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ABSTRACT

Hydrogen bubbles evolved cathodically under conditions encountered in
electrochemical machining have been studied by stop-motion photography.
Constant current densities up to 150 A/cm² and flow rates up to 2500 cm/sec
have been employed with an experimental flow channel of 0.5 mm gap width.
The observed bubble size decreased strongly with increasing flow rate and
increased with increasing current density. At flow rates above 800 cm/sec,
the bubble size was always below 20 μ, the smallest diameter resolved by
the optical arrangement used. Less gas was evolved in nitrate than in
chloride electrolytes under otherwise identical conditions. The hydrogen
bubbles were usually confined to a region near the cathode. Voltage
oscillations and electric breakdown coincided with the appearance of a
new type of bubble.

+Present Address: University of California
Department of Engineering
Los Angeles, California 90024
INTRODUCTION

In electrochemical machining, metals are dissolved anodically at current densities in the order of 100 A/cm² or higher. Hydrogen gas is formed cathodically and must be transported away from the reaction zone by the electrolyte stream. This hydrogen may affect the electrolytic process in several ways: It may increase the ohmic resistance of the electrolyte, resulting in higher cell voltage and different local current distribution. It may be oxidized at the anode and thus, decrease the current efficiency of the metal dissolution process. It may form a continuous gas blanket at the cathode and thus, lead to sparking. It may accumulate in large bubbles, which extend over the entire inter-electrode gap, and thus, drastically affect mass transfer conditions at the anode. In order to obtain a better understanding of the relative importance of such phenomena, a photographic study of cathodically generated gas bubbles was initiated. During the course of this pursuit, somewhat related work has appeared in the literature.¹ ² In the present investigation, a more sophisticated optical arrangement has been employed and a better control and wider range of critical variables, such as current density and flow velocity have been employed in addition to the use of a well-defined flow system.

EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

The apparatus used has been described before.³ It consisted of a rectangular flow channel cell of 8 mm width and 0.5 mm height. Its sidewalls were made of flat glass plates, which provided for the optical observation of the inter-electrode gap. The total channel length was 8.5 cm, the center of the electrodes was positioned 1 cm from the downstream end. The design of the flow cell provided for fully developed
velocity profiles at the electrodes. Flow rates up to 2500 cm/sec were employed, corresponding to inlet pressures in the order of 10 atm. Since most of the pressure drop occurs in the entrance length of the flow channel, the absolute pressure at the electrodes, even at the highest flow rate employed, was only about 2 atm. Although, under these conditions, the effect of pressure on the gas volume has to be taken into account, at flow rates up to 1000 cm/sec, where most observations were made, the absolute pressure at the electrodes was only 1 to 1.3 atm, and its effect on bubble size was negligible within the accuracy of the present measurements. The copper electrodes were 3.17 mm long in the flow direction and 0.53 mm wide. Before experiments, the electrode surfaces were mechanically polished with 1 μ diamond paste, cleaned with aqueous detergent and acetone and degreased by hydrogen evolution in aqueous caustic.

The optical arrangement is shown schematically in Fig. 1*: A commercial flash light source (A) of 0.5 μ sec flash duration** was used for illumination of the gas bubbles generated in the flow cell (B) in transmitted light mode. A camera (H) with open shutter and high speed film*** was attached to a microscope tube containing a 2x objective (C) (f = 48 mm, N.A. = 0.08) and a 10x eyepiece (E). A small circular

* Design considerations of the optical system will be discussed elsewhere in more detail.

