Self-organization of engineered epithelial tubules by differential cellular motility
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Abstract

Patterning of developing tissues arises from a number of mechanisms, including cell shape change, cell proliferation, and cell sorting from differential cohesion or tension. Here, we reveal that differences in cell motility can also lead to cell sorting within tissues. Using mosaic engineered mammary epithelial tubules, we found that cells sorted depending on their expression level of the membrane-anchored collagenase matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-14. These rearrangements were independent of the catalytic activity of MMP14 but absolutely required the hemopexin domain. We describe a signaling cascade downstream of MMP14 through Rho kinase that allows cells to sort within the model tissues. Cell speed and persistence time were enhanced by MMP14 expression, but only the latter motility parameter was required for sorting. These results indicate that differential directional persistence can give rise to patterns within model developing tissues.
Introduction

Extensive cellular rearrangements take place during morphogenesis, both in vivo and in culture. In their landmark 1955 study, Townes and Holtfreter demonstrated that combinations of tissues reconstituted from amphibian embryos would spontaneously sort out according to their germ layers of origin, and in some cases the final configuration resembled that of their native structures in vivo (1). Similar spontaneous sorting events re-established histological patterns from species as divergent as chickens and sponges (2). At that time, the underlying mechanism was hypothesized to be a combination of differential tissue cohesion and differential motility. Subsequent investigations revealed that differential intercellular adhesion mediated by quantitative differences in cell-surface cadherins induced sorting of embryonic cells as well as mammalian cell lines (3, 4). The differential adhesion hypothesis was originally inspired by the similarity of the sorting process to the immiscibility of liquid droplets with different surface tensions (5), a phenomenon that was also consistent with differential contraction rather than adhesion (6). Recently, numerical simulations resurrected the idea that sorting can be mediated also by differences in contractility (7, 8), and experimental analyses have suggested that differential cortical tension may contribute to sorting of the germ layers in zebrafish embryos (9, 10). Differential motility as a mechanism for sorting and self-organization of tissues has been largely ignored, except as a possible explanation for slug formation by Dictyostelium amoebae (11).

Tracking individual cells within whole organ cultures has revealed that vertebrate cells move dynamically against each other and the surrounding extracellular matrix (12–14). In the context of a 3D developing tissue, motility requires the generation of a propulsive force and, in some cases, an active proteolytic mechanism to remove steric barriers. Membrane type-1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP; also known as MMP14) binds to or cleaves multiple targets, including the zymogen form of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2; extracellular matrix proteins such as collagen, laminin, and fibronectin; and cell surface receptors including CD44 (reviewed in refs. 15 and 16). MMP14 is up-regulated also in many epithelial tumors, including those from breast, lung, and colon (17–19), and confers cancer cells with the pernicious ability to degrade and penetrate the basement membrane and metastasize to distant sites (20–23). Intriguingly, cells at the invasive front of metastatic cohorts express the highest levels of MMP14(24, 25). Understanding how the expression pattern of this protease is determined will likely yield insights into possible mechanisms of cancer progression and invasion.

Here we present evidence to suggest that cellular rearrangements generated by differential cellular motility determine the pattern of MMP14-expressing cells within a model mammary epithelial tissue. We use lithography-based culture models that mimic the architecture of mammary epithelial ductal trees to generate mammary tubules mosaic for MMP14 expression. We find that cells rearrange with respect to each other such that the subpopulation highest for MMP14 expression segregates to the ends of tubules. MMP14 levels correlate with directional persistence, which is sufficient to induce sorting in silico. Surprisingly, we find that MMP14-driven sorting is independent of its catalytic
activity and requires signaling through Rho kinase (ROCK). Cells within model tissues thus appear to organize depending on differences in their relative motilities.

