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ABSTRACT. Measurements of neutrons and hard X-rays are made with a pair of plastic scintillators during injection of deuterium pellets into deuterium TFTR plasmas. Three cases are investigated. During Ohmic heating in plasmas with few runaway electrons, the neutron emission does not increase when a pellet is injected, indicating that strong acceleration of the pellet ions does not occur. In Ohmic plasmas with low but detectable levels of runaway electrons, an X-ray burst is observed on a detector near the pellet injector as the pellet ablates, while a detector displaced 126° toroidally from the injector does not measure a synchronous burst. Reduced pellet penetration correlates with the presence of X-ray emission, suggesting that the origin of the burst is bremsstrahlung from runaway electrons that strike the solid pellet. In deuterium beam heated discharges, an increase in the d-d neutron emission is observed when the pellet ablates. In this case, the increase is due to fusion reactions between beam ions and the high density neutral and plasma cloud produced by ablation of the pellet; this localized density perturbation equilibrates in about 700 µs. Analysis of the data indicates that the density propagates without forming a sharp shock front with a rapid initial propagation velocity (≥2 × 10⁷ cm·s⁻¹) that subsequently decreases to around 3 × 10⁶ cm·s⁻¹. Modelling suggests that the electron heat flux into the pellet cloud is much less than the classical Spitzer value.

1. INTRODUCTION

Injection of solid hydrogenic pellets is an attractive means of fuelling a magnetic fusion reactor. The feasibility of depositing fuel deep within the plasma depends on the rate of pellet ablation which, in turn, influences the technological requirements for future pellet injectors. It is of interest, therefore, to study the interaction of the pellet mass with the plasma during and immediately following the ablation phase.

Most previous experimental studies during pellet deposition in tokamaks have relied on optical measurements. The rate of pellet ablation is inferred from the time evolution of the Hα light produced by the pellet neutral cloud [1, 2], photographs of the light give the pellet cloud trajectory and size [3], and spectral measurements of the light give estimates of the electron density and temperature in the pellet cloud [4]. The electron density surrounding the pellet also has been measured by using holography [5]. Fast electron cyclotron emission (ECE) and soft X-ray measurements have been used to study the effect of pellets on the electron temperature [6] and ion density [7]. The toroidal propagation of density away from the pellet was determined using microwave interferometry and found to be 2 × 10⁶ cm·s⁻¹ [3, 8].
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In this paper, fast time response measurements of neutrons and hard X-rays produced during deuterium pellet injection into the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR) are reported. After a description of the diagnostics (Section 2), the neutron measurements in ohmically heated plasmas are described and compared with the predictions of the energy transduction theory [9] of pellet ablation (Section 3). Next, the effect of runaway electrons on pellet penetration is studied using hard X-ray measurements (Section 4). Finally, measurements of the neutron emission in neutral beam heated plasmas are used to infer the velocity of propagation of the pellet ions away from the site of ablation (Section 5). The conclusions are then summarized (Section 6).

2. EXPERIMENT

For these experiments, a plastic scintillator was mounted beside the pellet injector [10] on the mid-plane of TFTR, just outside the vacuum vessel, midway between the toroidal field coils (Fig. 1). A similar detector was situated 126° toroidally away from the pellet injector. Because of the inverse square dependence of the neutron flux on distance, the uncollimated
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3. OHMIC NEUTRON EMISSION

In ohmically heated plasmas ($I_p = 1.4$–$2.2$ MA; $n_e = (3$–$8) \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$; $T_e(0) = 1$–$3$ keV) with relatively few runaway electrons (no detectable X-ray enhancement of the plastic scintillator signal), an increase in neutron emission at the time of pellet injection has not been observed in any of the about 100 cases archived on TFTR. Typically, 2.7 mm diameter pellets penetrated to within 25 cm of the magnetic axis ($a = 81$ cm) in these plasmas, and 4.0 mm pellets appeared to pass completely through the plasma without fully ablating. In the inner third of the plasma (where most of the neutron emission originates), the density increased by as much as 270% upon pellet injection. Typical data are shown in Fig. 2. Within the neutron experimental accuracy, which is about ±10% at this emission level, the neutron yield falls monotonically in about 8 ms to a reduced level (as the ion temperature falls because of the influx of cold particles), then gradually recovers (Fig. 2a). The neutron exponential decay time shortly after injection (when the pellet density has spread over

