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Abstract—Lithium isotope and abundance data are reported for Apollo 15 and 17 mare basalts and the LaPaz low-Ti mare basalt meteorites, along with lithium isotope data for carbonaceous, ordinary, and enstatite chondrites, and chondrules from the Allende CV3 meteorite. Apollo 15 low-Ti mare basalts have lower Li contents and lower \( \delta^7Li \) (3.8 ± 1.2‰; all uncertainties are 2 standard deviations) than Apollo 17 high-Ti mare basalts (\( \delta^7Li = 5.2 \pm 1.2‰ \)), with evolved LaPaz mare basalts having high Li contents, but similar low \( \delta^7Li \) (3.7 ± 0.5‰) to Apollo 15 mare basalts. In low-Ti mare basalt 15555, the highest concentrations of Li occur in late-stage tridymite (>20 ppm) and plagioclase (11 ± 3 ppm), with olivine (6.1 ± 3.8 ppm), pyroxene (4.2 ± 1.6 ppm), and ilmenite (0.8 ± 0.7 ppm) having lower Li concentrations. Values of \( \delta^7Li \) in low- and high-Ti mare basalt sources broadly correlate negatively with \( ^{18}O/^{16}O \) and positively with \( ^{56}Fe/^{54}Fe \) (low-Ti: \( \delta^7Li \leq 4‰; \delta^{56}Fe \leq 0.04‰; \delta^{18}O \geq 5.7‰; \delta^7Li >6‰; \delta^{56}Fe >0.18‰; \delta^{18}O <5.4‰ \)). Lithium does not appear to have acted as a volatile element during planetary formation, with subequal Li contents in mare basalts compared with terrestrial, martian, or vestan basaltic rocks. Observed Li isotopic fractionations in mare basalts can potentially be explained through large-degree, high-temperature igneous differentiation of their source regions. Progressive magma ocean crystallization led to enrichment in Li and \( \delta^7Li \) in late-stage liquids, probably as a consequence of preferential retention of \(^7Li\) and Li in the melt relative to crystallizing solids. Lithium isotopic fractionation has not been observed during extensive differentiation in terrestrial magmatic systems and may only be recognizable during extensive planetary magmatic differentiation under volatile-poor conditions, as expected for the lunar magma ocean. Our new analyses of chondrites show that they have \( \delta^7Li \) ranging between −2.5‰ and 4.0‰. The higher \( \delta^7Li \) in planetary basalts than in the compilation of chondrites (2.1 ± 1.3‰) demonstrates that differentiated planetary basalts are, on average, isotopically heavier than most chondrites.

INTRODUCTION

Lithium is the lightest lithophile element, possessing two stable isotopes, \(^6Li (~7.5\% of the natural isotopic abundance)\) and \(^7Li (~92.5\%)\), with a mass difference of 16‰, leading to significant isotopic fractionation in nature (−60‰ in \(^7Li/~6Li\), reported as \( \delta^7Li = [\delta(^7Li/~6Li)_{\text{sample}}/(^7Li/~6Li)_{\text{L-SVEC}} \times 1000] \)) (e.g., Chan and Edmond 1988; Huh et al. 2001; Elliott et al. 2004; Tomascak 2004). Potentially large isotopic fractionations
and mobility in aqueous fluids (e.g., Teng et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2010a) make Li useful for understanding magmatic, metamorphic and hydrothermal processes (e.g., Zack et al. 2003; Elliott et al. 2006; Qiu et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2013). The fractionation behavior of Li isotopes during high-temperature igneous differentiation processes, however, is not well understood. Two prior studies of $^{7}\text{Li}/^{6}\text{Li}$ temperature igneous differentiation processes, however, is

Two prior studies of $^{7}\text{Li}/^{6}\text{Li}$ systematics during high-temperature magmatic differentiation in terrestrial settings recorded no observable systematic fractionations (e.g., Tomascak et al. 1999; Schuessler et al. 2009).

A number of processes can potentially affect Li isotopes in igneous systems. One is that Li isotope composition may be modified by diffusive processes during interaction between rocks and fluids, modifying original magmatic values (Teng et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2010a). The Li isotopic composition of lunar mare basalts is, however, unlikely to be influenced in this way, given evidence for a volatile-poor source for mare basalts (Sharp et al. 2010; Paniello et al. 2012; Day and Moynier 2014; Kato et al. 2015), and the absence of aqueous fluid alteration on the Moon (e.g., Shearer et al. 2014). A second process is that solid-state diffusion can lead to isotopic fractionation at high temperature. The effects of this process are only preserved if diffusion is followed by rapid quenching. Given sufficient time, such kinetic fractionation will be obliterated by diffusive equilibration (Barrat et al. 2005; Beck et al. 2006; Jeffcoate et al. 2007; Parkinson et al. 2007). Kinetic isotopic fractionations can be significant at the mineral scale, but are less significant at the whole-rock scale, which generally preserves the bulk composition of the system during crystallization and cooling of basaltic flows (Magna et al. 2015). Thus, most basaltic rocks are assumed to reflect the Li isotopic characteristics of their parental melts.

Study of Li isotope variations in lunar mare basalts can potentially shed light on equilibrium isotopic fractionation during magmatic differentiation. This is because mare basalts are considered to form from partial melts of cumulate mineral sources generated during crystallization of a lunar magma ocean (e.g., Wood et al. 1970; Smith et al. 1970; Snyder et al. 1992; Warren and Taylor 2014). Prior studies have investigated high-temperature fractionation effects for O (Spicuzza et al. 2007), Fe (Poitrasson et al. 2004; Weyer et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2010b), Si (Poitrasson and Zambardi 2015), and Mg isotopes (Wiechert and Halliday 2007; Sedaghatpour et al. 2013). These studies have demonstrated that isotopic differences exist between low- and high-Ti mare basalts. In general, these isotopic differences measured in mare basalts have been interpreted to result from inheritance from mineralogically diverse mantle sources generated during lunar magma ocean crystallization.