Results

**MMP14-Expressing Cells Sort to the Leading Edge of Engineered Mammary Ducts**

We previously developed an engineered tissue model of the mammary epithelial duct comprised of murine mammary epithelial tubules of arbitrary geometry embedded within a 3D type I collagen gel (26). To generate these tissues, a concentrated suspension of single mammary epithelial cells is placed within micro-scale collagen cavities prepared by replica micro-molding. Initially, individual cells are randomly dispersed within the cavities (Fig. 1A). Over a period of 24 h, the cells form contacts with their neighbors, synthesize and assemble a basement membrane, and rearrange into a polarized epithelial tubule (Fig. 1B and C) (27). Despite their simplicity, these model tissues recapitulate several aspects of normal mammary histology and morphogenesis (27). Here, we found that, after the 24-h rearrangement period, the expression of MMP14 was highest in the cells located at the ends of the tubules (Fig. 1D, E). β-Galactosidase staining of tubules constructed of primary mammary epithelial cells isolated from mice heterozygous for LacZ inserted within the MMP14 gene (28) verified that MMP14 promoter activity was highest at the ends (Fig. 1F). MMP activity in general (27) and MMP14 expression in particular are necessary for later morphogenesis of these model tissues, as down-modulating MMP14 expression prevents branching (SI Materials and Methods and Fig. S1). The observed expression pattern of MMP14 could result from either localized induction or cellular rearrangements as the tubule formed. To distinguish between these 2 possibilities, we constructed mosaic tissues in which a labeled subpopulation of cells exogenously expressed higher levels of MMP14 than the endogenous population. Cells were initially randomly distributed within mosaic tissues. However, after 24 h, the MMP14<sup>hi</sup> subpopulation was restricted to the ends of the tubules (Fig. 2A–C). The number of cells per tubule did not change significantly over the time course of the experiment (24 h), indicating that the spatial segregation of the 2 populations of cells was caused by sorting rather than differential proliferation or cell death (Fig. S2). In tubules mosaic for siRNA-mediated depletion of MMP14 (siMMP14), the MMP14<sup>lo</sup> subpopulation was excluded from the ends (Fig. 2C–E). These data suggest that cellular rearrangements within the tissues are sensitive to relative variations in endogenous levels of MMP14.

**Sorting of MMP14-Expressing Cells Requires the Hemopexin Domain**

Branching morphogenesis of the model tubules requires coordination between exogenous agonists and endogenous antagonists (27). These cues are surprisingly dispensable for the patterned rearrangements of MMP14-expressing cells. We found that MMP14-induced sorting occurred independently of addition of exogenous growth factors, did not require signaling through Erk (Fig. 2F and Fig. S3), and was unaffected by the previously identified TGF-β inhibitory morphogen gradient (Fig. S4). MMP14-induced sorting was also independent of its proteolytic activity, as sorting was unaffected by treatment with
the broad-spectrum MMP inhibitor GM6001 (Fig. 2G). Furthermore, in mosaics comprised of a mutant MMP14 lacking the catalytic domain (ΔCAT), the MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells still sorted to the ends of the tubules (Fig. 2 H and I). However, in tubules mosaic for MMP14 deleted of its hemopexin domain (ΔPEX), which mediates binding to extracellular substrates and cell surface receptors (29, 30), transfected cells were sequestered to the shafts of tubules and excluded from the ends (Fig. 2 J). Importantly, these cellular rearrangements appeared to be specific to MMP14, as MMP3 was expressed evenly across the tubules, and overexpression or siRNA-mediated down-modulation of MMP3 did not induce sorting (Fig. S5).

