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Abstract

Current reviews exploring for unique immune-modulatory profiles of antidepressant classes are limited by focusing mainly on cytokine modulation only and neglecting other aspects of the innate and adaptive immune system. These reviews also do not include recent comparative clinical trials, immune-genetic studies and therapies with unique neurotransmitter profiles (e.g., agomelatine). This systematic review extends the established literature by comprehensively reviewing the effects of antidepressants classes on both the innate and adaptive immune system. Antidepressants appear, in general, to reduce pro-inflammatory factor levels, particularly C-reactive protein (CRP), tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-1β and IL-6. We caution against conclusions as to which antidepressant possesses the greater anti-inflammatory effect, given the methodological heterogeneity among studies and the small number of comparative studies. The effects of antidepressant classes on adaptive immune factors are complex and poorly understood, and few studies have been conducted. Methodological heterogeneity is high among these studies (e.g., length of study, cohort characteristics, dosage used and immune marker analysis). We recommend larger, comparative studies – in clinical and pre-clinical populations.

Introduction

Novel treatment strategies for depression are urgently needed. Recent global data suggests unipolar depression currently ranks 11th for disability-adjusted life years, a 37% increase since 1990 [1]. The burden is expected to continue to grow into the 21st century [1,2]. Hence, this is an unprecedented burden of depressive illness requiring increased effort to find novel therapeutic agents for treatment [3]. Additionally, more than 50% of patients on antidepressants will not achieve remission following initial treatment [4], and nearly one-third will not achieve remission even following several treatment steps [5,6]. In the field of psychiatric immunology, much of the focus on the role of the immune system in depression has been placed on the innate immune response and inflammation [7]. The seminal meta-analysis [8] of 24 studies found significantly higher concentrations of the pro-inflammatory cytokines, tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α and interleukin (IL)-6 in depressed subjects compared with control subjects. An updated meta-analysis [9] of IL-6, C-reactive protein (CRP) and TNF-α found higher levels of IL-6 and CRP in depressed patients vs. controls (29 studies for IL-6 and 20 for CRP). These studies strengthen evidence that depression is accompanied by activation of the inflammatory response system [8]. Cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and interferon (IFN)-γ have been repeatedly shown to exert effects on key processes such as neuroplasticity, neurotransmission, oxidative stress and neuroendocrinological functions that are considered to be central to the development of depression [10–14]. These pro-inflammatory cytokines are elevated in the brain and periphery, and are shown to facilitate negative pathophysiological consequences in both clinical and pre-clinical populations [10,11,15–17]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines have been found to impair hippocampal (HC) neuroplasticity (e.g., neurogenesis, synaptic plasticity, long-term potentiation (LTP)), induce glucocorticoid insensitivity of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, increase oxidative stress in the HC, reduce serotonin (5-HT) levels and create neurotoxic serotonergic...
metabolites (i.e., 3-hydroxykynurenine (3-HK) and quinolinic acid (QA)) [10,11,15–17]. Astrocytes and microglia, also key components of the innate immune system, have also been found to play a role in the pathophysiology of depression [13]. Microglia, a major producer of pro-inflammatory cytokines and an important regulator of HC neuroplasticity, oxidative stress and QA, have been shown to be activated in depression [18]. Microglia are noted to produce detrimental processes, e.g., anti-neuroplastic, pro-oxidative, pro-inflammatory, when activated. They are noted to produce neuroprotective effects, e.g., anti-inflammatory, pro-neuroplastic and anti-oxidative, when quiescent. A recent clinical study [18] provides an in vivo investigation of microglial activation, hence neuroinflammation, in depression. This study used positron emission tomography, specifically with the translocator protein density (TPSO) ligand which is shown to be increased in activated microglia. TPSO binding was compared between depressed and non-depressed subjects. Investigators found increased binding in all brain regions, especially the prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex and insula in depressed subjects. Moreover, astrocytes that have shown a prominent role in releasing neurotrophic and anti-oxidant factors have also been found to be activated in depression [19]. A range of clinical and pre-clinical studies suggest astrocytic abnormality in depression, leading to detrimental processes in the brain [20].

The most recent evidence from reviews and meta-analyses suggests that antidepressants may exert effects on the immune system. A meta-analysis of 22 studies by Hannestad et al. [21] explored the effect of antidepressants on serum pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNFα, IL-1β and IL-6, in 603 depressed subjects. This is the latest study to quantitatively explore the immune-modulatory effects of antidepressants. A stratified subgroup analysis in this meta-analysis by class of antidepressants indicated that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) may reduce levels of IL-6 and TNFα, whereas other types of antidepressants – while efficacious in antidepressant effects – did not appear to reduce cytokine levels, or showed trend evidence in a few studies only. Although these data suggest that SSRIs with their prominent 5-HT functions may be more anti-inflammatory than other agents, the available database of various classes of antidepressants affecting the immune system has not been critically evaluated yet. Beyond the abovementioned meta-analysis, it has been suggested that while noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor antidepressants suppress Th1-type cytokines (e.g., IFN-γ, IL-2 and TNF-α) and shift the balance towards humoral immunity, SSRIs reduce the production of TH2-type cytokines (e.g., IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-9, IL-10, and IL-13) and shift the balance toward cellular immune response [22,23]. These findings suggest the possibility of differential mechanistic effects of various antidepressant classes on immune function, which requires systematic evaluation.