Studies of Li isotopes in bulk-rock mare basalts have been performed previously, revealing relatively large ranges in $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ (~3%o, total range; Magna et al. 2006; Seitz et al. 2006). However, no prior study of Li isotopes in the Moon has explicitly examined isotopic fractionation during high-temperature differentiation. Here, we investigate well-characterized aliquots of low- and high-Ti mare basalts with the aim of identifying whether Li isotopic fractionation occurred during high-temperature igneous processes in the Moon. A major advantage of our approach is that O and Fe isotopes have previously been measured (Spicuzza et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2010b, respectively) on exactly the same aliquots of material that we have analyzed for Li abundance and isotopic systematics. These data are complemented with in situ Li abundance determinations of mineral grains in Apollo low-Ti mare basalt 15555. Also presented are new Li isotopic measurements of a range of whole-rock chondritic meteorites and chondrule fragments separated from the Allende meteorite. These data are reported in order to allow reexamination of the Li isotope composition of potential planetary building blocks for comparison with lunar Li isotope data.

**SAMPLES**

Lunar mare basalts investigated in this study include seven Apollo 15 low-Ti basalts ($\text{TiO}_2 = 1.2–2.3$ wt%), six Apollo 17 high-Ti basalts ($\text{TiO}_2 = 11.8–13.2$ wt%), and four individual stones of the paired LaPaz (LAP) mare basalt meteorites (LAP 02205/02224/02436/04481), which have experienced limited terrestrial weathering (e.g., Day et al. 2006). Using the definition of Neal and Taylor (1992), all mare basalts in this study are low-Al (<11 wt% $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$) and low-K (<0.2 wt% $\text{K}_2\text{O}$). Petrology and geochemistry, including highly siderophile element abundances and Os, O, and Fe isotopic compositions, have been reported for the same bulk-rock fragments and/or associated polished sections (Day et al. 2006, 2007; Day and Taylor 2007; Spicuzza et al. 2007; Schnare et al. 2008; Hill et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2010b; Day and Walker 2015). For in situ measurement of Li abundances in mineral grains, new measurements were performed on a thick section of Apollo 15 low-Ti basalt 15555, 955, employed previously in the study by Schnare et al. (2008).

Apollo 15 mare basalts include both olivine-normative basalts and quartz-normative basalts that are potentially linked through crystal fractionation processes (Schnare et al. 2008) and partial melting of
mantle source regions (e.g., Chappell and Green 1973; Rhodes and Hubbard 1973). Apollo 17 mare basalts include A-, B-, C-, and U-types that are grouped by their differences in mineralogy and chemical composition (Neal and Taylor 1992); for example, A-type basalts have higher Sm concentrations than that of B- and C-types, and C-type basalts have lower Rb/Ba than those of A- and B-type basalts. Apollo 17 mare basalts that do not fall into readily defined groupings are considered U-type (Neal and Taylor 1992). Relative to Apollo 15 low-Ti mare basalts, Apollo 17 high-Ti mare basalts contain high modal abundances of ilmenite and armalcolite. Parental melts of LaPaz mare basalts were probably similar to those of the Apollo 12 low-Ti basalts, but experienced significant closed-system fractionation of olivine and chromite (e.g., Righter et al. 2005; Zeigler et al. 2005; Anand et al. 2006; Day et al. 2006). Enrichment of the incompatible elements in the LaPaz basalts, however, indicates that they cannot be directly related to an Apollo mare basalt suite and instead, possess a KREEP-like (K, REE, P, U, Th) component inherited from their source(s) (Day et al. 2006; Rankenburg et al. 2007).

Chondrite meteorites examined in this study include 12 carbonaceous chondrites, six ordinary chondrites, and the enstatite chondrite Kota-Kota. These meteorites span the range of major chondrite petrological types. Only two of the meteorites are finds (Efremovka, Kota-Kota), and the rest are falls, minimizing potential terrestrial contamination and hydrous alteration. Five individual chondrule fragments from the CV chondrite meteorite Allende were also measured to investigate Li isotopic variability within a major component forming chondrules.

**METHODS**

**Bulk-Rock Li Isotopic and Abundance Measurements**

Lithium concentrations and isotopic compositions were determined at the University of Maryland (UMD), College Park. Sample dissolution procedures, column chemistry, instrumental analysis, and external precision are reported in Rudnick et al. (2004) and Teng et al. (2006). Briefly, for mare basalt samples, 20–60 mg of sample powder, prepared previously (Day et al. 2007), was dissolved in a combination of HF–HNO$_3$–HCl for >72 h at 150 °C until clear solutions were obtained. For chondrites and chondrules, samples were prepared by grinding to fine flour using an agate pestle and mortar. Milligram to 25 mg chondrite and chondrule sample aliquots were then digested in an HF–HNO$_3$ mixture, followed by introduction of HClO$_4$, in high-pressure Teflon digestion vessels, to ensure complete sample dissolution. The amount of sample powder required for analysis was based on Li abundances in whole rocks determined by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), with the goal of obtaining 250 ng of Li for multiple analyses of 50 ng solutions for Li abundance and isotope ratio determination. Lithium was purified on cation exchange resin (Bio-Rad AG50w-X12, 200–400 mesh), using two separate column procedures, with the first in HCl, followed by HCl ethanol. Lithium concentrations and isotopic compositions were analyzed using a standard-bracketing methodology on a Nu Plasma multicollector inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS), with L-SVEC lithium carbonate as the standard (Flesch et al. 1973).

