at the surface of a material in vitro or in vivo is the adsorption of proteins from surrounding culture media or biological fluids, which occurs in less than 1 second. The arrangement and adhesion of proteins to a surface is highly dependent on the surface properties. Cells then come into contact with proteins, not the bare surface. Therefore, a clear understanding of the adsorption of proteins onto a surface is vital for understanding cell behavior. The basic sequence of events at the surface can be summarized by Figure 1.3-3:

a) Contact of the cell with a layer of adsorbed proteins.

b) Cell surface receptors (integrins) form bonds with protein functional groups (ligands).
c) Cytoskeletal reorganization as the cell spreads and adheres to the substrate.

This series of events makes it evident that the body interprets cues from a material through the organization and quantity of proteins on the surface. It can thus be concluded that for advancing biomaterials design, it is imperative that the surface be considered and designed with protein adhesion and cellular response in mind.

Figure 1.3-3. The sequence of events at the surface [34]. (a) The cell comes into contact with protein layer. (b) Bonds form between the cell (integrins) and the proteins (ligands). (c) The cell cytoskeleton reorganizes as the cell stretches and adheres.
Clearly, the surface of a medical device has major implications on how the body responds to the implant. Advances in technology have provided a variety of methods to modify or alter surfaces by chemical, electrochemical, mechanical, or thermal means in order to enhance, facilitate or promote a more bioactive or favorable biological response.

1.3.3 Common Surface Modification Techniques

Various techniques have been investigated for modifying implant surfaces in order to improve tissue response. The techniques can be categorized into two subgroups: (1) additive processes or (2) subtractive processes [34]. Additive surface modification techniques include the application of a coating onto the implant surface, via methods such as sputtering, plasma spraying, ion deposition, hydroxyapatite coating, or attaching bone binding biomolecules to the surface. Subtractive processes involve the removing of surface material in order to modify the surface structure or surface energy. Such subtractive processes include mechanical polishing, sand-blasting (a.k.a. gritblasting), chemical etching, or electrochemical anodization. These techniques introduce modifications to the surface such as surface texture (roughness), chemical composition, and surface energy (also hydrophilicity). Often one mode of surface modification will introduce a combination of results, because certain characteristics such as roughness and surface energy are
interrelated. Thus, it is important to examine all aspects of the material surface characteristics when a surface is modified.

One of the most popular and effective surface properties for bone implant materials is the introduction of surface roughness or topography. Micron rough surfaces are known to provide for better adhesion of biomolecules, and stronger fixation of bone or connective tissue, and prevent microorganism adhesion and inflammatory response when compared to smooth surfaces [35]. A systematic review in 2009 by Wennerber, et al. indicates a common conclusion in the literature that micron rough surfaces enhance bone formation and interlocking [36].

Orthopedic devices on the market today generally utilize a micron rough surface structure with dimensions on the scale of <10 μm [9]. Common surface technologies in industry implement roughening methods such as sand-blasting, etching, machining, and micron-sized metal bead coatings. Many studies have demonstrated enhanced osteoblast functions on such micron rough materials. Moreover, increased osteoinduction has been shown on microporous surface structures, which allows strong anchoring of the bone to the implant [37].

However, as was pointed out in Section 1.1, these micron surface structures available in the current marketplace are not sufficient for the long implant lifetimes required by the increasingly younger patient
population. Therefore, other means of manipulating the osteogenic response are being investigated.

1.4 Advanced Nanostructured Surface Technology

The hierarchical structure of bone described in detail in Section 1.3.1 indicates the feasibility of utilizing surface features on the sub-micron scale. Since bone is composed of nanostructured components, a relatively new approach in orthopedic implant materials research places emphasis on surfaces of nanometer roughness, topography, or features less than 100 nm in at least one direction [Sato].

Nanotechnology became an especially hot topic amongst researchers and engineers in the late 90s and early 2000s, and even began to be introduced into commercial settings [Miyazaki]. Since then, countless articles, journals, and books have been published with a multitude of techniques for the formation of nanostructured material surfaces. As a result, many methods have been investigated for the purpose of applying nanostructured coatings to biomaterial surfaces. The main challenge faced by biomaterials researchers is finding the appropriate combination of material features such as composition, topography (i.e. geometry, size, structure), and properties (i.e. elasticity, toughness, stiffness). Biomaterial surface topographies that have been utilized for tissue engineering include three general categories:
1) Lithographic surfaces, which are generally fabricated in a cleanroom environment using photolithography, e-beam lithography, and related techniques, and consist of highly organized surface structures such as grooves, islands, pillars, and holes [38]. These methods are usually very costly, since they require a cleanroom environment and highly complex equipment. Although the methods may not be very applicable in industry due to high expense, they provide unmatched avenues for furthering the understanding of cellular response to precise features such as geometry and organization.

2) Porous, roughened or textured surfaces, which are usually formed via chemical (etching) or mechanical (grinding, blasting) methods introduce a uniform topography with very little precision necessary. Highly porous and rough biomaterial surfaces have shown great promise for bone in-growth and mechanical interlocking, to prevent implant mobility [39]. These techniques are attractive because they are generally inexpensive and simple to carry out in a general laboratory environment.

3) Fibrous surfaces are formed using techniques such as electrospinning, phase separation, and self-assembly [38]. The
process of electrospinning is highly versatile because it can be used to create nanofibers of varying materials including biodegradable polymers and biological polymers such as collagen. Electrospinning can also be used to produce both 2D and 3D fibrous constructs. Nanofibrous surfaces are attractive because they closely mimic features of the extracellular matrix, and thus have great promise in the field of tissue regeneration [38].

It is clear that nanostructured biomaterial surfaces are at the forefront of next-generation orthopedic implants. With such a wide range of techniques available for the formation of a variety of surface structures, there are many possibilities for nanomaterials for bone regeneration. However, with the vast nanofabrication techniques available, careful and systematic experiments are required in order to fully understand the affect of substrate morphology on cell behavior. This field of research is still in its infancy, and further research is necessary in order to bring the next-generation orthopedic implant to the market.

1.5 The Scope of the Dissertation

The goal of the dissertation is to provide a clearer understanding of the behavior of osteoblast cells in vitro in response to particular chemical modifications of nanostructured surfaces, in particular the metal oxide
nanotubular architecture. Prior investigations have indicated that the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface is capable of inducing bone formation and even directing stem cell fate [2-3]. However, these findings raise questions such as whether the same nano-architecture can be equally effective when composed of different surface chemistries. In addition, the feasibility of fabricating the nanotube structure from a thin film of titanium on the surface of an orthopedic implant composed of another material (i.e. zirconia or CoCr) as a bioactive coating has been uncertain. The work reviewed in this dissertation attempts to answer these questions by providing in-depth experimental analysis of the osteogenic behavior on nanotube surfaces of varying surface chemistries, as well as thin film TiO$_2$ nanotube layers applied to industry-supplied orthopedic implant materials. In order to achieve the purpose of this dissertation, the more specific aims are:

1. To optimize anodization techniques for the formation of TiO$_2$ and ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface structures of defined characteristics from bulk and thin film materials.

2. To characterize the nanotube surfaces in terms of nanotube dimensions, hydrophilicity, crystallinity, biocompatibility, and osteogenic induction.

3. To evaluate the *in vitro* osteogenic response to structural and chemical nano-modifications to various implant materials.
CHAPTER 2

2. Titanium Oxide Nanotubes

Owing to attractive properties such as the high surface-to-volume ratios and size dependent properties, nanostructured materials have been at the center of a large body of innovative research in science and technology. In particular, nanostructured surfaces are at the focal point of tissue engineering research due to findings which have demonstrated that cells will respond to and be directed by dimensions in the nanometer regime (< 100 nm), even as small as 10 nm height [40-41]. Although there are many methods for the fabrication of precisely defined nanostructured surfaces as mentioned in Section 1.4, one of the most simple and inexpensive processes for nanostructure formation is electrochemical anodization. In addition, other common procedures for nanostructure fabrication involve a complicated series of steps which often can only be applied to a perfectly flat substrate (i.e. nanolithography). In contrast, electrochemical anodization can be applied to substrates of various 2- and 3-D geometries and shapes, as well as sizes ranging from very small to potentially unlimited proportions. This method is also attractive because it is most commonly applied to titanium, which is one of the most widely used materials in bone implant technology. Consequently, the research dissertation herein is specifically concentrated on variations to the
nanotube surface formed by electrochemical anodization in a fluorine containing electrolyte for orthopedic device applications.

The formation of titanium oxide (TiO$_2$) nanotube arrays via electrochemical anodization was first reported by Grimes, et al. in 2001 [42]. Since their discovery, researchers have achieved better control of nanotube formation through such methods as varying electrolyte concentration and pH, inorganic and aqueous solvents, etc. Extensive control is now possible of nanotube morphology and dimensions including diameter, length, length-to-diameter ratio, wall-thickness, tube shape (conical versus cylindrical), transparency, and even doping [43]. Such ability to precisely control nanotube formation via electrochemical anodization has great promise for their utilization in many applications ranging from solar energy, water splitting, and the biomedical materials industry [44].

In addition, researchers have been able to apply the same technique of electrochemical anodization to other metals, including zirconium (Zr) [45], niobium (Nb) [46-49], tungsten (W) [50-51], hafnium (Hf) [52], and tantalum (Ta) [53-56] thus forming nanotube arrays of these metal oxides. The work discussed in this dissertation will focus solely on titanium oxide (TiO$_2$) and zirconium oxide (ZrO$_2$) nanotubes, and the
effects of other variations in nanotube surface chemistry on osteoblast and mesenchymal stem cell growth and function.

2.1 Mechanistic Model of Nanotube Formation

Electrochemical anodization of titanium (Ti) or other metal foils involves a two-electrode electrochemical cell with a platinum (Pt) foil cathode and metal foil (titanium or other metal of choice) anode which are held at a constant potential (see Figure 2.1-1(a)). The traditional method of anodization utilizes a hydrofluoric acid (HF) based electrolyte [42, 57-58]; however these nanotube layers are not able to exceed 500-600 nm thickness. By using alternative fluorine sources in the electrolyte solution, such as ammonium fluoride (NH₄F) or sodium fluoride (NaF) instead of HF, increased nanotube lengths (greater than 2 μm) are possible [59-61]. Additionally, the original electrolyte solutions were aqueous-based; since then, it has been found that increased control of nanotube morphology, smoother walls, and lengths greater than 7 μm can be achieved using inorganic solvents such as ethylene glycol and glycerol [62-63]. For aqueous-based electrolytes, it was found that nanotubes of greater mechanical robustness can be achieved using the addition of acetic acid to the HF electrolyte in a 1:7 ratio [43]. A trend in nanotube growth that is consistent, no matter the electrolyte
concentration, is an increase in nanotube diameter as a result of increased applied voltage.

Figure 2.1-1. (a) Schematic drawing of the electrochemical anodization setup. (b) Depending on fabrication variables (i.e. voltage, electrolyte concentration, temperature, and pH), the solid oxide layer can be either compact, or nanotubular (nanoporous) [44].

There are several comprehensive review articles which present a mechanistic model of nanotube formation by electrochemical anodization, in particular the reviews by Mor, et al. and Macak, et al. [43-44]. The formation of nanotube arrays in a fluoride containing electrolyte can be summarized as the result of three simultaneously occurring processes:

**Process 1:** Field assisted oxidation of the metal to form an oxide,

**Process 2:** Field assisted dissolution of the metal ions in the electrolyte, and
Process 3: Chemical dissolution of the metal and metal oxide due to etching by fluoride ions.

During the first process, oxide formation occurs due to the interaction of O²⁻ or OH⁻ ions with the metallic surface. Anodic oxidation of a metal (Me) to form compact oxide or nanotubes can be most simply summarized as the competition between the anodic oxide layer formation according to reaction (1):

\[
\text{Me} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{MeO}_2 + 4\text{e}^- + 4\text{H}^+ \quad (1)
\]

and chemical dissolution of the oxide layer as soluble fluoride complexes such as shown in reaction (2):

\[
\text{MeO}_2 + 6\text{F}^- \rightarrow [\text{MeF}_6]^2^- \quad (2)
\]

Chemical dissolution can also occur through direct complexation of metal cations at the oxide/electrolyte interface according to reaction (3):

\[
\text{Me}^{4+} + 6\text{F}^- \rightarrow [\text{MeF}_6]^2^- \quad (3)
\]

Reaction (1) describes the oxide growth due to anodization in a fluoride-free electrolyte (e.g. H₂SO₄). This results in the formation of a thin oxide layer that covers the entire metal surface, as depicted in Figure 2.1-2(a). As the oxide layer grows, the field within the oxide is reduced; thus the process is self-limiting, and results in a finite oxide thickness [44].
In the presence of a fluoride-containing electrolyte, the process becomes a competition between the reactions (1)-(3), as depicted in Figure 2.1-2 (b). Once the initial oxide layer is formed, the O²⁻ and OH⁻ anions migrate towards the metal/oxide interface where they react with the metal. At this point, Process 2 dominates over Process 3, meaning that the field-assisted dissolution of the metal occurs more rapidly than the chemical dissolution of the metal and metal oxide. As a result, small pits begin to form due to the localized dissolution of the oxide. These pits grow larger into pores due to the etching of the oxide layer at oxide/electrolyte interface of the pore bottom (barrier layer) and oxidation at the oxide/metal interface as shown schematically in Figure 2.1-2(b) [43]. The Ti⁴⁺ cations migrate from the metal to the oxide/electrolyte interface and are then dissolved into the electrolyte.
A typical current–time curve of electrochemical anodization resulting in flat layers (black curve) and nanotube formation (red curve) is illustrated in Figure 2.1-3(a). Based on the current transient, the tube formation process can be segmented into three phases which correspond to different surface morphologies on the surface (Figure 2.1-3(b)) [44]. First, a barrier oxide layer is formed, which results in an exponential decay of the current (phase I). The surface then becomes locally activated and pores begin to grow randomly, causing the current to increases again due to an increase in active area in the porous structure (phase II). As the pores grow, they start interfering with each other, competing for available current [44]. Under optimized conditions, the pores eventually begin to equally share the available current, resulting in self-ordering under steady state conditions (phase III) [44]. This sequence of events at the titanium surface can be observed by SEM [64].

As depicted in Figure 2.1-3(c), the steady state tube growth occurs when the rate of oxide dissolution at the inner pore bottom \( (v_1) \) eventually equilibrates with the rate of oxide growth at the metal/oxide interface \( (v_2) \), outer pore bottom) [44]. From then on the oxide layer thickness remains the same, but moves deeper into the metal substrate.
Figure 2.1-3. Schematic depiction of (a) characteristic current transients for Ti anodization with and without fluorides in the electrolyte; (b) corresponding evolution of the TiO$_2$ morphology; (c) steady state growth situation characterized by equal rates of TiO$_2$ dissolution ($v_1$) and formation ($v_2$) [44].
One phenomenon of nanotube formation that is not clearly understood is the reason for separation into tubes, as opposed to a nanoporous structure (as in the case of anodized aluminum oxide, or AAO). In order to more clearly describe the formation of the nanotube array, Figure 2.1-4 depicts the ideal sequence of events as they occur at the surface, as described by Mor, et al. [43]. The first step is the formation of a thin oxide layer on the surface of the metal (Figure 2.1-4(a)). Secondly, small pits begin to form in the oxide layer due to localized dissolution of the oxide (Figure 2.1-4(b)). The pores continue to grow as a result of the thin barrier layer at the pore bottom which increases electric field intensity (Figure 2.1-4(c)). At this stage, the pore surface is not affected by field-assisted dissolution, but at the curved pore bottom, resulting in pore widening, and a scallop-shaped pore. Mor, et al. attributes the high energy of the Ti-O bond (323 kJ/mol) to the fact that TiO₂ nanotubes can only form with relatively thin walls due to low ion mobility and high chemical solubility, which results in un-anodized metallic portions in between pores at the beginning stages of anodization. However, as the pores become deeper, the field assisted dissolution becomes concentrated on the protruding metallic portions resulting in enhanced field-assisted oxide growth and dissolution, and thus inter-pore voids begin to form (Figure 2.1-4(d)). Subsequently, the pores and voids
grow in equilibrium until the electrochemical etch rate equals the chemical dissolution rate at the top surface of the nanotubes (Figure 2.1-4(d)). The nanotube length increases with anodization time until the chemical dissolution rate at the tube opening (top surface) and the rate of inward movement of the barrier layer at the bottom of the tube become equal. Hence, when a greater voltage is applied, the rates of oxidation and field-assisted dissolution are faster than the rate of chemical dissolution, enabling greater nanotube thicknesses to be achieved before equilibrium is reached [43]. As expected, the rate of each of the key Processes 1 – 3 must be controlled by careful control of anodization conditions in order to obtain well-organized nanotubes.

**Figure 2.1-4.** Schematic illustrating the ideal sequence of events at the titanium surface during electrochemical anodization. (a) Oxide layer formation; (b) pit formation on the oxide layer, (c) growth of the pit into scallop shaped pores, (d) metallic part between the pores becomes a void due to oxidation and field-assisted dissolution, and (e) a fully developed nanotube array. Schematic revised from [43].
It must be noted, however, that Figure 2.1-4 describes ideal nanotube formation under perfect anodization conditions. During the actual observed process of tube formation, the chemical dissolution of TiO$_2$ occurs over the entire tube length; thus as the nanotube length increases, the tubes become increasingly V-shaped (thin walls at the top, thick walls at the bottom) due to a higher rate of chemical etching at the tube opening than at the pore bottom [44]. The tube surface morphology can be over- or under-etched due to an un-optimized chemical etching rate. This can largely be controlled by optimizing the HF concentration or experimental temperature [65]. In extreme cases, the rate of chemical dissolution at the tube opening can be too high, resulting in tubes with broken walls and disordered bundling at the top surface. Macak, et al. refers to this phenomenon as rapid breakdown anodization (RBA) [44].

Another key point regarding the formation of nanotubes via electrochemical anodization is that although tube formation is a self-organized process, the pit formation is not well organized to begin with, as mentioned earlier. The beginning stages of pore formation are not unidirectional, but may veer in any direction. However, as the pores develop, the process becomes more organized. Thus, the top surface of nanotubes may have a disorganized surface. Several approaches have been taken to achieve a very high degree of nanotube ordering, in
particular a hexagonal close-packed structure. Four factors have been found to strongly influence the degree of ordering: (i) the electrolyte solvent (the use of highly viscous organic electrolyte solutions, such as ethylene glycol or glycerol has been shown to significantly increase ordering over aqueous solutions) [44], (ii) the anodization voltage (the highest possible voltage just below dielectric breakdown) [44], (iii) the purity of the material (high purity Ti results in more ordered tubes) [44], and (iv) repeated anodization (the tube bottom imprints serve as “pre-ordering” guides for subsequent anodic tube initiation and growth) [66].

The ability to produce highly organized vertical nanotube arrays is a highly complex process in which careful optimization of each experimental parameter must be considered. Further interesting variations to the nanotube geometry, morphology, and size, as well as phenomena such as two size self-organization of the nanotube diameter [44], have been demonstrated which are not discussed herein. Due to extensive research, the formation of TiO$_2$ nanotubes has become a relatively well-understood process enabling highly tunable nanotube morphology and features using simple and inexpensive laboratory materials. The application of these unique nanostructures are thus of great interest for furthering the advancement of nanotechnology.
2.2 Osteoblast and Mesenchymal Stem Cell Growth and Functionality on TiO$_2$ Nanotubes

2.2.1 Experimental Methods

**TiO$_2$ Nanotube Fabrication**

TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces were created using a two-electrode-setup anodization process, as described previously [1, 67]. Briefly, a 0.25 mm thick cp-Ti sheet (Alfa-Aesar, 99.5% metals basis, USA) was used for this process. The nanotubes were prepared in a 1:7 volumetric ratio of acetic acid (99.99% purity, Sigma–Aldrich) to 0.5% w/v hydrofluoric acid in water (48% w/v, EM Science, USA) at 5, 10, 15 and 20 V for 30 min. A platinum electrode (99.9% pure, Alfa-Aesar, USA) served as the cathode. The samples were then washed with deionized water, dried at 80 °C and heat treated at 500 °C for 2 h in order to crystallize the as-fabricated amorphous structured TiO$_2$ nanotubes into an anatase structure. The anatase phase was confirmed by Raman spectrometry using an argon laser (Horiba model iHR320 imaging spectrometer, at 514.5 nm wavelength).

The samples (1.27 X 1.27 cm$^2$) used for all experiments were sterilized by autoclaving prior to use. A flat Ti sheet cut into identically sized pieces was used as a control after being chemically cleaned by acetone and isopropanol for 10 min in an ultrasonic cleaner, dried and autoclaved.
**Atomic Force Microscopy**

An atomic force microscope (AFM) was used to characterize the roughness of the samples. The AFM apparatus was a Veeco scanning probe multi-mode microscope with a nanoscope IV controller. The average roughness (Ra) was measured for all experimental samples (Ti and 30–100 nm TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces) in tapping mode using Micromasch tapping cantilever tips (NSC15/NoAl) over a 1.0 μm$^2$ scan area.

**Contact Angle Measurement**

The measurement of contact angle for the 30–100 nm TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces was carried out by a video contact angle measurement system (Model No. VSA 2500 XE, AST Products, Inc.).

**XRD Measurement**

Phase transition and crystallization of annealed TiO$_2$ nanotube samples were confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis data (Rigaku Gelgerflex model D/Max-IIB) using a maximum potential of 50 kV and current of 32 mA.

**Osteoblast Cell Culture**

For these studies, MC3T3-E1 mouse osteoblast (CRL-2593, subclone 4, ATCC, USA) were used. Each 1 ml of cells was mixed with 10 ml of alpha minimum essential medium (α-MEM; Invitrogen, USA) in the presence of 10
vol. % fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, USA) and 1 vol. % penicillin–streptomycin (PS; Invitrogen, USA). The cell suspension was plated in a cell culture dish and incubated at 37 °C in a 5 vol % CO₂ environment. When the concentration of the MC3T3-E1 osteoblastic cells reached ~3 X 10⁵ cells ml⁻¹, they were seeded onto the experimental substrate of interest (TiO₂ or Ti), which was placed on a 12-well polystyrene plate and stored in a CO₂ incubator for 24, 48 and 72 h to observe the cell morphology and count the number of viable cells attached as a function of incubation time. The concentration of the cells seeded onto the specimen substrate was 1 X 10⁴ cells per well.