An involvement of immune factors in the pathophysiology of depression is now considered to be far greater than that of only the innate immune system, inflammation and glia [19]. A complex interaction is suspected to occur in the central nervous system (CNS) between parts of the innate and adaptive immune system [24]. Systemic immune cells, namely macrophages and T cells, have been found to have variously pro- and anti-neuroplastic, and pro- and anti-inflammatory effects on the brain [13,19]. These effects are dependent on cellular phenotype and environmental factors and systematic immune cells have been shown to variously influence neuroplasticity, cytokine production and oxidative stress (for reviews see [13,19,25]). Chemokines are involved in depression pathophysiology, likely through neuromodulatory effects, neurotransmitter-like effects, as well as regulation of neurogenesis and axon sprouting [26]. It is necessary to highlight that interactions between the innate and adaptive immune systems in the brain are numerous and complex. These interactions require further investigation and can be explored further in these reviews [25,27]. A systematic and critical review exploring the effects of antidepressant classes on both innate and adaptive immune factors has not been conducted to date, and such a review is timely given the broad involvement of the immune system in depression as well as the increasing evidence of class-specific effects of antidepressants on immune function. Our comprehensive review also includes recent comparative and novel (e.g., melatonin-active) antidepressant studies in this area.

Taken together, this systematic review extends from the established literature by comprehensively reviewing the effects of various antidepressants classes on both innate and adaptive immune factors also including recent comparative studies, immune-genetic studies and antidepressants with unique neurotransmitter mechanisms.

**Methods**

The literature search for this review was carried out by J.K. and A.Q. using the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses) guidelines as they apply to systematic reviews [28]. Databases including PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, ScienceDirect and PsycInfo were used. The following search terms were applied: (((antidepressants OR antidepressant OR selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor OR SSRI OR serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor OR SNRI OR tricyclic antidepressant OR TCA OR melatonin antidepressant OR noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor OR NaSSA OR noradrenergic and specific serotonin antidepressants OR serotonin OR noradrenaline OR amphetamine)) AND (innate OR adaptive OR cytokine OR inflammation OR inflammatory OR chemokine OR microglia OR astrocyte OR monocyte OR T cell OR macrophage OR miRNA OR glial OR immune)) AND (depressive symptoms OR depress * OR major depressive disorder)). We included papers published between 1980 to May 2014, and in the English language. For clinical studies in human populations, the antidepressants must be approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) and the studies must have a DSM diagnosis of MDD. Exclusion criteria applied to patients with other primary or concurrent psychiatric or neurological conditions (e.g., dementia, Parkinson’s disease, schizophrenia). Pre-clinical pharmacological studies were excluded. We only included studies which individually or comparatively explored the immune-modulatory effects of individual antidepressant classes (at least 2 classes). A search of the databases returned 3165 abstracts. 198 full text manuscripts were retrieved. The rest of the abstracts were excluded due to the above exclusion criteria with 121 articles remaining for this review. See Fig. 1 for graphical representation.
Assessing the Effects of Antidepressant Classes on the Immune System

The following section will explore the immune-modulatory effects of antidepressants with a focus on the classes of antidepressants in clinical studies. We will outline the effects of various classes initially, then focus on comparative studies in this area. We explore effects on both innate and adaptive immune factors; we have chosen to explore these 2 areas separately, however there is overlap within studies between the two. We will not be reviewing studies which fail to distinguish immune effects between antidepressant classes since reviews on literature without distinction of antidepressant classes have been undertaken and published elsewhere [23, 29]. See Fig. 2 for an overview of the immune effects of antidepressant classes.

The effect of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors on the immune system

Effects of SSRIs on innate immune factors

A larger number of studies explored innate immune effects of SSRIs [30–40].

Meta-analyses and systematic reviews

When considering the effects of SSRIs on cytokines, we must initially review the most rigorous study to date on this issue, which is the quantitative meta-analysis by Hannestad et al. [21]. As mentioned above, this study explored the effects of antidepressants on TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β in subjects with a diagnosis of MDD who were otherwise healthy adults. This study made a number of key findings. With TNF-α, subgroup analysis was only possible for SSRIs; for IL-6 sub-analysis was possible between SSRIs and TCAs; for IL-1β, sub-analysis was not possible as 5/6 studies used SSRIs. For TNF-α, random-effects modelling showed

Fig. 1 Flow diagram for study inclusion and exclusion.

Fig. 2 Innate and adaptive immune effects of antidepressant classes. TNF, tumour necrosis factor; IL, interleukin; NK, natural killer; Th, T helper; ER, early responder; ENR, early non-responder; MCP, monocyte chemotactic protein; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SNRI, serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant; CRP, C-reactive protein. (Color figure available online only).
no effect for SSRIs (p=0.16); however with fixed-effects (less stringent than random-effects) modelling there was a trend shown (p=0.06; n=199). There was substantial methodological heterogeneity noted between SSRI studies. For IL-6, random-effects modelling showed an effect for SSRIS (p=0.02) (n=79); TCAs did not affect IL-6 (p=0.2; n=24). These studies were from a relatively small subsample, with significant heterogeneity and therefore must be considered with that limitation in mind.

Primary research not considered in previous meta-analyses

Studies which were not included in the Hannestad et al. meta-analysis and which provide useful information include Mamdani et al. 2011 [39] and 2014 [40]. The first study by Mamdani et al. [39] investigated the peripheral gene expression patterns of response to citalopram treatment for MDD. An 8-week trial was run with 63 subjects; citalopram administered (10–60 mg/day); peripheral blood mononuclear cells assessed along with post-mortem brain samples from a subset of subjects (n=15) (vs. 11 sudden-death controls). A total of 32 probesets were differentially expressed according to citalopram response. Response to treatment was associated with upregulated Interferon regulator factor 7 (IRF7) response peripherally; decreased IRF7 was also found in the prefrontal cortex of MDD subjects with no toxicological evidence of antidepressant use at time of death. IRF7 has been shown to complex with IRF1, IRF3 and histone transacetylases to control transcription of the pro-inflammatory cytokine, interferon-α [41,42]. The authors speculate IRF7 upregulation could be the result of citalopram stimulating fluctuations in interferon levels [41], or through IRF7 promoter methylation [43].