**MMP14-Mediated Sorting Requires Signaling Through ROCK**

Proteolysis-independent cell motility has been shown to require signaling through the Rho-GTPase effector ROCK (31, 32). We found that MMP14-mediated sorting was blocked also by treatment of the tubules with the ROCK-specific inhibitor Y27632 (Fig. 3 A and B). Furthermore, tubules mosaic for constitutively active ROCK\textsuperscript{A3} exhibited sorting to the ends (Fig. 3 C). Conversely, tubules mosaic for dominant negative ROCK\textsuperscript{KDIA} exhibited sorting to the shafts (Fig. 3D). Therefore, ROCK mutant mosaics phenocopy MMP14 mosaics, suggesting that the MMP14 effect is mediated in part by signaling through ROCK. In support of this hypothesis, we found that over-expression of MMP14 resulted in a doubling of the activity of Lim kinase (LIMK), a downstream effector of ROCK, as measured by its relative phosphorylation (Fig. 3E). Conversely, down-modulating MMP14 with siRNA resulted in a modest decrease in LIMK activity (Fig. 3E). Mosaic tubules constructed by simultaneously over-expressing both MMP14 and ROCK\textsuperscript{KDIA}, or siMMP14 and ROCK\textsuperscript{A3}, revealed that ROCK was dominant over MMP14 (Fig. S6), confirming that MMP14-mediated sorting was a result of signaling through ROCK.

The PEX domain of MMP14 can induce cancer cell motility in 2D cultures by activating signaling through Rho GTPases, and the cell surface hyaluronic receptor, CD44, has been postulated to play a role in this process (33). MMP14 interacts with CD44 via its PEX domain (30). Furthermore, CD44 has been shown to associate with—and activate signaling through—Rho family GTPases in a number of different cell types (34–37). We found that CD44 was highly expressed at the ends of the tubules and that downmodulating CD44 by siRNA (siCD44) prevented sorting of MMP14 mosaics (Fig. S7). Furthermore, mosaic tubules constructed by over-expressing CD44 or siCD44 phenocopied tubules mosaic for MMP14 over-expression or siMMP14, respectively; CD44-mediated sorting required expression of the MMP14 PEX domain, was inhibited by simultaneous treatment with Y27632 (Fig. S7) and dominated by co-transfection with the ROCK mutants (Fig. S8). Consistent with these data, we found that modulating the level of CD44 altered signaling through ROCK-LIMK (Fig. S7). MMP14 therefore appears to elicit cell sorting in model tissues by signaling through ROCK via association with CD44.
MMP14-Mediated Sorting Involves Differential Cellular Motility

Time-lapse spinning disk confocal analysis confirmed that the MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} subpopulation sorted to the ends of the tubules (Fig. 4A). To track individual cells within the engineered tissues, we developed a line of mammary epithelial cells that stably expressed nuclear localization sequence (NLS)-tagged YFP. NLS-YFP cells formed tubules and underwent branching morphogenesis identical to controls (data not shown). For mosaic tubules, MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells were also tagged with CFP. Tracking individual cells within engineered tissues in both YFP and CFP channels demonstrated that MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells moved significantly faster (50% increase) and with greater persistence time (600% increase) than either WT cells or vector-transfected controls (Fig. 4B–E). These differences disappeared upon treatment with Y27632 (Fig. 4B–E), suggesting that differential cell motility was responsible for sorting of the tissues. Other mechanisms of sorting, such as differential adhesion, rely on mutual envelopment of cell types through cell-cell cohesion; reducing the number of MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells would thus prevent sorting via differential adhesion (38). To distinguish between the various mechanisms, we engineered tissues with limiting numbers of randomly located MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells and found that they still sorted, suggesting that differential adhesion was not involved (Fig. 4F).

Do differences in cell motility alone lead to sorting? To address this question, we developed an agent-based model of the engineered tissues, comprised of 2 populations of cells each with characteristic speed and persistence time. Cells were initially randomly distributed within \textit{in silico} tissues (Fig. 5A). We found that sorting occurred with differential persistence time; differential speed alone (within physiologically relevant limits) did not induce cell sorting, but did influence the time scale of the process. The agent-based model predicted that, given 2 populations of cells with differential motility parameters, rate of sorting would scale linearly with length of tissue; that is, short tissues should sort faster than long tissues (Fig. 5B). We tested this \textit{in silico}-generated hypothesis experimentally by engineering mosaic tissues of short (200\,\mu m) and long (500\,\mu m) geometry, and found that long tissues indeed required approximately 2.5 times as long to sort (Fig. 5C). These results indicate that MMP14-mediated cell sorting depends primarily on differential persistence time.