**Mesenchymal Stem Cell Culture**

Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) were obtained from Lonza Corporation. The cell growth media were composed of α-MEM (Invitrogen), 10% Fetal Calf Serum (FCS) (Invitrogen), 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen). For preparing positive control in this research, osteogenic inducing media were also prepared by adding 10nM dexamethasone (Sigma), 150 μg/mL L-ascorbic acid (Sigma), and 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (Calbiochem) to cell growth media. The cells were cultured in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37 °C. All experiments of hMSCs were conducted with cultures at passage 4.
**Cell Counting and Viability Test**

In order to count adhered osteoblasts on experimental specimens, a Coulter particle counter (Model Z-1, Beckman Coulter Inc., USA) was utilized. Fluorescein diacetate (FDA; Sigma, USA) staining was conducted to measure cell elongation. At 2 and 24 h after plating, the cells on the substrates were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (1X PBS) solution (Invitrogen, USA) and incubated for approximately 30 s with FDA stock (5 mg dissolved in 1 ml of acetone) dissolved in PBS (10 μl/10 ml), and washed once more. The samples were then inverted onto coverslips, mounted, visualized and photographed using a fluorescence microscope with a green filter (DM IRB, Leica Co., USA).

**SEM for Substrate and Cell Morphological Examination**

After 2 and 24 h of culture, the cells on the substrates were washed with PBS and fixed with 2.5% w/v glutaraldehyde (Sigma, USA) in PBS for 1 h. After fixation, they were washed three times with PBS for 15 min each wash. The cells were then dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (50, 70, 90 and 100 vol %) for 30 min each and left in 100% ethanol to be dried by a critical point dryer (EMS 850, Electron Microscopy Science Co., USA). Next, the dried samples were sputter-coated with gold for examination by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The morphology of the TiO₂
nanotubes as well as that of the adhered cells were observed using an XL30 scanning electron microscope (FEI Co., USA).

**Data Analysis for Cell and Nuclear Elongation/Area**

To quantify the differences in cell morphology observed in the SEM results for 2 and 24 h, the major and minor axes were measured by use of the scale bar and the major/minor ratio was used as the elongation ratio. For nuclear morphology, boundaries of the DAPI-stained nuclei were outlined by using Image J software, a public domain image processing and analysis program developed by the NIH. The nucleus major and minor axes and spreading area were measured by Image J. For the purposes of this study, the major and minor axes results were displayed as a ratio for elongation.

**Real-Time PCR**

After 2 weeks of culture, total RNA of the cells on the Ti and TiO₂ nanotube substrates were extracted with TRIzol (Sigma), and reverse transcribed into cDNA by qScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta BioSciences). Real-time PCR was performed by Taqman Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems), and the information of Taqman PCR primer is as follows: GAPDH (Hs99999905_m1; Amplicon length, 122), ALP (Hs01029141_g1; Amplicon length, 71), OCN (Hs00609452_g1; Amplicon length, 74) and OPN (Hs00960942_m1; Amplicon length, 63). Real-time
PCR was carried out by using Taqman Fast Universal PCR Master Mix and 7900 HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). cDNA samples (1 μL for total volume of 20 μL) were analyzed for gene of interest and for house-keeping gene GAPDH. The comparison test of cycle-threshold point was used to quantify the gene expression level of each sample. In this study, all levels of expression were normalized by the level of expression of positive control (hMSCs cultured with osteogenic inducing media).

**Alkaline Phosphatase Activity Test**

After the selected incubation periods, the samples were washed by PBS and transferred to a new 12-well polystyrene culture plate. A 500 μl quantity of 0.1% w/v Triton X-100 (Sigma, USA) was added to each well to study the lysis of cells. After incubating in the 5% CO₂ incubator for 2 h, the solutions were transferred (2 ml) to a microcentrifuge tube and frozen at -80 °C for 2 h. After running three freeze–thaw cycles to homogenize the solutions, aliquots of the solutions were used to measure the total protein content (Bradford protein assay kit, Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) and 350 μl of the solutions was used for the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity test. An equal volume (350 μl) of ALP substrate solution (ELPN-500, Bio-Assay Systems, USA) was added to each solution and the solutions were mixed at room temperature for 30 min. After this time, 350 μl of 1 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH; Fisher Scientific Co., USA) was added to stop the
reaction. The absorbance of each solution was measured at a wavelength of 405 nm by UV–vis spectrophotometer.

**Statistical Analysis and Error Bars on Graphs**

Sigma Plot software (2001), which specializes in scientific data analysis and presentation, was utilized to demonstrate the statistical significance of the assays. The graphs show the average ± standard error bars associated, with the sample size (or N values) shown in a box in the upper portion of each graph.

2.2.2 Results and Discussion

TiO$_2$ nanotubes were first investigated as a biomaterial for enhanced bone growth in 2006 by the Jin Lab. It was demonstrated that the nanotube surface significantly accelerated osteoblast adhesion and proliferation and enhanced bone mineral formation when compared to non-modified titanium surfaces [1]. These initial experiments were performed on TiO$_2$ nanotubes of ~100 nm diameter and ~300 nm height, with a wall thickness of ~10 nm. As was mentioned in Section 2.1, precise control of the nanotube diameter is possible by varying the applied voltage during anodization. In order to further understand the influence of the nanotube architecture on bone cell behavior, a series of studies were performed in which the lateral spacing of the nanotube system was varied by altering the nanotube diameter from 30, 50, 70, and 100 nm, as
depicted in Figure 2.2-1. The average surface roughness (Ra) and contact angle measurements for the corresponding flat Ti and various nanotube surfaces are reported in Figure 2.2-1(b).

**Figure 2.2-1.** Physical characterization of different size nanotube surfaces. (a) SEM micrographs of self-aligned TiO$_2$ nanotubes with different diameters. The images show highly ordered nanotubes with four different pore sizes between 30-100nm. (b) Table with average roughness (Ra) and surface contact angle measurements for Ti and 30-100nm TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces.

As described in Section 2.2.1, the as-formed TiO$_2$ nanotubes were heat treated at 500° C for 2 h in order to reduce residual fluorides, and to crystallize the as-fabricated amorphous TiO$_2$ nanotubes into anatase. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed to confirm the crystal structure after annealing. The XRD pattern (**Figure 2.2-2**) indicates that both Ti (blue) and anatase TiO$_2$ (green) and are present. The intense peaks indicating a large amount of Ti are reasonable considering that the nanotubes are a thin film on an underlying bulk Ti substrate. The peak intensity ratios of the Ti pattern differ largely from the intensity ratios of the experimental pattern. This is likely due to insufficient randomness present
in the bulk Ti underlying substrate, since the scan area of the
diffractometer used is relatively small. The anatase form of TiO₂ has a
tetragonal crystal structure with \( a = b = 3.784 \text{ Å} \), and \( c = 9.515 \text{ Å} \) [68]. It
has been shown previously that osteoblast cells are able to distinguish
between rutile and anatase forms of TiO₂, and that they prefer the
anatase phase of nanostructured surfaces [1, 69]. Thus all TiO₂ nanotubes
utilized in this study were of anatase phase.

![X-ray diffraction pattern of TiO₂ nanotubes after annealing at 500 °C for 2 h reveals the presence of anatase (green peaks) and titanium (blue peaks) crystal structures. The intensity of the titanium peaks is very high due to the underlying bulk Ti substrate.](image-url)

**Figure 2.2-2.** X-ray diffraction pattern of TiO₂ nanotubes after annealing at 500 °C for 2 h reveals the presence of anatase (green peaks) and titanium (blue peaks) crystal structures. The intensity of the titanium peaks is very high due to the underlying bulk Ti substrate.
2.2.3 Effect of Nanotube Size on Osteogenic Behavior

Most bone implant materials are placed in direct contact with both adult bone and bone marrow tissue, and thus are exposed to two main cell types: osteoblasts (bone cells) and mesenchymal stem cells (bone marrow cells). In order to develop an understanding of the role of the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface in vitro, the behavior of osteoblast cells and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) was studied on a series of nanotube sizes shown in Figure 2.2-1. Experimental conditions and sample preparation techniques were held constant in both studies, while only the cell type was varied. The cell morphology of both osteoblast and MSCs were analyzed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). In both studies, an increase in cell elongation was observed as a function of nanotube diameter, as shown in Figure 2.2-3. On the flat Ti substrate, both cell types are flat, spread out, and round-shaped; they are somewhat flat and rounded on 30 nm nanotubes, and they become progressively elongated as the nanotube diameter is increased to 50 nm diameter and beyond. It is evident that the nanotubes with diameters of 70 and 100 nm induce extraordinary cell elongation (see red arrows and brackets) after 24 h of culture. In addition, the elongated leading edges of lamellipodia (yellow arrows) of both cell types indicate that the cell morphologies are more mobile on the 70 and 100 nm nanotubular surfaces.
Figure 2.2-3. SEM micrographs of (a) human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) and (b) mouse osteoblast cells (MC3T3-E1) on flat Ti and 30, 50, 70, and 100 nm diameter TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces after 24 h of culture (scale bar, 100 μm). Red arrows (a) and brackets (b) emphasize extraordinary cell elongation; yellow arrows indicate elongated leading edges of lamellipodia.

The number of cells that adhered to each surface was measured as a function of incubation time. The results of the MSC study are shown in Figure 2.2-4(a), and the results of the osteoblast study are shown in Figure 2.2-4(c). The highest number of adhered cells in both studies was found on the 30 nm diameter nanotube surface. In addition, the cell elongation of both experiments was quantified by calculating the ratio of cell length to width; the data of the MSC experiment is shown in Figure 2.2-4(b), and the data from the osteoblast experiment is shown in Figure 2.2-4(d). As was observed in the SEM images in Figure 2.2-3, both cell types become increasingly elongated as the nanotube pore size increases. However, comparing the cell adhesion versus the cell elongation in Figure 2.2-4, it is
apparent that these phenomena follow opposite trends as a function of nanotube diameter.

![Graphs showing cell number and elongation](image)

**Figure 2.2-4.** Comparative graphs showing the influence of nanotube diameter on cell number and elongation at early incubation time points. MSC cell number versus incubation time (a), and MSC elongation (length to width ratio) as a function of nanotube diameter at 2 and 24 h (b). Osteoblast cell number versus incubation time on each substrate (c) and osteoblast cell elongation as a function of nanotube diameter at 2 and 24 h (d).

The results of the osteoblast and MSC cell studies indicate that the nanotube dimensions play an important role in the initial cell response to the surface, as indicated by the cell adhesion and elongation behavior.
As was emphasized in Section 1.3, the mechanism through which a cell senses and attaches to a surface is through integrins, which in fact do not sense the surface, but proteins adhered to the surface. Thus, in order to understand the behavior of cells on the nanotube topography, it is important to investigate the manner with which proteins adsorb onto the substrates. Figure 2.2-5 shows scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of proteins adsorbed onto the flat Ti, and 30, 50, 70, and 100 nm diameter nanotube surfaces after 2 hours of incubation in cell culture medium. While the presence of protein aggregates is infrequent on Ti, there is an abundance of aggregates on the 30 nm nanotubes. However, the proteins on the larger-diameter 70 and 100 nm nanotubes are few and spaced farther apart. It is evident from these micrographs that the nanotube diameter causes distinct differences in the number and placement of proteins on the surface.

Figure 2.2-5. SEM micrographs showing protein adsorption on the surfaces of flat Ti and 30, 50, 70, and 100 nm diameter TiO₂ nanotubes after 2 h incubation in growth medium.

The phenomena of cell adhesion vs. elongation on the nanotube surfaces can be explained by the pattern of protein adsorption on each
of the substrates. The small pore size of the 30 nm surface allows for proteins to adsorb in a more tightly knit fashion, which enables the cells to adhere easily. Additionally, the 30 nm substrate does not direct the cells to move/stretch in any specific direction since proteins are everywhere. In contrast, the placement of proteins on the larger (70 and 100 nm) nanotube topographies encourages cell spreading due to the adsorption of proteins only on the nanotube wall rims, thus inducing a fixed distance between proteins and encouraging the cell to spread in order to find adhesion proteins. It is probable that the cells are required to expand their filopodia across larger distances, thus inducing the elongated cell shape. This would also affect the ability of the cell to adhere to the surface, which explains the lower number of adhered cells on the larger diameter substrates.

In addition to the observations of cell adhesion and elongation, the MSC and osteoblast cell behavior was also analyzed in terms of bone-forming functionality. In the MSC study, quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis was performed in order to estimate the relative transcript levels of alkaline phosphatase (ALP), osteocalcin (OCN), and osteopontin (OPN) gene expressions. The presence of these three genes is important because ALP is an enzyme produced by cells which indicates their bone-forming ability, while OCN and OPN are proteins found in bone.
The data from the PCR analysis, shown in Figure 2.2-6(a), demonstrates significantly higher gene expression of all three genes on the larger diameter (70 and 100 nm) substrates when compared to the flat Ti and smaller diameter (30 and 50 nm) substrates, indicating osteogenic differentiation. Similarly, alkaline phosphatase activity of the osteoblast cells was measured on each of the experimental culture substrates. The results from the osteoblast study portray the same trend of increasing ALP activity with increasing nanotube diameter (Figure 2.2-6(b)). These results are evidence that the nanotube diameter causes an up-regulation in the markers of bone formation.

![Figure 2.2-6](image)

**Figure 2.2-6.** Comparative graphs showing the trend of MSC and osteoblast cell functionality with increasing nanotube diameter. (a) Quantitative PCR analysis for ALP, OCN, and OPN after 3 wk mesenchymal stem cell culture. Plastic cell culture plate with osteogenic inducing media was used as a positive control for osteogenic differentiation. (b) ALP activity after 24 and 48 h osteoblast incubation.

Since the cell elongation and cell functionality followed the same increasing trends as a function of nanotube diameter, it can be
speculated that there is a correlation between the two phenomena. Interestingly, in addition to the highly elongated cell shape on the large diameter nanotube surfaces, the cell nuclei on these surfaces were also elongated (by 20-25%, data not shown). It is likely that the elongation of the cell nuclei is a result of the stretching of the cytoskeletal morphology of the cell. Researchers have indicated that cytoskeletal reorganization can cause nuclei distortion, which may promote differences in DNA behavior due to mechanical restraints within the nuclei [70-71]. Therefore, it is evident that the large diameter nanotube substrate induces cell elongation, and thus nuclei distortion, which may cause osteoblast and MSCs to produce markers of bone formation and osteogenic differentiation more readily than on a flat substrate.

The overall trends of the nano cue effects on osteoblast and stem cell morphology and fate can be summarized by the schematic illustration in Figure 2.2-7. It was observed that with increasing nanotube diameter cell adhesion and growth decreased (solid red line), in a similar manner as protein particle density (broken red line). In contrast, both osteoblast and MSCs demonstrated a higher degree of osteogenic differentiation (solid blue line) with increasing nanotube size, analogous to the trend of cell elongation (broken blue line).
Figure 2.2-7. Schematic illustration of the overall trends of nano cue effects on cell fate and morphology. The change in cell adhesion and growth without differentiation (solid red line) has the same trend as protein particle density (broken red line), whereas that of differentiation (solid blue line) has the same trend as cell elongation (broken blue line).

2.3 Conclusions & Future Directions

The findings of these two studies give light to increased understanding of the role of nanostructure dimensions for enhanced biomaterial surface design. However, the nanotube size in these studies was restrained to a maximum diameter of 100 nm due to the limitations of TiO<sub>2</sub> anodization in an aqueous hydrofluoric acid electrolyte as was used for preparation of these surfaces. Anodization methods that enable the fabrication of larger diameter nanotube arrays have been reported, even to as large as 350 nm diameter using an electrolyte consisting of diethylene glycol with low concentrations of hydrofluoric acid (HF) [72]. However, attempts in our laboratory to utilize such large diameter
nanotube arrays for cell culture studies have determined that arrays fabricated in such inorganic electrolyte solutions tend to be extremely fragile, with a tendency to delaminate. Nevertheless, with careful control of anodization protocols, eventual progress may be made which enables the growth of mechanically strong nanotubes with large diameter pore openings. It is recommended that with such surfaces, further investigation be made into the osteoblast and MSC behavior at diameters beyond 100 nm.

The size effect of the nano dimensions of TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces raises the question of whether the trend is unique to the TiO$_2$ nanoarchitecture fabricated via electrochemical anodization, or if osteogenic behavior would be similar on various sizes of nanotubes of different surface chemistries. In 2009 an interesting study was published by Bauer, et al. in which the size selective behavior of MSCs was analyzed on ZrO$_2$ nanotubes as well as TiO$_2$ nanotubes coated with a conformal layer of AuPd [73]. Bauer, et al. observed that the different surface chemistries did not affect the diameter dependence of cell adhesion or proliferation. However, Bauer, et al.’s study only included brief time points, and did not assess the osteogenic behavior of the cells on the nanotubes of different surface chemistries. We believe that in order to provide a more complete understanding of the osteogenic affects of the nanotube substrate in vitro
and in vivo, it is necessary to investigate the cell behavior on surface chemistries aside from TiO$_2$ at advanced time points. The focus of the following sections of this dissertation is to investigate the bone-forming ability of osteoblast and mesenchymal stem cells on the nanotube architecture possessing additional surface chemistries, including zirconium oxide (ZrO$_2$), carbon, tantalum, and tantalum oxide (Ta$_2$O$_5$). The Jin lab has demonstrated that various surface chemistries on the same nanotube architecture do affect multiple cell types differently [74], as will be described in detail in the following sections.

Chapter 2 is a reprint in part of the material as it has been submitted to The Role of Biomaterials for Regenerative Medicine (submitted in response to invitation, June 2012) written by Christine J. Frandsen, Karla S. Brammer, and Sungho Jin. The dissertation author is a collaborating investigator and first author of the publication.
CHAPTER 3

3. Zirconium Oxide Nanotubes

To date, a large part of the interest has remained on titanium oxide (TiO$_2$) nanotubes because it is well known that titanium (Ti) is a biocompatible orthopedic material which provides an excellent osseointegrative surface. However, little notice has been given to zirconium oxide (ZrO$_2$) nanotubes, which are formed via the similar self-assembled mechanism as TiO$_2$ nanotubes, through an electrochemical anodization process [75]. Zirconium (Zr) is similar to titanium in that it possesses a thin passivation oxide layer which makes it highly resistant to corrosion in bodily fluids [76]. In fact, while the corrosion resistance and biocompatibility of certain Zr alloys are as good as those of Ti alloys, the mechanical properties have been found to be superior to those of the commonly used Ti-6Al-4V alloy [77]. Furthermore, a recent study by Bauer and co-workers demonstrated that mesenchymal stem cells react in the same manner to ZrO$_2$ nanotubes, AuPd-coated TiO$_2$ nanotubes, and as-formed TiO$_2$ nanotubes [73]. Their results indicate that the cell response is chiefly due to nanotopographical cues instead of a specific surface chemistry pertaining only to TiO$_2$. 
3.1 ZrO₂ Nanotube Surface Prompts Increased Osteoblast Functionality and Mineralization

A more recent advancement in orthopedic biomaterials research has been made with the introduction of oxidized zirconium metal (for example, Oxinium by Smith & Nephew, Memphis, TN), a new material composed of a metallic zirconium alloy core with a very smooth oxidized zirconium (ZrO₂) ceramic surface [78]. This advanced hybrid biomaterial provides excellent wear resistance, e.g., as an articulating knee implant surface, without the brittleness of other ceramics [79]. However, the backside of the implant which attaches to the femur bone may not osseointegrate as well as is necessary when a cementless fixation technique is used, as indicated by a voluntary product withdrawal in August 2003 [80]. Cementless fixation can be a preferred fixation method in the knee, since the use of bone-cement has been identified as one of the contributing factors leading to debris at the articulating surface, which produces scratching of the femoral head and accelerated implant wear [78]. Thus an improved surface technology that would eliminate the need for bone-cement would be preferred. Based on prior studies on the interaction of osteoblast cells with TiO₂ nanotube surfaces [1-3, 67, 81-82], we hypothesize that the employment of a ZrO₂ nanotube surface on the bone-integrating surfaces of an oxidized zirconium implant may promote osteoblast cell growth and support ossification.
3.1.1 Experimental Methods

ZrO\textsubscript{2} Nanotubes Fabrication

ZrO\textsubscript{2} nanotube surfaces were created using a two-step electrochemical anodization process in order to create a more ordered final nanotube structure [75]. The zirconium foil (thickness, 0.25 mm; purity, 99.8 \%, Goodfellow, UK) was first cleaned by rinsing in acetone, isopropanol, and distilled water, and finally air dried. Anodization was performed using a two-electrode-setup consisting of a platinum electrode (thickness, 0.1 mm; purity, 99.99 \%; Alfa-Aesar, USA) as the cathode, and the zirconium foil as the anode. The first anodization step was performed using 0.75 mol/L ammonium fluoride (Sigma, USA) in 1 mol/L ammonium sulfate (Sigma, USA) in deionized water at 20 V for 30 min at room temperature. The first anodization layer was thoroughly removed by peeling away with adhesive tape, followed by 30 min of ultrasonic cleaning in an acetone bath. The second anodization step was performed using 0.15 mol/L ammonium fluoride (Sigma, USA) in 1 mol/L ammonium sulfate (Sigma, USA) in deionized water at 20 V for 15 min at room temperature. The samples were then washed with deionized water, dried at 80\(^\circ\) C and heat treated at 300\(^\circ\) C for 6 h in order to reduce residual fluorides, and to crystallize the as-fabricated amorphous structured ZrO\textsubscript{2} nanotubes into a cubic ZrO\textsubscript{2} structure [45]. The samples
(1.27 x 1.27 cm²) used for all cell culture experiments were sterilized by autoclaving prior to use. A flat Zr sheet cut into identically sized pieces was used for a non-textured comparison after being chemically cleaned by acetone and isopropanol for 15 min in an ultrasonic cleaner, dried, and autoclaved.

**SEM for Substrate and Cell Morphological Examination**

After 24 h of culture, the cells on the substrates were washed with PBS and fixed with a mass fraction of 2.5 % glutaraldehyde (Sigma, USA) in PBS for 1 h. After fixation, they were washed three times with PBS for 15 min each wash. The cells were then dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (volume fractions of 50, 75, 90 and 100 %) for 30 min each and left in 100 % ethanol to be dried by a critical point dryer (EMS 850, Electron Microscopy Science Co., USA). Next, the dried samples were sputter-coated with palladium metallization for examination by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The morphology of the ZrO₂ nanotubes as well as that of the adhered cells was observed using a Phillips XL30 field emission environmental scanning electron microscope (FEI Co., USA).

**Contact Angle Measurement**

The static contact angle of a water droplet on the sample surface was measured by placing 3 μl of water on the sample surface (CAM100, KSV instruments). The water droplet was spread over the sample surface
immediately after being placed on it, evident that ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface is of superhydrophilic nature as expected based on similar observation on TiO$_2$ nanotubes [2].