FIG. 2. (a) Neutron emission from an ohmically heated deuterium plasma. A deuterium pellet was injected at 2.10 s. $I_p = 2.2$ MA; $B_t = 4.8$ T; $n_e = 6.0 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$. The pellet penetrated approximately 60 cm into the 81 cm minor radius plasma; (b) signal from the scintillator adjacent to the pellet injector (S1) and from the $H_\alpha$ detector around the time of pellet injection.
the flux surfaces) is about 1 ms, which is consistent with the time required for the ions that produce most of the fusion reactions \( (E \approx 3T_i) \) to slow down due to Coulomb drag \( (\tau_s \approx 3 \text{ ms}) \). Analysis of the equation for the neutron yield indicates that, for thermonuclear emission (assuming that the pellet ions are initially cold), the expected increase in neutron yield just after the pellet density has spread over the flux surface is \( \leq 5\% \) \[14\], in agreement with experiment.

Mayer \[9\] has predicted that ions should be accelerated by the ablation process to energies \( E = 9T_e \), where \( T_e \) is the electron temperature before pellet injection. If such acceleration occurred, it would produce a large increase in neutron yield since the \( d(d, n) \) fusion cross-section is a very strong function of energy. Calculations of the fusion reactivity of a monoenergetic beam of ablated ions at \( E = 9T_e \) in a Maxwellian plasma of ion temperature \( T_i = 2 \text{ keV} \) (\( T_i < T_e \) before pellet injection) indicate that the neutron emission would increase about sixty times if such acceleration occurred. The observed increase implies that the actual ion acceleration for a typical ion must be less than about \( 0.5 T_e \).

Epithermal neutron measurements on Doublet III also are inconsistent with strong ion acceleration \[15\].

### 4. OHMIC X-RAY EMISSION

In ohmically heated discharges with higher levels of runaway electrons, the signals from the plastic scintillators are dominated by hard X-rays rather than by neutrons. Operationally, the level of runaway electrons in these plasmas, though higher than in the discharges discussed in Section 3, remains much lower than in 'runaway discharges', and there is no obvious evidence from other diagnostics (e.g. the loop voltage or ECE) of a large runaway electron population. When a pellet is injected into one of these discharges, a large spike in the X-ray emission occurs on the detector beside the pellet injector (Fig. 3a). The burst of hard X-rays slightly precedes the peak in \( H_\alpha \) emission; on the toroidally displaced scintillator, a smaller jump in X-ray emission occurs after the pellet has begun to ablate (Fig. 3b). For this shot, the X-ray emission fell shortly after injection to about half its initial level.

The observed signals are consistent with the interpretation that the hard X-ray spike is bremsstrahlung produced in collisions between runaway electrons and the solid or ablated pellet mass. Neither scintillator was situated to observe high energy X-rays produced when runaways strike the limiter (Fig. 1). Runaway electrons are about equally likely to produce high energy X-rays whether the pellet is frozen or ablated. The range of 1 MeV electrons in solid deuterium is about 23 mm \[16\], so the 3–4 mm solid pellets seem thin to runaways. This implies that the X-ray yield \( I_x \) is roughly independent of the state of the pellet atoms since

\[
I_x \propto \int n_\tau n_p d\tau \equiv n_\tau \int n_p d\tau = n_\tau N_p
\]

where \( n_\tau \) is the density of runaways with energy \( \geq 1 \text{ MeV} \) and \( N_p \) is the total number of pellet ions. Thus, it is possible for the X-ray signal to peak before the \( H_\alpha \) trace (Fig. 3b).