An extension of the above Mamdani et al. [40] study investigated pre-treatment peripheral gene expression differences between future remitters and non-responders to citalopram treatment (10–60 mg/day). In the same study design as previously, with 77 subjects, 434 probesets displayed significant correlation to change in score and 33 probesets were differentially expressed between eventual remitters and non-responders. Probesets for SMAD 7 (SMA- and MAD-related protein 7) and SIGLEC3 (sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectin, pseudogene 3) were the most significant differentially expressed genes and down-regulated in individuals who responded to treatment. SMAD7 is responsible for the recruitment of ubiquitinases to induce the degradation of transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ) receptor and thus negatively regulates the TGFβ signalling pathway [44]. TGFβ is considered to be a Th3-type cytokine and plays a major role in guarding a balance between Th1 and Th2 cytokines (or pro and anti-inflammatory) [45]. Therefore, the authors hypothesise remitters appear to have an advantage in that TGFβ levels are likely to be at a higher, and possibly advantageous, level resulting in a more rapid increase of TGFβ following treatment. SIGLEC3 (sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectins) are lectins involved in interactions between individual cells and take part in cellular regulation within the immune system, however their role in psychiatric disorders is poorly understood. Recently, Siglec-9 [46], Siglec-10 [47] and Siglec-11 [48] have been shown to dampen response of innate immunity through binding to other sialylated compounds. They may also have a role in microglial and neurite modulation. Clearly the immune effects of these compounds require further exploration.

Effects of SSRIs on adaptive immune factors

Several studies explore the effects of SSRIs on adaptive immune cells [35,49–51]. Early studies in this area explored the effect of SSRIs for 4–12 weeks in MDD patients and noted a main finding – SSRIs decrease the number of circulating NK cells without affecting other lymphocyte subsets [52–55]. Increased counts of NK cells might occur due to stimulation of their serotonergic receptors as the result of increased levels of 5-HT caused by long-term SSRI treatment [51]. A more recent paper by Hernandez et al. [51] explored the effect of a 52-week treatment with SSRIs (various types) on lymphocyte subsets. This study included 31 adult MDD subjects and 22 healthy controls. The patients showed remission of depressive episodes after 20 weeks of treatment along with an increase in NK cell and B cell populations, which remained increased until the end of the study. At the 52nd week of treatment, patients showed an increase in the counts of NK cells (396 ± 101 cells/mL) and B cells (268 ± 64 cells/mL) compared to healthy volunteers (NK, 159 ± 30 cells/mL; B cells, 179 ± 37 cells/mL). Activated mature B lymphocytes proliferate in a time-dose-dependent manner with regards to either 5-HT concentration or 5-HT1A receptor agonist concentration [56]. Therefore, the authors suggest that increased extracellular levels of 5-HT caused by long-term SSRI treatment may have stimulated the proliferation of B lymphocytes in patients with MDD. The increase in T cell subsets at W36 might result from the proliferative effect of IL-2, whose serum levels increase at W20 during SSRI treatment [36].

Summary of the immune effects of SSRIs

The immune effects of SSRIs are the most researched to date. It appears SSRIs have an anti-inflammatory effect in general. SSRIs are shown to upregulate IRF7 gene activity, a transcription regulator of the IFN-α. SSRIs also appear to stimulate the proliferation of B lymphocytes in patients with MDD.

The effect of serotonin and noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors on the immune system

Overall, a relatively limited number of studies explored the effect of SNRI antidepressants on innate and adaptive immune factors. The primary studies exploring the innate immune effects of SNRIs explore the effects of SNRIs on innate immune factors and aim to explore immune profiles associated with response vs. non-response. There is one study exploring the leukocyte gene expression profile changes from SNRIs. To date there is no meta-analytical or systematic review data on the immune effects of SNRIs.

SNRIs affecting innate immune factors

When evaluating the above-mentioned Hannestad et al. meta-analysis [21], it was not possible to explore the effects of SNRIs as a subgroup analysis as there were too few studies. As mentioned previously, it has been suggested that while noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor antidepressants suppress Th1-type cytokines (e.g., IFN-γ, IL-2 and TNF-α) and shift the balance towards humoral immunity [22,23].

One of these earlier studies by Piletz et al. [57] explored the effect of venlafaxine treatment (150–225 mg/day) on immune biomarkers in MDD patients (i.e. TNF-α, IL-1β, MCP-1, CRP, CD40L). Initially this study enrolled 14 MDD patients and 17 controls; at baseline they found subjects with MDD had significantly higher baseline levels of TNF-α (P=0.04), IL-1β (P=0.03), and MCP-1 (P=0.02) compared to controls. A subset of the MDD...
patients consented to undergo treatment with venlafaxine (at lower doses an SSRI, at higher doses also an NRI) for 8 weeks. By week 8, all treatment completers had responded therapeutically. However, levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, and MCP-1 remained elevated. A concave quadratic equation described the associations between plasma venlafaxine concentrations and IL-1β (P = 0.03), TNF-α (P = 0.09), and MCP-1 (P = 0.02). Therefore, these biomarkers may have become selectively lowered in the serotoninergic dose range of venlafaxine. Interestingly, the authors discussed the correlation of MCP-1 with cardiovascular pathology. We agree with this suggestion, however as outlined above, MCP-1 as a chemokine may also effect neurobiological processes directly and therefore this requires further investigation.