**XRD Measurement**

Phase transition and crystallization of annealed ZrO$_2$ nanotube samples were confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis data (Rigaku Gelgerflex model D/Max-IIB) using a maximum potential of 50 kV and current of 32 mA.

**Osteoblast Cell Culture**

For these studies, MC3T3-E1 mouse osteoblast cells (CRL-2593, subclone 4, ATCC, USA) were used. Each 1 ml of cryo-conserved stock was mixed with 10 ml of alpha minimum essential medium (αMEM; Invitrogen, USA) in the presence of a volume fraction of 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, USA) and a volume fraction of 1 % penicillin-streptomycin (PS; Invitrogen, USA). The cell suspension was plated in a polystyrene cell culture dish and incubated at 37° C in a volume fraction of 5 % CO$_2$ environment. Each 1.27 x 1.27 cm$^2$ experimental substrate (ZrO$_2$ nanotubes or flat Zr) was placed into individual wells of a 12-well polystyrene plate. The polystyrene (PS) culture dish was used as a control. When the cells reached confluency, the MC3T3-E1 osteoblastic cells were seeded at a concentration of 5x10$^4$ cells per well onto the experimental
substrates and stored in a CO₂ incubator for the experimental time durations. For experimental time points of 14 d of culture, cell media was changed at 7 d to osteogenic induced media, αMEM containing a volume fraction of 10 % FBS, a volume fraction of 1 % PS, 10 nmol/L dexamethasone (Sigma, USA), 150 μg/ml ascorbic acid (Sigma, USA) and 10 mmol/L β-glycerol phosphate (Sigma, USA). All experimental substrates were moved to a new 12-well dish before cell assays were performed in order to isolate the cells on the substrate of interest from cells on the surrounding polystyrene dish.

**Cell Spreading and Viability Test**

Fluorescein diacetate (FDA; Sigma, USA) staining was conducted to visualize viable cells and to measure cell spreading. At 24 and 48 h after plating, the cells on the substrates were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4, Invitrogen, USA) and incubated for approximately 30 seconds with FDA stock (5 mg dissolved in 1 ml of acetone) dissolved in PBS (10 μl/10 ml), and washed once more. The samples were then inverted onto coverslips, mounted, visualized and photographed using a fluorescence microscope with a FITC (494 nm excitation) filter (DM IRB, Leica, Co., USA).
**Data Analysis for Adhered Cell Count and Cell Spreading Area**

To quantify the number of adhered cells and the differences in cell morphology observed in the FDA staining results, the stained cells were outlined by using Image J software, a public domain image processing and analysis program developed by the NIH. The number of adhered cells and the total cell spreading area were measured by Image J analysis functions. The experiment was repeated in triplicate, and each sample was analyzed in six distinct areas.

**Immunofluorescence of Cytoskeletal Actin**

After 48 h of culture, the cells were fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. Once fixed, the cells were washed twice with wash buffer (PBS containing a volume fraction of 0.05 % Tween-20). To permeabilize the cells, 0.1 % Triton X-100 in PBS was added for 10 min, followed by washing twice with wash buffer. TRITC-conjugated phalloidin (1:1000 Chemicom International) in PBS was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature, after which the cells were washed three times with wash buffer for 5 min each wash. Samples were then inverted onto coverslips with a dab of Fluoromount-G (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA), visualized and photographed using a Rhodamine (536 nm excitation) filter by a fluorescence Leica, Co. DM IRB microscope.
**MTT Assay**

To estimate the metabolic activity of the cells, an MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay was employed. After the selected incubation periods, the samples were washed by PBS and transferred to a new 12-well polystyrene culture plate. Fresh cell culture media was added to each well, and the MTT dye agent was added in an amount equal to 10% of the culture media volume, according to manufacturer’s instructions (MTT kit, Sigma, USA). After 2 h of incubation in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator, 1 ml of solubilizing solution was added to each well and the polystyrene plate was shaken for 30 sec. The fluorescent absorbance of each solution was measured at a wavelength of 570 nm with the subtraction of the 650 nm background by ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrophotometer (Biomate™ 3, Thermo Electron Co., USA).

**Alkaline Phosphatase Activity Test**

In order to measure the bone forming ability of cells on the experimental surfaces, the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was detected using a colorimetric assay kit. Briefly, after the selected incubation periods, the samples were washed by PBS and transferred to a new 12-well polystyrene culture plate. Cells were then gently washed twice with phosphate buffer provided by the kit supplier, followed by the
addition of a volume fraction of 0.2% triton X-100 in phosphate buffer. The adherent cells were further scraped off of the sample substrate and collected in a microcentrifuge tube. Cell suspension was incubated on ice for 10 min under agitation, and then centrifuged at 2500 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants were stored at -80°C until further analysis by AnaSpec SensoLyte pNPP Alkaline Phophatase Assay Kit colorimetric assay (AnaSpec, Inc., USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The ALP values were normalized by protein content obtained by a BCA kit (Sigma, USA).

Matrix Mineralization

Alizarin red staining was employed to assess the ability of osteoblast cells to produce mineralized matrix. After 14 d of culture, the cells were washed by PBS and transferred to a new 12-well polystyrene culture plate. The cells were then fixed using a mass fraction of 2.5% gluteraldehyde (Sigma, USA) for 1 h at room temperature. Once fixed, the cells were washed three times with PBS for 10 min each wash. Alizarin red solution was prepared using a mass fraction of 2% alizarin red S (Sigma, USA) in distilled water, and the pH was adjusted to 4.2 using a volume fraction of 10% ammonium hydroxide. Alizarin red solution was added and incubated for 1 min at room temperature, and washed three times with
PBS. The samples were then photographed using a digital camera (Canon, USA).

Matrix mineralization was also analyzed by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) after 14 d of culture. After the experimental culture time was complete, the cells were trypsinized by trypsin EDTA 0.25 % (Invitrogen, USA) and allowed to air dry for SEM and EDX analysis. Identical samples were incubated in cell-free media as a control. The Oxford EDX attachment and Inca Software were used to determine elemental composition of deposited bone matrix.

Statistical Analysis and Error Bars on Graphs

Sigma Plot 11.0 software (2008) was utilized to demonstrate the statistical significance of the assays. The graphs show the average ± standard error bars associated, with p-values listed in the figure captions.

3.1.2 Results and Discussion

Previously, we have demonstrated an accelerated osteoblast proliferation rate and up-regulated alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity on TiO$_2$ nanotubes compared to flat Ti [1-2]. Since the advantages of a nanotube morphology have been well-concluded on TiO$_2$, the primary focus of this work was to observe the effects of similar ZrO$_2$ nanotopography on osteoblasts. Figure 3.1-1(a) shows SEM micrographs of the flat Zr, the top-view of the ZrO$_2$ nanotubes and the cross-sectional...
nanotube view after sample cleavage, respectively. The self-assembled nanotube layers were generated using a two-step anodization method of Zr sheets [75]. The images show highly ordered, vertically aligned nanotubes with a pore size of roughly 40 nm, and a length of 10 μm. Flat substrates of Zr with a native ZrO$_2$ oxide layer having a chemical composition analogous to that of the ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface were used as non-textured comparative surfaces. Polystyrene culture dishes were used as a control. The nanotube system possesses unique features that may contribute to possible benefits in the application of enhanced bone cell function in vivo [82]. For instance, a more defined, reproducible and reliable surface texturing is produced by electrochemical anodization in comparison to other micro- and macro-roughening techniques due to the self-assembly mechanism by which the anodization occurs. In addition, the nanotube architecture possesses a closed-end pore volume inside the tubes, as well as an interstitial volume between the nanotube walls which, as previously suggested [2], may allow for continued flow of culture media to the cells even after cell adhesion or confluency, enabling increased exchange spaces for gas, nutrients and cell signaling molecules, to possibly give an enhanced cell environment.
Figure 3.1-1. Physical characterization of different experimental surfaces. (a) SEM micrographs of the top view of the flat Zr and ZrO$_2$ nanotubes, and the cross-sectional view of the nanotubes after sample cleavage. (b) Table with the surface wettability contact angle measurements for flat Zr vs. ZrO$_2$ nanotube surfaces.

The experimental surfaces were characterized by contact angle measurements (Figure 3.1-1 (b)). The ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface was found to be extremely hydrophilic, with a measured contact angle of zero degrees. In contrast, the contact angle on the flat Zr was measured to be 57°. ZrO$_2$ nanotubes are superhydrophilic presumably due to the nature of the ZrO$_2$ oxide surface chemistry and wettability, similar to the well-known hydrophilic nature of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes[2]. Hydrophilic biomaterials are found in general to promote protein adsorption [83] and cell adhesion [84]. In addition, it can be speculated that the hydrophilic properties of the nanotube surface would encourage cell media to penetrate into the tubes via capillary effects [85], enabling the interstitial volume to act as a continuous supply of nutrients for the cells.
As described in Section 2.3.1, the as-formed ZrO₂ nanotubes were heat treated at 300°C for 6 h in order to reduce residual fluorides, and to crystallize the as-fabricated amorphous structured ZrO₂ nanotubes into a cubic structure [45]. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed to confirm the crystal structure after annealing. The XRD pattern (Figure 3.1-2) indicates that both cubic ZrO₂ (red) and hexagonal Zr (green) are present. The intense peaks indicating a large amount of Zr are reasonable considering that the underlying bulk Zr substrate. When comparing the experimental pattern with the Zr pattern (green), there are missing peaks noted at around 37° and 80° values of 2θ, corresponding to the (100) and (200) planes, respectively. Since Zr has a hexagonal close packed (HCP) structure, the (100) and (200) planes are identical, which explains why both peaks are missing on the experimental pattern. Since the Zr component corresponds to the bulk of the nanotube sample, it is reasonable to assume that the bulk Zr has insufficient randomness, leading to missing peaks in the diffraction pattern. The fact that the peak intensities of the experimental pattern and the Zr pattern do not match also indicates that the sample is insufficiently random.

When comparing the experimental pattern with the ZrO₂ pattern (red), one can note correlating peak intensities, indicating that the patterns are a good match. In addition, the peaks in the experimental
pattern corresponding to ZrO$_2$ reveal peak broadening when compared to the Zr peaks, which indicates that the ZrO$_2$ face centered cubic (FCC) crystal structure is mainly present in the nanotubes, and not in the bulk. This effect can be seen clearly by comparing the (102) green and (220) red peaks at around 60°. In addition, the left shoulder apparent on the (002) Zr peak at ~40° is likely due to broadening of the (200) ZrO$_2$ peak.

**Figure 3.1-2.** X-ray diffraction pattern of a ZrO$_2$ nanotube sample after annealing at 300 °C for 6 h shows the presence of tetragonal ZrO$_2$ (red pattern) and hexagonal Zr (green pattern). The mixed structure is expected due to the underlying bulk Zr substrate.

**Figure 3.1-3** presents quantitative cell number and spreading analysis of the adhered osteoblasts on the comparative substrates at 24 and 48 h of culture. FDA viability staining results were quantified using Image J image analysis software, and are depicted in **Figure 3.1-3(a)** and
(b). The number of adhered osteoblasts was found to be significantly higher on the ZrO$_2$ nanotube samples when compared to flat Zr at both time points. Furthermore, a significantly greater cell spreading area was revealed on the ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface over flat Zr. Visual comparison of the FDA viability staining of cells on each substrate at 24 h of culture (Figure 3.1-4) confirms evidence of greater cell spreading area on the ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface. In contrast, the cells on the flat Zr appear to be balled up and even clumped together, indicating that they may prefer to adhere to each other rather than the flat Zr substrate. 3-D imaging of the cell clusters would provide further insight to what is occurring at the surface. It would be worthwhile for future studies to include such experimentation.

Figure 3.1-3. Comparative cell number and spreading analysis. (a) Cell number vs. incubation time, (b) Cell spreading area vs. incubation time. The bar graph shows the mean ± standard error bars. The p-values after performing an ANOVA test reached statistical significance (p < 0.005): * indicates a significant difference between ZrO$_2$ nanotube substrates and flat Zr.
It is well known that the manner with which a cell adheres and spreads on a biomaterial is highly dependent on the presence and placement of adhesion proteins on a surface [84]. It has been previously suggested that the nanotube topography encourages cell spreading due to the adsorption of proteins only on the nanotube wall rims, thus inducing a fixed distance between proteins and encouraging the cell to spread in order to find adhesion proteins [2]. Prior studies have also shown an enhanced initial protein adsorption on a nanostructured zirconia surface due to strong electrostatic interactions [86]. This trend was confirmed on our ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface in a protein adhesion study after 2 h of incubation in cell culture media. A significantly higher amount of protein was measured on the nanotube surface when compared to the flat Zr and control polystyrene (Figure 3.1-5). It is also probable that the hydrophilic nature of the nanotube substrate has an effect on the cell adhesion and spreading. Since cell attachment and adherence are the
first phases of cell response to a biomaterial, it can be hypothesized that the nanotubular surface instigates a preferred initial cell response.

![Graph showing adhered protein after 2 h of incubation in cell culture media](image)

**Figure 3.1-5.** Relative amounts of adhered protein after 2 h of incubation in cell culture media. The bar graph shows the mean ± standard error bars. The p-value after performing an ANOVA test reached statistical significance (p < 0.001): * indicates a significant difference between ZrO$_2$ nanotube substrates and all other samples.

Immunofluorescent staining of the cytoskeletal actin in osteoblast cells cultured on each experimental substrate is shown in **Figure 3.1-6** at 48 h of culture. While there is evidence of prominent stress fiber formation on both substrates, a distinct difference can be observed in the cytoskeletal organization by visual comparison. The cells appear to form a stretched, multi-layer matrix of cells with crisscross patterned actin on the nanotube surface, versus an organized, single layer of cells on flat Zr. Additionally, the cells on the flat Zr surface exhibit fine stress fibers, whereas on the nanotube surface F-actin bundles formed contractile stress fibers, as
indicated by the yellow arrows (Figure 3.1-6). The contractile stress fibers and stretched, crisscross pattern apparent on the nanotube substrate could be evidence of intracellular tension which supports the formation of a well-developed cytoskeleton and cell spreading [87]. Furthermore, the transition from fine filaments of F-actin under no tension to thick contractile stress fibers is said to be a result of initial attachment of integrins to matrix ligands, triggering the activation of cell signaling molecules and eventually allowing for acto/myosin contraction [88]. The presence of contractile stress fibers on the nanotube substrate may indicate that the maturation of the cells is enhanced by the material topography.

**Figure 3.1-6.** Immunofluorescent images of cytoskeletal actin for osteoblast cells on flat Zr vs. ZrO₂ nanotube substrates. The images suggest that the nanotube substrate induces a cytoskeleton with crisscross patterned actin and contractile stress fibers (yellow arrows).

SEM micrographs of the adhered osteoblasts on flat Zr and ZrO₂ nanotubes after 24 h of incubation time are presented in Figure 3.1-7. On the flat Zr, the cells have very few cellular extensions or filopodia, whereas those cultured on the ZrO₂ nanotube surface possess significantly more
cellular extensions and filopodia propagation from the leading edges (red arrows). Evidence of more filopodia on the nanotube surface indicates that the cells may be reacting to the nanotopography by filopodial sensing. These trends in cell morphology are in agreement with the trends observed in our previous studies of osteoblast culture on TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces [2] as well as other studies which have analyzed cellular sensing of nanotopography via filopodia interaction [40]. It can be speculated that in both the TiO$_2$ and ZrO$_2$ nanotube cases, the cell-substrate interaction allows for an enhanced dynamic propagation and an overall increase in osteoblast activation, as indicated by the filopodia.

![SEM micrographs of osteoblast cells cultured on flat Zr and ZrO$_2$ nanotube surfaces. The red arrows highlight filopodia extending from the cells.](image)

**Figure 3.1-7.** SEM micrographs of osteoblast cells cultured on flat Zr and ZrO$_2$ nanotube surfaces. The red arrows highlight filopodia extending from the cells.

An MTT assay was utilized in order to measure the metabolic activity of the cells and to indirectly estimate the number of viable cells. The MTT results shown in **Figure 3.1-8(a)** reveal a significant difference between the flat Zr and the ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface at 24 and 48 h of incubation time. In addition, the trend observed in **Figure 3.1-8(a)** is comparable to the
trend revealed in the graph of the number of adhered cells as a function of incubation time (Figure 3.1-3(b)).

Figure 3.1-8. (a) MTT assay data showing the optical density (OD) of the reaction product of the MTT working solution and (b) ALP activity of osteoblast cells cultured on the control polystyrene (PS), flat Zr and ZrO$_2$ nanotube (NT) surfaces at 24 and 48 h of incubation. The bar graphs show the mean ± standard error bars. The p-values after performing an ANOVA test reached statistical significance (p < 0.001): * indicates a significant difference between ZrO$_2$ nanotube substrates and the bracketed groups.

The osteogenic functionality of the osteoblasts was assessed by measuring the alkaline phosphatase activity, an in vitro marker of bone formation, on each substrate. Figure 3.1-8(b) illustrates the ALP activity as a function of incubation time. There was found to be a significant increase in the ALP activity of the osteoblasts on the ZrO$_2$ nanotubes at both 24 and 48 h of incubation. This evidence of an up-regulation of ALP activity on the nanotube substrate supports the hypothesis that the ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface may induce early bone formation in osteoblast cells when compared to cells cultured on the flat Zr surface. It is also important to note that both the cell number (Figure 3.1-3(b)) and MTT data (Figure
3.1-8(a)) demonstrate a decrease in the ZrO$_2$ nanotube proliferation rate when compared to the proliferation rate on flat Zr. This trend of decreased proliferation on the nanotube surface also coincides with the increase in ALP activity, which is in agreement with the inverse relationship between growth and differentiation that many cell types exhibit in vitro. Investigations of osteoblast developmental stages have reported that proliferating osteoblasts demonstrate decreased expression of their phenotypic activities during rapid growth, while they begin to produce more ALP and other markers of osteoblast activity when cell replication rates slow down [89].

It is important to detect the ability of osteoblast cells to perform matrix mineralization, the final event of in vitro osteogenesis, in order to determine proper maturation of the cells. Alizarin red S staining, an early stage marker of calcium deposition by osteoblast cells, was used in these studies. Calcified extracellular matrix was detected after 14 d of culture on both experimental substrates, however it appeared to be more uniformly distributed in a dark red color on the ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface (Figure 3.1-9). In addition, SEM analysis after 14 d of culture revealed large areas of granular deposits on the nanotube substrate, which was present in lesser amount on the control Zr (Figure 3.1-10(a)). Upon close examination of the ZrO$_2$ NT image in Figure 3.1-10, the presence of small
pores is apparent. These pores are probably showing the underlying nanotube pore openings. The amount of mineralized matrix covering the nanotubes makes it difficult to see the nanotubes underneath. In contrast, the surface of the flat Zr does not appear to be altered much except for a few granules (indicated by yellow arrows). These results agree with previous findings which demonstrate an increased matrix production stimulated by a TiO$_2$ nanotube substrate [90-91]. Furthermore, the results of EDX analysis of the same 14 d samples compared to identical samples immersed in cell-free media (Figure 3.1-10(b)) revealed significantly higher amounts of calcium and phosphorus mineral elements (indicators of bone matrix formation) on the ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface.

When interpreting the EDX data, one must consider that the elements Zr and P have overlapping peaks (at 2.040 and 2.013 keV, respectively). This is a probable explanation for the unusually high amount of P present, when compared to the standard Ca:P ratio of hydroxyapatite (1.61). Thus, the EDX data is simply a verification of the presence of Ca and P minerals on the surface in relative amounts. This evidence of mineralized matrix after only 14 d of culture suggests that the ZrO$_2$ nanotube substrates have favorable effects on the ability of the osteoblast cells to mature when compared to the flat Zr substrate. These results are analogous to a study by Guo and coworkers which demonstrated an enhanced
bioactivity on the ZrO₂ nanotube surface by the formation of bone-like apatite in simulated body fluid immersion experiments [92]. Another study by Popat and coworkers showed similar increases in calcium and phosphorus content on TiO₂ nanotubes when compared to flat Ti [90]. In order to obtain further understanding of the bioactivity of our nanotube surface, the observations of matrix mineralization presented here should be confirmed in further studies by assessing ALP activity at later time points (beyond 48 h).

Figure 3.1-9. A photograph of matrix mineralization Alizarin red stain of osteoblast cells on the flat Zr and ZrO₂ nanotube substrates after 14 d of culture.
3.1 Extracellular matrix mineralization evaluation after 14 d of culture by scanning electron microscopy of matrix deposition on the flat Zr and ZrO$_2$ NT surfaces. There is some evidence of mineralized matrix on the flat Zr (yellow arrows), however the ZrO$_2$ NT surface is totally covered by mineralized matrix. (b) EDX analysis of the atomic percent of calcium and phosphorous mineral elements on the surfaces. The bar graphs show the mean ± standard error bars. The p-values after performing an ANOVA test reached statistical significance ($p < 0.05$): * and # indicate a significant difference between ZrO$_2$ nanotube substrates and flat Zr for calcium and phosphorous, respectively.

3.2 Conclusions & Future Directions

The intention of this study was to contribute toward improved osseointegrative surfaces, in particular for total knee replacement implant applications. Based on our findings, the ZrO$_2$ nanotube surface may provide valuable advantages over a flat Zr surface containing a native oxide layer of ZrO$_2$ in prompting an enhanced osteoblast cell response. The results presented here revealed similar trends to previously published
data on TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube substrates. However, a direct comparison of the ZrO\textsubscript{2} and TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube substrates would be an interesting and necessary avenue of future work. In addition, the present results were limited to a single length of nanotube. This was due to a common complication found among anodized nanotubes, in which a thicker oxide nanotube layer tends to cause delamination of the nanotube layer due to the stress accumulations. It will be interesting to study the effect of nanotube layer thickness on cell growth properties and mechanical properties (resistance to delamination, etc.), which will be reported in future publications.

In the present work we investigated the behavior of MC3T3-E1 osteoblast cells on vertically aligned, laterally spaced ZrO\textsubscript{2} nanotube structures. An overall improved bone cell response was observed on the nanotube substrate when compared to a flat Zr surface, including both the initial cellular response as well as bone cell maturation at further time points. Cell adhesion and spreading were significantly improved on the nanotube surface, in addition to the formation of a highly organized cytoskeleton with crisscross pattern actin. The cells cultured on the nanotube substrate also gave rise to increased ALP activity and the formation of a concentrated calcified extracellular matrix, both implications of improved osteoblast functionality and mineralization. This ZrO\textsubscript{2} nanotubular surface has substantial implications as an improved
osseointegrating biomedical material surface because of its large surface area and unique nanoscaled geometry which prompted an improved osteoblast response. The investigation of innovative nanotopographies of various metal-oxide materials, such as is presented in this chapter, offers significant advancement for the design of bone implant surface technologies.