The long-term external precision of the Li isotopic composition and concentration analyses at UMD are equal to, or better than 1%$_{\text{r}}$ (2σ) and ±10% (2σ), respectively, based on repeat analyses of pure Li standards and standard reference materials over >10 yr (Tables S1–S3) (Rudnick et al. 2004; Teng et al. 2006; Qiu et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2013). During the course of this study, ten and three separate analyses of basalt standards BHVO-2 and JB-2, respectively, were performed. The average result for analyses of individual digestions of BHVO-2 was $\delta^7\text{Li} = 4.3 \pm 0.3%_{\text{n}}$ (2σ) and [Li] = 4.7 ± 0.7 ppm (2σ), and the average results for JB2 was $\delta^7\text{Li} = 4.0 \pm 0.1%_{\text{n}}$ (2σ) and [Li] = 8.7 ± 0.7 ppm (2σ; Table S2). These values are within the range of compositions reported for BHVO-2 ($\delta^7\text{Li} = 4.0-4.9%_{\text{n}}$, [Li] = 4.8 ± 0.2 ppm, n = 25) and JB2 ($\delta^7\text{Li} = 3.6-5.7%_{\text{n}}$, [Li] = 7.2–8.5 ppm, n = 32) selected by GEOREM (Jochum and Nohl 2008), and through an updated compilation of literature data (BHVO-2: average $\delta^7\text{Li} = 4.5 \pm 0.6%_{\text{n}}$; average [Li] = 4.5 ± 0.6 ppm; JB2: average $\delta^7\text{Li} = 4.7 \pm 1.2%_{\text{n}}$; average [Li] = 7.8 ± 0.8 ppm; Table S3). Six total procedural blanks prepared with the samples gave [Li] = 0.2 ± 0.1 ng (2σ), which is negligible relative to the lithium concentration in the samples (blank contributions = <0.1%).

**Lithium Abundance Measurements in Minerals by LA-ICP-MS**

To determine the concentration of Li within mineral grains, laser-ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) analyses were performed on a thick section of 15555, 955. This sample was selected for analysis as it is relatively coarse grained, contains well-distinguished oxide and silicate mineral phases, and has been well documented, being the subject of a prior bulk-rock and mineral-scale study (e.g., Schnare et al. 2008). Concentrations of Li were determined using a
New Wave Research UP213 (213 nm) laser-ablation system coupled to a Thermo-Finnigan Element 2 ICP-MS at the University of Maryland. Olivine, pyroxene, plagioclase, ilmenite, and tridymite were analyzed using individual spots with a 30–80 μm-diameter, a laser repetition rate of 7 Hz, and a photon fluence of 2–3.9 J cm⁻². ThO/Th production was 0.06% for the analytical session. Ablation analysis took place in a 3-cm³ ablation cell. The cell was flushed with a He gas flow of ~0.6 L min⁻¹ to enhance production and transport of fine aerosols, and the He gas was mixed with an Ar carrier gas flow of ~0.8 L min⁻¹, before reaching the torch. Each analysis consisted of ~60 s data collection. Backgrounds on the sample gas were collected for ~20 s followed by ~40 s of laser ablation. Washout time between spots was >120 s. Data were collected in time-resolved mode, so effects of inclusions, mineral zoning, and possible penetration of the laser beam to underlying phases could be evaluated. Plots of counts per second versus time were examined for each analysis, and integration intervals for the gas background and the sample analysis were selected using LAM-TRACE software. Each LA-ICP-MS analysis was normalized to values of SiO₂ (silicates) and TiO₂ (oxides) measured by electron microprobe analysis. LA-ICP-MS time-resolved patterns showed that the ablated volumes were homogeneous. Replicate LA-ICP-MS analyses of the BHVO-2 g standard run twice at the beginning and end of analytical sessions as an "unknown" yielded 4.3 ± 0.2 ppm Li (2σ; 4.4 ± 0.8 ppm; Jochum and Nohl 2008) and an external precision of better than ±4% (2σ).

RESULTS

Chondrite and Chondrule Li Isotope Systematics

Lithium isotopic compositions of a variety of chondrites and chondrule fragments in Allende are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 1. The δ⁷⁷Li of chondrites falls on a near-normal distribution, with an average of 2.1 ± 1.3% (2σ, n = 66). In general, carbonaceous chondrites are isotopically heavier than ordinary or enstatite chondrites. The similar composition of Allende chondrules (1 ± 2% (2σ, n = 5) with their associated bulk rock (1.6%) implies that δ⁷⁷Li is homogeneous within individual components of at least some fragments of chondrite meteorites (Chaussidon and Robert 1998; Maruyama et al. 2009; Seitz et al. 2012). This is in contrast to the ~50% range observed within chondrules from Semarkona (LL3), coupled with an isotopically heavy calculated bulk composition of +10% (Chaussidon and Robert 1998). Collectively, these observations are consistent with significant heterogeneity at small scales in some chondrites and chondrite components (e.g., Pogge von Strandmann et al. 2011).

Lithium Abundances in Mineral Grains from Apollo 15555

Concentrations of Li in plagioclase, olivine, pyroxene, ilmenite, and tridymite analyzed by LA-ICP-MS are presented in Table 2. Plagioclase grains have high Li contents, ranging from 8.2 to 12.7 ppm (Av. = 11.0 ± 3.1 ppm; 2σ, n = 8). Pyroxene (Av. = 4.2 ± 1.6 ppm; 2σ, n = 10) and olivine (Av. = 6.1 ± 3.8 ppm; 2σ, n = 11) grains do not appear to show core-to-rim variations in Li abundances in the mineral grains that were measured. Ilmenite has the lowest observed Li content (Av. = 0.8 ± 0.7 ppm; 2σ, n = 5) in 15555. Only one tridymite grain was successfully measured, with other tridymite grains fragmenting during LA-ICP-MS analysis as a consequence of its poor photon coupling characteristics at these wavelengths. This grain yielded a high Li content (41 ppm), indicating enrichment of Li in the late-stage mesostasis with which tridymite grains are associated.
Mare Basalt Lithium Isotope Compositions and Abundances