A recent prospective cohort study by Li et al. [58] explored the effect of an 8-week trial of venlafaxine monotherapy (75–225 mg/day) on plasma TNF-α levels in adult patients with MDD. This study included 64 depressed subjects. Levels of TNF-α significantly decreased after 8 weeks of venlafaxine treatment in both responders and non-responders (p < 0.001 and p = 0.03, respectively). Even more interestingly, compared to non-responders, the responder group had a greater reduction in TNF-α (p = 0.01). This suggests the extent of TNF-α reduction by venlafaxine may be associated with the efficacy of venlafaxine. Another study by Fornaro et al. [59] explored the cytokine-modulatory effects of duloxetine among a small sample size of 30 MDD patients and 32 healthy controls. This study used an innovative approach to explore immune-modulation between early responders and early non-responders; a wide range of inflammatory markers were tested across a 12-week treatment trial of duloxetine (60 mg/day). Early responders (ER: defined at week 6 by reduction > 50% of baseline Hamilton Depression score) and early non-responders (ENR) showed opposite trends in cytokine levels during duloxetine treatment: ENRs were characterized by baseline Th2 shift compared to controls (lower IL-1β, IFN-γ and TNF-α) with increase in Th1 cytokines levels during treatment (increase of IL-1β, IL-12, IFN-γ, IL-1β/IL-10 and TNF-α/IL-10, decrease of IL-10), achieving clinical response at week 12; ER were characterized by baseline Th2-to-Th1 relative switch compared to ENRs (higher IL-1β, IL-1β/IL-10 and TNF-α/IL-10) with reduction in Th1 cytokines levels during treatment (decrease of TNF-α and TNF-α/IL-10), achieving clinical response at week 6. This may suggest duloxetine treatment could divide depressed patients into at least 2 subgroups per clinical and laboratory characteristics.

SNRIs affecting adaptive factors

Very few studies have explored the effects of SNRIs on cellular immune markers [57, 60]. One notable study by Kalman et al. [60] explored the gene expression profile of venlafaxine (75 mg/day) on 6 depressed elderly subjects over 4 weeks. In this study of lymphocyte expression, 31 genes were more highly expressed and 26 transcripts were found to be significantly less abundant. These genes were implicated in ionic homeostasis were differentially expressed, as were genes associated with cell survival, neural plasticity, signal transduction, and metabolism. Specific to the immune system, genes responsible for cell migration (e.g., C17orf1) were over-expressed; and gene interference was noted with cytoskeletal remodeling of lymphocytes and Ca2+ signaling required for activation of lymphocytes (e.g., P2RX1). This suggests there are effects of SNRIs on cellular immune functions, however how these functions are associated with depression pathophysiology requires further exploration. Clearly, follow-up studies are required which look at these immune effects in larger cohorts and in varying age ranges.

Summary of the immune effects of SNRIs

Immune effects of SNRIs have not been extensively studied to date, and hence require further investigation. They do appear to have anti-inflammatory effects, however this may depend on their dose range. The immune effects may differ depending on if patients are early responders or early non-responders. When SNRI effects on lymphocyte gene expression were measured, they were found to affect genes responsible for cell migration, lymphocyte cytoskeletal remodeling and lymphocyte activation.

The effect of tricyclic antidepressants on the immune system

There is little data available on the innate and adaptive immune effects of TCAs. We are aware of 3 studies specifically exploring innate immune effects and 2 studies exploring adaptive immune effects. These studies are reviewed in more detail.

Tricyclic antidepressants affect innate immune factors

A number of earlier studies explored immune-modulatory effects of TCAs [61, 62]. The Hannestad et al. [21] meta-analysis conducted a subgroup analysis on the effects of TCAs on IL-6. Using the random-effects model, there was no effect of TCA treatment (n = 24) on IL-6 levels (SMD = −0.51 (95% CI: −1.28, 0.26), Z = 1.3, p = 0.2). Subgroup analyses were not possible for TNF-α and IL-1β due to insufficient study numbers. Recently, a study by Uher et al. [22] has begun to redress this area in a comparative study (outlined in the section below). A study by Languillon et al. [61] conducted a controlled study to assess the effects of 6 weeks of treatment with amitriptyline on a range of inflammatory markers (i.e., white cell count, IL-6, TNF-α and CRP) in MDD subjects. In this study there were 24 MDD subjects and 15 healthy controls; amitriptyline doses ranged between 25–250 mg/day. In comparison to the controls, unstimulated pretreatment PBMC production of IL-6 was significantly decreased in the responders; whereas it was significantly increased in the non-responder subgroup. Post-treatment values did not differ significantly among the patient and control groups. Pre-treatment levels of TNF-α were increased in both patient subgroups, with a significant decrease during treatment only in the responder subgroup. Pretreatment levels of IL-6/10 mononuclear cells and the ratio between lymphocytes and monocytes acted as independent variables with regard to the clinical response. This suggests TNF-α is related to clinical response; IL-6 levels might dichotomise the patients into subsequent responders and nonresponders.

Tricyclic antidepressants affect adaptive immune factors

We are aware of only 2 studies exploring the adaptive effects of TCAs in depression [61, 63]. The first one is the aforementioned study by Languillon et al. [61]. Another study by Schlieff et al. [63] investigated dynamic lymphocyte characteristics before and after 6 weeks of nortriptyline monotherapy treatment (wide dose range with serum at 6 weeks 86.4±57.2 ng/ml). In this study of 21 medically healthy young adults with MDD, evidence of increased lymphocyte activation to mitogen challenge and decreased natural killer (NK) cell numbers and function were noted during acute depression. 15 subjects were followed longitudinally, T, CD4+ , CD29+ , and CD45RA+ lymphocytes and T-cell mitogen responses decreased significantly (P < 0.05) dur-
ing 6 weeks of pharmacotherapy and concurrent clinical improvement. There was no change in NK activity or CD56+ cells. The longitudinal effects appeared related to clinical improvement but unrelated to serum tricyclic antidepressant levels.

