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Oceanic uptake and the global atmospheric acetone budget

C. A. Marandino, W. J. De Bruyn, S. D. Miller, M. J. Prather, and E. S. Saltzman

1. Introduction

Ozone photolysis and the reaction of O(1D) with water vapor is the major tropospheric source of hydroxyl (OH) radical. In the drier upper troposphere, oxygenated organic compounds, like acetone, are major sources of HOx (OH and HO2). Field campaigns have shown that acetone concentrations in the upper troposphere account for about a third of the OH production, an order of magnitude higher HOx production rate than the O(1D) reaction with water vapor, and up to half of the observed peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) [Singh et al., 1995; Wennberg et al., 1998]. Understanding the global acetone budget and the origin of upper tropospheric acetone is important for modeling the reactivity of this portion of the atmosphere. The sources of acetone include anthropogenic emissions, biomass burning, terrestrial vegetation, plant decay, and the oxidation of isoalkanes, monoterpenes, and methylbutenol [Singh et al., 1994, 2000; Brasseur et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1998; Collins et al., 1999]. A large ocean source was inferred from aircraft measurements of acetone concentrations over the remote Pacific Ocean [Singh et al., 2001], but this has been called into question by recent measurements showing depletion of acetone in the Pacific marine boundary layer relative to the overlying free troposphere [Singh et al., 2003]. Previous budgets have ranged widely in the ocean’s role, varying from a 21 Tg yr⁻¹ source [Jacob et al., 2002] to a 14 Tg yr⁻¹ sink [Singh et al., 2004]. Acetone can be produced in surface waters by the solar irradiation of dissolved organic matter [Kieber et al., 1990; Zhou and Mopper, 1997] and by microbial metabolism [Nemecek-Marshall et al., 1995]. There have been limited observations of acetone in oceanic waters [Zhou and Mopper, 1997; Williams et al., 2004] and the only direct flux measurements of acetone have been over land [Shaw et al., 1998]. Here we report direct flux measurements indicating that the sea surface is a major sink rather than a source of atmospheric acetone. These observations imply that the global acetone budget needs to be revised, supporting a recent downward revision of the quantum yields for acetone photodissociation [Blitz et al., 2004].

2. Methods

Shipboard measurements of acetone in water and air were made in the Pacific Ocean during May–July 2004. The cruise track extended southeastward from Guam through the Pacific warm pool, then eastward in equatorial waters to 165°W, then northward to 40°N. Acetone measurements were made at >1 Hz frequency using atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry (API-CIMS) [Eisele, 1986; Viggiano et al., 1988; Bandy et al., 2002] with detection of protonated acetone at mass 59. Air was sampled from the bow mast at 10m height through 250 feet of 3/8” ID Teflon tubing at 27 slpm. Simultaneous measurements of 3D winds and platform angular rates and accelerations were made to allow calculation of air/sea flux by eddy covariance [Edson et al., 1998]. Flux measurements are reported for relative winds within ±60° of the bow. Seawater acetone levels were determined by analyzing an air stream continuously equilibrated with seawater pumped from the bow at a depth of 5m. The measurement protocol consisted of alternating measurements of seawater (10 minute) and air (60 minutes). The instrument response was calibrated twice daily by addition of a gas standard to air and equilibrated seawater samples (S/N for a 500 ppt signal is 70 at 1 Hz). Flux was determined by integrating the cospectrum of fluctuations of the vertical wind and atmospheric acetone mixing ratio [Lenschow, 1995]. The lag between DMS and winds was determined by optimizing the covariance in a time window about the measured delay (12s). The low frequency portion of the cospectrum (≤0.005 Hz) was removed to eliminate features due to undersampling. The acetone flux was corrected for high frequency attenuation in the inlet tubing based on a comparison to the frequency dependence of the temperature flux. This correction was approximately 25% and did not change the sign of the flux. The overall uncertainty in the flux due to low and high frequency corrections and motion correction is estimated to be 30–40% [Edson et al., 1998; Sakai et al., 2001]. The cospectra exhibit the typical frequency dependence associated with scalar surface fluxes (Figure 1) [Kaimal et al., 1972], indicating that we successfully measured gas flux due to turbulent atmospheric motions. An analogous cospectrum for temperature, measured using the sonic anemometer, is shown for comparison.