** EG & G 594 microflash

*** Kodak SO 340
aperture (D) of 1.6 mm diameter, located in the rear focal plane of the objective (C) served as a telecentric stop. The purpose of this device is to avoid variations in the apparent size of bubbles due to differing distances from the objective and to increase the depth of field. Unfortunately, the telecentric stop also reduces the speed and resolution of the objective, the latter being about 20 μm. In order to obtain a photographic distinction between the electrode surface and an adjacent, dense layer of gas bubbles, a pre-exposure in the absence of bubbles was made at reduced light intensity. In a typical experimental run, constant current was applied to the cell for a short period of time by switching the output of a constant current supply* from a dummy circuit to the cell circuit. A mercury relay, actuated by a pulse generator, was used for the switching. The circuit also served to trigger the flash light source after a pre-set time, which was chosen to correspond to the passage of 12 coulomb/cm². The current was switched back to the dummy load automatically after the total passage of 15 coulomb/cm². The charge passed between the start of an experiment and the moment the picture was taken, resulted in the evolution of about 1.5 cm³ hydrogen (1 atm, 298°K) per cm² electrode. This was considered sufficient to establish steady state conditions with respect to gas evolution. During the experiments, current and potentials were recorded by means of a light beam oscillograph.

* Electronic measurements C-618
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Bubble Size

Typical photographs obtained in 2 N KCl solution at different flow rates and current densities are given in Figs. 2 to 4. Figure 2 illustrates the influence of flow rate and cathode orientation on bubble size for a current density of 50 A/cm². An estimate of the size distribution of the bubbles for the same experiments is given in Fig. 5. These distributions were obtained by measuring the diameter of all the bubbles which were individually discernible in the whole inter-electrode gap, and determining the fraction in each size bracket of 25 microns width. The quantitative validity of the distributions given in Fig. 5 is limited for several reasons: (1) The number of measured gas bubbles (27-60) represent only part of the total gas volume and the differentiation between discernible and not discernible bubbles is subject to personal interpretation. (2) The variability of results in successive experiments probably requires a more sophisticated statistical evaluation. (3) Bubbles close to the cathode surface were usually not individually discernible and could, therefore, not be included in the count. (4) Bubbles smaller than 20μ in diameter were below the optical resolution. Qualitatively, however, Fig. 5 illustrates not only the order of magnitude of cathodically generated bubbles, but it also shows the decrease in size with increasing flow rates: the median bubble diameters are 99, 69, and 35μ for flow rates of 100, 200 and 400 cm/sec, respectively. It should also be noted that at the lower flow rates (below 400 cm/sec), a few large bubbles often existed in addition to a large number of smaller ones. It was observed during the experiments that these large bubbles were sticking to the cathode surface for prolonged periods of time while the smaller bubbles detached from areas in between. Figure 5 also
shows that the orientation of the cathode, i.e. whether it is facing up or down, has no systematic effect on size distribution, except at the lowest flow rate.

Figure 3 illustrates gas evolution at high flow rates. The size of the generated bubbles decreases rapidly with increasing velocity in this range and the size distribution becomes narrower, so that the previously observed co-existence of few large bubbles with smaller ones disappears. At flow rates of 800 cm/sec or higher, all individual bubbles were too small (below 20\(\mu\)) to be resolved photographically.

The effect of current density on bubble size is illustrated in Figs. 4 and 6. A clear increase in bubble size is observed with increasing current density under otherwise identical conditions: the median bubble diameters are 56, 78 and 99\(\mu\) for current densities of 5, 20 and 50 A/cm\(^2\), respectively. These observations are in marked contrast to findings reported by Venczel,\(^5\) who studied gas evolution at vertical electrodes in stagnant solutions and reported a decrease in bubble size with increasing current density. The discrepancy might possibly be explained by the different modes of convection. A bubble may be assumed to detach from the surface when external forces, such as gravity or friction with the moving liquid, become larger than the normal component of the surface tension. Since in a stagnant solution, the rate of stirring is increased when more gas is generated, higher current densities may lead to an earlier detachment of gas bubbles. In our experiments, on the other hand, local stirring was mainly due to the hydrodynamic flow and was, therefore, almost independent of current density. A decrease, rather than an increase, in bubble size with increasing current density would also be expected from the work of Kabanov and Frumkin.\(^6\) They showed that the contact angle, which
determines the normal component of surface tension, depends on electrode potential. For very slow hydrogen evolution on mercury, smaller contact angles and, hence, smaller bubbles were observed at increasingly negative potentials.