Lithium isotopic compositions and abundances for mare basalts are reported in Table 3 and in Fig. 2. Apollo 15 samples have between 7 and 8.5 ppm Li and δ⁷Li ranging from 3.1‰ to 4.8‰. Apollo 15 quartz-normative basalts have δ⁷Li values (QNB = 3.3 ± 0.6‰, 2σ) within uncertainties of Apollo 15 olivine-normative basalts (ONB = 4.2 ± 0.8‰, 2σ) and have similar Li abundances. Apollo 17 high-Ti mare basalts have both higher concentrations of Li than Apollo 15 low-Ti mare basalts, at 8.8–16.5 ppm Li, and are also isotopically heavier (δ⁷Li = 4.5–6.2‰). 74255 is isotopically the heaviest mare basalt sample measured (δ⁷Li = 6.0 ± 0.5‰, 2σ), but there are no distinguishing differences between other Apollo 17 mare basalt types based on our sampling. Lithium concentrations measured in this study span a similar range as published values for Apollo 15 (4.6–8 ppm) and Apollo 17 (7–11.4 ppm) mare basalts (Meyer 2012). LaPaz mare basalts have elevated Li contents (11.6–13.8 ppm) with respect to Apollo 15 mare basalts but similar δ⁷Li values (3.4–4.1‰) to Apollo 15 QNB. Combined, the new data for high-Ti and low-Ti mare basalts give average δ⁷Li of 5.1 ± 1.1‰ and 3.6 ± 0.6‰ (2σ), respectively. An unpaired Student t-test yields a two-tailed P-value of less than 0.0001, indicating statistical significance and rejection of the hypothesis that low- and high-Ti mare basalts that were measured have similar δ⁷Li values.

Two studies have previously reported Li isotope and abundance data for mare basalts. Concentration and Li isotopic ratios obtained in this study are similar to those reported by Magna et al. (2006). Conversely, while δ⁷Li values overlap those reported by Seitz et al. (2006), the Li concentrations reported in that study are ~30% lower (Fig. 2). Mineralogical heterogeneity between sample aliquots may partly explain these discrepancies, given the variability in Li contents observed within mineral grains of 15555.

Table 2. Lithium abundances in minerals from 15555.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Li (ppm)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Li (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area1  Plag1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>Area1  Px1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area1  Plag1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>Area3  Px1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area3  Plag1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>Area2  Px</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area3  Plag2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>Area5  Px (core)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area2  Plag</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Area5  Px (rim)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area6  Pl</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>Area5  Px (rim)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area7  Plag</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>Area5  Px (rim)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area10 Plag</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Area5  Px (core)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Pl</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.0</strong></td>
<td>Area6  Px</td>
<td><strong>3.5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 SD</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.1</strong></td>
<td>Area7  Px</td>
<td><strong>3.2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Pl</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.1</strong></td>
<td>Area6  Px</td>
<td><strong>3.2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area1  Ol1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td><strong>2 SD</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area3  Ol1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area3  Ol2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>Area4  Ilm</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area4  Ol1 (edge)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>Area2  Ilm</td>
<td>&lt;5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area4  Ol1 (center)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Area2  Ilm</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area4  Ol1 (edge)</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Area5  Ilm</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area2  Ol</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Area6  Ilm</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area6  Ol</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td><strong>Average Ilm</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area6  Ol</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td><strong>2 SD</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area7  Ol</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area10 Ol1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Area6  Trid</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Ol</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.1</strong></td>
<td>Area6  Trid</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 SD</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Italics denote averages and standard deviations of data.
Table 3. Lithium isotope and abundance data for mare basalts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>( \delta^7\text{Li} \pm 2\sigma ) (ppm)</th>
<th>( \pm 2\sigma )</th>
<th>( \delta^{18}\text{O}^a )</th>
<th>( \delta^{56}\text{Fe}^a )</th>
<th>MgO (wt%)(^b)</th>
<th>TiO(_2) (wt%)(^b)</th>
<th>Olivine(^b)</th>
<th>Pyroxene(^b)</th>
<th>Ilm + Ulvo(^b)</th>
<th>Plagioclase(^b)</th>
<th>“Mesostasis”(^b)</th>
<th>Exposure Age (Ma)(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apollo 15 mare basalts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15475, 187 QNB</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15475 (Magna et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15596, 24 QNB</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15499, 154 QNB</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15499 (Magna et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15016, 221 ONB</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15016 (Magna et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15555, 950 ONB</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15555 (Magna et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15555, 955 ONB</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15555 (Seitz et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15555, 958 ONB</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15555 (Magna et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo 17 mare basalts</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15555 (Seitz et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70017, 524 U-type basalt</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15555 (Magna et al. 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70035, 180 U-type basalt</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.578</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.578</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70035 (Magna et al. 2006)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.367</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75075, 171 B1-type basalt</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. *Continued.* Lithium isotope and abundance data for mare basalts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>δ^7Li (ppm)</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
<th>δ^18O^a</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
<th>δ^56Fe^a (wt%)</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
<th>MgO (wt%)^b</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
<th>TiO_2 (wt%)^b</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
<th>Olivine^b</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
<th>Pyroxene^b</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
<th>Ilm + Ulvo</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
<th>“Mesostasis”^b</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
<th>Exposure Age (Ma)^b</th>
<th>±2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75075</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>119–128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70135, 98</td>
<td>A-type basalt</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>5.591</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>106 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70215, 322</td>
<td>B2-type basalt</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.529</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>100 ± 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74255, 185</td>
<td>C-type basalt</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>5.592</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>100 ± 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74255 (Magna et al. 2006)</td>
<td>Av.</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.407</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74255 (Magna et al. 2006)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolved mare basalt meteorites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP</td>
<td>Evolved mare</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>5.763</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>43 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02205, 21</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>5.653</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>43 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP</td>
<td>Evolved mare</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>5.701</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>43 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02224, 17</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>5.701</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>43 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP</td>
<td>Evolved mare</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>5.701</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>43 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02436, 20</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>5.701</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>43 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP</td>
<td>Evolved mare</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>5.701</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>43 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04481, 6</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Replicate analyses of samples are from repeat column chemistry and MC-ICP-MS analysis of samples.