Acetone is believed to dominate the API-CIMS signal at mass 59 [Williams et al., 2000, 2001], however there are several other oxygenated hydrocarbons whose...
parent ions are at this mass. Of these, propanal is perhaps
the most likely potential interferent, with an instrument
response ratio of approximately 0.8 relative to acetone
under the conditions used in this study. The interference
from propanal is believed to be minor because the atmo-
spheric levels are low and the flux is believed to be out of
the ocean [Singh et al., 2003]. However, the accuracy of the
current database of atmospheric aldehyde measurements is
uncertain due to the potential for artifacts during sample
collection [Apel et al., 2003]. Until this issue is resolved,
the measurements presented here represent upper limits on
the acetone concentration.

3. Results and Discussion

[5] In the western tropical Pacific Ocean, atmospheric
acetone levels were 0.361 ± 0.051 (1σ) ppb (Figure 2).
During this period of the cruise, air mass back-trajectories
show consistent easterly trade wind flow over equatorial
waters. These levels are similar to boundary layer aircraft
measurements of approximately 0.400 ppb, previously
reported for this region [Singh et al., 2001]. Acetone levels
were markedly higher northward of 25°N, with a mean of
1.04 ± 0.33 ppb, reflecting continental influence. Air mass
back-trajectories and chemical tracers suggest that some of
the spikes in acetone observed during this period were
caused by biomass burning sources over the NW Canada
and Alaska.

[6] Acetone levels in seawater exhibited a different
pattern of variability than the atmosphere (Figure 2). In
the tropical waters, seawater acetone concentrations were
0.54 ± 0.46 ppb (14.5 ± 12.7 nM). Seawater acetone in this
region was more variable and, on average, higher than the
atmospheric levels. Northward of 25°N, the mean ocean
level of acetone was 0.36 ± 0.11 ppb (12.1 ± 3.0 nM). In
this region, the seawater acetone concentrations were lower
than those of the overlying atmosphere. The seawater
concentrations of acetone measured in this study are similar
to those reported previously, approximately 3 and 18 nM
[Zhou and Mopper, 1997; Williams et al., 2004].

[7] The observed saturation state is expressed as the
measured water concentration in mol m⁻³ air divided by
the measured air concentration in mol m⁻³ air. Cw/Ca is
the gas phase concentration at equilibrium with the seawater
acetone concentration, calculated by dividing molarity by
the Henry’s law solubility [Zhou and Mopper, 1990]. In the
equatorial region the saturation state ranged from about
0.35 to 6.5 (Figure 2), suggesting that both positive and
negative fluxes should have been observed. Surprisingly, all
of the acetone fluxes measured by eddy covariance in this
study were negative, illustrating that the air/sea flux is
uniformly into, rather than out of the ocean. The eddy
covariance fluxes suggest that the measured saturation states
do not reflect the true gradient at the air/sea interface. To
illustrate this point, we calculated air/sea fluxes using these
concentrations, and the expression $F = k_T (C_w - C_a) H$,
where 1/kT = 1/Hk_a + 1/k_w and k_a and k_w are wind speed-
based parameterizations of air-side and water-side gas
transfer coefficients [Kondo, 1975; Wanninkhof, 1992].

Figure 1. Frequency-weighted cospectra of scalar and
vertical wind ($w'c'$) for an hour long record of temperature
and acetone at 29°N, 154°W on June 24, 2004. Dashed lines
are scalar cospectra from Kaimal et al. [1972]. The w-
temperature cospectrum and Kaimal cospectra were scaled
for comparison.