Discussion

This study examines the role of the collective dynamics of individual cells in generating patterns within model tissues. Cellular rearrangements are well accepted as being fundamental to embryonic development. During vertebrate gastrulation, distinct germ layers are formed by sorting of different types of progenitor cells. Cell sorting and tissue organization may result from a number of mechanisms, including differential intercellular adhesion (3, 4) and cortical tension (6, 7, 10). The data presented here demonstrate that differential cellular motility, specifically differential persistence time, can also give rise to distinct patterns of cellular arrangement. The sorting behavior of populations of cells with differences in persistence is akin to separations that result from differences in
diffusion coefficients. The MMP14$^{hi}$ cells move in a directed manner, with greater
directional persistence, and thus further over the same period; their increased persistence
manifests as a reduced propensity to turn, so when they reach the limits of the tissue, they
tend to stay there. The concept that differential motility could drive cell sorting within
and between vertebrate tissues was proposed long ago by others (1, 39), but to our
knowledge has never before been experimentally demonstrated. Sorting mediated by
differential motility appears distinct from that mediated by differential adhesion: as
predicted by Steinberg (38), tissues can sort via differential motility even if one
population is limiting, although the number of MMP14$^{hi}$ cells influences the kinetics of
the sorting process (Fig. S9), suggesting that the rate at which a tissue sorts depends on
the product of the rates at which each MMP14$^{hi}$ cell moves toward the end. Furthermore,
sorting by differential motility depends on tissue properties. The size, geometry, and
boundary conditions of a tissue determine the final location of sorted cells and time scales
of the sorting process.

Here, we uncovered cell sorting via differential motility using an engineered tissue model
of the mammary epithelium. Mammary epithelial cells sort into end-regions of
engineered tubules that are high for MMP14 expression, and trunk regions that are lower
for MMP14 expression (Fig. 5D). MMP14 expression had no effect on E-cadherin
transcript levels or protein distribution within the tissues (Fig. S10), again consistent with
a sorting mechanism distinct from differential adhesion. MMP14 expression increases
both cell speed and persistence, with a much greater increase (50% vs. _600%) in
the latter. Agent-based modeling suggests that the increase in persistence time is
sufficient for sorting to occur. In theory, an increase in speed alone could also lead to sorting as long as the persistence length (i.e., the product of speed and persistence time)
was comparable to the length of the tubule. However, the required median cell speeds
(≥100 μm/h) are far greater than the median 3D migration speeds typically reported for
normal or transformed mammary epithelial cells (≈10–20 μm/h), so it is very unlikely
that differential cell speed could suffice for sorting. Recently, MMP14 expression was
found to correlate with directional persistence in individual glioblastoma cells within 3D
collagen gels (40) and with cell speed and polarized migration during zebrafish
gastrulation (41). Persistence could not be separated from the proteolytic function of
MMP14 in either of these experimental systems, although the latter showed a link
between MMP14 and non-canonical Wnt signaling. Our results assign a novel proteolysis-
independent role for MMP14 signaling to cellular persistence, although MMP-
independent proteolytic mechanisms may be involved.

We show that MMP14-mediated cell sorting requires the hemopexin domain, which is
also essential for MMP14-mediated cellular invasion through collagen (42) and for
binding to molecules including CD44 (30). MMP14 and CD44 expression appear to be
co-regulated in vivo, correlating with acquisition of a migratory mesenchymal phenotype
and reduced time to metastasis in human breast cancers (43). Here, MMP14 and CD44
are both required for cell sorting, activating signaling, and increasing cell motility
through ROCK. Cells at the ends of the tubules therefore express the highest levels of
MMP14, CD44, and active ROCK. This mechanism may explain why MMP14-
expressing cells segregate to the leading edge of metastatic cohorts, as a similar spatial requirement for ROCK activity has been uncovered recently in the collective invasion of cohorts of squamous carcinoma cells (44). It is tempting to speculate that directed migration and invasion of cancer cell collectives depends on sorting by differential motility. The mechanisms by which ROCK controls speed and persistence in mammary epithelial cells are unknown. In other systems, ROCK reorganizes the cytoskeleton, causing stress fiber formation in part through activation of actomyosin contractility (45) and front-rear polarization through activation of PTEN (46). Both could lead to increased motility (47). A complete understanding of patterning of the mammary gland and other organs—as well as engineered tissues and cancer collectives—will require determining how genetic programs (48, 49) and physical and geometric factors (27, 50) interact to regulate cellular rearrangements.