Chapter 3 is a reprint in part of the material as it appears in Materials Science & Engineering C Volume 31 (8), 2011, Page 1716 written by Christine J. Frandsen, Karla S. Brammer, Kunbae Noh, Laura S. Connelly, Seunghan Oh, Li-Han Chen, and Sungho Jin. The dissertation is the primary investigator and author of this publication.
CHAPTER 4

4. Direct Comparison of Nanotube Architecture with Various Surface Chemical Modifications

Current research in the orthopedics industry to a large extent has been concentrated on advanced surface technologies that would instigate biological fixation, enhancing patient healing time and reducing chance for aseptic loosening. An increasing number of studies have shown that cells respond to minute changes in surface characteristics such as wettability [27], surface roughness [25-26], surface energy [93], nanotopography [87, 94-96], and surface chemistry [24]. The ideal orthopedic material surface would be composed of a unique combination of these surface properties, promoting the optimum environment for bone in-growth. A common trend in biomaterials research has been focused especially on the investigation of nanostructured material surfaces, since topographical cues on the nanometer scale have been linked to promoting cellular function and response [97]. However, while nanostructured surface geometries have provided exciting findings in the field of biomaterials research, only a few publications have directly compared nanostructures of various surface chemistries [74]. The history of orthopedic implant materials has made it obvious that the body tissues respond differently to surfaces depending
on the type of foreign material [98]. In fact, it has been proposed that there are two major mechanisms of osseointegration: mechanical interlocking through bone growth in pores (i.e. topography), and biochemical bonding (i.e. surface chemistry) [99]. There remains to be insufficient understanding on how these factors interact in producing biological responses [100]. This is largely due to the difficulty in varying surface chemistry and topography independently. Additionally, in vitro and in vivo studies involving surface chemistry are typically multifaceted and complex, due to the multitude of properties that can affect biochemical reactions at the surface (i.e. surface charge, isoelectric point, fluid flow, pH, ionic release from the surface, precipitation of biomolecules from the culture media/biological fluids) [99]. Nevertheless, we believe that a unique combination of surface chemistry and nanostructured geometry may provide a balance of defined characteristics towards an optimal orthopedic implant. Though the majority of related nanotopographical studies compare only nano-textured with non-textured surfaces of the same material, an important addition to this research would be the direct comparison of the same nanostructure with different surface chemistries. The advantages of the TiO₂ nanotube surface topography for orthopedic applications have been well outlined in Chapter 1. Since titanium is one of the most
commonly used orthopedic materials in use today, it is of great interest to compare any future materials with a well-recognized industry standard (Ti), in addition to the gold-standard in the Jin Laboratory (TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes).

4.1 Comparative Cell Behavior on Carbon-Coated TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanotube Surfaces for Osteoblasts vs. Osteo-Progenitor Cells

Though the majority of related studies compare only nanotextured with non-textured surfaces of the same material, an important addition to this research would be the direct comparison of the same nanostructure with different surface chemistries. Therefore, the studies included in this section and the two following (Section 4.2 and 4.3) were designed to provide a direct comparison of flat Ti and TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with the other potential surface chemistries to advance orthopedic implant technology. In order to accomplish this, we have implemented the concept of maintaining constant nanotube geometry (i.e. TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with 100 nm diameter as shown in Figure 2.2-1(a)) while varying the surface chemistry. The focus of this section is a carbon-coated TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube surface.

Carbon films deposited on metal in both its amorphous and crystalline forms has been investigated as potential biomedical materials, mainly because of its chemical inertness and naturally occurring presence.
in the human body [101-103]. The application of carbon films to materials that are sensitive to wear, such as Ti and Si, has been a convenient method that has shown significant potential for implant coating applications [104-110], specifically for orthopedic implants. The effect of a carbon thin film coating on the surface of TiO$_2$ nanotubes is thus of interest, and will be discussed in this section.

4.1.1 Experimental Methods

Nanotube Substrate Fabrication

TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces were created using a two-electrode setup, anodization process as described previously [1, 67]. Briefly, a cp-Ti sheet 0.25 mm thick, (Alfa-Aesar, 99.5% metals basis, USA) was used for this process. The nanotubes were prepared in a 1:7 volumetric ratio of acetic acid ($\geq$99.99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich) to 0.5 w/v% hydrofluoric acid in water (EM Science, 48 w/v %, USA) at 20 V for 30 minutes. A platinum electrode (Alfa-Aesar, 99.9%, USA) served as the cathode. The samples were then washed with deionized water, dried at 80°C, and heat-treated at 500ºC for 2 hours in order to crystallize the as-fabricated amorphous structured TiO$_2$ nanotubes into anatase structure. Carbon (C) films were DC-sputter deposited onto TiO$_2$ nanotube substrates from a pyrolytic graphite wafer (99.999 % purity) in a Nor-Cal sputtering system. The base pressure in the chamber before sputtering was $5 \times 10^{-7}$ mTorr. During sputtering, the
bleeding pressure was set to 3 mTorr, and the DC power was 100 W. The carbon layer thickness is about 30 nm determined by sputtering rate and time. The nanotubes were visualized under a SEM (scanning electron microscope) (XL30, FEI Co., USA). The Oxford EDX attachment and Inca Software determine elemental make up and composition of the surface, where the carbon coated surface showed a clear carbon peak and there was an increased weight percent of elemental carbon on the surface after deposition. The TiO$_2$ and C coated nanotube samples (1.27×1.27 cm$^2$) used for all experiments were sterilized by autoclaving before usage.

**Contact Angle Measurement**

The measurement of contact angle for the TiO$_2$ and C nanotube surfaces was carried out by a video contact angle measurement system Model No. VSA 2500 XE (by AST Products, Inc.). A small amount of D.I. water droplet (~ 3mg) was placed on the nanotube surfaces to measure static contact angle. The measurement of contact angle is a simple method for analyzing the surface energy and the hydrophilic nature of the surface. In this case, we also wanted to verify that the carbon (more hydrophobic in nature compared to TiO$_2$) was deposited and observe the change in surface energy after deposition.
**Osteoblast Cell Culture**

For these studies, MC3T3-E1 mouse osteoblast (CRL-2593, sub-clone 4, ATCC, USA) were used. Each 1 mL of cells was mixed with 10 mL of alpha minimum essential medium (α-MEM; Invitrogen, USA) in the presence of 10% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, USA) and 1% v/v penicillin-streptomycin (PS; Invitrogen, USA). The cell suspension was plated in a cell culture dish and incubated under 37°C, 5% v/v CO₂ environment. When the concentration of the MC3T3-E1 osteoblastic cells reached ~ 3×10⁵ cells/mL, they were seeded onto the experimental substrate of interest (TiO₂ or C) which were placed on a 12-well polystyrene plate, and stored in a CO₂ incubator until the time of the assays. The concentration of the cells seeded onto the specimen substrate was 1×10⁴ cells/well. For long term culture, media was changed every 3 days.

**Mesenchymal Stem Cell Culture**

Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) were obtained from Lonza Corporation. The cell growth media were composed of α-MEM (Invitrogen), 10% FCS (Invitrogen), 100 units/ml penicillin and 100µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen). Growth media was used on all experimental surfaces. For preparing positive control for PCR purposes, osteogenic inducing media were also prepared by adding 10 nM dexamethasone.
(Sigma), 150 μg/ml L-Ascorbic acid (Sigma), and 10 mM beta-glycerophosphate (Calbiochem) to cell growth media [3, 111-112].

Culture protocol was identical to the osteoblast culture methods as described above.

**MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide)**

**Assay**

MTT test was used to determine the amount of adhered viable cells and cell proliferation. After the selected incubation periods, the square samples (TiO₂ vs. C) were washed by phosphate buffered solution (PBS, Invitrogen, ph 7.4) and transferred to a new 12-well polystyrene culture plate to isolate and analyze only the cells on the experimental surface. 1 mL of fresh media and 0.1 ml of MTT reagent (according to the manufactures directions, MTT kit, Sigma, USA) was added to each well. After 2 hours of incubation in 5% CO₂ incubator, 1 mL of solubilizing solution was added to each well and the plate was shaken to dissolve all the formalized crystals. The absorbance of each solution was measured at the wavelength of 570 nm with the subtraction of the 650 nm background by UV-VIS spectrophotometer (BiomateTM 3, Thermo Electron Co., USA).

**Immunofluorescence of Cytoskeletal Actin**

After 24 hours of culture, the cells on the experimental surfaces were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1X PBS for 15 minutes at room
temperature. Once fixed, the cells were washed twice with 1X wash buffer (1 X PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20). To permeabilize the cells 0.1% Triton X-100 in 1X PBS solution was added for 10 minutes. The cells were washed twice with wash buffer. TRITC-conjugated phalloidin (1:1,000, Sigma, USA) in 1X PBS was added and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. The cells were washed three times with 1X wash buffer for 5 minutes each wash. The samples were then inverted onto glass slides with a dab of Fluormount-G (Southern Biotech), visualized and photographed using a red (actin) filter by a fluorescence LEICA DM IRB microscope.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) for Cell and Substrate Observation**

In order to observed high resolution cell features of cells grown on the nanotube surfaces, SEM was employed. After a culture time of 24 hours, the cells on the substrates were washed with PBS and fixed with 2.5 w/v% glutaraldehyde (Sigma, USA) in PBS for 1 hour. After fixation, they were washed three times with PBS for 10 minutes each wash. Then the cells were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (50, 70, 90, and 100 %) for 30 minutes each and left in 100% ethanol until they were dried by critical point dryer (EMS 850, Electron Microscopy Science Co., USA). Next, the dried samples were surface metalized by sputter-coating with cobalt/chrome for SEM examination. The morphology of the samples as
well as that of the adhered cells were observed using SEM (XL30, FEI Co., USA).

**Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) Activity Test**

To measure the bone forming ability of cells on the experimental surfaces, after the selected incubation periods, the samples (TiO$_2$ vs. C) were washed by PBS and 500 µL of 0.2 w/v % triton X-100 (Sigma, USA) was added to each well to study the lysis of cells. Using a cell scraper the lysate was collected and agitated on ice for 10 minutes, followed by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 4°C. The supernatants were stored at -60°C until further analyzed by AnaSpec SensoLyte pNPP Alkaline Phosphatase Assay Kit colorimetric assay (AnaSpec, Inc.) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The ALP values were normalized by protein content obtained by a BCA kit (Pierce Protein Products, Thermo Scientific).

**ALP Staining and Semi-Quantification of Osteoblast Cells**

ALP staining kits (Sigma, USA) are intended for the semi-quantitative demonstration of alkaline phosphatase activity. For ALP staining, the cells grown on the experimental substrates and stained following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the cells were incubated in a mixture of naphthol AS-MX phosphate alkaline solution with fast blue RR salt. The resulting blue, insoluble, granular dye deposit indicates sites of alkaline phosphatase activity. The samples were then visualized (through a blue
filter) and photographed using a fluorescence LEICA DM IRB microscope. In order to quantify the sites of ALP activity based on the positively stained area on the experimental surface, the microscopic fields were analyzed using Image J software, a public domain image processing and analysis program developed by the NIH.

**Alizarin Red S Staining of MSC cultures**

The degree of osteogenesis was evaluated by staining cultures with alizarin red after . To detect mineralization (calcium deposits), cells were fixed for 20 min with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained overnight at 4°C with 2% (w/v) alizarin red S (Sigma, USA) solution in distilled water, with the pH adjusted to 4.1–4.3 using 0.5% ammonium hydroxide. Afterward, the samples were washed with distilled water with gentle rocking three times for 10 min each. Then the samples were visualized and photographed using a red (actin) filter by a fluorescence LEICA DM IRB microscope. In order to quantify the alizarin red area on the experimental surface, the microscopic fields were analyzed using Image J software, a public domain image processing and analysis program developed by the NIH.

**Real-time PCR**

After 3 weeks of culture, total RNA of the cells on the TiO$_2$ and C coated nanotube substrates were extracted with Trizol (Sigma), and reverse-transcribed into cDNA by qScript$^{\text{TM}}$ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta
Real-time PCR was performed by Taqman® Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems), and the information of Taqman® PCR primer is as follows; GAPDH (Hs99999905_m1, Amplicon length : 122), OCN (Hs00609452_g1, Amplicon length : 74) and OPN (Hs00960942_m1, Amplicon length : 63). Real-time PCR were carried out using Taqman® Fast Universal PCR Master Mix and 7900 HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). cDNA samples (1 μl for total volume of 20 μl) were analyzed for gene of interest and for house-keeping gene GAPDH. The comparison test of cycle-threshold point was used to quantify the gene expression level of each sample. In this study, all levels of expression were normalized by the level of expression of positive control (hMSCs cultured with osteogenic inducing media).

**Statistical Analysis and Error Bars on Graphs**

Sigma Plot software 11.0 (2008), which specializes in scientific data analysis and presentation, was utilized to demonstrate the statistical significance of the assays. The graphs show the average ± standard error bars and the significance between groups is marked on the graphs.

**4.1.2 Results and Discussion**

The nanotube substrates used in this study were prepared according to standard anodization protocol for the formation of TiO₂ nanotubes with 100 nm diameter, and 1:3 aspect ratio. For the carbon-
coated comparative surface, the TiO$_2$ nanotubes were deposited with a thin, conformal layer of carbon by DC sputter deposition methods in order to obtain a nanotube architecture with a carbon surface chemistry. A schematic illustration is included in Figure 4.1-1 (a) in order to clarify that the carbon coating was only deposited in a very thin layer onto the nanotube wall rims. SEM images of the comparative surfaces are depicted in Figure 4.1-1 (b), illustrating that the nanotube geometry was not altered in any way by the addition of the carbon coating.

![Schematic illustration](image)

**Figure 4.1-1.** (a) Schematic illustration of nanotube surfaces with different surface chemistry. The original TiO$_2$ nanotube surface was sputter coated with a thin carbon (C) film. (b) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the nanotube substrates. The images depict preservation of the nanotube geometry and structure post carbon coating. Shown in yellow is the contact angle for each surface indicating a decrease in hydrophilicity on the C-coated surface from 4 to 25°.

Since cell behavior varies depending on cell type, both osteoblast and osteoprogenitor (mesenchymal stem) cells were plated in this study...
onto the experimental surfaces in order to assess their behavior in response to the surface chemistry/nanostructure combination. At early incubation time points, the cellular behavior on the surface *in vitro* includes cell adhesion, growth, and morphological orientation/organization. These three behaviors were assessed via MTT analysis, immunofluorescent cytoskeletal actin staining, and SEM examination (data not shown). The results of each of these assays for both osteoblast and osteoprogenitor cells at early time points (24 and 48 hours) were insignificantly different, which indicates that the carbon versus TiO$_2$ surface chemistry did not affect the initial cell response to the surface. Both cell types adhered and proliferated equally well on both the TiO$_2$ nanotube and carbon-coated nanotube surfaces. In addition, the cell morphology showed no difference.

The ability of osteoblasts and MSCs to mature properly and readily is a vital part of measuring cellular response for bone implant purposes. In this study, the two cell types were cultured for 3 weeks in order to analyze the cells function over time and to determine whether the surfaces were inhibiting or promoting bone function. It should be clarified that the experiments included in this study included the corresponding flat TiO$_2$ and carbon substrates as control surfaces. The nanotube substrates were found to enhance both osteoblast and MSC cellular response when
compared to the flat controls in all aspects of this study, and the data was thus not included.

In order to assess the behavior of the osteoblast cells, the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was measured, which is an enzyme indicative of bone forming ability. Comparative levels of ALP activity on each substrate is presented in Figure 4.1-2(a) as a function of incubation time. In order to visually verify the ALP activity quantitative results, the osteoblast cells were also stained using an ALP staining kit, as shown in Figure 4.1-2(b). The qualitative ALP staining was also semi-quantified in Figure 4.1-2(c). Each of the ALP assays depicted here indicates that the bone-forming ability of the osteoblast cells was enhanced on the TiO$_2$ surface chemistry when compared to the carbon surface.
Figure 4.1-2. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity of osteoblast cells cultured on the nanotube surfaces. (a) ALP activity as a function of time. (b) Fluorescent images showing ALP staining after 21 days. (c) Semi-quantification of ALP staining representing how much cell surface area was covered by ALP activity based on microscopic fields (N=4). The bar graph shows the average ± the standard error. P values after performing t-tests reaching statistical significance P<0.05 are marked on the graphs.

The degree of osteogenic differentiation and maturation of the MSCs was analyzed via various assays after 3 weeks of culture. The ability of the MSCs to deposit mineralized matrix is one important indication of the bone functionality of the cells. Fluorescent images of alizarin red staining for calcium mineral deposition after 3 weeks of incubation are depicted in Figure 4.1-3(a), and the percent area stained is shown in the graph in Figure 4.1-3(b). The staining on the carbon-coated nanotube surface showed slightly larger areas of mineral deposits, as indicated by
the yellow arrows, however it was not a statistically significant difference. A slightly enhanced osteogenic function was confirmed by ALP activity measurements and quantitative PCR analysis for osteocalcin (OCN) and osteopontin (OPN). OCN and OPN are two major noncollagenous protein components of bone extracellular matrix that are considered markers of osteogenic differentiation as they are solely synthesized and secreted by osteoblastic cells. The graphs of relative amounts for each assay are shown in Figure 4.1-4(a) and (b): the ALP activity and relative gene expression of OPN were significantly upregulated on the carbon surface chemistry when compared to the TiO₂ nanotube surface. The carbon surface chemistry appears to cause an increase in osteogenic differentiation and function of the MSCs. These results indicate that MSCs can distinguish between surface chemistries and crystallinity, as other research groups have also observed [113].

Figure 4.1-3. Alizarin red staining for mineral deposition of hMSCs cultured for 3 weeks. (a) Fluorescent images showing alizarin red staining (bright red) for the different experimental surfaces. (b) Semi-quantification of alizarin red representing how much surface area was covered by bone like mineral matrix based on microscopic fields (N=4). The bar graph shows the average ± the standard error.
Figure 4.1-4. Degree of osteoblastic differentiation and maturation in late stage mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) culture (3 weeks). (a) ALP activity for MSCs cultured on the nanotube surfaces, TiO$_2$ vs. Carbon chemistry. (b) Quantitative PCR analysis for osteocalcin and osteopontin. The graph shows the average ± standard error bars. P values after performing t-tests reaching statistical significance P<0.005 are marked on the graphs.

The results of this study indicate that mesenchymal stem cells and osteoblast cells respond differently to remarkably different surface chemistries, and seem to have different chemical preferences most favorable for cell function. While osteoblast cells are mature bone cells specific to bone tissue, mesenchymal stem cells are highly sensitive, unprogrammed cell, and are readily influenced by extracellular factors such as chemical and topographical cues. Thus it is not surprising that the two cell types have different preferences of surface chemistry. The inclination of the MSCs to the C-coated surface may be explained by the fact that bone marrow contains many organic carbon-rich components. In contrast, bone tissue is composed of more ceramic/mineral rich components, similar to TiO$_2$. 
The results of this study indicate that mesenchymal stem cells and osteoblast cells respond differently to remarkably different surface chemistries, and seem to have different chemical preferences for optimal cell function. While osteoblast cells are mature bone cells specific to bone tissue, mesenchymal stem cells are highly sensitive, unprogrammed cells, and are readily influenced by extracellular factors such as chemical and topographical cues. Therefore, it is not surprising that the two cell types have different preferences of surface chemistry. It is possible that the inclination of the MSCs for the C-coated surface may be explained by the fact that bone marrow contains many organic carbon-rich components. In contrast, bone tissue is composed of more ceramic/mineral rich components, similar to TiO$_2$. Perhaps the different cell types are partial to distinct chemistries because of the chemical components of their natural extracellular environments in vivo.

4.1.3 Conclusion

These findings give substantial evidence towards the importance of surface chemistry for directing cell fate, and indicate that systematic analysis is required of various bone implant material surface chemistries in conjunction with a nanotopography. Emphasis should be placed on the observation that different cell types have different preferences for
chemical/nanotopographical cues, and thus future work should consider this phenomena when organizing experiments.

4.2 Tantalum Coating on TiO₂ Nanotubes Induces Superior Rate of Matrix Mineralization and Osteofunctionality

Metallic tantalum (Ta) has been a biomaterial of more recent interest for orthopedic applications, as it has been found to be highly corrosion resistant and bioinert [114], as well as bioactive in vivo, forming a bone-like apatite layer in simulated body fluid that biologically bonds to bone [115]. Tantalum has regained interest in the biomaterials field mainly due to a new porous (trabecular) tantalum material of micro-porosity approved by the FDA in 1997, which has been shown to possess excellent osseointegrative properties [116]. Since then, studies have compared the biocompatibility, bacterial adherence [117] and osteoconductivity [118] of Ta with that of other common implant materials, such as Ti, CoCr, and hydroxyapatite coatings [119]. In particular, a clinical review was published in 2010 demonstrating that a higher degree of implant fixation was obtained in patients who received porous tantalum acetabular cups when compared to those with hydroxyapatite-coated titanium cups (industry gold standard) [120]. Additionally, a recent in vitro study by Sagomonyants, et al. demonstrated that porous Ta even stimulates the
proliferation and osteogenesis of osteoblasts from elderly female patients with compromised bone-forming abilities when compared with titanium fiber mesh [121]. However, despite the promising results to-date, the relatively expensive manufacturing cost, as well as the inability to produce a modular all-Ta implant has prevented its widespread acceptance [116]. A simple solution that has been suggested previously [119, 122] is to coat a Ti implant with a Ta film, thus incorporating the Ta surface chemistry while maintaining the mechanical advantages of a Ti implant (i.e. relatively low elastic modulus).

Few studies have been published to-date investigating nanostructured tantalum as a biomaterial [123-124]. One study in 2009 by Ruckh, et al. shows evidence that anodized tantala nanotubes provide a substrate for enhanced osseointegration when compared to flat Ta [123]. However, the study only compares the non-textured surface with the nanotextured surface of the same surface chemistry. Additionally, the nanotubes are of relatively great length (2-11 μm), which has been found in our laboratory to cause a tendency of the nanotube layer to delaminate easily. The relatively unstable nature of this structure is of great concern for an orthopedic implant surface.