Figure 2. Shipboard measurements of acetone from the
equatorial and North Pacific. From top: (a) Measured and
calculated air/sea fluxes; (b) air and seawater acetone levels;
(c) saturation state, expressed as Cw/Ca, where Cw is the
measured seawater concentrations at 5m depth divided by
the Henry’s Law solubility [Zhou and Mopper, 1990]; and
(d) sea surface temperature and mean wind speeds.
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relationship, \( F/U_{C_0} = 5.84 \times 10^{-4} \), is used as a basis for parameterizing the acetone flux in global models, with the caution that the processes underlying it are not fully understood. To make this calculation, we use COADS [Woodruff et al., 1993] monthly mean \( 1^\circ \times 1^\circ \) grid wind speed, temperature and pressure. We assume acetone levels in the marine boundary layer, ranging from 400 to 1000 ppt, based on this study and previously reported measurements [Jacob et al., 2002]. We calculate a global air-to-sea flux of 0.4 nmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) or 48 Tg yr\(^{-1}\).

An ocean sink of this magnitude would greatly imbalance the current atmospheric acetone budget [Jacob et al., 2002; Singh et al., 2004]. This imbalance is nearly offset by the recent reevaluation of the quantum yield for acetone photodissociation, suggesting that the atmospheric loss due to photoysis is considerably less than previously thought [Blitz et al., 2004]. We present an updated global budget for acetone in Table 1. The global acetone distribution was subdivided into boundary layer (900–1000 mbar) and free troposphere (100–900 mbar in tropics and 200–900 mbar elsewhere), for six months of summer and six months of winter, in large latitudinal bands (40–90N, 20–40N, 0–20N, 0–25S, 25–90S). Monthly average photolysis rates were calculated for 10° latitude bands with the Fast-J radiative transfer model [Wild et al., 2000] using absorption coefficients from Gierczak et al. [1998] and quantum yields from both Gierczak et al. [1998] and Blitz et al. [2004]. The global acetone loss due to reaction with OH was estimated using monthly mean, 8° latitude bands,

These calculated fluxes will be called gradient fluxes in the remaining text. In the equatorial region, the mean gradient flux was 2.8 ± 6.8 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\), with a range of −4.8 to 26.7 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\). Approximately half of the gradient fluxes were positive. In contrast, the fluxes measured by eddy covariance in this region had a mean of −3.1 ± 0.9 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\), ranging between −1.5 and −6.2 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\). Just north of Hawaii, between 25 and 30°N, the gradient fluxes were all negative, i.e. into the ocean, in agreement with the eddy covariance fluxes. The magnitude of the gradient fluxes in this region, −12.5 ± 1.8 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\), was greater than the magnitude of the eddy covariance fluxes, −7.2 ± 3.2 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\). At latitudes above 30°N, there was good agreement between the two acetone fluxes, −8.8 ± 2.9 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) and −9.3 ± 3.7 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) respectively, with both indicating flux into the ocean surface. The air/sea fluxes of acetone were consistently larger in the mid latitudes than in the tropics, reflecting the higher atmospheric acetone levels and higher wind speeds.

[s] How can one reconcile the apparent inconsistency between the observed saturation states of the surface waters and the air/sea fluxes measured by eddy covariance? One possible explanation is that there are near surface gradients in acetone concentration, such that the concentration at 5m depth is not always representative of that at the sea surface. No measurements of the turnover rate of acetone in seawater have been made, but microbial uptake of acetone by aerobic bacteria has been demonstrated in culture [Nemecek-Marshall et al., 1995]. The only field study of acetone in the marine surface microlayer suggested that acetone should be elevated due to photochemical production, making the microlayer a strong source of atmospheric acetone [Zhou and Mopper, 1997]. The direction of the fluxes observed in this study and the lack of diel variability in seawater acetone measurements are not consistent with significant photochemical production in near surface waters. It should be noted that these previous studies employed different analytical techniques, which have not been compared with our methods under field conditions [Kieber et al., 1990; Zhou and Mopper, 1997].