Several factors affect the relative size of the X-ray spike. One factor is the highly anisotropic character of the X-ray emission. For our experiments, the scintillator was situated in the forward cone of the X-ray emission (Fig. 1), which enhances the signal level relative to uniform emission. Since the X-rays are attenuated by the vacuum vessel and their spectrum is not well known, it is difficult to evaluate precisely the
magnitude of this enhancement. Estimates indicate that the signal could be enhanced by a factor of four to twenty. A second factor reduces the magnitude of the X-ray burst compared to the background level. In the plasma, runaways are more likely to produce X-rays in collisions with high Z impurities than in collisions with deuterons: since bremsstrahlung is proportional to $Z^2$, the burst from a $Z = 1$ pellet should be roughly $\Delta I_x / I_x \propto N_p / Z_{eff} N_e$, where $N_e$ is the total number of plasma electrons (the runaway density profile is expected to be fairly flat). Our rough expectation is, therefore, that the spike in X-ray emission $\Delta I_x$ observed on the scintillator adjacent to the pellet injector should be

$$\frac{\Delta I_x}{I_x} = \frac{e_{\text{point}}}{e_{\text{toroidal}}} \frac{1}{2N_e} \frac{N_p}{Z_{eff}} \left( \frac{1}{3.5} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = 7$$

(1)

where $e$ is the geometrical efficiency of the detector for a point source and for a toroidally uniform source, respectively, and the parameters are for the discharge shown in Fig. 3. Experimentally, $\Delta I_x / I_x = 8$ (Fig. 3) so the observation is consistent with our estimate.

The reduction in X-ray level after injection probably is not due to deceleration of the runaways by the pellet since a typical runaway only intersects the pellet cloud once during the ablation process and loses little energy in the interaction. A more likely explanation is that, in this discharge, some runaways escaped the plasma during injection while others remained confined. In other discharges with larger $N_p / N_e$, the X-ray level was 30% higher after the X-ray burst than before injection, indicating that the product of ion and runaway densities was increased by pellet injection. In a few discharges that disrupted following pellet injection, the hard X-ray level fell (without a measurable burst) to below the detectable level when the pellet was injected. It would appear that the absence of an X-ray burst in these unstable discharges indicates that most of the runaways were lost from the plasma before they could strike the pellet.

In the absence of runaway electrons, large pellets (4 mm diameter) appeared to pass through the TFTR plasma without completely ablating; for injection with these pellets, the ablation time correlates weakly with the X-ray signal level (correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.13$). For smaller pellets (2.7 mm diameter), we have few data with detectable hard X-ray levels. (Operationally, the presence of X-rays was considered deleterious to deep pellet penetration and was avoided.) Nevertheless, for the two shots where small pellets were injected into plasmas with X-rays, the presence of hard X-rays correlates with reduced pellet penetration. For these discharges, the ablation time was 0.28 ms (compared to $0.34 \pm 0.02$ ms on discharges in the same sequence that did not have detectable X-rays) and 0.26 ms (compared to $0.31 \pm 0.03$ ms). The observation of reduced penetration in plasmas with modest levels of hard X-ray emission and the measurement of an X-ray burst at the time of pellet injection are consistent with the hypothesis [8] that runaway electrons enhance the rate of pellet ablation. On TFTR, we monitor the scintillator signals for signs of X-rays in order to detect an unfavourable target plasma for pellet injection experiments.