Are quantitative differences in cell motility actually used by developing tissues to control morphogenesis? Few experimental studies have been designed to answer this question, but recent results from a number of systems suggest a possible role for differential motility in tissue patterning. Time-lapse analyses of intact (13) and reconstituted (51) embryonic salivary epithelium and pubertal mammary epithelium (12) have revealed self-organizing dynamics amongst the cell populations. Salivary epithelial cells aggregate in culture and rearrange to form a branching tissue with a histology remarkably similar to that of the intact salivary gland (51); motility differences have been noted for the various epithelial cell types of this tissue (13). In vivo results consistent with the differential motility hypothesis are primarily limited to investigations of chemotaxis. Cells that express the highest levels of FGF receptor in the Drosophila trachea have a chemotactic advantage, allowing them to segregate to the tips of invading branches and to lead the growing branch to localized sources of FGF (52). Collective decisions based on individual differences in the strength of receptor signaling have also been observed in morphogenesis of Drosophila air sacs (53) and egg chambers (54). In the latter, uniform activation of EGF receptor in the border cells results in female infertility by impairing directed migration toward the oocyte (54, 55). Our data suggest that these cellular rearrangements may be driven in part by cell sorting via differential persistence and are not necessarily limited to chemotaxis per se. Recent technological advances in imaging in live animals (56, 57) should help to shed light on this possible mechanism of cell sorting during morphogenesis in vivo.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Reagents

Functionally normal EpH4 mouse mammary epithelial cells (58) were cultured in 1:1 DMEM/F12, 2% FBS, 5 μg/mL insulin, and 50 μg/mL gentamycin (Sigma). Primary epithelial organoids consisting mainly of luminal epithelial and myoepithelial cells were prepared from 10-week-old virgin MMP14+/lacZ C57BL/6 mice (28) as previously described (59). Microfabricated organoids were grown in DMEM/F12 supplemented with ITS and penicillin/streptomycin. For mosaic overexpression studies, EpH4 cells were transiently co-transfected with mouse MMP14, deletion mutants of mouse MMP14...
created by PCR, mouse CD44, or ROCK mutants and YFP or YFP alone using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) 1 d before micro-fabrication. For mosaic knockdown studies, predesigned siRNA sequences (Ambion) were verified for specific knockdown by at least 80% by quantitative RT-PCR, and cotransfected with YFP using Lipofectamine 2000 1 d before micro-fabrication. A clonal line of EpH4 cells which stably expressed NLS-YFP was selected and established using growth medium containing hygromycin. Tissues were treated with the following reagents diluted to the concentrations indicated in the text: GM6001, Y27632, and PD98059 (all from Calbiochem).

Micro-Fabricated Tubules

Micro-fabricated cultures of epithelial cells embedded within collagen gels were formed by replica micro-molding as previously described (26, 27). Briefly, patterned elastomeric stamps of polydimethylsiloxane (i.e., Sylgard 184) rendered non-adhesive by coating with a 1% solution of BSA in PBS solution were placed on a drop of liquid neutralized collagen (4 mg/mL; ICN) at 37 °C until gelation. After removing stamps, a concentrated suspension of EpH4 cells or primary organoids was allowed to settle within the micromolded collagen cavities. Excess cells were rinsed away with culture medium, leaving 65±12 cells per cavity, and a second layer of collagen gel was gently placed on top of the pattern.