### 4. Implications for the Global Acetone Budget

It is challenging to extrapolate these results globally. Simply scaling the average flux measured in this study to the entire ocean area yields a global ocean acetone sink of 33.2 Tg yr\(^{-1}\). However, this does not account for latitudinal structure in atmospheric concentrations or sea surface winds. We find a strong relationship between the measured flux and the atmospheric concentration, as shown in Figure 3. The observed correlation suggests that either: 1) surface acetone levels are small compared to the atmospheric levels, and have little impact on the air/seasaturation gradient, or 2) surface acetone levels co-vary in some way with the atmospheric concentration, resulting in an air/sea gradient roughly proportional to \( C_a \). The resulting relationship, \( F/U_{C_a} = 8.4 \times 10^{-4} \), is used as a basis for understanding the acetone flux in global models, with the caution that the processes underlying it are not fully understood. To make this calculation, we use COADS [Woodruff et al., 1993] monthly mean \( 1^\circ \times 1^\circ \) grid wind speed, temperature and pressure. We assume acetone levels in the marine boundary layer, ranging from 400 to 1000 ppt, based on this study and previously reported measurements [Jacob et al., 2002]. We calculate a global air-to-sea flux of 0.4 nmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) or 48 Tg yr\(^{-1}\).

An ocean sink of this magnitude would greatly imbalance the current atmospheric acetone budget [Jacob et al., 2002; Singh et al., 2004]. This imbalance is nearly offset by the recent reevaluation of the quantum yield for acetone photodissociation, suggesting that the atmospheric loss due to photoysis is considerably less than previously thought [Blitz et al., 2004]. We present an updated global budget for acetone in Table 1. The global acetone distribution was subdivided into boundary layer (900–1000 mbar) and free troposphere (100–900 mbar in tropics and 200–900 mbar elsewhere), for six months of summer and six months of winter, in large latitudinal bands (40–90N, 20–40N, 0–20N, 0–25S, 25–90S). Monthly average photolysis rates were calculated for 10° latitude bands with the Fast-J radiative transfer model [Wild et al., 2000] using absorption coefficients from Gierczak et al. [1998] and quantum yields from both Gierczak et al. [1998] and Blitz et al. [2004]. The global acetone loss due to reaction with OH was estimated using monthly mean, 8° latitude bands,

### Table 1. Tropospheric Acetone Losses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Jacob et al. [2002]</th>
<th>This Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burden, Tg</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinks, Tg yr(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Deposition</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>48 ± 19*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Deposition</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photolysis</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>24 ± 9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH Reaction</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, Tg yr(^{-1})</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>101 ± 66*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Uncertainties include OH, \( k_{a,b} \), \( \Phi_{acw} \), \( \Phi_{agw} \), acetone distribution, \( U \), and \( F/U_{C_a} \).

Quantum yields from Blitz et al. [2004]. Using Gierczak et al. [1998] quantum yields, the photoysis sink term is 54 Tg yr\(^{-1}\).

The acetone tropospheric lifetime is 0.039 yr (burden/total sinks).
OH and temperature fields [Spivakovskyy et al., 2000], and rate coefficients from Sander et al. [2003]. Using the revised quantum yields, we estimate a global loss rate of acetone by photolysis of 24 Tg yr$^{-1}$, roughly half of the previous rate. Summing all the calculated loss rates yields a global acetone loss rate of 101 Tg yr$^{-1}$, similar to the previous analysis, but with ocean uptake responsible for about half. Understanding this acetone ocean sink and its impact on atmospheric chemistry requires direct flux measurements with broader seasonal and spatial coverage, and insight into processes controlling oceanic acetone levels near the air/sea interface.
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