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<table>
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<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAI</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZLN</td>
<td>Zapatista Army for National Liberation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FONART</td>
<td>National Fund for the Fomentation of Crafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEI</td>
<td>Indigenous Student Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Interamerican Indigenist Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INI</td>
<td>National Indigenist Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>National Action Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRD</td>
<td>Party of the Democratic Revolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI</td>
<td>Institutional Revolutionary Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNDPI</td>
<td>National Plan for the Development of Indigenous Peoples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>Secretary of Public Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCIHJ</td>
<td>Union of Indigenous Huichol Communities of Jalisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEIM</td>
<td>Union of Indigenous Students for Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPIN</td>
<td>Union of Indigenous Professionals of Nayarit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>Solidaritous University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAAU</td>
<td>United Wixaritari Artists and Artisans of the Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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INTRODUCTION

NEGOTIATING EXPECTATIONS, ARTICULATING IDENTITIES

IN URBAN MEXICO

I am what time, circumstance, history, have made of me, certainly, but I am, also, much more than that. So are we all.


In June of 2009, Juan Aurelio wrote an e-mail to my parents’ non-profit organization, the Wixárika Research Center, after coming across a biographical essay written about his grandfather by my father. Juan Aurelio’s grandfather, Juan Ríos Martínez had been a good friend of my parents since the early 1970s and was one of the most renowned xaweri (Wixárika violin) players and yarn painters of his time. Ríos Martínez had passed away in 1996 in Compostela, Nayarit, a town christened early on during the Spanish conquest of western Mexico. A native of Carretones de Cerrito in the eastern part of the state, Ríos had been forced to move to Compostela just south of Tepic as a result of family conflicts (Negrín, J. 2003). Juan Aurelio’s e-mail stated that he had not been fortunate enough to truly know his grandfather but was excited at the prospect of meeting someone outside of his family who had nurtured a close friendship with his legendary kin. He added that he was an undergraduate student at the Autonomous University of Nayarit (UAN), “proudly Wixárika,” and would love to find a way to re-connect the Ríos and Negrín families. This was a serendipitous moment not only because my parents had lost contact with Ríos’ descendants but because I happened to be conducting my dissertation research on Wixárika university students in Guadalajara, Jalisco and Tepic, Nayarit.

*Communion in Wirikuta* by Juan Ríos Martínez (1973), 4 ft x 2.6 ft, beeswax and wool yarn on wood.

In late September, I made my way to Tepic with a close family friend and pesticide activist, Patricia Díaz Romo. After a long day of visiting families poisoned by
pesticides along Nayarit’s coastal communities, I was able to meet my “primo” (cousin), Juan Aurelio. Not only was Juan Aurelio a Wixárika student at the UAN, but he led an association that advocated for indigenous students. I arrived at his house just as several other Wixárika and Náayari (Cora) students were there planning for the evening’s welcoming dance for incoming indigenous students.¹ It was a tearful encounter that symbolized the beginning of a new generation’s friendship and collaboration. During all of my subsequent visits to Tepic I would stay with Juan Aurelio’s family. In July 2010, my mother was able to accompany me to Tepic and celebrate the birthday of Juan Aurelio’s mother. Other Ríos family members traveled from Compostela to Tepic for the reunion and together they reminisced about our families’ trips in my parents’ 1970 white Suburban. This included the time that Ríos Martínez visited us in Guadalajara and found a Stradivarius violin in a trashcan that he would then use to play his heart out.

During one of my stays in Tepic, Juan Aurelio’s mother, Teresa, recounted to me how she became a bilingual school teacher in Wixárika villages. Teresa was part mestizo, part Wixárika, raised by a father who had followed his people’s shamanic teachings yet who lived outside of the enclosed nuclear communities of the Western Sierra Madre, and as a result readily engaged with Mexican mestizo culture. During the 1960s and 1970s, rural schools in indigenous communities were established under the leadership of the Instituto Nacional Indigenista (National Indigenist Institute or INI). Gradually, mestizo teachers were replaced by native bilingual teachers. In fact, for several decades teaching became the emerging field of professionalization for indigenous peoples in Mexico. Although she spoke minimal Wixárika, Teresa became one of these rural teachers. Her first position was in a remote Wixárika village accessible only by foot or jet. She remembers landing on a small strip wearing a knee-length skirt, a blouse and shoes with small heels—the casual uniform for her profession. Teresa laughed as she recalled how she had not been informed that she would need to walk several kilometers from the landing strip to the village and how authorities had not made any arrangements to have someone meet her and show her the way. Dusk was drawing near as Teresa wondered how she would make the trip with her high heels and suitcase in hand. She soon met a couple that was headed toward the village and invited her to join them on the short but rocky journey. Teresa’s recollection of this first teaching experience came as she neared retirement. Thirty years into her career as a rural teacher, Teresa had gained fluency in the Wixárika language and married a Wixárika craftsman from the village of Guadalupe Ocotán, Nayarit. She spent weekdays in the villages and returned to Tepic on the weekends to join her six children, who only occasionally spent time in Wixárika territory.

The Ríos family illustrates the heterogeneity of the Wixárika population and points to my dissertation’s key arguments regarding the expanding popular consumption of “the Huichol,” the geographical mobility of Wixaritari, and the “unexpected” presence

¹ I use the terms Wixárika and Náayari, rather than the more commonly used Huichol and Cora. In the last few years, both of these indigenous ethnic groups have appealed to scholars and the wider public to drop the Hispanicized terms of Huichol and Cora as a gesture of respect. I use the term Huichol only when making reference to that which is linked to non-indigenous representations of the Wixárika culture and people, in all other cases I will use Wixárika or its plural form Wixaritari.
of Wixaritari in spaces of higher education. The young Wixaritari that protagonize this work reflect unique paths that depart from the normative images that are widely held of the Wixaritari. It is in this sense that this dissertation is about expectations and how these are defied through the everyday lived experiences of contemporary Mexicans.

It is important to underline the scholarly contributions that the present work makes in the areas of indigenous and Mexican studies, migration and urbanism, and cultural studies more generally. My ethnographic research with Wixárika students and professionals demonstrates how the geographic expectations of indigenous belonging are becoming increasingly untenable as the demographic realities of Mexico continue to shift and as indigenous peoples push for recognition as citizens within a heterogeneous national community. Literature that critically analyzes Mexico’s processes of urbanization remains limited and is particularly so with regards to the presence of indigenous populations living in the nation’s cities. Moreover, research on urban indigenous peoples has yet to seriously consider the experiences and contributions of the university students and professionals who are the protagonists of the present work. In this way, this dissertation not only examines contemporary urban interracial relations but does so through the prism of an overlooked segment of the indigenous population, one that can bring new ways of understanding complex questions of identity while adding valuable content and texture to the growing body of critical work that addresses the politics of multiculturalism (Nelson 1999; Povinelli 2002; Hernández-Castillo 2004; Hale 2006; Postero 2007). The experiences of the protagonists of this work show that there are multiple ways in which Wixaritari engage and negotiate dominant notions of cultural authenticity and belonging.

Finally, through examining the historical development of the western cities of Tepic and Guadalajara, my research marks an important step in critical urban studies of Mexico. As I began my archival investigations, it became clear that Mexico City remained supreme in academic studies on urbanism in Mexico. Relatively little has been written about the political economic and social development of Guadalajara, and even less work has been carried out on Tepic. Furthermore, I realized that to understand the contemporary experiences of Wixaritari living in these cities, I had to uncover how each of these cities had development distinct ethnic identities rooted in their respective foundational mythologies. In this study, I examine the interaction of the political, economic, and social forces that shaped the consolidation of these two cities, thus laying the ground for understanding the conjunctures of place and identity as they are manifested through the lives of young urban Wixaritari.

Archival Foreclosures and Indigenista Praxis

In order to grasp contemporary representations and practices that target Mexico’s indigenous peoples, we must first understand the work of indigenismo as a central ideological force that has defined the country’s racial relations over five centuries. Chapter 1 begins this effort by drawing inspiration from geographer Allan Pred’s use of spatial and chronological interplay where we move back and forth between the present and the past in order to understand how racial stereotypes are sedimented, re-circulated, and redeployed across time and space (Pred 2004, xiii). Through the action of zooming in and out of history in a spatially grounded way, we can better understand how ideas of
race and racial hierarchies become part of a society’s common sense and are facilitated through the use of visual and literary technologies intricately tied to state practices. Pred’s analysis pushes us to consider the ways in which racial fictions are transformed into fact, and how these fictions intensify over time and are put into practice through emplaced actions that riddle the quotidian experiences of the racialized Other. Ultimately, the deployment of racial stereotypes through everyday forms of institutional and popular discrimination work to obstruct the mobility of the Other in non-sanctioned spaces (84). This framework certainly helps explain the challenges that today’s Wixárika university students and professionals face in Mexico’s cities, as the fictions that surround Wixárika identity prevent the state and society from recognizing them as urban citizens with professional qualifications.

Indigenismo reflects the interplay of fact and fiction, past and present that shapes contemporary racial expectations and stereotypes of indigenous peoples in Mexico. The concept of indigenismo has been widely discussed and debated by Latin Americanist scholars during the latter half of the 20th century (Castellanos 2009; Martínez Novo 2006; Earle 2007; INI 1988; Aguirre Beltrán 1967). The focus of much of these discussions has centered on indigenismo’s celebration of “dead Indians” (i.e., the Aztec, Maya and Inca empires) and its paradoxical relationship to living indigenous peoples. Scholarship dealing with indigenismo has also tended to rest on a critique of the central role of mestizo anthropologists turned state bureaucrats. Lastly, indigenismo as an ideology and practice has largely been framed as a post-revolutionary phenomenon. As a result, very little is said about indigenismo as an episteme rooted in the long five hundred years of European contact with indigenous peoples, and, more precisely, with the ensuing sense of racial alterity that Spanish colonization nurtured. Luis Villoro’s 1950 study, Los Grandes Momentos del Indigenismo en México, proves an essential missing link in these debates. Surprisingly, I have yet to come across any serious engagement with Villoro’s work, which poignantly describes indigenismo as an epistemic system that reflects the social imaginaries and angst of distinct periods of Mexican history. Villoro’s treatment of indigenismo as an episteme that emerges out of European and criollo projections onto the racial Other destabilizes the hegemonic narrative that portrays indigenismo as a series of contentious discourses and practices concerned with the development and well being of indigenous peoples in the Spanish post-colony.

Villoro’s argument is grounded in the writings of several key colonial and postcolonial Criollo intellectual figures whose collected work forms the archive upon which the indigenista imaginary is drawn. But the archive hides as much as it reveals about the historical geography of race and race relations in Mexico. Save for a few examples, the archive tells us little about how indigenous peoples negotiated with the European colonial order and the subsequent construction of an independent Mexican nation-state. Conversely, much of what is revealed by archival records centers on the violence inflicted on or by indigenous peoples or, as Saidiya Hartman (2008) notes with relation to enslaved African women: “[t]he stories that exist are not about them, but rather about the violence, excess, mendacity, and reason that seized hold of their lives, transformed them into commodities and corpses, and identified them with names tossed-off as insults and crass jokes.” (2) Hartman’s description not only points to the limits of the archive as a source of information about “subaltern peoples” beyond their position as
subaltern, but it begs for new if imperfect ways of imagining the conditions and contestations that surrounded the displacements of indigenous peoples by seeking to “generate a different set of descriptions from this archive.” (7)

Chapter 1 investigates the historical role of the racial imaginary in order to understand how the selective celebration of indigenous heritage is accompanied by the foreclosure of indigenous peoples from spaces reserved for whites and mestizos. Chapter 2 follows this track by exposing the ways in which indigenismo became hegemonic through the post-revolutionary model of acción indigenista or indigenist action. I explore acción indigenista through state and private interventions in Wixárika territory beginning in the early 1960s in order to understand the conditions that led many Wixaritari to relocate to the nearby cities of Tepic and Guadalajara. Finally, Chapter 2 examines how the rise of neo-indigenismo, or multicultural neo-liberalism, emerged alongside the radical indigenous politics most emblematically represented by the Zapatista movement. These most recent manifestations of racial politics illustrate the tense relationship between post-assimilationist celebrations of diversity and the social, political, and economic impacts of the free market on native peoples.

Cities and the Creation of Racial Heritage

The cities of Guadalajara and Tepic are separated by a two hour stretch along the scenic highway that takes passengers through the beautiful winding mountains known as Plan de Barrancas, passing groves of agave and sugarcane, volcanic rock and huizache trees. While vastly different in terms of size and wealth, these two cities are historically linked by their cultural, political, and economic geography. Their development into urban centers is most notable during the nineteenth century when they transitioned from isolated mercantilist towns into incipient capitalist cities that thrived by linking the Pacific ports with central Mexico. The often forgotten rivalry between these two strikingly different cities culminates with the separation of Tepic from the jurisdiction of the state of Jalisco in 1883 and the creation of the state of Nayarit with Tepic as its capital. The secession of Tepic from Jalisco triggered a bitter polemic between those who termed it an abduction from Jalisco and those who argued that Guadalajara’s leadership had neglected Tepic during decades of foreign and indigenous misrule (García 30-31).

Chapters 3 and 4 take a critical look at the ways in which Tepic and Guadalajara developed particular identities that are expressed through the present day experiences of its young Wixárika residents. While Tepic is far from a bastion of indigenous pride, its historical role as a place of rebellion against the Spanish and, later, against the liberal status quo gives us a sense of how this city has nurtured an insurgent identity rooted in the personas of King Nayar and Manuel Lozada. Conversely, Guadalajara is best known for its Catholic and Hispanic character, and its place as Mexico’s second largest city that embraces its industrial base and has incessantly fostered a sense of independence in a highly centralized nation. Why juxtapose these two cities? Their geographic proximity and historical connections help illustrate the different experiences of its contemporary indigenous residents. More specifically, a comparison of Wixárika experiences in Tepic and Guadalajara can only be understood through rooting them in the region’s political economic and racial history—a microcosm of national and international tendencies of inclusion and exclusion.
Each of these two chapters begins with a distinct narration of the Battle of La Mojonera which took place on January 28 and 29, 1873 in the municipality of Zapopan to the west of Guadalajara. The battle pitted rebel leader Manuel Lozada against liberal General Ramón Corona in what became a decisive moment for the territorial and political division of the Mexican West. More than an armed conflict, the Battle of La Mojonera illustrates deep racial anxieties in what Leticia Reina Aoyama terms “the century of indigenous rebellions” (Aoyama 1993, 11). While a footnote in Mexican history, this battle has largely been memorialized as the victory of the nationalist liberal against the insurgent conservative fighter—the triumph of the modernizing forces led by the liberal ethos of private property. A closer look at this battle also sheds light on a key moment in Mexico’s racial formation where the criollo liberal defeats the Indian rebels who sought the protection of communal landholdings in the face of the massive land expropriations set forth under the Reform Laws of 1857. Finally, by gleaning the archive to uncover the confrontation between Lozada and Corona, I respond to Pred’s method of collapsing fact and fiction as a way to reveal the historical and geographical entanglements found in each city.

Following these accounts of the Battle of La Mojonera, I will examine central moments in the definition of the ethnic identities of Guadalajara and Tepic. Chapters 3 and 4 overlap in the same way that these two cities share regional political and economic histories. In particular, the role of the port of San Blas during the second half of the 18th century through the first half of the 19th century highlights how Guadalajara and Tepic are fundamentally linked. The economic outgrowth of the port’s activities created important demographic and cultural shifts in the West. Beyond these overlapping histories, I am also interested in uncovering these cities’ unique differences. In doing so, I pay attention to the implications of each city’s hegemonic narrative for indigenous residents. A key aspect FOR understanding the problem rests on the pervasiveness of associating indigenous peoples with rural spaces or, in the case of the city, associating the indigenous body with the informal economy and marginalization. Taking from Johannes Fabian (1983), these understandings of spatial belonging negate the coevalness of urban residents of distinct races and ethnicities.

Within Mexico, Guadalajara stands out as a city with strong European features. Both the urban layout and local culture identify with the European and American immigrant legacies that have left their mark on the physical and cultural landscape. Yet the celebration of this European heritage obscures the presence and influence of other ethnicities that helped create the traditions that have sustained and given Guadalajara its present identity—including but not limited to peoples of indigenous and African descent. The notion that Guadalajara is a “typically criollo” city is summarized well by Rivière d’Arc who notes that from its aesthetic culture, architecture, and monuments, the construction of Guadalajara leaves “very little room for traits of the indigenous civilization to endure” (18). Unlike Tepic or the heavily indigenous cities of southern Mexico, one cannot as easily see the passing of women and men dressed in indigenous clothing. This matter has largely been attributed to the combination of the “reduction” of indigenous peoples in Jalisco and the geographic disassociation of the state’s Indian country where indigenous peoples have been “recast in the mountains that are particularly difficult to access” (ibid).
In keeping with national migratory patterns, Guadalajara has experienced dramatic demographic growth in recent decades. Among its new residents are a heterogeneous indigenous population coming from as far as Chiapas and as near as the Western Sierra Madre of Jalisco. Chapter 4 argues that the production of an ethnic identity rooted in Europe forecloses Guadalajara’s indigenous presence. Nonetheless, Guadalajara’s criollo identity is gradually becoming contested by an indigenous population that seeks to be recognized as a fundamental part of the city’s past and present.

Beyond significant differences in size and wealth, Tepic is culturally and physically different from Guadalajara. As one of the smallest state capitals of the country, it goes unnoticed by most politicians, investors, scholars and tourists. Tepic is a city that has been deeply influenced by its proximity to the Western Sierra Madre, whose native inhabitants have repeatedly challenged the regional power structure. The seemingly unending indigenous revolts from the Spanish conquest through the turn of the twentieth century have left an indelible mark on the city’s cultural identity. Today, Tepic contrasts with other western Mexican cities because of its significant indigenous population which has actively carved out a place in local schools, political chambers and businesses. Ultimately, the legacy of Nayarit’s indigenous struggles has become a part of the state’s official identity through the celebration of its namesake, King Nayar, and the elite’s resigned acceptance of Lozada as a homegrown hero of the indigenous and rural peasantry. The use of Wixárika iconography in Nayarit’s tourism advertisements and the long established use of Wixárika and Náayari inspired motifs in the dress of each year’s “Ms. Nayarit” demonstrate the central role that ethnicity plays in Tepic’s local imaginary.

Nonetheless, this celebration of indigeneity obscures simultaneous forms of racial exclusion. In Chapter 3 I argue for a more textured understanding of Tepic’s indigeneity by demonstrating the parallel tendencies that both include and exclude contemporary indigenous citizens. As with Guadalajara, Tepic also holds to fixed imaginaries of the indigenous as characteristically rural. But unlike Guadalajara, these fixed imaginaries have become a central feature of Tepic’s wider identity where “Huichol” ethnicity is rendered hypervisible. Throughout the present work I describe hypervisibility as a visual
technology where racial representations are produced within and try to mask situations of inequality.\textsuperscript{2} As a result, indigenous peoples in Tepic are still expected to circulate within particular spaces and conform to pre-established identities. Although some of Tepic’s self-identified indigenous residents enjoy social mobility, many find that they must regularly negotiate their personal and collective place in the city.

By identifying key moments in the political economic and cultural formation of Tepic and Guadalajara, I highlight the moments of opportunity and foreclosure that exist for its Wixárika residents. In doing so, I draw from Henri Lefebvre’s ([1974] 2000) emphasis on the multiple temporalities that are manifested in space, as well as the centrality of understanding space as a socially produced process. Lefebvre’s concept of spatial practice as a “dialectical interaction” that reveals the entanglements between conceptualized space and lived space offers a key analytical intervention missing from the already limited body of work on these two cities (48). Ultimately, understanding the spatial production of Guadalajara and Tepic becomes an indispensable step toward understanding the current struggles taking place within both cities.

Spaces of Identity

My mother likes to remind me that up until the 1990s, Wixaritari who visited Guadalajara tended to prefer “mestizo clothes” in order to hide their ethnicity in the face of racist exclusions. Today, she marvels at the pride that many Wixárika youth have, including their desire to wear their traditional clothing and mark their ethnicity even if Mexico’s multicultural turn has done little to upset enduring racisms. Chapter 5 explores the opportunities and new exclusions that have emerged since 1992 when Mexico constitutionally affirmed itself a “pluricultural” nation that must respect the rights and customs of its ethnically heterogeneous population. In so doing, my work comes into conversation with recent studies on the double-edged sword presented by Latin America’s multicultural turn (Postero 2007, Hale 2006, Hernández Castillo 2004) which has presented native peoples with a new set of dilemmas pertaining to their own demands for equality and autonomy within the neo-liberal nation-state.

Most academic work dealing with contemporary indigenous peoples in Latin America continues to fix them to rural spaces linked to land-based cultural traditions. This surely is the case for academic research pertaining to the Wixárika people, particularly scholarship that reflects anthropology’s long quest to uncover the production of religious rituals in Indian territory (Fikes 2011; Furst 2006, 2003,1972; Kindl 2003; Neurath 2002; Furst and Schaefer 1996). Meanwhile, academic studies that examine indigenous peoples in cities overlook indigenous professionals and, in so doing, perpetuate understandings of indigenous peoples in cities as forced migrants steeped in marginality, illiteracy and poverty. By focusing on the experiences of Wixárika university students and professionals in Tepic and Nayarit, I bring a more contemporary

\textsuperscript{2} I came to the concept of hypervisibility through the work of M. Jacqui Alexander who discusses it within the context of the United States’ invasion of Afghanistan where the hypervisibility of the image of the oppressed Muslim woman was deployed as one of several justifications for military intervention (Alexander 2005, 185).
and spatially grounded study into conversation with both indigenous and urban studies. This said, much terrain is left for the study of the conditions and experiences of indigenous peoples in cities, no matter their profession or economic status.

While my initial chapters follow the production and intersection of Mexico’s racial and urban imaginaries, Chapter 5 focuses on how Tepic and Guadalajara’s Wixaritari are interpellated by the popular stereotypes that mold ideas surrounding their racial and spatial belonging. Elizabeth Povinelli’s (2002) work on the conditions of state sanctioned indigeneity in multicultural Australia led me to further consider the implications of racial expectations on the material livelihoods of the stereotyped. Povinelli’s analysis is central for understanding how the multicultural state inscribes ideas of legitimate indigenous authenticity, and through this, attempts to harness demands surrounding indigenous political, economic and cultural rights. The paradigms set to demarcate authentic indigeneity too often work to dismiss those indigenous peoples who have left their tribal lands, whether by force or by choice. In this context, the construction of a normative indigenous identity reflects the continuation of state efforts to homogenize a heterogeneous population. So while the multi or pluricultural state recognizes past injustices by offering select privileges for indigenous peoples (land titles, scholarships and social services), the mechanisms through which indigenous peoples must demonstrate their authenticity brings to light new forms of exclusion and dispossession. Too often this means that an urban indigenous person is automatically shut out from gaining said recognition and privileges.

Juan Castillo Cocom (2005) compliments Povinelli by pointing to the continued effects of Mexico’s neo-indigenismo, or multicultural neo-liberalism, on the identities of indigenous peoples, who must learn to navigate the “map that was already previously created for them” (138). Through an autobiographical narrative, Castillo Cocom demonstrates that there are multiple ways in which indigenous peoples can interpellate this pre-set racial map (132). It is here where the words of Wixárika students and professionals serve to destabilize the master narrative by traveling off the map that binds them to rigid understandings of indigenous citizenship and belonging. Here, Akhil Gupta and James Ferguson’s (1997) discussion of reterritorialization is highly relevant for underlining the political, economic and social contingencies that produce new expressions of identity and place:

Physical location and physical territory, for so long the only grid on which cultural difference could be mapped, need to be replaced by multiple grids that enable us to see that connection and contiguity—more generally, the representation of territory—vary considerably by factors such as class, gender, race, and sexuality and are differentially available for those in different locations of power. (50)

At the same time, it is important to underline that Wixárika history demonstrates how reterritorialization has always been part and parcel of Wixárika cultural and territorial existence. It is only through the impositions of colonial and modern discourse that indigenous peoples have been fixed into particular territories and positionalities.
Finally, Stuart Hall’s work on identity becomes key in framing cultural identity as a practice that is “lodged in contingency” and “sutured together” (1996, 3). Hall notes that identity is a multi-sided process which is established through difference, exclusion, and the long history of representations that shape our understandings of the Self in relation to the Other (4). Because it is set into motion through the constellation of quotidian interactions, Hall emphasizes identity’s performative nature as it is expressed through acts of negotiation, accommodation and resistance (ibid). In a similar vein, Ernesto Laclau and Chantal Mouffe describe identity as a product of “articulatory practice” where relations and linkages between the individual and the collective, the intimate and the public become the productive forces that shape social identification (1985, 105).

In this light, Chapter 5 brings together ethnographic research and critical racial theory in an effort to bring to light how urban Wixárika university students and professionals are negotiating citizenship in present day Mexico. Borrowing from Phillip J. Deloria (2004), I endeavor to “reverse the geography of expectation” that is held for indigenous peoples (139). Throughout the chapter, I draw on the voices of Wixaritari to highlight key moments that have shaped their identities, directed their careers, and inspired their activism. The Wixárika term, makuyeika, refers to the individual who walks in many places. This term helps illustrates the experiences of urban Wixaritari by reflecting their engagement with personal identity, their ethnic communities, and Mexican society more generally. Most importantly, makuyeika stresses the importance of geography in the formation of individual and collective identities, in a way that disrupts entrenched narratives of indigenous immobility.

A Note on Method

My research emerges from my lifelong relationship with Wixárika culture, territory and politics. I was raised in the midst of my family’s intimate friendships with Wixárika families and from an early age was made aware of the acute racism that indigenous peoples face in Mexico—a racism that largely remains silenced through the nation’s ambivalent relationship with indigeneity. Having these experiences as the departing point for my research has gifted me with certain privileges by allowing me access to the people and spaces that would inform my work. This is important at a time when Wixaritari have grown increasingly weary of outside researchers. I am certain that I would have been unable to gather the stories at hand were it not for my family’s longstanding service to Wixárika communities and the intimate relationships that I hold with many of my dissertation’s protagonists. At the same time, this intimacy produces its own set of challenges and responsibilities.

Much of the impetus for covering the topic of Wixárika university students and professionals came from my discontent with seeing the continued production of academic studies that almost solely portrayed Wixaritari as rural, shamanic and artisanal peoples. While it is undeniable that Wixárika culture offers an intriguing landscape for the study

---

3 Diane Nelson (1999) presents a compelling study of racial articulation in the context of Quincenental Guatemala.
of arts and religion, a great number of ethnographic studies conducted in Wixárika territory have been riddled by the inequities and pressures that outside researchers impose on their informants. At the same time, the presence of researchers has set into motion internal divisions and accusations of opportunism against those who serve as hosts and informants. This distrust is further compounded by the increasing presence of non-governmental organizations and tourists within Wixárika territory. These issues are inevitably a product of a two-way process where both researchers and informants seek particular benefits from each other under the watchful eye of tight-knit rural communities.

Having witnessed the fallout of other research projects, I consciously sought out a project that engaged urban Wixaritari close to my age who I could consider my peers and vice versa. In doing so, I hoped to minimize the power inequities so prevalent in ethnographic research. At the same time, conducting research in an urban setting allowed me to bridge my concerns on race and indigeneity in Mexico with my experience working with urban youth in California. From the beginning I hoped to conduct as collaborative of a research project as possible through models of participatory observation, service learning and collectively organized workshops, discussion groups, and interviews. By using a dialogical approach, my informants and I sought spaces in which my research questions could be developed and where their interests and concerns could be discussed beyond the constructs of my dissertation research. Over the span of five years, I visited, observed and participated in several student events, meetings, and debates the most memorable of which was the taller de diálogo or dialogue workshop that I co-organized at the Autonomous University of Nayarit in April 2010.

Throughout the process of conducting my ethnographic research I returned to the protagonists of my story for advice and critique. Frankness between a researcher and informant is a tricky affair, but I believe that we tried as best we could to be honest about moments of discomfort and issues that were best not raised by a non-Wixárika like myself. As I move forward, I continue to discuss and collaborate on projects with some of my Wixárika informants, aware that enduring friendships transcend academic studies. In the process of conducting research and being the subject of research, our many colors converge and diverge to produce the delicate challenges that infuse ethnographic practice. In the final analysis, I return to James Baldwin’s poignant reminder that we are indeed products of “time, circumstance, history”, yet we are all certainly much more than that. If anything, I hope that the ensuing chapters can move readers to appreciate the fluidity of human experiences in spite of the historical and geographical processes that seemingly fix us to our presumed identities.
CHAPTER 1

INDIGENISMO

VISION, RACE, AND NATION-BUILDING

[H]ow fiction-laden racializing images, how images of hypersexuality, the childlike, and the otherwise negatively charged, have circulated and connected up unpredictably in time and space, have traveled through shifting discursive networks, have readily flowed back and forth between “scientific,” literary, political, and popular discourses, have had their meanings repeatedly recontextualized, their assumptions somewhat shifted and occasionally challenged, and yet—however complex the process(es)—have endured to this day, unevenly reactivated nationally and locally, over and over recycled, rearticulated, and re-present-ed, over and over put to new kinds of work, over and over taking on more or less reshaped forms that cannot be separated from the on-the-ground circumstances they already have helped produce.

-Allan Pred, The Past is Not Dead, 2004, xii-

On August 4, 2007 the column “Los Ojos del Güero” published a piece titled “Los Huicholes Mayates”, or “Bisexual Huichols”, in the Tepic, Nayarit newspaper Matutino Gráfico. The author, who goes by the pseudonym “El Güerote Chulote,” roughly “The Hunky Blond,” recounted an invitation he received to act as a judge for the beauty contest at the Xalisco Fair, north of Tepic. As it turned out, El Güerote Chulote was far more intrigued by the Wixárika musical contingent he repeatedly calls “Huicholes mayates”, who “just the same will fornicate with a cow, a woman, or a man” because they are, thanks to their ingestion of peyote, “simply beasts of having sex.” The entire column was peppered with assertions that not only are Wixaritari sex-hungry savages, but they are sex-hungry savages who lack musical talent and spend the little money they have on extravagant “uniforms” leaving society to foot the bill for their other needs.

Within days, the Union of Indigenous Professionals of Nayarit sent an open letter directed to the newspaper’s editor citing national and international agreements that legally protect indigenous peoples from discrimination, and demanding that an immediate apology be published in the Matutino Gráfico. The young Wixárika professionals who drafted the letter stated that, “For too long we have silenced the humiliation, the racism and the indifference of those people who call themselves civilized or educated, and from this we have been denied the right to a dignified life. There are sectors of society who to this day maintain racist and exclusionary attitudes against our indigenous brothers and

---

4 Güero is a widely used colloquial Mexican term that refers to a range of people of light complexion and light colored eyes. In areas of the country that have higher indigenous populations, güero may be used to refer to any non-indigenous person no matter their complexion. Ojos are eyes, thus the column’s name roughly but poorly translates to “The Eyes of the Light Skinned.”

5 A mayate is a type of beetle and is a highly denigrating term often used against bisexuals in Mexico and against African-Americans by Latinos in the United States. As an insult, mayate connotes bestial tendencies, including direct references to the sexual appetite of a group of people.
sisters, but there are sectors that have become conscious of our position and have allied themselves with our just and legitimate demands” (Open letter, August 20, 2007). It took several letters, phone calls, and threats of protest for El Güerote Chulote and the Matutino Gráfico to publish a reluctant apology. For Tepic’s Wixárika residents, the absurdly cheap words of this newspaper columnist made palpably clear that, despite constitutional protections and decades of purportedly pro-indigenous legislation, racism and its dismissal by a large portion of Mexican society continued alive and well in the 21st century. The interaction also confirmed that denigrating textual and visual representations of the indigenous continue to be tolerated and disregarded by the Mexican public at the cost of indigenous citizens who experience the material consequences of these depictions.

From the Spanish conquest to the present, the Mexican Indian’s image has been endlessly reworked and re-imagined by the non-indigenous. Generation after generation of European, criollo and mestizo scholars, politicians and artists have deployed particular representations of indigenous peoples, that while at times contradictory, more often than not share common racial and spatial depictions that erase the geographic and cultural heterogeneity of Mexico’s aboriginal inhabitants, past and present. Centuries of representations of the Indian have led to a series of what the late geographer Allan Pred terms “fiFcAtCiTonS” or the “(con)fusions of fact and fiction through which racializing stereotypes are perpetuated and reenacted at dispersed sites” (xi). While the horrendous depictions of bestiality and savagery that justified the murder and enslavement of native populations throughout the Americas were eventually replaced by more subtle representations of indigenous inferiority or, conversely, glorious indigenous civilization and stoic resistance, the primordial image that has stuck to this day continues to be that of the Indian as utterly different from whites and mestizos.

Visual and literary representations of indigenous peoples have a long and diverse history in Mexico that range from nationalist histories and monuments to advertisements for the tourism industry. Notable examples include the pictorial, cartographic, and descriptive narratives commissioned by the Spanish Crown, the Casta paintings of the 17th and 18th centuries, the 19th century nationalist histories, and the much celebrated muralist movement of the 20th century. Artist and scholar Coco Fusco argues that old and new forms of deploying racial imagery through education, film, music, or political propaganda demonstrate a society’s individual and collective fascination with consuming race (Fusco 2003). Taking from Roland Barthes’ theorization of mythical speech, Fusco affirms that the racial imagination of a nation is deeply sedimented in a pre-existing set of signs and images consumed through everyday practices. The form in which these images are thus consumed and interpreted can bring a society’s racial attitudes to the surface. In the case of the United States, Fusco states that, “Where and when Americans have expected or wanted to see race, as well as where and when we don’t want to see it, tells us a great deal about how we negotiate our ambivalent relation to the historical legacy of racialization” (47). Likewise, the invitation of Wixárika musicians in the Xalisco Fair by

---

6 Although the United States and Mexico have distinct racial legacies, U.S. literature on race and racism can inform academic and popular debates on racial discrimination in Mexico.
municipal authorities and *El Güerote Chulote*’s opinion piece reflects longstanding tensions within Mexican society around competing desires to make race visible and consumable.

Edward Said’s study of Orientalism takes this analysis a step further by pointing to the connections between racial representations and the exertion of power; in this case, by imperial forces that mobilize literary and pictorial representations of the Other in order to justify territorial, political, and economic conquest:

> Taking the late eighteenth century as a very roughly defined starting point Orientalism can be discussed and analyzed as the corporate institution for dealing with the Orient—dealing with it by making statements about it, authorizing views of it, describing it, by teaching it, settling it, ruling over it: in short, Orientalism as a Western style for dominating, restructuring, and having authority over the Orient. (3)

In this way, seemingly innocent and apolitical representations of particular peoples and geographies move from the sphere of discourse to that of practice through the activation of policies geared toward dominating an “inferior Other.” Using a Gramscian understanding of hegemony, Said explains how Orientalism persists over time as a result of cultural hegemony where society has largely bought into and accepted a hierarchical organization of culture that affirms the authority of colonial offices, the museum, the academy, and the sciences (7). Most importantly, what Said’s study uncovers is the dialectic between the individual, the text, and the collective in the formation of narratives and practices that work to sustain relations of power over particular bodies and places.

In the case of Mexico, the theories and practices that have come to be known as indigenismo can be seen as a consolidation of the long legacy of often contradictory representations of the indigenous by the non-indigenous that are then mobilized into political and civic action. *Indigenismo* is best understood as an intellectual, cultural and political ideological movement in Latin America that reached its apex in the 20th century and has fomented the study, and in many cases defense, of indigenous peoples and cultures. Indigenismo took hold throughout Latin America with varying degrees of institutional and popular support reflecting sharp philosophical contrasts. Its most powerful manifestations occurred during the first half of the 20th century in countries like Mexico and Peru which recognized their sizable indigenous populations at the same time as their political leadership espoused the wonders of their most grandiose pre-Hispanic legacies, the Aztec and Inca empires, respectively (Earle 2007, 185). To a large extent, the objective of indigenismo was to tackle the so-called “Indian problem” which posed a direct challenge to a coherent nation-state. In 1916, in the midst the Mexican Revolution,
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7 Gramsci explains hegemony not as complete and coherent power exercised by a monolithic dominant class, but rather as a process through which ruling classes and ideas are constructed and upheld through society’s “common sense” which in it of itself is constructed and deconstructed in historically and geographically specific ways.

8 It is relevant to note that Stuart Hall describes Antonio Gramsci’s concept of ideology as one in which the world is mobilized into cultural, faith-based, and political movements that produce social practice (Hall 1986, 20). Using this framework, indigenismo can be considered an ideological current.
the preeminent indigenista anthropologist Manuel Gamio published *Forging a Nation* as a call for the country to move toward a unified project of nation-building. According to Gamio, Mexico’s principle barrier was its geographic, cultural, and ethnic “disarticulation” that created a series of “small nations” unable to abide by larger political, economic, and cultural projects (Gamio 1960, 10-11). Along with other contemporary scholars such as José Vasconcelos, Gamio pushed forth the idea of national unification through the process of bringing together the cultures of steel and bronze, the European and the Indian, in order to create ethnic, linguistic, and cultural cohesion through the celebration of the mestizo as the quintessential new and hybrid Mexican. Consequently, the exaltation of the mestizo as the ideal revolutionary subject brought about a direct foreclosure of the indigenous as equal citizen. As we shall see in the ensuing chapters, the foreclosure of indigenous citizenship has fueled a series of contestations.

The principal focus of this chapter will be to explore *indigenismo* as the mobilizing ideology through which white and mestizo intellectuals came to frame their perceptions of the place of indigenous peoples within the past, present, and future of the liberal nation-state. This includes analyzing forms of visual representation and iconography widely used by colonial and postcolonial state and civil society to portray ideals of Mexicanness and indigeneity that often stand in tense relation with one another. I will argue that ideas of *indigenismo* and *mestizaje* partly emerged as a response to the Black Legend and to liberal and conservative desires to form a nation that rejected the dominance of either the Hispanic or the indigenous heritages, seeking instead a hybrid rooted in the glorified Mexica (Aztec) Kingdom. I will also demonstrate how the central subject of *indigenismo* is in fact the non-indigenous citizen whose sense of Self is developed in juxtaposition to the sacrificed indigenous Other. Finally, I will examine a few instances of the widespread Indian uprisings that carried over from the colonial period and were further ignited by the onslaught of liberal reforms that destabilized indigenous territorial and cultural coherence. While materially unsuccessful, these moments of indigenous rebellion did indeed feed into the psyche of the popular and academic imaginations that eventually led to the post-revolutionary movement of applied *indigenismo*.

**The Rise of the Mexica—Iconography and Visual Representation**

In passing from history to nature, myth creates an economy: it abolishes the complexity of human acts, gives them the simplicity of the essences, it eliminates all dialectic, any return to what is not immediately perceived, it organizes a world without contradictions because it is one without depth, a world laid out based upon evidence, it creates a cheerful clarity; things appear to have meaning by themselves.

-ROLAND BARThES, *MYTHOLOGIES*, 1957, 217,
translated from French by author-

---

9 The Black Legend refers to the widespread anti-Hispanic sentiment during and after the colonial period.
Two juxtaposing images: Generals Porfirio Díaz and Venustiano Carranza both pose with cane in hand before the monumental Aztec Calendar. While each leader now symbolizes opposing values within Mexican historiography, one authoritarian and the other revolutionary, they shared the same canon that established the Mexica Empire as the founding myth of the Mexican nation. Tenochtitlán was Mexico’s Rome, the country’s badge of honor amongst the civilized nations. Although the adulation of Mexico’s pre-Hispanic heritage is often associated with the grandiose efforts of the revolutionary state, the gaze of the country’s political and intellectual leaders was already set on the indigenous prior to winning independence from Spain (1821), and was well advanced during the Díaz regime (1876-1910). So it is with these two photographs that we have two distinct periods and political leaderships that are nonetheless inextricably linked by the Aztec Calendar’s promise as a stepping stone in Mexico’s path toward modern nationhood.

When Spanish Jesuit scholar Francisco Javier Claviéjero wrote his epic History of Ancient Mexico in 1780, he set out to do so as a way to redeem the image of indigenous Mexico against what he saw as the judgmental eyes of European writers who diligently condemned the savagery of the native inhabitants of the New World. Claviéjero’s self-proclaimed mission was to rewrite the history of indigenous America in what Enrique Florescano calls “a manifestation of criollo consciousness” (Florescano 2002, 277). In Dissertations, Claviéjero sternly criticizes the assumption with which his intended European readers encounter the so-called New World—namely that America was new because three centuries back it had been unknown to the European, when in reality the “New World” could boast an equally ancient history as the “Old World” (Claviéjero cited in Villoro 1950, 118). Claviéjero’s master work, History of Ancient Mexico, became a
classic of colonial Mexican literature, a work that rewrote the history of the Spanish conquest in a way that depicts the Mexica as a dignified and brave people with a rich history and culture, and that defies the myths written by his contemporaries, Corneille de Paw and Buffon. Yet like his successors, Clavijero exalted the Mexica at the cost of other indigenous peoples like the “Caribs and Iroquois”, whom he described as less apt to compete with the grandest civilizations of Europe. From their architectural and engineering feats to their arts and crafts, the depictions of Mexica culture by Clavijero not only disproved narratives of Aztec barbarism but sought to prove the superiority of the Mexica over most known societies, past and present (Villoro, 141).

In the midst of Mexico’s war for independence, Fray Servando de Mier soon followed Clavijero’s exposé of Aztec glory with the 1813 publication of the History of the Revolution of New Spain which fomented the Black Legend by detailing Spanish despotism from the conquest onward. Historian Enrique Florescano notes that Mier’s narrative is as much an ode to Mexican independence as it is about the revindication of a destroyed continent through the renewed praise of the Mexica legacy, including the use of Nahuatl place-names and the creation of “neo-Aztequist” national histories (Florescano 2002, 292-300).

Above all, the monumentality of the Mexica Empire gave the country’s leadership a way of forging a unique cultural identity that they believed could challenge Europe’s cultural supremacy. But as philosopher Luis Villoro poignantly notes, rather than speaking to the nation’s internal realities, these efforts transpired as a self-conscious way to convince western powers that Mexico was worthy:

In the waiting room of its independence, America had seen the need to reflect upon herself in order to confront Europe. But if at that moment she looked to her reality, she did so always with her attention placed on the Other; she did not care so much in seeing herself as she truly was, rather, she sought to present Europe with a distinct image of what it wanted to see. In this way, Clavijero seeks out a reality opaque for the European: the Indian. America judges herself, but her judgment is established for use by the Other, for somebody else’s consumption. (209, translated from Spanish by author)

Rebecca Earle’s The Return of the Native discusses this dilemma: after achieving independence from Spain, the Latin American elite held a “double discourse” as they wrestled with the notion that they were themselves legitimate heirs of pre-conquest kingdoms at the same time as they desired to hold on to elements of their Hispanic heritage (Earle 39). As with the case of Clavijero, criollos self-consciously sought out narratives that they felt could contest the circulation of imagery that represented Latin America as a breeding ground for cannibalistic tendencies. Although depictions of barbarism targeted the indigenous, the African and the mixed-race, criollo desires for belonging to civilized societies inspired them to re-represent their geographies. Consequently, “In defending the Aztecs and the Incas, creoles were implicitly defending themselves from European claims of degeneracy and inferiority.” (28) In the context of Mexico, the nationalist texts that emerged during this period were political moves that “Mexicanized” the chronicles composed during the initial years of conquest by inserting
the view of the conquered, even if this view was imagined and inscribed by the privileged criollo elite (Florescano 2002, 304).