5. $D^0 \rightarrow D^+$ NEUTRON EMISSION

5.1. Experimental results

During $D^0 \rightarrow D^+$ neutral beam injection, the neutron emission usually is dominated by beam-target reactions.
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(a) Neutron emission from a deuterium beam heated plasma. The beams were on from 2.75-3.00 s and a pellet was injected at 2.80 s. $I_p = 0.8$ MA; $B_t = 4.0$ T; $n_e = 1.7 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-2}$; $P_b = 2.5$ MW. The pellet penetrated approximately 44 cm. (b) Signal from the scintillator adjacent to the pellet injector ($S1$), from the toroidally displaced scintillator ($S2$), and from the $H_a$ detector around the time of pellet injection. The absolute magnitude of the neutron yield is only valid for toroidally uniform emission.
When a pellet is injected into a plasma during beam injection, a spike in the neutron emission occurs (Fig. 4a) as the density of target ions increases rapidly. Following pellet injection, the neutron yield falls with an initial time constant of about 10 ms (Fig. 4a) as the beam ions slow down in the colder, more collisional, plasma. In contrast to the hard X-ray emission produced by runaway electrons (Fig. 3b), the neutron emission produced by beam ions does not peak until after pellet ablation (Fig. 4b). This difference is because, while runaways can penetrate the shielding cloud and solid pellet, beam ions do not have a significant fusion reaction probability until after the pellet density is more diffuse. After pellet ablation, the neutron flux near the pellet injector begins to fall, while the flux away from the injector rises, indicating that the density added by the pellet is redistributing along field lines at the sound speed, and treat the electron temperature at the propagating front and the profile of the density behind the front as free parameters. The deposition of particles on a field line is assumed to be proportional to the He trace and particles are conserved once deposited in a flux tube. For simplicity, the electron temperature is assumed to be the same function of time along every field line but our conclusions do not depend sensitively on this assumption. Since the diameter of the pellet cloud d is small compared to the circumference of a flux surface 2πr and since most field lines are on irrational surfaces, a typical field line is very long [L ≈ (2πr/d)(2πR + q2π) = O (1 km)].

The neutron signals are simulated by averaging the density on lines near each detector: each field line is weighted by its solid angle at the detector and by the estimated beam ion density on that line.

The results of the simulation indicate that the density propagation is initially rapid (~3 X 10^7 cm^-1s^-1), which corresponds to an initial electron temperature of order 1 keV. The features in the data (Fig. 4b) that imply rapid initial propagation are (1) the flux at the toroidally displaced detector rises before the pellet has finished ablating, (2) the flux at the adjacent detector drops immediately after ablation, and (3) the maximum jump in flux is about twice the jump observed after density equilibration. As is shown in Fig. 5a, if all of the density stayed in the vicinity of the pellet injector, a six-fold increase in signal would be observed.

After an initial phase of rapid propagation (~0.2 ms), the data (Fig. 4b) then begin to change more slowly, taking 0.7 ms to coalesce. As shown in Fig. 5b, this relatively slow coalescence implies that the temperature near the pellet cloud must fall to 10-50 eV (a propagation velocity of approximately 3 X 10^6 cm^-1s^-1). This is the local temperature of the propagating density prior to equilibration on the flux tubes. ECE measurements show that after density and temperature equilibration the temperature on the field lines was ~1 keV.

The general character of the profile of the propagating density can be evaluated by noting another feature of the data (Fig. 4b): the absence of oscillations. Simulations with a square density profile (Fig. 5c) indicate that, if such a sharp shock front formed, large (~30%) oscillations in the neutron emission would be observed each time the density fronts passed by a detector. Realistic variations in q-profile and propagation velocity c_s as a function of minor radius reduce the amplitude of the simulated oscillations but cannot eliminate them altogether. To reproduce the data, it is necessary to assume that the amplitude of the density perturbation decreases away from the site of pellet ablation. Good agreement with the data is obtained with a simulation that employs a triangle shaped density function,

\[
\Delta n_q = \begin{cases} 
\frac{c_s}{t} \left(1 - \frac{|x|t}{c_s}\right), & \text{if } |x|t/c_s < 1 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
FIG. 5. Neutron signals simulated using the model described in the text. (a) Overshoot of S1 and slow rise of S2 for low propagation velocity (constant propagation velocity, triangle density function); (b) rapid coalescence of simulated signals for high propagation velocity (constant propagation velocity, triangle density function); (c) oscillating signals for sharp shock front (time varying propagation velocity, square density function); (d) good fit to the data of Fig. 4b (time varying propagation velocity, triangle density function).