Force balances have been applied to the prediction of bubble size in forced convection boiling. Such a force balance for an individual bubble may be formulated as

\[ F_0 = F_i + F_b \]  

where \( F_0 \) is the surface tension force which holds the bubble on the electrode, \( F_i \) is the inertia force of the electrolyte acting on the bubble and \( F_b \) is the buoyancy force of the bubble. The latter can be neglected at high flow velocities. According to Tong, a model of this kind predicts a decrease in bubble size with the square of the flow velocity. Such a dependence is at least qualitatively consistent with the present results. Relation (1) does, however, not account for the influence of current density and the wide distribution of bubble diameters observed at low flow rates. This distribution is at least partly due to the fact that the presence of other bubbles on the surface leads to locally varying flow conditions. Considering the fact that not even the dynamics of a single bubble, growing in a laminar velocity field, has been analyzed, no attempt has been made here to give a more detailed account of the vastly more complex case of multiple bubble dynamics in turbulent flow. Additional experimental studies should include the time-dependence of bubble growth for a more detailed description of gas evolution under the present conditions in turbulent flow.

Glas and Westwater have investigated electrochemical gas evolution in stagnant solutions by high speed cinematography. They found two growth stages; an early rapid growth period, associated with bubble diameters of up to
about 50μ, followed by a slow period. Theoretical aspects of growth mechanisms have been discussed by Cheh, who applied mass transfer considerations to predict growth rates in the slow (asymptotic) growth period. No theoretical models exist at present which predict growth rate in the rapid growth period. Since, in our study, the bubble size was often smaller than 50μ, growth rate and residence time on the cathode surface could not be estimated on theoretical grounds.

**Thickness of Two Phase Region**

Figs. 2 to 4 illustrate the observation that the gas bubbles are not dispersed uniformly throughout the gap, but usually occupy a region near the cathode. At flow rates above 100 cm/sec, the thickness of this two-phase region is the same whether the cathode faces up or down. This behavior is to be expected, since at higher flow rates a gas bubble, unless it is very large, is swept away from the inter-electrode gap too fast to be affected by buoyancy. From Stoke's law, which may be applied to bubbles of less than 1 mm diameter, the steady state velocity due to buoyancy, can be described by eq. (2).

\[
v = \frac{g \rho d^2}{18\eta}
\]

(2)

where \( g \) = gravitational constant, \( \rho \) = difference in density of gas and liquid, \( d \) = bubble diameter, \( \eta \) = viscosity of liquid. Assuming an electrolyte flow velocity of 400 cm/sec and a bubble diameter of 50μ, the vertical distance traveled by the bubble due to gravity during the time needed to pass over a 3 mm long electrode is only 1.2μ.
In order to account for the observed thickness of the two-phase region, unusually high velocities perpendicular to the flow direction are required. In Fig. 3c for example (100 A/cm\(^2\), 1000 cm/sec), bubbles are found up to a distance of approximately 0.3 mm from the cathode surface. A bubble moving steadily outward from the leading edge of the electrode would need an average velocity perpendicular to the cathode of 100 cm/sec to reach this position at the downstream end of the electrode. This motion away from the cathode surface is aided by the turbulence in the liquid. Such an effect is suggested by the irregular shape of the two-phase region, which can show large variations in local thickness (Fig. 3). It is interesting to note, however, that the average thickness seems to increase little downstream from the middle of the cathode. If turbulent mixing were the only mechanism determining the spreading of the two-phase region, we would rather expect a steady increase of its average thickness in the flow direction. It is, therefore, possible that other mechanisms contribute to the dispersion of gas bubbles. An example is the "rapid fire mechanism" mentioned by Glas and Westwater, who observed that in stagnant solution, bubbles were frequently ejected from the electrode at a high velocity. This phenomenon is, at present, not well understood. The relatively smaller increase of the two-phase region in the downstream part might also be ascribed to a lower current density in this region, caused by the higher gas fraction and the associated higher ohmic resistance in the electrolyte. Dissolution of gas in the electrolyte furnishes another possible explanation for the same phenomenon. Indeed, a simple estimation shows that, under most conditions, all the gas generated could be dissolved in
the total electrolyte volume passing through the gap. For example, assuming a current density of 100 A/cm², 8 x 10⁻⁶ moles of hydrogen are produced per second in our cell, while, at a flow rate of 1000 cm/sec, 40 cm³ of electrolyte are flowing through it. A homogeneous dissolution of all the generated hydrogen would result in a concentration of 2 x 10⁻⁴ mole/liter, which is of the same order as the saturation concentration of hydrogen in potassium chloride solutions. However, the direct dissolution of all cathodically generated gas without the formation of bubbles is, in general, not possible because the concentration gradients available under typical flow conditions are too small to account for the transport of dissolved hydrogen by convective diffusion. This is the case even under the assumption of a 1000 fold supersaturation at the electrode surface.