According to Villoro, the challenge for these early indigenistas was to return the gaze to the Europeans through the deployment of American greatness and European savagery at the same time as they disdained the so-called backwardness of their darker-skinned population. Again, the principle problem of criollo “double discourse” was this simultaneous praise of the grandiose pre-Hispanic and the explicit disavowal of each nation’s living indigenous cultures considered to be burdens on progress. Unlike other scholars, Villoro attributes this inconsistency of discourse not so much to a contradictory consciousness but to the elites’ temporal and geographic distance to these distinct indigenous groups. In other words, the Mexica could be more easily praised and mythologized not simply because they were the imperial presence that Hernán Cortés’ troops memorably confronted or because they left palpable material signs of a monumental civilization, the Mexica could be more easily eulogized because they no longer existed in the same time and space as these elites. Conversely, living indigenous peoples remained threatening reminders of cultural, political, and economic difference and as possible enemies to the post-colonial ruling class.

The “Caribs and Iroquois” of Clavijero would thus become the “Indian problem” that these same competing elites would break their heads to resolve through extermination, expulsion, forced labor, or miscegenation. It is out of this context of post-colonial historical revisionism that _indigenismo_ gradually arises as a powerful discursive tool for molding Mexican citizenship abroad and at home. Nineteenth century liberals and conservatives argued over the place of indigenous peoples within a modern nation-state and promoted theories for why indigenous peoples remained segregated from the non-indigenous and how this reality could be managed by the state. While the conservatives leaned toward allowing the continuation of separate “Indian Republics” (as had existed under the colonial regime), liberals increasingly called for the expropriation of communal lands as a way to expand the tenure of private property and mold the Indian into a small rural landowner. In this way, the “Indian problem” was increasingly a socio-economic problem that interposed itself amidst the battling political economic philosophies that fueled the _Guerra de Reforma_ or Reform War that raged between 1857 and 1861. Political and economic struggles aside, the warring factions of the _Guerra de Reforma_ continued to produce a large body of visual and literary representations of the indigenous where the hypervisibility of the Mexica-turned prototypical nationalist, sharply contrasted with the opaque indigenous Other.

In _Mythologies_, Roland Barthes brilliantly exposes how the myth is a semiotic system that is able to reconfigure itself through time and space creating a complex economy of meanings that, even over time, can avert being exposed in its contradictions. (Barthes 1957). Mythologies live not because they are fixed or without contradictions but because they can be picked up and put to work by the individual, the collective, and the state. In this way, the images of Moctezuma and Cuauhtémoc as mythical founding fathers have been worked into the Mexican psyche to symbolize the nation’s heroic difference before the world and its tragic resistance to imperial forces, then and now. Since independence, much of the nation’s symbols have centered around the glory and
defeat of these last Mexica emperors, inculcating a profound sense of nationalism based on a mythologized Indian past whose torch bearers are not the present-day Indians but the mestizos.

Personal memories continue to transport me to my grammar school history books produced by the Secretary of Public Education (SEP for its Spanish acronym). The classroom listened in awe to the teacher’s story of Hernán Cortés brutally burning Cuauhtémoc alive, forcing the noble emperor to divulge the whereabouts of his peoples’ treasures. The great Tenochtitlán was then buried and a new society was erected from its ashes. Outside of the classroom, these same stories were replicated on the walls of government buildings while Mexica martyrs appeared on money, in calendars, and in childrens’ stories. These manifestations are part and parcel of what Benedict Anderson (1991) signals as the fundamental markers of an imagined national community where a common language is formed around a mythologized history and culture. In Mexico’s case, the fall of the Aztec Empire to Spanish horses and steel and the birth of the mestizo become the ultimate embodiment of the clash of the two empires. Following Thongchai Winichakul’s (1994) analysis of the colonial map, Mexico’s cultural map can be understood as a projection of what the state wanted to represent rather than a reflection of the country’s contrasting historical and cultural realities that do not center on the fall of Tenochtitlán and the rise of Mexico City. In this way, the narrative of the nation-state becomes hegemonic through visual technologies like the map, the mural, or the first grade history book.

Barthes states that “every semiotic system is a system of values; in this way the consumer of the myth takes its signification as a system of facts: the myth is read like a factual system when it is but a semiotic system” (Barthes 1957, 204). Myth-making thus continues even as the nation has slowly come to replace the single narrative of the Mexica and Spanish with notions of pluriculturalism that aesthetically and textually recognize the nation’s heterogeneity. In 2010 when Mexico celebrated its 200 years of independence and its 100 years since the Revolution, the government sponsored a traveling multimedia celebration called “200 Years of Being Proudly Mexican.” A one-hundred meter screen took the audience through the grandest moments of Mexican history culminating with Pepe Aguilar’s mariachi interpretation of “México lindo y querido” and accompanied by images of present-day multiculturalism: Todos somos México, punk rockers, Indians, industrial workers, and homemakers. In late May 2010, during the unveiling of this multimedia spectacle in the soccer field of the Autonomous University of Nayarit in Tepic, a select group of Wixárika and Yekuena students were asked to dress in their traditional attire and welcome the first lady, Margarita Zavala. The cordoned off V.I.P. area behind the screen appeared to be the backstage of a multicultural performance and various attendees took photos with the Indians. In what has now become the era of multiculturalism, the Mexica is no longer the dominant ethnic marker of Mexico. As the multimedia presentation demonstrated, Mexico discursively imagines itself as an ethnically and culturally diverse nation, albeit one that eventually leads back to the mestizo (the mariachi) as the unifying identity of the nation.

As will be discussed in subsequent chapters, present models of multicultural citizenship carry equally potent forms of visual and textual representations that continue
to do the work of foreclosing indigenous heterogeneity. Racial expectations remain prevalent as do desires to consume race in a variety of private and public spaces. Consequently, iconography and representation continue to steer the popular imagination toward imagining indigenous peoples in limited physical and temporal spaces, creating a situation where indigenous peoples’ recognition before state and society is contingent on this established imagination. In *The Cunning of Recognition*, Elizabeth Povinelli demonstrates that in order to understand the standards that have been set for recognition, one inevitably must return to “the archive” as the long body of scholarly and governmental work that has come to define indigenous tradition and authenticity (230). Accordingly, the archive makes recognition contingent on internalizing and carefully performing upon “the nation’s and law’s image of traditional cultural forms and national reconciliation and at the same time ghost this being for the nation so as not to have their desires for some economic certainty in their lives appear opportunistic” (8). Wixárika university students and professionals daily walk a careful line interpelating these expectations, choosing whether to dress up for the first lady or sit out of the performance all together. Furthermore, as Deborah Poole notes in her study of the “visual economy” of photography in Peru, we must not forget that popular images of Indians have not occurred in the context of reciprocal and equal exchange, as the targeted subject has often been mute in the exchange while in other cases has actively consented to it (Poole 133). Let us move to the Casta painting to understand the often dismissed centrality of race in Mexican society, and, through this detour, revisit how representation creates enduring material effects.

**To Name and To Place: Casta paintings and Colonial Racial Consciousness**

The long-standing fascination in Europe with foreign lands and peoples, and Mexico’s strong sense of creole identity at the beginning of the eighteenth century are some of the broader issues that need to be taken into account when examining [Casta paintings]. In fact, the interest in portraying colonial life in a positive light, coupled with European’s fascination with non-European cultures, lies at the core of this pictorial genre.

-Ilona Katzew, *Casta Painting*, 2004, 63-

I keenly remember the section in my fifth grade history textbook that described the six principal castas that made up Mexico’s colonial order: *Español*, *Criollo*, *Mestizo*, *Indígena*, *Mulato*, and *Zambo*. Within the textbook, the order and description of these six castas followed a hierarchical progression that clearly identified the *Indígena*, *Mulato*, and *Zambo* on the lower rungs of society. The SEP illustrators also chose particular visual depictions. Of these, I can still clearly recall how the *Español* wore a coat of armor and how the *Zambo* had shaggy hair and a bare torso. The sharp contrast between the armored and nude body of each figure left me with an unplaceable haunting feeling.

---

10 In Mexico, all private and public schools use the textbooks issued by the *Secretaría de Educación Pública* (SEP), and within this system each student is guaranteed a copy of each of the textbooks per year. The SEP’s history, geography and literature textbooks reflect Mexico’s post-revolutionary nationalism and replicate the nation’s foundational mythologies and officially sanctioned historical narratives.
While my teacher cautioned tolerance and spoke of the *castas* as a long gone phenomenon, the didactics of the textbook replicated the racial gradient on which Mexican society was built.

Following the first century of conquest and destruction, the Spanish colonial system became increasingly consolidated, moving toward what Margarita de Orellana (1998) terms a “new stage of alterity” that had surpassed the manifest awe and violence of contact and negotiated a future of social relations with the indigenous Other (54). This “new stage of alterity” is made visible in the *casta* paintings produced in colonial New Spain that serve as important portrayals of racial representations and racial relations in post-Conquest Mexico and expose a vacillation between celebratory pride in the nation’s ethnic diversity and blunt efforts at containing that diversity. Analysis of these paintings’ aesthetic and social content can help us understand the trajectory of representation and its co-determinate role in cementing social hierarchies. Ilona Katzew’s formidable study of *Casta* paintings (2004) illustrates just how important it is to bring this pictorial genre out of the shadows, not only because it can help contemporary scholars better understand the colonial social order, but because it illustrates notions of alterity and racial representation during a moment when the interest for naming, classifying, and displaying objects and peoples became an important force within European intellectual and political circles, casting important ripple effects throughout colonial America.

*Casta* (caste) was an administrative term used during this period to designate any combination of mixed ethnic heritage and its relative position within the colonial social hierarchy. In practice, the Spanish and Criollos were exempted from the *casta* label, which was largely used to name any person of mixed ethnic heritage. Detailing the vast ethnic miscegenation of the time period, the paintings in question expose the tense interplay between the celebration of ethnic diversity of New Spain and the ways in which these racial “combinations” were subsequently laid out along the social, economic, and political hierarchy of the era. *Casta* paintings thus serve as powerful visual depictions of the racial heterogeneity and organization of the colonial era.

Did the idea of onomastics emerge in the context of New Spain? How did naming and portraying the offspring of racial miscegenation become increasingly valued for its aesthetic and social content? Katzew’s study argues that this was a two-fold process, where on the one hand Europeans—in this case the Spanish Crown and Church—sought to “know” the New World by viewing it from afar. Like maps, these pictorial representations allowed the King to envision the far off spaces of conquest that he was administering because “knowing was predicated on seeing” (Mundy 1996, 9). On the other hand, *casta* paintings emerge from a “strong sense of creole identity” where the descendants of Spaniards in New Spain proudly sought to display the environmental and cultural wealth of their territory. This latter fact was largely supported by the similar celebration that European travelers exhibited in their own writings, where the climate, customs, and inhabitants of New Spain were seen as highly attractive to the foreign eye (Katzew 2004, 39). Orellana argues that these artistic depictions of the New World’s variety largely reflected the European eye and its astonishment with the “American.” In this way, images of natives were “assimilated” into already circulating medieval
depictions of European pagans and infidels while the Mexica temples resembled Roman and Egyptian ones (52-4).

Of equal importance is how these paintings reflected the criollo imagination and pride of the 17th and 18th centuries. Katzew’s own study points to Mexico City’s rising fame and wealth at home and abroad. The criollos of New Spain delighted in being part of one of the largest and most pluralistic cities in the world, which was often compared to the cities of Italy, yet whose exoticism gave it a unique grandeur (Katzew 2004, 2). Reflecting this splendor were the ways in which criollos bore these signs in what Katzew describes as a period of unrivaled ostentatiousness displayed in their dress, architecture and modes of transport (i.e., carriages) (68). Simultaneously, local writers and artists created works that proudly asserted their difference before Old Europe, elaborating on New Spain’s environmental and cultural wealth. Famed poet Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz’s “Villancicos” speak of ethnic miscegenation in humorous, affectionate, and even encouraging tones, indicating how the intellectual strata of colonial society embraced ethnic diversity (in Artes de México 1998). It is worth pointing out that as early as 1680 writer Sigüenza y Góngora designed an arch for Mexico City that was decorated by twelve “pre-Conquest monarchs,” demonstrating early signs of the coming indigenista aesthetics that reached their height under the Porfirian and post-Revolutionary regimes (Katzew 2004, 69). A look at the first wave of Casta paintings indeed gives the impression of a tolerant and diverse society where its members shared a certain degree of equality. For example, the 1725 De negro e india, lobo painting attributed to artist José Ibarra depicts a well-dressed African man and his Indian wife and child who similarly wear elegant huipiles illustrating a degree of admiration for traditional indigenous dress and departing from depictions of Indians as naked savages (62). Clearly the casta paintings are unique examples of how colonial society could deal with alterity in a positive light and embrace miscegenation.

De Negro e India, Loba (From Black and Indian, Wolf), casta painting attributed to José de Ibarra, ca. 1725 (Katzew 2004, 87)
This said, the *casta* paintings are an undeniable reproduction of social hierarchization obsessed with seeing and grading race. While miscegenation was tolerated and at times celebrated, it was also strongly condemned. In this way, the production of these paintings serves as a pictorial testimony to the ways in which colonial Mexico moved toward displaying and controlling ethnic difference:

With the increase in miscegenation grew also the laws attempting to impose limits on the rights and obligations of the mixed-blooded. A quick inspection of the incomplete 1680 codification of colonial laws, the *Recopilación de las leyes de los reynos de Indias*, amply demonstrates that every aspect of the castas’ life was controlled, including the determination of paying tribute, occupational opportunities, and access to education and ecclesiastical posts. Colonial law even attempted to regulate friendship, dress codes, and marriage choices. (Katzew 2004, 41)

While the actual efforts to impose these laws were largely ineffective (people continued to interact and procreate across ethnic barriers), the idea of *castas* and their visual portrayals did indeed have material effects on those who were considered to belong to the lower rungs of the racial ladder. People of African descent were particularly targeted as carriers of “tainted blood,” and colonial officials went to special ends to attempt to limit the interaction of blacks and Indians, seeing their offspring as the most degenerate members of society. ¹¹ Likewise, distinctions were made between different “types” of Indians, where those who were thought to be descendants of Indian nobility (read Aztec) were given a pass as they had “*calidad*” (quality) and thus higher standing. Interestingly, the dichotomies drawn between good and bad Indians followed geographic lines as those belonging to the categories of “*Indios bárbaros*,” “*Indios chichimecas*,” or “*Indios apaches*” represented the indigenous peoples in the northern territories where the Spanish struggled to exert their authority (136-7). And within urban spaces, efforts were made to segregate the Indians from Africans out of the fear that the two groups could mobilize against the Spanish (46). ¹²

Despite these racial hierarchies, people had the ability to better their social standing through processes of marrying up the racial ladder, earning a reputable employment or religious position, or through the purchase of certificates of whiteness called “*Gracias al sacar*” (“thank you for removal”) (49-56). ¹² Notwithstanding, for Spanish colonial observers both the *casta* system and their accompanying paintings indicated a degeneration of their territories and a lack of criollo control over the society they helped run. For these administrators miscegenation was a clear indicator of criollo permissiveness that needed to be contained. Katzew points out that with the Bourbon Reforms of the second half of the 18th century the Spanish strengthened their grip over New Spain, carrying out a type of “recolonization” that was in turn reflected in the *Casta* paintings of the period where a heightened sense of racial hierarchization is depicted by giving each *casta* a specific character and occupational trait (111-114). Consequently, the

---

¹¹ During the 17th century Mexico heavily engaged in the slave trade from Africa.
¹² It was said that it took three generations for the Spanish-Indian mixture to return to being denominated Spanish.
social malleability that was rightly or wrongly seen as part of the pre-Bourbon Reform period was replaced with more fixed ideas of what each racial being brought to society. Here, Katzew reminds her readers that *casta* painting not only reached its apex during the second half of the 18th century, but did so in the context of rising European interests of naming and categorizing the world, most notably under the guidance of Linnaeus and de Buffon’s “cabins of natural history.” The peoples of the New World were aligned with the worlds of flora and fauna, their depictions or actual bodies transported to Europe for the pleasure of the imperial courts and academicians:

The cabinet provided the ideal forum from which colonial difference could be contained and articulated as a category of nature. Moreover, by entering the cabinet *casta* paintings not only became part of the global categorization project fostered by eighteenth-century naturalists but were in themselves microcosms that organized nature’s bounty and allocated a specific place for each element. (Katzew 2004, 160-1)

As Allan Pred (2004) tells us through the story of Badin, a Caribbean man taken to the Swedish imperial court during the 18th century, the bodies of the stereotyped were increasingly determined and fixed by “Linnaeus’ eye,” the eyes of science and art, the eyes of power and discourse (30). In 1813, insurgent independence leader José María Morelos called for the abolition of *castas* in the Constitution of Apatzingán (Castello Yturbiode 1998, 73). With its independence, Mexico’s political leadership sought to no longer mirror the colonial social order and made discursive strides to move toward a unified national body reigned over by the figure of the mestizo.

*Indigenismo* and *Mestizaje* as a Meditation on Mexican Alterity

*His life in exchange for the perpetual recognition of the other!* The mestizo cannot destroy the Indian because he needs him; he needs to conserve him. The formula of this conservation will be the “transformation” of the Indian. Within it the Indian is denied in his peculiarity and autonomy, but is conserved in his existence as long as he accepts his submission to the mestizo’s social, economic and cultural system.

1987, 227, translated from Spanish by author

For the past several decades, scholars have written extensively about *indigenismo* and *mestizaje* as ideologies that heavily shaped post-revolutionary Mexican society. Much of the discussion revolves around the ways in which state and society selected and assimilated certain folkloric characteristics of the nation’s indigenous heritage while simultaneously deploying projects of assimilation targeted at a culturally and geographically heterogeneous indigenous population. These shifts from *indigenista* discourse to practice and the debates that surged within *indigenista* governmental and academic institutions over the “Indian problem” will be further discussed in Chapter 2. What is troublesome is that the many debates on *indigenista* discourse and practice tend to frame it monolithically and fail to substantively consider *indigenismo* as a series of meditations on Mexican alterity that have shifted through time and space. It is in this light that Luis Villoro’s pioneering book, *Los Grandes Momentos del Indigenismo en México*,
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becomes seminal for understanding how *indigenismo* is not simply a product of the Mexican Revolution and the National Indigenist Institute but rather a series of philosophical quandaries that illuminate how non-indigenous peoples have sought to discover and emplace the Self in relation to the country’s indigenous Other. It is worth pointing out that Villoro’s book was published in 1950 at a moment when *indigenismo* was reaching the apex of institutionalization, not only in Mexico but in other Latin American countries. In this light, it is a surprisingly critical book that frames *indigenismo* as a problem of the mestizo consciousness by posing the question, “What of the being of the Indian is manifested in the Mexican consciousness?” (9)

Villoro organizes his argument by looking at three “moments” in Mexico’s *indigenismo* beginning with the conquest and concluding with the surge of the post-revolutionary institutional *indigenista* frameworks. These different moments are used to give evidence to Villoro’s argument that *indigenismo* reflects how the racial projections of Spaniards, criollos and mestizos are reconfigured depending on the historical context of each period. *Indigenismo* is thus a process where the Indian is “understood and judged” or “revealed” by the non-Indian, leading to material acts that define the type of “domination and exploitation” that will be used to contain the Indian (8). More importantly, Villoro’s three moments conceive of space and time as central in shaping the indigenista’s philosophy and view of the indigenous where the “mestizo self” is inseparable from the “indigenous other.” Within this analysis, the relation between the mestizo and the Indian is mediated by spatial and temporal proximity: the act of the Indian being “present and close” is reflected in negative characterizations (i.e., the degenerate and anti-modern Indian of the present moment), conversely, the Indian’s existence as “past and distant” allows for positive accounts of Indian glory (i.e., the Mexica Empire).

Additionally, Villoro shows how each moment uses a particular rationale of representation and domination and by doing so presents the indigenous as transparent. Here it is worth pausing on the material effects of reason and transparency in framing racial identities. In his call for a “poetics of relation” based on the right to difference, Édouard Glissant (1997) powerfully notes that transparency does the work of reduction and simplification through measurement. Much as Said teaches us, the deployment of reason within the context of colonial domination works to present flat and transparent representations of the Other that can be neatly ordered and categorized. Within this totalizing logic, the identities of colonized peoples are eternally opposed to those of Western peoples, creating a “limitation from the beginning” where identity is understood as always in opposition rather than in dialectical relation (17). For Glissant, liberation is achieved through the right to opacity where people—in this case colonized peoples—do not have to subjugate themselves to full disclosure and reduction but can choose the times and places in which they wish to reveal themselves in their difference.

The choice of self-identification and opacity has been obfuscated by the power of representation and its centrality in ensuring rule, whether it be colonial, nationalist, or neo-liberal. Villoro’s three moments of Mexican *indigenismo* precisely outline the ways in which representations by the non-indigenous of the indigenous have worked, at least until the 20th century. The first moment is that of colonial contact mediated by the
writings of Hernán Cortés and Fray Bernardino de Sahagún, the 
conquistador and the 
evangelizer. At contact, the European stands in awe before an opaque Indian; the many 
unknowns of this new world and its people bring Cortés and his contemporaries toward 
the desire to know, study, and describe in order to conquer and dominate. Awe of the 
great city of Tenochtitlán is contrasted with a desire to expunge it. It is here where we 
have the first manifestations of the contradictory consciousness that the 
indigenista has 
toward indigenous peoples: “Naturally one will have to respect their laws and the order of 
their society that manifest themselves to us with beautiful colors; supernaturally one will 
have to destroy the idolatric stain that reveals itself perversely and demoniacally.” 
(Villoro 65) Cortés’s narratives mark the beginning of the indigenista tendencies of 
paternalism and admiration, judgment and disdain that continue to manifest themselves 
today.

While Sahagún’s mission is not to conquer cities and empires, it is to transform 
pagans into God-fearing Christians. From this mission, Sahagún seeks to explain the 
origins of the idolatry of the Indians in order to eliminate it through evangelization. 
Throughout this friar’s writings, one finds ample elements of admiration for indigenous 
peoples’ cultural values, from art and engineering to family life. Similarly to Cortés, he 
demonstrates appreciation and disgust at this newly encountered world. Yet in his 
Historia de la Conquista de México, Sahagún includes examples of unwarranted Spanish 
brutality such as the time that Pedro de Alvarado, like an eager anthropologist, asks 
Moctezuma to have his people perform a ceremony to Huitzilopochtli, and during the act 
he orders the Spanish soldiers to lock all palace doors and massacre the Indians. Sahagún 
writes that “blood ran along the patio as water does when it rains, and the entire patio was 
planted with heads and arms, and guts, and bodies of dead men: in every corner the 
Spanish looked for living ones in order to kill them” (Sahagún 1829, 278). His texts 
were subsequently placed in the Secret Archives of the Vatican due to his perceived 
sympathy for the beliefs of native peoples. As such, one of Sahagún’s principal 
endeavors was to comprehend the relation that indigenous peoples had with “universal 
history and culture” at the same time that he attempted to recognize how their beliefs 
could be valued on their own grounds. Notwithstanding, Villoro argues that the logic that 
both Cortés and Sahagún worked with reflected the understanding that the destruction of 
the Indian was inevitable: the Indian could only be purified through his annihilation. In 
this first moment of indigenismo, “[d]estruction and rebirth mark the moment in which 
the Indian is expiated. And it is precisely within this purifying movement that a new 
nation is created. It is through the tragic renunciation of the Indian that the Mexican 
people are born.” (Villoro 109) As we were told in the mythology of our Mexican 
schoolbooks, the birth of the Mexican nation and people begins at this celebrated moment 
of death. The original sins of Cortés and the conquistadores are cleansed by the martyred 
Mexicas and resurrected through the Mestizo.

Important parallels can be drawn between the Mexican narrative of the sacrificial 
Indian and anthropologist Michael Taussig’s analysis of terror and healing in 
Shamanism, Colonialism, and the Wild Man (1987). The long centuries of colonial and neo-colonial 
rule in Colombia are first and foremost marked by what Taussig terms the space of death 
“where the Indian, African, and white gave birth to a New World” and where the inequity 
that held these three groups together sustained colonial hegemony (5). Slowly the
conqueror and the conquered become co-dependent—bound together through the inner workings of colonial rule literally exemplified in the figure of the *cargador indio* whose trade is to carry the white man on his back through the tortuous trails of the Andes. The white man needs the Indian to navigate the New World’s territories while the Indian needs the white man’s employment to survive under the New World’s economic system. In this light, torture and death as well as negotiation and submission become intrinsic ingredients of colonial hegemony:

> It was not even that this subordination of the Indian was achieved by a *blending* of force and fraud, or of arms and persuasion, or of conquest through barter and of barter through conquest. All that way of thinking is merely a truism that preserves the separateness of the domains even while blending them; violence and ideology, power and knowledge, force and discourse, economy and superstructure…But when we put the two languages together it is not the blending of force…that results, but a quite different conception in which the body of the Indian, in the process of its conquest, in its debt-peonage and in its being tortured, dissolves those domains so that violence and ideology, power and knowledge, become one—as with terror itself. (Taussig 1987, 29)

Cortés and Sahagún’s narratives mirror this impossibility of separating admiration from contempt, where acts of reason and violence are mutually sustaining and where the death of the Indian is read as *his* and the white man’s salvation.

Moreover it is the Indian’s transformation through the space of death (whether figurative or literal) that enables future acts of rebellion across indigenous territories as well as the construction of indigenismo as a national narrative of Mexican perseverance through tragedy. The real and imagined experiences of the Indian coming close to death yet managing to survive becomes a powerful discursive tool not only for the indigenista but for the indigenous as well. In considering the experience of the Middle Passage, Glissant similarly points to the act of death and being-near-death as a cathartic moment that holds unknowable keys for understanding the future. Glissant signals how, unbeknownst to the sufferer is his or her foundational role in establishing the modern: “Peoples who have been to the abyss do not brag of being chosen. They do not believe that they are giving birth to any modern force. They live Relation and clear the way for it, to the extent that the oblivion of the abyss comes to them and that, consequently, their memory intensifies.” (8) It is the act of memory, of remembering the Mexica kingdom and forgetting present day inequities, that becomes the Mexican nation’s passage to the modern, a passage that rests on the back of the living Indian.

Villoro’s second moment in Mexican *indigenismo* comes during the 19th century as the Enlightenment and accompanying notions of universal reason take hold amongst the country’s intellectual circles. Whereas Cortés and Sahagún’s narratives are used as quintessential examples of the first moment, dominated by ideas of the supernatural and the unknown, the second moment appears through the writings of Francisco Clavigero, Fray Teresa de Mier, and Manuel Orozco y Berra which rest on the vindication of the Mexica and on the rising interest in the classification and ordering of society through the prism of universal reason. In other words, the first moment is led by the opaque while the
second is framed by conceptions of transparency that Villoro best describes through the writings of Orozco y Berra:

[N]ow we walk through a conglomeration of figures. All of them are there, neatly organized, polished, awaiting their turn. The inventory is perfect, nothing is missing, indigenous civilization is complete: all of their themes are laid bare, their dates, perfectly aligned…one after the other; they sleep in their dream. It seems as if all things indigenous made it to their appointment. (177, translated from Spanish by author)

Accordingly, the battle between the civilized and barbarian races takes center stage in Orozco y Berra’s *Historia Antigua y de la Conquista de México* (1880). Most importantly, the Nahuatl people (the Mexica and peoples of central Mexico) follow the universal law toward progress while the Chichimecs (the indigenous peoples of the northern and western territories like the Wixárika) resist civilization to personal exhaustion (181).

Villoro’s critique draws interesting parallels with Dipesh Chakrabarty’s (2000) conceptualization of Histories 1 and 2, where the former mirrors a rationalistic European centered ideal of historical progress and the latter allows for alternate, non-linear and non-secular models of history. In *Provincializing Europe* Chakrabarty places historicism on the table, outlining how the idea of “first in Europe, then elsewhere” falsely presupposed that all non-Western nations would eventually “produce local versions of the same narrative” already problematically imposed for determining the progression of European modernity (7). Returning to the 19th century indigenista narrative, indigenous peoples, like their African and Asian counterparts, remained in the “imaginary waiting room of history” where they would need to eventually face a form of cultural obliteration in order to join the ranks of the modern (8). Crucially, Chakrabarty makes the “third world nationalism” emulated by the indigenista authors co-responsible with the European imperial project for their “collaborative venture and violence” in upholding the universal and the rational (42). The experiences of territorial displacement, forced acculturation, and extermination that the native peoples of the Americas witnessed during the 19th century manifest how this violence is both epistemic and material. In the context of Mexico, the Reform Laws worked to dispossess native peoples of their lands and force them into becoming wage laborers for the hacendados at the same time as the country’s intellectual elite perceived the indigenous as passive objects of study that were given meaning only through the criollo and mestizo analytical eye.

As the Mexican nation-state struggled to consolidate itself amidst continued foreign invasion and internal conflict between conservatives and liberals, the political and intellectual elite self-consciously debated the ways in which Mexico could become modern. Against the backdrop of the judgmental eye of 19th century academics and the literal invasions of French and U.S. military forces, Mexico’s elites found that the indigenous lent their discourses “specificity and consistency” that could be used to give the nation a sense of individuality and difference that could “liberate” it from the denigrating European gaze (Villoro 209). At the same time, these same elites grappled with how they would manage those indigenous peoples who co-existed with them in the same time and space. Here Clavijero felt certain that the “inferiority” of the Indian was
“purely accidental” and “dependent on historical factors” which were thus “perfectly solvable” through mechanisms of cultural, economic, and political acculturation (Clavijero cited in Villoro 136-7).

According to Villoro, Orozco y Berra heavily deploys the “scientific rational criteria” to understand the place of the Indian within the modern Mexican nation-state (187). Gathering data and subsequently classifying and analyzing it thus contributes to an idealized “objective” history of the indigenous and traces the path for establishing modes of racial relations based on segmenting the population between those deemed salvageable and those considered disposable. Drawing important parallels with the casta paintings, Villoro argues that during this second moment in indigenismo the Indian is made “passive, inert, willing to be analyzed and classified” (181). Ironically, during the very moments in which these narratives were constructed and debated, indigenous peoples throughout the Mexican territory reacted to the political economic forces that actively worked to dispossess them from their land and culture.

Rebellion and the Commodification of Land and Labor

Recuperating our indigenous connections can begin with the recuperation of their history. Recuperating our indigenous past should not be centered on the isolation and separation of the indigenous from national history. Integrating our origins must include the moments of union and separation, of confrontation and conflict that make up our present history.

– Beatriz Rojas, Los Huicholes: Episodios Nacionales, 1993, 253, translated from Spanish by author -

As the Mexican nation-state consolidated, the so-called Indian problem took on cultural, economic, and political magnitude reflected in a series of policies that attempt to harness the indigenous to the state. Throughout the 19th century, Mexico struggled to hold onto its independence while seeking to become a modern, capitalist nation-state. Liberals and conservatives hotly and often violently disputed the correct ways in which these objectives should be achieved. The place of indigenous peoples within Mexico became central to these tumultuous discussions, each side blaming the other for the continued lack of incorporation of the Indian into the social, political, and economic spheres. In short, the Indian became a type of pawn within their broader discussions over property ownership, the role of the Church, and institution building. As mentioned earlier, conservatives pushed for the continuation of the colonial policy of “Indian Republics” which allowed for indigenous communities to retain parallel governments and only engage the Mexican state when needed. Conversely, much like Gamio would argue later, liberals believed that only through the obliteration of these republics would indigenous peoples become integrated into a more homogeneous nation-state based on the ideals of individualism, private property, and a hybridized mestizo identity. In this way, indigenous peoples continued to be an object of study, of cataloguing, and of discussion, their bodies described, scrutinized and mapped as unmoving antagonists to the troubled national project.
As Villoro so eloquently demonstrates, the indigenous subject of the 19th century was too temporally and spatially present for the mestizo and criollo’s comfort, while the mythologized but defeated Mexica was an increasingly visible symbol of national pride. Liberals and conservatives alike replaced Spanish heroes with Mexica ones while they simultaneously drafted programs through which indigenous peoples’ cultures and territories would be managed. In fact, while the colonial system exerted unimaginable violence upon native peoples, many now argue that the 19th century brought about unprecedented dispossession by delegitimizing indigenous autonomous structures of land tenancy and governance. During this period, two contrasting faces of Indian citizenship emerged: one marked by the formidable liberal president Benito Juárez and the other by the fiercely anti-liberal rebellions that spanned northern, western, and eastern Mexico, culminating in the famous Caste War of Yucatán. Covering the regions of Tepic and Guadalajara, indigenous rebellion was marked by Manuel Lozada’s troops who led a nearly two decade uprising against land privatization. While Juárez stands today as one of Mexico’s most celebrated heroes and a symbol of Indian assimilation, the figure of Lozada (also known as El Tigre de Állica) has largely been ignored in official histories—remembered as a bandit to some and a fighter for indigenous and peasants rights to others. The historical context in which these two contrasting figures rose is crucial for understanding how they have been differently represented and remembered: one as the symbol of the “good Indian” and the other as the “bad Indian.”

The War of Reform (1857-1861) split liberals and conservatives into opposing warring factions, yet recent scholarship demonstrates that the two sides shared more in common than official historiography has led us to believe (Escobar Ohmstede 2007, Hale 1989, Hernández Silva 2007). For one, both liberals and conservatives did not hold to monolithic ideologies and did indeed share beliefs in the need to establish a degree of coherence and homogeneity in order for Mexico to develop economically and politically. Restructuring the country’s model of land tenure was central in reaching these objectives. Yet it is undeniable that it was the liberals who, influenced by the likes of Auguste Comte and Herbert Spencer, sought secular republicanism and upheld the notions of private property and individual rights as the motor for a modern society (Hale 1989). For the country’s leadership it was clear that better control over the nation’s territory was a fundamental feat that needed to be achieved in order to rule over such geographic and ethnic heterogeneity.

Raymond Craib’s excellent study on the state’s 19th century cartographic projects points to how map-making not only reflected the nation’s engagement with the liberal scientific models in vogue at that time, but, more importantly, how the technology of cartography afforded Mexico a sense of nation-making. The Carta General de la República Mexicana produced by Antonio García Cubas in 1858 mapped, measured, and standardized the land, as it also sought out and portrayed pre-conquest and colonial histories, indigenous languages, and archaeological sites (28). It is worth noting that García Cubas was a close colleague of Orozco y Berra and that the two of them helped re-inscribe the distinction between “good” and “bad” Indians under the basis of whether or not a tribe was sedentary and to what degree they had “proper ruins” and thus a “history” which the national imaginary could in turn celebrate. Again, this distinction followed a geographic and cultural line, designating the indigenous peoples of the northern territories as “perfidious, traitorous and cruel,” lacking the material culture
which would place them in the pantheon of the civilized Mexicas, Mayas, and Zapotecs (Orozco y Berra quoted in Craib, 36). Craib argues that the work of mapping was multifold and brought about a symbolic re-conquest of the national territory, ensuring Mexico a sense of control over land that was under constant foreign invasion, developing infrastructure such as railways and roads, and opening the country’s territory to foreign investment by “rendering Mexico familiar to foreign viewers.” (40)

Despite much of this rhetoric being centered on the solidification and protection of the nation-state and the transformation of its citizenry into propertied individuals, land was increasingly surveyed for the benefit of a few national and non-national elites. The collective impact of these new technologies and Juárez’s Lerdo Law of 1856, which expropriated communally held lands and transformed them into individually managed plots, posed a grave threat to indigenous communities. In the final analysis, “land division became an ideological obsession, a fixation, among Mexican liberals, who saw it as the solution to a host of social, economic, and political problems” (56). For many indigenous peoples, particularly those inhabiting the northwestern part of the country, the most serious social, economic, and political problems they had faced since the initial period of conquest came about after Mexico’s independence from Spain. In fact, according to historian Beatriz Rojas, the Wixaritari’s limited involvement in the war of independence shifted from a brief alliance with the insurgents to supporting the Crown—this on the basis of the landholding safeguards that the colonial regime recognized (Rojas 1993, 256-7). These strategic alliances between Wixárika communities and conservatives would continue throughout the 19th century.

Nonetheless, it is important to note that the liberal expropriation of communally held lands under the Lerdo Law was not carried out in a uniform and consistent manner, and while many communities escaped direct state intervention, the general frenzy and “ideological fixation” over the privatization of land created a series of informal invasions and expropriations by mestizos upon their indigenous neighbors. The combination of these factors quickly led to a series of organized peasant and indigenous rebellions in what Karl Polanyi would call a “double movement” where “society protects itself” from a disposessing political economic system and, more concretely, the domination of the market over the social and political:

Ultimately, that is why the control of the economic system by the market is of overwhelming consequence to the whole organization of society: it means no less than the running of society as an adjunct to the market. Instead of economy being embedded in social relations, social relations are embedded in the economic system. (Polanyi [1944] 2001, 60)

In this light, Mexico’s entry into the global system of industrial capitalism shares many parallels with Polanyi’s analysis of the transformations experienced by the English peasantry and proletariat during the same historical period. Similarly, under the liberal leaderships of Juárez and Porfirio Díaz, the state facilitated the privatization of agrarian lands, causing massive rural displacement toward the country’s developing industrial
centers. It is out of this context that Manuel Lozada’s armed rebellion began in 1857, organizing Nayarit’s countryside to take back the plots of land given to the haciendas one year after the Lerdo expropriation law was passed (Jáuregui 1999, 259).

On the other hand, the conservative government of Maximilian I’s Second Mexican Empire (1864-1867), sought to establish mechanisms by which it could institutionalize and better manage land titling and allotment while simultaneously protecting the communally owned property of indigenous peoples through declaring it a special classification of private property (Meyer 1993, 347). Rather than a form of orthodox conservatism, historian Jean Meyer aptly describes these actions as “progressive liberalism” because Maximilian agreed with the premise of converting communal properties into private ones, as long as speculators and large landowners did not override the land rights of indigenous communities (330). Yet much of these actions transpired under the paternalist and racialized notion that the Indian character was docile and needed to be protected from the more astute mestizo and white land grabbers (353-8). Contrary to the liberals, the imperial government correctly identified the problems behind indigenous peoples’ rapid loss of land and gained popular support from indigenous and peasant citizens who found Maximilian’s agrarian juntas to be efficient ways of regaining lost land and formalizing official title over the lands that were recognized as theirs under the colonial regime (356-7). While Juárez and the liberal forces continued to oppose the conservative monarchy, the Lozadistas gained support from Maximilian’s government and returned the favor through continued armed rebellion against the liberals. Despite this alliance with the conservatives, Lozada’s forces continued to maintain a degree of independence in their actions, assuring that the territory around Tepic remained free of any permanent French troops while continuing to receive economic subsidies from the Empire (Jáuregui 1999, 252).

At the end, Lozada’s autonomy from the Empire proved an intelligent move as it did not take long for the French to be ousted and for Maximilian to be executed, while Lozada’s rebellion would continue for years to come. In 1873, after Lozada had seized Tepic and his troops’ closed in on Guadalajara, Jalisco’s Governor Ignacio Vallarta stated that Lozada’s forces had “executed the most scandalous and arbitrary territorial expropriation” and feared that Lozada might go as far as taking over Mexico City with his “100,000 Indians” (Meyer and Jáuregui 1989, 246). The Lozadistas were eventually defeated by the liberal army of General Ramón Corona on January 23, 1873 in the Battle of La Mojonera on the outskirts of Guadalajara and El Tigre de Álica was executed shortly thereafter in Tepic, a matter I will return to in later chapters.

Benito Juárez has left an indelible mark on Mexican history, becoming a central mythological figure within the country’s imaginary. His rise to power during a period of
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13 The social causes of the Mexican Revolution are overwhelmingly attributed to the thirty year Porfiriato however, the political economic engine behind social dispossession was set during the early years of independence and catalyzed with Juárez’s Reforma. Historian Aldana Rendón affirms that Juárez and Díaz “travelled on the same economic project…understanding progress in the same way,” for this reason the Revolution of 1910 should not be understood solely as movement that rose up against Díaz but as a movement that questioned the political economic program of liberalism (Aldan Rendón 1997, 20).

14 On September 14th, 1866 Maximilian decreed the Agrarian Law which, according to historian Jean Meyer, becomes a strong precedent for the post-revolutionary Agrarian Reform (Meyer 1993, 351-3).
great racial disregard toward indigenous peoples should not be understated; at the same time, his ability to engage national political economic questions while incorporating himself into a fully mestizo identity demonstrate that elites could accept an “assimilated” indigenous leader. The trademark image of Juárez shows him in formal statesman’s attire and is stamped on the widely circulating twenty peso bill. This image has uncritically stuck in the Mexican popular imagination as an example of political, economic, and racial nation-building, indisputably making him one of the most popular historical icons for monuments and murals throughout the country, even amongst the Mexican-American population in the United States. His famous quote, “El respeto al derecho ajeno es la paz” (Respect for the rights of others is peace) is widely circulated as a manifestation of moral lucidity and tolerance. Sadly, respect for the rights of others held only within the liberal framework of property ownership and individual progress; the displaced victims of the liberal laws are witnesses to this irony.

By contrast, Manuel Lozada’s figure is seldom seen except in a few towns of his native region of Nayarit where his troop’s descendants continue to celebrate and mythologize him for rebelling against injustices that continue to plague peasant and indigenous communities today. Lozada began his career as one of countless bandits who assaulted shipments travelling from the Port of San Blas to Tepic and Guadalajara; he eventually became a type of Robin Hood figure and leader of an “organized peasant movement with a strong leadership and a justice-laden ideology of agrarian vindication and millenarian revolution” (Rugeiro 1997, 16). Three known photographs exist of El Tigre de Álica, all taken toward the end of his life when the traces of sickness and impending defeat were clear in his expression ( Jáuregui 1999, 277). In two he poses in a simple white button up shirt and blazer, in a third he lies dead in a Franciscan shroud. When Lozada was marched into Tepic on horseback by soldiers, he had one foot in a boot and the other in a sandal, a defeated fighter in an agrarian war that Lozada himself summed up as follows:

My belief is that the people should be in possession of the plots of land that justly belong to them with proper titling so that, at all times this
question remain clear, convincing the government and the rest of the country’s people that, if a violent step was taken it was not to take that which belonged to others, but to recover stolen property, in such a way that the ends justify the means. (Lozada quoted in Meyer 1997, 57, translated from Spanish by author)

The question in this last segment is not why Manuel Lozada has not been nationally recognized or acclaimed in the same vein as Benito Juárez. After all, he was not a national leader and fought against established 19th century patriots. As Meyer notes, “Lozada left us a useless, unusable, inadmissible image that prevents official and spectacular celebration” (Meyer 1997, 60). Rather, what should be of interest to us is the juxtaposition between the good and the bad Indian, and a consideration of the images that stuck for both figures. Juárez and Lozada thus stand as symbols for the salvageable and unsalvageable Indian, the assimilated and the rebellious during a key moment of nation-building. In 1998, a sculptor placed Juárez and Lozada side by side in a mural at the headquarters of Nayarit’s Superior Court of Justice, the former’s image was accompanied by the text “Reform Laws” and the latter by “Agrarian Struggle”; despite their ideological differences, both figures stand as clear reflections of the changing social and political economic landscape of the 19th century (Jáuregui 1999, 282).