Since our recent work in which we examined the effect of a carbon-coated TiO₂ nanotube surface on osteoblast and osteo-
progenitor cells [74], we have been interested in other surface chemistries which may enhance the osteofunctionality on the nanotube surface. In light of the promising findings regarding a Ta biomaterial of microtopography (~500-700 μm pore size), as well as the results of Ruckh, et al., we chose to study the effects of the Ta surface chemistry in direct comparison with TiO₂ on the same nanotopography (i.e. vertically aligned, laterally spaced 100 nm diameter nanotubes), as well as flat surface controls. The work herein compares the response of human osteoblast cells to the bare TiO₂ nanotube surface to a Ta-coated nanotube surface in terms of osteofunctionality and bone-forming ability. The results reveal that the Ta surface chemistry on the nanotube architecture enhances alkaline phosphatase activity, and promotes a ~30% faster rate of matrix mineralization and bone-nodule formation when compared to results on bare TiO₂ nanotubes.

4.2.1 Experimental Methods

Nanotube Substrate Fabrication

TiO₂ nanotube surfaces were created using a two electrode set-up anodization process as described previously [125]. A 0.25 mm thick commercially pure Ti sheet (99.5 % metal basis, Alfa-Aesar, USA) was used for this process, which was first cleaned successively in acetone and isopropyl alcohol with ultrasonication followed by DI water rinse. The
nanotubes were prepared in a 1:7 volumetric ratio of acetic acid (≥99.99 % purity, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) to a weight percent fraction of 0.5 % hydrofluoric acid in water (48 % w/v, EM Science, USA) at 20 V for 30 min. A platinum electrode (99.9 %, Alfa-Aesar, USA) served as the cathode. The samples were then washed with deionized water, dried at 80° C, and heat treated at 500 °C for 2 h in order to crystallize the as-fabricated amorphous structured TiO₂ nanotubes to anatase structure. Tantalum films (20 nm-thick) were vacuum-deposited onto TiO₂ nanotube and flat Ti control substrates from a tantalum target in a Denton Discovery 18 sputter system. To ensure preferential coating of the TiO₂ nanotube surface, the deposition angle used was ~30° off the vertical axis with substrate rotation during deposition. 200 W plasma was applied when Ar pressure reached 3 mTorr after base pressure reached 10⁻⁶ torr. The as-deposited Ta film is expected to be of amorphous nature.

**Contact Angle Measurement**

The measurement of contact angle for each experimental flat and nanotube surfaces was carried out using a video contact angle measurement system model VSA 2500 XE (AST Products Inc.). A small deionized water droplet (~3 mg) was placed on the nanotube surface to measure the static contact angle. Measurement of the contact angle is a simple method for analyzing the surface energy and hydrophilic nature of
a surface. In this case we also wanted to verify that the tantalum (more hydrophilic in nature compared with TiO$_2$) had been deposited and to observe the changes in surface energy after deposition.

**Osteoblast Cell Culture**

For these studies, human osteoblast (HOb) cells isolated from normal adult human bone (406-05a, Cell Applications, Inc., USA) were used. Each 1 ml of cryo-conserved stock was mixed with 10 ml of alpha minimum essential medium (aMEM; Invitrogen, USA) in the presence of a volume fraction of 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, USA) and a volume fraction of 1 % penicillin-streptomycin (PS; Invitrogen, USA). The cell suspension was plated in a polystyrene cell culture dish and incubated at 37° C in a volume fraction of 5 % CO$_2$ environment. Each 1.27 x 1.27 cm$^2$ experimental substrate (TiO$_2$ nanotubes, Ta-coated nanotubes, and flat control Ti and Ta) was placed into individual wells of a 12-well polystyrene plate. The polystyrene (PS) culture dish was used as a control. When the cells reached confluency, the HOb osteoblast cells were seeded at a concentration of 2.5 x 10$^4$ cells per well onto the experimental substrates and stored in a CO$_2$ incubator for the experimental time durations. For experimental time points beyond 7 d of culture, cell media was changed at 7 d to osteogenic induced media, aMEM containing a volume fraction of 10 % FBS, a volume fraction of 1 %
PS, 10 nmol/L dexamethasone (Sigma, USA), 150 μg/ml ascorbic acid (Sigma, USA) and 10 mmol/L β-glycerol phosphate (Sigma, USA). All experimental substrates were moved to a new 12-well dish before cell assays were performed in order to isolate the cells on the substrate of interest from cells on the surrounding polystyrene dish.

**MTT Assay**

To estimate the metabolic activity of the cells, an MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay was employed. After the selected incubation periods, the samples were washed by PBS and transferred to a new 12-well polystyrene culture plate. Fresh cell culture media was added to each well, and the MTT dye agent was added in an amount equal to 10 % of the culture media volume, according to manufacturer’s instructions (MTT kit, Sigma, USA). After 2 h of incubation in a 5 % CO₂ incubator, 1 ml of solubilizing solution was added to each well and the polystyrene plate was shaken for 30 sec to dissolve the formazan crystals. The fluorescent absorbance of each solution was measured at a wavelength of 570 nm with the subtraction of the 650 nm background by ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrophotometer (Biomate™ 3, Thermo Electron Co., USA).
**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) for Cell and Substrate Examination**

After 24 h and 21 d of culture, the cells on the substrates were washed with PBS and fixed with a mass fraction of 2.5 % glutaraldehyde (Sigma, USA) in PBS for 1 h. After fixation, they were washed three times with PBS for 15 min each wash. The cells were then dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (volume fractions of 50, 75, 90 and 100 %) for 30 min each and left in 100 % ethanol to be dried by a critical point dryer (EMS 850, Electron Microscopy Science Co., USA). Next, the dried samples were sputter-coated with palladium metallization for examination by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The morphology of the samples as well as that of the adhered cells was observed using a Phillips XL30 field emission environmental scanning electron microscope (FEI Co., USA).

**Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis**

The presence of the tantalum thin film coating on the flat Ti and TiO₂ nanotube surfaces was confirmed via energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX). The Oxford EDX attachment and Inca Software were used to determine elemental composition of the surface. In addition, matrix mineralization was analyzed by EDX analysis after 7, 14, and 21 d of culture. After the experimental culture time was complete, the cells were trypsinized by trypsin EDTA 0.25 % (Invitrogen, USA) and allowed to air dry for SEM and EDX analysis. Identical samples were incubated in cell-free
media as a control. In these studies, EDX was used to determine the elemental composition of deposited bone matrix. The reported atomic percent values were normalized by the relative amounts of Ca and P present on the surfaces incubated under identical conditions in cell-free media.

**Immunofluorescence of Cytoskeletal Actin**

After 24 h of culture, the cells were fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. Once fixed, the cells were washed twice with wash buffer (PBS containing a volume fraction of 0.05 %Tween-20). To permeabilize the cells, 0.1 % Triton X-100 in PBS was added for 10 min, followed by washing twice with wash buffer. TRITC-conjugated phalloidin (1:1000 Chemicom International) in PBS was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature, after which the cells were washed three times with wash buffer for 5 min each wash. Samples were then inverted onto coverslips with a dab of Fluoromount-G (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA), visualized and photographed using a Rhodamine (536 nm excitation) filter by a fluorescence Leica, Co. DM IRB microscope.

**Alkaline Phosphatase Activity Test**

In order to measure the bone forming ability of cells on the experimental surfaces, the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was
detected using a colorimetric assay kit. Briefly, after the selected incubation periods, the samples were washed by PBS and transferred to a new 12-well polystyrene culture plate. Cells were then gently washed twice with phosphate buffer provided by the kit supplier, followed by the addition of a volume fraction of 0.2 % Triton X-100 in phosphate buffer. The adherent cells were further scraped off of the sample substrate and collected in a microcentrifuge tube. Cell suspension was incubated on ice for 10 min under agitation, and then centrifuged at 2500 xg for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants were stored at -60°C until further analysis by AnaSpec SensoLyte pNPP Alkaline Phosphatase Assay Kit colorimetric assay (AnaSpec, Inc., USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The ALP values were normalized by protein content obtained by a BCA kit (Sigma, USA).

**Alizarin Red S Staining**

Alizarin red staining was employed to detect osteoblast mineralization (calcium deposits). After 7, 14, and 21 d of culture, the cells were fixed for 20 min with 4 % paraformaldehyde (Sigma, USA) and stained overnight at 4°C with a mass fraction of 2 % alizarin red S (Sigma, USA) in distilled water, and the pH was adjusted to 4.1—4.3 using a volume fraction of 10 % ammonium hydroxide. The samples were then washed with distilled water with gentle rocking three times for 10 min each. The
samples were visualized using a Leica DM IRB fluorescence microscope. In order to quantify the alizarin red area on the experimental surface, the microscope fields were analyzed using Image J software.

**Statistical Analysis and Error Bars on Graphs**

Sigma Plot 11.0 software (2008) was utilized to demonstrate the statistical significance of the assays. The graphs show the average ± standard error bars associated, with p-values listed in the figure captions.

**4.2.2 Results and Discussion**

Anodized TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces reportedly offer several advantages over unmodified Ti surfaces for orthopedic implant applications, in terms of significantly enhanced bone mineral formation [2] and adhesion of osteoblasts *in vitro* [125], preferential differentiation of osteo-progenitor cells into osteoblast-like cells *in vitro* [3], and strongly adherent bone growth *in vivo* [126]. Although the phenomenon is not completely understood, the research community continues to investigate whether the enhanced osteoblast and osteo-progenitor cell response is due to the nanotopography/nano-feature size [2-3, 73], surface chemistry [74], or wettability/surface energy [127]. Considering the recent interest in tantalum as an orthopedic biomaterial, and findings which have shown an improved bone cell response to porous Ta when compared to porous Ti [128] and tantala nanotubes when compared to flat Ta [123], we were
attracted to investigate the effect of tantalum-coated TiO$_2$ nanotubes on osteoblast cell behavior.

**Figure 4.2-1** presents SEM images of the flat Ti, flat Ta, and both as-made TiO$_2$ nanotube and Ta-coated nanotube surfaces. The nanotube images reveal near identical structures, with an outer diameter of $\sim$100 nm, $\sim$10 nm wall thickness, $\sim$10 nm spacing, and $\sim$300 nm height, as previously described [2, 125]. The Ta coating performed by vacuum sputter deposition allows for deposition of a conformal layer with high control of the Ta thickness (20 nm). Ta is reported to be a biocompatible material, its corrosion resistance equivalent to Ti, and both Ta and Ta oxide possess low solubility and toxicity [129]. Titanium and tantalum are similar in that they both form a natural oxide layer when exposed to air, which has been attributed to the excellent biocompatibility of these materials [130-131]. It is thus assumed that the amorphous Ta coatings in this study possess a thin natural oxide layer, and are not entirely metallic. The water contact angles are displayed in yellow in the upper right corner of the SEM images in **Figure 4.2-1**, demonstrating that the flat Ta surface is more hydrophilic in nature than the flat Ti surface ($31^\circ$ and $54^\circ$, respectively). In addition, the Ta coating induced a slight increase in hydrophilicity from $\sim$4$^\circ$ to $\sim$0$^\circ$ on the TiO$_2$ and Ta coated nanotube surfaces, respectively.
Figure 4.2-1. SEM images of the flat Ti, flat Ta, TiO$_2$ nanotube (NT), and Ta-coated nanotube (NT) substrates. The images depict preservation of the nanotube geometry and structure after tantalum coating. The contact angle for each surface is shown in yellow, indicating an increase in hydrophilicity on both tantalum-coated surfaces: from 54° to 31° (flat), and 4° to 0° (nanotube).

The presence of the Ta coating was confirmed via energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX), which shows the presence of peaks corresponding to Ta as shown in Figure 4.2-2. In addition, the Ta coating resulted in a redish metallic color on the nanotube substrates (in contrast to a greenish/blue color on the as-made nanotube samples). The flat Ta sample showed similar evidence of the Ta coating with EDX analysis and a slight color change to yellow/gold (in contrast to silver metallic color of the as-received Ti foil).
Figure 4.2-2. EDX spectrum illustrating the presence of Ta (red arrows) on the surface of the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface. The area highlighted by the red box is enlarged in the inset.

An MTT assay was utilized in order to measure the metabolic activity of the cells and to indirectly estimate the number of adhered, viable cells. Results of the MTT analysis in Figure 4.2-3(a) reveal a trend of increasing cell viability until day 14, at which the proliferation begins to decrease. This trend is consistent with published literature, which contributes a peak in osteoblast proliferation (usually at around 12-14 days) as the end of the proliferative period, and the beginning of the matrix maturation phase [132-133]. The MTT data shows no significant difference between the flat control samples and the TiO$_2$ and Ta-coated nanotube surfaces. This trend is rather unexpected, since prior findings in our laboratory have
shown significant acceleration of MC3T3-E1 osteoblast cell growth on TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces when compared to flat Ti controls [125]. However, the differing results observed in this study could be due to the use of primary osteoblast cells isolated from normal human bone in contrast to the highly proliferative murine cell line used in prior studies. The literature also contains contrasting trends of cell adhesion and proliferation on nanostructured surfaces, which seem to vary based on differing cell type, species, source and maturation [134-135]. Moreover, many of such experiments are performed using very robust and proliferative cell lines, which may bias the findings [134].

![MTT assay data showing the optical density (OD) of the reaction product of the MTT working solution of HOb cells cultured on the nanotube surfaces as a function of incubation time (\(n = 3\)). The line graph shows the mean ± standard error bars.](image-a)

![SEM micrographs of HOb cells after 24 h incubation, showing extensive filopodia activity on both nanosurfaces (yellow arrows). Scale bars = 5 µm.](image-b)

**Figure 4.2-3.** (a) MTT assay data showing the optical density (OD) of the reaction product of the MTT working solution of HOb cells cultured on the nanotube surfaces as a function of incubation time (\(n = 3\)). The line graph shows the mean ± standard error bars. (b) SEM micrographs of HOb cells after 24 h incubation, showing extensive filopodia activity on both nanosurfaces (yellow arrows). Scale bars = 5 µm.
SEM morphological examination shown in Figure 4.2-3(b) after 24 h of culture reveals extensive filopodial activity on both TiO$_2$ and Ta surfaces, but not on the flat control surfaces (as indicated by the yellow arrows). A common speculation is that finger-like filopodia are a cell-sensing mechanism which are used to detect both chemical and nanotopographical cues [41]. An increase in filopodial activity has been demonstrated previously on both TiO$_2$ [2] and ZrO$_2$ [136] nanotube architectures when compared to respective flat controls surfaces. The presence of many filopodia on both nanotube surfaces indicates that the HOb cells are relatively equally activated by the nanotube architecture, independent of surface chemistry.

In addition, cytoskeletal actin organization and cell morphology was also unaffected, as depicted in Figure 4.2-4. The figure shows immunofluorescent staining of the cytoskeletal actin of HOBs after 24 h of culture on the flat surfaces and both TiO$_2$ and Ta nanotube substrates. It is apparent that the cells on the nanotube surfaces possess a criss-cross pattern within the cell body, as signified by the yellow arrows. The unique cytoskeletal arrangement observed on the nanotube substrates is likely to be a result of an altered placement of proteins adsorbed onto the nanotopographical features from the culture media [2], as well as extracellular protein such as fibronectin and vitronectin [11].
Nanotopography has also been shown to cause distinctive integrin and focal adhesion plaque placement on the surfaces [137], which in turn affects cytoskeletal arrangement [138]. The actin organization by visual comparison appears to be minimally effected by the two surface chemistries compared in this work. These results are perhaps in agreement with prior results which showed no significant difference between proliferation, attachment, or cytoskeletal arrangement between human osteoblast cells cultured on Ta and Ti substrates [118].

Figure 4.2-4. Immunofluorescent images of cytoskeletal actin (red) of HOb cells on flat and nanotube surfaces after 24 h of culture incubation, showing a criss-cross pattern on both TiO$_2$ and Ta surfaces (yellow arrows). Scale bars = 50 µm.
Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was measured as a function of incubation time to estimate the bone-forming ability of osteoblast cells on the experimental substrates (Figure 4.2-5). As mentioned earlier, the peak in cell growth at day 14 (Figure 4.2-3) is consistent with the progressive development of osteoblasts demonstrated in the literature, which indicates the end of the proliferation stage, and the onset of extracellular matrix maturation. In concurrence with a decrease in cell proliferation, an up-regulation in ALP activity in osteoblasts occurs during the matrix maturation phase [132-133]. This reciprocal relationship is evident in comparing Figure 4.2-3 and Figure 4.2-5: the onset of increasing ALP activity (at ~day 10-14) with decreasing cell proliferation (at day 14) indicates proper osteoblast matrix maturation [133]. It is also apparent that the ALP activity is increased on both nanotube surfaces when compared to their respective flat surfaces (Ti/TiO$_2$ NT, and Ta/Ta-NT). This observation is expected, since prior studies have demonstrated enhanced bone function on nanotube architectures when compared to flat controls for TiO$_2$ [2], ZrO$_2$ [136], and Ta$_2$O$_5$ [123].
Figure 4.2-5. ALP activity of HOb cells cultured on the nanotube surfaces vs. incubation time (n = 3). The graph points show the mean ± standard error bars. The p-values after performing an ANOVA test reaching statistical significance (p < 0.001) are marked on the graph (*).

However, when comparing the two nanotube surfaces in this study, it is interesting to note that no difference was observed at shorter time points; conversely at 10 days and beyond, a significantly higher ALP activity was detected on the Ta-coated nanotube surfaces when compared to all of the other samples. Since the nanotube surfaces are of identical topography, but with differing surface chemistry, one could speculate that the Ta chemistry may enhance the osteogenic functionality of the HOb cells on the nanotube surface. However, the ALP levels of the flat Ta compared to the flat Ti do not clearly support this claim (flat Ta is lower than Ti at day 3, 10, and 14, although the difference is not statistically significant). Additionally, the literature comparing in vitro
osteogenic behavior on Ti and Ta surfaces is not entirely consistent. While an increased ALP activity on flat Ta thin film when compared to flat Ta thin film was observed by Stiehler, et al. [129], multiple other studies have demonstrated that the osteogenic behavior on Ta and Ti are relatively the same [118, 139]. The fact that we only saw a significant upregulation on the nanostructured tantalum surface could indicate that there is a unique combination of physico-chemical properties on this surface that is not present on the others. For example, while the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface is hydrophilic (contact angle of 4°), the Ta-coated nanotube surface is superhydrophilic, with a contact angle of 0°. This is in great contrast to the flat Ti and Ta rather hydrophobic contact angles (54° and 31°).

Furthermore, it is known that surface hydrophilicity is directly related to the energy at the surface of a biomaterial, which is defined by the general charge density and net polarity of the charge [113].

In understanding the relative charge of a surface, a discussion of isoelectric points is necessary. It is well known that the surface of a metal oxide film terminates in an outermost layer of hydroxyl groups [140]. In an aqueous solution with a pH equal to the isoelectric point, the surface hydroxyl groups will remain undissociated [140]. Although the isoelectric points of metal oxides vary based on factors such as temperature and crystal structure as well as method of measurement [141], the estimated
The isoelectric point of anatase phase titanium oxide (6.1 [142]) is much higher than that of tantalum oxide (2.7-3.0 [140]). However, the optimal pH for human osteoblast cell culture in vitro is ~7.2 [143] (most culture media have pH=7.4). Since the pH of the culture media (7.4) is greater than the isoelectric point of both TiO$_2$ (6.1) and Ta$_2$O$_5$ (2.7-3.0), the surface will acquire a negative charge by either of the following reactions [140]:

\[ -\text{MOH}_{\text{surf}} + \text{OH}^- \leftrightarrow -\text{MO}_{\text{surf}}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

(1)

or

\[ -\text{MOH}_{\text{surf}} \leftrightharpoons -\text{MO}_{\text{surf}}^- + \text{H}_{(\text{aq})}^+. \]  

(2)

However, for a lower isoelectric point, more negative charges will accumulate on the surface [144]. This means that it is probable that the Ta-coated surfaces are more negatively charged than the TiO$_2$ surfaces, leading towards greater affinity of positively charged ions and molecules to accumulate on the surface (i.e. Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Na$^+$, which are present in cell culture media). The presence of such ions on a surface has been shown to increase osteoblast function in vitro [145-147], as well as enables the surface to bind electrostatically to a variety of proteins [148]. Furthermore, a study by Moller, et al. revealed that a higher degree of osteoblast differentiation was achieved on a biocomposite with the lowest isoelectric point [149].
Another factor that may affect the relative charge of the surfaces is that the TiO$_2$ nanotubes were heat treated in order to transform the as-made amorphous structure to anatase (for osteoblast preference [125]). Heat treatment has been shown to diminish the amount of OH groups on a surface [150], and is likely to affect the overall surface charge. In contrast, the Ta-coated nanotube surface was heat treated, then deposited with a thin layer of Ta, which spontaneously forms hydroxyl groups on the surface. Lastly, the nanostructured surface presents a much larger surface area than a flat surface. The larger surface area would introduce more active sites and active OH groups on the nanotube surfaces than on the corresponding flat substrates [150]. As a result, the combination of the nanostructure and more negatively charged Ta surface coating of the Ta-coated nanotube surface may be more highly active for ion and biomolecule accumulation on the surface, thus enhancing the osteoblast cells ability to form mature extracellular matrix (which has been linked to upregulation in ALP activity [133]). The interplay between these physico-chemical differences and osteoblast behavior is not well-understood, and their possible effects in this study are only speculation. However, the Ta-coated nanotube surface demonstrates a significantly higher ALP activity than the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface as well as
flat controls, which may signify a greater extent of matrix maturation at this stage [133].

The third phase of osteoblast development is the onset of matrix mineralization, which is essential for expression of osteoblast phenotypical genes [132]. In order to evaluate the degree of matrix mineralization of the bone cells on each experimental surface, the osteoblasts were analyzed for bone nodule formation via various analytical techniques. After 21 d of culture, the osteoblast morphology on the nanotube surfaces was assessed by SEM, which revealed the presence of large bone nodules [151] on both nanotube surfaces, with very little nodule-like formation on the flat controls (Figure 4.2-6(a)). The presence of bone nodules was also visible on the positive control tissue culture plastic (data not shown). In addition, energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis revealed significantly higher amounts of both phosphorus and calcium on the Ta-coated nanotube samples than was found on all other samples, as shown in the graph in Figure 4.2-6(b). This indicates that although bone nodule formation readily occurred on both nanotube surfaces, the Ta-coating appears to have had the effect of inducing increased deposition of bone matrix minerals. The formation of bone-like apatite on tantalum metal in simulated body fluid has been previously reported [147], as well as on Ta treated with sodium hydroxide (NaOH) [152]. Furthermore, a prior study by
Oh, et al. demonstrated the formation of nano-scale hydroxyapatite on the surface of TiO$_2$ nanotubes after treatment with NaOH [153]. It would be interesting to compare the apatite-formation behavior of the TiO$_2$ and Ta-coated nanotube array in simulated body fluid. Osteoblast cells have been shown to preferentially differentiate to form mineralized extracellular matrix on apatite layers [152]. The speculation that a Ta nanostructure has apatite-inducing properties supports the hypothesis that it also encourages the production of mineralized matrix by HOOb cells.