A rapid dissolution of initially formed gas bubbles could provide an alternate route to a homogeneous solution. This process would also affect the measured gas bubble diameters. Such a re-dissolution of gas bubbles would be similar to the behavior of vapor bubbles generated in a supercooled liquid under forced convection. Gunther has shown that such bubbles became smaller and eventually disappeared upon moving downstream. The time required to dissolve 50% of the volume of a bubble of 50µ diameter can be estimated as follows: If we assume saturation concentration of the gas at the gas-liquid interface under constant pressure, and zero gas concentration in the bulk solution, the concentration difference driving force will be given by the saturation concentration, say 5 x 10⁻⁴ mole/liter. For a constant mass flux per unit area of a spherical bubble, the decrease in volume may then be expressed by eq. (3)
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = -A \cdot k \cdot C_{\text{sat}} \cdot V^{2/3}
\]  

(3)

with \(A = \frac{RT}{P} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{3}{4\pi} \right)^{2/3} \), \(C_{\text{sat}}\) = saturation concentration, \(k\) = mass transfer coefficient (cm/sec), \(V\) = bubble volume. Integration leads to eq. (4)

\[
3(V^{1/3} - V_0^{1/3}) = A \cdot k \cdot C_{\text{sat}} \cdot t
\]

(4)

where \(V_0\) = initial bubble volume. The time \(t_{1/2}\) in which the volume is reduced to \(1/2\) \(V_0\) is

\[
t_{1/2} = \frac{2.38 \cdot V_0^{1/3}}{A \cdot k \cdot C_{\text{sat}}}
\]

(5)

With an arbitrarily assumed mass transfer coefficient of \(10^{-1}\) cm/sec* one obtains \(t_{1/2} \approx 1\) sec for 1 atm, 298°K. This time is almost four orders of magnitude larger than the residence time of a bubble moving at 1000 cm/sec between the electrodes (3 x \(10^{-4}\) sec). The redissolution of gas is, therefore, too slow to produce a homogeneous gas solution between the electrodes or even to measurably affect the diameter of bubbles in our photographs.

* Since the relative motion between bubble and solution is not known, the mass transfer coefficient \(k\) has to be assumed arbitrarily. The value chosen is a maximum guess. It corresponds to the figure estimated for the electrode-solution interface at the highest flow rates employed.
Influence of Electrolyte