Reverse Transcription Followed by Real-Time PCR Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from cells by using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized by using SuperScript III first strand synthesis kit (Invitrogen) from equal amounts of RNA. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis was performed with the Lightcycler System using the Lightcycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I kit (Roche). Amplification was followed by melting curve analysis to verify the presence of a single PCR product.

Imaging and Statistical Analysis

Samples were fixed, stained for nuclei with Hoechst 33258 (Invitrogen), and visualized using an Axiovert Mrm CCD camera attached to a Zeiss Axiovert 200 microscope. Total cumulative data were represented by stacking in registration binarized images of YFP signal from 50 samples, obtaining relative pixel frequency with Scion Image software, and color-coding images in Adobe Photoshop. All experiments were conducted at least 3 times. For immunofluorescence analysis of MMP14, MMP3, and CD44, samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.05% Triton X-100, and blocked in 5% goat serum. Antibodies against MMP14 (Chemicon), MMP3 (Chemicon), or CD44 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were diluted in 5% goat serum, applied to samples overnight, and removed by extensive washing in blocking buffer. Samples were incubated overnight with secondary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer, washed extensively, and visualized as described earlier.
β-Galactosidase Staining

Transgenic mice carrying the LacZ gene under control of the MMP14 promoter were used (28). Tubules of primary cells from 12-weekold heterozygous mice (+/-) were collected 24 h after construction in ice-cold PBS solution and fixed for 15 min at room temperature in fix solution (2% formaldehyde, 0.2% glutaraldehyde, 0.02% Nonidet P-40, and 0.01% sodium deoxycholate in PBS solution). After fixation, samples were rinsed several times in PBS solution and then stained overnight at 37 °C in the dark with stain solution (5mM potassium ferricyanide, 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 1 mg/ml X-gal, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.02% Nonidet P-40, and 0.01% sodium deoxycholate in PBS solution).

Real-Time Microscopy

For real-time imaging, tubules were constructed of EpH4 cells that stably expressed NLS-YFP. Time-lapse movies were collected using a Stanford Photonics XR/Mega-10 ICCD camera attached to a Zeiss Axiovert S100 microscope customized with a Yokogawa spinning disk (Solamere Technology Group) and fitted with a humidified environmental chamber held at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Confocal stacks of 20 to 25 images (2 μm thick) were acquired using a Plan Apo 20 x 0.4 NA objective every 15 min beginning at 2 h after initial micro-fabrication for a total of 20 h. Movies were assembled and cells tracked in 3D using ImarisTrack (Bitplane). The average speed (S) and mean-squared displacements (<d²(t)>) of individual cells were used to calculate time of directional persistence (P) by fitting to the persistent random walk model (60):

\[ <d^2(t)> = 2S^2P[t - P(1 - e^{-t/P})] \]

Western Blotting

Samples were lysed using modified RIPA buffer (50mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate containing 1.5mM MgCl2, 1mM EGTA, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.25mM Na3VO4, 100 mM NaF, and proteinase inhibitor mixture). Samples were mixed with Laemmli sample buffer, heated at 95 °C for 5min, resolved by SDS/PAGE, and transferred to nitrocellulose. Membranes were blocked in milk and incubated overnight at 4 °C in 5% BSA, 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS solution containing antibodies specific to phosphorylated LIMK or total LIMK (Cell Signaling Technology). Primary antibodies were detected with the Pierce SuperSignal detection kit and signal was captured with the FluorChem 8900 analysis system (Alpha Innotech).