^a^Values of δ^18O and δ^56Fe are from Spicuzza et al. (2007) and Liu et al. (2010b), respectively.

^b^Mineralogy, MgO (wt%) and TiO_2 (wt%) data are from Day et al. (2006) and unpublished data. Exposure ages were compiled from Meyer (2012).
Several of the same samples have been analyzed in previous studies (Magna et al. 2006; Seitz et al. 2006). Data reported for 70035, 74255, and 75075 in Magna et al. (2006) are within analytical uncertainty for both concentration and $\delta^7\text{Li}$ to those reported here. For the two samples (15475 and 15555) measured by all three studies, $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values are identical within uncertainties (Table S4).

**DISCUSSION**

**Aqueous Alteration and Thermal Modification of Chondrites**

While aqueous alteration can be excluded as a process that may have affected the mare basalts, the same is not true for chondrite meteorites. Petrological and geochemical evidence exists for aqueous alteration and thermal modification of chondrite parent bodies early in their formation (Brearley and Jones 1998). The broad relationship of increasing petrological type and lower $\delta^7\text{Li}$ indicates that parent body processes operative during formation of chondrites (aqueous alteration and/or thermal modification) may have played a role in modification of their Li isotopic ratios (Fig. 1). The results are consistent with prior studies showing that secondary alteration is probably responsible for the range of $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values observed in chondrites and chondritic components (e.g., Sephton et al. 2004; Seitz et al. 2007, 2012; Maruyama et al. 2009). The high vibrational frequency of water relative to mineral phases (Huh et al. 2001), or stronger tetrahedral bonds in $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ than octahedral coordination of Li in minerals (Teng et al. 2004) dominates Li isotopic fractionation, leading to preferential partitioning of $^7\text{Li}$ into water. High $\delta^7\text{Li}$ in the lowest petrological grade of chondrites (e.g., CI) likely reflects uptake of isotopically heavy Li from water into secondary phases (e.g., Sephton et al. 2013). Kinetic isotopic fractionation effects have been shown to affect the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of phenocrysts (e.g., Jeffcoate et al. 2004; Barrat et al. 2005; Beck et al. 2006; Parkinson et al. 2007) and might also have an effect at the scale of chondrules and matrix in chondrites (e.g., Maruyama et al. 2009; Pogge von Strandmann et al. 2011; Seitz et al. 2012). However, the similarity in $\delta^7\text{Li}$ for the chondrules that we measured in Allende, compared with the bulk sample, suggests equilibration of Li in this particular meteorite.

**Effects on $\delta^7\text{Li}$ from Secondary Irradiation and Implantation**

Significant Li isotopic variations can occur through spallation reactions during exposure of materials to cosmic rays. Both $^6\text{Li}$ and $^7\text{Li}$ are produced subequally during spallation, but because $^7\text{Li}$ is ~12 times more abundant than $^6\text{Li}$ in rocks, the effect will be to decrease $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$. Conversely, the presence of implanted solar wind would have an opposing effect to spallation, increasing $\delta^7\text{Li}$, due to preferential exhaustion of $^6\text{Li}$ early in the history of Sun-like stars due to a neutron capture cross section some 20,000 times greater than that of $^7\text{Li}$. For example, the solar wind is estimated to have $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ of ~31 (Chaussidon and Robert 1999), and galactic cosmic-ray-induced reactions have yielded $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ of between 1 and 2 (Eugster and Bernas 1971; Knauth et al. 2000). While the effect of solar wind implantation would be to increase $\delta^7\text{Li}$, the depth of penetration is limited to ~0.01 μm from the surface (Chaussidon and Robert 1999) and has been shown to have negligible effects on chondrites and their components (Seitz et al. 2012). Additionally, Seitz et al. (2012) determined that the majority of chondrules require limited correction due to cosmic-ray exposure, increasing $\delta^7\text{Li}$ by not more than 1‰ consistent with the generally limited cosmic-ray exposure (CRE) ages...
measured for chondrites (<25 Ma; e.g., Eugster et al. 2007).

CRE ages for mare basalts measured in this study range from ~25 Ma to 548 ± 183 Ma (Table 3); the heaviest δ Li value is measured in the sample having one of the youngest exposure ages (74255), whereas the sample with the oldest exposure age (15475) has one of the lowest δ Li values (Fig. 3). The largest range of exposure ages in samples from a single mission are for Apollo 15 mare basalts (~76 to ~543 Ma); these samples show no correlation between δ Li and CRE age. In order to estimate cosmogenic production of 6 Li, we follow previous assumptions made by Seitz et al. (2006, 2012), assuming a production ratio between 6 Li and 3 He of about 0.15 ± 0.05. Using this scaled production of cosmogenic Li and the Li content of lunar samples, it is possible to generate evolution curves for 7 Li/6 Li modification using CRE over time (Fig. 3). The results are in agreement with the conclusions of Seitz et al. (2006) and Magna et al. (2006) that, while some cosmogenic modification can occur over the CRE ages observed in mare basalts, they do not explain the large range in δ Li values observed for mare basalts with relatively young CRE ages (e.g., LaPaz, 15555), nor can this process explain the systematic differences observed between low- and high-Ti mare basalts for δ Li. We find no evidence that samples analyzed here were systematically modified by secondary irradiation and implantation of lithium.