Over the previous pages we have seen various examples of how indigenous alterity has been engaged, refashioned, and retooled at different historical moments. Allan Pred argues that these very re-workings of the racial imaginary, the sticking image of a distorted racial Other is actively reinforced through everyday taken-for-granted practices. Of utmost importance is that these practices are popular and hegemonic, difficult to dispel due to their longstanding embeddedness in a political, scientific, and social logic sustained over centuries. A clear result of this is that a 21st century columnist can feel liberty and justification in describing indigenous peoples as less than human—literally as animals that are wrongly incorporated into civic life. El Güerote Chulote’s racist outburst over “Huicholes mayates” not only was imagined by the author, but was enunciated, passing the approval of the editor and meeting the eyes of local readers, among these the very Wixárika people who stood accused.

In this chapter we have also covered Luis Villoro’s moments of Mexican indigenismo that demonstrate the fluidity between the distinct periods of discourse and practice elaborated by the non-indigenous about the indigenous. In this way, the narratives established by Cortés and Sahagún are neatly followed by those of Clavijero, Mier, and Orozco y Berra and, later, by the formidable indigenista anthropologists and bureaucrats of the 20th century who we will examine with more caution in the following chapter. Similarly, the vibrant casta paintings that depicted colonial Mexican society pose important questions regarding early understandings of what was considered to be inevitable and, at times, valuable racial miscegenation. Yet this apparent tolerance of miscegenation and celebration of Mexico’s cultural richness carried a darker side marked by rigid colored hierarchies. Most important for the present discussion is to understand the work that casta paintings did in classifying and naming bodies, signaling the rising acceptance of science as an “objective” instrument for racial and territorial domination.
In the 19th century, despite the proclaimed prohibition of racial categorization, the political and intellectual leadership of post-independence Mexico utilized established racial narratives and hierarchies to implement new visions of nationhood and citizenship. The mestizo rose from the ashes of the Mexica Empire as the quintessential figure of Mexican citizenship, figuratively foreclosing the indigenous at the same time as mid-century reformers enacted policies that literally clamped down on the material livelihoods of indigenous communities. Regional rebellions like the one led by Manuel Lozada were the beginning of what would explode under the authoritarian regime of Porfirio Díaz, leading to a prolonged bloody and ideologically anxious revolution. With each moment of resistance the tense interplay between the visibility and invisibility of Mexico’s racial legacy becomes evermore apparent.
CHAPTER 2

ACCION INDIGENISTA

AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLES (1910-1994)

Between me and the other world there is ever an unasked question: unasked by some through feelings of delicacy; by others through the difficulty of rightly framing it. All, nevertheless, flutter round it. They approach me in a half-hesitant way, eye me curiously or compassionately, and then, instead of saying directly, How does it feel to be a problem? they say, I know an excellent colored man in my town; or, I fought at Mechanicsville; or, Do not these Southern outrages make your blood boil? At these I smile, or am interested, or reduce the boiling to a simmer, as the occasion may require. To the real question, How does it feel to be a problem? I answer seldom a word.


In the opening chapter of *The Souls of Black Folk* W.E.B. Du Bois begins with an exploration of how black people in the United States have come to be understood as a problem (Du Bois 1994 [1903], 1). In the context of the emancipation of enslaved blacks and the dawn of the modern industrial age, the presence of black people posed a logistical problem of labor, property, and political rights, summoning existential questions of ethics. How would a social, political, and economic body politic based on a legacy of white supremacy reconcile the presence of a free non-white population in the same geographical space? Often it is the black person, rather than racial inequity that is labeled the problem; and, as Du Bois makes painfully clear, it is the black person who, as the continued target, bears the weight of the label. From this dilemma Du Bois articulates a broader statement pronouncing that “the problem of the twentieth century is the problem of the color-line,—the relation of the darker to the lighter races of men in Asia and Africa, in America and the islands of the sea” (9). Implicit in Du Bois’ exploration is the difference between those who are considered to be the problem and those who, directly or not, activate the question from a position of power. In this way, to be considered a problem demands interpellation on an intimate individualized level; but, as Du Bois signals, to be a problem also requires a larger social response. It is this response that becomes the dilemma of the 20th century, one that, enmeshed in colonial relations of racial and geographical domination, transcends Du Bois’ turn-of-the-century United States and takes center-stage for peoples across the globe.

During the 20th century, Latin American intellectuals increasingly debated “the Indian problem” or the “Indian issue” (*la cuestión indígena*), linking it to broader questions of political representation and economic productivity that often centered on agrarian tenure (Mariátegui 1979 [1928]). As discussed in the previous chapter, the debates taking place amongst Mexican scholars and politicians framed the Indian problem as one that had direct implications for the formation of a coherent nation state with a more uniform cultural identity based on the increasingly mythologized mestizo figure. As with the black man or woman of the United States, the Mexican Indian was viewed by the country’s leadership as a problem because of the economic and political
rights that their presence put into question, but also because of the cultural anxieties that their difference raised. Borrowing from Luis Villoro, the indigenous person presented the ruling classes with the “most radical of alterities” (Villoro 1950, 224). Unlike its North American counterpart however, the Spanish colonial legacy of miscegenation and the exaltation of the mestizo as the prototypical Mexican led to a series of theories and practices that pushed for the Indian’s inclusion via acculturation, as opposed to the advocacy of segregation. In fact, as we shall see with Gustavo Aguirre Beltrán’s famous thesis on “regions of refuge” (1967), segregation was considered to be one of the strongest impediments to resolving the Indian problem.

During and after the years of the Mexican Revolution (1910-1920’s), the so-called Indian problem became palpably clear through the armed conflict over land and labor rights. Particularly through the forces of Emiliano Zapata in central Mexico, the struggle of peasants against the landowning oligarchy brought together the poor rural mestizo with the Indian, creating a façade of unified class struggle that absorbed the indigenous into the mestizo. The agrarian rights granted through the Constitution of 1917 only solidified the notion that the Indian problem was one of land tenure and, for many people, one of class. In fact, the theme of class versus ethnicity and race became a mobilizing concept for many Marxist indigenistas like Peru’s José Carlos Mariátegui and the post-1968 generation of Mexican indigenistas, a matter we will return to later in this chapter.¹⁵

Fundamentally, revolutionary state rhetoric sought to refashion the nation as a politically, economically, and culturally egalitarian society. Yet, like the discourses and practices of indigenismo of the previous centuries, revolutionary ideology manifested a series of contradictions with regards to indigenous peoples: on the one hand, the state sought to bring them into the nation as acculturated citizens who had shed their ethnic difference and, on the other, indigenous visual culture and folklore was viewed as a vital signifier of Mexicanness. Anthropologist Guillermo de la Peña affirms that the indigenista definition of acculturation can be described as “the gradual introduction of Western elements into the daily lives of indigenous people, supposedly in exchange for indigenous elements that enrich the national culture. This latter part tends to be simply understood as the commercialization of craftwork” or consumable folklore (de la Peña 2002, 101).

At the crux of the issue is the ambivalent recognition of indigenous citizenship demonstrated by the Mexican state’s vacillation between eliminating the indigenous through programs of acculturation and salvaging indigenous folklore as a central piece of national identity. In this sense, Claudio Lomnitz is correct in stating that despite broad discourses of nationhood as a “single fraternal community,” practice has demonstrated a constant distinction between “full” and “part” citizens, “weak” and “strong” citizens, where women, children, and peoples of color are relegated to second and third tiers of citizenship (Lomnitz 2001, 12). Nonetheless, this reality continued to be obfuscated by
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¹⁵ Peter Wade (1997) offers a concise overview of 20th century scholarly debates that brought the question of class to the forefront of discussions on race and ethnicity under the argument that economic inequity is the primary enabler of racial injustice.
the framing of revolutionary citizenship as “a harmonious interconnection between popular classes under the protection of the revolutionary state” (74).

This chapter will examine how the Mexican Revolution discursively and practically reinvigorated indigenismo. Through the Instituto Nacional Indigenista (National Indigenist Institute, or INI), acción indigenista (indigenista action) emerged as a “hands-on” effort to bring the centralized state to indigenous communities through the building of schools, clinics, and productive projects that sought to mold indigenous peoples into ideal revolutionary citizens. Founded on the notion of resolving the Indian problem, the administrators of acción indigenista targeted indigenous territories and cultures, producing laboratories of development in various ethnic enclaves of the country. The practices of the post-revolutionary Mexican state resonate with Michel Foucault’s analytic of governmentality whereby a population is targeted and administered through an assortment of state apparatuses and knowledges that respond to a particular political economic logic—in this case state capitalism (Foucault 2007, 108-109).

The attainment of modern capitalism inevitably required a postcolonial landscape like Mexico’s to shed forms of racial and cultural alterity associated with economic deficiency and backwardness. These “painful adjustments” associated with economic development and modernity would in fact become part of the post-World War II global paradigm for capitalist development (Escobar 1995, 4). Although referring to the colonial project, Ann Stoler’s discussion of the European Pauperism Commission of 1901 in the Dutch controlled Indies can help us understand Mexico’s nationalist project by signaling how “clusters of people” became the subjects of the state: “Ways of living were congealed into ‘problems,’ subject persons were condensed into ontological categories, innocuous practices were made into subjects of analysis and rendered political things.” (Stoler 2010, 30) This “sorting of people” emerged from and solidified ideas of how race “shaped distinct habits and inclinations” (Ibid.)

The practice described by Stoler was a central component of the Mexican revolutionary state’s corporatist structure, which reached its zenith under the presidency of Lázaro Cárdenas. Corporatism was an ideal setting for “sorting” distinct groups of people into politically activated interest groups that included Indians, industrial workers, and campesinos (Joseph and Nugent 1994; Gilly 2001; Boyer 2003). According to Rhina Rhoux the key objective of this corporatist structure was to effectively govern distinct social sectors by responding to their particular interests in ways that maintained the social order while advancing the state’s capitalist agenda (Rhoux 2005, 171-2). However Machiavellian the revolutionary state may appear to have become at this juncture, corporatism largely functioned because of the consent gained by the revolution’s popularity, particularly among those sectors of society, such as peasants, who had long struggled to see their demands recognized by the state (Boyer 2003, 217). Based on the combination of new constitutional laws and “pre-existing social forces,” the Cárdenas administration successfully constructed alliances between the state and urban and rural workers—alliances that would rupture under subsequent administrations (Gilly 2001, 164). Through a combination of personal character and reformism, President Cárdenas established an unprecedented sense of approval amongst the majority of the Mexican population. Adolfo Gilly attributes this feeling of popular consent for the administration
to the idea that Cárdenas “shared a territory, an imaginary, a vision of the nation and of its natural environment” (156).

On the other hand, coercion remained an integral part of rule, where membership and allegiance to state sanctioned syndicates became the only avenue for voicing demands on the government. This was especially true for indigenous communities, where the state instituted new leaders who did not represent the traditional governance system: “To make their reforms work, the Cardenistas and their successors reached inside the native communities, not only changing leaders but rearranging the governments, creating new offices to deal with labor and agrarian matters.” (Rus 1994, 267) Jan Rus’s analysis of Cárdenas’ policies in the highlands of Chiapas exposes the contradictory results that Tzeltal and Tzotzil communities experienced: content with advances made with land reform while finding themselves under the direction of new caciques (local strongmen) who became their only interlocutors for addressing labor and agrarian matters (279). As we shall see with the Wixárika case, the displacement of traditional indigenous political voices by government-friendly indigenous representatives became a widespread problem in the second half of the 20th century.16

During the initial decades of the revolutionary state, popular understandings of indigenous peoples and the Indian problem became ever more solidified through the Secretaría de Educación Pública (Department of Public Education, or SEP), led by José Vasconcelos, and through the visual culture of the muralist movement headed by the likes of Diego Rivera and David Alfaro Siqueiros (often sponsored by Vasconcelos). This burgeoning public art movement combined with the SEP’s curriculum retold Mexican history “from below.” Like their 19th century predecessors discussed in Chapter 1, revolutionary indigenistas maintained the trope of the Black Legend and the tragic fall of the Indian redeemed through the figure of the mestizo. Reflecting the centralist notion of the state with its epicenter in Mexico City, the Mexicas remained the glorious representation of indigeneity, while the image of living indigenous groups remained caught between an exotic rural past and the ambiguously tragic mestizo citizen.

From this context emerged the practices that guided acción indigenista and solidified their popular appeal. This process can be understood through the Gramscian concept of hegemony that describes the always evolving social forces that become maneuvered by the state and sustained through popular consent. In this way, the state installs a “profound measure of social and moral authority” across different social sectors in order to steer the “productive forces of development” in the interest of the ruling classes (Hall 1986, 18). As previously discussed, Mexico’s political system of the mid-20th century epitomized the construction of a hegemonic order based on a “historic bloc” that forged “expansive, universalizing alliances” to maintain its leadership (15). But as William Roseberry points out, “Gramsci does not assume that subaltern groups are
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16 Michael Watts notes that one form of power is not fully displaced by another, but rather a “complex triangulation” is produced that sustains “many forms of power put to the purpose of security and regulation” (Watts 2003, 14). In the context of state-indigenous relations in Mexico, this analysis provides a more nuanced view of how new indigenous authorities surreptitiously mediated debates between traditional tribal authorities and the different scales of state government.
captured and immobilized by some sort of ideological consensus,” so their relation to the state remains open to possibilities that range from acceptance of state intervention to resistance (Roseberry 1994, 360). Through this, the work of governmentality remains essential as it supports the “intersection of the public, private and voluntary, in which there is no clear sovereign authority, and in which trust conventions, networks and non-formal obligations and reciprocities figure centrally” (Watts 2003, 12). But as the Mexican state’s hegemonic hold began to crumble in the late 1960s, so too did the legitimacy of indigenismo. At the same time, reformulations in anthropological thought and practice pushed for reforms within the INI that sought to give the institution a more indigenous-friendly face.

In the first segment of this chapter, I will discuss how indigenismo, and acción indigenista, were an outcome of hegemonic notions of the Indian problem embraced by state bureaucrats, private entrepreneurs, and popular culture. In the latter segment, I will pay special attention to the INI’s projects in Wixárika territory beginning in the 1960s. Considered one of Mexico’s remaining “regions of refuge,” the inclusion of Wixárika communities in the INI’s policies came later than most other indigenous communities. Wixárika resistance to these projects combined with the inefficiency of state bureaucracies led to their shortcomings. The neo-liberal reforms of the 1980s and of the Salinas period in particular marked a rupture with the Partido Revolucionario Institucional (Institutional Revolutionary Party, or PRI), relations with indigenous peoples leading to changes in state policy under the conservative Partido de Acción Nacional (National Action Party, or PAN). The sequence of new “pluricultural” constitutional agreements and international human rights law combined with the appearance of the Ejército Zapatista de Liberación Nacional (EZLN), and its broad national and international support, brought about new platforms through which indigenous peoples could voice their grievances and demand their rights. These shifts also brought about the replacement of government programs with ones orchestrated by non-governmental organizations.

This chapter concludes with a brief examination of the temporary and permanent migrations of Wixaritari to mestizo dominated towns and cities. These migrations must be understood as textured and complex movements that result from a combination of longstanding traditions linked to Wixárika territoriality, political economic transformations brought about by state and market forces, and commonly-held desires to find opportunities in new places. The end result is a growing heterogeneous Wixárika population in Guadalajara and Tepic.

Revolution, Acculturation and the Fathers of Indigenismo

Ac·cul·tur·a·tion: The modification of a primitive culture by contact with an advanced culture. (*The American Heritage Dictionary*, 1970)

Ac·cul·tur·a·tion: 1) The modification of the culture of a group or individual as a result of contact with a different culture. 2) The process by which the culture of a particular society is instilled in a human from infancy onward. (*The American Heritage Dictionary*, 2006)
After the Mexican Revolution and through much of the 20th century, *indigenismo* became the official state philosophy that guided relations between non-indigenous and indigenous Mexicans, framing the discourse of development initiatives in and around rural indigenous communities. Doctors, environmentalists, teachers, anthropologists, seekers of shamanic spirituality, and politicians generally coalesced in seeing certain values in the country’s indigenous cultures. Conversely, these same groups actively engaged in practices that sought to mold indigenous peoples to suit particular models of development and “bring them into modernity,” or, in some cases, keep them frozen in mythical times and spaces. This often included reshaping indigenous agricultural methods, banning or re-inventing traditional attire, marginalizing traditional authorities by supporting new leaders, and, most of all, celebrating indigenous cultures through ethnic tourism (Gamio 1948; Instituto Nacional Indigenista 1988; Rus 1994; Hernández Castillo 2001; De la Peña 2002). Through these varied interventions, the practices of *indigenismo* have often served to conceal the dispossession of indigenous peoples and communities. As described in Chapter 1, *indigenismo* has undergone discursive shifts that correspond to the larger cultural and political trends of the times—this includes the movement away from *mestizaje* and toward multiculturalism. Much like the definitions of acculturation noted in the epigraph, *indigenismo*’s foundational preoccupation with indigenous peoples is based upon a perceived socio-economic and cultural end point that implies a certain abdication of indigenous traditions.

During much of the 20th century, revolutionary ideology fostered and institutionalized *indigenismo* through the creation of state entities that would study and seek the assimilation of indigenous peoples into the national body. Public education and agrarian reform were two of the cornerstones of this integration. The INI as well as the schools and institutes of archaeology and anthropology founded by Alfonso Caso would serve the double purpose of creating specific projects for indigenous communities while educating the general public about Mexico’s indigenous heritage. President Lázaro Cárdenas firmly believed that a revolutionary and democratic Mexico would never be complete as long as indigenous peoples remained outside of the nation-state “isolated, uncultured and in misery” (Cárdenas 1948, xiii).

A brief exploration of two of *indigenismo*’s most influential 20th century proponents can better illustrate this point. Manuel Gamio, considered “the father of Mexican anthropology,” accepted Lamarckian theories of racial evolution, while Gonzalo Aguirre Beltrán’s creation of the *Centros Coordinadores* (which became the essence of *acción indigenista*) strove to put an end to what he deemed Mexico’s uneven economic geography that kept indigenous people oppressed. The differences and similarities that marked the work of these anthropologists illustrate the ongoing search for national identity as it negotiated the racial, cultural, and spatial alterity presented by the presence of indigenous peoples.

**El Indio Triste and the Noble Indigenista**

Naturally that civilizing bath did not go beyond the epidermis, leaving the body and the soul of the Indian as they were before, pre-Hispanic. In order to incorporate the Indian let us not pretend to “europeanize” him with one brushstroke; on the contrary, let us “Indianize” ourselves
On April 19, 1942, as director of the *Instituto Indigenista Interamericano* (III), Manuel Gamio gave a radio address for the “The Day of the Indian”, titled “Racism and the Indigenous Population”, detailing the disregard and racism experienced by indigenous people at the hands of the Mexican nation: “Slavery and misery, perennial spiritual and material defeat, would be all that the sad eyes of the Indian could discern around himself, growing and mastering in his aching soul forever the complex of inferiority, timidity and fatalism that were born from the swords of the Conquista, the *encomiendas* of the Colonia and even the prolonged oblivion of the republican governments” (Gamio 1948, 36-37). Gamio continued by stating that the continent’s aboriginal groups now lived in a state of unconsciousness about themselves and their fellow Indian communities who share the same histories of oppression. Lifting their spirits could allegedly be accomplished by teaching them that “they are the true discoverers of the continent” (37). As the “Father of Interamerican *Indigenismo*”, Gamio directed the III for eighteen years, helping establish a number of *indigenista* institutions throughout Latin America. Nonetheless, his primary focus was on his native Mexico, where his preoccupation with Indian misery blended with Social Darwinist notions of the “inferior evolutionary stage” of native peoples (2).

In the early stages of these newly formed *indigenista* institutions, methodologies were created to help anthropologists and *indigenista* workers distinguish which groups needed improvement. In this light, Gamio pointed out that an Indian like Benito Juárez would never had needed assistance as his characteristics were no longer “of indigenous build.” (2) To facilitate this process, Gamio and his colleagues created a table that would be used to designate which Indians would need “*indigenista* attention”, laying out a number of domestic and work items, each of which was assigned a “utilitarian classification,” marking those that were considered “deficient” (the traditional grinding stone or *metate*, corn tortillas, and traditional sandals or *huaraches*), “detrimental” (liquor, gambling games and marijuana), and “efficient” (traditional Indian dress, decorated vases, and European items like the phonograph and saddle).17 (8)

17 Not all indigenous dress was equal in the eyes of the *indigenista* observer. In Chiapas, considerable effort was made to have male coffee workers use “proper” pants, while in coastal Oaxaca, the INI designed shirts for bare breasted women. Many case studies note that these changes in dress were not wholly embraced by all indigenous peoples, particularly by the older generations. Hernández Castillo points out how Chiapas’ governor Victórico Grajales (1932-1936) ordered the burning of Mam clothing after officials had distributed mestizo clothes to the population (Hernández Castillo 2001, 25).
Peter Wade notes that Gamio worked within a functionalist framework based on the study of so-called traditional folk communities popular in the 1930s and 1940s. As can be noted with Gamio’s “utilitarian” table, this framework attributed indigenous marginalization and underdevelopment to geographic isolation and deficient technology (Wade 1997, 42-43). In his magnum opus, Forjando Patria (1916), Gamio affirms that the Indian can be as “capable” as the white man but must first shake off the colonial legacy that oppressed him in order to receive a proper education that will help him “seek broader horizons” and “assimilate European culture” (22-24). But as can be noted from this section’s epigraph, non-indigenous Mexicans had the simultaneous duty to “indianize” themselves through the careful selection of positive indigenous cultural traits (96). A public intellectual deeply concerned with forging a unified nationalistic citizenry, Gamio believed that the Indian’s redemption could only come with his or her ability to become part of the nation-state, including overcoming geographic obstacles that isolated indigenous peoples through infrastructural development projects.

Anthropologist Ricardo Pozas also discusses the functionalist orientation of indigenismo by emphasizing the INI’s focus on taking the “benefits of the revolution” to Indian communities in order to attack the root problem: that of being Indian and not Mexican (Pozas 1988, 237). For many anthropologists and public officials of the time, the “Indian problem” was understood through the lens of the revolution whose rhetoric enabled discussions of indigenous marginalization and mistreatment, particularly with respect to land tenancy, labor rights, and education. Undoubtedly many indigenistas shared a true concern for the conditions in which many indigenous communities lived and worked. This is particularly evident during the Cárdenas period when concerted efforts were made to meet the social pact established by the Constitution of 1917. Essential for this revolutionary task was the transformation of the Indian’s sense of citizenship through a process of acculturation and development that would allow them to
share the benefits of revolutionary reforms. Specifically, acculturation would be met through programs of *mexicanización* and *castellanización* (adoption of the Spanish language) that would help indigenous people properly take advantage of the revolution.

*Mestizaje* was perhaps the most vocal manifestation of Mexico’s attempt to build a coherent Mexican citizen. In the prologue of José Vasconcelos’ *La Raza Cósmica* (2007[1948]), the author states that *mestizaje* would eliminate racial discrimination and bring about an inevitable sense of equality amongst humans (Vasconcelos, xv). Seemingly, *mestizaje* would be the antidote to racism. Yet Vasconcelos’s own theorization of *mestizaje* was indisputably embedded in notions of racial hierarchy, as he was certain that not all racial miscegenation paved the way toward more superior civilizations. Additionally, Vasconcelos firmly believed that spirituality could resolve even the most “contradictory of mestizajes,” as one needed only to look at the ways in which the Christian faith had been able to “advance American Indians, within a few centuries, from cannibalism to a state of relative civilization” (xvii). At the end of the day, *mestizaje* was viewed by Vasconcelos as a noble sacrifice that whites would need to make for the *patria*:

> By completing their destiny of mechanizing the world, [racially pure whites] have unknowingly placed the stones for a new era, an era of fusion and mixture of all peoples. The Indian does not have any other door toward the future but the door of modern culture, nor another path than the path already cleared by Latin civilization. The white man also will have to leave aside his pride, and will seek progress and posterior redemption in the soul of his brothers of other castes, and he will confuse and perfect himself in each one of the superior varieties of the species. (Vasconcelos [1948] 2007, 13, translated from Spanish by author)

What is important to note in Vasconcelos’ redemptive *mestizaje* is the author’s contribution to the discussion of Indian acculturation as a manifestation of white man’s burden. Like his liberal predecessors of the 19th century, Vasconcelos believed that there was no way for Latin American nations to get around their racial reality. Grudgingly or not, a country like Mexico would need to take it upon itself to devise programs that would incorporate the Indian, if only for the sake of securing a modern nation-state. *Mestizaje* thus operated as a dialectic whereby the dysfunctional traits of indigenous peoples were resolved through the transformative incorporation of whiteness, while the identities of whites could become re-territorialized through the selective absorption of native traditions.

It is worth pausing here to consider how racism is put into conversation with *mestizaje*, when the latter is seen as inherently anti-racist or, at the very least, racially neutral. In a brief biographical essay on Manuel Gamio, Ángeles González Gamio (granddaughter) references a polemical debate in 1974 that ensued when anthropologist Arturo Warman critiqued Gamio’s racially problematic tendencies and his service to the state over indigenous communities (González Gamio 1988, 449-450). According to González Gamio, Warman’s accusation of Gamio’s racism was impossible simply because Gamio was a supporter of *mestizaje*. González Gamio found a “patent
contradiction” in Warman’s assertion that Gamio was both racist and pro-racial miscegenation. While Gamio critiqued Mexicans’ contempt toward Indians and the nasty paternalism that indigenista practices brought to light, he repeatedly stated his belief that indigenous peoples were “biologically deficient” and as a result carried corresponding cultural and economic deficiencies that could be remedied through development programs (Gamio 1948, 9). As the first remains of post-revolutionary indigenismo surfaced, new paradigms would emerge in an attempt to smooth out growing discursive and practical paradoxes.

**Acción Indígena and Applied Anthropology**

*Indigenismo* is in many ways a theory of practice rooted in the logic of modern developmentalism. From its inception, musings on the condition of *el indio triste* were complemented with ideas of governmental action. Nowhere is this clearer than under the presidency of Lázaro Cárdenas, who on January 1, 1936 created the Department of Indigenous Affairs (DAI) (Aguirre Beltrán 1988, 12). Land redistribution through the *ejido* system was a first step, but needed to be complemented with concerted efforts to tackle a series of other ingrained inequities that included improving the labor conditions in henequen fields in Yucatán and coffee plantations in Chiapas, as well eliminating political and economic intermediaries who prevented indigenous peoples from getting a fair price on their goods and impeded them from establishing a rapport with the nation-state through electoral participation.

In his influential book, *Regiones de refugio* (1967), anthropologist Gonzalo Aguirre Beltrán establishes the thesis that the “dominical” or feudal process in Latin America created “regions of refuge”: underdeveloped and isolated areas where the “structure inherited from the colonial period […] found cover against the buffets of modern civilization” (Aguirre Beltrán xv, 1). In these “regions of refuge,” the caste system inherited from the colonial era continued to mediate social, economic, and political relations. A native of Veracruz and an understudy of Boasian anthropology at Southwestern University, Aguirre Beltrán conducted his first ethnographic studies in Mexican communities of African descent and became increasingly concerned with the exploitation and second-class citizenship of black and indigenous Mexicans. He saw this problem as the manifestation of the “coexistence of two societies”: the modern urban society defined by the governing white elite; and the traditional and archaic rural society made up of indigenous peoples and peasants (xi). Aguirre Beltrán criticized this “dual economy” stating that economists had opted for the development of the elite, ignoring the much larger sectors of society that carried the economic and cultural philosophies, such as cooperatism, espoused by the revolution.

*Acción indígenista* was the response elaborated in different stages by the likes of Gamio and Aguirre Beltrán—a praxis intricately tied to the construction of post-revolutionary Mexican nationalism and based on the premises of agrarianism and indigenismo. Efforts would begin with ethnographic investigations of designated indigenous cultures, followed by the elaboration of projects that used “non-coercive methods” for bringing indigenous peoples, including conservative traditional authorities, into the “process of acculturation” (De la Peña 1988, 362). For Aguirre Beltrán, the intention of acción indígenista was to incorporate indigenous peoples into modernity
without the loss of their ethnic identity. This could be accomplished through careful anthropological studies that designated the appropriate bridges between Western and Mesoamerican cultural traditions.

Yet good intentions did not prevent these ideas from falling into the traps of essentialism or the cultural naiveté that the *indigenistas* took to the field. Discussing *acción indigenista* a couple of decades after its inception, Aguirre Beltrán points to the paradox posed by competing images of the Indian that informed the national imaginary and practice:

> [N]ationalism founded its ideology on the American past; revalorized the Indian and the pre-Colombian Indian and took his image as a paradigm. The former, explains the paradoxical coexistence, in Mestizo America, of two contradictory images of the Indian; the dirty image created by ladino ideology, that persists without alteration in the regions of refuge, and the idealized image of the Indian that forms part of the official ideology and is the starting point for the implementation of a political mandate of national unity and homogenization. (Aguirre Beltrán 1967, 240, translated from Spanish by author)

Aguirre Beltrán’s showed an unusual sensitivity toward the problematic racial imaginary of indigenous peoples. In the same light as his fellow Marxist *indigenistas* of the time, he took particular care to point the finger at the feudal qualities of Latin American capitalism. As such, Aguirre Beltrán credited himself and a few other fellow anthropologists for the formation of “political *indigenista* anthropology” that analyzed “the changes generated by the capitalist world,” especially the ongoing primitive accumulation taking place throughout Mexico’s “regions of refuge” (Aguirre Beltrán 1988, 21).

By 1951, a focus on applied political anthropology took Aguirre Beltrán to Chiapas where he was given the task of forming the INI’s first *Centro Coordinador Indigenista* Tzeltal-Tzotzil in Chamula. These “*indigenista* coordinating centers” were established by presidential decree to more effectively carry out the INI’s programs by having a permanent outpost in the so-called regions of refuge (Aguirre Beltrán 1967, 247-249). The Centros’ formidable objectives ranged from advocating for indigenous migrant workers, building schools and health clinics, and constructing roads that would link isolated communities to mestizo towns. What this *indigenista*-anthropologist soon discovered was that indigenous communities were not wholly receptive to the new governmental presence and that the regional mestizo political and economic oligarchy was more than willing to use violence to prevent idealist public officials from enacting any changes in the local power hierarchies. As the first director of the *Centro Coordinador* in Chamula, Aguirre Beltrán witnessed the inherent violence of mestizo-indigenous relations, and was accused by the governor of being a communist agitator.
These local realities quickly eclipsed the *indigenista* idealism generated from the nation’s capital.\(^\text{18}\)

**Indigenista Critics and Indigenous Activism**

By 1968, the revolutionary rhetoric of the Mexican state had clearly ruptured. At the same time, growing discussions had ensued amongst anthropologists around the problematic practices of *indigenismo*. A new school of critical anthropologists, including Arturo Warman and Guillermo Bonfil Batalla, surfaced and took Aguirre Beltrán’s Marxist analysis further by making class a central category of analysis. In 1970, several authors (including Warman and Bonfil Batalla) published *De Eso Que Llaman Antropología Mexicana* (1970), denouncing previous manifestations of *indigenismo* as bourgeois and neocolonial (De la Peña 1988, 369). Bonfil Batalla mocked Gamio and his contemporaries’ calls for *mestizaje* based on a blend of the best of the West and the indigenous by stating that all it did was create a cocktail made of “*pulque* and champagne” (Bonfil Batalla 1988, 127).\(^\text{19}\) While some of these young scholars spoke of an “Indian class,” others designated indigenous peoples a “subproletariat” that would need to “detribalize” in order to enter the arena of class struggle (De la Peña 1988, 371). Simultaneously, Aguirre Beltrán replied that these new theorizations were nothing more than “eurocentrism of the left” (375).

At the national scale, through the 1970s, *indigenistas* and critical anthropologists alike faced a changing political and cultural landscape that was increasingly repressive toward oppositional movements. The success of the Cuban Revolution in 1959 and the global rise of radical anti-capitalist movements across the Third World similarly influenced the Mexican Left to consider new paradigms for political economic development in Indian country. Ironically, the presidency of Luis Echeverría (1970-1976) showed particular interest in the “indigenous cause” and reinvigorated the INI’s project of bringing indigenous communities out of the shadows by pushing a series of new development initiatives that included massive road construction, the creation of worker and consumer cooperatives, and the introduction of Green Revolution agricultural technologies (detailed in projects like the Plan Huicot, discussed below).\(^\text{20}\) Echeverría’s funding and support of policies in indigenous regions signaled the terrible contradictions within *indigenismo*, detailed by his government’s repression of leftist indigenous movements that were part of the *Guerra Sucia* or Dirty War which functioned in conjunction with the United State’s efforts to counter leftist movements south of the border. Evidently, Echeverría’s vision for indigenous development and well-being functioned within the narrow framework of homogenizing revolutionary nationalism and denied efforts that were born outside of the INI’s model of *acción indigenista*.

\(^{18}\) The entrenched local power dynamics and inequities that restricted *indigenista* interventions in the 1960s came at the same time as the broader post-revolutionary nationalist project began to rupture as a result of the coercive state apparatus.

\(^{19}\) *Pulque* is a pre-Hispanic alcoholic beverage made from fermented corn.

\(^{20}\) A native of Guadalajara, Echeverría’s wife Esther Zuno de Echeverría was fond of Wixárika culture and had a Wixárika ceremonial center or *calihuey* built at the presidential residence of Los Pinos (Durin 2008, 353).
In an essay commemorating the INI’s 40th anniversary, Miguel Limón Rojas, director of the institution from 1983 to 1988, acknowledges that substantial changes were occurring within indigenista thought, largely as a result of the increasing presence of indigenous movements (Limón Rojas 1988, 81). For too long, indigenismo held on to notions of indigenous backwardness and passivity and explicitly or implicitly placed Western political, economic, and cultural constructs as superior. According to Limón Rojas, nowhere was this more clear than in the endless indigenista debates centered around creating a more “productive” and “efficient” indigenous farmer: “It is inherent of colonial mentality to think of the indigenous countryside as unproductive by definition […] It is incorrect to think that indigenous peoples are opposed to innovations, what is widely demonstrated is the inconvenience of imposing projects upon them.” (95) The notion of imposing projects upon indigenous communities had clearly reached its discursive and practical end. Although Gamio had sought to create projects that did not appear coercive, they were, by their very nature, conceived and implemented by the urban non-indigenous indigenistas who devalued or lacked knowledge of native worldviews and models for development.

As director of the INI, Limón Rojas attempted to place indigenous participants at all levels of project development, keeping in mind that not all indigenous regions faced similar challenges or required the same levels of governmental assistance. Furthermore, economics was a key factor in the allocation of resources as the INI had been severely defunded in the aftermath of the debt crisis and the government’s ushering in of neo-liberal policies (Interview with Limón Rojas, May 5, 2010). Fundamentally, Limón Rojas claims that he no longer wished to support the tendency of placing “the professionals of indigenismo” as the primary creators and facilitators of failed policies in Indian country. The aforementioned intellectual debates amongst indigenistas and the institutional changes within the INI indicate that the promoters of indigenismo sought ways to respond to the problems presented by indigenista praxis. At the same time, the failure of the assimilationist model of development provided a platform through which the INI would later re-articulate its institutional identity through multicultural neoliberalism.

Guillermo de la Peña’s delineation of three distinct models followed by the INI from 1948 to 2001 demonstrates some of the shifts that occurred alongside the larger discursive trends: 1) the coordinating model based on anthropological investigation as the guiding factor for each of the INI’s Centros Coordinadores; 2) the sectarian model that shifted the INI’s role from one of coordinating to actually executing policy (this came as a result of the state’s centralization of the institution); and 3) the self-determination model that upholds multiculturalism and supports projects based on each community’s needs and desires—here the INI’s role is to lend out human and material resources (De la Peña 2002, 98-99). As noted by Limón Rojas, the last model was a product of decreasing state revenue and the failure of past INI initiatives that were designed by non-indigenous anthropologists-turned-bureaucrats.

During the presidency of Carlos Salinas de Gortari (1988-1994), the government enacted programs that claimed to be “alternative” and “participatory” while still seeking
the indigenista objectives of acculturation and development of previous periods (Pérez Ruiz 2001). Yet the INI’s attempt to restructure and refocus its efforts did little in the face of mounting accusations of the institution’s own inefficiency, corruption, and even its aiding and abetting of violence against indigenous peoples (Limón Rojas 1988; Pérez Ruiz 2001). In light of these problems, the Zapatista rebellion in 1994 came as no surprise. Not only did the Zapatistas significantly shift the spotlight away from the indigenistas, but they permanently altered the debate on the “Indian problem”. Throughout the 1990s indigenous organizations did, indeed, begin to call for the termination of the INI and indigenismo itself (Pérez Ruiz 2001).

Little has changed with respect to the politics of poverty and development, as the expansion of citizen consumers through “transformative” administrative practices remains a central tenet of state policy toward indigenous peoples. Although the INI was replaced in 2001 with the Comisión Nacional para el Desarrollo de Pueblos Indígenas (CDI), much of the discourses and practices of the previous era persist. The fact that indigenismo is replaced with a focus on desarrollo (development) or that multiculturalism has substituted mestizo nationalism does not indicate a renewed vision for the place of indigenous peoples within the Mexican nation-state. Most importantly, these institutional changes fail to account for the active role that indigenous peoples have played all along in mobilizing for their political, economic, territorial, and cultural rights.

Before discussing development initiatives in Wixárika territory, it is worth noting the ascension in the 1960s of Wixárika ceremonial and aesthetic culture as an object of admiration and exploitation by Mexican and foreign parties. A lengthy topic in it of itself, it is crucial to keep in mind that as the indigenista machine penetrated Wixárika territory, a growing number of people approached Wixárika culture with vastly divergent aims and outcomes. This growing interest in Wixárika culture has been largely attributed to followers of the psychedelic movement who were attracted to this indigenous peoples’ sacramental use of the peyote cactus. Fascination over Mexican indigenous spiritual culture only increased with the circulation of Carlos Castaneda’s narratives whose protagonist, a Yaqui medicine man, was loosely based on the real life persona of Wixárika yarn painter, Ramón Medina Silva (Fikes 1993). According to anthropologist Jay Fikes, the convergence of Castaneda’s narratives and the psychedelic movement led to a series of unethical appropriations of Wixárika culture (144). Furthermore, Fikes notes how those who engage in marketing “Huichol shamans” as an object of consumption have largely turned a blind-eye on the “real life problems” that affect Wixárika communities. The hypervisibility of “Huichol” imagery in the last four decades has brought about an increased presence of investigators and tourists seeking to

---

21 For more on the conjuncture of neo-liberalism, decentralization, and the rise of non-governmental and “community based” development initiatives see James Petras’ “Imperialism and NGOs in Latin America” (1997).
22 Fikes decries how the drug culture of whites and mestizos lead to the penalization of peyote as a controlled substance and the subsequent harassment of Wixárika pilgrims by Mexican law enforcement (131).
access Wixárika land and culture. Explicitly or not, these actors have fed into narratives of indigenous development and citizenship that ultimately have material consequences for Wixaritari both in the country and city.

**Developing Wixárika Territory**

The theory is that only when the Huichol no longer has to constantly preoccupy himself with simple subsistence, he will have time to think of other things, such as education for himself and his children, and only then will he have funds and time to carefully look for correct medical care in the city in place of solely trying to cure himself or trust in the magic cures or in plants within the Sierra.

-Karen Reed, *El INI y Los Huicholes*, 1972, 79-

On July 8, 1960, the Centro Coordinador Indigenista Cora-Huichol was established based on the argument that Wixárika (Huichol) and Náayari (Cora) communities presented “sensibly low standards of living, a precarious economy, great isolation and unhealthy living conditions” (Reed 1972, 54). Following *indigenismo*’s model of acculturation, the Centro Coordinador would spearhead a number of projects focused on education, health, and economic productivity. Mirroring Foucault’s demarcation of governmentality, guiding the conduct of indigenous peoples through cultural and economic reformation was the principal tenet of the Centro Coordinador’s programming. According to Karen Reed’s 1972 study on the INI in Wixárika territory, the Centro Coordinador sought to build the buying power of indigenous peoples so that they may have a “larger participation in the national economy” (80). As I will briefly describe, the apparently benign gesture of improving regional health, education, and economics was accompanied by dangerous shifts in the internal affairs of Wixárika communities. By examining these programs of development and the series of administrative entities that accompanied them, one can best observe how consent for social “improvement” programs are met with political coercion that strike the core of Wixárika autonomy.

The construction of schools was a top priority as young people were believed to be more susceptible to cultural change and as such were considered vehicles through which modernity and economic buying power could enter the most remote of communities (Reed 1972, 101-3). When the Centro Coordinador was founded, the few existing schools in the region were managed and taught by Franciscan nuns and priests. But under the INI, education would be secular, and the teachers would be mestizo, and later, bilingual natives of each community. Reed explains how in order to have a more objective stance, the INI searched for teachers who were “ethnically similar” yet stood apart from the community, asserting that teachers were not to involve themselves in political issues, much less become elected officials (103-104). Teachers were labeled “promotores” (promoters), a term that implies their role in promoting national (read

---

23 Arturo Escobar (1995) sustains that the insertion of indigenous and rural peoples into a (inter)national consumer society is a fundamental precept of global developmentalist politics.

24 Tania Murray Li’s *The Will to Improve* (2007) provides an excellent analysis of the ways in which development projects can often serve to dispossess the same populations they purport to be “improving.”
mestizo) cultural, political, and economic values. As time wore on, claims of teacher neutrality in communal politics encountered the fact that teaching positions served as a springboard for obtaining newly created governmental posts in Wixárika communities. The Mexican government’s offer of administrative positions for many of the bilingual teachers was the beginning of separating those who were elected by Wixárika communities for traditional political posts from those who were selected by the state to make wider regional decisions, consistently ignoring the positions of the traditional representatives (Torres Contreras 2000, 193).

The first INI schools were established in 1963 in Tuxpan de Bolaños and Ocota de la Sierra, and in 1964 in San Andrés Cohamiata (De la Peña 2002, 100). The failure of these schools became apparent as early as 1967 when high desertion rates led to the creation of boarding schools. Nonetheless, inscriptions rates remained low because of the SEP curriculum’s inability to accommodate the Wixárika agricultural and ceremonial cycles in which children are active participants. These interruptions to the academic calendar were compounded by the belief, from families and communal authorities, that the education administered by the government was leading young people to disassociate themselves from Wixárika tradition and internalize notions of racial and cultural inferiority (Torres Contreras 2000, 190-193).

Despite the problems raised by both the Franciscan and government primary schools, Wixaritari have been active in seeking ways to improve their children’s education. By the early 1990s, Wixárika communities did indeed begin to mobilize for better schooling; this includes the creation of secondary schools, as well as the implementation of more culturally relevant curriculum. The case of the Tatutsí Maxakuaxi middle school (secundaria), which began operating in San Miguel Huaixtita (part of the community of Tateikié or San Andrés Cohamiata) in 1995, is particularly important as its foundational philosophies manifested Wixárika discontent with the legacy of Franciscan and government operated schools. Paul Liffman (2011) notes that the case of Tatutsí Maxakuaxi is especially interesting as it was founded in a highly acculturated Wixárika locality that sought to strengthen its cultural and territorial autonomy through bringing Wixárika language and worldview to the center of its curriculum. Rocío de Aguinaga, a mestizo professor from Guadalajara who was central in the school’s creation, notes that Tatutsí Maxakuaxi was the result of Cárdenas-era efforts to train indigenous teachers, eventually leading to the autonomous schools that are often associated with the Zapatista movement (Interview with Rocío de Aguinaga, May 31, 2010). Liffman compliments this idea by demonstrating how this school’s philosophy was created at the interface of global indigenous rights’ discourses brought about by an assisting non-governmental organization and the local desire for cultural revitalization (Liffman 2011, 143). Since 1995, several other intercultural secundarias and preparatorias (high schools) have been established in Wixárika communities. Most recently, a Wixárika graduate from Guadalajara’s premier Jesuit university, ITESO, created an autonomous high school in Tuapurie (Santa Catarina Cuexcomatitlán), Tamaatsi Paritsika.