**Figure 4.2-6.** Bone nodule formation by HOOb cells cultured for 3 weeks. (a) SEM micrographs at 1000x showing larger bone nodule formation on the Ta-coated NT surface. Scale bar = 20 µm. (b) EDX analysis of the atomic percent of calcium and phosphorous mineral elements on the surfaces ($n = 5$). The bar graph shows the mean ± standard error bars. The $p$-values after performing an ANOVA test reached statistical significance ($p \leq 0.001$), as indicated by (*).
The kinetics of matrix mineralization were also examined in order to
determine whether the rate of mineralization was effected by the
nanostructure or surface chemistry. EDX analysis estimating the atomic
percent of phosphorus (Figure 4.2-7 (a)) and calcium (Figure 4.2-7 (b)) on
each substrate after 7, 14, and 21 d of culture revealed that the highest
rate of mineralization occurred on the Ta-coated nanotube surface. A
linear trendline was estimated for the mineral atomic percent as a
function of incubation time for each sample type using Microsoft Excel,
and the slope of each line was determined and recorded as the rate of
phosphorus or calcium deposition (Figure 4.2-7 (c)). The correlation
coefficients ($R^2$) of each trendline are portrayed on the graphs,
demonstrating that this method only gives a rough estimate of the rates of
mineralization. However, the rates of both phosphorus and calcium
deposition are estimated to be roughly 30% faster on the Ta-coated
nanotube substrate than on the TiO$_2$ nanotube substrate.
Figure 4.2-7. Mineralization kinetics study. EDX analysis of the atomic percent of phosphorus (a), and calcium (b) mineral elements on the surfaces as a function of time \((n = 5)\), with linear trendlines overlaid and correlation coefficients labeled. (c) A table of the corresponding rates (slopes of the linear trendlines) of phosphorus and calcium deposition for each substrate. The line graphs show the mean ± standard error bars. The \(p\)-values after performing an ANOVA test reached statistical significance \((p \leq 0.001)\) for all comparisons between samples except for Ti vs. Ta.

The results of matrix mineralization kinetics were verified by alizarin red S staining, a simple and convenient method for detecting calcium mineral deposition. The immunofluorescent images show the stained area (bright red) on each experimental surface after 1, 2, and 3 weeks of culture in Figure 4.2-8 (from left to right). After 1 week, only small amounts of mineral were detected on the TiO\(_2\) and Ta-coated nanotube surfaces, while nothing was visible on the flat substrates. After 2 weeks, more highly concentrated areas of calcium mineral deposits (indicated by arrows) were visible on the nanotube surfaces, with a few on the flat surfaces.
After 3 weeks, large areas of several bone nodules were present on the nanotube surfaces; the nodules on the flat surfaces were fewer and less pronounced. The fluorescent staining was semi-quantified using ImageJ analysis in order to validate the relative surface areas covered in bone-like mineral shown in Figure 4.2-8. This data is presented in Figure 4.2-9, and reveals a higher stained surface area on the Ta-coated nanotubes than all other surfaces. These results verify the observations by EDX analysis. In addition, increased alizarin red staining was reported by Stiehler, et al. of MSCs on Ta when compared to Ti, which supports our findings [129].
Figure 4.2-8. Alizarin red staining for mineral deposition by HObs cultured for 1, 2, and 3 weeks. Fluorescent images show alizarin red staining (bright red) for mineral deposition. Arrows indicate bone nodule formation. Scale bar = 50 µm.
Figure 4.2-9. Semi-quantification of alizarin red in Figure 4.2-8 representing how much surface area was covered by bone-like mineral matrix based on microscopic fields (n=5). The bar graph shows the average ± standard error.

Both the TiO$_2$ and Ta-coated nanotube surfaces enhanced osteogenic function over that of flat controls of smooth Ti and Ta-coated smooth Ti. However, the Ta-coated nanotube surfaces had superior osteofunctionality in terms of ALP activity, bone nodule formation, and the rate of matrix mineralization. These results indicate that HOb filopodial activity and cytoskeletal arrangement may be influenced primarily by nanotopographical cues (they were similar on both nanotube surfaces, but different on the flat surfaces). However, the osteogenesis may be more highly influenced by surface chemistry/material properties than nanotopography. This hypothesis coincides with our prior findings on carbon-coated nanotube surfaces [74]. Furthermore, some studies have
shown that osteoblast differentiation in vitro is more highly influenced by differences in surface chemistry irrespective of surface microtopography [154-155]. Another experiment by Cyster, et al. demonstrated that fibroblasts preferentially attached to titanium nitride surfaces of a defined surface chemistry at early time points; however at later time points, preference was shown towards a nanostructured surface, with no preference for surface chemistry [156]. While the interplay of the surface chemistry and nanotopography are not completely understood, it is apparent that unique combinations can have substantial results, and that cell preference for certain properties may change over time.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the osteoblast response to Ta versus TiO$_2$ nanotube surface chemistries in terms of bone-forming ability. Our results indicate that nanotopographical Ta triggers enhanced osteofunctionality and matrix mineralization in adult human osteoblast cells, and even increased the rate of mineralization by ~30 % when compared to the bare TiO$_2$ nanotubes. We believe that a unique combination of surface chemistry and nanostructured geometry may provide a balance of defined characteristics towards an optimal orthopedic implant, and this study indicates that osteoblasts may prefer nanostructured Ta to nanostructured TiO$_2$ in vitro. An explanation for the observed behavior is not straightforward due to lack of studies comparing
nanostructured Ti and Ta surface behavior in vitro. Further studies are required to gain insight to this phenomenon, such as comparative analysis of protein adsorption, hydroxyapatite formation, and biomolecule interaction with the TiO$_2$ and Ta-coated nanotube surfaces. Future studies should also compare an amorphous Ta coating with that of crystalline Ta on the nanotube surface, since differences have been observed in osteoblast response to amorphous and crystalline TiO$_2$ nanotubes [125]. Additionally, it has been found that oxide layer thickness can affect protein adsorption on tantalum [157]. Hence it would be of interest to assess the effects of Ta surface oxide content (i.e. natural oxide layer versus a fully oxidized Ta layer) on osteogenic function. Lastly, it has been demonstrated that different cell types (i.e. osteoblasts versus osteoprogenitor cells) have different preferences for surface chemistry (Section 4.1), thus forthcoming research should compare the response of different cell types on varied surface chemical/nanotopography combinations.

The work presented herein demonstrates the highly sensitive nature of osteoblast cells to seemingly minute and simple modifications to a surface. With increased focus in the field of orthopedic materials research on nanostructured surfaces, this study emphasizes the need for careful
and systematic review of variations in surface chemistry in concurrence with nanotopographical changes.

### 4.2.3 Conclusion

Herein we have compared the behavior of human osteoblast cells on TiO$_2$ and Ta-coated nanotube surfaces of nearly identical nanotopography (and the respective flat controls surfaces), in order to assess the effect of changes in surface characteristics due to a Ta coating alone. It was determined that both nanotube surfaces instigate similar levels of cell adhesion, proliferation, and morphology. However, at advanced culture times, the osteofunctionality was enhanced on the Ta surface in terms of alkaline phosphatase activity, bone nodule formation, and matrix mineral deposition. In fact, the Ta surface promoted a ~30% faster rate of matrix mineralization and bone-nodule formation when compared to results on bare TiO$_2$ nanotubes. These findings are of paramount importance to the orthopedics field for understanding cell behavior in response to subtle alterations in nanostructure and surface chemistry, and will enable further insight into the complex manipulation of biomaterial surfaces. The enhanced HOb response observed on the Ta-coated nanotube surface is speculated to be a result of a unique combination of preferred nanotopography and surface chemistry.
4.3 Direct Comparison of Ta and Ta-Oxide Coated Anodic Nanotubes in Terms of *In Vitro* Human Osteoblast Function

Section 4.2 revealed that the Ta surface chemistry on the nanotube architecture enhanced alkaline phosphatase activity, and promoted a ~30% faster rate of matrix mineralization and bone-nodule formation when compared to results on bare TiO$_2$ nanotubes. However, as mentioned previously, further investigations are necessary in order to validate these findings, as well as provide greater insight into the effects of the tantalum chemistry. In particular, it has been found that oxide layer thickness can affect protein adsorption on tantalum [157]. As described previously (Section 1.3.2), protein interaction at the biomaterial surface is of great import and highly influential to cell behavior. Hence it would be of interest to assess the effects of Ta surface oxide content (i.e. natural oxide layer versus a fully oxidized Ta layer) on osteogenic function. The work herein compares the response of human osteoblast cells to the regular TiO$_2$ nanotube surface, as-deposited amorphous metallic Ta-coated nanotubes, and as-deposited amorphous tantalum oxide (Ta$_2$O$_5$) coated nanotubes. The results demonstrate that the osteofunctionality is even further enhanced by the Ta oxide nanotube surfaces when compared to the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface and metallic Ta-coated nanotube surface.
4.3.1 Experimental Methods

**Nanotube Substrate Fabrication**

TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces were created using a two electrode set-up anodization process as described previously [125]. A 0.25 mm thick commercially pure Ti sheet (99.5% metal basis, Alfa-Aesar, USA) was used for this process, which was first cleaned successively in acetone and isopropyl alcohol with ultrasonication followed by DI water rinse. The nanotubes were prepared in a 1:7 volumetric ratio of acetic acid (≥99.99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) to a weight percent fraction of 0.5% hydrofluoric acid in water (48% w/v, EM Science, USA) at 20 V for 30 min. A platinum electrode (99.9%, Alfa-Aesar, USA) served as the cathode. The samples were then washed with deionized water, dried at 80°C. The as-made nanotubes were then treated in five different combinations of annealing and coating procedures.

**TiO$_2$ NTs:** The as-made TiO$_2$ nanotubes were heat treated at 500°C for 2 h in order to crystallize the as-fabricated amorphous structured TiO$_2$ nanotubes to anatase structure.

**Ta-coated NTs:** After heat treatment as described in (1), tantalum films (20 nm-thick) were vacuum-deposited onto TiO$_2$ nanotube substrates from a tantalum target in a Denton Discovery 18 sputter system. To ensure preferential coating of the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface,
the deposition angle used was ~30° off the vertical axis with substrate rotation during deposition. 200 W plasma was applied when Ar pressure reached 3 mTorr after base pressure reached 10⁻⁶ torr. The as-deposited Ta film is expected to be of amorphous nature.

**Ta₂O₅-coated NTs:** After heat treatment as described in (1), Ta₂O₅ thin films (20 nm-thick) were vacuum-deposited onto the TiO₂ nanotube substrates using a sputtering system (Denton Discovery 18 Sputter System) at room temperature. The deposition of Ta₂O₅ was carried out at pressures up to 5 X 10⁻⁷ mTorr (base pressure). The RF sputtering power was 400 W when Ar pressure reached 3 mTorr gas pressure. The as-deposited Ta₂O₅ film is expected to be of amorphous nature.

**Contact Angle Measurement**

The measurement of contact angle for each of the nanotube surfaces was carried out using a video contact angle measurement system model VSA 2500 XE (AST Products Inc.). A small deionized water droplet (~3 mg) was placed on the nanotube surface to measure the static contact angle. Measurement of the contact angle is a simple method for analyzing the surface energy and hydrophilic nature of a surface. In this case we also wanted to verify that the tantalum (more
hydrophilic in nature compared with TiO$_2$) had been deposited and to observe the changes in surface energy after deposition and heat treatment procedures.

**Osteoblast Cell Culture**

For these studies, human osteoblast (HOb) cells (406-05a, Cell Applications, Inc., USA) were used. Each 1 ml of cryo-conserved stock was mixed with 10 ml of alpha minimum essential medium (αMEM; Invitrogen, USA) in the presence of a volume fraction of 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, USA) and a volume fraction of 1 % penicillin-streptomycin (PS; Invitrogen, USA). The cell suspension was plated in a polystyrene cell culture dish and incubated at 37 °C in a volume fraction of 5 % CO$_2$ environment. Each 1.27 x 1.27 cm$^2$ experimental substrate (TiO$_2$ nanotubes and each category of Ta-coated nanotubes) was placed into individual wells of a 12-well polystyrene plate. The polystyrene (PS) culture dish was used as a control. When the cells reached confluency, the HOb osteoblast cells were seeded at a concentration of 2.5x10$^4$ cells per well onto the experimental substrates and stored in a CO$_2$ incubator for the experimental time durations. All osteoblast cells for this study were used at passage 5. For experimental time points beyond 7 d of culture, cell media was changed at 7 d to osteogenic induced media, αMEM containing a volume fraction of 10 % FBS, a volume fraction
of 1 % PS, 10 nmol/L dexamethasone (Sigma, USA), 150 μg/ml ascorbic acid (Sigma, USA) and 10 mmol/L β-glycerol phosphate (Sigma, USA). All experimental substrates were moved to a new 12-well dish before cell assays were performed in order to isolate the cells on the substrate of interest from cells on the surrounding polystyrene dish.

**MTT Assay**

To estimate the metabolic activity of the cells, an MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay was employed. After the selected incubation periods, the samples were washed by PBS and transferred to a new 12-well polystyrene culture plate. Fresh cell culture media was added to each well, and the MTT dye agent was added in an amount equal to 10 % of the culture media volume, according to manufacturer’s instructions (MTT kit, Sigma, USA). After 2 h of incubation in a 5 % CO₂ incubator, 1 ml of solubilizing solution was added to each well and the polystyrene plate was shaken for 30 sec to dissolve the formazan crystals. The fluorescent absorbance of each solution was measured at a wavelength of 570 nm with the subtraction of the 650 nm background by ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrophotometer (Biomate™ 3, Thermo Electron Co., USA).
**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) for Cell and Substrate Examination**

After 24 h of culture, the cells on the substrates were washed with PBS and fixed with a mass fraction of 2.5 % glutaraldehyde (Sigma, USA) in PBS for 1 h. After fixation, they were washed three times with PBS for 15 min each wash. The cells were then dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (volume fractions of 50, 75, 90 and 100 %) for 30 min each and left in 100 % ethanol to be dried by a critical point dryer (EMS 850, Electron Microscopy Science Co., USA). Next, the dried samples were sputter-coated with palladium metallization for examination by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The morphology of the samples as well as that of the adhered cells was observed using a Phillips XL30 field emission environmental scanning electron microscope (FEI Co., USA).

**Immunofluorescence of Cytoskeletal Actin**

After 24 h of culture, the cells were fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. Once fixed, the cells were washed twice with wash buffer (PBS containing a volume fraction of 0.05 %Tween-20). To permeabilize the cells, 0.1 % Triton X-100 in PBS was added for 10 min, followed by washing twice with wash buffer. TRITC-conjugated phalloidin (1:1000 Chemicom International) in PBS was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature, after which the cells were washed three times with wash buffer for 5 min each wash. Samples were then
inverted onto coverslips with a dab of Fluoromount-G (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA), visualized and photographed using a Rhodamine (536 nm excitation) filter by a fluorescence Leica, Co. DM IRB microscope.

**Alkaline Phosphatase Activity Test**

In order to measure the bone forming ability of cells on the experimental surfaces, the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was detected using a colorimetric assay kit. Briefly, after the selected incubation periods, the samples were washed by PBS and transferred to a new 12-well polystyrene culture plate. Cells were then gently washed twice with phosphate buffer provided by the kit supplier, followed by the addition of a volume fraction of 0.2 % Triton X-100 in phosphate buffer. The adherent cells were further scraped off of the sample substrate and collected in a microcentrifuge tube. Cell suspension was incubated on ice for 10 min under agitation, and then centrifuged at 2500 xg for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants were stored at -80°C until further analysis by AnaSpec SensoLyte pNPP Alkaline Phosphatase Assay Kit colorimetric assay (AnaSpec, Inc., USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The ALP values were normalized by protein content obtained by a BCA kit (Sigma, USA).
Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis

Matrix mineralization was analyzed by energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) after 21 d of culture. After the experimental culture time was complete, the cells were trypsinized by trypsin EDTA 0.25 % (Invitrogen, USA) and allowed to air dry for SEM and EDX analysis. Identical samples were incubated in cell-free media as a control. The Oxford EDX attachment and Inca Software were used to determine elemental composition of deposited bone matrix.

Statistical Analysis and Error Bars on Graphs

Sigma Plot 11.0 software (2008) was utilized to demonstrate the statistical significance of the assays. The graphs show the average ± standard error bars associated, with p-values listed in the figure captions.

4.3.2 Results and Discussion

Section 4.2 demonstrated a ~30% increase in the rate of bone mineralization on TiO$_2$ nanotubes modified with a thin conformal layer of tantalum (Ta) when compared to bare TiO$_2$ nanotubes. The tantalum film in the prior study was assumed to be in the amorphous metallic form, as no post-deposition treatment was performed. Considering the significant difference observed in the prior study between TiO$_2$ and Ta-coated nanotubes, further investigation was necessary to understand the effect of the tantalum film on the behavior of human osteoblast cells. One
aspect of the tantalum film that we wanted to consider is the effect of the oxide content of the tantalum coated nanotubes on the osteogenic behavior. A number of studies have shown that titanium and tantalum both form a natural oxide layer when exposed to air, which has been attributed to the excellent biocompatibility of these materials [130-131]. Additional studies, however, have shown that protein absorption was markedly increased with increasing thickness of an oxide layer on the surface of tantalum [131]. Since the first interaction in the sequence of events at the biomaterial implant surface is the absorption of proteins, this trend is of importance when considering a biomaterial composed of tantalum. Thus, in order to compare the osteoblast response to metallic and oxidized tantalum surface chemistries on the nanotube geometry, three sample types were prepared: (1) TiO$_2$ nanotubes (NTs), (2) amorphous metallic Ta-coated NTs, and (3) amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated NTs, as described in detail in the Materials and Methods.

**Figure 4.3-1** presents SEM images of each of the experimental nanotube surfaces. The images reveal near identical surface structures, with an outer diameter of ~100 nm, ~10 nm wall thickness, ~10 nm spacing, and ~300 nm height, as previously described [2, 125]. The Ta and Ta$_2$O$_5$ coating performed by vacuum sputter deposition allows for deposition of a conformal layer with high control of the Ta thickness [20.
nm). Both tantalum and tantalum oxide are reported to be biocompatible materials, with excellent corrosion resistance and low solubility and toxicity [129, 158]. The contact angle measurements of each surface are reported in yellow in the upper right corner of each figure. The TiO$_2$ nanotube surface contact angle of a water droplet was $\sim 4^\circ$; the contact angle on the Ta and Ta$_2$O$_5$ coated nanotube surfaces was $\sim 0^\circ$. The presence of the Ta and Ta$_2$O$_5$ coatings was confirmed via energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis. In addition, the relative oxygen content of each substrate was measured, and is shown in the graph in Figure 4.3-1. These measurements include oxygen content of not only the surface, but also the nanotube length and bulk Ti substrates (as far as the x-ray beam can penetrate). However, the relative amounts show increased oxygen content on the Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated nanotube substrate, as expected.
Figure 4.3-1. **Top:** SEM micrographs of each of the experimental nanotube surfaces, with water contact angles for each surface displayed in the upper right corner in yellow. Scale bars = 500 nm. (a) TiO$_2$ NTs, (b) Ta-coated NTs, and (c) Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated NTs. **Bottom:** EDX analysis of the atomic percent of oxygen on the experimental surfaces.

The cytoskeletal actin organization and cell morphology on each of the nanotube substrates is shown in Figure 4.3-2. The top row depicts immunofluorescent staining of the cytoskeletal actin of HObs after 24 h of culture on the various surfaces, and the bottom row shows SEM images of the HObs after 24 h of culture. In both the actin and SEM images, the cells appear to be relatively unaffected by the changes in surface oxidation. The cells maintain elongated shapes, with significant lamellipodial and filopodial protrusions characteristic of osteoblast and osteoprogenitor cellular response to the nanotube surface geometry [2-3]. The elongated leading edges of lamellipodia are indicated on the SEM images by yellow
arrows. These lamellipodia suggest that the cells are relatively motile and activated by the nanotube architecture, but are not necessarily influenced by the surface oxide composition.

![Immunofluorescent images of cytoskeletal actin (red) of HOb cells after 24 h of culture incubation](image1)

**Figure 4.3-2.** Top Row: Immunofluorescent images of cytoskeletal actin (red) of HOb cells after 24 h of culture incubation, showing a criss-cross pattern on both TiO$_2$ and Ta surfaces (yellow arrows). Scale bars = 50 µm. **Bottom Row:** SEM micrographs of HOb cells after 24 h incubation. Scale bars = 50 µm. (a) TiO$_2$ NTs, (b) Ta-coated NTs, and (c) Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated NTs. The elongated leading edges of lamellipodia are indicated by yellow arrows.

The cell adhesion and proliferation was also not significantly affected by the various surface chemistries in this study. The metabolic activity of the cells was measured using an MTT assay in order to indirectly estimate the number of viable cells. Results of the MTT analysis in Figure 4.3-3 show that the cells proliferated on each of the nanotube surfaces well, with a slight trend of increasing cell viability from TiO$_2$ to Ta to Ta$_2$O$_5$,.
however no statistical difference between substrates was observed. We hypothesize that the initial cell response to a surface is more highly influenced by substrate topography and surface energy than by surface oxide content.

Figure 4.3-3. MTT assay data showing the optical density (OD) of the reaction product of the MTT working solution of HOb cells cultured on the nanotube surfaces as a function of incubation time ($n = 3$). The bar graph shows the mean ± standard error bars.

In order to assess the functionality of the osteoblast cells, the alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was measured as a function of incubation time (Figure 4.3-4). Alkaline phosphatase is an enzyme that indicates the bone-forming ability of osteoblast cells. Although no significant difference was observed at shorter time points, at 9 days both the Ta and Ta$_2$O$_5$ coated nanotube surfaces were significantly higher than the TiO$_2$ nanotubes (but no difference was observed between the
metallic and oxide Ta-coated NTs). However, at 14 and 21 days, a consistent trend was apparent in which the ALP activity was highest on the amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated NTs, followed by the amorphous Ta-coated NTs. These results indicate that at more advanced times points, the osteoblast cells respond more positively to tantalum oxide than to metallic tantalum.

**Figure 4.3-4.** ALP activity of HOb cells cultured on the nanotube surfaces vs. incubation time ($n = 3$). The graph points show the mean ± standard error bars. The $p$-values after performing an ANOVA test reached statistical significance ($p \leq 0.001$), for the comparison between sample types indicated by the arrows and (*).