Mineralogical Heterogeneity in Mare Basalts and Mantle-Melt Partitioning

Experimental studies have examined partitioning of Li between terrestrial basaltic melts and minerals (e.g., KD OL = 0.2–0.4; KD Pl = 0.3–0.4; KD Cpx = 0.26–0.75; Brenan et al. 1998); however, few experiments have been performed relevant to lunar conditions (low Na and K, sometimes high Ti; KD Opx = ~0.2; Van Kan Parker et al. 2011), and no data are currently available for ilmenite or other Fe-Ti oxide phases. Lithium concentrations have been measured in a few minerals in Apollo 11 high-Ti mare basalts and vary from ~11 to 55 ppm in plagioclase, ~45 ppm in pyroxene, and ~10 ppm in ilmenite, with higher concentrations measured in brecciated samples (Andersen et al. 1970). Our new data for Apollo 15555 mineral grains indicate more limited ranges in Li concentration, with 8–13 ppm Li in plagioclase, 3–9 ppm in pyroxene, 3.5–8.5 ppm in olivine, 0.4–1 ppm in ilmenite, but as much as 41 ppm Li in tridymite (Table 2). These results suggest incorporation of Li in lunar minerals in the general order of melt > plagioclase ≥ olivine ≥ pyroxene > ilmenite. While not directly measured using LA-ICP-MS in this study, it is notable that the mineral structure of armalcolite (Mg, Fe2+) Ti2O5 has fourfold coordination of Mg, and so may act as a carrier for Li.

In order to assess the relative distribution of Li in minerals within mare basalts, calculations were performed using the modal abundances of the minerals and the average concentrations of Li measured in 15555 mineral grains, assuming similar concentrations of Li in the minerals of all of the mare basalts (Fig. S1). This exercise serves to demonstrate that modal recombination using only major mineral phases (olivine, pyroxene, plagioclase, ilmenite) does not reproduce most of the measured bulk compositions, which are too high to be explained by Li within these minerals alone. To reproduce the measured Li contents, mesostasis (here assumed to be represented by the modal concentration of 4.8% in Table 2) Li contents of ~20 ppm are required for reasonable fits for Apollo 15 low-Ti mare basalts. Measured Li concentrations in high-Ti mare basalts and the LaPaz mare basalts are higher than modal recombination calculations using the 15555 mineral Li contents. This discrepancy probably reflects high Li contents in Apollo 17 and LaPaz basalts, with the possibility of similar Li enrichment in their mineral phases. Further work is warranted to examine the siting of Li within lunar minerals from a variety of mare basalts.
Li isotopes in the Moon

No Evidence for Volatile Loss of Li during Planetary Formation and Differentiation

Since Li is an incompatible element that becomes enriched in melts (e.g., Brenan et al. 1998), partial melting leads to approximately order of magnitude higher Li concentrations in terrestrial mid-ocean ridge basalts (MORB) relative to terrestrial peridotite whole rocks and minerals, and a mantle-melt bulk-partitioning basalts (MORB) relative to terrestrial peridotite whole (Plank and Langmuir 1992; Snyder et al. 1997), with generated by similar degrees of partial melting (~0.1. MORB and mare basalts are estimated to be generated by similar degrees of partial melting (~10%; cf. Plank and Langmuir 1992; Snyder et al. 1997), with 5–11% partial melting estimated for mare basalt sources (Day and Walker 2015). The similarity in Li contents between terrestrial basalts and mare basalts, as well as with eucrites and shergottites (e.g., Mittlefehldt 2005; Magna et al. 2015), implies that the Li contents of their respective planetary mantles are subequal. Some consistency therefore appears to exist in Li abundances between planets, with similar Li abundances observed between bulk-rock terrestrial peridotites and chondrites. The majority of whole-rock terrestrial peridotites and mantle-derived melts from Earth, Mars, and Vesta and the low-Ti mare basalts have δ7Li within the range of the bulk silicate Earth estimate (Fig. 4). However, a striking aspect of Li isotopes in mare basalts is that high-Ti mare basalts have systematically higher Li concentrations and are isotopically heavier than low-Ti mare basalts. These results are inconsistent with volatile depletion of Li to explain δ7Li enrichment. During volatile depletion, Li concentrations would be expected to decrease as δ7Li increases, due to kinetic isotopic fractionation, as has been observed for moderately volatile elements (Day and Moynier 2014). Similarity in Li contents for melt products from the Moon, Earth, Mars, and some asteroids implies that Li experienced limited depletion as a moderately volatile element (50% condensation temperature = 1142 K) during planetary accretion and differentiation.

Evidence for Global-Scale Isotopic Fractionation of Lithium

The new Li isotope results support significant differences in δ7Li between low- and high-Ti mare basalts, as indicated previously (Magna et al. 2006; Seitz et al. 2006). Since the same sample aliquots measured for Li in this study have also been measured for O and Fe isotopes (Spicuzza et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2010b), it is possible to examine whether there are coherent variations in Li, O, and Fe stable isotopes (Fig. 5). The δ7Li values of lunar basalts negatively correlate with 18O/16O and positively correlate with 56Fe/54Fe. These trends may reflect a source with low-

Fig. 4. Lithium abundance and isotopic compositions for basalts from Earth, Mars, the Moon, and the eucrite parent body(ies), compared with nakhlites (Mars), lunar pyroclastic glass beads, and chondrites. Basaltic rocks from Earth, Mars, the Moon, and the eucrite parent body all appear to have similar ranges in δ7Li, but are on average above chondritic materials. Data are from Seitz et al. (2004, 2006, 2007, 2012), Elliott et al. (2006), Magna et al. (2006, 2008, 2014), Tomascak et al. (2008), and this study. Gray boxes indicate the estimated compositional averages for the bulk silicate Earth (from Seitz et al. 2007). Uncertainties are generally ±1σ (2σ) for δ7Li.

δ7Li (≤4‰), low-δ56Fe (≤0.04‰), and high-δ18O (≥5.7‰) that is most effectively sampled by low-Ti mare basalts. A source with higher δ7Li (>6‰), δ56Fe (>0.18‰), and low-δ18O (<5.4‰) is required for high-Ti mare basalts. The most logical explanation for these differences is that the sources for the high- and low-Ti mare basalts were mineralogically different and that these sources experienced distinct and measurable Li, O, and Fe isotopic fractionation.