25 This also includes the seasonal labor migrations where the entire family travels outside of their community to work.
Simultaneous to the establishment of schools, the INI began other interventions that included the construction of landing strips to facilitate the coming and going of government bureaucrats working in the region. Following Gamio’s model, state employees were to facilitate the execution of “selective acculturization” choosing which aspects of Wixárika culture would be preserved and which ones would be discarded and replaced (Reed 1972, 93). This led to the introduction of items that include the hand mill for corn, radios, agrochemicals, and the replacement of aboriginal animals with high grade cattle. Although several of these items have been welcomed by the communities, the introduction of others, namely agrochemicals, caused negative short and long-term effects (Díaz Romo 1994). The INI also introduced seeds that would produce higher yields and that purportedly had a higher nutritional value than the native seeds. At the same time, the INI established the first CONASUPO (National Company for Popular Subsistance) stores that, along with basic food staples, sold higher yielding seeds with their accompanying fertilizers and pesticides. CONASUPO also helped commercialize Wixárika craftwork. Tied to these initiatives was the authorization of agricultural credit by Banrural (the state operated rural credit union) under the condition that Wixaritari create individual land parcels divided by barbed wire fences supplied by the government (Torres Contreras 2009, 342).

Healthcare was another central element of the Centro Coordinador Cora-Huichol’s efforts to bring governmental assistance to Wixárika communities. Despite these efforts, health clinics were few and far between, forcing many sick to continue to travel to nearby mestizo towns and cities to treat more serious illnesses. In the late 1970s, the cost of medical care compounded by traveling expenses and the poor reception of indigenous patients at mestizo hospitals led a coalition of Guadalajara-based mestizos to found La Casa de Salud, today La Casa Huichol, headed by Rocío Echevarría.

Over the years, the INI also created various political councils to serve as intermediaries between the traditional Wixárika authorities and the municipal, state, and federal governments. The three main councils created during this period (1960-1990s) were the Consejo de Bienes Comunales (Council of Communal Goods), the Consejo Supremo Huichol (Supreme Huichol Council), and the Unión de Comunidades Indígenas Huicholas de Jalisco (Union of Indigenous Huichol Communities of Jalisco) which supplanted the Consejo Supremo Huichol in 1990. These political entities have been a mixed blessing for the Wixárika communities: at times they have served the purpose of presenting a legitimate and unified Wixárika opinion before the state, while at other moments they have usurped the powers of the traditional governors creating conflict within the communities over who the legitimate representatives are. Liffman (2011) describes how the kawiterutsixi (prinicipal elders) lament the erosion of their authority in recent decades through the replacement of their leadership with new representatives who do not reflect legitimate religious communal authority and increasingly exercise “greater

26 Some government emissaries in charge of development projects were known for extreme corruption. A veterinarian in charge of introducing high grade cattle (and one of anthropologist Karen Reed’s main informants), was imprisoned for nine months for selling the improved cattle stock designated for the Wixárika, and replacing it with tuberculosis-infected cattle that caused a devastating epidemic in Wixárika communities during the 1970s (Interview with Juan and Yvonne Negrín, March 2, 2004).
territorial-administrative control” (149).

Created in the 1960s, the Consejo de Bienes Comunales, has for the most part been an effective tool for the mediation between the distinct communities and the Mexican government, often mirroring and siding with the kawiterutsixi against projects promoted by the state. To some extent, this council has achieved the purpose of maintaining dialogue with the Mexican government at the same time that it has allowed for the traditional governors to have their say, thus attempting to strike a balance between Mexican and Wixárika models of governance (Interview with Juan and Yvonne Negrín, March 2, 2004). While there is one Consejo de Bienes Comunales for each community, the Consejo Supremo Huichol (established in the 1970s) designated one INI-elected Wixárika representative to speak for all of the communities. The first Consejo Supremo Huichol representative was Pedro de Haro from Wuat+a (San Sebastián Teponohuaxtlán). De Haro was a mestizo who became fully incorporated into Wixárika tradition and stands as one of the most effective agrarian leaders of the region. Under his leadership, Wuat+a regained much of the land it had lost to mestizo encroachment since the colonial period. Conversely, De Haro’s successor, Maurilio de la Cruz Ávila, brought about mass corruption and conflict within Wixárika communities. Under de la Cruz Ávila’s leadership, mestizo land encroachment increased and massive logging and drug plantations became a serious problem for the territorial, political, and economic integrity of the region. Sentenced for murdering his wife and lover, the government released him from jail in order to become De Haro’s successor (personal commentaries by various informants). In 1990, the Consejo Supremo Huichol was terminated by popular demand due to de la Cruz Ávila’s undemocratic and corrupt presidency.

The Unión de Comunidades Indígenas Huicholas de Jalisco (UCIHJ) was formed to replace the Consejo Supremo Huichol in order to give leadership to the President of Communal Goods who is considered to be most knowledgeable and capable of working across these divergent systems of governance. The elected president, whose term lasted one year, not only made political and economic decisions for the three nuclear communities of Jalisco but also received and administered development funds for the region. Like its predecessor, the UCIHJ confronted the problem of choosing people who legitimately served the interests of the communities. Yet like the case of the teacher-promotores, the UCIHJ’s leadership was comprised of “new elite groups” (Liffman 2011, 33). While on the one hand the UCIHJ accepted various development projects without properly evaluating them and without discussing them with the Wixárika council of elders, it also eventually banded up with non-governmental organizations imbued with Zapatista discourses of cultural revival and autonomy (216). The UCIHJ was terminated in 2000 when the CDI replaced the INI and implanted a decentralized model.

Today, Wixárika civil leadership continues to be a critical issue as state and private development projects are proposed in and around Wixárika communal territory as well as in more distant sacred areas that include the eastern pilgrimage route of Wirikuta

27 The UCIH was established as an interlocutor of Wixárika communities of Jalisco while the Unión de Comunidades y Ejidos Indígenas (UCEI) was its counterpart in Nayarit and also included Náayari (Cora) and Mexicaneros Nahuas (Liffman 2011, 216).
in the state of San Luis Potosí and Tatei Haramara in the state of Nayarit. Whether the issue at hand is the penetration of new roads in the sierra or mineral extraction in Wirikuta, state entities like the CDI and non-governmental agencies of all ideological walks easily misinterpret the complex system of religious and civil cargos that have come to exist in Wixárika communities. Furthermore, these various changes in civil leadership structures are complicated by intercommunal disputes over land and development initiatives. Indeed, current disputes are reflections of past state and private development projects that left bitter tastes in the mouths of some and inspired thirst in others. The following pages will briefly explore a few of these projects.

Plan Huicot

Named after the region comprising the Huichol (Wixárika), Cora (Náayari or Yekuena) and Tepehuan (O’dam Ñi’ok) communities and partly funded by the United State’s Alliance for Progress, the Plan Huicot was part of the larger Plan Lerma regional development initiative that spanned the 1960s and 1970s (Torres Contreras 2000, 200). Specifically, the Plan Huicot set out to promote the development of these three indigenous groups as well as some peripheral mestizo communities that “have remained at the margins of all human progress, and live at primitive levels” (Plan Lerma 1966, 9). The documents that outline the plan begin with an overview of the region’s geography and demography based on studies prepared by the INI, the Franciscan Religious Order, and a survey carried out by the National Commission for the Eradication of Malaria. These documents repeatedly speak of the Huicot region as being backward due to its inhabitants’ passivity towards global economic and cultural transformations: “Here, like in many other aspects, everything is yet to be done; as observed in the area of nutrition, housing, dress, health, culture, etc” (Plan Lerma 1966 14, emphasis mine).

Area targeted under the Plan Lerma, Operación Huicot (Plan Lerma 1966, 4)

Displaying the classic paternalism of revolutionary indigenismo, the Plan Huicot
placed the state as the protector of the Indians who were seen as easy targets for more astute mestizos. This is particularly the case with regards to territorial boundaries and disputes:

Formerly the Huichol area was much larger, but the creation of some mestizo ejidos has come to lesion indigenous patrimony, reducing their land, a reality that the ignorance and ingenuousness of the Indian cannot comprehend; the segregated parts [of the Sierra] are territories inherited from their grandparents, and they continue to occupy them believing themselves to be the proprietors, with the firm hope that they will receive justice, have their rights met. (Plan Lerma 1966, 78, translated from Spanish by author)

Mirroring the words of Gamio fifty years earlier, this document depicts indigenous peoples as naïve and passive subjects who harbor an anachronistic conception of land tenure. With the discovery of the Huicot region’s rich timber, mineral, and water resources, the Wixaritari’s use of land became subject to criticism and was one of Plan Huicöt’s focal points:

For lack of infrastructure, the forests have not been industrially taken advantage of; forests that, because of ignorance, the Huichol has come to destroy, be it by irrational logging, or be it by fires that he deliberately provokes year after year before the beginning of the rains. In the mind of the indigenous person, these fires can primordially obey to the following reasons: 1) Form clouds which produce smoke, and with them propel the rain, 2) Burn the dry brush to prepare the new harvests, 3) Destroy the grazing land to drive away the mestizos and their cattle. (Plan Lerma 1966, 88, translated from Spanish by author)

Ironically, major problems with logging appeared in the early 1970s with the first government-built roads. As these roads were being built into Wixárika territory, trees were inevitably cut down while logging was occurring well beyond the roads’ delineation and timber companies quickly took advantage of the roads to clear cut the forests (Interview with Juan and Yvonne Negrín, March 2, 2004).

Under the Plan Huicöt, military officials and tourists also took advantage of the landing strips and roads to enter the region. One member of Tuapurie (Santa Catarina Cuexcomatitlán) described Plan Huicot’s confusing fallout to anthropologist Guillermo de la Peña as follows:

There came soldiers to build more landing strips and there came many jets, of the government and private. They also fixed some roads. Those of the Plan built buildings for clinics and schools, and gave money to the parents so that they could send their children off to school. The people were scared of the soldiers…and the coming of tourists and mirones [voyeurs]…it was something very bothersome. (De la Peña

---

28 Paul Liffman (2011) analyzes how Wixárika territoriality is articulated through complex practices anchored in the ceremoniality that reflects ancestral relations to the land, as well as historically sedimented relations with the state and more recent appropriations of global identity politics.
De la Peña also mentions the general competition between different governmental agencies over Wixárika territory resulting in a great waste of economic resources through corruption and abandoned or deficient projects. The influx of soft money that came into the hands of intermediaries and businessmen, specifically new elites and _caciques_ (local strongmen) who gained significant power under the government’s projects, gradually led to the usurpation of power from elected Wixárika officials and _kawiterutsiri_. Although the Plan Huicot stopped functioning by the mid-1970s, it left long term consequences, particularly because it was a period that disenfranchised Wixárika communities from much of their land and resources (Torres Contreras 2000, 35).

**The Aguamilpa Hydroelectric Dam**

Roughly a decade after the Plan Huicot was terminated, the construction of the Aguamilpa hydroelectric dam began in the state of Nayarit. Located where Wixárika, Náayari, and mestizo territories converge at the foot of the mountains, the Aguamilpa dam sits where the Chapalagana, Huaynamota and Santiago rivers meet. Under the presidential administration of Carlos Salinas de Gortari (1988-1994) there was a resurgence of interest in hydroelectric power. The Aguamilpa Dam, financed by the Federal Commission of Electricity (CFE), World Bank loans and the private construction firm Grupo ICA, became part of a global initiative to take advantage of the Santiago River (Torres Contreras 2000, 21). Although its preliminary planning began in 1954, it was not until 1980 that the engineering studies for the dam were carried out, and 1989 when construction began (Comisión Federal de Electricidad 1994, 74-79). The CFE and Grupo ICA placed the dam’s capacity at one million cubic meters of water, inundating a surface of thirteen thousand hectares that belonged to twenty-two _ejidos_, three communities, and three properties of the municipalities of El Nayar, Tepic, and Santa María del Oro (120). Of the affected communities, 61% were Wixárika and the rest were mestizo. According to the CFE, the principal objectives of the dam included the generation of electricity for urban centers, the development of the local fishing industry, construction of infrastructure in the area, irrigation to boost crop production, and flood control (77).

Much like other governmental initiatives in the region, state authorities entered the communities offering little forthright information about the costs and benefits of the dam. According to a study by Luis Eloy Rodríguez, the majority of Wixaritari were unclear about the consequences they would face with the construction of the dam—79.1% stated that they did not understand the project. Furthermore, the project was discussed only once in Spanish with no visual aids. Many of the inhabitants, especially women, only speak Wixárika and 93.4% stated they would have preferred an explanation in their own language (Eloy Rodríguez 1994, 109). Consequently, 62% of the Wixárika population polled did not believe that all of their land was properly indemnified, and 87.2% believe that the indemnified land was not properly compensated (112). Although indemnification money was intended for the economic re-establishment of the displaced communities, Eloy Rodríguez’s study found that money distributed to the affected inhabitants was largely spent on immediate necessities such as clothes and household goods. As a result, few people invested their money in long-term productive needs. Over
the years of my personal travels to Tepic, I have met several families who were displaced by the construction of the Aguamilpa Dam. Because the land given to them by the government was so poor, many eventually moved to the city in order to make a living selling artwork or by entering the urban labor force.

The Plan Huicot, the Aguamilpa Dam, and the INI initiatives discussed are only some examples of the Mexican state’s interventions in and around Wixárika communities. Besides the inherent racial and developmentalist notions that embody these strategies, there exists a fundamental problem with the projects’ lack of continuity. As a longtime activist in the region, Yvonne Negrín points out that whenever an INI program was introduced, the end of the six-year presidential administration (sexenio) would come and the programs of the outgoing administration would be dismantled, producing a tremendous waste of resources:

They [the government] would spend all this time, money and energy building up an infrastructure and then the sexenio changes, the new guy comes in, and there is no continuation of the programs that might be 80% finished. And that’s it, the new guy comes in and he’s got his own ideas and his new programs! (Interview with Yvonne Negrín, March 2, 2004)

The government’s inefficiency has subsequently led to stronger local support for non-governmental organizations (NGOs) operating in the region. Beginning in the 1980s and intensifying through the 1990s, support for NGOs converged with international trends toward economic liberalization and decentralization that pushed for governmental withdrawal from providing social services. This led to the rising presence of private entities engaged in imparting economic development, health, and education projects, as well as mediating land disputes.

Although some of the NGOs have been effective, the government (and the INI in particular) has been suspicious of any other entities working with Wixárika communities. This has translated into competition rather than cooperation between the two. Furthermore, rather than change its top-down politics, the government continues to repeat past errors, placing greater emphasis on a selling discourse than on programs that complement Wixaritari models of economic development. Torres Contreras attributes this to the fact that development projects are often mere bandaids that do not examine local complexities or the root of the problems being tackled:

In the last seven or eight years, a series of governmental and non-governmental institutions that seek to help the Huicholes have been overturned, but as of this date they have not come to an accord on how to carry out the different duties. Each one is going about their own account and arrive asserting and imposing systems foreign to the culture. With their attitudes they do not allow for the Huicholes to act by their own accord, which generates confusion, and with that resources are wasted because the problems are not fundamentally resolved. (Torres Contreras 2000, 197, translated from Spanish by author)
The past few decades of government development projects in Wixárika territory demonstrate how the legacies of the “Indian problem” and acción indigenista are more reflective of Mexico’s problematic racial imaginary and race relations than of the perceived socio-economic and cultural deficiencies of indigenous peoples. Rather, the Indian problem reflects back on the cultural and political economic endpoint that the state has desired indigenous peoples to reach. Following Arturo Escobar’s analysis, acción indigenista is a clear illustration of development as a “regime of representation” that is mediated by a Western episteme and historicity (Escobar 1995, 6). Yet for all of the failures of applied indigenismo, the policies of the Mexican state did powerfully shape relations of governance between indigenous peoples and the nation, and carved the way for subsequent forms of decentralized multicultural governance. The intricacies of contemporary indigenous struggles over citizenship and national belonging can be further understood through an examination of the diverse migratory experiences of Wixaritari living in the city.

Beads, Wage Labor, and the Promise of the City

It is difficult not to project onto the Huichol romantic concepts of the “noble savage,” if he is not given the focus of a “marginal being submerged in ignorance” or a “Don Juan: sage of the peyote.”

- Juan Negrín (1985), 8, translated from Spanish by author-

For thousands of years Wixaritari have moved across a large segment of the Mexican territory with the Western Sierra Madre only becoming a permanent stronghold during Spanish colonial domination. Not to be confused with nomadism, this mobility has largely resulted from the Wixaritari’s seasonal trekking across different pilgrimage routes that take them to five cardinal points: to the North in Durango, the South in the area of Chapala in central Jalisco, the west near San Blas in Nayarit, the east at Wirikuta in San Luis Potosí and to the center, Teakata located in the community of Tuapurie in northern Jalisco. As anthropologist Paul Liffman (2011) notes in his study on Wixárika territoriality, these geographic practices disrupt simplistic conceptions of indigenous cultural and spatial stagnation:

Popular images of Huichol territory as geographically isolated and perpetually sacred give the impression that the resilient but hard-pressed mountain people who inhabit it are like a natural feature of the landscape. However, Huichols themselves—in exchanges with their divine ancestors and with the Mexican state—deploy flexible social and territorial relationships to relocate boundaries and sacred points of that landscape, which they then anchor historically in ritual. (Liffman 2010, 59)

This mobility has allowed Wixaritari to actively engage with different ethnic groups through distinct historical moments, often in the form of strategic efforts geared to retain their cultural and territorial integrity. As one example, José de Jesús Torres Contreras details how Wixárika communities agreed to submit themselves to the colonial order in exchange for being granted a degree of autonomy by accepting the title of “Indian Militias” (Torres Contreras 2009, 56). While the neighboring Náayari fiercely fought off the Spanish forces, Wixaritari seemingly acquiesced with the invading forces.
sparing themselves from the violent oppression that the Spaniards laid upon their Náayari neighbors, who were forced into pueblos where both the missionaries and soldiers endeavored to make them assimilate to the Hispanic order (63).

Despite this history of strategic negotiation, the expansion of the market in rural Wixárika communities has led to migrations to towns and cities. Torres Contreras (2009) affirms that this “exodus” to large cities occurred as a result of development programs like Plan Huicot that create new economic necessities and expectations which cannot be met by remaining within their home communities (90). For the most part, migrations in and out of the Sierra communities do not summon ideas of forced displacement, but are a result of incremental relocations largely tied to economic necessity—a more subtle yet real form of violence. As is the case with other ethnic or racial groups, there also exists an element of voluntary migration driven by curiosity and desire to live in a new place. In the following pages, I will briefly discuss how seasonal labor migrations to the coast and the market in “Huichol crafts” have propelled the establishment of Wixárika individuals and families in Tepic and Guadalajara. Like so many millions of other Mexicans, these cities present promises of economic stability, including educational opportunities for their children.

The Artesanía Circuit

In the years before the INI introduced the Centro-Coordinador Cora Huichol, Franciscans initiated what would become a growing focus on Wixárika arts and crafts that would largely revolve around the medium of the yarn painting—wooden boards covered with beeswax and emblazoned with colorful wool yarn. Although Wixárika sacred offerings and textiles had already won the attention of anthropologists and folk art enthusiasts, the yarn painting presented a contemporary expression geared toward a non-indigenous market.29 Notable among these early efforts was Father Ernesto Loera’s promotion of Wixárika arts and crafts sold in the vicinity of the Basílica de Zapopan in Guadalajara, where Wixárika artisans continue to gravitate to sell their work today. The INI soon replaced the church as the promoter of “artesanía Huichol” through the National Fund for the Fomentation of Crafts (FONART), which sold crafts at designated government stores.

In the 1950s, with the backing of the Museo de Artes Populares (Museum of Folk Arts) and governor of Jalisco, Agustín Yáñez (1953-1959), Mexican museographer Alfonso Soto Soria began commissioning yarn paintings, often providing the materials and encouraging the use of thinner plywood boards that could be more easily hung on walls (MacLean 2012, 70-2). Interest in the yarn painting medium and its cultural and religious symbolism exploded internationally in the late 1960s as a result of these incipient efforts. American film maker John Lilly’s acquisition of works by Motopoa were soon followed by UCLA anthropologist Peter T. Furst’s promotion of the yarn

29 Norwegian ethnographer Carl Lumholtz, followed by anthropologists Léon Diguet, Theodor Konrad Preuss and Howard Mowry Zingg would all collect, describe, and make illustrations of Wixárika offerings and textiles. These collections largely remain in museums located in the United States and Europe.
paintings of Ramón Medina Silva alongside the tales of this artist’s shamanic abilities. Furst’s intervention, in particular, brought about the idea of the “shaman-artist” which resonated with the counterculture movement of the United States and elsewhere. Particularly in Tepic, mestizo shop owners began to sell these paintings alongside Wixárika textiles and beadwork, fueling the burgeoning market for Wixárika-produced goods and making this city the capital for these crafts.

Yet the exposure that Wixárika culture gained through artwork remained limited to the objectifying lens of Mexican indigenismo and international psychedelic enthusiasts. In a retrospective analysis of the more than fifty year-old market in Wixárika arts and crafts, French anthropologist Séverine Durin notes that, “The Baroque face of the Huichol artisan is the daughter of indigenismo and the policies to foment crafts” that gained particular traction under the auspice of the presidency of Luis Echeverría (1970-76) (Durin 2008, 335, 344). In 1976, Mexican writer and activist Juan Negrín described how the appreciation of commercial Wixárika crafts stood in sharp contrast to the general disrespect and racism that Wixárika artists continued to face: “At present, Huichol culture is viewed with greater appreciation in the industrialized world partly because of the crisis in values and confidence that modern capitalism is undergoing” (Negrín 1976, 2). Yet Negrín cautioned that the commercialization of folkloric crafts missing in deeper religious or aesthetic context had the danger of making Wixárika arts and crafts into a “spectacle” that contributed to the public’s view of the indigenous as materially and philosophically deficient peoples. From this focus, in the early 1970s, Negrín began a series of longstanding collaborations with a select group of Wixárika yarn painters whose work would be exhibited in contemporary art museums across Europe, the United States, and eventually at the Museum of Modern Art in Mexico City.

As Wixárika arts and crafts became popularized, an increasing number of Wixaritari approached the government stores in the Sierra or made periodic trips to the cities of Tepic, Zacatecas, Guadalajara, and Mexico City to sell their work. Many artisans and artists confronted the double standard Negrín witnessed where the increased consumption of Wixárika arts and crafts was accompanied by its simultaneous cheapening in the market that denied Wixárika artists proper recognition and remuneration. To date, indigenous artists continue to face marginalization within contemporary art institutions while being lumped together with artisans under the umbrella of arte popular or folk art. In February of 2010, the son of the owner of one of Tepic’s stores that sold Wixárika arts and crafts for several decades lamented the disappearing role of mestizo intermediaries like himself and his father because Wixárika artists and artisans now sell their work directly to the consumer (personal commentary). While this presents new opportunities for Wixárika artisans and eliminates the exploitation that they often experienced at the hands of government and independent

---

30 Motopoa was commissioned to create a beaded mural at the Louvre Museum Metro station in Paris. Ramón Medina is said to have served as Carlos Castaneda’s template for his Yaqui medicine man, Don Juan. Castaneda was a close companion and understudy of Peter Furst.
31 Negrín’s collection of paintings from artists José Benítez Sánchez, Guadalupe González Ríos, Juan Ríos Martínez, Tutukila, and Pablo Taisán (aka Yauxali) resulted from close friendships that included the former’s participation in numerous pilgrimages as well as service to Wixárika communities.
intermediaries, dealing directly with the market also poses new challenges and forms of exploitation.

Wixárika crafts

Durin claims that unlike other indigenous peoples who often find their niche in domestic labor, the economic insertion of Wixaritari in the city has come exclusively through the marketing of their arts and crafts that in turn has provided them with a degree of cultural prestige (Durin 2008, 337). Nonetheless, in the past decade Wixárika artists and artisans have been increasingly blocked from vending in public spaces. This, combined with the sheer number of Wixárika arts and crafts on the market, has placed artisans and their families in a precarious economic situation. Because more families, both in the country and the city, rely on the sale of what are now mostly beaded crafts, their access to suitable spaces for the sale of their goods has become increasingly important for their livelihoods. These conditions have fueled a number of initiatives by the artisans to gain vending spaces, a topic I will return to in subsequent chapters.

Fields of Labor

The seasonal migration of Wixaritari to work in the tobacco plantations along the coast of Nayarit is part of a contemporary manifestation of a long existing tradition of travel to the lowlands and the coast to leave offerings to Tatéí Haramara, Our Mother Ocean. Tatéí Haramara “is the primordial source of all water from where some of the waves emanate that are then transported by Tamatsi Eakatéiwari, Our Elder Brother Wind Neighbor, later to be transformed into clouds over different cardinal points and the center” (Negrín 2006, 2). Pilgrimages to the coast coincide with the dry season when families cease agricultural labor in the Sierra and seek temporary employment outside of their communities. In 1829, John Pringle Brodie, a Scottish merchant who did business along the coast of Nayarit, noted how the Indians purchased salt at the Zapotillo “Saltworks” near the port of San Blas, giving some indication of Wixárika participation in the monetized economy (Brodie 1829, n.p.)

Anthropologist Francisco Talavera Durón estimates that Wixárika labor in the
agricultural fields began in the 1930s, initially in bean and corn cultivations, and within a couple of decades moved into the tobacco harvests (Talavera Durón 2003, 16). Talavera Durón’s study exposes the complex geographic, cultural, and economic relationship that Wixárika migration has with seasonal work on tobacco plantations. He locates distinct jornaleros, or day laborers whose work at the plantations responds to communal or familial needs (e.g. paying for traditional ceremonies in the community or accruing money to get their families through the dry season) (19). Additionally, Talavera Durón notes that some jornaleros are also artisans and use their travel to the coast as only one of several destination points. As such, migration to the coast encompasses a variety of familial, economic, and religious needs:

The wixaritari can migrate in order to take care of their parcel of land during the rainy season, to take their children to school or to a clinic, to deposit offerings at their sacred places, to work seasonally at the tobacco plantations, and in that way face their multiple ceremonies and the hostile dry season. To migrate has been an important situation for the Wixaritari, the majority of their mythical narrations make reference to this process, the process of health-sickness, their conception of sacrifice, are tended to through temporary distance from the home community. (Talavera Durón 2003, 171, translated from Spanish by author)

Wixaritari who work in the agricultural fields of Nayarit face particularly poor working and living conditions. Patricia Díaz Romo has led the documentation of these conditions, particularly the indiscriminate use of pesticides, many of which are designated for restricted or prohibited use (Díaz Romo and Salinas Álvarez 2002, 3). According to Díaz Romo’s studies, tobacco, cotton, and tomato crops not only bring in large profits per hectare, they also use the highest application of pesticides, bringing about dangerous health risks for the workers and local residents. These precarious conditions only worsened as agricultural practices in the region industrialized and became managed by large companies such as British American Tobacco and Philip Morris International (2-3). Díaz and Salinas’ 2002 study found that tobacco cultivations have provided an important economic motor for the state of Nayarit and consequently face little to no regulation, permitting environmental and human health concerns to fall by the wayside (4). Negligence is exacerbated because of the prevalent racism found in the large plantations where the majority of the workers are indigenous (primarily Wixárika, Náayari and O’dam Ñi’ok). Talavera Durón describes how the cultural wealth that Wixárika migrations to the coast reveal is rendered invisible by enduring racial attitudes that qualify the Indian as “ignorant, dirty, drunk, resistant to workdays of more than eighteen hours” (Talavera Durón 2003, 97). The fact that tobacco cultivation has drastically diminished in Nayarit has not signified that Wixaritari laborers have ended their seasonal migration for work. The need to find seasonal work outside of the Sierra communities has only increased with the penetration of market forces and the casting of wider migratory networks. People are searching for work in areas of the country (or

32 Talavera Durón notes that the use of indigenous laborers in the tobacco plantations coincides with the commercialization of the crop between 1933 and 1966 (Talavera Durón 2003, 16).
Higher Education

Wixárika students who are enrolled in universities are most often the sons and daughters of artisans or bilingual teachers; some of them once accompanied their families to the tobacco fields of Nayarit where they remember sleeping in the open fields. These activities have brought many families to urban centers on a temporary and, in many cases, a permanent basis. Many of the youth who grew up in these settings have sought university degrees which can help them prosper in the city or provide important resources for their communities of origin. The recent boom in Wixárika university students and professionals in Guadalajara and Tepic’s universities is also the product of the government’s construction of schools in Wixárika communities. Despite the shortcomings of many of the INI and SEP’s educational efforts, the training of bilingual teachers undoubtedly brought about an increased sense that schooling was an important community asset and led to the perception that professionalization could help increase Wixárika struggles for political, economic, and cultural sovereignty, as seen with the autonomous schools that have been created in the last twenty years.

Gabriel Pacheco, a Wixárika poet and linguistics professor at the University of Guadalajara, obtained his bachelors and masters degrees at this institution but holds lukewarm enthusiasm for the coming generations of graduates (personal commentary October 2009). Although Pacheco presents a “success story,” his weariness is a reflection of personal experiences of discrimination in Guadalajara and his home community of Guadalupe Ocotán. His doubts are also a response to the many Wixárika students he has taught and who have been unable to find work in their profession. Pacheco noted that too many Wixárika students were gravitating toward medicine and law, careers that offer them few employment prospects both within and outside of their communities. Consequently, many of Pacheco’s former students find employment as mechanics and construction workers in small and medium sized towns.

The role of higher education for indigenous youth presents important opportunities and challenges that will be further discussed in Chapter 5. What several years of ethnographic research have left clear is that the experiences of students and professionals are highly contingent on the city in which they are studying. This begs for a critical discussion of the ways in which Tepic and Guadalajara have developed specific urban narratives that hold engrained understandings of ethnic identity. The following two chapters will examine the development of each of these cities, paying attention to the presence of indigenous peoples in the discursive and material formation of these urban spaces. In turn, this analysis becomes crucial for understanding the difference in experiences that Wixárika residents have, but also the diverging political agendas of their respective organizations.

---

33 Durin (2008) notes that Wixaritari who seasonally travel and permanently live in the northern city of Monterrey, have pushed for the Cañón de Guitarritas located in the city’s outskirts to be recognized as a sacred Wixárika site.
CHAPTER 3
TEPIC: CITY OF INCLUSION, CITY OF EXCLUSION

The Fall of the Tiger

Lozada continues to be a fanatical cacique, sold to foreigners; of the defense of the people it is better not to utter a word. When ditches are opened to install pipelines in the streets of Tepic, treasure seekers believe to have found those belonging to Lozada all over the place. The descendants of Ramón Corona have inherited the lands of Lozada’s town, San Luis, today San Luis of Lozada, but they do not risk visiting them, because “the Indians of San Luis” continue their dispute.

- Jáuregui and Meyer, *El Tigre de Álica*, 1997, 60, translated from Spanish by author -

On September 16, 1870, on the 60th anniversary of Mexico’s independence, Manuel Lozada’s followers issued an invitation to the Mexican people to join his cause to create a more just nation vindicating the rights of indigenous peoples, peasants, and the urban proletariat who had been politically and economically disenfranchised by the liberal reforms. The declaration was signed by 468 residents of distinct parts of what is today the state of Nayarit. Its contents were not of the radical nature that the country’s staunch liberals awaited, for the movement’s goal was not to eliminate the wealthy class itself, but to level out the playing field so as to allow the people of Mexico “what is necessary for subsistence” (cited in Aldana Rendón 1983, 86). Having established himself as the leader of a successful armed movement, the words in this declaration seemed conciliatory, reassuring that the eradication of hunger amongst the poor would not mean that the rich would have a less sumptuous table, and that the people’s ability to dress decently would not impede the rich from wearing their luxurious clothes. Most importantly, the declaration stated that the Mexican people’s right to own land that could be passed down to their progeny did not imply that the landed class would be left without sufficient property to maintain their economic interests.

Manuel Lozada was born in the town of San Luis just east of Tepic in 1828. In 1883, Mexican politician, doctor and lawyer Salvador Quevedo y Zubieta described Lozada as a “small man part Indian, part European, part Mulatto, because his copper colored face had it all, with a flattened forehead and sharp cheekbones, accented by a coarse beard that showed the predominance of a race distinct from the indigenous. It is said, that he was born to a Spanish man and a Mulatto woman; but having been born in an Indian region, he acquired from these his habits, inclinations, and character.” (cited in Jáuregui and Meyer 1997, 92). Lozada was raised in the context of the region’s heavily monopolized land tenure system where the same four families had owned large ranching and agricultural plantations since the days of Nuño de Guzmán’s vicious colonization (López González 1984, 31). During the same period, the region was affected by the circulation of imported goods that traveled from the port of San Blas to Guadalajara, other regions of the Mexican northwest, and California. Much of this commerce was
controlled by British capitalists who simultaneously encouraged a vibrant contraband market that evaded Spanish and, later, Mexican taxation and regulation. Centuries of indigenous unrest and a heavy foreign presence made the region surrounding Tepic increasingly known for its lawlessness, offering the perfect soil for the birth of a complex and misunderstood rebel leader. Legend has it that Lozada became an outlaw after kidnapping an Indian woman and subsequently fleeing with her to the mountains, abandoning his years as a hacienda laborer. Lozada would soon become one of the many bandits that assaulted shipments carried between the port of San Blas, Tepic, and Guadalajara.

Yet the peasant-turned-bandit proved to be a strategic alliance builder and soon discovered his ability to leverage power through his dealings with the powerful commercial house Barron, Forbes and Company. Although he is considered a fervent ally of the conservative cause, he astutely played the liberals off of the conservatives in order to secure his leadership over Tepic and its surroundings. His allies benefited from their relationship with Lozada because they could more freely operate in the rebellious canton of Tepic. Lozada’s early years as a hacienda peon, coupled with his subsequent experiences with the regional business and political classes, led to his politicization and eventual position as an agrarian leader fighting for the underdogs of the 19th century Mexican countryside. A close look at Lozada’s trajectory shows that he experienced “qualitative ideological jumps that took him from being a common bandit to the precursor of agrarian struggle in Mexico.” (Aldana Rendón 1983,106). By 1857, the lozadeños had begun invading and redistributing land to the indigenous communities of the Western Sierra Madre, activities which accelerated in 1868 as the movement expanded through the states of Jalisco and Zacatecas (Meyer 1969, 536-538). In a December 28, 1868 letter addressing the agrarian conflicts in eastern Nayarit, the “communist outlaw” called for a generalized and speedy expropriation of large landholdings, underlining that territorial delimitations should revert to the way they were “prior to when the pueblos were enslaved by the tyranny of governments and the rich.” (537). Lozada indicated that if these actions appeared harsh it was only in response to a justice system that had shown itself to be both “worn and ephemeral” for the people of the countryside (Ibid.) The Tigre de Álica’s fifteen years of rule over Tepic and influence over a large swath of the western region emboldened him to seek the larger goal of promoting a national movement that would vindicate the landless. The taking of Guadalajara would be the first and definitive step in this journey.

The faceoff at La Mojonera came soon after the death of Benito Juárez, who maintained a polite enmity with Lozada and was weary of an all-out war against the indigenous communities of the seventh canton of Jalisco (Aldana Rendón 1983 106; Jáuregui and Meyer 1997, 27). Juárez’s presidential successor, Sebastián Lerdo de

34 Lozada was recognized as a general by Maximiliano I and decorated by the Legion of Honor of Napoleon III (González Navarro 1994, 27).
35 Nineteenth century Mexican writer Manuel Payno denominated Lozada a “forajido comunista” or “communist outlaw” (Meyer 1969, 536).
36 It is difficult to ascertain whether or not Juárez, a Zapotec Indian, tolerated Lozada’s movement out of a suppressed affinity for his indigenous compatriots. However, as the principal face of 19th century Mexican
Tejada, quickly ended this respite and joined forces with Jalisco’s liberals under the intense lobbying of General Ramón Corona. On December 2, 1872, Lerdo de Tejada disregarded the Comité de Estudio y Deslindes (Committee for Study and Demarcation) established by Lozada to deal with land redistributions; in addition, he ordered troops to Tepic and had the 14 and 21 Battalions travel from the state of San Luis Potosí to Jalisco (Meyer 1969, 541-2). This declaration of war by the federal government led Lozada to call on his bases to converge and announce the Plan Libertador (Liberatory Plan) on January 17, 1873. The plan stated that the people had “awakened from their lethargy” and now stood arms in hand under the principle that “the people govern for the people” (543). Jalisco governor, Ignacio Vallarta, responded that the Plan Libertador sought a widespread caste war and the “most scandalous territorial execution” seen in modern history—an ironic statement coming from someone who enthusiastically contributed to the “golden age of the hacienda” in Jalisco (544). As a lawyer who in 1856 was named administrator of indigenous affairs, Vallarta called for the massive expropriations of indigenous landholdings arguing that these actions would benefit the “disgraced race” by forcing them to become modern liberal citizens (González Navarro 1994, 26 & 31). For liberals like Vallarta, Lozada’s push into new territories ignited a deep fear of agrarian revolution dressed up in the language of racial warfare.

The fast march to Guadalajara of Lozada and Plácido Vega’s mostly Wixárika troops would be the first time Lozada fought outside of his territory (Meyer 1969, 545). It was also the first time since the 1541 War of El Mixtón that indigenous rebels directly targeted the city. To this, the sensationalist newspaper Juan Panadero noted that the “the beasts of the Sierra of Álica have left their caves” and called on Guadalajara’s population to “defend property, the honor of our families, the dignity of the State” (Ibid.). The 14 and 21 Battalions led by Corona soon met Lozada at a property called La Mojonera located in the municipality of Zapopan. The quick defeat of Lozada’s forces lent Corona a victory that would launch the general toward a political career. Yet testimonies abound that the Tigre de Álica’s defeat had little to do with Corona’s military prowess and more to do with the betrayal of Lozada’s most trusted military companions. During a conference held in March 1950, Ricardo Lancaster Jones, the grandson of Corona’s friend who owned the property of La Mojonera, pointed to Vega’s pact with Jalisco’s liberal
political leaders to sabotage Lozada’s troops by calling for their retreat (Meyer 1969, 546-7). This suggests that Corona’s victory was far less glorious than popular legend holds.

From La Mojonera onwards, Lozada experienced a series of seditions leading to military defeats and many desertions, the most painful of which was the betrayal of Domingo Nava who had been the “faithful executor of [Lozada’s] agrarian policy” (Meyer 1969, 552). Nava not only handed Lozada’s arms to federal forces but helped them penetrate the terrain that Lozada had held undefeated for nearly twenty years. Treason was the ultimate instrument used to squash the rebellious population of these western mountains.

On July 19, 1873, Lozada was executed for the crimes of banditry and kidnapping at the Loma de los Metates outside of Tepic (Meyer 1969, 535). Jean Meyer’s study of Lozada’s fall affirms that the movement’s composition as a “great western confederation” of indigenous peoples was a key element for both its success and downfall, as it rested on a long history of ethnic division extant prior to the arrival of the Spaniards (562). The Tigre de Álica’s leadership had been the “confederation’s” unifying force. Upon Lozada’s gradually weakening health and retreat from Guadalajara, these pre-existing divisions were used by the enemy to sink the movement’s momentum. His attempt to transcend geographic and ethnic boundaries for the larger cause of social justice and land redistribution collapsed. On the other hand, the failure of social revolution was turned into profits for Tepic’s bourgeoisie who, ten years later, gained independence from the state of Jalisco and became the Free and Sovereign State of Nayarit (568). For the rural mestizo and indigenous communities of the West, the defeat at La Mojonera and Lozada’s execution signified the continued loss of land compounded by additional territorial disputes that stemmed from the newly created state boundaries between Nayarit and Jalisco that cut through Wixárika communities (Rojas 1993, 259-260).

To date, Lozada’s figure remains controversial as scholars and politicians argue over his place in regional history. Nonetheless, Lozada’s centrality during the events that marked the second half of the 19th century has been ambiguously accepted. Was he a simple bandit? An opportunist who lent his services to the British capitalists and the French imperialists? Or a revolutionary whose efforts at returning land to indigenous communities endures as a specter for the region’s oligarchy? A mural in the state’s Congress depicting Nayarit’s heroes includes such controversial characters as Nuño

---

41 Meyer (1969) cites government letters pressuring Nava to split from Lozada or face being tried as a traitor to the nation, a penalty that could carry a death sentence. Other former Lozada fighters that lent strategic information to government troops include Praxedis Núñez and Coronel Ramón Galván.
42 The creation of the state of Nayarit in 1883 fueled years of disputes between the political and economic elites of Tepic and Guadalajara. Those in Tepic argued that the leadership of Guadalajara had permitted Tepic and its surrounding region to fall into years of lawlessness during Lozada’s reign. Meanwhile, those from Guadalajara asserted that Tepic had neither the necessary population nor administrative know-how to effectively lead a region that was intrinsic to the West’s economic sustenance (García, S. 1878, 30-31).
43 Governor of Nayarit Gilberto Flores Muñoz (1946-1951) used Lozada as a controversial “political banner” during his inaugural campaign (Agraz García de Alba 1997, 21).
Beltrán de Guzmán and King Nayar but excludes Lozada (Jáuregui and Meyer 1997, 26). Even so, the *Tigre de Álica* is alive and well for many Nayaritans—while he may not appear in officially sanctioned monuments, Jáuregui and Meyer note that the ancient fig tree where Lozada was executed is the scene of anonymous offerings on the yearly anniversary of his death and on the Day of the Dead (29). One of the most notable homages to Lozada was the sign in front of the church in the Náyari village of Jesús María, Nayarit that in 1940 read: “Long live the most excellent sir General of Division Don Manuel Lozada, viceroy of the Coras and Huichols” (15). It is with this sign and countless mythologies, that the Tiger attains his historic place amongst the descendants of his indigenous supporters in the Sierra of Álica, where a mixture of fact and fiction have kept him alive.

**Nayarit’s Impossible Conquest**

Her mountains were the nest of rebellious Indians who lived freely, jealously protecting their pagan customs, rites and ceremonies and inebriating themselves with peyote; a constant source of rebellions and refuge of all evildoers and Indian apostates, who maintained the surrounding Spanish population and the High Court of Nueva Galicia in a continuous state of alert.

-Salvador Reynoso (1964), 7, translated from Spanish by author -

When Nuño Beltrán de Guzmán made his trek west in search of treasure and lands to settle in the name of the King of Spain, he quickly made a distinction between “*indios amigos*” and “*indios de guerra*” (Razo Zaragoza 1963, 26). The friendly Indians were those who accompanied him along his notoriously murderous journey, or who put up little or no resistance against his colonizing forces. His brief stay in Tonallan (today Tonalá, part of the Metropolitan Zone of Guadalajara) was an exemplary manifestation of “friendly Indians” who lavishly served the conqueror. The brief battle that led to Tonallan’s submission to Spanish rule was a small headache in comparison to what Guzmán’s forces would face as they made their way northwest. It was here that the “Indians of war” shaped what seemed to be an impossible conquest. For three more centuries, the Western Sierra Madre and the area surrounding Tepic would remain beyond the grasp of the Crown and, later, Mexico City’s leadership.