Summary of the immune effects of tricyclic antidepressants

TCAs appear to have anti-inflammatory effects. Indeed, TNF-α may provide a biomarker for treatment response; IL-6 may assist in predicting responders vs. non-responders. These findings remain to be reproduced. With regards to the cellular immune effects of TCAs, treatment with TCAs was associated with decreased T, CD4+, CD29+, and CD45RA+ lymphocytes and T-cell mitogen responses.

Studies directly comparing the immune-modulatory effects of antidepressant classes

Comparative studies directly comparing the immune-modulatory effects of antidepressant classes are a preferred method of assessing unique modulatory profiles in this area. If 2 or more antidepressant classes are compared in the same study methodology, it enhances the quality of the analysis. Without a comparative analysis – as can be seen in the above sections exploring the effect of individual antidepressant classes separately – a substantial amount of methodological heterogeneity is observed (e.g., antidepressant dose, immune markers tested, cohort characteristics and duration) that makes comparisons difficult. In the sections below we outline studies comparing both the innate and adaptive immune-modulatory profiles of antidepressant classes in MDD subjects.

Comparative studies: exploring innate immune factors

We are aware of 5 studies which compare the humoral immune modulatory effects of antidepressants [22,64–67] (Table 1). Some studies find no difference between antidepressant classes and others find a significant difference. A recent multicentre, open-label, randomized clinical trial by Uher et al. [22] aimed to determine if CRP predicted differential response to escitalopram (SSRI) and nortriptyline. These drugs were administered between 5–30 mg/day and 50–200 mg/day, respectively. This study involved 241 adult subjects with MDD and followed them for 12 weeks. The study found the CRP level at baseline differentially predicted treatment outcome with the 2 antidepressants. In cases with low levels of CRP (<1 mg/L) at baseline, the improvement on the MADRS score after 12 weeks of treatment was 3 points greater in patients treated with escitalopram compared to nortriptyline. On the contrary, in patients showing high CRP levels at baseline, an improvement on the MADRS score was 3 points higher in nortriptyline- compared to escitalopram-treated patients. Unfortunately, there was no follow-up measurement of CRP.