The bone-forming functionality of the osteoblast cells was also analyzed by measuring the relative amounts of calcium and phosphorus deposited on each surface via energy dispersive x-ray analysis (EDX). The atomic percents of each atom reported in **Figure 4.3-5(a)** indicate that there were significantly greater amounts of calcium and phosphorus
present on the Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated nanotube substrate when compared to the TiO$_2$ nanotube and Ta-coated NT. Figure 4.3-5(b) shows SEM images of the matrix deposits on each surface for visual comparison with the quantified EDX data in the graph. The largest mineral deposits are apparent on Ta-oxide surface when compared to the TiO$_2$ nanotubes and metallic Ta-coated surface. The trends observed in the ALP activity in Figure 4.3-4 are also supported by the trends in the mineralized matrix amounts.

Figure 4.3-5. Bone nodule formation by HOb cells cultured for 3 weeks. (Left) EDX analysis of the atomic percent of calcium and phosphorus mineral elements on the surfaces ($n = 5$). The bar graph shows the mean ± standard error bars. The $p$-values after performing an ANOVA test reached statistical significance ($p \leq 0.05$), as indicated by (*). (Right) SEM micrographs at 2000x showing larger bone matrix deposits on the Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated nanotube surface. Scale bars = 10 µm. (a) TiO$_2$ NTs, (b) Ta-coated NTs, and (c) Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated NTs.

Although no significant difference was detected in the data from the earlier incubation time points (less than 7 days), at day 9 to 21 the Ta$_2$O$_5$ coating appears to have triggered an upregulated osteogenic
functionality. This can be concluded by the enhanced ALP activity and evidence of more matrix deposits at advanced incubation stages. One possible explanation for this trend could be that there is a greater percentage of oxygen in the Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated nanotube substrate when compared to the other samples, as shown in the graph of comparative amounts of oxygen in Figure 4.3-1. Studies in the past have contributed surface oxide thickness to increased protein absorption on the surface of tantalum [131]. Additionally, greater osteoblast adhesion and activity has been correlated to more surface hydroxyl groups on titanium [130]. It is possible that the higher percentage of surface oxygen on the Ta$_2$O$_5$-coated nanotube surface induces an accumulation of more proteins on the surface, which leads to faster osteoblast maturity and matrix mineralization.

The purpose of this study was to provide a comparative analysis of the effects of the anodic nanotube architecture with varied surface chemistry (TiO$_2$, Ta, and Ta$_2$O$_5$) on osteoblast growth and maturation. The results of this study have shown that although an amorphous metallic Ta coating can induce a more highly active osteoblast behavior than the bare TiO$_2$ nanotube surface, these effects can be considerably improved using an amorphous Ta$_2$O$_5$ coating, which may be attributed to higher surface oxygen content. Future studies should provide a direct
comparison between the amorphous and crystalline tantalum and tantalum oxide coatings on the nanotube architecture since differences have been observed in osteoblast response to amorphous and crystalline TiO₂ nanotubes [125]. It would also be of interest to perform similar experiments with osteoprogenitor cells, since it is known that there are differences in cell preferences of physical and chemical cues [74]. It is possible that the Ta₂O₅ surface chemistry would also further enhance the osteoprogenitor cell response.

4.3.3 Conclusion

In this work, we have compared the response of human osteoblast cells to three nanotube surfaces of identical geometry, but various chemistries: the bare TiO₂ nanotubes, Ta-coated nanotubes, and Ta₂O₅-coated nanotubes. The purpose of this experiment was to analyze the effect of surface oxide content in a tantalum thin film on the osteogenic behavior of human osteoblast cells. Although no difference was observed at brief incubation time points (less than 7 days), at advanced stages we observed an upregulation in ALP activity, as well as a significant increase in bone matrix mineralization on the tantalum oxide coated nanotube surface when compared to the bare TiO₂ nanotubes and metallic tantalum coated nanotubes. These results indicate that the oxide content of a biomaterial surface may provide a significant
opportunity for enhancing the bone-forming ability of osteoblasts grown thereon.

4.4 Conclusions & Future Directions

The findings presented in this chapter demonstrate the highly complex interaction between the cell and a biomaterial surface, and that cellular behavior is easily influenced by both surface chemical and nanotopographical cues. It has been illustrated that:

i. Osteoblast cells have opposite preferences as osteoprogenitor cells when in contact with TiO$_2$ and carbon,

ii. Osteoblast cells show preference for Ta over TiO$_2$ nanostructured surfaces,

iii. Osteoblast cells show preference for Ta$_2$O$_5$ over Ta and TiO$_2$.

The overall conclusion that can be obtained from these findings implies that unique combinations of surface chemistry and nanostructure may influence cell behavior due to distinctive physico-chemical properties. Further studies are required to gain insight to the phenomenon observed in this work, such as comparative analysis of protein adsorption, hydroxyapatite formation, and biomolecular interaction with the nanotube surfaces of various surface chemistries. These findings are of paramount importance to the orthopedics field for understanding cell
behavior in response to subtle alterations in nanostructure and surface chemistry, and will enable further insight into the complex manipulation of biomaterial surfaces. With increased focus in the field of orthopedic materials research on nanostructured surfaces, this study emphasizes the need for careful and systematic review of variations in surface chemistry in concurrence with nanotopographical changes.

Chapter 4, section 1 contains a reprint in part of the material as it appears in Acta Biomaterialia Volume 7 (6), 2011, Page 2697 written by Karla S. Brammer, Chulmin Choi, Christine J. Frandsen, Seunghan Oh, Gary Johnston, and Sungho Jin. The dissertation author is a collaborating investigator and author of this publication. Chapter 4, section 2 contains a reprint in part of the material as it has been prepared for submission for publication, written by Christine J. Frandsen, Karla S. Brammer, Kunbae Noh, Gary W. Johnston, and Sungho Jin. The dissertation author is the primary investigator and author of this publication. Chapter 4, section 3 contains a reprint in part of the material as it has been prepared for submission for publication, written by Christine J. Frandsen, Karla S. Brammer, Gary W. Johnston, Chulmin Choi, and Sungho Jin. The dissertation author is the primary investigator and author of this publication.
CHAPTER 5

5. TiO$_2$ Nanotube Coatings on Femoral Knee Implant Materials

The advantages of the nanotube architecture as an advanced orthopedic implant surface structure have been well-demonstrated in previous chapters of this dissertation. The studies discussed thus far have been focused on nanotubes fabricated from a bulk Ti or Zr substrate, with the intended eventual application being a bulk orthopedic implant consisting of a medical grade metal alloy consisting of mainly Ti or Zr. For example, the anodization procedure could easily be scaled-up to produce a nanotube surface coating covering the outer surfaces of a bulk titanium alloy orthopedic implant. However, there exist several other widely used orthopedic implant materials for which the electrochemical anodization technique could not be directly applied, meaning nanotube formation has not been shown to occur via the same mechanism as with Ti or Zr. Such alternative materials include, for example, cobalt chromium (CoCr) alloys, stainless steel, zirconia (ZrO$_2$) ceramics, and polymers such as polyethylene. These orthopedic materials maintain similar relatively short implant lifetimes as do Ti and Zr, and thus novel bone-integrating surfaces are of interest for these materials as well. Thus, it would be of interest to develop a method in which a nanotube coating could be
applied to any of these implant materials as an advanced bone-integrating surface, enabling a more versatile application. The work presented in this chapter discusses the application of a thin film of titanium to various substrate materials, followed by electrochemical anodization, in order to introduce a TiO$_2$ nanotube surface coating.

5.1 Increased Osteoblast Adhesion and Spreading on a TiO$_2$ Nanotube-Coated Commercial Zirconia Femoral Knee Implant In Vitro

Zirconia (ZrO$_2$) was introduced as an orthopedic implant material in 1985, and is well known as one of the highest-strength ceramics suitable for medical use [159]. In particular, zirconia has been found to be a choice material for the femoral component of the total knee implant when compared to the more commonly used CoCr, due to a reduction in polyethylene wear [160]. Although zirconia orthopedic implants received a poor reputation in the late 90s due to failures found to be a result of a change in the heat treatment procedure, a much better understanding of the ceramic aging and degradation behavior and prevention techniques has been obtained [161-162], and the material is becoming popular again.
While the current success of total knee replacement (TKR) is much improved from pioneering knee implant designs, further improvements are necessary for increased implant lifetime. Some studies have shown that the failure rate of TKR is greater in younger patients due to a higher level of activity [163]. The most common reasons for TKR revision surgery are aseptic loosening and instability [164]. The reasons for aseptic loosening are multifactorial [165]. However, in general, the source of the problem lies in osteolysis at the bone-implant interface. As such, one area for implant lifetime improvement is in strengthening the osseointegration of the implant.

There is much controversy on the benefits of cemented versus uncemented fixation techniques of TKRs. Uncemented fixation for TKR was introduced as a method of potentially increasing implant longevity [166]. The presence of a more physiological bond between the bone and the implant is considered by some to provide a stronger device [167]. While studies in the literature often favor cemented fixation, some more recent studies suggest that there is not a significant difference between the fixation methods [167-168], and both are equally recommended in terms of implant performance.

It has been well-established that the presence of nanotopography affects basic cell behavior in almost all types of mammalian cells [169].
Of particular interest are titanium oxide (TiO$_2$) nanotubes, since titanium is a well-known biocompatible orthopedic material. TiO$_2$ nanotubes have been found not only to significantly accelerate osteoblast cell growth, but also improve bone-forming functionality and direct stem cell fate. These results are especially promising for well-established titanium bone implants currently on the market, since aseptic loosening is still a relevant problem in orthopedic implants. The nanotube surface structure can essentially be added to any shape of titanium implant by a simple anodization procedure. Additionally, TiO$_2$ nanotubes can be grown from a thin film of titanium deposited onto another surface. This expands the possible applications to not only current titanium implants, but other types of implants as well.

In this work, a commercial zirconia ceramic femoral knee component was sputter coated with a thin film of titanium on the bone bonding (backside) surface, and further anodized to form a coating of TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Osteoblast viability, adhesion, and spreading was analyzed and compared on the TiO$_2$ nanotube coating and bare zirconia implant surface. Here we report an improvement of osteoblast cell adhesion and spreading on the TiO$_2$ nanotube coated implant.
5.1.1 Experimental Methods

TiO$_2$ Nanotube-Coated Implant Preparation

A zirconia ceramic femoral knee component was obtained from Kinamed, Inc. (GEM™ Total Knee System, Cat # 20-120-1001) and was cleaved into six pieces in a manner which maximized the sample surface area of relatively flat backside implant surface. Two of the pieces were set aside to be used as controls in this study, while the remaining four pieces were taken for further processing. Before film deposition, ZrO$_2$ implant materials were cleaned successively in acetone and isopropyl alcohol with ultrasonication. Ti thin film was vacuum-deposited using Denton Discovery 18 sputter system. Base pressure was 1~10$^{-6}$ torr and substrate being rotated was heated to 400 °C during sputtering. Plasma power was 200 W and Ar pressure was 3.0 mTorr. Deposition rate was 0.25 nm/s and 1 μm thick Ti film was deposited. Electrical contact for the anodization step was provided by copper tape and a laquer protective paint. The TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces were created using a two-electrode-setup anodization process. An organic-based electrochemical solution was used which was composed of 0.25 wt% NH$_4$F in 2 vol% deionized water in ethylene glycol. A platinum electrode (99.9% pure, Alfa-Aesar, USA) served as the cathode. The samples were anodized at 20 V for 15 min, followed by a washing step with ethanol, and an acetone soak to
remove the lacquer paint. The samples were then dried at 80°C overnight and heat-treated at 500 °C for 2 h in order to crystallize the as-fabricated amorphous structured TiO$_2$ nanotubes into an anatase structure. The samples used for all experiments were sterilized by autoclaving prior to use. The bare zirconia implant samples were used as a control after being chemically cleaned by acetone and isopropanol, dried and autoclaved.

**Adhesion Strength Test**

For adhesion strength of TiO$_2$ nanotube coating on the zirconia implant materials, a simple adhesion set-up was utilized. Commercial thermosetting epoxy was attached on the surface of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes and a hook-shaped metal wire end was tightly embedded inside the epoxy. The adhered area of epoxy was 0.2 cm$^2$. After the epoxy was fully cured, uniaxial force was applied normal to the surface. Adhesion strength was indirectly determined whether fracture occurred along the epoxy/TiO$_2$ nanotube interface or TiO$_2$ nanotube/implant interface. In result, adhesion strength was at least greater than 460 lb/in$^2$ in which epoxy was detached from TiO$_2$ nanotube surface with a sharp fracture interface.
**Contact Angle Measurement**

The measurement of contact angle for the bare implant and TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces was carried out by a video contact angle measurement system (Model No. VSA 2500 XE, AST Products, Inc.).

**Osteoblast Cell Culture**

For this study, MC3T3-E1 mouse osteoblast (CRL-2593, subclone 4, ATCC, USA) were used. Each 1 ml of cells was mixed with 10 ml of alpha minimum essential medium (α-DMEM; Invitrogen, USA) in the presence of 10 vol.% bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, USA) and 1 vol.% penicillin-streptomycin (PS; Invitrogen, USA). The cell suspension was plated in a cell culture dish and incubated at 37°C in a 5 vol.% CO$_2$ environment. When the concentration of the MC3T3-E1 osteoblastic cells reached confluency, they were seeded onto the experimental substrate of interest (TiO$_2$ nanotubes or bare implant), which was placed on a 6-well polystyrene plate and stored in a CO$_2$ incubator for 24 and 48 h to observe the cell morphology and adhesion. The concentration of the cells seeded onto the substrate was $1.5 \times 10^5$ cells per well.

**Cell Viability, Adhesion, and Spreading Test**

Fluorescein diacetate (FDA; Sigma, USA) staining was conducted to visualize cell viability and to quantify cell spreading. At 24 h after plating, the cells on the substrates were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (1
PBS) solution (Invitrogen, USA) and incubated for approximately 30 s with FDA stock (5 mg dissolved in 1 ml of acetone) dissolved in PBS (10μl/10ml), and washed once more. The samples were then inverted into new wells, visualized and photographed using a fluorescence microscope with a green filter (DM IRB, Leica Co., USA). Six fields were randomly chosen from each sample. The digital images were stored in a 672 x 512 pixels file and imported to a TIF format. Stored images were imported to ImageJ image processing program for digital analysis. The number of adherent cells were counted in each image. Additionally, the total cell spreading area was quantified.

**SEM for Substrate and Cell Morphological Examination**

After 24 h of culture, the cells on the substrates were washed with PBS and fixed with 2.5% w/v glutaraldehyde (Sigma, USA) in PBS for 1 hour. After fixation, they were washed three times with PBS for 10 min each wash. The cells were then dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (50, 75, 90, 100 vol.%) for 30 min each and left in 100% ethanol overnight. The substrates were then dried by a critical point dryer (EMS 850, Electron Microscopy Science Co., USA). Next, the dried samples were sputter-coated with palladium for examination by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The morphology of the TiO₂ nanotubes and bare implant as well as
that of the adhered cells were observed using an XL30 scanning electron microscope (FEI Co., USA).

**Statistical Analysis and Error Bars on Graphs**

SigmaPlot 11.0 software (2008), which specializes in scientific data analysis and presentation, was utilized to demonstrate the statistical significance of the spreading assay. The graph shows the average ± standard error bars associated, with the sample size (or N values) shown in a box in the upper portion of the graph.

5.1.2 **Results and Discussion**

The zirconia (ZrO$_2$) ceramic femoral knee component obtained from Kinamed, Inc. (GEM™ Total Knee System, Cat # 20-120-1001) for modification and experimentation in this study is pictured in Figure 5.1-1, as received. The bone-integrating (backside) surface of the femoral knee implant is shown facing upward (the dull surface) in this photograph, while the articulating (shiny) surface is facing downward. This implant was cut into six sample pieces for use in the study herein.
Figure 5.1-1. Photograph of the as-received commercial zirconia femoral knee implant.

Figure 5.1-2 shows SEM micrographs of the as-received zirconia implant surface (a), and the TiO$_2$ nanotube coated implant surface (b). The two surfaces look relatively similar when viewed at a lower magnification, with micron-rough surface features. However, the insets of each image reveal the nanotopography present on the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface. The self-assembled nanotube surface was obtained by anodizing the titanium sputter-coated zirconia femoral implant at 20 V an organic-based electrolytic solution. The nanotube pore size is ~50 nm, with a length of ~200 nm.
Figure 5.1-2. Physical characterization of the as-received zirconia implant and TiO$_2$ nanotube coated implant surfaces. (a) SEM micrographs of bare implant surface, with higher magnification in the inset (tilted 45° angle). (b) SEM micrographs of the TiO$_2$ nanotube coated implant surface. (c) Tilted view SEM micrograph showing the nanotube opening (solid circle) underneath a porous top layer (dashed circle). (d) Table with surface contact angle measurements of water droplets on the bare implant, titanium coated implant, and TiO$_2$ nanotube coated implant surfaces.

While the top-view of the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface appears slightly more porous in nature, tube morphology was verified to be present underneath a porous top layer, as seen in Figure 5.1-2(c). The solid circle shows the tube-surface, while the dashed circle shows the porous top layer. This configuration of TiO$_2$ nanotubes with a porous surface morphology was clearly explained by Wang, et al. to be the result of an imbalance in two competitive reactions which occur during TiO$_2$
nanotube formation [170]. Further optimization of our anodization conditions could result in a tube-like surface morphology. However, for the purposes of this study we analyzed the porous TiO$_2$ nanotube surface morphology in comparison with the bare implant material.

The SEM images show that a micron-scale surface roughness is present on the as-received implant; the nanotube coated implant possesses the same microtopography, in addition to the nanotopography created by the porous nanotube surface. The surface contact angle measurements of water droplets on each surface revealed that the titanium surface coating altered the as-received implant surface to become extremely hydrophilic in nature, while the as-received implant was only slightly hydrophilic. The TiO$_2$ nanotube coated implant was also superhydrophilic, with a contact angle of 0°.

To investigate the cell behavior in response to the as-received and modified implant surfaces, osteoblast cells were seeded on the comparative surfaces at a cell density of $1.5 \times 10^5$ per well. As indicated in Figure 5.1-3, cell adhesion and spreading after 24 h of culture was found to be increased on the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface in comparison to the bare implant surface. The plots of the quantification of the fluorescent images (Figure 5.1-4) clearly confirm these trends. Both the number of adhered cells (Figure 5.1-4(a)) and cell spreading area (Figure 5.1-4(b))
were significantly increased on the nanotube surface. These results are in agreement with our previously reported investigations of osteoblast behavior on TiO$_2$ nanotube surfaces when compared to flat Ti foil [1-2]. It is likely that the increase in cell adhesion and spreading is a direct result of the superhydrophilic nature of the nanotube-coated surface. Many researchers have demonstrated the positive effects of superhydrophilic biomaterials on protein and cell adhesion properties [171-172].

![Figure 5.1-3](image-url)

**Figure 5.1-3.** FDA viability of osteoblast cells after 24 hours of incubation on the bare zirconia implant and TiO$_2$ nanotube coating on the zirconia implant. More spreading is evident on the nanotube surface which indicates greater cell adhesion. Red arrows indicate significant cell spreading.
Figure 5.1-4. Cell number (a) and spreading area (b) after 24 hours of incubation. The bar graphs show the average ± standard error bars. The p-value after performing a t-test confirmed a statistical significance (p < 0.005).

The higher degree of cell adhesion and spreading was further confirmed by SEM, as shown in Figure 5.1-5. On the bare zirconia implant surface (Figure 5.1-5(a) and (c)), the cells appear to be unable to attach to the surface. Although there are many filopodial extensions present, rarely are they in contact with the surface, as indicated by the red arrows. In contrast, the cells on the TiO$_2$ nanotube coated implant surface (Figure 5.1-5(b) and (d)) are clearly integrating into the surface, and no floating filipodia are evident. The higher magnification images of the cell edge (Figure 5.1-5(c) and (d)) emphasize the unmistakable cell-surface interaction evident on the TiO$_2$ nanotube coated implant. It can be speculated that the increase in cell-surface interaction can be contributed to two key reasons. Firstly, as mentioned previously, the alteration from a slightly hydrophobic surface to an extremely hydrophilic surface is likely to enhance cell adhesion and spreading capabilities.
Secondly, the presence of nanotopography in addition to the bare implant microtopography may have an impact on how the cells behave. A hierarchical hybrid micro/nano-textured titanium surface has been recently considered to create an improved surface structure for osseointegration [173]. While the nanotopography can be assumed to induce an increase in bone functionality, the microtopography contributes to the mechanical interlocking ability of the surface.

![Figure 5.1-5. SEM micrographs of osteoblast cells after 24 hours of incubation on the bare zirconia implant (a, c), and TiO$_2$ nanotube coating on the zirconia implant (b, d). (c) and (d) are higher magnification of the cell edges on the respective surfaces. Red arrows indicate floating filopodia.](image-url)
The spectrum of this study was limited by the number of commercial femoral implant samples available at the present time. Further studies should be performed in order to verify osteoblast functionality and maturation, as well as mesenchymal stem cell behavior on the surfaces in order to demonstrate the in vitro behavior of the two important cell types present at a bone implant interface. Furthermore, in vivo results should be assessed for more complete materials analysis.

5.1.3 Conclusion

This study was intended to facilitate the osseointegration of zirconia femoral knee implants by providing a novel hierarchical micro- and nano-structured titanium surface coating which encourages bone cell adhesion and integration. The results of this brief study provide sufficient evidence to encourage future studies. The development of such hierarchical micro and nano topographical features, as demonstrated in this work, can provide for insightful designs for advanced bone-inducing material coatings on ceramic orthopedic implant surfaces.