The lands that led to Tepic were sumptuous. In every direction fertile valleys and water could be found. Here thrived the “Great Dominion of Xalisco” in the Valley of Matatipac. On July 8, 1530 Nuño Beltrán Guzmán laid claim to the region of Xalisco and Tepic:

Two crosses were placed in Xalisco and another two in Tepiq, which is a temperate place, with many springs and very mild, a good river passes through it, it is a place with a lot of sown land and cotton fields, of all kinds of food and orchards; lodging has been left for Spaniards that stop here[.] (Guzmán quoted in Razo Zaragoza 1963, 53, translated from Spanish by author)

The Sangangüey volcano which resembles a gigantic mortar and pestle, stood watch over a promising countryside nestled between the Western Sierra Madre and the Pacific
Ocean. For the pious Catholics this land was a manifestation of the Garden of Eden and a prize to be won. Under the orders of Guzmán, the Spaniards were searching for the ideal location where they could settle the administrative headquarters of Nueva Galicia. It seemed he had found the place in Tepique, named after a fast growing corn that can be cultivated in fifty days—a reflection of the region’s abundance. In a letter to King Charles V seeking approval for the establishment of the capital in this location, Guzmán described the “docility” of the more than two million “souls” and asked permission to make them his slaves as the area provided few “beasts of burden” (37). The Spaniards carried on marking their territory with crosses and the blood of the aborigines who fought them with arrows. Many fled to the mountains to escape persecution. According to an account written by Juan de Samano on January 20, 1530, when the chiefs of Xalisco were summoned to meet with the conquerors and failed to appear, Guzmán ordered that Xalisco be invaded, burned, and that those who escaped death be rounded up and taken as slaves (Razo Zaragoza 1963, 135). Samano narrates how two individuals believed to be indigenous leaders were ordered to have their hands cut off and necks slashed (Ibid).

Although Pedro de Carranza, another member of Guzmán’s party, stated that Tepique was found in peace, orders were given to burn, kill, rape and enslave the natives (page #).

---

The earliest Spanish chroniclers underlined the region’s large native population which over the next century would be decimated through murder, disease, and enslavement. Although the Spanish Crown prohibited slavery in 1530, it rescinded the law four years later as a consequence of the wanton murder of the indigenous population which was viewed as holding no value for the Spaniards as long as their labor could not be forcibly conscripted (López González 1984, 14). In 1536 slavery was once again prohibited after Friar Bartolomé de las Casas’ famous intervention. Notwithstanding, Guzmán turned a blind eye to these decrees and continued to enslave thousands of people until his arrest by the Crown in 1537 (Ibid. 15).
Yet Guzmán’s people were not the first Spaniards to attempt a conquest of the area; in 1527 Hernán Cortés’ brother, Francisco Cortés de Buenaventura, had already tried colonizing this western territory (Santana 1930, 33). The matter would pit the Cortés brothers against Guzmán and reach the Spanish Crown’s tribunals in 1531. In the trial, several Spaniards who participated in the westward expeditions testified before the president and judges of the Royal Court (Real Audiencia), describing gross cases of murder at the hands of Guzmán’s troops; these testimonies also provided descriptions of a plush geography (Boletín del Archivo General de la Nación, Vol. 8, No. 3: 365-576). The feud between the conquistadores made clear how much this region was coveted for its rich resources and moderate climate. In a testimony by Gregorio Saldana and Francisco Verdugo, extending the territories held by the conquerors offered a promising “remedy for many Spaniards that are lost and poor and do not have anything to eat” (366). With great expectations, the city of Santiago de Compostela de Nueva Galicia, today Tepic, was founded on July 25, 1532 (López González 1984, 18). But the arrogance and violence that Guzmán brought would make the conquest of the region a seemingly impossible feat as wave after wave of indigenous rebellions would push the capital of Nueva Galicia to the less hostile region of the Valley of Atemajac, sinking prospects for fully colonizing this Garden of Eden of the Indies. In 1540, the New Galician headquarters were moved southward to Coacatlán (presently the town of Compostela), leaving the Spanish colonies in Xalisco-Tepic with a small and destitute population (Ibid.)

In 1541, a decade and a half worth of Spanish brutality led to an all-out war against the Spaniards in the territories that had been christened as Nueva Galicia. Although brief, the one year Mixtón War (1541-1542), which spanned from Culiacán to Guadalajara, set an important precedent for the rebellions to come. Written testimonies from this period draw a grim picture of both Spanish and Indian struggle with disease and lack of food. The few Spanish colonizers in the area barely eked out a living and spent much of their time defending themselves from the area’s native populations. Friar and historian Antonio Tello (1567-1653) gives a clear indication of Spanish desperation in a letter he collected to the King of Spain in 1543 which tells of the “continual war” with the Indians and demands for more vecinos (neighbors) or Spanish immigrants to help fight off the rebels and ensure the colonization of this western territory (Calvo 1990, 60). Throughout these first years of attempted conquest, a small segment of the indigenous population of the West did become integrated into the besieged Spanish system, either through religious conversion or as laborers and assistants. Nevertheless, the bulk of the surviving Indians kept the pressure on the Spaniards. Some attacks against the foreigners, such as the 1585 murder of Franciscans in Huaynamota, would then be followed by increasingly violent Spanish reprisals (89-92).

---

45 This letter was compiled by Friar Antonio Tello for his Crónica Miscelánea, second book, chapter LXXII.
The legendary indigenous leader of this period was King Nayar, who during the final years of the 15th century was said to have founded the Kingdom of Xecora or Xicora and in 1500 became the appointed leader, or king, of the Nayari (Cora or Yekuena) people (Gutiérrez Contreras 1974, 53). Today, homage is paid to the king through being the namesake of the state of Nayarit and through a monument that looms tall in Tepic’s landscape. His leadership brought together the various Nayari “tribes” under one government, facilitating their territorial domination from the Pacific coast to Mazapil in the present day state of Zacatecas (Ibid). A fierce enemy of surrounding indigenous populations, King Nayar is said to have helped ignite the Mixtón War fearing that his own people could fall victim to looming Spanish brutality (78).

Salvador Gutiérrez Contreras’ study of Nayar draws on texts written by several of his Spanish contemporaries showing that the Nayari leader demonstrated some level of diplomacy with the colonizers, apparently becoming baptized in 1592 and handing off land to the Spaniards so that they could found the settlement of Guaynamota (88-89). In another gesture of Nayar’s collaborative spirit, Father Uranzu named the sierra after the leader following an encounter in 1613 with a very old and blind Nayar (97-98). Despite King Nayar’s friendly gestures toward the European colonizers, subsequent colonial leaders feared the cult that the region’s indigenous peoples held for him. His ambiguous position as both an indio amigo and indio de guerra endured as a threatening symbol to the colonial system. When Juan Flores de San Pedro successfully conquered much of the indigenous sierra territory in 1722, King Nayar’s remains were removed from their shrine in Tzacaimuta and taken to Mexico City (195). One year after the cadaver was removed from its shrine, an auto-de-fé was proclaimed and Nayar’s remains were publicly burned in the Plazuela de San Diego where countless other inquisition burnings had taken place.

---

46 Salvador Gutiérrez Contreras states that Xícora or Xícori in Nayari means peyote.
47 Documents from the period state that King Nayar died sometime between 1624 and 1626 during a war between the Huaynamotecos and the Náayari, indicating that King Nayar was at least 134 years old at the time of his death! (Gutiérrez Contreras 1974, 110-111)
Historian Luis Pérez Verdía affirms that among the accompanying objects burned with Nayar was a stone disk representing the sun, possibly the only of its kind found in New Galicia (198). With these actions induced by colonial anxieties and Catholic superstitions, the Spanish authorities thought to have laid to rest their indigenous opponents in the Western Sierra Madre.

Following these first turbulent decades of conquest, mines were discovered in Nayarit. While promising to provide the Spaniards with only a fraction of the wealth generated by the mines of Zacatecas, the incipient mining activity (which was largely controlled by the clergy) was essential for the economic development of Guadalajara’s 17th century merchants (Calvo 1990, 126 & 187). The expansion of mining and agriculture to feed the growing number of arrivals led to continued encroachment of indigenous landholdings (Torres Contreras 2009, 154). Incessant upheaval coupled with the Spaniard’s inability to navigate the sierra and conquer its inhabitants did, however, lead the invaders to establish dozens of presidios along the “frontier of San Luis Colotlán” (156). Within this territory, the colonizers also sought the political, economic, and geographic separation between pacified and warring Indians, the Spaniards and their descendants, African slaves and mulattoes (Ibid). The Wixaritari communities that became known as indios fronterizos, or frontier Indians, allied themselves with the Spaniards in their efforts to subdue their Yekuena enemies in exchange for certain privileges (168-182). As a result, Yekuena communities suffered much heavier repression with the burning of their temples and mass incarceration of their people, while cooperating Wixárika communities were largely left untouched (210). For many oppressed peoples of New Galicia, these cooperative Wixárika communities became a relative haven from Spanish domination and as such became a refuge for escaped African slaves and mestizos.

In 1709, upon the discovery of mines in Chimaltitán, near Bolaños in the heart of the Western Sierra Madre, Captain Juan Flores de San Pedro sent a request to the President of the Royal Court of New Spain to “pacify” Nayarit through the “use of any means judged convenient” (Flores de San Pedro [1722] 1964, 12). On December 24, 1722, Captain Flores de San Pedro set off for the “definitive conquest of the Province of Nayarit,” affirming that he would first attempt a “peaceful penetration” through evangelization, and, if this failed, “reduce” the natives by armed force (Ibid). As with his predecessors, the captain would find that he had entered a most difficult geography that had been and would continue to be a type of fortress for its native inhabitants. He also found that “these Chichimecas” were disinterested in conversion and willing to fight any armed incursion (43). By April 17, 1722, Captain Flores de San Pedro asked the governor of New Spain for military backup as the Indians did not believe the Spanish mission to be that of conversion but solely a conquest for the precious metals housed in their mountains.

---

48 As a lesson in the penalties of idolatry and rebellion, Spanish authorities made sure that indigenous prisoners were present at the burning.
49 Not all Wixaritari communities fully cooperated with the Spaniards as indios fronterizos. Those from Tuapurie and Waut+a were far more rebellious and were not pacified until 1733 by Captain Antonio Escobedo (Torres Contreras 2009, 175).

---
(103). With Flores’s request granted, the inhabitants of the sierra were officially subjugated after fierce battles.

Throughout the unstable 16th century, Tepic was whittled down to a transitory town for those travelers and merchants who came and went from the coast (López González 1996, 26). However, the Spanish discovery of California helped boost the floundering economy of the towns of Tepic, Xalisco and Compostela. As interest for the northern territories grew, the need for carpentry, construction, ironwork, and agriculture to maintain these new arrivals increased. According to historian Pedro López González, beginning in late 1632, “the town of Tepic was not only considered a refuge along the road, but an indispensable stopping point, a place of rest, much more secure than the tierra caliente (the coast) which they dreaded because of its fevers, and a place of preparation to continue the journey to the center of New Spain.” (28) As the decades progressed, San Blas would play an increasingly important role in the development of New Galicia’s emerging cities of Tepic and Guadalajara.

Small Port, Big Capital

“¿Cuándo me traes a mi negra? / Que la quiero ver aquí/ Con su rebozo de seda/ Que le traje de Tepic”

“When will you bring me my Black Woman? / I want to see her here/ With her silk shawl/ That I brought her from Tepic”

-“Son de la Negra”-

Colonial Mexico was not solely comprised of Indians and Spaniards struggling over political, economic, and territorial power. In fact, there was a large but today much forgotten African presence in New Galicia. As the years progressed, Asian and Middle Eastern immigrants would also come to test their luck in the promised and often lawless lands of the Mexican West. Eighteenth century Tepic had a small but increasingly heterogeneous population, with mulattoes comprising the largest and fastest growing racial group because of the need for their labor in agriculture and cattle ranching (López González 1984, 20-21). At the beginning of the 19th century, Scottish captain John Pringle Brodie made the following description of the region’s racial composition:

The people in the various towns and on the Haciendas are generally a well made race of people. None of them can be strictly called Indians, and the whites are very few, but you have all the different shades from the one to the other, so mixed and crossed that it is utterly impossible to make out what proportion they bear. (Brodie 1824-1919, np)

As discussed in chapter one, the colonial caste system offers a glimpse into a hierarchical albeit relatively open society that tolerated miscegenation. With few European women in a city like Tepic, marriages across racial lines were quite common. This heterogeneity would continue to grow once the port of San Blas was formally established as a naval base and commercial port in 1768.

Initially, San Blas was an integral part of the Spanish empire’s geopolitical project along the northern Pacific coast. At this port, boats were built and sent north in
order to “pacify and rescue” the territories of Sonora and Alta California from incursions by Russians and the British (López González 1984, 38). While Acapulco remained the most important west coast port in New Spain, the route between San Blas and Mexico City was far easier to travel and included the important city of Guadalajara along the way (Mayo 2006, 4). During the second half of the 18th century, the activity created by the port led to a series of accompanying economic developments and the region experienced rapid demographic growth (Meyer 1990, 26). Expeditions out of New Galicia to the slowly colonizing northern territories made the region of Nayarit an obligatory stopping point, connecting central Mexico with the Pacific. The most famed of these expeditions was Father Junipero Serra’s departure from San Blas in 1768 to carry out his evangelizing mission in the Californias.

In the economic sphere, San Blas became a key contributor to the commercial development of New Galicia, allowing for an increasingly independent trade network between the western territories, Asia, the Caribbean, and Europe. The growth of imports from Asia, and the galleons from the Philippines in particular, helped make Tepic an emerging merchant city. It is during this period that Tepic was considered a relatively important city because of its vibrant commerce and its well-attended marketplace (Meyer 1990, 50). Tepic’s late colonial reputation for its bazaar can be appreciated in one line from the classic mariachi song, Son de la negra that indelibly points to the silk shawl brought from Tepic and, as a result, the silk imports brought from Asia to Tepic via San Blas. The Son de la negra is also a clear indicator of the abovementioned African presence in the Mexican west—a presence that was often embraced within the contradictory colonial race relations.

The activity of San Blas inevitably had a ripple effect on the surrounding countryside as rising amounts of agricultural goods were produced for the port town, the merchant and naval fleets that came and went, and for the northbound journeys (Meyer 1990, 43). During the colonial period, Tepic’s hinterland became an ideal setting for raising livestock; this was particularly true along the largely unpopulated and well watered coastal region (López González 1984, 29). In the 18th century, the livestock raised here took up the bulk of the region’s exports. By 1742, it was calculated that 30,000 cows were sent per year from New Galicia to central Mexico’s burgeoning urban centers—40 percent of these were raised in Tepic’s jurisdiction (Meyer 1990, 30).

According to Pedro López González, the families that controlled the livestock business were the heirs of the large haciendas acquired during the initial years of conquest and lacked an innovative spirit, preferring to live off rents to making improvements (31-33). The formation of these haciendas and their continued expansion serve as a classic example of accumulation by dispossession. Tobacco proved to become another important

50 Authorship of Son de la negra is most commonly attributed to Blas Galindo who brought it to the world stage in a 1940 New York City performance. However, anthropologist Jesús Jáuregui’s extensive research on the matter demonstrates that the son’s origins are more correctly attributed to popular regional mariachi variations found throughout Mexico’s western states, particularly in Nayarit and Jalisco (2010). Jáuregui notes that the lyrical emphasis on the silk shawl from Tepic clearly dates the son’s origins to the years of the independence at the height of San Blas’ trade with Asia and Tepic’s short-lived but vibrant bazaar (Jáuregui 2010, 309).
crop for Tepic’s landed class and for the Spanish crown (López González 1984, 41-43). Up until a decree in 1768 that gave preferential advantages to tobacco produced in Veracruz, Nayarit had demonstrated that its land was prime soil for this cultivation (Meyer 1990, 40). Although sales from the entity subsequently decreased, the entrepreneurial spirit of the time sought more than the exportation of the raw material and established a tobacco processing plant in Guadalajara controlled by capital in Tepic (Ibid).

During the final years of the colonial period, the Napoleonic wars placed the Spanish Crown in a difficult position to assert control over its colonial territories, particularly those like New Galicia that were already isolated from the major centers of colonial authority. The disjunction of Spanish rule coupled with the Bourbon Reforms solidified the sense of growing independence amongst the Criollos in the Spanish colonies. The reforms not only decentralized political and economic power, but they broke away from the long established protectionism of the Spanish colonial system and ushered in free market policies. With the establishment of a consulate in Guadalajara in 1795, the West’s already extant sense of political and economic autonomy from Mexico City strengthened. In San Blas, the convergence of these factors precipitated the rising economic influence of British capital (Mayo 2006, 7-8). In the absence of Spanish colonial authority, British, Panamanian, and South American merchants who built ties at this port were able to directly connect the San Blas-Tepic-Guadalajara commercial axis with the Isthmus of Panama, South America, and the West Indies without going through the previously hegemonic Mexico City-Veracruz-Spain circuit (8).

San Blas gained significant clout during Mexico’s war of independence when insurgent leader José María Morelos held the port of Acapulco under siege—sending all naval traffic to New Galicia’s coast. Between 1812 and 1817, the blockade of Acapulco created a period of unseen prosperity for Tepic and Guadalajara (Meyer 1990, 49). José María Murià notes that this period of commercial affluence continued, demonstrating that between 1821 and 1827 San Blas received 58% of the Pacific’s customs transactions, compared to Acapulco’s 29% (cited in Jáuregui 2010, 308). The new traffic of people and goods passing through San Blas and the lack of centralized authority made the West prime land for contraband and gave the region a reputation for lawlessness. This tumultuous prosperity was framed by British capital that flooded the western commercial network. In fact, the Barron, Forbes and Company commercial house quickly emerged as the political and economic mover and shaker in the Tepic area. Through the 19th century, commercial houses like Barron and Forbes not only profited from the sale of contraband, but were directly involved in securing the movement of illicit goods throughout Mexico’s West (Meyer 1990, 60). John Mayo’s study on the matter estimates that between 1811 and 1814, twenty five banned foreign ships discharged goods in San Blas that were paid for with silver and gold illicitly extracted from the Western Sierra Madre (Mayo 2006, 5). Much like present day discussions on the role of the black market, government authorities would complain about how the traffic in illicit goods was damaging the already struggling public coffers. Despite the government’s concerns, the public now enjoyed access to far cheaper consumer goods than those sold legally via the Veracruz-Mexico City axis (Mayo 2006, 6).
As noted by John Mayo, Barron, Forbes and Company held “a position of unrivalled, if often reviled, political influence and economic power” in western Mexico and, with its infamously “uninhibited” attitude, this house would seemingly always get its way (Mayo 2006, 67). Eustace Barron was an Irishman born in Spain who used his inheritance of landed property in England to begin his career in South America where he volunteered between 1818 and 1822 for the soon to be defeated Spanish Royal Army (67). It is in South America where he is believed to have met Scottish entrepreneur Alexander Forbes and from where they both followed the commercial ships northwards to the promising port of San Blas (68). Eustace and Alexander would subsequently found their commercial house in 1823, with the former handling operations in Tepic and the latter spending the trading season in the sweltering port town of San Blas (69). Aside from their economic activities, both would become consuls in Mexico—Barron would serve as British consul and Forbes as consul for the United States and Chile (Reseña Documentada 1857). According to Jean Meyer, foreign capitalists in Mexico often became consuls to their home countries: “The consular function provides the businesses with proved protection. All are consuls, all are cosmopolites, all do a little of everything, including contraband.” (Meyer 1990, 54)

Barron and Forbes would master these roles for several decades, making their fortunes from the combination of licit and illicit merchandise. The pair would also become important creditors, acquiring large sums of property in exchange for unpaid debts (Plascencia Flores 1984, 34). By 1867, their company would become the largest financial institution in the country and provide loans for presidents Benito Juárez and Lerdo de Tejada (35). Forbes’ California: A History of Upper and Lower California, written in Tepic in 1835 and published in 1839, serves as a testament of the capitalist’s imperial dreams, whereby Mexico’s debt to Britain could be used to acquire Alta California before the Yankees could get a secure foothold on the territory (Forbes [1839] 1937, 92-93). In the style of the East India Company, Forbes proposed that California be ceded to a company (xxv). 51 While Forbes’ dreams of colonizing California never took hold, in 1846 his company dubiously acquired shares for the newly christened New Almaden quicksilver mine in Santa Clara County. Toward the end of his life, Alexander Forbes would face charges in U.S. courts for fraud and forgery of land titles (Priestley in Forbes xiv-xvi).

In the 1850s Barron and Forbes would link up with a bandit who had reached a famed reputation for success in the treacherous Sierra of Álica or Nayarit. The relationship between the British capitalists and Manuel Lozada surely came as a result of their mutual enmity with the liberal regime. For the commercial house, hostility toward the liberals in Mexico City had local reverberations as their major competitor in Tepic was the liberal Castaños family (Ligera Reseña 1863, 8). Years later, when Lozada turned his attention to large scale agrarian struggle, Barron, Forbes and Company would aid in securing his defeat. During this same period, Barron and Forbes would also come

51 In a rather cynical manner, after several chapters in which Forbes tells of California’s promising land and unquarrelsome Indians, he concludes his book by stating that “The cession of such a disjointed part of the republic as California, would be an advantage. In no case can it ever be profitable to the Mexican republic…Therefore, by giving up this territory for the debt, would be getting rid of this last for nothing.” (Forbes [1839]1937, 92)
under fire by Jalisco’s liberal governor, Santos Degollado who in 1855 accused the capitalists of buying off local authorities and using their position as consuls to ensure their immunity from their role in the contraband network. In an 1843 letter to Earl of Aberdeen, Eustace Barron recounted the strength of British capital over all others, excusing its links to contraband as a direct result of the high tariffs set by Mexican customs (Meyer 1990, 57). In the letter, Barron also underlines the progress of Tepic and Guadalajara’s textile industry that was intricately woven into the network of foreign agriculture and commerce from Guayaquil to San Blas (50-51). The convergence of poor governance during Mexico’s rocky path to nationhood and the geographic autonomy of western Mexico secured the “semi-illicit international trade, originating in the factories and warehouses of Great Britain, wormed its way through Jamaica, Panama, San Blas, Tepic, and Guadalajara before finally tapping the wealth of rich silver mining towns like Zacatecas.” (David Walker cited in Mayo 2006, 29)

Once Acapulco regained its footing and other far better equipped western ports such as Mazatlán began to emerge, San Blas, and by extension Tepic, would begin a steep economic and demographic decline. In 1831, Scottish captain John Pringle Brodie described San Blas as a town in ruins, noting the infrastructural decay of the port that was already in process during his previous visit in 1829. In the same trip, Brodie labeled Tepic as an increasingly “dull and solitary” city (Brodie 1824-1919, np). These changes did not take place overnight, as Tepic continued to be considered an attractive destination during the 1830s with a still dynamic agricultural production in its hinterlands and its important textile industry anchored in the factories of Jauja (founded in 1838 by Barron and Forbes) and Bellavista (founded in 1833 by the Castaños family) (Meyer 1990, 20-21). Yet these merits could not hold for long with San Blas’ poor reputation driving the port into obsolescence, and with it, bringing down the regional economy during the newly independent nation’s longest period of political and economic instability.

Downtown Tepic during the French Occupation. Photo attributed to Ernest Louet, Bancroft Library)
Tepic’s declining prosperity occurred at the height of the Mexican state’s venture into land prospecting and privatization under the Leyes de Desamortización (Laws of Disentailment) of the Reforma. Specifically, the Ley de Deslinde y Colonización de Terrenos Baldíos (Law of Demarcation and Colonization of Vacant Lands) of December 15, 1883 led several of the hired survey companies to drastically reconfigure Nayarit’s territorial structure (Meyer 1990, 32-3). Hiding behind the shroud of modern cartography and liberal land ownership, these companies could receive a third of the land that they disentailed in exchange for their work and, subsequently, sell these parcels off for profit (Ibid). Although this practice would later be repealed, cartographic data compiled by Covarrubias and Cossío (1911 and 1922 respectively), placed Tepic among the ten most affected entities in the country, showing a dramatic dispossession of indigenous landholdings in the sierras and along the coast (Meyer 1990, 33). The violent nature of these land surveys is made evident by the military escorts that accompanied the companies as they measured and seized land in Nayarit (147). As with the fate of the Yaqui rebels of northern Mexico, families who resisted having their land expropriated were often detained and sent to Yucatán (139). Despite Lozada’s execution, Nayarit’s rural population continued to organize against the onslaught of land privatization through the use of guerrilla tactics that included robbing local haciendas. The local elite—among these the Barron, Forbes and Company—predictably responded by subsidizing the military persecution of the so-called rebel gangs (141). As the 19th century drew to a close, low intensity warfare would continue to haunt Nayarit’s countryside and temporarily spill over onto Tepic’s streets.

Today, the small port town of San Blas shows few remnants of the glorious years it lived during the late colonial period and early years of Mexican independence. As with the textile factories of Bellavista and Jauja, San Blas’ present economic relevance rests upon bringing in tourists to surf its beach’s waves and explore the crocodiles that wander its swamps. During the rainy season, sandflies continue to plague its dirt and cobblestone streets, a geographic malady it has been unable to shake. For Wixárika culture, San Blas remains an intrinsic location in their sacred geography and a necessary place to meet religious obligations. Wixárika families come here to leave offerings to Tatei Haramara, Our Mother Ocean “who is petrified in the sea of the West Coast […] as a sacred white peak called Waxiewe […] where Our Mothers of Rain originate and the fertile soils mingle with the essential salt for spicing meals; it is also where the dead begin their journey in the underworld, before they are released to the heavenly realm of Taheimá, where our Creator (Sun), Taweviékame, turns into quartz crystals, teiwarixi.” (Negrín, J. 2004, 1-2). Presently, Tatei Haramara is threatened by tourism prospecting. In late 2011, two tourism enterprises announced they had acquired the property rights of this sacred site where Waxiewe is located. They soon appeared to retreat after rapid protests by the Wixárika population and Mexican civil society already galvanized over mining concessions in the eastern sacred pilgrimage site of Wirikuta. After centuries, Nayarit’s golden road to capitalism continues to be subject to the rebels of Álica.

52 The Arellano and Gayou firms were two of the primary beneficiaries of these practices (Meyer 1990, 33).
A Sleepy City Enters the Twentieth Century

As the 19th century drew to a close, San Blas and Tepic would be painfully cut off from a modernizing Mexico with the railroad making a celebrated arrival to many other parts of Mexico, including Guadalajara (Meyer 1990, 199). Although these two places had been essential in the infrastructural development of the West, they were now largely economically and politically irrelevant (López González 1984, 50). A sign of these changes is evident in the speech given by Juan Avina during the inauguration of Tepic’s Porfirio Díaz Theatre on September 15, 1907:

[The theatre] marks an interesting stage in the material progress of Tepic, which has been achieved despite the insuperable obstacles faced under the current situation where we lack railroads, our old commercial relations have virtually disappeared, we have an absence of capital and entrepreneurial spirit, and we only can count on the fertility of its soil, with the unexploited wealth of its mines, with its coast bathed in the stormy waves of the Pacific; with the springs that overflow from the rocks of its mountains, with its wealth of creeks and rivers that encircle its plains like silver serpents, spreading its verdure and freshness everywhere, in this region that is marvelously endowed by nature. (Adelantos y mejoras materiales 1909, 17, translated from Spanish by author)

A few years before the Díaz regime was ousted from power, Tepic could only count on its countryside as a source of future development. The exploitation of its sugar and tobacco fields and the development of its water sources would be Nayarit’s economic foundation for the 20th century. Its previous incarnation as a center of attraction for foreign merchants and the transit of international commodities had now given way to an economic focus based on the exploitation of the region’s natural resources (López González 1999, 16 & 23).

Save the labor strikes in its textile factories and occasional military battles, Tepic remained at the margins of the Mexican Revolution that enveloped much of its neighboring states (Meyer 1990, 191-192). Perhaps the gravest effect that the years of revolution had on the region was a continuing downward economic trend. Few significant changes could be felt in the countryside during the immediate years following the revolution. Barron and Forbes were long gone after reaping their fortunes, but other foreign capitalists had replaced them; this included the German Delius and Company who became the only source of credit for haciendas down to the small tortilla shops (200). During this same period, the Aguirre family, of Spanish nationality, had effectively become the single most important property owner in Nayarit, controlling approximately 2,471,054 acres that included haciendas, cattle ranches, sugar mills, and factories (Ibid.) The old rival textile factories of Jauja and Bellavista were now both owned by Aguirre, as were the power plant, all water concessions, and the trade in wood, gas, and petroleum. The Aguirre monopoly even lent money to the Constitutionalists at the height of the revolution in 1914 (Ibid.) In the 1930s, George Tays, an American carrying out a study

53 The commercial house liquidated its properties in Mexico in 1893.
for the U.S. Army noted that land tenure in and around Tepic continued to belong to a very small number of hacendados (Tays 1941, 4).

As in the rest of the nation, changes in the political, social, and economic landscape after the revolution came slowly. The most significant agrarian shifts came at the hands of Guillermo Flores Muñoz’s land invasions in 1933 and 1934 which largely brought the hacienda system to an end (Meyer 1990, 204). While the turmoil of the revolution had sent many Mexicans abroad, the agrarian movement of the subsequent years led many foreign capitalists back to their home countries—including Delius and Aguirre (203). On a macroeconomic level, the late arrival of the railroad to Tepic in 1927 and the agrarian redistribution of the 1930s did little to change the financial landscape. However, the reallocation of the large haciendas to Nayarit’s peasants provided a powerful symbol of hope in a region that had vigorously fought for a more just land tenure system. Julián Gascón Mercado, who would become governor of Nayarit from 1964 to 1969, keenly recalled the impact that the agrarian reform had on his family in the ejido of Aután on the coast of the state: “It had been a short time since Lázaro Cárdenas had given us land and with it credit and a shipment of mules to trace and plough the earth.” (Gascón Mercado, J. 1975, 15, translation by author). The peasant-turned-PRI politician and nationalist writer noted that the land his family was given had belonged to the powerful Aguirre family (Ibid.). With the Mexican state’s embrace of agrarian reform, the struggle over land in Nayarit seemed to be turning a page.

Nevertheless, the PRI’s trajectory from a revolutionary nationalist party to one that was increasingly authoritarian was evident in the state of Nayarit, which to date remains a faithful bastion of this party. Julián Gascón Mercado and Gilberto Flores Muñoz (brother of Guillermo) marked the PRI’s progressive nationalist tendencies while remaining weary of the radical Left opposition. Interestingly, both of these governors’ brothers, Alejandro and Guillermo respectively, stood as symbols of the agrarian radicalism that transcended the state’s populist initiatives and recalled the rebellions of centuries past. Alejandro Gascón Mercado embodied the rising leftist opposition to the PRI during the presidency of Luis Echevarría who tended toward populism, indigenismo and a lukewarm acceptance of Marxism all the while clamping down on radical Left movements. Alejandro Gascón Mercado ran for and was believed to have won the governorship of the state of Nayarit in 1975 under the Partido Popular Socialista (Popular Socialist Party). But in typical PRI fashion, candidate Rogelio Flores Curiel was named the official victor of the race, leading Gascón Mercado to break with the PPS (considered too acquiescent to the PRI). In an interview from 1992, Gascón Mercado underlined how Nayarit’s electoral system obeyed the orders of the federal government and therefore denied the needs of the state’s population. The Partido Popular Socialista (PPS) emerged in the 1960s with strong support from the teachers’ union and an “organizational strategy between the working class neighborhoods of Tepic to defend the electoral vote” (Pacheco Ladrón de Guevara 1991, 7). This party’s failure to effectively contest the PRI’s hegemony led its dissidents to search for new party affiliations based on a working class constituency. With some exceptions, the parties of the Left eventually

54 He would later form the Partido del Pueblo Mexicano (Party of the Mexican People) and in 1979 helped form the Coalición de Izquierda (Coalition of the Left) along with the Communist Party of Mexico.
were consolidated into the center-left *Partido de la Revolución Democrática* (Party of the Democratic Revolution or PRD). Gascón Mercado notes that in the 1980s, the parties of the Left in Nayarit were based on the organization of independent unions and the wave of popular land invasions initiated by peasant communities surrounding Tepic (8). Gascón Mercado’s involvement in these land struggles is marked by his role in the founding of the Wixárika *ejido* of Salvador Allende, named after the deposed Chilean president.

Although promising, the *ejido* system ran into a series of contradictions before President Salinas de Gortari infamously amended the Constitution in order to allow them to become commodities and thus be bought, rented, and speculated upon. Even prior to this hotly contested constitutional change, the *ejido* system had fallen into the hands of corrupt leaders willing to allow changes in land use in exchange for private financial gain. In 1975, celebrated writer Fernando Benítez classified many *ejido* leaders as *caciques* or local strongmen, stating that “the *cacique* is the innate leader, the first subdivider, the first banker, the first authority” who sells land titles several times or makes sure that there is no land title handed to the poor migrant who cannot always chose the conditions in which he or she lives (Benítez 1975, 7, translation by author). Benítez noted that “the time had come when the urban subdivision can reap more gains than cows and alfalfa fields” (11). Although the suburbanization of Tepic happened more slowly than in larger Mexican cities, the *ejido* system was consumed by monied interests ranging from new housing and commercial developments to mono-cultivations.

In a highly agrarian state like Nayarit, violations to the communal landholding system have largely returned the state to the monopolized land tenure of the past. This includes the concentration of land by transnational tobacco companies and the spread of industrial tomato farms owned by Sinaloans that have led to a generalized decrease in the diversity of agricultural crops (Pacheco Ladrón de Guevara 1991, 12; Mackinlay 2008). The case of tobacco illustrates this trend. From the mid-19th century onward, Nayarit held 80 percent of the national production of blond tobacco used for cigarettes. The bulk of this production was managed by the state owned Tabamex but took place on small plots of land that farmers acquired under the *ejido* system (Mackinlay 2008, 124). The privatization of Tabamex in 1990 shifted much of this production to middle and large sized plantations and processing plants owned by Carlos Slim Helú’s Carso and Alfonso Romo Garza’s Pulsar corporations. In 1997 both of these companies would sell the bulk of their production to British American Tobacco and Phillip Morris (127, 138). It is important to note that the labor force used in the planting and harvesting of tobacco is predominantly migrant-based, historically drawing indigenous laborers from the Sierra of Nayar, and most recently, Zacatecans (127). These farm workers also are hired in the state’s sizable bean, chili and tomato plantations. Another important influence on land tenure is the incessant presence of drug plantations. Nayarit’s geography that includes both a coast and a mountain range has made it attractive ground for drug traffickers who grow and move illicit crops through the state. This reality has become disquieting in the past few years by making the otherwise tranquil Tepic into an increasingly insecure city with shootouts taking place in shopping centers and at hamburger stands. The historical

55 Mackinlay cites a study conducted by the Autonomous University of Tepic which found 69 percent of the indigenous laborers to be Wixárika (Mackinlay 2008, 129).
lawlessness of its mountains has now ceded to drug traffickers, extortionists, and bandits who prey on the impoverished local population.

During the last eighty years, the city of Tepic experienced slow but significant changes in its landscape. Although demographic and economic growth has been incremental, the political leadership has often sought to modernize the city’s identity through projects of urban revitalization. Perhaps the most contested of these efforts was carried out under the governorship of Flores Muñoz (1946-1951) when a large quantity of the city’s historic buildings were demolished to give way to wide streets and the construction of new, more commercially oriented buildings (López González 2000, 119; López González 1999, 26). In this way, many of the residential, commercial and administrative buildings constructed at the peak of Tepic’s successful San Blas years ceded to mid-twentieth century attempts for urban modernization. Of the colonial and nineteenth century buildings that survived, a large percentage underwent dramatic changes to suit the desires of assorted business owners. Local historian Pedro López González denominates the transformations of these historic structures as having “questionable aesthetic taste” where the once elegant doorways were widened and the interiors reconfigured for incoming retail shops (López González 2000, 14).

Not until 1972 would the city enact laws to curb the unrestrained alteration of its historic city center (López González 2000, 13). Yet by this point, the city was already undergoing changes that stemmed from the construction of new residential areas for the wealthy and working classes in outlying areas of the city. In 1950, Tepic’s expansion outside of its city center took an important step with the construction of the bridge over the Mololoa River that would connect the new working class neighborhood of the same name with the downtown (123).56 As with the case of most other Mexican cities, from the 1970s onward, the urban growth of Tepic directly encroached on ejido lands (López González 1999, 28). López González notes the “progressive exodus of the dominant class from the traditional center, with the goal of distancing itself from a zone lacking in green areas, crowded with precarious constructions and narrow streets that are currently insufficient for the road traffic, has in turned provoked the relocation of some of the city’s essential functions, as is the case with the commerce of goods and services” (López González 1999, 29, translation by author). In fact, changes in land use did not just come from the speculation caused by the construction of residential neighborhoods but in the related establishment of peripheral commercial and industrial complexes (Ibid).

Despite its embrace of populism, Tepic’s elite holds firm control over the region’s agriculture, industry, and political power and has consequently placed few regulations that would otherwise protect the ecological resources of the state and the land and labor rights of rural populations. Undoubtedly Nayarit’s history of rural dispossession continues to repeat itself. However, today this reality is accompanied by the restrictions placed on the livelihoods of the urban poor, many of whom recently migrated from the

56 Initial steps toward the city’s expansion outside of the city center’s limits began in 1838 when the government of Tepic gave a large segment of land located on the northern stretch of the Tepic River (now the Mololoa River) to Barron, Forbes and Company. It is in this location where this firm established the Jauja textile factory which in turned helped urbanize this area (López González 1999, 12).
countryside. Tepic has mimicked the sprawl of other cities through allowing an escalating number of strip malls occupied by national and international chain stores (including WalMart, Soriana, and Chedraui) that have changed the consumption patterns of the local population and displaced Tepic’s small and medium sized shops (López González 1999, 29):

The traditional center, in large part created during the 19th century, is still relevant, and tries to compete, although at a disadvantage, with the commercial dynamics of the periphery. In this case it can be said that it boils down to a dispute between the local commercial elite, which still conserves many of its interests in the interior of the city—hotels, nightclubs and medium sized businesses—and, the national and international commercial capital that has been established on the margins of central spaces (López González 1999, 30, translated from Spanish by author).

The revitalization plans for the historic downtown launched in the last decade of the 20th century have done little to bring shoppers back to the mom and pop shops located around the downtown plaza and curb the domination of commercial chains located along the city’s periphery. However, the 1993-2000 Municipal Plan has cracked down on street vendors as a means to “recover” the downtown and re-launch it as a place of leisure, where the remaining historical buildings can be admired under the shade of a handfull of trees and the multiple fountains (López González 2000, 165-166). Many of these street vendors are Tepic’s newest residents displaced from their rural lands.

The PRI’s stronghold in the state has barely budged despite the leftist movements of the 1970s and 1980s and the more recent PAN electoral gains (Ladrón de Guevara 1991, 63). In Nayarit, the PRI serves as a prime example of the political party at its most clientelistic form, offering resources to those who promise electoral fidelity. Alejandro Gascón Mercado affirmed that the PRI’s Machiavellian tendencies are manifest in the ways in which even the working class neighborhoods of Tepic—founded through the land takeovers—look toward this governing party to receive improvements in services (cited in Ladrón de Guevara 1991, 52). The continued concentration of land and lack of credit opportunities for small farmers inevitably has led to the well-documented rural exodus. In turn, the migrant population in Tepic has largely found itself relocated to outlying urban neighborhoods that are poorly equipped to meet their basic service and employment needs (13, 53).

On a national scale, Tepic remains a small capital city that offers the second cheapest cost of living in the nation but few substantial attractions. Its newly launched international airport appears to be the wishful thinking of a state government that engages in shameless self-promotion through its control of the press and its practice of plastering the city with billboards announcing the government’s latest achievements. Although the sugar mill located at the southern entrance of the city remains active, it is the tourism industry which appears to be taking up much of the government’s imagination for future growth. Already in 1992, Gascón Mercado noted that, save for the tourism industry, the state’s “development has practically been paralyzed” (cited in Ladrón de Guevara 1991, 56). Since 1992, the successful albeit environmentally destructive and socially contested
expansion of coastal resorts and condominiums from Puerto Vallarta up through the recently branded Riviera Nayarit, has further stimulated the idea that tourism can stand as a replacement for other industries. In the following section, I will examine how the emphasis on tourism in Nayarit explicitly draws on its indigenous heritage and, in the process of doing so, has led to the simultaneous creation of spaces of racial inclusion and exclusion.

Racial Impressions and Spatial Appropriations in the Age of Multiculturalism

The public bus that takes passengers to Colonia Zitakua begins its route behind Tepic’s cathedral at one of the city’s several small plazas.\(^{57}\) It quickly passes through the narrow downtown streets and crosses the Mololoa River where it enters the peripheral neighborhoods that have expanded over the last two decades. Before arriving to the end of its route in Zitakua, the bus must first wind through the working class neighborhoods of La Esperanza (The Hope) and Venceremos (We shall overcome). Colonia Venceremos was named after the freedom struggles of the 1960s and 1970s during Alejandro Gascón Mercado’s municipal presidency (1972-1975). After inching past the bumpy streets of Lucha Proletaria, República de El Salvador and República de Vietnam, the bus finally makes its final ascent to the peak of the hill where Zitakua is located.

Colonia Zitakua was founded in 1988 from a petition made by Tepic’s Wixárika residents—many of whom lived in precarious conditions after being displaced by the Aguamilpa Hydroelectric Dam. Previously an area packed with brothels and bars, Zitakua is now an officially recognized urban Wixárika community and is largely comprised of Wixaritari who have emigrated from communities in the Western Sierra Madre mountain range and the coast of Nayarit. Members of other indigenous groups and mestizos also reside in this neighborhood, whose central square houses a caligüey or big temple that is found at the center of all Wixárika ceremonial centers or tukite.\(^{58}\) Professor Lourdes Pacheco Ladrón de Guevara from the Autonomous University of Tepic affirms that the impetus for the creation of the neighborhood originated with the local Wixárika population and was embraced by state and local politicians at a conjunctural moment when these two sector’s interests converged (Manzanares Monter 2009, 22-23). For the Wixaritari, Colonia Zitakua became an opportunity to secure a place to live and continue their traditions outside of their rural communities. For Nayarit governor Celso Delgado

\(^{57}\) Tutupika De la Cruz Carrillo, the son of one of Colonia Zitakua’s founders and a professor at the Autonomous University of Nayarit, suggests that Sitakwa or Xitakwa are the more correct spellings for the word. Xitakwa is derived from Xita meaning corn silk and Takwá which means outside or “in the patio.” The eventual spelling of Zitakua or Zitacua is a simplified version of the former. I will continue to use the spelling Zitakua when referring to this neighborhood as it has become the established spelling.