Fig. 7 illustrates the surprising observation that less gas was produced at the cathode in KNO\textsubscript{3} than in KCl solutions, under otherwise identical conditions. The relative volume of the two-phase region in the interelectrode gap, shown in Fig. 8\textdagger has been obtained by planimetry of the areas occupied by the dispersed gas in the photographs. Since the solubility of hydrogen is about the same in both electrolytes\textsuperscript{11}, the observed difference must be due to other than differences in solubility. For example, the same amount of hydrogen could appear to be smaller in nitrate solutions due to a more finely dispersed form. A more likely explanation is that, due to the reduction of nitrate at the cathode, only part of the current is used for hydrogen evolution. In the present flow apparatus, the total amount of evolved hydrogen could not be determined, but some experiments were performed in stagnant solutions of KNO\textsubscript{3} and KCl at 5 A/cm\textsuperscript{2}. They confirmed that a much smaller volume of hydrogen was produced in nitrate than in chloride solution. A more quantitative investigation of cathodic reactions in nitrate solutions has been initiated. The effect of different electrolytes on the amount of cathodically formed gas was further investigated by use of chlorate and sulfate solutions. Both, photographs taken in the flow system and volumetric measurements in stagnant solutions, indicated that, within the experimental accuracy, the same amount of gas was generated in these electrolytes as in the chloride solution.

Potential measurements under high current density conditions are dominated by large ohmic drops in the electrolyte between the working electrode and the tip of the reference electrode capillary.\textsuperscript{3} The apparent cathode potentials, thus determined at constant current density,
provide an indirect measure of electrolyte conductivity and, consequently, gas volume fraction. Apparent cathode potential measurements are given in Fig. 9. It can be seen that a rapid increase of potential with decreasing flow rate, indicating the presence of a substantial volume fraction of gas in the electrode gap, occurs at a higher flow rate in chloride than in nitrate solutions. This shift is consistent with the evolution of a larger gas volume in the first electrolyte.

DISCUSSION

Ohmic Resistance

Since one of the purposes of this study was to define the effect of cathodically generated gas on the electrolyte resistance, the question remains, under which conditions this effect is significant. For the controlled current operation of these experiments, an increase in the ohmic resistance of the electrolyte results in an increase in overall cell voltage. A marked increase in cell voltage, which is observed with decreasing flow rate in 2N KCl (Fig. 10), can be attributed primarily to cathodic phenomena, since anode potentials did not vary by more than 1 to 4 volts for different flow rates. This increase in cell voltage occurs at flow rates which rise with increasing current density and parallels the onset of voltage fluctuations. Although the qualitative influence of gas bubbles on cell voltage is thus strongly indicated, it has not been possible to derive a simple quantitative relation between the gas volume fraction and the cell voltage, which would hold for different current densities. The difficulty may, in part, be due to the fact that the gas was not homogeneously dispersed, neither in the direction normal nor parallel to the electrodes. The bubbles which have been observed to stick to the cathode
surface at flow rates below 400 cm/sec further complicate the situation. Cole and Hopenfeld\(^1\) have also investigated the influence of gas bubbles on cell voltage under ECM conditions. They accounted for the effect of the dispersed gas on the ohmic resistance of the electrolyte by using a relation given by De La Rue and Tobias.\(^{13}\) By making certain simplifying assumptions about the two phase region and by adjusting one empirical parameter, they obtained agreement between theoretical and experimental results. The present study suggests, however, that such a simple treatment is not valid for the range of conditions employed here.

**Sparking**

The formation of continuous gas blankets on vertical wire electrodes in sulfuric acid, at current densities of 6-8 A/cm\(^2\), has been described by Kellogg.\(^{14}\) The formation of his gas blanket coincided with a drastic increase in surface temperature and a sharp drop in current. A similar phenomenon also is known to occur in heat transfer under forced convection conditions, where formation of vapor films leads to a sharp reduction in heat flux (burn out, critical heat flux).\(^{15}\) It was, therefore, of interest to see whether, under ECM conditions, similar gas films are formed and whether they may lead to sparking and electrical breakdown. As long as a sufficiently high flow rate was maintained no such phenomena were observed in the present experiments, even at current densities much higher than those employed by Kellogg. Upon decreasing the flow rate, however, large voltage fluctuations and eventual sparking occurred. These fluctuations, indicated by the broken line in Fig. 10, soon became so large as to impede any measurement upon further reduction of flow rate. A close parallelism between oscillations in cathode potential and cell voltage confirmed the
cathodic origin of the oscillations. The onset of these fluctuations coincided with the appearance of a different type of gas bubble characterized by a large size and odd shape. Picture (a) in Fig. 3 is typical for this situation. It appears that, under these conditions, small individual bubbles are no longer generated and continuous gas pockets may cover a sizeable fraction of the cathode surface. Although an actual sparking event could not be photographed, it is possible that such a breakdown coincides with an instantaneous complete coverage of the cathode. The gas volume fraction, at which uncontrolled fluctuations set in, has been estimated on the basis of current density and flow rate (neglecting gas dissolution) to be approximately 0.4 at 100 and 150 A/cm$^2$.