FIG. 6. Average density on the field line at toroidal angles of 0° and 120°, as calculated by the code PELLET [19] under the assumptions of (a) classical electron thermal conduction and of (b) non-local [20], flux limited, thermal conduction. $T_e = 2.75$ keV; $T_i = 4.0$ keV; $n_e = 1.2 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$; \( r_{pel} = 0.35 \) mm; \( v_{pel} = 1.4 \times 10^5 \) cm$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$; field line length $= 2.4$ km.
and a time varying propagation velocity \( c_r(t) \) that initially corresponds to \( T_e = 3 \text{ keV} \) but gradually slows to a velocity corresponding to \( T_e = 20 \text{ eV} \) (Fig. 5d). Since the density increases towards the site of pellet ablation, the simple estimate of the velocity of the pellet ions,

\[
v = R \Delta \Phi / \Delta t \approx 10^6 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} (\Delta \Phi \text{ is the angular separation of the detectors and } \Delta t \approx 700 \mu\text{s} \text{ is the coalescence time}),\]

is the nominal velocity of dispersal of the pellet cloud and not the velocity of the ions at the propagating front.

Conceivably, some of the ion density propagates as neutral atoms rather than as ions along the field lines. Estimates, however, indicate that neutral propagation probably accounts for \( \lesssim 10^{-3} \) of the ion density near the toroidally displaced detector (S2).

5.3. Non-local heat flux calculation

The general features of the density propagation data are consistent with calculations of plasma transport along field lines reported by Kaufmann et al. [19]. These authors found that, because of finite heat conduction along the field line, no sharp shock front should form. Their calculations also predicted some reduction in propagation velocity due to cooling of the plasma by the pellet. To test quantitatively the predictions of this model, we have calculated the average density beside the neutron detectors with the PELLET computer code [19]. Data representative of a typical field line were selected for this one-dimensional calculation. Since detailed knowledge of the pellet and shielding cloud radii are unavailable, the pellet radius and field line length are essentially free parameters in the calculation, but the density propagation was found to be insensitive to changes in these parameters. When the classical Spitzer-Härm expression for the electron heat flux was used to describe electron thermal conduction, the code predicted that the density equilibrates much more quickly than is observed experimentally (Fig. 6a). When the conduction was treated as a non-local mechanism [20] (ensuring that the electron heat flux is always smaller than the free streaming level), the simulation was consistent with the experiment within modelling uncertainties (Fig. 6b). With the non-local expression for the heat flux, the electron temperature near the pellet 0.1 ms after ablation was \( \sim 20 \text{ eV} \); with the Spitzer-Härm expression, the temperature was \( \sim 600 \text{ eV} \). With either expression for the heat flux, the velocity of the leading edge of the density perturbation remained \( \sim 4 \times 10^6 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \) throughout the propagation phase. The calculations utilizing the non-local expression for heat flux also successfully predicted the faster density propagation observed when a pellet was injected into a higher density plasma. We conclude that some mechanism that strongly cools the plasma along field lines (such as reduced parallel thermal conductivity) is required to explain the relatively slow propagation velocities observed in the experiment.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Scintillator measurements of neutrons and hard X-rays produced during deuterium pellet injection into TFTR indicate (1) that the strong ion acceleration predicted by Mayer [9] is not observed experimentally, (2) that modest levels of runaway electrons reduce pellet penetration, and (3) that the model of cooling along the field line described by Kaufmann et al. [19] is in agreement with measurements of the propagation of the ion density away from the pellet.
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