\(^{58}\) Although Zitakua houses a caligüey or tukipá, it does not have the accompanying ancestral houses that make-up the traditional ceremonial centers or tukite (plural) of the highland communities. Zitakua’s caligüey bares little resemblance to those found in the highland ceremonial centers, yet it symbolizes the efforts of Zitakua’s founders to recreate ethnic spaces outside of the nuclear communities. Paul Liffman notes that since the 1980s “new tukipa style organizations” that are not linked to a set community and civil-religious cargos have been established in different places including Zoquipan in Guadalajara and Zitakua (Liffman 2011).
(1987-1993), backing the creation of Colonia Zitakua emerged from his desire to improve the government’s image vis-à-vis the indigenous, particularly after the displacements caused by the Aguamilpa Hydroelectric Dam (Ibid).

Since its establishment, the neighborhood has experienced a series of makeovers that mirror its demographic growth as well as the city’s attempts to incorporate it into its sightseeing itinerary. From a young age, my father regularly took me to Zitakua where he would visit famed Wixárika visual artist, José Benítez Sánchez, one of the principal founders of Zitakua.59 I vividly remember the now extinct rows of cantinas and brothels at the bottom of the hill and the difficult climb to the top when the dirt roads turned to mud during the rainy season. After two years of not visiting the neighborhood, I returned in the summer of 2007 and noticed that the dirt and cobblestone streets had been paved. I soon found out that the upgrade stemmed from the inauguration of Tepic’s new tour bus that now makes daily trips from the city’s downtown plaza to Zitakua. My father and I chatted with a relative of Benítez’s on the edge of Zitakua’s central square, awaiting the arrival of the afternoon tour bus. A shiny red bus soon edged up the hill with a load of what appeared to be mostly Mexican summer vacationers. Thatched roof stands had been set up for the Wixárika women selling traditional beadwork and quesadillas made with blue corn. The families descended from the bus and ran around what otherwise might be considered a poor periphery neighborhood but now takes on a magical aura for the visitors who are experiencing an “Indigenous place.” After twenty minutes of sightseeing, the bus and its tourists departed.

The pamphlet that announces the bus tours states that riders will visit the most “relevant” historical sites of the city. Zitakua thus makes it place among the cathedral, the ruins of the Bellavista textile factory, and the convent where Friar Junípero Serra spent his last days before embarking on his legendary trip to the Californias. The incorporation of Colonia Zitakua into the tour bus itinerary is significant because it reflects the state’s efforts to boost Tepic as a tourist destination amidst its declining agricultural sector. Located a couple of hours north of Puerto Vallarta and other popular beach towns, Tepic has little to offer the tourist gaze with its historic city center mostly demolished and few of the cultural attractions found in highly visited Mexican cities. Consequently, the state of Nayarit has placed its indigenous cultures as one of the focal points of its tourism initiative. But unlike the southern states of Chiapas and Oaxaca that are internationally associated with a rich indigenous past and present, Nayarit is a relatively unknown destination.

59 Benítez Sánchez’s work won him exhibitions at prestigious museums in Mexico, the U.S. and Europe. Benítez Sánchez brought a unique aesthetic to Wixárika yarn paintings and his name was read into the U.S. Congressional Record by Republican Senator Jesse Helms on May 19, 1983 where the senator described him as the “Picasso of Huichol art.”
It is in this context that Wixárika culture comes onto center stage becoming a useful instrument of promotion for Nayarit’s struggling economy. Lourdes Pacheco Ladrón de Guevara affirms that “the Huicholes are the peacocks of ethnology” and have been incorporated into the state’s imaginary because of their lavish aesthetics (Interview March 5, 2011). In recent years, Wixárika iconography has exploded in advertisements throughout Nayarit, particularly in the Puerto Vallarta area. 60 Restaurants, hotels and spas have picked up Wixárika words and images signaling the marketability of this culture. In 2010, the yacht club in Cruz de Huanacaxtle on the outskirts of Puerto Vallarta placed a series of billboards along its wharf. Among the advertisements were two billboards: one depicted a stoic Wixárika man standing before a backdrop of yachts which read in English “To the soul of a culture” and, separated by ellipsis, the adjacent image read “A door opens.” While it was unclear what the face of a Wixárika man had to do with the yacht club, the accompanying billboards—which also had Wixárika symbols and words—made evident that consumption of the ethnic Other is a strong selling point.

Tours taking visitors from Puerto Vallarta to the Wixárika community of Tatekié (San Andrés Cohamiata) in the Western Sierra Madre draw further connections between this coastal resort region and Wixárika culture. 61 By carving itself out as a place of indigeneity, the state of Nayarit is betting on Wixárika culture to help grow its economy. According to Pacheco Ladrón de Guevara, the gubernatorial administrations of Rigoberto Ochoa (1993-1999) and Antonio Echevarría (1999-2005) explicitly boosted the Wixárika arts and crafts market and popularized many Wixárika names (Interview March 5, 2011). For many Wixaritari, this spotlight is welcomed as it has allowed many to find a niche in the tourist economy and in particular government posts. In Tepic, Colonia Zitakua has become a visible part of these efforts and demonstrates the vigor of the ethnic arts and crafts market as well as the ability of some Wixárika to leverage regional political power.

60 The city of Puerto Vallarta is located in the state of Jalisco yet the resort area has expanded northward along various towns that are in the state Nayarit, this includes Nuevo Vallarta, Bucerías, and Cruz de Huanacaxtle.

61 Brant Segunda, a self-proclaimed “Huichol Shaman” from New York has capitalized on the popularity of Wixárika shamanism by leading “shamanic retreats” through his Dance of the Deer Foundation.
The municipal government’s paving of the main road to the neighborhood and its subsidy of a large statue in honor of the now deceased José Benítez Sánchez reflect these recent changes.\(^{62}\)

But while Colonia Zitakua is boosted as a destination for the curious, municipal authorities now prohibit Wixárika vendors from selling their crafts in the downtown plaza where they had done so for well over a decade. Today, they must request permits in order to set up stands within the designated Plaza de las Artesanías on the edge of the city square or, relocate to the craft tables in Colonia Zitakua. This shift in local policy marks the contrast between the hypervisibility of Wixaritari as targets of ethnic tourism and their simultaneous restriction from spaces of quotidian visibility in areas located in and around downtown. While Wixárika vendors have continued to find ways to temporarily set up tables downtown, they now must do it under the constant threat of fines or the confiscation of their goods by the police. By no means is this phenomenon restricted to Tepic, as we shall see in the following chapter, Wixaritari in Guadalajara face far greater difficulties securing spaces for the sale of their arts and crafts. The regulation of street vendors, particularly in central city spaces is a global problem deeply entangled with political economic factors, including the massive displacement of rural populations to cities where access to employment is confined to the informal economy and where vendors come face to face with police forces and the local mafias that control the circulation of pirated goods.

It is essential to underline that in the case of Wixárika vendors we are not talking about the sale of pirated goods but the sale of ethnic crafts which are often subsidized by the government. In the case of Tepic, artesanía Huichol is heavily marketed as a Nayaritan good that can be exported abroad and serves to attract tourism.\(^{63}\) Nevertheless, the centrality of “Huichol culture” for Nayarit’s image is accompanied by its restriction to certain locations like Zitakua, marking an explicit spatialization of race within the

---

\(^{62}\) Many have nicknamed the statue “el chamán güero” or “the white shaman” due to the statue’s European features and light skin complexion.

\(^{63}\) During the 2012 International Tourism Fair held in Madrid, Wixárika artisans were given a prominent place by the state of Nayarit.
urban landscape. Jacqui Alexander uses the term “nativization” to explain “the ongoing process through which an essential character is attributed to the indigenous—the “native”—which derives largely from relationships to geography or to a particular territory, which in turn structures the context within which this “native” is to be imagined and understood” (Alexander 2005, 70). Within this logic, municipal authorities place Wixárika residents of Tepic in Zitakua despite this neighborhood only being one of many neighborhoods where Wixaritari live.

In her exploration of the tourism industry in the Bahamas Jacqui Alexander notes how tourism is manufactured as a “savior” for local economies and carries a wide gamut of implications for populations whose identities become shaped by an attitude of servility and gratefulness toward the tourist, while increasing their dependence on the currency that is brought in from other regions of the world (Alexander 2005, 53 & 59). During the second half of the 20th century, a growing number of indigenous peoples have been directly and indirectly driven from their land to urban centers. For the Wixárika population, this has implied a major shift away from small scale agriculture to the production of arts and crafts for the tourism sector. These arts and crafts have been a mixed blessing, bringing many families economic stability while promoting ethnic pride. Yet growing competition amongst vendors and the production of cheap replicas made in Guatemala have led to diminishing returns and a cycle of dependence on the market. Wixárika vendors must also perform both for prospective buyers and for the state, reflecting established ideas of “Huicholness.” This process proves fundamental for the state’s tourism objectives as well as for the individual and family businesses that rely on the sale of their arts and crafts.

Further illustration of this phenomenon is detailed by Sara Alejandra Manzanares Monter’s exploration of how “Huicholness” is deployed and appropriated in Tepic. Specifically, she examines “how the Huichol costume encodes a varied range of messages that depend not only on the actors, but also on the context of its use” and, resultingly, “how the Huichol costume becomes a carrier of meaning beyond “Huicholness” and thus a central element in the construction of two different imaginaries of identity: one indigenous, one mestizo.” (Manzanares Monter 2009, 2) By putting the spotlight so heavily on Tepic’s Wixaritari, the expectations placed on them become more defined. This includes how they should dress, speak, and the types of occupations that they are believed to pursue. Manzanares Monter analyzes these expectations in relation to dress by pointing to the key role “traditional” attire or “costume” has before the gaze of the general population, but most importantly, before tourists and government officials. Manzanares Monter states that the “Huichol costume” is used by Wixaritari for three distinct purposes: 1) during traditional celebrations, 2) while selling their crafts, and 3) when meeting with government officials (50). Manzanares Monter borrows Tim Knab’s (1981) term of the “the baroque Huichol” to describe the image that is expected of Wixaritari, an image that the Wixaritari may in turn desire to project in order to make economic and political gains:

The artisans are aware that the buyers are interested not only in the object that is purchased, but also in the origin of the objects they buy: the culture behind the object. The use of the traditional costume,
Performing ethnicity through the visual outliers of dress and speech consequently becomes a necessary feature of Tepic’s landscape. The act of performing for recognition before a non-indigenous audience in order to acquire economic and political leverage is a topic I will return to in length in the final chapter.

Colonia Zitakua is part of this construction embedded in representations of the Self and the Other, demonstrating the political economic tendencies that favor tourism and the commercialization of ethnicity in general. What is not explored sufficiently by scholars and bureaucrats is how the state’s homogenization of Wixárika culture obscures the actual cauldron of Wixárika heterogeneity that exists in Tepic. Each of the three principal Wixárika communities located in Jalisco has a distinct form of dress as well as slight linguistic differences that become obscured by the commoditized portraits of Wixárika people and culture. These communal differences are compounded by the cultural differences found among Wixárika enclaves in Nayarit. For instance, in the communities of Naranjito de Copal or El Roble, Wixaritari have largely shed “traditional attire” for the *ranchero* style of jeans and cowboy boots and hats, while children seldom grow up speaking Wixárika as their principal language (Personal conversations). Lastly, the Wixárika population of Tepic includes families who are recent arrivals as well as those who have spent more than one generation in the city.

Ultimately, the Wixárika population of Tepic is far more culturally and spatially diverse than the popular imagination would like to believe and more dynamic than their depictions in public and private advertisements. While the logic of racial thinking around indigeneity continues to place indigenous peoples in fixed locations and therefore read their existence outside of these spaces as some sort of anomaly or deterritorialization, a growing number of critical scholars are pushing for a more contemporary and complex conception of the relation between identity and place, stressing that these movements are creating a *reterritorialization* of cultures and belonging (Gupta and Ferguson 1997; Deloria 2004; Greene 2007; Castellanos 2010). According to Akhil Gupta and James Ferguson’s influential text on the matter, it is “reterritorialized space that forces us to reconceptualize fundamentally the politics of community, solidarity, identity, and cultural difference” (Gupta and Ferguson 1997, 37). In this sense, while deterritorialization connotes displacement and implies a final point of loss and eternal liminality, reterritorialization considers the ways in which peoples construct new places and networks that, among other things, have the potential to invoke their heritage and affirm their belonging to a larger national body. Additionally, the concept of reterritorialization allows for a more dynamic understanding of migration, urban struggles for recognition, and indigenous peoples engagements with “the modern.” The Wixárika population that lives in Colonia Zitakua and various other neighborhoods in Tepic reflects the ways in
which indigenous peoples living outside of their traditional territories are far more resilient than is typically believed.

The fact that Wixárika culture stands at the center of Nayarit’s current tourist propaganda should come as no surprise in a state that has evolved with a strong indigenous presence. Miss Nayarit has typically posed in Huichol dress as an expression of regional pride. The National Institute of Anthropology and History’s (INAH) photographic archive holds numerous examples of non-Wixaritari styled as Huichols—from 1950s actress Rebecca Iturbide to famed images of white couples-turned-indigenous models. As explored in Chapter 1, the image of the Indigenous as an essential but problematic component of Mexican identity dates back to the nation’s colonial foundations. However, the appropriations of Wixárika culture by public and private entities in Nayarit illuminates a dynamic where fixed notions of “Huicholness” meet up with the everyday fluidity of indigenous residents in Tepic, leading to a delicate balance of inclusion and exclusion from everyday spaces of politics, economics and culture.

Rebeca Iturbide (left) and unknown models pose in “Huichol” inspired clothing (right) (Fototeca INAH)

**Transcending Multicultural Ambivalence**

Interlocking stories of colliding cultures, territorial dispossession and repossessions, and astute indigenous negotiation and resistance have marked the development of Tepic. Today it is a city that is intensely shaped by the ebbs and flows of its indigenous presence. Ethnicity makes its way into the political chambers where mestizo authorities sport Yekuena or Wixaritari bags, and creeps into business plans that look to revitalize the regional economy on the backs of its indigenous heritage. Seldom inscribed in the city’s landscape are the legacies of the African presence during the early colonial era or the foreign capitalists of later years—these contributions are best left to historians, forgotten by the popular imagination. These cues seem to demonstrate that five hundred years after the arrival of Europeans the Indian still reigns over Tepic.

Despite having the bulk of their tribal territories in Jalisco, Wixaritari are often thought of as the prototypical indigenous culture of Nayarit. Even in Jalisco, Wixaritari are often believed to be from Nayarit. On the other hand, Yekuena or Cora culture, which
is fundamentally Nayaritan, is given the short shrift in the state’s tourism-oriented identity. In spite of this, Nayarit’s general population interchangeably uses the diminutive and hispanized terms “Coritas” and “Huicholitos” to designate any indigenous peoples in the state. Although much of the mestizo population in Nayarit continues to think of Indians as poverty stricken vagabonds who heavily rely on public subsidies, they eventually tend to recognize the cultural value they bring to society. As reflected in the previous section, this ambiguous relationship that the non-indigenous population has developed with indigenous peoples is played out in everyday interactions in Tepic’s public spaces. Much of these relations are based on fixed notions of how an indigenous person ought to look like, the spaces they are presupposed to inhabit, and the limited occupations they are generally believed to hold. But Wixaritari are not just craftspeople, they are taxi drivers, receptionists, lawyers and teachers. Their visual markers as indigenous are sometimes revealed but often go concealed as they move through city spaces of work and leisure. Because in Mexico skin tone and phenotype are not the sole indicators of race and ethnicity, it is not until Wixaritari are dressed in traditional attire or heard speaking their native language that they encounter direct forms of exclusion or inclusion.

In Más que un Indio (2006), anthropologist Charles Hale tackles questions surrounding ethnic and cultural alterity within the context of Guatemala’s post-civil war turn toward multicultural neo-liberalism. Hale’s study is illustrative of Tepic’s own hesitant celebration of indigeneity by exploring the racial ambivalence ladinos express in the face of deceptive Mayan ascendancy in Guatemalan society.  His research finds that while ladinos claim to embrace notions of anti-racism and multiculturalism, they maintain long-held racist views of indigenous peoples and desire to preserve their racial privileges, arguing that academic, governmental, and non-governmental institutions favor all that which concerns indigenous peoples and funnel countless resources to indigenous peoples that ladinos do not have the opportunity of obtaining. According to Hale, racial ambivalence refers to the “political sensibilities that encompass both the support for the principal of cultural equality and deep commitments to the social conditions that preserve ladinos’ material and ideological advantage. By extension, the term applies to those who express solidarity with Indians, but as innocent victims rather than protagonists, as acts of benevolence deployed from a higher rung in the social hierarchy” (Hale 2006, 108). The concept of racial ambivalence can help us understand why so many people express compassion and respect for indigenous peoples while finding it difficult to come to terms with the idea that they can inhabit similar occupational positions as mestizos—even in apparently indigenous-friendly Tepic.

As we shall see in the Chapter 5, Wixárika university students and professionals in Tepic encounter a similar paradigm to the one Hale examines in Guatemala. While problematic, the state of Nayarit’s embrace of indigeneity has opened important spaces of opportunity for its indigenous residents. This includes the Autonomous University of Nayarit’s (UAN) explicit support of indigenous students through the dedication of special resources that support their admission and retention. As a result of these efforts, the university’s indigenous student population has dramatically risen in the last decade.

---

64 Ladino is a term used in southern Mexico and Central America to refer to the non-indigenous.
counting over 300 in 2010 out of a total student population 12,760 (Universidad Autónoma de Nayarit 2011). While it would be unfair to state that mestizo and white students in the university are explicitly unwelcoming of their indigenous peers, many do voice their resentment at the benefits that indigenous students receive. As a professor at the UAN, Lourdes Pacheco has observed how mestizos complain of the “positive discrimination” that their indigenous peers obtain from the university. Pacheco Ladrón de Guevara notes that these objections are made from a historical vacuum that fails to acknowledge the ever-present inequality that indigenous students continue to live (Interview March 5, 2011). In the meantime, Pacheco Ladrón de Guevara notes how indigenous students have progressively appropriated spaces in the university, making it a far more welcoming place for incoming indigenous students than it was for previous generations.

However, these efforts do not come without a degree of backlash from their non-indigenous peers. In 2010, graduating Wixárika students at the UAN requested permission to wear their traditional dress as a substitute for the cap and gown. Although the faculty welcomed this modification of the classic graduation garb, several of their peers vocally opposed the move stating that it was yet another manifestation of indigenous students’ unfair privileges. Characteristic of the assimilationist stance, opposing students argued that if indigenous students wanted to be treated as equals then they should avoid accentuating their ethnic difference. For the Wixárika graduates, donning their ethnic dress during the graduation ceremony presented an important symbol of pride for their attending families, many of whom feared that the process of western style professionalization could estrange them from their culture. The question of wearing one’s indigenous dress is telling of the continuing tensions that exist in Mexico’s post-multicultural society, a matter that I will return to in the final chapter.

The significance of wearing visible markers of ethnicity goes beyond Manzanares Monter’s argument of the role of Wixárika “costume” in the marketplace for ethnic crafts. When a Wixárika student leader from the UAN was preparing for a public event at the university, he asked me if I thought he should wear his embroidered Wixárika outfit rather than a western dress shirt and slacks.65 This question made evident that the choice of wearing ethnic attire is often a conscious decision that raises particular anxieties when the individual is unsure of whether traditional attire will elicit forms of exclusion or “positive discrimination.”

Everyday dilemmas of interracial relationships such as these strongly reflect Tepic’s own wavering and always in process identity. Over the past five centuries, Tepic has undoubtedly reshaped itself under the watchful gaze of the Western Sierra Madre. At various moments in the region’s recent history, the inhabitants of this geographical fortress have openly but not always successfully negotiated their political, cultural and economic autonomy. A story like Tepic’s demonstrates that a city’s geography is not trivial. The coast and the mountains that enclose this small city have dynamically shaped its trajectory, from its brief splendor driven by its proximity to San Blas, to its recurrent association with indigenous nonconformity exemplified by the figures of King Nayar and Manuel Lozada. From Tepic we now move two hours southeast to Guadalajara, the urban
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65 Traditional Wixárika dress is not uniform and has significantly changed over the past couple of centuries. The more elaborate and quite expensive embroidered outfits are only worn on special occasions.
heavyweight of western Mexico and a place that—despite sharing much of the same ethnic history—shows remarkable differences from Tepic.
CHAPTER 4
GUADALAJARA DE INDIAS

A City Saved from the Savages

The country has not come to understand the transcendental importance of the fall of Lozada; it has seen it as a secondary episode within Jalisco’s particular history, and it is wrong. Had the luck of arms been favorable to the tyrant of Nayarit, the entire country would have seen itself enveloped in a devastating war: the whites would have had to desperately fight against the copper skinned people, that is, against three fourths of the total population of the Republic, and God knows what would have become of our nation.

-José López Portillo Rojo cited in Gabriel Agraz García de Alba, 1997, 56, translated from Spanish by author-

In January 1873, Guadalajara faced the imminent threat of invasion by eight thousand troops led by rebel general Manuel Lozada. Stories of Lozada’s sanguinary Indian hordes could be heard throughout the small towns that separated the “Pearl of the West” from Lozada’s stronghold of Tepic. On January 17, the “united peoples of Nayarit” proclaimed their Plan Libertador that would once and for all do away with the liberal forces that sought to impose the rule of private property over a country where, both the Catholic Church and the “Indian Republics” were founded on distinct forms of communal ownership. The Plan Libertador equipped three columns of soldiers: one that would reach the northern state of Sinaloa, the other would go east to Zacatecas, and the last and largest, headed by the Tigre de Álica himself, would descend upon Guadalajara (Agraz García de Alba 1997, 13). After more than a decade of painful defeats, liberal General Ramón Corona was prepared to defend the “civilized” people of Guadalajara from the massive rapes and decapitations that were rumored to follow Lozada’s victory. Documents written several years after the conflict continued to point to the disastrous “Indian Empire” lead by Lozada where drunken thieves and murderers ran the area of Tepic into ruin (Gómez Vírgen 1878b). For Guadalajára’s bourgeoisie, the ensuing battle was not simply a matter of upholding liberal ideals, but one of protecting the white race against thousands of poor mestizos and Indians who came to vindicate their rights to land and political power.

On January 24, Lozada’s forces took the nearby town of Tequila. The news reached Corona who nervously prepared to meet his longtime enemy. Governor Ignacio Vallarta called all citizens to arms, creating a volunteer defense force that would remain in the city while Corona’s forces were sent to meet the invaders to the west in Zapopan (Godoy 1954, 574). According to Bernabé Godoy’s 1954 study of the Battle of La Mojonera, telegraphs from the period reveal widespread fear that Corona would be unable to stop Lozada, whose eight thousand men greatly outnumbered Corona’s two thousand four hundred soldiers (575).66 One telegraph narrates that on the morning of

66 Ramón Corona’s own description of the battle places his forces numbers at 2,241 and Lozada’s at more than six thousand. (Corona, np)
January 27, the “modest General Corona” “crossed the silent streets of the city amid the mute, but meaningful farewell of the alarmed population” (ibid). Could he beat the proud Tiger and his thousands of indigenous fighters? For Jalisco’s liberals, defeat meant the advance of Indian hegemony hidden behind the veil of conservative ideals. As such, Corona’s victory would prove to be an essential ingredient for securing a liberal Mexico.

The peak of the two day battle came when Lozada’s army set ablaze the grass field that separated them from the liberal soldiers. Twenty-one years later, an eyewitness described for El Heraldo de Guadalajara how the “hooting Indians” and their fire terrified the liberal army whose superior artillery was ultimately able to squash the fiercest of tactics (Godoy 1954 586; Agraz García de Alba 1997 41). In retrospect, the fire might have been a desperate attempt to win and a sign of the offensive army becoming vulnerable in Guadalajara’s flat geography. According to fervent anti-Lozada historian, José López Portillo y Rojo, liberals and conservatives alike had come to respect Lozada’s military capabilities. But as it turned out, the Tiger’s prowess would prove to be unsuccessful outside of the sierras he and his people knew so well (cited in Agraz García de Alba 1997, 33). On January 29, the liberals celebrated Corona’s victory and the departure of Lozada’s people. They cheered for a city saved from the “hecatomb” (Godoy 1954, 583).

To date, celebrations commemorating the Battle of La Mojonera speak of the city’s salvation from barbarism. On January 28, 2011, Zapopan’s municipal president, Héctor Vielma Ordóñez described the battle as the “triumph of liberty and unity in the country’s west,” adding that Corona fought for a “more free and just Mexico” that supported the principals that presently make up Zapopan’s “great family” (www.zapopan.gob.mx, accessed 1/11/12). What are the principals and freedoms that Corona’s victory summons in Guadalajara’s historical imaginary? Corona’s personal trajectory may point us to some answers.

Ramón Corona was born in 1837 in the town of Tuxcueca, Jalisco and at the age of fourteen accompanied his father to Tepic with the hope of making some business ventures (Apuntes biográficos). According to an anonymous biography written in 1885, Corona’s father soon set off to San Francisco, California, while his son remained in Tepic where he would work in general goods and, later, in mining administration. Corona’s business affairs in and around the Tepic area during the early years of Lozada’s rising power led the young businessman to adhere to the Liberal Party and join the military to fight against the so-called conservative reactionaries during the height of the national conflict that pitted liberals against conservatives. His victory over an indomitable Lozada in the town of Acaponeta in 1859 earned him a military reputation. According to his biographer, the result was that Corona “no longer thought of anything but the destruction of the savage enemies of humanity and civilization” (ibid.) The French invasion and Lozada’s collaboration with the Second Empire led by conservative Maximilian I further radicalized Corona. Years of defeats at the hands of the Tigre de Álica would finally come to a dramatic end in the memorable battle on the outskirts of Guadalajara and usher in Corona’s political career. After completing his eleven years of diplomatic service in
Madrid, Corona returned to Mexico in 1885 with grand presidential aspirations (López Almaraz 1984, 14; Peregrina 2004, 112). On the thirteenth anniversary of the Battle of La Mojonera, Corona’s candidacy for governor of Jalisco was announced in a local newspaper. A pamphlet supporting his candidacy celebrated Corona as a successor of Benito Juárez and a defender of Mexico’s independence, democratic institutions, and liberty (Lagos de Moreno). As governor of Jalisco he helped bring about some of the modernization seen elsewhere in the country under the reign of Porfirio Díaz: the arrival of the train, the advance of agribusiness, and in Guadalajara, the construction of a modern central market christened after the general himself (López Almaraz 1984, 15). But Corona’s rise to power was cut short by his assassination on November 10, 1889 by Nayarit native Primitivo Ron as the general-turned-governor walked to the theatre with his wife Doña Mary McEntee de Corona. Theories surrounding the motifs for the assassination range from Ron’s mental illness to rumors of direct orders from Porfirio Díaz who feared eventual electoral competition from an increasingly popular Corona (López Almaraz 1984, 93; Murià 1989, 11).

Corona’s rise as a regional military and political legend and the Battle of La Mojonera illustrate aspects of Guadalajara’s historical identity that will be discussed throughout this chapter. The battle marks the culmination of Tepic and Guadalajara’s animosity and tellingly crystallizes race relations in the Mexican west. Gabriel Agraz García de Alba’s 1984 study of the Battle of La Mojonera is rife with racial assumptions and stands as a quintessential example of the depiction of a vicious Lozada and a noble Corona. This author paints the Tigre de Álica first and foremost as guilty of causing a caste war in the west and “dragging more than one thousand Indians to their death” (32). This perspective is a contemporary iteration of Governor Vallarta’s own vision of the conflict, uttered a day after the battle on January 30, 1873:

The power of Lozada does not only consist in the warring elements he has been able to amass over many years of domination over the canton of Tepic but principally in the influence that he has acquired over the indigenous race with such an apparatus, perfectly disposing them for the invasion that they have carried out and which fortunately has been contained at La Mojonera by the great bravery and skill of General Corona and the forces he controls. (qtd in García de Alba 1984, 50-51, translated from Spanish by author)

Following this same line, García de Alba reverts to the testimony of the aforementioned eyewitness, who chillingly affirms that Corona saved “the interests and honor of liberal families and institutions” and, as such, is remembered with great fondness by Guadalajara’s residents (41). Homage to Corona’s legend was literally set in stone in the monument unveiled in 1896 with an inscription that still reads: “He saved society from

67 Corona’s political ambitions and perceived popularity made President Porfirio Díaz weary of the competition of another soldier who had fought the French Empire and the conservative cause (Peregrina 2004, 111).

68 Other reforms included abolishing sales taxes in 1888 and the establishment of Monte de Piedad and Caja de Ahorros branches, both of which offered loans and credit to the working class at an annual interest rate of 12 percent (Peregrina 2004, 112).
the invasion of the savages of Álica.” With these words the conflict at La Mojonera brought back memories of indigenous rebellions of centuries past. The coming of Lozada’s forces injected fear into the imagination of the descendants of European immigrants who had made Guadalajara a refuge, while Corona’s victory cemented the city’s confidence as the capital of Mexico’s northwest.

**The Birth of a Frontier City**

[Y] con la justicia que deste se hizo y con enbiar yo alguna gente, los pueblos que estaban levantados se pacificaron, y agora sirbe todo muy mejor que antes.

[And] with the justice upon which this was made and with my sending of some people, the towns in revolt were pacified, and now everything works much better than before.

- From letter written by Nuño Beltrán de Guzmán regarding the conquest of the Province of the Chichimecas, July 8, 1530, qtd in Razo Zaragoza, 1963, 26, translated from Spanish by author -

During the Spanish conquest, the Mexican West had the great misfortune of facing the forces of Nuño Beltrán de Guzmán, possibly the most infamous of all the Spanish conquerors as even the Spanish Crown moved to detain, try, and sentence him for his excessive abuse of power. A native of Guadalajara, Spain, Guzmán has been inscribed in history as a calculating and authoritarian man who sought to bolster his positions of power by any means necessary. As the governor of the region of Pánuco in the eastern state of Veracruz, Guzmán was known for taking indigenous people hostage and selling them as slaves to the Caribbean Islands, often in exchange for cattle and horses. His contemporary, Bernal Díaz del Castillo wrote that in Pánuco “so many Indians were taken into slavery that the region was left virtually unpopulated” (Santana 1930, 5). By 1529, his ambition for power and his rivalry with other conquerors (he had prominent disputes with Hernán Cortés) inspired Guzmán to embark on the conquest of new territories in the west, leading to his eventual position as the first governor of New Galicia. Despite his tainted record, Guzmán is recognized as one of the founding fathers of Guadalajara. Above all, the character of this ambitious conquistador and the foundation of this city sheds light on the ways in which Guadalajara de Indias would be imagined as a transplant of the Old World—a notion that would become engrained in the psyche of the city’s colonial leaders.

The voyage that Guzmán undertook from Mexico City through the states known today as Michoacán, Jalisco, Nayarit, Zacatecas, and Sinaloa, has been extensively described by the chroniclers of his era and by more recent historians. This trip to the west was marked by several confrontations with the natives. Even in cases of apparent cooperation, Guzmán, who at the moment enjoyed the title of President of the First Audience, opted for the use of violence to take land and slaves. The brutal murder of
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69 Guadalajara of the Indies was the name of used to differentiate this city from its Spanish counterpart.
70 The First Audience was the maximum tribunal of the Spanish Crown.
the Purhépecha leader, Caltzontzin, at the end of 1529, left a precedent for what was to come, alarming the Crown, the Church, and sending warnings of impending brutality throughout the northern and western territories. While many of Guzmán’s contemporaries judged his actions as excessive, texts written by the captains and interpreters who accompanied him give us an idea of how the logic of the conquest and the construction of the natives as malefic beings justified the massacres and the enslavement of hundreds of thousands of people in the western territories, including the highest political leaders of the communities that the Spaniards traversed.

![1550 Map of Nueva Galicia depicting the road taken by Nuño de Guzmán's forces](image)

*(Testimonios Tapatíos 1959)*

Of interest for this study are the ways in which Guzmán’s forces came to establish themselves in what today is called Guadalajara; this includes the type of opposition that existed toward the Spaniards no matter how many victory crosses the conquerors erected. During this period, the Valley of Atemajac was made up of several settlements, the most important of which was Tonalá or Tonallán. Upon the arrival of Guzmán on March 24, 1530, Tonalá was governed by a woman, Cihuapili Tzapotzintli, who graciously received the foreigners, offering exquisite banquets and gifts (Cornejo Franco 1959, 23).

Franciscan poet Francisco Parra memorializes this encounter in the 15th verse of his second canto:

> The Indians in their style arranged  
> Lodging for the newly arrived,  
> They served them with abundant food,  
> And to the Spanish troops and civilians,  
> With music and songs amused them,  
> Each one dancing with their plumage.  
> *(Parra 1805-1810, 43, translated from Spanish by author)*

Nonetheless, the hospitality of the leader of Tonalá was not shared by her relatives who governed the nearby towns of Tetlán and Coyula. This resulted in a challenging battle that the Spaniards won thanks to the keen participation of captain Cristóbal de Oñate who
would later lead many other battles throughout the southwestern territories of what is now the United States.

Despite this victory in the Valley of Atemajac, Guzmán’s forces sought to establish the capital of New Galicia elsewhere yet, a combination of indigenous hostility and unfavorable environmental conditions led the Spaniards to move the capital on several occasions, producing what Thomas Calvo describes as a “nomadic city” (Calvo 1992, 1). After attempts to settle the capital in Nochistlán, Tonalá and Tlacotlán, Guzmán suggested the area of Tepic as a new cite. Guzmán wrote to Charles V of the “docility” of the more than two million natives of the region of Tepic and asked the king’s permission to declare this his territory and enslave the natives in the absence of sufficient beasts of burden (Santana 1930, 38). According to historian José Epigmenio Santana, the brutality of Guzmán’s troops and the incessant resistance that the indigenous peoples put up led New Galicia to be conquered twice. The Mixtón War or Caxcan Rebellion of 1541, concentrated in the region of Zacatecas, had reverberations for decades to come throughout the entire western territory. According to José María Murià, this resistance presented the possibility of the West becoming “cleansed” of Europeans:

This was an authentic uprising derived from the cruel domination imposed on people who, while not offering great resistance when the conquerors first appeared in their territories sometime in 1530, were far from remaining truly subjugated. Upon learning of the true intentions of the intruders, while they still conserved energy fed by the accumulation of received injustices, they fought the way they could: until victory or death. The chronicles of the era affirm that their war cry was: “your death or mine.” (Murià 1994, 12, translated from Spanish by author)

It is worth noting that the Mixtón War was not a series of dispersed and anarchic rebellions. In fact, organized strategies were developed on repeated occasions for combating the Spaniards. Furthermore, Murià argues that the semi-nomadism of the indigenous peoples of the West gave them the advantage of mobility and led them to fiercely oppose the sedentarism that the colonial system sought to impose. By 1535, the capital of New Galicia was established in the village of Compostela just south of Tepic, but continual indigenous uprisings quickly forced Guzmán to look for a new location for the capital, this time in the Valley of Atemajac, today Guadalajara, on February 14, 1542 (Murià 1994, 21). After the unsuccessful experiences of establishing the capital in indigenous strongholds, the Valley of Atemajac offered ideal soil not only because of the quiescence of the local native peoples, but because of the valley’s promising physical geography. As noted by Thomas Calvo (1992), the combination of an almost circular valley with plentiful water sources (the Santiago, San Juan de Dios and Atemajac rivers), forested canyons, and a pleasant climate all pointed to “the seat of a future metropolis” (4). Contrary to other cities of New Spain, Guadalajara was not named after its indigenous place name (as is the case for Mexico City, Tepic, Zacatecas or Oaxaca), nor was it given a religious appellation (such as San Cristobal de las Casas), rather, it was exclusively baptized with the name of Guzmán’s birthplace, a factor that reflects the
Hispanicizing vision that both Guzmán as well as future governors held for the city (González 1994, 100).  

Upon its now legendary establishment, Guadalajara progressively took on a strategic role for the Crown, becoming the launching pad for the expeditions toward the so-called “Chichimec Border” to the north where the Spaniards imagined that they would find endless treasures, including the fantastic Seven Cities of Cíbola and Quívira (Weckman 1994, 119). The discovery of silver in Zacatecas further boosted the growth of Guadalajara as the capital of an increasingly prosperous New Galicia. The administrative importance of the city led to a slow process of urbanization using the labor acquired under the agrarian dispossession of the repartimiento system, a colonial practice for conscripting labor that forced indigenous peoples to intermittently work for the Spanish for little or no pay (González 1994, 101). Initially, much of the indigenous labor force was made up of migrants from central Mexico (many of whom were already urbanized) and “Chichimec” children who were used as mere slaves to toil in the homes of the elite and the nearby mines and haciendas (Calvo 1992, 144, 148).

In order to understand the ways in which racial conceptions are tied to urban space within the Tapatío imaginary, it is important to recount some of the central elements that make up the foundation and development of Guadalajara into the capital of New Galicia. This work is particularly important in order to understand how Guadalajara came to see itself as an exceptionally criollo city despite having a racially diverse population from its inception. This diversity has largely been forgotten through the literal and figurative process that Murià refers to as “blanquismo mexicano” or Mexican whitening (Murià 2004, 19). Blanquismo sought for the genetic and cultural whitening of the population through racial miscegenation, even when colonial records show a greater number of African arrivals than Spaniards during the city’s formative years. Clearly, the miscegenation taking place in colonial Guadalajara was far more nuanced for the city itself was a cauldron of racial and ethnic heterogeneity rather than a laboratory of European reproduction.

As with other cities of New Spain, colonial Guadalajara was an ethnically heterogeneous space, having a majority population of indigenous peoples, mulattoes and mestizos concentrated in ethnic neighborhoods, while the minority peninsular or Spanish population enjoyed political and economic dominance. African slaves were brought by Portuguese merchants at the very end of the 16th century, a process which largely culminated by the mid-1600s (Calvo 1992, 144). There is also registry of the arrival of some Asian slaves brought by the Filipino galleons (146). Birth registries from the 17th century show that a fourth of the population was Black and majority female, while most

---

71 The etymological roots of the name Guadalajara come from the Arabic, Wadal-Hachara, meaning river of rocks González 1994, 100).
72 Beatriz Hernández is credited with being the person to have made the definitive argument for making the Valley of Atemajac the capital of Nueva Galicia.
73 Tapatío is a word that has been used since the Colonial Era to designate all that which comes from the Mexican city of Guadalajara. According to the Diccionario de Mexicanismos by Francisco Santamaría (1959), the word has its origin in the measurement of three tortillas as well as in three units of cacao used by the natives of the region of Jalisco.
of the Spaniards were male, a matter which led to a great deal of miscegenation (43). As noted in my discussion of the casta system in Chapter 1, the ethnic diversity of Mexico’s colonial cities mirrors the ever-expanding financial opportunities that these urban enclaves offered.

In this context, Guadalajara was attractive for those seeking an alternative to Mexico City. Its growing regional independence as the gateway to the Pacific made it an important place for the distribution of goods and, as a result, saw the passing of merchants, bureaucrats, servants, and bandits. Above all, Guadalajara’s regional preeminence led to the influx of people from various ethnic and class backgrounds. As noted by Calvo, it was a city seemingly created from scratch: “This new world is expressed in the creation ex-nihilo of a network of cities without links to the past, the introduction of completely new activities (cattle ranching, mines), and a populace that is in large part of foreign origin.” (Calvo 1992, 190) While Calvo is correct in affirming that the “pioneering society” of colonial Guadalajara was not xenophobic (161), it is also true that incoming populations were quickly placed on a steep socio-economic hierarchy. People of distinct races and class positions were indeed welcomed, but usually under unequal conditions that would help grow the political, economic and clerical position of the criollo elite. Furthermore, there is little indication that the indigenous peoples from the region actually enjoyed any of the fruits from these transformations. As Pancho Madrigal’s cartoon highlights, the sedimentation of official history has explicitly left out the presence of Guadalajara’s non-white populations.

The colonial period is depicted as a celebration of the European presence, leaving all other races on the margins of official historical narratives. The bubble captions read: “And us?” “Well…supposedly we didn’t exist…” (Cartoon by Pancho Madrigal in Murià and Madrigal 2004, 151)

One interesting exception to this generalized racial stratification comes with the arrival of two Japanese migrants in the 17th century. Under the Hispanicized names of Luis de Encío and Juan de Páez, these two figures became successful businessmen and integrated themselves into the upper classes of colonial Guadalajara. Páez held a long term relationship with the high clergy and is considered to be one of the most wealthy and influential men of 17th century Guadalajara and one of the principal slave owners of the region (Falck Reyes and Palacios 2009, 59, 65, 121). The success of these non-
European individuals demonstrates how financial wealth could make racial hierarchies irrelevant. For instance, Falck Reyes and Palacios’ book, *The Japanese Who Conquered Guadalajara*, note that the category “Chinese” signaled economic status rather than racial origin at the same time that it was used to refer to slaves brought from the Philippines (51). Finally, the cases of Páez and Encío indicate that Guadalajara had become a relatively cosmopolitan city with a growing relationship to regions outside of its immediate orbit.

During the following centuries, the capital of New Galicia experienced slow but continuous development thanks to the formation of close ties between the established criollo families and the arrival of *peninsulares* who constituted the administrative, clerical and merchant classes of Guadalajara. According to Jaime Olveda, Guadalajara enjoyed relative political and economic autonomy that was further stimulated by the Bourbon Reforms that promoted the emigration of *peninsulares* to the Americas:

Those who arrived to Guadalajara were natives of Santander, Vizcaya, Guipúzcoa, Alava and Navarra; they came inspired by a profound sense of Spanish superiority, and above all, possessed by an enormous desire to triumph and transform the American colonies into profitable and respectable possessions. (Olveda 1991, 40, translated from Spanish by author)

By the end of the 17th century, the immigration of *peninsulares* to this city created important demographic shifts. Olveda’s study indicates that, contrary to other regions of New Spain, the *peninsulares* and criollos of Guadalajara were willing and able to weave close ties with one another through marriage and political and commercial pacts that facilitated the consolidation of a powerful regional oligarchy (Olveda 1991, 50-1). This Hispanic unity had important implications for indigenous communities precisely because it advanced the concentration of landholdings among few families and accelerated the rural dispossession and subsequent migration of indigenous peoples to Guadalajara where they would confront the nascent monetized economy (87-8).

**The Pearl of the West: Immigration and Modernization in Nineteenth Century Guadalajara**

As discussed in Chapter 3, the port of San Blas ushered in a period of economic and political growth for Tepic and Guadalajara. Although Mexico City would continue to be at the helm of the country’s political, economic, and cultural power, the Bourbon Reforms and subsequent independence movement further solidified the West’s sense of autonomy. The port’s commercial activity fueled the infrastructural development of the San Blas-Tepic-Guadalajara axis at the same time that it heightened the privatization of lands for large scale agriculture used to feed a growing urban population (Olveda 1991, 125). Through the convergence of the port’s activities, regional mining, and the cohesion of the urban oligarchy, Guadalajara’s elite reached new levels of wealth.