Another study by Cattano et al. [65] employing the same study design as above also compared the immune effects between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Study type/details</th>
<th>Depression details</th>
<th>Antidepressant info</th>
<th>Immune markers</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Narita, K et al. 2006 [60]</td>
<td>Cross-sectional comparison of plasma TNF-α and adiponectin levels in remitted MDD patients on long-term maintenance therapy and control</td>
<td>Study type: cross-sectional Participant details: 21 patients: 10M, 11F age: 60.9 ± 7.1 yrs No major illness; no history of any illness over 4 wks. No meds except benzodiazepine No healthy volunteers Follow-up duration: n/a Rx: monotherapy (various) fluvoxamine (2), paroxetine (9), mianserin (10)</td>
<td>Diagnosis: MDD (DSM-IV) Measured by: HAM-D-17 (remission: ≤7) Type of depression: On remission, on treatment &gt; 6 m</td>
<td>Medication: fluvoxamine (SSRI), mianserin (SNRI), no dose specified, results not categorised Duration: at least 6 months (18.7 ± 8.9)</td>
<td>Type: venous At: 8–9 am fasting Measure: plasma TNF-α (ELISA), and adiponectin (ELISA)</td>
<td>Clinical results n/a (already remitted) Immune results – TNF-α is significantly lower in remitted group (p = 0.001) – adiponectin is significantly higher in remitted group (p = 0.036) – TNF-α and adiponectin not different between SSRIs vs. SNRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uher et al. 2014 [19]</td>
<td>To determine if C-reactive protein (CRP) predicts differential response to escitalopram (SSRI) and nortriptyline (NR/TCA).</td>
<td>Study type: multicentre, open-label, randomized clinical trial Participant details: 241 adults both men and women with MDD age: escitalopram (40.1 ± 11.6); nortriptyline (41.2 ± 11.4) Included patients with comorbid disorders, severe illness, suicidal ideation. No mania, hypomania. 20 healthy volunteers Follow-up duration: 12 weeks Rx: escitalopram (N = 115) or nortriptyline (N = 126)</td>
<td>Diagnosis: MDD – DSM-IV Measured by: MADRS; HAM-D; BDI Type of depression: unipolar.</td>
<td>Medication: Escitalopram – initially 10 mg/day, range 5–30 mg/day. Nortriptyline initial 50 mg/day, range 50–200 mg/day Duration: 12 wks</td>
<td>Type: venous At: no time given Measure: hsCRP serum.</td>
<td>CRP level at baseline differentially predicted treatment outcome with the 2 antidepressants. Low levels of CRP (&lt;1 mg/L): improvement on the MADRS score was 3 points higher with escitalopram than with nortriptyline. High CRP levels: improvement on the MADRS score was 3 points higher with nortriptyline than with escitalopram. CRP and its interaction with medication explained more than 10% of individual-level variance in treatment outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Study type/details</td>
<td>Participant details</td>
<td>Depression details</td>
<td>Antidepressant info</td>
<td>Immune markers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattaneo et al. 2013 [61]</td>
<td>To assess the leukocyte mRNA expression of genes relating to glucocorticoid function, inflammation and neuroplasticity in healthy and depressed subjects treated with escitalopram or nortriptyline.</td>
<td>Study type: multicentre, open-label, randomized clinical trial. Participant details: 74 patients (31 M, 43 F), ≥ 2 wks drug-free before trial. Age 38.3 ± 10.9 Duration: 8 wks. Monotherapy: either escitalopram (n = 38) or nortriptyline (n = 36). Controls n = 34 (19 M, 15 F). Age 35.2 ± 8.</td>
<td>Diagnosis MDD DSM-IV/ICD-10 Measured severity by: HRSD-17, MADRS, BDI. Mean score baseline HRSD-17; 21.8 ± 5.3 MADRS 28.7 ± 6.7 BDI 28.2 ± 9.7. Response defined as &gt; 50% reduction in MADRS from baseline to 12 wks.</td>
<td>Medication: Escitalopram – initially 10 mg/day, range 5–30 mg/day. Nortriptyline initial 50 mg/day, range 50–200 mg/day.</td>
<td>Venous blood leukocyte mRNA expression of IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-4, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-10, TNF-α, MIF.</td>
<td>Clinical results MADRS &gt; 50% reduction compared to baseline. 51 responders (26 escitalopram, 25 nortriptyline), 23 non-responders (12 escitalopram, 11 nortriptyline). No differences in age or gender between responders/non responders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change et al. 2012 [62]</td>
<td>Effect of venlafaxine and fluoxetine on CRP levels in patients with MDD</td>
<td>Study type: cohort. Participant details: 149 (42 M, 107 F) consecutive patients with MDD from National Cheng Kung University Hospital selected. 112 chosen after fulfilling criteria. Age 38.8 ± 12.4 Duration: 6 wks. Monotherapy: Randomly assigned to either fluoxetine or venlafaxine. Controls: nil.</td>
<td>Diagnosis MDD DSM-IV Measured severity by 21-item HRSD Mean score baseline: HRSD 23.9 ± 6.2. Response defined as &gt; 50% reduction in HRSD.</td>
<td>Medication: Fluoxetine: initial 20 mg, titrated to 80 mg by 20 mg each time. Venlafaxine: Initial 37.5 mg, titrated to 75 mg. Lorazepam allowed concomitantly, to a maximal dose of 6 mg daily.</td>
<td>Fasting venous blood. Taken before study and after 6 wks. CRP.</td>
<td>Immune results Baseline CRP (all) 315 ± 176.8. After 6 wks 769.4 ± 144.7 (p &lt; 0.001). Both fluoxetine and venlafaxine groups had increased CRP after 6 wks. Patient with higher CRP at baseline had poorer treatment response. Association between baseline CRP and HAM-D was not significant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
escitalopram and nortriptyline, however this time they explored leukocyte gene expression profiles. IL-6 levels decreased significantly in responders (p < 0.0001), and this was present for both responders to escitalopram (−12%, p = 0.001) and to nortriptyline (−6%, p = 0.02). In non-responders there was no overall effect on IL-6 (+1%, p = 0.5) but, when the 2 drugs were analysed separately, IL-6 did not change in the non-responders to escitalopram (−2%, p = 0.5) and increased in the non-responders to nortriptyline (+7%, p = 0.037). Antidepressant treatment (irrespective of type) significantly reduced the expression levels of IL-1β (−6%, p = 0.006) and MIF (−24%, p < 0.0001), and increased GR mRNA levels (+5%, p = 0.009) and p11 levels (+8%, p = 0.005). Therefore, except for IL-6, all examined genes were modulated in the same way by both drugs. This may be due to the 2 drugs operating through the same serotonergic and noradrenergic pathways. There are 2 studies which compare SSRRs with SNRIs. A direct comparison of immune effects of SSRIs (various types) and SNRIs was conducted in a study by Yoshimura et al. [67]. This study utilised 51 adult MDD patients and 30 age- and sex-matched controls. In this study, plasma IL-6 level, but not plasma TNF-α level, was higher in SSRI-refractory than SSRI-responsive depressed patients, and higher in SNRI-refractory than SNRI-responsive depressed patients. On the other hand, the plasma BDNF level was significantly lower in depressed patients than in healthy controls, whereas no difference was found in plasma BDNF levels between SSRI-responsive and -refractory depressed patients. These findings suggest that patients with higher plasma IL-6 levels, but not higher TNF-α levels, might develop SSRI- or SNRI-resistant depression. In another study, Chang et al. [66] explored the effects of venlafaxine (SNRI; 75 mg/day) and fluoxetine (SSRI; 80 mg/day) on CRP levels in MDD patients across a 6-week study. Patients with a higher CRP at baseline had a poorer treatment response, and both medications reduced CRP levels over 6 weeks. This suggests both antidepressant classes reduced CRP levels to similar magnitudes.

Comparative studies exploring adaptive immune factors

Only a single study comparing the effects of antidepressant classes on cellular immune factors was identified (Table 2). The study by Basterzi et al. [68] explored the effect of venlafaxine and fluoxetine on lymphocyte subsets (CD3, CD4, CD8, CD16/56, CD19, CD45, anti-HLA-DR) in MDD patients over 6 weeks. 69 MDD patients and 36 healthy controls were involved in this study. The dose ranges were 75–150 mg/day and 20–40 mg/day, respectively. At baseline, patients with MDD had a significantly lower CD16/56 ratio and higher CD45 ratio (meaning CD45 numbers relative to all other CD lymphocyte numbers) compared to controls. CD45 is a protein tyrosine phosphatase best known as a leukocyte antigen. Biological functions of CD45 relevant to depression include: lymphocyte activation, cytokine production, and reduced serotonergic functioning through the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation [69]. MAPK activation by cytokines may lead to low serotonin production given it can redirect the tryptophan metabolism to form neurotoxic kynurenine instead of serotonin [10].

## Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Study type/details</th>
<th>Participant details</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Antidepressant info</th>
<th>Immune markers</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yoshimura et al. 2009 [63]</td>
<td>Effect of antidepressants, SSRIs vs. SNRIs, on IL-6 and TNFα</td>
<td>Participant details: 51 MDD patients, 30 age- and sex-matched controls. Age: Pt (40 ± 9) Sex: Pt (28F, 23M)</td>
<td>Diagnosis – DSM IV HRSD for severity of depression Baseline HRSD</td>
<td>Medications – Paroxetine n = 16, sertraline n = 15, fluoxetine n = 10, milnacipran n = 10 Dosages varied, titrated to response</td>
<td>Plasma samples collected between 0800–1000. IL-6, TNF-α</td>
<td>IL, interleukin; MDD, major depressive disorder; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; MADRS, Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale; CRP, C-reactive protein; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SNRI, serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraus et al. 2002 [73]</td>
<td>Effect of antidepressants (venlafaxine and mirtazapine) on biological parameters (BMI, weight, leptin, TNF-α, TNF receptors)</td>
<td>Study type: open-label over 4 weeks</td>
<td>Diagnosis: MDD DSM-IV, inpatient</td>
<td>Medications: Mirtzapine 11 Venlafaxine 9</td>
<td>Plasma samples of leptin, TNF-α, TNFRp55, TNFRp75.</td>
<td>IL-6, TNF-α, IL-1β receptors; ↑ weight (mean gain: 2.4 kg); ↑ TNF-α and TNF receptors; ↑ leptin. Venlafaxine: ↓ weight (mean loss: 0.4 kg); no change in leptin, TNF-alpha, or TNF receptors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes
- IL, interleukin; MDD, major depressive disorder; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; MADRS, Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale; CRP, C-reactive protein; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SNRI, serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor.
numerically higher in the venlafaxine-treated patients, treatment response rates between the fluoxetine (53%) and the venlafaxine (75%) groups were not statistically different. CD45 values were decreased significantly in the venlafaxine group at the end of the 6-week treatment period whereas no difference was observed in the fluoxetine group. By the 6th study week, treatment responders showed a significantly higher CD16/56 ratio than non-responders. Interestingly, consistent changes in treatment responders showed a significantly higher CD16/56 ratio than non-responders. Interestingly, consistent changes in the absolute number of circulating B or T cells or in the helper/suppressor/cytotoxic (CD8) subsets were not observed. See ▶ Fig. 3 for a graphical representation of the abovementioned comparative immunomodulatory effects of antidepressant classes.

Discussion

A careful analysis of the immune-modulatory effects of antidepressant classes is critical for the development of novel treatment approaches. This is important given there are currently relatively high rates of treatment resistance; and innate and adaptive immune factors are involved in depression pathophysiology.

This literature review has noted some common findings on the effects of antidepressants on innate immune factors. Antidepressants do appear, in general, to reduce pro-inflammatory cytokine levels, particularly TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6. The previous meta-analysis by Hannestad et al. [21] suggests SSRIs exert the greatest anti-inflammatory effects. We caution conclusions regarding which antidepressant possesses the greater anti-inflammatory effect. In adult depressed populations, pre-treatment levels of CRP informed later efficacy of escitalopram and nortriptyline – high CRP levels at baseline were associated with greater antidepressant effect of nortriptyline than escitalopram over time [22]. This potentially suggests nortriptyline is more anti-inflammatory than escitalopram. We defer to pre-clinical studies to further inform this debate. For example, an illuminating study by Tynan et al. [70] compared the anti-inflammatory effects of SSRIs and SNRIs on LPS-stimulated microglia in vivo. This study found SSRIs potently inhibited microglial TNF-α and nitric oxide (NO) production much greater than SNRIs. They found cAMP signalling was involved in regulating these anti-inflammatory responses. Interestingly, the anti-inflammatory effects of these drugs differed depending on their concentration.

A limited number of studies explore the effect of antidepressants on chemokines, hence making this area important for further investigation.

The effects of antidepressant classes on adaptive immune factors are complex and incompletely understood. This complexity likely stems from the incomplete understanding of the role of these immune factors in depression pathophysiology. We are aware of one human study directly comparing the effects of antidepressants on chemokines, hence making this area important for further investigation.

The effects of antidepressant classes on adaptive immune factors are complex and incompletely understood. This complexity likely stems from the incomplete understanding of the role of these immune factors in depression pathophysiology. We are aware of one human study directly comparing the effects of antidepressants on chemokines, hence making this area important for further investigation.

The effects of antidepressant classes on adaptive immune factors are complex and incompletely understood. This complexity likely stems from the incomplete understanding of the role of these immune factors in depression pathophysiology. We are aware of one human study directly comparing the effects of antidepressants on chemokines, hence making this area important for further investigation.

The effects of antidepressant classes on adaptive immune factors are complex and incompletely understood. This complexity likely stems from the incomplete understanding of the role of these immune factors in depression pathophysiology. We are aware of one human study directly comparing the effects of antidepressants on chemokines, hence making this area important for further investigation.

The effects of antidepressant classes on adaptive immune factors are complex and incompletely understood. This complexity likely stems from the incomplete understanding of the role of these immune factors in depression pathophysiology. We are aware of one human study directly comparing the effects of antidepressants on chemokines, hence making this area important for further investigation.