A long-term paradigm for lunar evolution has been early wholesale melting of the Moon and subsequent crystallization of a magma ocean (e.g., Smith et al. 1970; Wood et al. 1970). It has been demonstrated that progressive fractionation of mafic silicates occurred in a magma ocean until plagioclase saturation was reached at ~80% crystallization, with dense Fe-Ti oxides precipitating at ~95% crystallization (Snyder et al. 1992). Models of magma ocean stratification envisage early olivine and pyroxene cumulates, followed by a plagioclase-rich crust, formed by flotation. Under this cover, Fe-Ti oxides and late-stage incompatible-rich segregations accumulated (so-called primordial potassium, rare Earth element, phosphorous [KREEP], or urKREEP; e.g., Shearer and Papke 1999; Warren and Taylor 2014). The presence of nearly ubiquitous negative Eu anomalies in mare basalts, indicating
plagioclase extraction, and the presence of high-Ti mare basalts equilibrated at depths >200 km (below the theoretical saturation point of Fe-Ti oxides in a magma ocean scenario), have also led to modified models of magma overturn and imperfect mixing of the cumulate pile to explain the distribution of mantle sources (e.g., Elkins-Tanton et al. 2002).

Using a magma ocean crystallization model (Snyder et al. 1992), we calculate theoretical Li isotopic fractionation as a Rayleigh distillation process, with preferential partitioning of $^6$Li into crystallizing mafic silicate minerals. Due to the lack of experimentally determined fractionation factors that can be used for such a calculation, a number of assumptions have been made. First, the calculation assumes an enrichment of $^7$Li in melt relative to mineral phases during progressive fractional crystallization, leading to preferential retention of $^6$Li in the solid phase. The theoretical basis for this variation is due to higher bond strength of Li in tetrahedral coordination in the melt relative to octahedral coordination of Li in most minerals. Second, we assume that bulk mineral-melt partitioning ($D_{Li}$) varies as a function of crystallization, from close to 1 at 0% crystallization to >10 at >90% crystallization. Progressive fractionation of a magma ocean leads to an increase in the $\delta^7$Li value at $\alpha < 1$, where $\alpha$ is the equilibrium fractionation factor between melt and solid for $^7$Li/$^6$Li, assuming a bulk partition coefficient for the crystallizing minerals that is proportional to the mineral partition coefficient and the modal proportion of the mineral (Fig. 6). Under these conditions, $^{56}$Fe/$^{54}$Fe (Weyer et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2010b) has been predicted to increase and $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O to decrease (Spicuzza et al. 2007), in response to mineral-melt isotopic exchange during progressive fractional crystallization.

A key question is why this fractionation has not been observed before in basaltic systems, such as in Kilauea lava lake (Tomascak et al. 1999), or in Hekla (Schuessler et al. 2009), and whether there is evidence for preferential enrichment of $^7$Li during large-scale magmatic fractionation. During partial melting of Earth’s mantle, there are no observable equilibrium isotopic effects between melts and peridotites for Li (e.g., Rudnick and Ionov 2007; Magna et al. 2008). No fractionations that can be definitively attributed to high-temperature magmatic processes have been noted in Martian or howardite–eucrite–diogenite meteorites (Magna et al. 2014, 2015). Likewise, the evidence for equilibrium fractionation of Li isotopes between melts and crystals is not well defined. Previous work has examined Li isotopic fractionation between minerals and fluids, observing $\delta^7$Li fractionations of ~1‰ at temperatures of ~900 °C (Wunder et al. 2006). To our knowledge at present, no experimental examination of Li isotopic fractionation between melt and mineral at anhydrous conditions has been performed. Our results empirically suggest equilibrium fractionation factors between melt and solid for $^7$Li/$^6$Li of >0.996, indicating
that extensive fractional crystallization is required to produce observable effects on $\delta^7$Li.

Given these considerations, there are a number of potential reasons why Li isotopic fractionation during high-temperature igneous processes has not been previously observed. First, the role of water contents in moderating stable isotopic fractionation in magmas is not constrained, but may be important in moderating $^7$Li/$^6$Li fractionation between minerals and melt (e.g., Wunder et al. 2006). This effect may be similar to that recently proposed for fractionation of Si isotopes in lunar rocks (Poitrasson and Zambardi 2015). Anhydrous lunar magmas may preserve Li isotopic fractionation effects more readily than water-bearing terrestrial magmas. Second, the contrast in $\delta^7$Li between high- and low-Ti mare basalt may reflect the extreme scale of the differentiation process. It is possible that significant Li isotopic fractionations (exceeding typical analytical uncertainties) only become manifested in large-scale systems (i.e., global magma oceans) and at extreme degrees of fractional crystallization. The scale of the differentiation process (cf. lunar magma ocean [$>10 \times 10^{18}$ m$^3$] versus Kilauea lava lake [$44 \times 10^6$ m$^3$]) or laboratory experiments [$\ll 0.1$ m$^3$]), water content, and external analytical reproducibility are potentially important factors in recognition of high-temperature Li isotopic fractionations.

An example of such a scaling effect may be present in the lunar data. LaPaz mare basalt meteorites are interpreted to result from fractional crystallization of parental melts similar in composition to those of the low-Ti Apollo 12 mare basalts (e.g., Righter et al. 2005; Zeigler et al. 2005; Day et al. 2006; Joy et al. 2006; Rankenburg et al. 2007). The higher Li concentrations in LaPaz mare basalts, relative to Apollo 15 low-Ti mare basalts, are therefore an expected consequence of fractional crystallization of parental magmas, whereas their match in $\delta^7$Li to Apollo 15 low-Ti mare basalts supports their identity as more evolved melts from a low-Ti mare basalt source formed by magma ocean processes (Fig. 2). In contrast, studies of KREEP-rich rocks 14310 (impact-melt rock), 15445 (breccia), 65015 and 62235 (poikilitic impact melt breccias) show consistently high Li contents, but a surprisingly wide range in $\delta^7$Li (1.9–18.4‰) (Seitz et al. 2006; Magna et al. 2009). To date, no definitively “pristine” KREEP-rich basalts (e.g., 15382/15386) have been measured for $\delta^7$Li. Nonetheless, the heavy isotopic compositions of some KREEP-rich breccias are consistent with the model presented here, supporting increasing $\delta^7$Li with progressive fractional crystallization of a magma ocean.