Between 1812 and 1821, while insurgents captured the port of Acapulco, millions of pesos passed through San Blas, as did people from Asia, Panama, South America, and Spanish immigrants who worked for British firms (Olveda 2000, 122-3). The influx of capital and new populations fortified Guadalajara’s established elite and brought in
promising alliances that would change the way business was done in the city. It is important not to overstate the role this new wave of immigrants had on Guadalajara’s development for they encountered a city whose ruling class had already made great strides in transforming the urban landscape. As Jaime Olveda notes:

[Guadalajara] figures amongst the American cities that blanketed a powerful bureaucracy and an elite conscious of its strength, and with a clear idea of the potential it had at its reach. The urban development that it obtained at the end of the 18th century, symbolizes the force acquired by the dominant class. The city space had acquired such complexity that it had become fractured along functional zones, each with its own significance that accorded with the production and circulation of goods. (Olveda 2000, 15, translated from Spanish by author)

While Tepic’s prosperity seemingly came and went, Guadalajara would continue to reap the benefits of the region’s commercial, agricultural, mining, and financial activities. In fact, for years Guadalajara had been the recipient of the rural and mining elite’s children who sought their progeny to be educated in the city’s notable institutions and marry into the ruling Tapatío families. Even while San Blas’s influence began to fade and political turmoil ravaged the country, Guadalajara would continue to receive waves of immigrants whose business affairs indicated their intent to stay in this Mexican capital.

With the conclusion of the War of Independence in 1821, began the great debates between conservatives and liberals. Each party sought to determine Mexico’s delicate economic future at a moment when global markets were dominated by the industrializing European nations. Although some politicians sought a modern industrial Mexico, the reality of the country continued to position it as an exporter of raw materials that rested on the operation of large plantations which subjected peasants to entrenched forms of debt peonage and low wages (Olveda 2000, 206). Following the nation’s independence, and as liberal reforms became implemented, both the country and the city experienced social convulsions rooted in the territorial dispossession of indigenous and mestizo rural communities who were forced to try their luck in urban centers dominated by the same powerful classes that possessed the large rural haciendas.

Although Tepic attracted foreigners for its proximity to San Blas, many preferred to reside in Guadalajara because of its far greater administrative and commercial capacity. One of the most significant political outgrowths of the presence of British and Panamanian capitalists was their ability to influence the ascendance of liberal thought in a conservative bastion like Guadalajara. This was a formidable transformation in a city that had largely been politically, economically, and culturally controlled by the clergy.74 During the second half of the 19th century, immigrants from Europe and the United States continued to arrive and establish businesses that would further cement ideas of the free

74 Brian Connaughton’s (2003) study on clerical ideology in Guadalajara argues that despite appearances, the Catholic Church was not monolithic. Connaughton’s study demonstrates that a significant portion of the clergy embraced liberalism so long as they did not lose their privileged social status (9), a matter Raymond Buvé describes as “liberalism à la carte” (Buvé 2007, 97).
market. Because of their size and influence, the French represent the most notable of these incoming populations. The first generation of French immigrants was comprised of small businessmen who arrived in the 1830s, while the second came during the 1850s and 1860s with greater capital to establish the city’s first large textile factories and sell imported apparel (Olveda 2000, 150). The French also established the first department stores, including the still popular chain, Fábricas de Francia, founded in 1887. Following the national trend, the Tapatio elite eagerly embraced French immigrants along with their literary and aesthetic culture.

![French section of the Mezquitán cemetery built at the turn of the century in Guadalajara. Photo by author.](image)

German, Italian, American and British immigrants also found the city to offer promising business ventures and a welcoming environment in which to live. With the onslaught of the Gold Rush, western Mexico supplied agricultural and imported goods to California, much of which passed through German hands (Olveda 2000, 170). One of the most notable German immigrants was Theodor Kunhardt who came during the second half of the nineteenth century and quickly dominated regional banking and imports, and acquired various properties throughout Guadalajara (170,175). The Germans, with Kunhardt in the forefront, also established pharmacies, general goods and hardware stores. Naturally, the amount of capital these immigrants held helped them exert political influence, pushing for economic policies that would benefit their business ventures (178). In 1880, President Porfirio Díaz reinstated diplomatic relations with France and those nations that had sided with the European country during its occupation of Mexico. This move, along with Díaz’s ambitious infrastructural projects, attracted many Europeans in search of new investment opportunities (Valerio Ulloa 2002, 7). While some resented the idea that European immigrants were exerting a form of imperialism by becoming rich on Mexican soil, Sergio Valerio Ulloa argues that it was far more common for these immigrants to arrive without capital, making their fortunes through “their labor and dedication” (8). This author also refutes the notion that most of these migrant business people took their money back to their countries of origin, noting that a sizable number made Guadalajara their permanent home (Ibid.)
German Drug Store established in 1853 by Juan Jaacks, Guadalajara (Arana Cervantes 1990, 29)

Clearly the trajectories of these immigrants were manifold, they came from different class backgrounds and inserted themselves into distinct economic niches that gave them varying levels of success. As their predecessors had done during the colonial period, many Spanish immigrants came from modest backgrounds and with little if any capital, but the most ambitious were able to make fortunes that would have been unimaginable in their homelands (Valerio Ulloa 14-15). While some stuck to their economic niche, others resembled the Barron and Forbes Company, eagerly taking any opportunity to turn a profit:

The veins of capitalist accumulation for these foreign business people were diverse and equally sprung from the mercantile sphere as from industrial production, but also from the capitalist exploitation of their haciendas and ranches; from the renting of the land and single family homes, from usury and financial capital, from the exploitation of salaried and non-salaried labor, from concessions and privileges, from monopolies and contraband, from bribery and corruption. (Valerio Ulloa 2002,15, translated from Spanish by author.)

The wealthiest arrivals invested in real estate, taking advantage of the housing demand fueled by the rising population. Olveda notes that this process led to the spatial reorganization of the city through the increased physical separation of places of residence, consumption, and work. The presence of these immigrants helped transform the spatial order and culture of the city with the construction of suburban neighborhoods that still hold the names Americana, Alemana and Francesa. These neighborhoods were characterized by their location along the still undeveloped western periphery of the city. As the century progressed, an increasing number of large homes and chalets were built for the upper class criollo and foreign families, while the colonial mansions in the city center became occupied by businesses and subdivided for the working class (Hernández Larrañaga 2001, 385).

How did these demographic and political economic transformations affect the racial discourse of the period? It is important to note that in Mexico the liberal concept of individualism explicitly sought to do away with categories denoting racial difference
such as Indian or casta in order to push forth a consolidated hybrid identity. As the first constitutional governor of Jalisco, Prisciliano Sánchez ordered the substitution of indigenous and caste classifications with the unifying category of mestizo (Olveda 1996, 97). Later, when Governor Ignacio L. Vallarta proclaimed the expropriation of communal lands, he argued that the laws would benefit indigenous peoples because they would no longer be forced to live as “civil corporations” and could consequently become free citizens who could participate within the capitalist economy (González Navarro 1994, 31). According to this line of thought, the shift in territorial laws, the advent of education, and the discipline provided by the monetized economy would give the Indian the opportunity to become a modern subject, as demonstrated by the prolific liberal figure of Benito Juárez. Or, as Antonio Escobar Ohmstedte notes, “Indians could liberate themselves from their “label” through social and geographic mobility losing themselves in the demographic of ethnically undifferentiated and above all urbanized people, normally granting them greater comfort as mestizos.” (Escobar Ohmstedte 2007, 17-18) As Guadalajara’s indigenous inhabitants entered the 20th century, they would continue to find refuge under the neutralizing mestizo category all the while being relegated to the city’s stark class geography. More than ever, unregulated real estate speculation had led to a polarized city where the poor lived in the old city and east of the San Juan de Dios River, and where the wealthy marked their territory westward through the construction of housing, parks, and boulevards (Jiménez Pelayo et al. 1995, 188).

Photographs of Guadalajara from the 19th and early 20th centuries show a city in transition. Animal drawn carriages line the streets alongside tightly packed food stands, men going about their business under the shade of the (now extinct) prototypical large sombreros, young aguadores busily hustle water, while others gather the good at the

75 Juan Kaiser was born in Switzerland in 1858, at the age of 23 he left for America in search of fortune, establishing himself in Guadalajara in 1899 after travelling through South America and Mexico City (Sánchez Montes 2009).
central Pila Roja fountain. The images of darker toned women covered by their shalls milling through the city’s downtown juxtapose with the light skinned women posing at Lake Chapala, a historical place of leisure for Guadalajara’s upper classes and, present-day retirement haven for Canadians and Americans. The cultural hybridity of the city is palpably visible in these photos: German pharmacies, French department stores, older women selling medicinal herbs in the arched walkways and men selling the tropical tuba drink from calabash gourds. Humble adobe constructions contrast with the elegant doorways that beckon the French trends of the time. Many of these buildings no longer exist, having given way to large open squares, pedestrian walkways or broader streets. By the turn of the century, the push to modernize Guadalajara led to the destruction of some of the older marketplaces frequented by the working classes. The portales, or arched passageways used by vendors, became a target of the period’s urban renewal. The portales of San Agustín, located next to the Degollado Theatre, are a notable case as they were mysteriously set on fire in order to eliminate the presence of peasant vendors from the sight of upper class theatre attendees (Galindo Gaitán 2002, 66).

Upper class women in Chapala, early 20th century (Arana Cervantes 1990)

Finally, Guadalajara had cemented its fame as the Pearl of the West amongst travelers who marveled at its luxurious accommodations, pleasant climate, and hospitality. Already in 1826, French traveler George F. Lyon made a note of the excellent quarters where he was hosted and the families who preoccupied themselves with sporting the latest French and British fashion trends. Lyon added that he would have thought he was in his homeland were it not for the women who smoked in public and the inability of theatre audiences to remain quiet (qtd. in Murià 2004, 47-8). Almost seventy years later, Eduardo Gibbon made the following description of Guadalajara:

That city of fantastic aspect with its domes, its towers, its country houses and gardens like a big complex with its unified details forming harmony and spectacle. That city like a Sultana of the West laid out over cushions and divans dreaming satisfied in the middle of the reality of Oriental magnificence. (Gibbon 1893, iii-iv, translated from Spanish by author.)
This reputation for magnificence went so far as to reflect the notion that if one were to be poor in Mexico it was best to live in Guadalajara where even paupers were well off (Torres Sánchez 2001, 64).

Urban Expansion and Neighborhood Struggles

During the regime of Porfirio Díaz and the years of the Mexican Revolution, Guadalajara’s population grew significantly, leading city authorities to become preoccupied by the growing number of “lepers and pelados” (Olveda 1996, 334). As previously discussed, the liberal Reform Laws led to an unprecedented concentration of land which drove the displacement of the rural population to the cities. This crisis in land tenancy was only deepened under the Díaz regime whose legacy of inflicting violence on the urban and rural working classes has been widely studied (Turner 1910, Womack 1969, Gilly 1971, Córdova 1979, Knight 1986, Katz 1998). This reality sharply contrasted with the financial success that the upper classes experienced during this same period and is made visible in the urban changes that distanced the wealthy from the central neighborhoods now inhabited by the poor. This marked class segregation sustained a clear ethnic line and, in Guadalajara, it was the old criollo oligarchy and the foreign businessmen who sustained a Europeanized vision for the city. Nicknames for the city such as the “Andalusia of Mexico,” “the Mexican Florence,” and “The City of Lights” exalted the identity that the local elite sought to promote on both a national and international scale (Torres Sánchez 2001, 18). During the years of the Revolution, Guadalajara’s political class continued to bet the city’s political, economic and cultural development on the influx of certain immigrants through selectively sending pamphlets to the United States, Europe, Latin America and certain regions of Mexico (261). At the height of the armed conflict, the Tapatío press spoke of the city’s continued prosperity even though the majority of the population experienced economic hardship (175).

Following the Constitutionalist lead, between 1914 and 1919, Governor Manuel Dieguez engaged in a “regulatory fever” that included renaming and restructuring the city’s streets, parks and plazas, the imposition of laws relating to loitering and the use of public spaces, education reform, and a reorganization of judicial and policing powers (Torres Sánchez 2001, 178). All of this led to what Torres Sánchez describes as the rise of “modernity as a regulatory and arbitrary state practice,” this time in the name of the poor (195). The populism of the new revolutionary government continued the path toward regulation by inserting itself into the everyday lives of urban residents. This included taking the country out of the city through the prohibition of chicken coops in private residences, the cleaning of public markets, and the conscription of residents to clean and repair public spaces as a part of their revolutionary duties (210-250). Needless to say, these policies were an ironic sequel to the Porfirian slogan of “order and progress.”

The violence that the Revolution inflicted on the countryside led to the rapid rise of regional immigrants in Guadalajara. In the state of Jalisco in particular, armed conflict continued through the 1920s and 1930s as a result of the Cristiada, an armed movement

76 Pelado was a derogatory term used to describe the poor.
that sparked in reaction to the state’s secularization laws that, under President Calles, led to the dramatic closure of churches. A study by Tapatico anthropologist Guillermo de la Peña, analyzes the intersection of the arrival of immigrants from the Altos de Jalisco (located in the northeastern part of the state) affiliated with the Cristeros and the onset of “popular urbanization” organized by neighborhood parishes, as was the case of Santa Teresita (De la Peña and Martínez Casas 2004). In the absence of municipal action toward such basic infrastructural needs as potable water and the maintenance of streets, De la Peña argues that the ecclesiastical neighborhood organization, Acción Católica, garnered political and cultural power over the lives of these new city residents. As more working class neighborhoods were established during the 1940s and 1950s, conservative religious organizations secured a narrative for ideal society rooted in the Hispanic legacy:

De la Peña emphasizes that the consolidation of Acción Católica within the everyday lives of the residents of Santa Teresita models a “moral community” that facilitated migrants from Los Altos to come and reside in a city that was growing exponentially without the municipal government’s ability to meet the basic needs of these new residents.

From 1940 through 1950, Guadalajara doubled its area from 2,620 to 4,180 hectares, attracting investors and immigrants in search of opportunities in the industrial and commercial sectors (Jiménez Pelayo et al. 1995, 227, 256). The city center was modified through the erection of modern buildings dedicated to commerce and the construction of central thoroughfares that underlined the already existent division between the working classes, to the east of Calzada Independencia which covers the San Juan de Dios River, and the middle and upper classes to the west of this avenue. Much of Guadalajara’s spatial expansion came in the 1960s as the federal government stimulated the upsurge of maquila industries away from the U.S. border region. By the late 1980s and through the 1990s, Guadalajara had earned the reputation as the national headquarters for the information technology (IT) industry and was christened the “Silicon Valley of Mexico.” Kevin Gallagher and Lyuba Zarsley (2007) reveal that this transpired through a combination of the relative ease of moving products from Guadalajara to California, NAFTA legislation, the city’s good infrastructure and comfortable lifestyle (including its trade shows), low wages and weak labor unions, and finally, Jalisco’s Economic Promotion Law that eliminated most or all state and municipal taxes on foreign investors (Gallagher and Zarsley 2007, 130). While the IT and electronics
industries continue to be a key economic engine in Guadalajara, the same factors that attracted foreign firms to the city have led to the industry’s partial collapse, namely, the lack of government oversight which allowed foreign companies to wipe out local firms rather than foment partnerships (7). Once China joined the World Trade Organization, Guadalajara lost several multinational corporations to this Asian country, demonstrating that the push toward complete liberalization had failed to sustain the city’s famed IT boom (9).

Meanwhile, along the peripheral areas of the city, new residential neighborhoods popped up with little or no regulation, a trend that has only increased to the detriment of green areas and ejido lands. During the 1970s, real estate speculation accelerated with the arrival of one million new inhabitants, many of whom could not afford to pay to live in “regularized” areas. Consequently, many began living in the illegal developments managed by corrupt entities that, through the dispossession of ejido lands, were able to profit from a vulnerable incoming population (CDI 2005; Núñez Miranda 1995, 263). Valentina Napolitano notes that unlike Monterrey and Mexico City, where land invasions have been a popular form of settling new neighborhoods, in Guadalajara it is the fraccionador, or subdivider, who purchases and services the land (Napolitano 2002, 20, 29). These subdividers beckon the caciques described by Fernando Benítez in Chapter 3, as they control negotiations between the city and the residents of the new suburban neighborhoods that have contributed to the city’s urban sprawl, devastating the remaining forests and water sources along the Metropolitan Zone of Guadalajara’s (ZMG) constantly expanding periphery.

"Urbi" peripheral housing development in the Huentitán Canyon, city of Tonalá, 2010
Photo by Catarina Negrín.

By the 1970s, these “popular” or working class neighborhoods along the city’s periphery witnessed the presence of indigenous peoples from different parts of the country who formed networks linking their communities of origin with their new urban homes. As a result, the old indigenous barrios of Mexicaltzingo and Mezquitán, located in the city center, no longer housed the bulk of Guadalajara’s indigenous population. This new influx gave way to an indigenous population that was far more heterogeneous in its
cultural and employment practices and lived dispersed in different parts of the ZMG. The ethnic groups with the largest numbers are Purhépechas from Michoacán, Nahua from various states, Mixtecs from Oaxaca, and Otomies from central Mexico. Each one of these groups has taken advantage of distinct economic niches that include carpentry, domestic labor, gardening, and the sale of street food (CDI 2005). By 1995, data from the National Institute for Geography and Statistics (INEGI) calculated that 54 different indigenous ethnic groups resided in the ZMG (Conteo de Población y Vivienda 1995). On a national level, the economic decline experienced by small and medium farmers as a result of the Green Revolution and the economic crises of the late 1970s and early 1980s caused an exodus from the countryside to Mexico’s cities and to the United States. Indigenous peoples were not exempt from these movements; in fact, they lived these crises in a far more violent manner, being the victims of civil rights violations and territorial dispossession fueled by constitutional amendments and private land grabs exacerbated under the regime of Salinas de Gortari (1988-1994).

Despite this changing reality, *indigenista* policies continue to focus on rural communities and as a result fail to design programs that meet the needs of urban indigenous peoples (CDI 2005). Anthropologist Regina Martínez Casas conducted ethnographic research with Otomí immigrants from the community of Santiago Mexquititlán in the central Mexican state of Querétaro. The model of inheriting land to the youngest son and the agricultural crisis are the two principle motifs that caused emigration from this community to large urban areas like Mexico City, Monterrey and Guadalajara (De la Peña and Martínez Casas 2004, 110). Martínez Casas found that while many Otomí families maintain strong links to Santiago Mexquititlán (marked by their participation in communal festivities and the conservation of traditional social relations in the city), many prefer to conceal their ethnic identity in public spaces, opting instead to identify themselves as *fuereños* or outsiders:

> The reluctance of many Otomís—which equally occurs in Santiago, but is intensified in the city—refers to the particularly tense and asymmetrical experience of interethnic relations: they know that if they are labeled as members of an indigenous group, the type of interaction will for better or for worse irrevocably remain marked by this label (119, translated from Spanish by author).

Martínez Casas indicates that Otomís frequently prefer to present themselves before urban authorities as “poor” rather than indigenous under the belief that they will receive better treatment. Finally, the anthropologist argues that the rejection Otomí youth experience has serious implications in their schooling: one school where the indigenous population reached close to 50 percent of the total first and second grade population, only counted with an indigenous population of 17 percent by the sixth grade. The study indicates that the high desertion rates are related to indigenous students’ alienation from the general school environment, in addition to the fact that they also have domestic and employment responsibilities most children their age do not have to take on (126).
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The Otomí experience undoubtedly shares some parallels with other indigenous groups living in the ZMG. However, the existence of different patterns of migration, residence, employment, and education demonstrate that we must observe these differences to avoid the tendency to homogenize the urban indigenous population. This is especially important in light of a study carried out by the National Commission for the Development of Indigenous Peoples (CDI) that found that Guadalajara, Monterrey and Cancún share the fastest growing indigenous populations in the country (Durin 2008, 13). The presence of indigenous peoples in spaces historically occupied by whites and mestizos undeniably has awakened racism amongst those who resent the thought of sharing the city with ethnic groups that they consider undesirable. The CDI’s Program for the Development of Indigenous Peoples (PDPI) 2009-2012, recognizes that racism is the “fundamental cause” for the “profound socioeconomic delays” that many indigenous peoples experience. Additionally, the PDPI cites a government poll that found that 42.9 percent of non-indigenous Mexicans think that indigenous peoples “will always have social limitations” because of their “racial characteristics.” Within the urban context, 39.5 percent of the sample population affirms that it would be willing to “organize” in order to impede indigenous families from living close to their neighborhood (PDPI 22). Another study carried out by the CDI confirms these discriminatory attitudes by showing that although nine out of ten people say they would not mind having an indigenous neighbor.

During the qualitative phase of the study, the possibility of holding close quarters with indigenous peoples became clearer with comments such as: “I imagine my pretty house and next door a shack with dirt” or “It wouldn’t happen, not because I am elitist, but because it isn’t ad-hoc, it isn’t normal.” Other more ambivalent but equally prejudiced comments also emerged: “I would be delighted, it makes no difference to me…if they move in next door and they are clean, that would be awesome” (CDI 2006, 40).

One event that has left a deep mark on Guadalajara’s indigenous population and highlights the city’s interracial relations occurred in 2003 when residents of the upper middle class neighborhood of Providencia organized against the use of the local Rubén Darío park by indigenous peoples. For over a decade, this park had become a Sunday meeting place for young Nahua, many of whom worked as domestic servants and restaurant workers in Providencia. The neighborhood housewives soon became upset at their local park becoming a place of leisure for poor Indians accusing them of conducting lewd acts and “obstructing peace.” The neighborhood’s residential organization went as far as purchasing five squad cars to police the area leading to indigenous peoples becoming the subjects of random searches. By October of 2003, the police had detained 32 Nahua men and women accused by neighborhood residents of consuming alcoholic beverages, fighting, and committing “moral offenses,” none of which could be corroborated (González, M. 2012).

Santos, a Wixárika lawyer who resides in Guadalajara, cites this affair as emblematic of the city’s unchecked racism and classism. He recalls how, in solidarity with the alarmist housewives, the Oxxo mini-mart chain across from the park stopped selling goods to indigenous customers (Interview with Santos de la Cruz, June 24, 2010). Other testimonies state that the local residential association asked Oxxo management to use separate cash registers, one for those believed to be from Providencia and another for the Indians. Although this case fizzled from the limited media and juridical attention it had gained, the harassment forced many indigenous peoples to stop using the park.
Above all, this case demonstrates how indigenous citizens are unwelcome to use public spaces in the very neighborhoods where they are welcomed as poorly paid workers.

This type of racial prejudice converges with a deep sense of classism reflected in the spatial organization of the city and the effects of these divisions on how the city is experienced from different social standings. As such, Guadalajara serves as an excellent example of the ways in which social stratification is transformed into spatial and political organization led by the conservative inclinations of the business and political classes whose preferred representatives have been the Catholic Church and the National Action Party (PAN), the latter which has dominated the state’s politics since 1995. This reality is further driven by the existence of conservative organizations whose principal focus rests on the preservation of “good customs” and the reinsertion of the Catholic Church into politics and the daily lives of Tapatíos (De la Torre and Ramírez Saíz 2001, 196). As was the case in the Rubén Darío park affair, upper middle class women have taken on a prominent role in these organizations as the self-appointed guides for rescuing the city from nihilism.

Deep seated Tapatío social conservatism has direct implications for indigenous citizens who do not neatly fit into the Catholic, Hispanic and neoliberal ideal espoused by these sociopolitical forces. Through public campaigns, conferences and propaganda, right-wing organizations like the Opus Dei and the Sinarquistas, push for free-market policies, celebrate the dominance of private property, and seek to erect barriers separating the spaces in which they live (be they homes, schools or commercial centers) from the spaces in which the “undesirable classes” live (Guzmán Pérez Pelaez 2001, 170). In this manner, today’s Tapatío oligarchy of today conserves the pragmatic posture of earlier years: liberal vis-à-vis economic policy and conservative on social and political matters. This reality presents an important challenge for a city with a spatially and ethnically heterogeneous history. The following section examines Wixaritari experiences in the ZMG as a case in point.

**Challenging the Multicultural City**

On April 28, 2010, an event titled “The Interpellation of Differences: In search of Intercultural Dialogue” took place at Guadalajara’s Jesuit university, ITESO. Several weeks prior to the event, the student organizers from the Department of Philosophy extended an invitation to ITESO’s indigenous students to come and share some elements of their ethnic traditions with the university’s student body. The invitation was evaluated during a meeting held by Universidad Solidaria (Solidary University or, US), an organization that provides a space for dialogue and support for indigenous and working class students enrolled at the ITESO. It was clear to the members of US that both the invitation and accompanying agenda for this event predetermined the type of participation that they were to have: it was first and foremost a call for them to act like Indians, an invitation to present indigenous folklore and linguistic heritage, but not a serious conversation about the “interpellation of differences” and so-called intercultural...
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78 *Sinarquismo* is a far right, anti-statist political and cultural movement that emerged in 1937 and holds ideological parallels with fascism.
dialogue. After much discussion, the students of US decided to participate in the event hoping to challenge the folklorist expectations of the organizers.

The finalized agenda for the event placed the indigenous and non-indigenous students on different panels. As if this separation was not enough, students from US shared their panel with two ex-gang members who owed their departure from *la vida loca* (the crazy life) to the gospel. Each indigenous participant donned ethnic attire and shared greetings in their native language, as well as poems and mythological stories. Correspondingly, the ex-gang members exhibited their salutations and disclosed the rites of initiation for getting jumped into the gang. The subsequent panel was comprised of blond students wearing sunglasses. They read poems and recounted their crosscultural experiences of exotic trips to Tijuana, Versailles, the Brazilian Amazon, Thailand and Chenalhó, Chiapas. They spoke of their bisexual lovers in France, the experience of buying condoms in Bangkok, and the revelation that the world was unequal after an exchange with “marginalized” Indians in the mountains of Chiapas. The sharp edge of otherness could not have been better underscored with these two juxtaposing panel discussions. Why not place the impassioned poem of the Ch’ol student in the same space as the dramatic blonde’s tale of struggle with multiple personalities? If the event sought a dialogue around the interpellation of differences, why the crude separation?

![Commemorative Huichol themed label for the popular Indio brand beer, Spring 2010, Guadalajara. Photo by author.](image)

This college event is one of many examples of the everyday interactions that reveal the enduring expectations that the mestizo population continues to foster toward fellow indigenous citizens, expectations that take on a particularly coarse character within the urban context. Especially troubling are the ways in which indigenous cultures are consumed within the present day multicultural imaginary where aboriginal objects, idioms, and aesthetics are commoditized in supposed celebration of their continued existence. Cultural elements are abducted, displaced and distorted across space and scale, often in the name of indigenous peoples’ well being. Events like the one that took place at the ITESO demonstrate the racial ambivalence that is so pervasive in Mexican society. While state and private enterprises participate in the discursive and practical reproduction of “the Indigenous,” everyday citizens do their part in replicating and sustaining what Allan Pred calls “enduring stereotypes.” The imaginary of the universal Indian thus rules
over particular interactions on the ground. In other words, stereotypes, which stem from
generalized notions of race and ethnicity, become concrete through everyday practices
that renew the dominant social order (Pred 2004). The aforementioned surveys conducted
by the CDI show the spectrum of sentiments that Mexican society continues to hold
toward indigenous peoples. These feelings range from outright rejection, to pity and
paternalism, ambivalence or, celebration and friendship. Pred emphasizes that the
anxieties and fantasies that the dominant classes hold toward subaltern peoples rest upon
the “already existing and variously constructed popular racist imagination” that is
intensified through the everyday application of universal images onto particular situations
(177). From this imagination stems the idea that the innate home of an indigenous person
is a shack made from dirt (even in the city) or, that being indigenous precludes

cosmopolitanism.

Closely tied to this popular racist imagination is the idea that indigenous peoples
require the assistance of mestizos in order to overcome the notorious stigmas of poverty,
marginalization, illiteracy, and discrimination. For Wixárika student activist, Antonio
Hayuaneme García, cross-racial alliances are important for addressing these problems but
are better orchestrated when led by indigenous peoples who propose and carry out their
own project initiatives:

I believe it is very important that these projects come from the people
themselves. I say this not just as an indigenous person, but this is
something that often occurs in our state and in our country as a whole:
many of us become the group of people who always need to be helped,
who always need to be told how, and it is never considered that we have
our own instruments, that we are thinking beings even if we consider
things differently (Interview July 20, 2010).

Within the current context of massive movements of people across regional, national and
international borders, Guillermo de la Peña suggests that we rethink traditional
perspectives on citizenship and territory that have become unsustainable under
globalization. De la Peña argues that there should be a “construction of alternative visions
of territory and nationhood by those actors who demand a differentiated participation in
national life: I will call this type of participation ethnic citizenship” (De la Peña 1999).
This reconsideration of civic belonging includes the abandonment of static identity
categories and the adoption of more fluid notions of identification that reflect actual
social and spatial relationships, as is the case with indigenous immigrants who live in
cities:

The Otomís of Guadalajara never declare that they live in this city but
rather in Santiago Mexquititlán, even if they only go to the village a
few days out of the year. Other indigenous peoples who feel a deeper
rootedness in the urban world equally resist defining this world as one
that is exclusively mestizo and homogenizing, and may even consider
themselves to be fully urban and fully Huichol, or Mixtec, or
Purhépecha. (De la Peña 1999, translated from Spanish by author)

From these dynamic identities emerge important initiatives that propose new platforms
from which to think of public, educational, labor and domestic spaces. De la Peña’s
suggestion of “ethnic citizenship,” compliments Stuart Hall’s (1996) discussion of identities as “points of suture” and Gupta and Ferguson’s (1997) reterritorialization of belonging, matters that I will return to in the following chapter. In the subsequent pages, I will describe two projects that seek to change interracial relations in Guadalajara all the while nurturing indigenous identities within the city.

**Wixaritari, Artistas y Artesanos Unidos de la Zona Metropolitana de Guadalajara**
(Wixaritari, Artists and Artisans United of the Metropolitan Region of Guadalajara)

The collective initiative known as *Wixaritari, Artistas y Artesanos de la Zona Metropolitan de Guadalajara*, or WAAU, is a response to some of the struggles Wixaritari face in the ZMG: discrimination at the hands of municipal authorities and the general public, and a lack of organizational unity amongst Wixaritari residing in the city. More specifically, WAAU addresses the needs of Wixaritari who live from the sale of arts and crafts. Being indigenous to the state of Jalisco and the neighboring states of Nayarit and Durango, the Wixaritari have a historical relationship with Guadalajara. The proximity between this city and their traditional communities has permitted a fluid relationship amongst those who have migrated to the city and those who have remained in their rural homeland. But despite being an intrinsically Jaliscan group, the state and municipal governments have failed to recognize them as such.

Another challenge that these artists and artisans face is the lack of public spaces where they can sell their goods. Certainly, the city has a long history of attempting to curb street vending activities, as were the cases of the aforementioned Porfirian and post-revolutionary policies. Since 2003, the municipality of Guadalajara resolutely prohibited the sale of arts and crafts in the downtown public squares under the argument that the stands were synonymous with street peddling and gave a poor image to the historic city center. Although the prohibition of informal commerce is a global phenomenon that is neither the territory of the Left nor of the Right, in Guadalajara’s case it has been the administrations of the right-wing National Action Party that imposed new rules to prevent such activities. These rules include the mandate for granting individual licenses when indigenous artisans had previously received collective vending permits that they managed within their respective artisan collectives (De la Peña and Martínez Casas 2004, 121). Most importantly, the prohibition of street vendors has had grave consequences for those artisan families who economically depend on access to public marketplaces. WAAU largely originated from these conditions in order to unite and mobilize Wixaritari in the ZMG with the objective of negotiating new spaces for the sale of arts and crafts under more just terms.

WAAU affirms its right to push forward this initiative by citing the International Labor Organization’s Convention 169, Article 2 that states the government’s responsibility in “assisting the members of the peoples concerned to eliminate socio-economic gaps that may exist between indigenous and other members of the national
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79 Indigenous artisan collectives generally manage these collective vending permits by alternating the schedules of the vendors of the same collective in a given stand. In theory, this ensures each artisan’s equal access to customers and an equitable distribution of money.
community, in a manner compatible with their aspirations and ways of life.” Additionally, WAAU’s project document stresses that the current causes of Wixárika migration to cities stem from decades of flawed development policies as well as the changes brought about by the penetration of the capitalist market in their communities. As a result, increasing numbers of Wixaritari have sought economic sustenance through wage labor outside of Indian country. This does not mean that all Wixaritari residing in the ZMG face the same conditions as WAAU’s members explicitly state that there is great heterogeneity amongst Wixaritari, a matter that has often created disunity.

The final objective of WAAU is to negotiate formal public spaces for the sale of their arts and crafts in order to “ensure the economic stability and identity of migrant Wixaritari and temporary inhabitants of the ZMG,” inform truthful and respectful information about the Wixárika People in order to eliminate negative stereotypes, and foment greater dialogue between Wixaritari and Mestizos in the city (WAAU Project Document 2010,16). In this way, the organization’s activities go beyond securing spaces for the sale of Wixárika arts and crafts. When Guadalajara won the contract to host the 2011 Panamerican Games, it chose as one of its three mascots a pink deer with a god’s eye on its forehead named “Huichi.” Members of WAAU swiftly criticized this unwarranted caricaturization of Wixárika culture. In response, organizers of the games offered their apologies for creating a “Huichol” mascot and extended an olive branch by inviting Wixárika artisans to set up vending tables at the Panamerican Games’ venues. By this time, the organizers argued that they could not remove the mascot as they had already ordered merchandise plastered with Huichi’s image and designed the Mexican team’s uniforms using Wixárika iconography. Paradoxically, the Panamerican Games offered a rare instance of Jalisco’s recognition of Wixárika culture as one of its own. Although members of WAAU were split over whether to accept this apologetic invitation, they ultimately agreed under the condition that they could use the games as a platform for negotiating their larger demands with municipal authorities.

Huichi—one of three mascots chosen for the 2011 Panamerican Games hosted by the City of Guadalajara

In the two months leading up to the games, members of WAAU mobilized to call attention to the Huichi mascot and, by extension, introduce a wider public debate around the unauthorized appropriation of Wixárika culture and aesthetics at a moment when Wixárika sacred territories were being conceded to mining and tourist corporations in Wirikuta and San Blas (Haramara) respectively. At the same time, organizers of the Panamerican Games retracted their promise to Wixárika vendors amidst a series of other
missteps that concluded with flooding the Primavera forest with sewage from the game’s facilities. To make matters worse, shortly before the games began Wixárika vendors in downtown Guadalajara’s downtown were forcibly removed, beaten and fined for their illegal use of public space. Not surprisingly, these incidents further radicalized WAAU which has since restructured and begun work with other indigenous communities of the ZMG. Undoubtedly, WAAU seeks a renewed vision for urban coexistence that sustains indigenous peoples’ right to the city.


*Universidad Solidaria* (Solidary University)

*Universidad Solidaria* (US) began as an initiative set by a former chancellor of the ITESO with the purpose of lending support and retention for indigenous and working class students at the university. Students selected to be a part of US must participate in the organization’s meetings and relate to fellow US students and the university employee in charge of running the program and directing the students’ needs to the appropriate administrative staff. These needs range from receiving financial aid and work study, to getting assistance in navigating the university’s programs. Antonio Hayuaneme García, a member of US told me that he felt strange when he entered the ITESO and was directed to this specialized program. He had studied his entire life amongst mestizos without ever receiving “preferences” for being indigenous whereas he now faced a situation in which he was separated from the rest of the student body based on his race (Interview with García July 20, 2010). Nonetheless, by the beginning of the 2009-2010 academic year, the group began a process of reflection and criticism over the objective of US and the way in which the monthly meetings were run. Influenced by liberation theology, Paulo Freire, and grassroots labor struggles, the university-appointed coordinator had a long trajectory of pedagogical work with peasant communities in the Americas. While the students recognized this coordinator’s good efforts in moving the group through its
formative stages, they also felt the need for the coordinator and group representative to be one of the US students.

As a result of these discussions, the meetings became more participatory and horizontal with the election of a student coordinator who brought US students to focus the group on channeling the needs of low income and indigenous students to the administration and projecting a greater presence within the campus’ culture (Interview with García, July 20, 2010). Currently, US has two principal objectives: 1) to create safe and respectful spaces for the members to share their struggles and express themselves; and 2) to organize projects and events that diversify the university’s identity. In order to understand the importance of a student organization like US we need only return to the aforementioned event “The Interpellation of Differences: In Search of Intercultural Dialogue.” It is important to stress that ITESO is a private university largely comprised of children of the *Tapatío* elite. However, unlike other private universities in Guadalajara, ITESO has set itself apart because of its Jesuit ideals and history of social engagement whereby it has financed and coordinated numerous social welfare projects in the state of Jalisco. In fact, ITESO has been active in various projects in Wixárika communities and has acted as an important financial and human resource for the so-called intercultural schools that have been established in these communities since the mid-1990s. Consequently, ITESO has a community of young people who have a sincere interest in service learning but nevertheless run the risk of replicating paternalist and naïve attitudes toward their less privileged fellow citizens. This has direct repercussions in the daily experiences of indigenous students who, for better or worse, are treated by their peers with a degree of difference based on their racial and socioeconomic standing.

Considering this context, the space created by the US monthly assembly allows its members to share academic, economic and family related concerns without the fear of being judged. Under the new model headed by the students themselves, various initiatives have sprouted that seek to deepen the sociocultural diversity of the university through events and activities that reflect the heterogeneity of the indigenous students at the campus. Antonio Hayuaneme García, the first student coordinator of US, is convinced that a key objective for the organization is to foment university policies that are truly inclusive so that more youth “from other places” can enter and not be identified as “special.” García affirms that the only way to rupture with the reproduction of prejudice is through increased student diversity on campus. Although the event organized by the philosophy students appeared to have confirmed stereotypes, the members of US were able to leave their mark during the closing of the program by engaging in a critical dialogue that led their peers in philosophy to recognize that greater efforts were needed to make the university an inclusive space for all of its students.

One of the most remarkable aspects of US is that it is a space where indigenous and working class students can critically discuss larger societal problems without losing touch of the intimate issues each member deals with on a daily basis. It is a place where they can share the alienation that they face in the halls of the university and receive ideas for overcoming personal problems that they usually cannot raise elsewhere. At the beginning of each meeting, all members are given the opportunity to share how they are doing. During one session, a mestiza student shared that she rarely had money for lunch
and agonized over the difficulty she had in hiding the matter from an upper class friend with whom she tended to spend the lunch hour. Upon sharing this anecdote, several other students spoke about how class difference permeated their campus experiences, whether it was not enjoying the privilege of buying lunch, driving to school, or boasting of beach vacation trips after spring break.

After observing and participating in various interracial organizations, US strikes me as a groups where members have equal representation and where questions of racism and classism in Mexican society are discussed in a clear and focused manner. Above all, it is an organization where indigenous and non-indigenous students can see eye to eye. As such, US is a poignant example of what Jacqui Alexander terms “pedagogies of crossing,” where people of diverse backgrounds can “walk together.” Alexander (2005) notes that “Ultimately, one’s ability to be heard as saying what one is saying and what one intends to say is structured by one’s social and legal status, one’s standing.” (122) For its membership, US not only offers a space for people to speak their minds, most importantly, it offers a space where people can be heard and understood.

**Unfixing the Tapatío Narrative**

WAAU and US are two organizations that seek to promote the indigenous presence within a diversity of city spaces, including universities, public squares, and private offices. These organizations are part of a growing indigenous population in the ZMG that asserts their right to the city, a question that geographer David Harvey describes as a relegated human right:

> The right to the city is far more than the individual liberty to access urban resources: it is a right to change ourselves by changing the city. It is, moreover, a common rather than an individual right since this transformation inevitably depends upon the exercise of a collective power to reshape the processes of urbanization. The freedom to make and remake our cities and ourselves is, I want to argue, one of the most precious yet most neglected of our human rights. (Harvey 2008)

It is precisely the principle of making and remaking the city, ourselves, and our social relations that motivates popular organization like WAAU and US. Furthermore, these groups act to challenge a historically fragmented urban space that sustains distance between different social groups and reproduces race and class privileges.

Anthropologist Johannes Fabian (1983) notes that to place peoples and cultures on a different “temporal slope” produces spatial distance that reflects power hierarchies rooted in the colonial system and in capitalist development (17). Both systems create a “denial of coevalness” of different subjects who have participated in the creation of the colonial and capitalist enterprises, even if their participation has been unequal, forced or oppositional (31). In the previous pages, I have demonstrated how a particular Tapatío
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80 As indicated by Henri Lefebvre and David Harvey, and as affirmed by the WAAU project, urban processes cannot be disconnected from capitalist development that has restructured the countryside. In this way, development in the country and the city are closely linked—a matter that is intensely reflected in the experiences of indigenous populations.
racial imaginary has been promoted since the city’s colonial foundation, contributing to a series of displacements that distort the lived reality of a large segment of its society—a segment that has not always played a silent part in local and regional history. As signaled by Escobar Ohmsted in the case of the 19th century and Martínez Casas in the current Otomí case, the construction of a uniform ethnic identity in Guadalajara has created the environment in which many indigenous peoples identify as mestizos in order to access greater social mobility—an act that is the product of gross symbolic violence. Nonetheless, a history of social contention and the current demographic shifts taking place opens new opportunities for indigenous residents of Guadalajara to proclaim their right to be and belong in the city.
CHAPTER 5

MAKUYEIKA—SHE WHO WALKS IN MANY PLACES

Once law enshrines cultural identity as the basis for political identity, it necessarily converts ethnicity into a political force.

-Michael Watts, Development and Governmentality, 2003, 25-

Through the Looking Glass

The website proudly proclaims to be a “Shaman-run Chocolate Company” that “helps support Huichol Indians.” The image of the Indian on the chocolate bar’s packaging might bring one to imagine that he and his otherworldly community will soon see those dollars converted to pesos, or perhaps back into cacao, as these Indians are still steeped in their pre-Hispanic economies. The company’s website confidently states that “the Huichol, declared a national treasure of Mexico, are one of the few American tribes to maintain their pre-Columbian traditions. Chocolate has been a rich part of their tradition for hundreds of years, and the sweet-tasting candy is now being used to conserve their culture and lifestyle.” (www.shamangoods.net, accessed June 7, 2010). Through the logic of capitalist-enabled cultural preservation, consumption of this candy can help the Huichol continue to be Indian. Yet Shaman Chocolates claims to be unique in its philanthropy because it was founded by Brant Secunda, a “Huichol shaman” from New Jersey who was “born into the Huichol tribe” thanks to a vision quest that initiated several decades ago. After passing out on a rural back road in Nayarit Secunda was awakened by the glowing face of an Indian who willingly shared the most well kept secrets of his peoples’ pre-Columbian faith. Through this anecdote, it appears that for those uninspired by Judeo-Christian religions, the “Indian way” is an accessible spiritual path that can be obtained through a serendipitous encounter with a real Indian.
Until recently, Shaman Chocolates claimed that 100% of their sales went toward supporting a culturally and geographically imprecise group of Huichol Indians.\footnote{The company’s website now states that an unspecified portion of their sales go to assisting Wixaritari, not the entire profit.} Several years of probing which Wixárika communities are being benefited and how they are being benefited by these chocolate bars lead back to the same answers: an unspecified community one day will have a bead factory and a Wixárika law student will one day get a scholarship. The company representative with whom I corresponded in June 2010 specified that they wanted to support the very first Wixárika college student but had yet to turn a profit. The email thread between the representative and myself ended when I responded that there are in fact hundreds of Wixárika college students, graduates and professionals, some of whom have studied abroad. Yet the primitive imagination of white shamans and consumers in the Global North could burst at the propagation of this fact. In the meantime, the packaged faces of happy Indian children and the stoic stare of an elderly shaman meet the normative imaginaries of a global public that easily believes that indigenous peoples are not present in spaces of higher education, and much less as arbiters in courtrooms.