**Anode Reactions**

Voltage oscillations in constant current electrolysis may also originate at the anode. While a detailed discussion of such phenomena is beyond the scope of the present report, it is interesting to note that the anodic oscillations have been observed under certain conditions with several electrolytes, most notably sodium chlorate. Figs. 12a to c illustrate how the anodic contribution to fluctuations in overall cell voltage $U$ increases in the electrolyte series, chloride, nitrate, chlorate, while the cathodic contribution remains about the same (except for a slight reduction in nitrate, due to the smaller gas volume). Of particular interest are the usually well behaved periodic oscillations which originate at the anode (as indicated by the apparent anode potential $e_A$ in Fig. 12c) in chlorate solution. The frequency of these oscillations depended primarily on current density and was only slightly affected by changes in flow rate. Typical frequencies were 130 and 300 cps at 50 and 100 A/cm$^2$, respectively. The voltage fluctuations which originate at the cathode were irregular. Their
frequency was much higher (mostly over 2000 cps) and the amplitude depended strongly on flow rate as well as current density. Periodic phenomena at the anode can be related to the formation of solid films, and Fig. 11 shows indeed how solid dissolution products are being removed from the anode surface, (together with a small amount of gas). Similar, but less pronounced shedding of solid reaction products has been observed in sulfate and nitrate solutions.

The photographic pictures obtained in this study also demonstrate that, under the conditions of a previous investigation of anodic phenomena, gas bubbles were usually confined to the vicinity of the cathode. In view of the low solubility of hydrogen gas, it can be concluded that any fraction of the total anodic current possibly used for the oxidation of hydrogen has been negligible. The distribution of gas bubbles in the inter-electrode gap gave no indication of the occurrence of plug flow phenomena, which could drastically affect mass transfer at the anode.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The present study was aimed at providing visual information on cathodic gas evolution during high rate metal dissolution, in order to determine the importance of cathodic phenomena on ohmic electrolyte resistance, anode reactions and sparking. Qualitative rather than quantitative conclusions can be drawn from the results:

1. The size of cathodically generated gas bubbles depends on current density as well as flow rate. At flow rates above 400 cm/sec, only very small bubbles (few microns diameter) were generated and immediately removed by the electrolyte stream.

2. A substantially smaller gas evolution in nitrate than in
chloride solutions has been observed. It indicates the occurrence of cathodic reactions other than hydrogen evolution in the former solution.

3. The influence of cathodically generated gas bubbles on the ohmic cell resistance was very small at flow rates above approximately 1000 cm/sec, for all current densities employed (up to 150 A/cm²). At lower flow rates, this influence can be substantial. Models which are based on a uniformly distributed two phase region and, among other simplifications, neglect the residence time of gas bubbles on the cathode surface, did not predict valid electrolyte resistances.

4. No plug flow phenomena seem to have been induced by the gas evolution under the conditions employed in the present study.

5. The influence of cathodic gas evolution on anodic processes such as mass transfer and current efficiency was usually very small.

6. At insufficient flow rates, strong fluctuations in cell voltages were observed, which coincided with the formation of gas patches at the cathode and which eventually led to electric breakdown. The effect was more pronounced in chloride than in nitrate solutions, in agreement with the fact that less gas was generated in the latter solutions under otherwise identical conditions.