Agent-Based Modeling

Cell dynamics simulations were performed by using NetLogo 4.0 (http://ccl.northwestern.edu/netlogo). The simulation environment consisted of a cylindrical space representing the collagen cavities. Two populations of cells, MMP14hi (green) and MMP14lo (white), were randomly placed in the simulated cavities to mimic the starting conditions of the tissue. The three parameters that could be measured in the
culture experiments were duration of culture, cell speed, and directional persistence. These were matched to the 3 parameters that could be varied in silico, which were number of time steps, distance moved per time step, and random rotation at each time step.
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Cell rearrangement and differential expression of MMP14 in engineered Mammary epithelial tubules. Phase-contrast images of model tissues at 0 (A), 6 (B), and 24 h (C) after construction. Immunofluorescence analysis of MMP14 in one tubule (D) and quantification of immunofluorescence intensity from 50 tubules (E) represented as a frequency map. (F) MMP14 promoter activity, as determined by β-galactosidase staining, in 50 micro-fabricated organoids, quantified and represented as a frequency map. (Scale bars, 50 μm.)
FIGURE 2

Tubules mosaic for MMP14 spontaneously self-organize. Frequency map quantifying location of YFP-expressing cells co-transfected with control vector (A) and mouse MMP14 (B). (C) Quantitative RT/PCR analysis for MMP14 expression in cells transfected with control vector, MMP14, siRNA control (si control), and siRNA against MMP14 (siMMP14), normalized to levels of 18S rRNA. Frequency maps quantifying location of YFP-expressing cells co-transfected with siRNA control (si control) (D) and siRNA against MMP14 (siMMP14) (E). Sorting does not require signaling through Erk or MMP proteolytic activity: frequency maps quantifying location of YFP-expressing cells co-transfected with MMP14 and treated with MEK inhibitor PD98059 (F) or broad-spectrum MMP inhibitor GM6001 (40 μM) (G). (H) Diagram of MMP14 constructs with the catalytic domain deleted (∆CAT) or the hemopexin domain deleted (∆PEX). Frequency maps quantifying location of YFP-expressing cells co-transfected with ∆CAT (I) or ∆PEX (J) demonstrate that sorting requires the hemopexin domain but is independent of the catalytic domain of MMP14. (Scale bars, 50 μm.)
MMP14-mediated sorting requires signaling through ROCK. Frequency maps quantifying location of YFP-expressing cells co-transfected with MMP14 and treated with vehicle (A) or the ROCK inhibitor Y27632 (10μM) (B). MMP14 sorting is phenocopied by ROCK, as shown in frequency maps of tubules mosaic for constitutively active ROCK^Δ3 (C) or dominant negative ROCK^KDIA (D). MMP14 activates ROCK signaling, as shown in E Western blots for phosphorylated LIMK (pLIMK) and total LIMK. (Scale bars, 50 μm.)
MMP14 expression causes sorting by increasing cell motility. (A) Montage of 5 time points (among ≈90 frames over 20 h) of a single z-section in one mosaic tubule. Shown is the MMP14\textsuperscript{hi}/CFP channel. Dashed red line indicates region of tubule. (B) Average speed of individual control cells, MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells, and control and MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells in tubules treated with the ROCK inhibitor Y27632. (C) Distribution of cell speed among populations in B. (D) Persistence time of individual control cells, MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells, and control and MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells in tubules treated with the ROCK inhibitor Y27632. (E) Distribution of persistence time among populations in D. (F) Graph of cell sorting as a function of MMP14\textsuperscript{hi} cells within the tubule. For B, D, and F, error bars indicate SEM of 3 independent experiments. For C and E, edges represent 25th and 75th percentiles and error bars represent 10th and 90th percentiles. **P<0.005 vs. controls, as determined by t test.
Differential persistence leads to sorting of tissues \textit{in silico}. (A) Simulations of cell sorting in mosaic tubules comprised of control cells (white) and MMP14$^{\text{hi}}$ cells (green). Speed ($S$) and persistence ($P$) were varied independently. (B) Average relative time for 20 simulated tissues to sort as a function of their length. (C) Experimental validation of simulation results, shown as percent of cell sorting as a function of time for short (200 $\mu$m) and long (500 $\mu$m) mosaic tubules. (D) Schematic of sorting within mammary epithelial tubules. Cells with highest levels of MMP14 and CD44 expression have highest levels of ROCK activity and move to the ends of tubules, regions competent for branching. Error bars indicate SEM of 3 independent experiments.