Table 2 Clinical studies comparing the adaptive immune effects of antidepressants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Study type/details</th>
<th>Participant details</th>
<th>Depression details</th>
<th>Antidepressant info</th>
<th>Immune markers</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Methodological weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basterzi et al.</td>
<td>Effect of venlafaxine vs. fluoxetine on lymphocyte subsets in depressive patients</td>
<td>69 MDD patients and 36 healthy controls. Age: Pt vs. C (31 ± 12 vs. 29 ± 4) Sex: Pt vs. C (49F, 20M vs. 19F, 17M)</td>
<td>DIAGNOSIS – DSM IV Severity assessed by 21-HRDS Mean HRDS 27.7 ± 4.5</td>
<td>Medications – randomly assigned to take fluoxetine n = 33 or venlafaxine n = 36 Fluoxetine 20 mg daily. 40 mg if response insufficient Venlafaxine 75 mg daily. 150 mg if not responding</td>
<td>Serum lymphocyte subsets (CD3, CD4, CD8, CD16/56, CD19, CD45, anti-HLA-DR) Measured using flow cytometry at baseline and 6 wks after start of treatment</td>
<td>Immune CD45 values decreased significantly in the VEN group at the end of the 6-week treatment period whereas no difference was observed in the FLX group.</td>
<td>27 patients completed the study from an initial pool of 69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDD, major depressive disorder; HAM-D/HDRS, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; FLX, fluoxetine; VEN, venlafaxine

Escitalopram (SSRI) vs. nortriptyline (NRI; TCA)

Innate factors:
- Low CRP at baseline = antidepressant effect - SSRI > TCA
- High CRP at baseline = antidepressant effect - TCA > SSRI
- Both SSRI & TCA responders = \( \Delta \) IL-6
- NR for SSRI = no \( \Delta \) IL-6; NR for TCA = \( \Delta \) IL-6
- Both SSRI & TCA = \( \Delta \) IL-1β, MIF; \( \uparrow \) CR, p<11

Adaptive factors:
- Venlafaxine (SNRI) = \( \Delta \) CD45; fluoxetine had no effect.

SSRI vs. SNRI

Innate factors:
- High IL-6, not TNF-α = SSRI and SNRI resistance
- High CRP = SSRI (fluoxetine) and SNRI resistance

Adaptive factors:
- Venlafaxine (SNRI) = \( \Delta \) CD45; fluoxetine had no effect.

Fig. 3 Direct comparison of innate and adaptive immune effects of antidepressant classes. TNF, tumour necrosis factor; IL, interleukin; NK, natural killer; Th, T helper; ER, early responder; ENR, early non-responder; MCP, monocyte chemoattractant protein; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SNRI, serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant; CRP, C-reactive protein. (Color figure available online only).
length of treatment, cohort characteristics (including medical comorbidities), dosage used and immune factors analysed. The duration of studies ranges between cross-sectional studies and up to 52 weeks. The dosage of antidepressant medications differs, which may cause varying immune-modulatory effects – for example venlafaxine is predominantly an SSRI at low dose and an SNRI at high dose. The age range of the cohorts within these studies ranges from young adulthood through to old age. A recent meta-analysis of antidepressant clinical trials found antidepressants to be less efficacious in subjects 65 years and older compared with adult populations [71]. Depression pathophysiology and hence response to antidepressant treatment may differ in late-life depression due to the higher burden of vascular-related pathology (e.g., white matter hyperintensities) [72]. The level of pro-inflammatory general medical condition co-morbidity may also affect the comparative assessment between the anti-depressant classes; this differed between the aforementioned studies. A higher burden of general medical conditions is associated with lower antidepressant treatment efficacy [73]. Presence of heart disease, obesity or diabetes likely create a pro-inflammatory state [74, 75], hence possibly minimizing the treatment response of antidepressants. The variation in immune analyses and a wide array of cytokine, genetic and cellular immune markers assessed also makes firm conclusions regarding the effects of antidepressant classes difficult. Finally, there is substantial heterogeneity and inconsistent description of pre-treatments utilised prior to studies, as well as concomitant medications (e.g., benzodiazepines and mood stabilisers). We explored this in each study mentioned within the paper and found significant variability in washout period use and duration, concomitant anxiolytic and mood stabiliser use. These factors may further contribute to the possibility of artefacts given their potential effects on the immune system (for review see [76, 77]). We have a number of recommendations to further develop this field. We recommend the development of clinical trials with comparative, head-to-head analyses between antidepressant classes. These trials should be conducted in discrete age populations to ensure homogeneity among trial participants. With these trials, it is recommended that immune analyses are conducted at baseline and at the end of the trial. This will assist in determining if baseline levels of immune factors can predict treatment response; and if treatment response is associated with other immune modulation. These immune analyses may include peripheral cytokine and chemokine levels, leukocyte gene expression, cellular/adaptive immune markers and immune-genetic testing. To date we are aware of only 1 study exploring the effects of antidepressants on cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of cytokines. In a study by Martinez et al. [78], high baseline CSF TNF-α was found to predict poor treatment response to venlafaxine in a small RCT of subjects with MDD. Understanding the effects of antidepressants on CSF immune biomarkers is key given the close connection of CSF to the CNS milieu (Kern, 2014 #127). We recommend the exploration of novel antidepressant compounds. Agomelatine, a serotonergic and melatonin active compound, is yet to be assessed for immune effects in a clinical trial. A recent rodent study by Molteni et al. [79] did find a robust anti-inflammatory effect of this compound, and therefore shows promise for human trials. Clinical trials in this field must also explore for unique immune effects of varying dosages of antidepressants. Finally, pre-clinical tests are helpful in better understanding this field. Rodent studies, for example, allow a thorough and higher-throughput of assessment of antidepressants. Rodent studies also allow for the assessment of the brain tissue concentrations of antidepressants – as seen in the Tynan et al. study [70]. Finally, the interplay between neurotransmitters and both innate and adaptive parts of the immune system needs to be carefully explored. At present, conclusions are difficult given there is a lack of research in the specific depression-like state, and there are few studies in general. Future studies must allow methods for isolation of components of the immune system in various neuroanatomical regions (i.e., immune cell subsets using flow cytometry, surface and intracellular actions and the use of transgenic rodents to pinpoint actions) [80].

Conclusion

Exploring unique immune-modulatory effects of antidepressant classes is critical to further developing treatment approaches for depression. Currently there is substantial methodological heterogeneity in this field; hence larger, comparative studies with a more sophisticated structured assessment of immune factors from both the innate and adaptive immune system to better understand this field are required in the clinical field.
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