7. In the absence of a theoretical model describing even the most simple single bubble dynamics in a flow field, additional experimental observations are needed to gain even a qualitative
insight into the factors which determine growth, detachment and dispersion of gas bubbles generated at high current densities and electrolyte flow rates in narrow gaps.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Optical Arrangement for high speed photography of gas bubbles
A. Light source (flash)
B. Flow channel cell
C. Microscope objective
D. Telecentric stop
E. Microscope eyepiece
F. Beam splitting prism
G. Magnifier for visual observation
H. Camera film plane

Fig. 2. Influence of flow rate and cathode orientation on gas evolution in 2 N KCl. Current density 50 A/cm$^2$, electrode gap 0.5 mm.
   a. flow rate 100 cm/sec cathode facing down
   b. flow rate 200 cm/sec cathode facing down
   c. flow rate 400 cm/sec cathode facing down
   d. flow rate 100 cm/sec cathode facing up
   e. flow rate 200 cm/sec cathode facing up
   f. flow rate 400 cm/sec cathode facing up

Fig. 3. Influence of flow rate on cathodic gas evolution in 2 N KCl.
   Current density: 100 A/cm$^2$, cathode facing up.
   a. flow rate 400 cm/sec
   b. flow rate 600 cm/sec
   c. flow rate 1000 cm/sec
   d. flow rate 2500 cm/sec

Fig. 4. Influence of current density on cathodic gas evolution in 2 N KCl.
   Flow rate 100 cm/sec, cathode facing down.
Fig. 4., cont.

a. Current density = 5 A/cm$^2$

b. Current density = 20 A/cm$^2$

c. Current density = 50 A/cm$^2$

Fig. 5. Distribution of cathodic gas bubble diameters in 2 N KCl.
50 A/cm$^2$, 100, 200 and 400 cm/sec. Total number of bubbles measured 31, 43 and 50 respectively, for cathode facing up (---) and 27, 32, 60 respectively, for cathode facing down (——). (Counts incomplete in range 0-25μ, due to lack of resolution below 20μ).

Fig. 6. Distribution of cathodic gas bubble diameters in 2 N KCl. Flow rate 100 cm/sec, current density 5, 20 and 50 A/cm$^2$. Total number of bubbles measured 47, 47 and 31, respectively for cathode facing up (---) and 37, 42 and 27, respectively, for cathode facing down (——). (Counts incomplete in range 0-25μ, due to lack of resolution below 20μ).

Fig. 7. Cathodic gas evolution at 100 A/cm$^2$ in 2 N KNO$_3$ and 2 N KCl, cathode facing down.

a. KCl, flow rate 400 cm/sec

b. KCl, flow rate 800 cm/sec

c. KNO$_3$, flow rate 400 cm/sec

d. KNO$_3$, flow rate 800 cm/sec

Fig. 8. Apparent volume fraction of interelectrode gap occupied by two phase region (gas-electrolyte mixture).

○ 2 N KCl, 400 cm/sec

○ 2 N KCl, 800 cm/sec
Fig. 9
Effect of gas evolution in different electrolytes on apparent cathode potentials, measured vs. sat. calomel with capillary upstream from the electrode. Current density 100 A/cm², cathode facing up.

$\Delta$ 2 N KNO₃, 400 cm/sec
$\Delta$ 2 N KNO₃, 800 cm/sec

Fig. 10. Effect of gas evolution in 2 N KCl at different current densities on cell voltage.
• cathode facing down
O cathode facing up

Fig. 11. Anodic dissolution products generated in 2 N NaClO₃ at 50 A/cm² and 100 cm/sec. Cathode facing up.

Fig. 12. Typical recorder traces of cell voltage $U$, current $I$, apparent anode potential $e_A$ and apparent cathode potential $e_C$. 50 A/cm², 400 cm/sec.
a. 2 N KCl
b. 2 N KNO₃
c. 2 N NaClO₃
Fig. 1
Fig. 4
Fig. 5
Fig. 6
Fig. 8
Fig. 9
Fig. 10
Fig. 12a
Fig. 12b
Fig. 12c
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