5.2 Fabrication of thin film TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays on Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy by anodization

Since Gong, et al. [42] first fabricated uniform TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays by anodizing titanium foil in hydrofluoric (HF) acid aqueous solution, many
researchers have investigated the mechanism, structural design, and application of anodic TiO$_2$ nanotubes, largely focusing on anodization of thick titanium foils [174-176]. However, the use of Ti foil for fabrication of TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays limits the promising application of this material architecture on other substrates [177]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop approaches to fabricate the highly ordered TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays directly on the desired substrates in order to expand the application field of TiO$_2$ nanotubes. Recently, one of the most studied methods is the deposition of titanium metal directly on the desired substrate and then anodizing the titanium thin film to obtain a TiO$_2$ nanotube array on this desired substrate. In the published studies, Ti thin films were deposited on various substrates such as silicon wafers, conductive glass and regular glass by employing sputtering techniques for applications such as solar cells, photovoltaic nanodevices, hydrogen sensors, and so on [178-182]. For example, Mor, et al. achieved nanotube arrays by anodizing radio frequency (RF) sputtered deposited titanium films at 500°C on a variety of substrates including glass and silicon in an electrolyte solution containing acetic acid and hydrofluoric acid [177, 183]. Yang, et al. obtained TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays by anodization of direct current (DC) sputtered Ti films on a silicon substrate at 500°C in a sodium fluoride (NaF) aqueous electrolyte [184].
To date, the focus of TiO$_2$ nanotube fabrication from thin films has been to utilize the attractive and unique ionic and electronic properties of TiO$_2$ nanotubes [185]. However, there is also a large interest in the applicability of TiO$_2$ nanotubes for next-generation orthopedic implants, since many benefits of such a surface topography for bone regeneration have been realized, including significantly accelerated osteoblast growth [1], enhanced bone-forming functionality [2], and even directing stem cell fate [3]. Two of the most common orthopedic implant materials in use today are composed of titanium (Ti) alloy or cobalt chromium (CoCr) alloy. For the case of the titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V), the potential fabrication of an anodized nanotube coating on the surface of a relatively large 3-D implant with intricate designs is altogether feasible with proper scale-up techniques [44]. However, to our knowledge such methods are not easily applied to a CoCr alloy surface.

As mentioned, Co-28Cr-6Mo is a medical grade alloy commonly used for surgical implants because of its high strength, superior corrosion resistance, non-magnetic behavior, and biocompatibility. The applications of Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy include prosthetic replacements of hips, knees, elbows, shoulders, ankles, and fingers; bone plates, screws, staples, and rods; and heart valves [186-187]. We believe that it could be highly advantageous to combine the well-recognized Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy
implant material with the advanced TiO$_2$ nanotube surface structure by preparing a TiO$_2$ nanotube array on a CoCr substrate. To our knowledge, few research studies have been carried out to investigate the anodization of deposited Ti thin film on Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy. In this paper, we achieved TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays by anodizing the DC-sputtered Ti/Au/Ti trilayer film on a Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy substrate. The effects of experimental parameters on the growth of TiO$_2$ nanotube were investigated to optimize the process. In addition, to demonstrate the biocompatibility of the nanostructured coating, adhered osteoblast cell morphology data is presented.

5.2.1 Experimental Methods

Deposition of titanium film on Co-28Cr-6Mo substrate

Two different types of medical grade Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy substrates (ASTM F-75 and ASTM F-799) were obtained in order to determine whether the substrate forming technique used (cast or forged) was significant. Titanium and gold film deposition was carried out on Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy substrates (17mm×22mm, cast Co-28Cr-6Mo, ASTM F-75; Φ13mm, thickness 1mm, forged Co-28Cr-6Mo, ASTM F-799) using direct-current sputtering. Before deposition, the Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy was cleaned by DI water, acetone, methanol, and isopropyl alcohol (IPA) sequentially. The deposition was carried out under an Ar flow rate of 37 sccm. The argon
pressure was 3 mTorr and the applied sputtering power was 200 W during the deposition process. The deposition temperature was held constantly at 400 °C. The base pressure was lower than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ torr, but pressure increased when heating chamber up to $\sim 3 \times 10^{-6}$ torr. The substrate rotation was maintained at $\sim 75$ rpm and the deposition rate was approximately 15 nm/min. Two different deposited-film structures were made for comparison. The first deposited-film structure: a 20 nm Ti coating was firstly deposited on the Co-28-6Mo substrate, followed by a 50 nm Au layer and a 2 μm Ti layer were deposited in turn. The second deposited-film structure: a 2 μm Ti layer was deposited directly on the Co-28-6Mo substrate. Figure 5.2-1 shows the schematic diagram of the Ti/Au/Ti trilayer and Ti monolayer deposited-film structure on Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy.

![Schematic diagram of two different deposition structures on Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy substrate](image)

**Figure 5.2-1.** Schematic diagrams of two different deposition structures on Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy substrate: (A) Ti/Au/Ti trilayer; (B) Ti monolayer.

**Fabrication of the TiO$_2$ nanotubes by anodization**

Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy substrate was fixed to copper conductive tape to form the working electrode. The edge and back area of Co-28Cr-6Mo
alloy without Ti-deposited film were covered by a protective lacquer, leaving Ti film exposed to the electrolyte. This constant-voltage anodization was performed in a two-electrode configuration using a DC power supply (E3612A, Agilent) at room temperature. In this work, the applied voltage was 20 V. The electrolyte consisted of ammonium fluoride (NH₄F, 96%, Alfa Aesar) and distilled water in glycerol (99.9%, JT Baker). A platinum sheet was used as the counter-electrode. After anodization, samples were immediately washed in distilled water, and then dipped in a glycerol solution containing 6 vol% HF and 12 vol% H₂O for 50 s to etch away the tangled debris existing on the surface of TiO₂ nanotube array. Subsequently, samples were cleaned by ultrasonication for 15 s and then dried with air stream. For the morphological characterization, a ultra high resolution field emission microscope (UHR SEM; FEI XL30) was employed. The cross-sectional images were taken from mechanically scratched samples.

**Osteoblast cell culture assay for morphological examination**

For these studies, human osteoblast (HOb) cells (406-05a, Cell Applications, Inc., USA) were used. Cell growth media consisted of alpha minimum essential medium (αMEM; Invitrogen, USA) in the presence of a volume fraction of 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen, USA) and a volume fraction of 1% penicillin-streptomycin (PS; Invitrogen, USA). The
cells were incubated under the standard 37° C, 5% CO₂ environment. When the cells reached confluency, the HOb cells were seeded at a concentration of 2.5x10⁴ cells per well onto the experimental substrates (flat forged CoCr and TiO₂ nanotube coated forged CoCr) which were placed into individual wells of a 12-well polystyrene plate and stored in a CO₂ incubator for the experimental time durations. The polystyrene (PS) culture dish was used as a control.

Next, to observe the cellular morphology and filopodial activity of the attached cells, the cells were prepared for SEM examination. After 24 h, the cells on the substrates were washed with PBS and fixed with 2.5 wt% glutaraldehyde (Sigma, USA) in PBS for 1 h. After fixation, they were washed three times with PBS for 15 min each wash. The cells were then dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (volume fractions of 50, 75, 90 and 100%) for 30 min each and left in 100% ethanol to be dried by a critical point dryer (EMS 850, Electron Microscopy Science Co., USA). Next, the dried samples were sputter-coated with palladium metallization for examination by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The morphology of the adhered cells was observed using a Phillips XL30 field emission environmental scanning electron microscope (FEI Co., USA).
5.2.2 Results and Discussion

5.2.2.1 Effect of deposited-film structure

The Co-28Cr-6Mo substrate itself seemed to be reactive with anodizing solution, especially when current was applied. When the Ti monolayer type sample (Figure 5.2-1(b)) was anodized, the Co-28Cr-6Mo substrate was easily damaged because the anodization end-point could not be properly detected. Thus, immediately after the entire Ti layer was consumed, the CoCr substrate was then exposed to electrolyte solution, which etched the underlying substrate surface. In order to provide a means for end-point detection, the trilayer structure was employed (Figure 5.2-1(a)).

In this work, the 50 nm gold (Au) thin film deposited between two Ti thin films was found to be important for proper control of the growth of the TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays. In the case of the Ti/Au/Ti trilayer structure, the Ti thin film between the CoCr substrate and Au layer serves as an adhesion layer. Accordingly, the Au layer was inserted to determine the anodization end-point as the anodization proceeded. A surge in the anodization current would indicate that the Au layer was exposed to the electrolyte solution, but since Au does not oxidize (noble metal), current would continue to flow as long as the circuit is closed. In contrast, if the current decreased down to 0, this would signal that the Ti layer on top of the Au
was entirely consumed so that TiO₂ served as an insulating layer. However, these opposite cases depend on TiO₂ barrier thickness and applied current whether anodizing current overcomes a threshold value for tunneling effect.

The corresponding current density vs. time curve of the anodization process of Ti/Au/Ti trilayer (deposited at 400 C°) is shown in Figure 5.2-2. The three regions labeled in this curve indicate three different phases of the anodization process. In region I, the current density dropped sharply at the beginning of the anodization and then slowly decreased as time progressed due to the formation of a compact oxide on the top of the Ti layer and the initial growth of TiO₂ nanotubes. During region II, the relatively constant low current indicates the steady growth of the TiO₂ nanotube arrays. An abnormal increase of current density occurred in region III, which signals that the anodization reached the interface of top Ti/Au and breakdown events began. A series of experiments showed that optimized TiO₂ nanotube array was obtained if the sample was removed from the electrolyte at the end of region II, otherwise extended anodization led to the deposited film peeling off from Co-28Cr-6Mo substrate. In this work, higher concentration of NH₄F in the electrolyte reduced the anodization time required to arrive at the end of region II.
Figure 5.2-2. Corresponding current density vs. anodization time curve of anodization process of Ti/Au/Ti trilayer at 400 °C (divided into three regions).

5.2.2.2 Effect of deposition temperature

Figure 5.2-3 shows the SEM images of the as-deposited surface morphology of the Ti/Au/Ti thin film on forged Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy sputtered at 400 °C (a) and room temperature (b). From Figure 5.2-3(a) and Figure 5.2-3(a), it is obvious that the morphology of the Ti films sputtered at 400 °C and at room temperature is different. The surface of the Ti/Au/Ti thin film sputtered at 400 °C is compact, with polygonal plate-like structures on the scale of 150-200 nm (Figure 5.2-3(a)). The surface of the Ti/Au/Ti thin film sputtered at room temperature (Figure 5.2-3(b)) consists of angular grain structures on the scale of 200-300 nm, which is larger than those observed at 400 °C. In addition, the room temperature film is loose, with larger spaces between grains.
Figure 5.2-3. The SEM images of the surface morphology of Ti/Au/Ti thin film on forged Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy disc samples sputtered at different deposition temperature: (A) at 400 °C, (B) at room temperature; and the surface SEM images of TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays anodized Ti/Au/Ti thin film on Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy disc samples sputtered at different deposition temperature: (C) at 400 °C, (D) at room temperature.

The surface morphologies of the corresponding TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays obtained by anodizing these films under the same anodizing conditions are shown in Figure 5.2-3(c) and (d). Figure 5.2-3(c) shows the TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays obtained by anodizing the Ti/Au/Ti thin film deposited on Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy at 400 °C in glycerol solution containing 3.0 wt% NH$_4$F and 5 vol% H$_2$O at 20 V. The diameter of TiO$_2$ nanotubes is ~45-55 nm. The surface morphology of Ti/Au/Ti thin film deposited at room temperature after anodization is shown in Figure 5.2-3(d). Some nanoholes and cracks are distributed on the surface, and TiO$_2$ nanotube morphology
does not appear to be present. Obviously, the structure of sputtered film effects the growth of the TiO$_2$ nanotube. Compact, smooth and uniform sputtered films favor the formation of TiO$_2$ nanotubes. For the cast Co-28Cr-6Mo substrate, the influence of deposition temperature on the structure of Ti thin film is the same as the forged Co-28Cr-6Mo substrate.

5.2.2.3 Effect of electrolyte content

The influence of NH$_4$F concentration and H$_2$O concentration in electrolyte on the formation of TiO$_2$ nanotube by anodizing the Ti/Au/Ti trilayer deposited on forged Co-28Cr-6Mo substrate at 400 de was investigated. Figure 5.2-4 shows top-view SEM images of the layers grown in glycerol electrolyte containing 5 vol% H$_2$O with different NH$_4$F contents from 2.0 wt% to 5.0 wt%. A few TiO$_2$ nanotubes can be observed in the 2.0 wt% NH$_4$F containing electrolyte, but almost the whole surface was covered by non-etched topmost layer (Figure 5.2-4(a)). A nanotubular oxide layer with an average tube diameter of 40-60 nm and a layer thickness of approximately 300-400 nm is formed in the 2.5 wt% NH$_4$F containing electrolyte (in Figure 5.2-4(b)). However, some residual debris still existed on the surface. Anodization in electrolyte with 3.0 wt% NH$_4$F (Figure 5.2-4(c)) leads to a complete removal of the covering layer, revealing uniformly opened nanotubes with an average tube diameter of 40-60 nm and a layer thickness of 300-400 nm. These observations can be
explained by the higher etch rate of the system with increasing NH$_4$F content in the electrolyte, which can result in a complete and homogeneous dissolution of the topmost oxide layer cover. After anodization in 4.0 wt% NH$_4$F, although the TiO$_2$ nanotubes were also obtained, the space between nanotubes becomes large and the nanotubes were ruined slightly, appearing over-etched (Figure 5.2-4(d)). When the content of NH$_4$F was 5.0 wt% (Figure 5.2-4(e)), the surface was etched badly and a small quantity of disordered TiO$_2$ nanotubes existed.
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**Figure 5.2-4.** The surface SEM images of Ti/Au/Ti thin film on forged Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy disc samples sputtered at 400 °C after anodization in 5 vol% H$_2$O and 95 vol% glycerol including different content of NH$_4$F: (A) 2.0 wt%, (B) 2.5 wt%, (C) 3.0 wt%, (D) 4.0 wt%, (E) 5.0 wt%. The insets depict cross-sectional views.

**Figure 5.2-5** shows the SEM micrographs of the layers grown in glycerol electrolytes containing 2.5 wt% NH$_4$F with different water contents ranging from 2.5 vol% to 7 vol%. In electrolytes with 2.5 vol% H$_2$O, the
surface is rough and disordered nanoholes exist on the surface (Figure 5.2-5(a)). When the content of H₂O is approximately 5 vol%, ordered TiO₂ nanotube arrays can be obtained, however partial residual debris is still present on the surface (Figure 5.2-5(b)). In electrolytes containing 6 vol% H₂O (Figure 5.2-5(c)), uniformly opened nanotubes with an average tube diameter of 50-70 nm are visible. When the film was anodized in 7 vol% H₂O, the surface appears to have nanoholes distributed on the surface (Figure 5.2-5(d)); however the cross-sectional view (inset) indicates that a nanotube architecture with ~100 nm length was formed under the nanohole surface.
Figure 5.2-5. The surface SEM images of Ti/Au/Ti thin film on forged Co-28Cr-6Mo alloy sputtered at 400 °C after anodization in 2.5 wt% NH₄F and 95 vol% glycerol including different content of H₂O: (A) 2.5 vol%, (B) 5 vol%, (C) 6 vol%, (D) 7 vol%. The insets depict cross-sectional views.

Thus, an increase in NH₄F and H₂O concentration successively leads to an etching of the topmost layer until the nanotubes are completely open. For anodization of the Ti/Au/Ti trilayer deposited on the forged Co-28Cr-6Mo substrate at 400 °C, the optimized electrolyte content was determined to be: 2.5-4.0 wt% NH₄F and 5-6 vol% H₂O in glycerol. Similarly, for anodization of the Ti/Au/Ti trilayer deposited onto the cast Co-28Cr-6Mo substrate at 400 °C, the optimized electrolyte content was determined to be: 2.0 wt% NH₄F and 2.5 vol% H₂O in glycerol.
5.2.2.4 Osteoblast cell response

Once the optimal conditions were determined for nanotube formation on the surface of the CoCr alloy, the initial response of osteoblast cells to the surface was investigated. In order to assess the biocompatibility of the surfaces and to compare the cellular response to the flat CoCr alloy and TiO$_2$ nanotube coated CoCr alloy, human osteoblast (HOb) cells were cultured on the substrates for 24 hours. The ability of the cells to attach and grow on a medical implant surface is important for initial in vitro testing. The cell morphology of attached cells was examined by SEM imaging, which is presented in Figure 5.2-6. At lower magnification, the cells appear very flat and well-spread on the CoCr alloy surface (Figure 5.2-6(a)). In contrast, the cells on the TiO$_2$ nanotube surface show extensive elongation and cellular extensions (Figure 5.2-6(b)), which is characteristic of the typical cell behavior on TiO$_2$ nanotube architectures [188]. The presence of many filopodia is evident on both surfaces (Figure 5.2-6 (c and d)). However, at high magnification, the cells on the nanotube surface show prominent filopodia with large areas adhered to the surface in contrast to the fine filopodia fibers on the CoCr surface. Cell filopodia have been shown to “sense” biomaterial surface topographies, and play an important role in cell movement and attachment [41]. Importantly, filopodia have been suggested to first sense
the surface, and then form focal adhesions which allow the cells to firmly adhere to the surface [189]. Since osteoblasts are anchor-dependent cells, the formation of focal adhesions is vital for proper cell function [190], and altered focal adhesion-cytoskeleton assembly has been linked to differing cell phenotype in osteoblastic cells [191]. The large areas at the ends of the filopodia observed on the nanotube surface in Figure 5.2-6(D) are speculated to be focal adhesions. Further studies require fluorescent staining of actin and vinculin in order to confirm this speculation.

Figure 5.2-6. SEM micrographs of HOb cells after 24 h incubation on the flat CoCr substrate (A and C) and TiO$_2$ nanotube substrate (B and D). Image (B) indicates cell elongation in response to the nano topography; image (D) shows extensive filopodia activity on the nanotube surface (yellow arrows).

The initial osteoblast cell response to the TiO$_2$ nanotube coated CoCr alloy in this study appears to be similar to the response to TiO$_2$
nanotube surfaces in prior studies, and extensive filopodia activity indicates a high response to the nanotube topography. These primary studies indicate the potential applicability of this coating for bone implant use. However, extensive in vitro analysis is required to assess the cell viability and functionality after longer incubation times. These studies are ongoing, and will be published in future work.

5.2.3 Conclusion

The intention of this study was to investigate the feasibility of applying anodized TiO$_2$ nanotube films onto CoCr alloy medical implants for next-generation osseointegrative surfaces. Further investigations are necessary to more completely assess the biocompatibility and biological response of osteoblast cells to the nanotube surface, as well as in-depth analysis of the quality of the TiO$_2$ nanotube film in terms of adhesion strength and mechanical stability. *In vitro* osteoblast studies will be published in future work.

In the present work, DC sputtered titanium thin films deposited onto forged and cast Co-28Cr-6Mo substrates at 400 °C and room temperature have been anodized and studied. The study shows that depositing a Ti/Au/Ti trilayer thin film enables for better anodization control and thus optimal nanotube morphology. In addition, it was found that films sputtered at an elevated temperature are favorable for the formation of
well-ordered nanotubes, most likely due to the smaller grain size and more compact nature of the film. The effects of electrolyte concentration were also investigated and the optimized experimental parameters were obtained. This work contains meaningful results for the application of a TiO$_2$ nanotube coating to a CoCr alloy implant for the purpose of enhancing bone formation and bone in-growth for more stable orthopedic implants. In addition, the findings of this study are beneficial to furthering the understanding of electrochemical anodization of Ti thin films, especially on unique substrates such as medical grade alloys. The investigation of the formation of thin film TiO$_2$ nanotube coatings on metallic alloy substrates offers significant advancement in the potential applicability of such nanotube architectures.

5.3 Conclusions and Future Directions

The work presented in this chapter demonstrates the feasibility of applying thin film TiO$_2$ nanotubes to the surface of an alternative biomedical implant material for enhanced biological fixation. The anodization process for such thin film coatings requires unique electrolyte concentrations, as well as process parameters that have been optimized for demonstration of principle. Differences in the underlying substrate material (i.e. ceramic versus metal) require extensive optimization in order
for nanotube formation to be achieved. In addition, brief *in vitro* osteoblast experiments were conducted to portray biocompatibility and cell adhesion ability. These results have exciting implications for the application of the nanotube structure to an orthopedic implant for a bioactive coating.

Although the preliminary results are promising, further research is necessary in order to prove the *in vitro* and *in vivo* compatibility and osteogenic behavior on these surfaces. In addition to biological experiments, extensive analysis of the quality and properties of the nanotube thin film are required to determine whether they are suitable for load-bearing orthopedic implant applications.

*Chapter 5, section 1 contains a reprint in part of the material as it has been prepared for submission for publication, written by Christine J. Frandsen, Kunbae Noh, Karla S. Brammer, and Sungho Jin. The dissertation author is the primary investigator and author of this publication. Chapter 5, section 2 contains a reprint in part of the material as it has been prepared for submission for publication, written by Jiahua Ni, Christine J. Frandsen, Kunbae Noh, Gary W. Johnston, Guo He, Tingting Tang, and Sungho Jin. The dissertation author is the primary collaborating investigator and author of this publication.*
CHAPTER 6

6. Closing Remarks

In this work, various nanotube architectures were prepared, characterized, and altered using standard materials science techniques. The surfaces were then analyzed using standard in vitro biological assay techniques in order to assess osteoblast cell compatibility and function in response to the unique surfaces. The findings presented in this dissertation provide exciting evidence towards engineering nanostructured biomaterial surfaces for the eventual goal of encouraging rapid osseointegration of orthopedic implants.

A good share of current research in the orthopedics industry has been concentrated on advanced surface technologies that would instigate biological fixation, enhancing patient healing time and reducing chance for aseptic loosening. An increasing number of studies have shown that cells respond to minute changes in surface characteristics as discussed in this dissertation. The ideal orthopedic material surface would be composed of a unique combination of surface properties that would promote the optimum environment for bone in-growth and implant fixation.

It is apparent that the nanotube architecture is capable of strongly affecting cell behavior, and that even minute changes in the nanotube
surface can have substantial results. The fact that such a small range of
dimensions as 30-100 nm diameter pore openings can alter cell
functionality has great promise for researchers in the field of bone
regeneration. Furthermore, the differing cellular preferences for various
surface chemistry/nanotopography combinations demonstrated in this
work indicate the potential for utilizing unique combinations of
topography and chemistry to tailor a medical implant surface to a
particular tissue type. Such an implant coating would provide “smart”
biomaterials surfaces that interact with a tissue in predefined ways, thus
encouraging the appropriate tissue response. In addition, it is possible
that a single implant could have particular sections of the surface
engineered for different tissue/cell types, similarly to the way current
implants are created. For example, femoral knee implants today have
smooth and rough surfaces, each designed for the particular purpose of
that section of implant (i.e. bone in-growth versus articulating surface). A
possible future implant would have areas of combined surface
chemistry/nanotopography designed for mesenchymal stem cell
recruitment, while another area geared towards osteoblast cell growth,
and possibly another for chondrocyte growth. Thus, a single implant
would be designed to recruit different cell types to different areas,
enabling each particular area to have the best tissue interaction for that
section of the human joint. This kind of multi-faceted surface engineered for particular cell recruitment would revolutionize the field of regenerative medicine for orthopedic implant devices.

In conclusion, the research of this dissertation conveys substantial contributions towards the field of orthopedic surface technology, and for furthered understanding of cellular interactions with complex nano-interfaces. With greater insight into engineering nanoscale surfaces that guide cell response, next-generation orthopedic implants are in closer and more prominent view.
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