Simple assumptions of Li isotopic fractionation during differentiation of a lunar magma ocean under a particular fractionation factor ($\alpha$) suggest that minerals crystallizing later will have higher $\delta^7$Li and, depending on their affinity for Li, higher concentrations (Fig. 6). Early-formed cumulates should have lower $\delta^7$Li than ferroan anorthosites, and in turn, late-stage Fe-Ti oxide and incompatible element-rich layers should have the highest $\delta^7$Li and Li contents. For example, ilmenite- and incompatible-element-rich cumulates, thought to form at ~95% magma ocean solidification (Snyder et al. 1992), would have $\delta^7$Li >6‰ using an initial silicate Moon composition close to the composition of chondrites. Any resolvable differences in Li isotope compositions between high- and low-Ti mare basalts would also potentially constrain the amount of remixing of Ti-rich materials into the lunar mantle, as required from phase equilibria of low- and high-Ti basalts. We have constructed models in order to estimate the proportions of ilmenite in mare basalt mantle sources, since this mineralogical indicator is a major distinction between low- and high-Ti mare basalts.

Mixing models were constructed between a primitive, early-formed cumulate reservoir (e.g., early mafic silicates responsible for low-Ti mare basalts) and late-stage lunar magma ocean crystallization products (LMOX) that experienced fractionation of Li ($\alpha$) and are rich in Fe-Ti and incompatible elements (e.g.,
LMOX can be restricted to 10–20% of high-Ti mare basalt reservoirs, assuming LMOX after 95% magma ocean crystallization, and $\alpha$ between 0.996 and 0.999. Also shown as gray stippled lines are Rayleigh fractional models, as shown in Fig. 6. Mixing between variably crystallized mantle reservoirs is required to explain the Li isotopic and elemental abundances of mare basalts. An alpha factor of unity would be consistent with fractional crystallization unaccompanied by isotopic fractionation, as indicated by fractionation typically experienced by terrestrial magmatic systems and from the difference between low-Ti Apollo 15 mare basalts and the evolved low-Ti LaPaz mare basalt meteorites. Tick marks are in 1% increments.

Planetary Magma Oceans and Chondritic Li Variations

Of the mare basalts, it is the low-Ti varieties that offer the best proxies for the lunar mantle $\delta^7\text{Li}$ composition. This assertion is supported by three primary lines of evidence. First, magma ocean differentiation models predict that the largest reservoirs in the lunar mantle are early-formed olivine and orthopyroxene cumulates from which low-Ti mare basalts derive (Snyder et al. 1992; Warren and Taylor 2014). Second, remote sensing studies of the lunar surface show a preponderance (~90%) of low-Ti mare basalts compositions over high-Ti mare basalts (Giguere et al. 2000). Third, calculated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of olivine between low-Ti mare basalts and MORB is identical (Spicuzza et al. 2007), implying similarities between the two most voluminous reservoirs accessible from partial melt products in Earth and the Moon. Planetary basalts show a similar range of Li abundances and overlap in Li isotopic compositions. On average, $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of these planetary basalts, at $\sim4\%_{\text{oo}}$, is in the range of whole-rock terrestrial mantle peridotites, but is isotopically heavier than most chondrites (Fig. 8).

Lithium isotope compositions differ between carbonaceous, ordinary, and enstatite chondrites, with CI1, CV3, and CO3 chondrites being some of the most isotopically heavy of all the chondrite groups, due to parent body aqueous alteration (e.g., Seitz et al. 2007; Sephton et al. 2004, 2013). Conversely, ordinary and enstatite chondrites, with higher petrological grade, have generally lower $\delta^7\text{Li}$, but may also have experienced modification on their parent body (e.g., Pogge von Strandmann et al. 2011). These factors potentially limit the utility of Li isotopes for comparing potential building blocks of planets; lithium’s effectiveness at tracing water-rock interactions and high-temperature fractionation effects in anhydrous bodies may limit its utility in studies of the building blocks of planets.

CONCLUSIONS

Lithium isotopic differences between low- and high-Ti mare basalts can be explained through extensive high-temperature igneous fractionation, likely during magma ocean crystallization of the Moon. The abundances and isotopic compositions of lunar, terrestrial, Martian, and vestan basalts (i.e., eucrites) are all isotopically heavier than the average for chondrites ($2.1 \pm 1.3\%_{\text{oo}}$). Assumed isotopic enrichment of $^7\text{Li}$ in melts and crystallization of a lunar magma ocean led to $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ fractionation. From these observations, it is possible to explain fractionations in Li, O, and Fe isotopes in low-Ti and high-Ti mare basalt mantle
Further analysis of KREEPyl samples for Li isotopes are also required, as the only available \( \delta^7 \text{Li} \) for KREEP has been measured on impact-modified rocks and not KREEP-rich basalts. If magma ocean crystallization led to Rayleigh-type fractionation of Li isotopes, early-formed KREEP reservoirs should be isotopically heavy. Finally, other stable isotope systems (e.g., Si, Ca, Mg, Cr, V, and Cu) may show similar effects and elucidate mechanisms of stable isotopic fractionation during high-temperature igneous processes.
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**Fig S1.** Measured Li concentration in whole rocks versus the calculated whole-rock Li concentration from modal recombination using measured Li in plagioclase, pyroxene, olivine, ilmenite, and silica in Apollo 15555, 955. The plot serves to illustrate that LaPaz and Apollo 17 mare basalt samples require a phase, or phases, with higher concentrations of Li than measured in 15555.

The identity of these phases is currently unresolved, but may include armalcolite.

**Table S1.** Data for 50 ppm standard solutions UMD-1 and IRMM 016.

**Table S2.** Li isotope and abundance data for standards BHVO-2 and JB-2.

**Table S3.** Published values for Standard Reference Materials used in this study.

**Table S4.** Summary of Li isotope and abundance data for mare basalts.