I open this final chapter with a sketch of this chocolate company as a way to expose how people and goods are mobilized to sustain the entrenched fictions that continue to surround indigenous peoples. The Indian faces that appear on the packaging of these chocolates are viewed through the looking glass held up by western peoples enamored by the possibility of encountering an ethnic Other; while the materiality of a purchase is grounded on the existence of abstracted peoples held back by time and space. Ultimately, these abstracted peoples are real and have voices to talk back and invert the looking glass. In the following pages, I will examine how Wixárika students and young professionals are negotiating their place in a world that often holds impossible expectations of who they should be as indigenous subjects. This chapter draws on ethnographic data and existing literature to demonstrate how the post-multicultural landscape has introduced new problems that indigenous peoples must strategically negotiate. Most Wixárika students and professionals living in Guadalajara and Tepic straddle several spaces and take on many roles in order to bridge their commitments to self, to their families, and to their ethnic community. The concept of makuyeika, he or she who walks in many places, speaks to the multiple responsibilities that Wixárika students, professionals and their organizations carry in the city and beyond. I propose that through the prism of makuyeika one can acknowledge the geographic and cultural heterogeneity and fluidity of the experiences of Wixárika youth. As a result, this concept works against the grain of racial and spatial representations of Wixaritari that continue to be grounded on the institutional legitimacy of the archive and perpetuated through the workings of the popular imagination.

This chapter is punctuated by anecdotes that Wixárika students and professionals shared with me to express their personal engagement with migration, academic life, activism and everyday life in today’s Mexican cities. It is especially important to listen to the voices of these Wixárika students and professionals at a time when their nation’s sacred sites are under unprecedented threat by mining concessions, hydroelectric dams
and tourist development. At the same time as these sacred territories are becoming targeted by big capital, Wixárika material and spiritual culture is being increasingly consumed by a global audience. With the public eye on them, Wixaritari have encountered a new set of challenges and opportunities. Ethnographic research with Wixárika students, professionals and their respective organizations sheds light on the diverse responses that have surfaced during such a critical moment for the Wixárika people.

During middle school I was discriminated, I can say it like that...[A]ll of us have suffered discrimination, I have heard it from many young [indigenous]. I did not like to go to the sierra, I was a teenager, I dressed differently...I remember that I dressed like a cholo with long hair and the hanging belt, big flashy sneakers that said the brand name. I did not like to go to the sierra; my mother forced me when I was in middle school. Because that occurs when one comes [to the city], you get worried because you do not speak Spanish. During high school I continued to suffer with my peers. I do not like to mistreat others precisely because of this. And from that time I said: “I am going to learn to speak Spanish well but never like them, never.” That is because of the mistreatment, because of the experiences...because of the color of my skin, because of how I spoke, because of the way I dressed.

And in high school it was the same, but I slowly won over my classmates. I did not like to wear my traje (tribal dress), I did not like going to the countryside. And I regret this because now I know what that meant. But then there was a teacher who I remember had us think about who we were, psychology, to learn to value ourselves, who we are, what we can do, what we can develop. And they changed...I remember that in our final year my classmates who told me things were now my friends because it was a lack of education on their part...because they would come and start speaking in what was supposedly my mother tongue and to imitate me and I would tell them “I have no idea what you are saying.” And at the graduation was the first time I put on a Huichol traje in the city.

[It] was important for me, because that is where I began to completely change. I put on my traje. What’s more, I did not even know how to put it on, my mom teased me when she saw the photos because I did not know how to put it on. And my classmates and the school directors told me that they wanted for me to give the graduation speech...with my traje. That is why I decided to put on my traje...That is where everyone recognized me, everyone listened to me, even the constitutional goverior of the state of Nayarit, Antonio Echevarría...[T]hat is where I began to get recognized, I came out in the newspaper—for the first time a Wixárika student appeared...And my peers congratulated me for who I am, that was something very important for me. Because I can be myself. In the graduation photos everyone is wearing their black toga and I appear with my Wixárika traje.”

-Journalist and activist Ukeme Muñoz, Interviewed July 4, 2010-
Recognition Beyond Authenticity

[T]he impossible demand placed on these and other indigenous people: namely, that they desire and identify with their cultural traditions in a way that just so happens, in an uncanny convergence of interests, to fit the national and legal imaginary of multiculturalism; that they at once orient their sensual, emotional, and corporeal identities toward the nation’s and law’s image of traditional cultural forms and national reconciliation and at the same time ghost this being for the nation so as not to have their desires for some economic certainty in their lives appear opportunistic.

- Elizabeth Povinelli, *The Cunning of Recognition*, 2002, 8-

In early 2010, I was asked to begin participating in a working group on the presence of indigenous peoples in Guadalajara at the Centro de Investigación y Estudios Superiores en Antropología Social (Center for Investigation and Advanced Studies in Social Anthropology or, CIESAS). The goal of the working group was to assess the heterogeneity of indigenous residents in the city and place it in conversation with ongoing issues of discrimination, the tension that exists between different indigenous ethnic groups in the city, and the ways in which city spaces have been appropriated by the approximately 54 indigenous groups present in Guadalajara. It is important to note that none of us in the group identified as indigenous and that the bulk of the researchers focused on street vendors and artisans. At the first meeting, I was asked to share my research on Wixárika university students and professionals. I attempted to underline the diversity of personal trajectories that each student has and the careful work that needs to be done to show both the commonalities and divergences in the experiences of young Wixaritari in Guadalajara and Tepic. I stressed that while some students’ families have lived in the city for more than one generation other students have only recently arrived to the city with the sole purpose of receiving a higher education. Despite differences in each family’s history and the established network that each student has in the city, many share the common experience of discrimination and the struggle of negotiating the mestizo and Wixárika worlds they straddle.

During the question and answer segment of my presentation, an anthropologist dedicated to the study of ceremonial activities in one Wixárika rural community, objected to the lack of cultural authenticity some Wixárika students demonstrate, claiming that some use their ethnic identity as a strategy to obtain privileges from mestizo society when, in reality, they have become mestizoized. This scholar pinpointed the success of one prominent Wixárika professional who at that moment led the Union of Indigenous Students for Mexico, stating that he knew this young man to be using his ethnicity to advance his personal career.

The shock of this anthropologist’s comments brought up a series of important questions dealing with the judgments and types of expectations that were being held for indigenous students: Is there a different moral standard being held for indigenous students? Are indigenous students supposed to be inherently communitarian and thus not seek personal benefits? Is it intrinsically wrong to use ones cultural heritage as a stepping stone for future endeavors, be they for personal or larger communal gain?
As Elizabeth Povinelli points out in her study on the limits of recognition of Aborigines in contemporary Australia, a particular burden of purity is placed on indigenous peoples that is not held up to other members of society, as the “law and public do not require all citizens to undergo the same type of public, corporeal cleansing, the same type of psychic and historical reformation” (Povinelli 2008, 29). Months later, the very student leader that the anthropologist was accusing of ethnic opportunism shared with me that he had only re-found pride in his cultural background at the end of high school when, after years of being ashamed of being Wixárika and hiding behind a mestizo identity, he decided to wear his community’s traditional attire for his graduation. This young man recounted that his initial shame emerged when he left his community and family to study secundaria (the equivalent of middle school) in Tepic, Nayarit. Peers shunned him for his accent and demeanor and, by the time he entered high school, he had discovered his ability to camouflage himself as a mestizo. Evidently, this type of painful personal battle with identity and belonging were not considered under the rigidity of some anthropologists’ cultural paradigms.

The fact remains that the bulk of Mexico’s understanding of indigenous cultures continues to emanate from the lens of non-indigenous academics. Anthropologist Juan Castillo Cocom makes this poignantly clear by critiquing what he terms the creation of “the Maya” by archaeologists, anthropologists, linguists, and historians. He argues that the contemporary indigenous peoples who have been grouped together under the Maya ethnic category may often feel the need to “travel on a map that was already previously created for them” in order to obtain some form of political, economic, or cultural recognition (Castillo Cocom 2005). This act of performing for recognition before a non-indigenous audience that continues to hold the strings of power is precisely what Povinelli explores in her work. In order to understand the standards that have been set for recognition, one inevitably must return to the archive as the long body of scholarly and governmental work that defines tradition and authenticity (Povinelli 2002, 230). Accordingly, the archive makes recognition contingent on internalizing and carefully performing upon “the nation’s and law’s image of traditional cultural forms and national reconciliation and at the same time ghost this being for the nation so as not to have their desires for some economic certainty in their lives appear opportunistic” (8). Following this logic, a successful student leader and professional is judged as being opportunistic by over-performing his Indianness and attempting to be too authentic too late.

This careful line of recognition that indigenous peoples confront exceeds the problems posed by the judgment of cultural experts, for recognition carries material stakes that can have concrete impacts on livelihoods, including claims to land, access to economic programs, political representation, and entry into schools. To meet these criteria, indigenous peoples must be clearly differentiable from their mestizo counterparts, usually through dress, language, and documents validating their tribal belonging. So what does a judge do when he or she receives a land claim from

---

82 Saidiyah Hartman (2008) problematizes our reliance on the archive as a source of knowledge by pointing to it as “death sentence, a tomb, a display of the violated body, an inventory of property” prepared by and for those who exercise power. Consequently, the archive becomes a poor receptacle for understanding the experiences of the oppressed outside of the margins of power.
What happens to an aspiring student who has spent their life in the city and has no immediate connection to tribal leaders who can certify their membership? And what happens to that young person who grew up ashamed to look or sound different but has rediscovered that they are in fact proud of their culture? Is it fair to then critique them of ethnic opportunism? These questions illustrate how the narrow lens that binds recognition to authenticity too easily dismisses historical legacies of political economic inequality and socio-cultural domination, including the under-representation of indigenous peoples in institutions of higher education.

These problems are distinctly linked to contemporary political shifts made toward defining nation-states as being comprised of multicultural or pluricultural societies. In Mexico, this shift came in 1992 when the Constitution officially declared that Mexico is a pluricultural nation and, as a result, its institutions must act accordingly by facilitating the full and equal participation of all citizens, no matter their language, dress or skin color. In part, such shifts have emerged from a need to acknowledge past harms and legacies of discrimination that informally made citizenry conditional on acculturation to the dominant culture. As mentioned in Chapter 2, throughout the 20th century, indigenista thought and policy was based precisely on the notion of assimilating indigenous peoples into mestizo society through the disciplining technologies of education, agrarian reform, and the market. By no means was there an outright dismissal of indigenous peoples and cultures, nonetheless, there was a clear line drawn between what were considered to be good indigenous traditions from bad ones. From the 1980s through the present, the classical indigenista logic began to crumble giving way to more participatory models of development accompanied by multicultural neoliberalism or neo-indigenismo.

Despite such shifts and the acknowledgment of pluriculturalism, racial attitudes and stereotypes remain engrained across the social and political spectrum. One need only view a few YouTube videos of contemporary Wixárika musical bands and read the series of viewer comments that accompany them to understand the racial disdain that many continue to harbor toward their indigenous co-nationals. One 2010 news article on a robbery along a country road which made no indication of the ethnicity of the perpetrators was followed by the following reader comment: “I don’t know how it really ended, if they are Koras (sic) or Huicholes, but I know many of those guys from the Sierra are some lazy bastards, they really only want to spend their time in the shade waiting to be taken care of” (Nayarit en Línea March 10, 2010). For the segment of the population that does not access the web, television programming also aids in the circulation of particular images of indigeneity. The 1997 soap opera, María Isabel, starred fair-skinned actress Adela Noriega as a “Huichol” maid in a wealthy Guadalajara household who has a love affair with her employer. The signifiers used for this character’s authentic Indianness, include her thick “Indian” accent, pigtails, and a combination of innocence and incompatibility with affluent urban Mexico. Her Huicholness is suggested through her supposedly authentic attire and occasional scenes of her father’s home—an equally generic and incongruous setting that is somehow meant to look indigenous. Ironically, while the soap opera intends to send a moralizing message about discrimination in modern day Mexico, the actress chosen to play María Isabel is neither Wixárika nor indigenous and the signifiers used to make her “Indian” reproduce...
age-old racial stereotypes. Nonetheless, she is loved and accepted by viewers because of her (Caucasian) beauty, simplicity, and her handsome white employer’s ability to feel compassion and fall in love with her.  

Televisa's Racial Fantasies: "Huichol" soap opera protagonist María Isabel finds love and approval from her Prince Charming

The looming challenge presented here is the not so delicate balance between positive stereotypes of the Indigenous, read as colorful folklore and dignified victimhood, and negative ones that range from ignorance and passivity to the threatening secessionist attitudes some identify with indigenous activism. Put crudely, the authenticity that the popular imagination awaits is relegated to images of a shaman or craftsman, or an ignorant mendicant leaching society. On the other hand, the scholar may still be making their judgments of authenticity contingent on the work of the archive, sizing indigenous peoples by their degrees of engagement with the modern. As such, much work is still needed in order for society to effectively transcend the enduring racial stereotypes that mold our popular and academic imaginations through everyday forms of consumption whether these are television programs, billboards or scientific studies. Considering these circumstances, it is unfair to accuse a young indigenous leader of opportunistically seizing upon their ethnicity to climb educational and professional ladders, particularly because they are responding to the existing limits set within Mexican society and the doors that have been most recently opened under the multicultural shift.

The very first time we [indigenous students] entered [the university] you could feel that boom because it is different for our peers who are not accustomed to interacting with urbanized people—you have to adapt little by little. I did not have that problem, I was more involved [with non-indigenous students] but my [indigenous] peers often limited their friendships. For example, when work groups are made in a classroom usually people get together with those who they know and if you do not know anyone you are left on the side and are not integrated and you feel rejected or that you are seen as strange. If you respect them generally they respect you but one time I was able to

83 Adela Noriega won Best Young Lead Actress at the 1998 TV y Novelas Awards for her performance as María Isabel.
overhear in the classroom (because they still didn’t know I was indigenous) and two mestizos with who I always hung out with left the room saying “it is best that they [indigenous students] not get admitted...they get everything: free internet, services, classes.” And when they came back into the classroom and saw me they were quiet and I thought that it must be because they knew that I was indigenous.

-Octavio, speaking on interracial relations at the National Autonomous University of Nayarit and the perception that indigenous students receive unfair privileges, Interviewed May 14, 2010-

Strategies for Recognition in a Multicultural World

Every time that I put on my traje or my bracelets that first thing that strangers ask me is “how much does it cost?” They see my culture and they want to purchase it, “how much does it cost? How much does it cost?”

- Juan Aurelio, panel presentation at the Conference for the International Association of Inter-American Studies held at the University of Guadalajara, September 23, 2012-

The discursive shifts that have emanated from the Mexican state, academic circles and popular society have done little to alter the often stagnant cultural and spatial expectations that continue to be held of indigenous peoples. This remains true despite the push for new types of legal and cultural recognitions brought on by the multicultural turn. After the CIESAS working group meeting, I decided to debrief with two Wixárika students, both of who study at a private university in Guadalajara and who I will name Antonio and Rosa. I asked them what they thought of the idea that wearing Wixárika attire in a mestizo setting might bring about suspicions of ethnic opportunism. Antonio and Rosa are siblings who from an early age grew up in Guadalajara and later, in the coastal resort town of Puerto Vallarta. Their father had moved the family to the city from their home in the community of San Andrés Cohamiata because of a job he was offered as an assistant to a linguist at the University of Guadalajara. Despite their parents speaking to them in Wixárika and their mother’s deep connection to Wixárika traditions, Antonio and Rosa were drawn to mestizo customs and felt quite comfortable living between the two cultures, dismissing neither. Yet Antonio points out that his first couple of years as an undergraduate student awakened a new sense of pride and curiosity in his Wixárika heritage, eventually leading him to be more outspoken about his ethnicity and driving him to interact more readily with other Wixárika residents of Guadalajara. This type of self-affirmation transcends racial or geographic barriers and is likely a trait of early adulthood when one becomes more conscious of personal identity and the political economic and cultural contexts that surrounds one’s articulation of identity. In this way, we can understand the move toward ethnic visibility to be far more textured and not merely a strategy for receiving goods and services from a newly declared multicultural society purportedly seeking to undo past harms.
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Antonio was careful to point out that this ethnic “coming out” is differentially interpreted and received by classmates or professors, becoming an illuminating moment of interracial relations where both positive and negative stereotypes become enunciated. Many Wixárika students speak of the immediate relation that their peers make between “Huichol culture” and the hallucinogenic peyote cactus or the beadwork that many Wixaritari make and sell. While these cultural references are not necessarily offensive and can be broached in a sincere manner, they can easily become objectifying and demeaning; this is especially true when a Wixárika student is then expected to perform upon these referenced cultural markers. Because peyote and beaded crafts have become the hypervisible markers of Huicholness, the assumption then becomes that the student in question is also an artisan or that they can speak on the experience of using peyote and even invite their peers to a “peyote ceremony.” Returning to the words of Castillo Cocom, in these contexts, Wixárika students find themselves travelling “on a map that was already previously created for them,” or at the very least, must negotiate with these pre-ordained identities they are supposed to embody (Castillo Cocom 2005).

The epigraph to this section further illustrates how the objectification of the ethnic Other is intimately connected to the commoditization of indigenous culture, summoning what Diane Nelson calls the “fetish effect” of indigeneity (Nelson 1999, 163). It is not uncommon for Wixaritari to be approached and asked whether they are willing to sell the clothes and jewelry off of their backs. In Juan Aurelio’s case, strangers fail to consider the symbolic value that a bracelet made by a relative possesses. As I will discuss later, as struggles to defend Wixárika land are broadcast and promoted internationally through popular concerts, Wixaritari are increasingly targeted to pose for photographs and sell ethnic crafts.

Surely these moments are differentially interpellated and may or may not lead to formal contestation by the stereotyped. In fact, with so many people eager to pay their way to some state of nirvana, some Wixaritari have seized upon the popular imaginary that is held of Huichol spiritual culture for personal economic gain. Most recently, the Wixárika word mara’akame, which can roughly be translated to shaman, has been appropriated for two distinct marketing schemes. The first is a state-run alcohol and substance abuse treatment program in the state of Nayarit called Marakame (sic) that uses the symbol of the mudvieri or healing wand as its emblem. The second example, the Gran Maracame tequila brand, stands in ironic juxtaposition to the rehabilitation program in Nayarit. Gran Maracame (sic) claims to be rooted in Jalisco’s indigenous traditions and each bottle comes with a beaded sash that is aimed to help solve “the troubled reality of many indigenous families in Mexico” (www.maracame.com, accessed July 20, 2010). The product’s website espouses the beauty of the isolationist and resistant Wixárika culture to the tune of tantric tabla drumming. These are just two of several examples of public and private marketing endeavors that utilize some aspect or image of Wixárika culture. It should come as no surprise that many Wixaritari see economic opportunities stemming from this overt public consumption of the multicultural that targets their visual and spiritual traditions.

In the political sphere, Castillo Cocom’s essay, “‘It Was Simply Their Word’: Yucatec Maya PRInces in YucaPAN and the Politics of Respect,” argues that the legacy
of indigenismo and its multicultural reformulation as neo-indigenismo has allowed some individuals to use their Mayanness to climb the political ladders of the PRI and PAN parties (Castillo Cocom 2005). This becomes a two-way political game in which the political party gains cultural points by having indigenous membership and backing, and the given indigenous candidate or partisan worker can similarly gain cultural, economic, and political privileges. Likewise, some Wixárika professionals in the state of Nayarit have debated the ways in which political parties “fish” for Wixárika students at the state’s autonomous university in order to offer them positions where they can act as intermediaries between their communities and the given political party. Occasionally this may include having the designated student recruit other indigenous students to rally for and endorse a particular political candidate. These newly hired party representatives undoubtedly have the right to take pride in their duties and may see no problem in utilizing their ethnic heritage as an instrument for economic and political mobility. It is important to add that playing on the convergence of established stereotypes and the nation’s pluricultural status can work in myriad ways, as leftist activist organizations that champion indigenous causes often hold similar imaginaries of indigeneity as their conservative counterparts. Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak describes this position in the context of progressive academic tendencies in the Global North: “The current mood, in the radical fringe of humanistic Northern pedagogy, of uncritical enthusiasm for the Third World, makes a demand upon the inhabitant of that Third World to speak up as an authentic ethnic fully representative of his or her tradition.” (Spivak 1999, 60).

On the other hand, some Wixárika students express outright rejection at the notion of performing “authenticity” for a mestizo public. Tutupika is a graduate of the Autonomous University of Nayarit and currently teaches statistics courses for masters
students at the university. As someone who for several years has been involved in Wixárika student and professional organizations, Tutupika is weary of the fine line that he must walk as a Wixárika professional living in Tepic. To this end, he is adamant about determining when and where he is willing to distinguish himself as Wixárika. For instance, he was offended when co-workers asked him to use Wixárika attire for the faculty group photograph despite his daily use of “mestizo” clothing. For Tutupika, this request was a clear indication of his fellow staff tokenizing him as a “diversity hire.” Conversely, one specific university professor has repeatedly questioned his presence in faculty and staff-only rooms indicating disdainful surprise when he reiterates his legitimate belonging to these spaces. Yet while Tutupika may be seen as completely mestizoized by some, lacking sufficient pride to dress up for the cameras, he is deeply engaged with the Wixárika communities in Tepic and in the Sierra. What is important for Tutupika is that these moments of cultural affirmation be set on his own terms.

Intentionally or not, the present multicultural climate has led to numerous, often contradictory responses across the social and political spectrum. With regards to indigenous peoples, the multicultural turn has largely exposed what Phillip Deloria terms the “paradox of racial thinking,” made evident in the ways in which the desires of some individuals to shield the Indian from modernity are trumped by others who see the Indian’s only path to be that of total assimilation. While this paradoxical contrast of non-indigenous expectations of the indigenous is nothing new, the growth of rural to urban migrations and networks, coupled with the increasing centrality of internet-based technologies has made such expectations ever more inconsistent. The result can be seen in the distinct reactions to the website, www.puebloindigena.com, which is popular amongst Wixárika youth but is not so well received by some cultural purists who insist that it illustrates the negative influence mestizo culture has had on Wixárika youth. But let us not forget that these reactions go beyond rhetorical enunciations and have direct implications for indigenous rights. As Deloria points out:

Such cultural expectations and social relations exist in dialogue with economic, political, and legal structures. The same unarticulated expectations that surround the war chant (not honor and courage, let’s admit, but Indian violence, transmuted to sports) help explain the seeming naturalness of Indian poverty and the active hostility to the very idea of Indian wealth and modernity. They help explain the indignant opposition to indigenous people’s efforts to move from the political margins by contributing to political campaigns or the efforts to roll back the legal rights embedded in treaties, including those to hunt and fish. Expectations, in short, exist in relation to concrete actions. (Deloria 2004, 225)

Consequently, what these expectations illuminate is the profoundly static social and political economic borders that continue to be erected irrespective of individual and collective transformations, in this case, a growing heterogeneous indigenous population in Mexico’s urban centers.

Perhaps it is this heterogeneity that is so difficult for Mexican society to grasp. From a young age we are taught to admire the Aztec Empire as the foundation of our
national pride, yet we are simultaneously bombarded by the tropes of Indian rusticity and poverty. Most significantly, we are taught that indigenous peoples’ cultural, economic, and political barriers are so dire that they can only surmount them through our helpful mestizo hands. When the Zapatistas erupted on the political scene in the 1990s, mestizos (with the clear exception of Subcomandante Marcos) were not the protagonists and were not the movers and shakers of the movement. While a large segment of the Mexican population sympathized and even appropriated Zapatista imagery, a perhaps equal portion of society read the uprising and ensuing mobilizations as proof of indigenous peoples´ inability to adapt to normative citizenship. Specifically, many were troubled at the Zapatista demand that indigenous peoples be recognized as equal citizens of the nation while also being granted rights to sustain political, economic, and cultural autonomy. The notion that a people desire to be respected as equal while given the space to affirm their difference is too perplexing within the context of deeply engrained liberal binaries that structure society.

Anthropologist Charles Hale tackles these questions surrounding ethnic and cultural alterity within the context of multicultural neo-liberalism, a term that some Mexican scholars have also coined neo-indigenismo (Hernández Castillo et al. 2004). Multicultural neo-liberalism can be understood as the tense interplay between post-assimilationist celebrations of diversity and the social, political, and economic impacts of the free market on these celebrated cultures. In his book, Más que un Indio (2006), Hale explores the racial ambivalence of ladinos (mestizos) in the face of Mayan ascendancy in post-civil war Guatemala. His research finds that while ladinos claim to embrace anti-racism and multiculturalism, they maintain long-held racist views of indigenous peoples and desire to preserve their racial privileges by arguing that academic, governmental, and non-governmental institutions favor all that concerns indigenous peoples and funnel countless resources to indigenous peoples that ladinos do not have the opportunity of obtaining. Hale’s exploration of racial ambivalence thus refers to the

“political sensibilities that encompass both the support for the principal of cultural equality and deep commitments to the social conditions that preserve ladinos’ material and ideological advantage. By extension, the term applies to those who express solidarity with Indians, but as innocent victims rather than protagonists, as acts of benevolence deployed from a higher rung in the social hierarchy” (108).

In a similar vein, Diane Nelson notes that the articulation of Mayan identity in Guatemala partly emerges from the “hostile markings” of colonial and post-colonial experiences that ambivalently mark the indigenous body as both attractive and repulsive (Nelson 1999, 129).

The concepts of racial ambivalence can help us understand why so many claim compassion and respect for indigenous peoples while finding it difficult to come to terms with the idea that they can hold similar occupational positions as mestizos. In this light, the previously cited anthropologist’s critique of ethnic opportunism fails to consider the competing expectations that an indigenous student must negotiate with in a multicultural society: failure to be “authentically Huichol” likely will close doors of opportunity while, on the other hand, too much pride of ethnic heritage invokes suspicion.
I have been in Guadalajara since August 28, 2000, on September 2nd of that same year I entered a high school affiliated with the University of Guadalajara but I could not finish the [final] year for lack of economic resources. I lost a semester because I had to work and then I returned for the last semester [...] In high school nobody knew that I was indigenous...nobody even imagined it, only an English teacher knew...At my university nobody knows [that I am indigenous] much less at my work because at my previous job they knew that I was indigenous and for that reason they took advantage of me: I was not insured, I worked from 7:00 am until 5 in the afternoon and I was fired without severance pay. For this reason I try to avoid these types of situations. I don’t do it out of shame but rather because I am reserved about certain issues.

I tell this because it is what has maintained me firm without forgetting my customs, traditions, dress, language. This is why I have directed my current interest toward advocating for higher education among indigenous migrants in the city, not just for Wixaritari...but without forgetting our cultures and traditions. After learning of the challenges that I have confronted and the experiences I have gained [...] I am conscious of the inequity that affects indigenous populations because racism in Mexico is a problem that exists due to the lack of dissemination that informs the public about diversity and about relations between mestizos and the indigenous.

-Tacho, Wixárika student and worker residing in Guadalajara, Interviewed January 25, 2010-

Awakenings to the Urban Hustle

We need to buy books, we need to buy poster board, school materials and the organization’s materials. We need to go and be connected with the [Wixárika] communities, go to the assemblies...If we wanted computers we needed to petition the ITESO and move things as we could. You had to find solutions by any means possible, but in reality you needed to dedicate time to the organization. I studied all the time, I worked all the time and I dedicated myself to the organization. These were three packages for me to carry.

-Santos de la Cruz Carrillo speaking about his experience as a law student at the ITESO and the organizational weight needed to maintain the Union of Young Wixárika Students (UJEW)-

Guadalajara’s Jesuit university, ITESO, has set itself apart from other private institutions of higher education by welcoming indigenous students to its campus. The Union of Young Wixárika Students or, UJEW, was a short lived organization supported by the chancellor’s office as a way to assist Wixárika students enter the university and receive financial support while giving something back to their home communities. UJEW’s slogan was “For the development of the Wixárika people”, whereby each student carved a distinct utilitarian professional path in their communities: law, accounting, arquitecture, engineering. From its foundation in 2000 until its eventual dissolution in 2005, members of UJEW split their time between school, work, and the duties that comprised maintaining their organization afloat. This included selling
Wixárika beadwork to help pay for school materials. By no means were these duties easy to juggle, as Santos notes in this section’s epigraph, Wixárika students did not have the privilege of focusing on any one aspect of their new student lives. While some had spent significant time living in Guadalajara prior to their entry into the ITESO, others were recent city residents and had little to no financial and social support network, making them particularly vulnerable when any one of their occupations faltered. More importantly, balancing these various responsibilities was a high stakes situation as failure at school, work or in the organization would likely mean an end to their path toward a degree. In other words, these responsibilities were mutually contingent: not meeting academic requirements meant losing scholarships and university privileges, while failure at their respective off campus jobs would lead to their inability to pay for housing, food and transportation needs.

Former member of the UJEW, Claudio de la Rosa notes that the organization dissolved from a combination of factors not of which the least was its membership’s struggle to balance their duties as students, workers and organizers (Interview with De la Rosa, February 5, 2010). As much as the students attempted to act as a unified group, some succeeded more than others and, eventually, Santos notes that the ITESO shifted its commitment from supporting the group as a whole to supporting the individual students who held continued academic promise (Interview with De la Cruz, June 24, 2010). Additionally, UJEW’s membership lacked experience administering an organization and could not consistently count on the university’s staff to orient and monitor their activities. UJEW’s brief trajectory illustrates the hustle that many Wixárika students face, particularly those who are newcomers to the city and who feel an obligation to their families and home communities. Although only three of the five initial members finished their bachelor degrees, UJEW brought together a small but crucial community for this group of Wixárika students, all of whom have since become involved in coordinating educational, legal and economic initiatives in their home communities.

ITESO professor Rocío de Aguinaga recalls how UJEW emerged from the clear need for the university to support the admission and retention of indigenous students (Interview May 31, 2010). Beyond basic economic needs, prospective Wixárika students, particularly those coming from rural schools, faced the uphill battle of passing the entry exam. As a result of this reality, a few devoted professors with previous experience working in Wixárika communities helped prepare a handful of Wixaritari for the entry exam. De Aguinaga recalls how these prospective students worked day and night to bring themselves up to par with their mestizo competitors, most of whom spent their lives attending private schools in Guadalajara. Nonetheless, those who passed the admissions exams continued to face the educational inequities of the Mexico they grew up in:

It was difficult because they still held a low academic preparation. One thing is that dear Juan is a brilliant young man but, like Carlos, he still failed classes. Very brilliant young people but they had to work very hard and it was difficult for them, psychosocially, socially; these were important efforts on their part. (Interview with De Aguinaga, May 31, 2010)
As De Aguinaga points out, the steep academic climb that UJEW’s students faced was only one of several factors that brought anxiety to Wixárika students as social, cultural and economic differences shrouded much of their experiences on campus.

Whether they attend public or private universities, one of the repeated stories that I hear from Wixárika students relates to their difficulty making ends meet away from their families and in the city. Particularly in the urban sprawl of Guadalajara, students often have to choose between being able to buy lunch or take public transport between their home, work and school. At a private institution like the ITESO, differences of economic and social capital are especially sharp. Located off the peripheral highway of Guadalajara, many ITESO students commute to school by car and are financially supported by their parents and, as a result, do not need to hold a job. Santos recalls how his mestizo peers often had long gaps between classes that they used to socialize, return to their homes for lunch, or nap. He on the other hand, had to take classes back to back, between work, or use a gap in his schedule to go to the library where he could study from the books he could not afford to purchase. For Santos, his experience at the ITESO underlined the differences between Wixárika and Western ways of being:

There I learned what the Western and Wixárika cultures are. They are totally different cultures; it is an impact to go from one culture to the other. [In Wixárika territory] we fight for collective interests and here it is about individual rights, from the first day of classes. [Mestizo students] never invited me [to hang out] on the weekends, only from Monday to Friday because on the weekends they went to [Puerto] Vallarta, to Mazatlán, to Acapulco and they would come back really tan and they would ask you “and what did you do?” And in the summertime they would go to the United States, Europe, Germany, Venice, all of those places. “And you?” “Me? I was in the sierra working.” Many times conversations were about “what did you do?” I tell you it is another world, but even so I struck up a good dialogue. I was never hard on them. (Interview with De la Cruz, June 24, 2010)

Undoubtedly, Santos’ experience at the ITESO offered him valuable insight into the race and class dynamics that permeate social relations in Guadalajara.

With the so-called intercultural middle schools and high schools established in Wixárika communities since the mid-1990s, a new generation of Wixárika students has arrived to the city without having attended schools with non-Wixaritari. Anita, a Business Administration student recalls her initial month at the ITESO:

84 The fares for public transportation have quickly risen in Guadalajara, where current bus fares average 50 cents per bus, compared to Mexico City’s fare of 22 cents. Most students who I interviewed need to take a minimum of four buses per day, bringing their transportation costs for the day to 2 dollars, a heavy price in a region where the minimum wage stands at $5 per day. (http://www.sat.gob.mx/sitio_internet/asistencia_contribuyente/informacion_frecuente/salarios_minimos/)
85 Up until the establishment of middle schools and high schools in sierra communities, Wixaritari youth had to continue their studies in mestizo towns and cities. The bulk of my informants studied outside of their communities before going to college.
Upon the start of the school year, the days were very difficult. I had never had classes with mestizos, I was very nervous, I thought I would fail the courses, I did not understand the classes, I missed my community and I was alone...At the beginning I did not know how the mestizo professors taught and evaluated or graded [student work]. With time I made the effort to analyze what expectations they held of me. (Interview with “Anita”, June 22, 2010)

One of the starkest contrasts that Anita faced was that her motivation for studying Business Administration deviated from that of her peers who already had access to family businesses and were mainly interested in “making money.” Conversely, she wanted to obtain the tools to return to her home community and work in administering community development funds that were historically poorly managed by mestizo outsiders or ill-equipped Wixaritari. Anita knew that her transition to Guadalajara would be more difficult than to a smaller city like Tepic that has a strong network of Wixárika students. But this challenge was precisely what motivated her to move away from her community, into a stranger’s house, and study amongst the elite of Guadalajara.

But not all Wixárika students are new urban migrants, and the distinction between newly arrived Wixárika university students and their urbanized counterparts can be significant in their ability to perform in the classroom or in the urban workplace. This distinction also holds in each person’s relationship with Wixárika culture and, as mentioned in previous sections, their ability to meet expectations for proper “Huicholness.” This is especially the case in Tepic where several Wixárika students have spent the bulk of their lives living outside of the sierra communities.

Álica comes from an educated upwardly mobile family. Both of her parents were career teachers and in 2010 her father became the first Wixárika congressional state representative serving Nayarit. Álica’s older brother Tzicuritemai studied law at the Autonomous University of Nayarit (UAN) along with his wife and spent two years working as the first Wixárika-Spanish bilingual public defender in the state of Jalisco. This family not only has broken several educational barriers in Mexico but they also challenge stereotypes through their middle class lifestyle that has allowed them to travel domestically and make consumer purchases that most Wixaritari could only dream of. Álica herself simultaneously studied two degrees—one at the UAN in Communications and the other at the Pedagogical University of Nayarit (UP) in Education Sciences. She recently completed a Masters Degree in Pedagogical Technologies at the University of Guadalajara where she has pursued her interest in designing literacy software for use in rural indigenous classrooms (Interview July 4, 2010). Despite Álica’s unique familial and educational background, she has faced repeated discrimination for being indigenous, more so, she states, than for being a woman. She was particularly taken aback when she petitioned to wear her Wixárika traje for her graduation at the UAN and was met by the explicit opposition of her classmates, even when her professors supported her request.86 Despite moments of discrimination, Álica is optimistic about the paths that so many

86 Diane Nelson discusses how the indigenous traje is similarly fixed to particular non-urban and non-modern geographies. However, within the context of contemporary indigenous activism, reclaiming the traje becomes a political statement for the urban indigenous professional (Nelson 1999, 197, 199).
young Wixaritari are taking in higher education and notes that young Wixárika women who migrate to the city face more “opportunities and security” today than they did a generation ago when they often were pulled into “centers of vice.”

Contrary to state and popular imaginaries, the stories that Wixárika students and graduates shared with me undoubtedly point to the dynamic heterogeneity of this demographic. While some were born in the city, others arrived at an early age alongside their families, and yet another segment of the population moved to Tepic or Guadalajara as young adults embarking on their new lives as university students. Although slight differences in class, culture and regional geography differentiate these young Wixaritari, there is a tendency for them to unite under the umbrella of shared ethnic identity and the positive and negative experiences that this brings them as individuals and as a collectivity. Efforts toward forging a better future and the shared experience of ongoing discrimination are the impetus behind the numerous Wixárika organizations that have been established in recent years both in Tepic and Guadalajara. The following section discusses how some students became involved in claiming their rights to be active participants at their campus and in the city, and how the practice of activism can refocus notions of racial and spatial belonging in post-multicultural Mexico.

The city is really big and I am always looking for Wixas but I don’t always find them because there are not many spaces where Wixas get together. I have heard that on Sundays they get together at a certain place to play soccer, but I don’t know where. Downtown you can find Wixas but only older ones but I still always go to talk with them or at least see them because it motivates me to continue doing what I am doing. I know that many live here in the city but I have not been able to find out where. Some say that there are many in Tesistán [at the northern edge of the ZM] but I don’t know how to get there. Also many from the sierra stay in the Casa Huichol [a health care center and boarding house for Wixaritari] but I have not gone there yet.

A little while back I began attending the meetings of artisans (craftsmen and women) and I met more Wixas even though many of them are very different from me, to the point that I feel as if I am not with Wixas because they have spent a long time living here and they think differently from those in the [sierra]. I would like to meet more young people who are just arriving to the city especially if they are students, that way I can accompany them and help them not feel as lonely.

-“Anita”, Business Administration student in Guadalajara, Interviewed June 22, 2010-

Claiming Space and Identity through Activism

The entrenched racial imaginary refashioned under new political economic models be they indigenista, neo-indigenista, assimilationist or pluricultural have been readily engaged by indigenous peoples. As discussed in the previous sections, these

87 Colloquial for Wixaritari.
engagements are manifold, ranging from those who find opportunity in these models, those who remain neutral, and those who are ignited to speak and mobilize against what they believe to be the continuation of dispossessing policies. Through the creation of distinct organizations, many Wixárika university students and professionals are gradually finding ways to make interventions within their rural and urban communities, often times drawing links between spaces that are understood as antagonistic. It is crucial to remember that Wixárika engagement with mestizo spaces and customs is not a new occurrence. The conception that Wixárika communities have been resistant to outside impositions, from the Toltecs to the present market system has often led government officials and citizens to see Wixaritari as a static people, while in fact they have long engaged and negotiated with peoples and systems outside of their communal territories. One need only consider their sacred territories that span western, northern, eastern, and southern Mexico to understand that mobility and engagement with the so-called outside world is part and parcel of Wixárika culture.

Historical patterns of mobility have increasingly become conjoined with more recent temporary and permanent migrations that stem from structural changes in Mexico’s economic system, particularly since the 1970s. As discussed in Chapter 2, the 1970s ushered in a combination of state development projects (e.g. Plan Huicot) and private market interventions that sent many community members to seek work in neighboring towns, cities and coastal tobacco plantations (Díaz Romo 1995, Talavera Durón 2003, D. Negrín 2004). Some of the current generation of Wixárika students and professionals are a product of these migrations; this includes children whose families worked in Nayarit’s plantations but also children whose parents became part of the government’s rural teaching programs that often shuffled indigenous teachers to different regional communities. As a result, the current generation of Wixárika youth attending Tepic and Guadalajara’s universities has been thoroughly raised to coexist between multiple spaces and identities.

While the logic of racial thinking around indigeneity continues to place indigenous peoples in fixed locations and therefore read their existence outside of these spaces as some sort of deterritorialization, a growing number of critical scholars are pushing for a more contemporary and complex conception of the relation between identity and place, stressing that these movements are creating a reterritorialization of culture and belonging (Gupta and Ferguson 1997, Greene 2007, Castellanos 2010). According to Akhil Gupta and James Ferguson’s (1997) influential text on the matter, it is “reterritorialized space that forces us to reconceptualize fundamentally the politics of community, solidarity, identity, and cultural difference” (37). In this sense, while deterritorialization connotes displacement and implies a final point of loss and eternal liminality, reterritorialization considers the ways in which peoples construct new places and networks that, among other things, have the potential to invoke their heritage and at the same time affirm their belonging to a larger national body. Additionally, the concept of reterritorialization allows for a more dynamic understanding of migration, urban struggles for recognition, and indigenous peoples engagements with “the modern.” As Bianet Castellanos (2010) indicates in her study on Maya migration from the town of Kuchmil to Cancún, contrary to the popular and governmental imagination, “indigenous peoples have always been central to Mexico’s efforts to become modern” (178). So while
President Felipe Calderón Hinojosa (2006-2012) dressed in Wixárika attire and declared that the indigenous group’s state of unmoving poverty and lack of access to the modern world would be resolved through his new social initiatives, hundreds of Wixárika youth are carving out paths, often independently from state-orchestrated initiatives.

Reterritorializations of culture and belonging undoubtedly summon reformulations around identity as equally fluid and changing. In “Maya Scenarios: Indian Stories In and Out of Contexts,” Castillo Cocom weaves together a series of meditations on the multiple identities that one must carry in present day Mexico, in this case, from the vantage point of an indigenous scholar who is conscious of the judgment he receives both from whites and mestizos as well as from the friends and family he grew up with in his hometown of Xocenpich, Yucatán (Castillo Cocom 2007). Castillo Cocom exposes the “inconstancy of Maya cultures and identities in their construction,” stressing that identity is contingent on given social situations, social landscapes, and social contexts that frame the ways in which one self-identifies and the ways in which others identify you. As Stuart Hall has eloquently pointed out, identity is “lodged in contingency,” it is the work of suturing together, “binding and marking symbolic boundaries,” which produce “frontier effects” of difference (Hall 1996, 3). In this light, identity is not essential, but strategic and positional, fragmented and multiplying across the difference of discourses, practices, and positions. Hall thus prompts us to understand the process of identity formation as one that is very much steeped in the politics of power and representation that summon actions of performativity, negotiation, resistance, and accommodation (4).

We must not forget that on a personal level, identity can be quite painful. The desire to be recognized as belonging to particular spaces and the sense of liminality that this longing for recognition can create is wrought by the need to perform, negotiate, resist, or accommodate. Castillo Cocom makes this especially clear when speaking about the position he holds in his home community:

When I am reminded of what my real “status” in Xocenpich is, I get upset and I do not know what to think. But one thing is for sure: it hurts—identity, sometimes, hurts. Many Xocenpicheños reject me, not as a person, but as a Xocenpicheño. I think that is ridiculous because I grew up there, I grew up with them: I speak the same languages, they were my friends, and still they are my friends. (Castillo Cocom 2007, 27)

Upon returning to their home communities, many Wixárika students and professionals share this same sense of distance and alienation. Martín is a recent graduate from a career college affiliated with the University of Guadalajara. Despite having spent nine years studying in Guadalajara, he returned to his ranch in the Sierra every summer and is looking at possibilities for applying his accounting degree in his community. Nonetheless, he has a difficult time reconnecting and feeling accepted by his old friends who remained in the Sierra. Martín believes that his alienation is a result of people feeling like he has a sense of superiority over them because of his advanced degree. At the same time, Martín feels a level of embarrassment because he no longer is literate in communal affairs and happenings. In this way, he feels equally displaced in Guadalajara as he does in his home of Santa Catarina Cuexcomatitlán. Martín hopes that he can regain
a sense of belonging in the Sierra through the formation of a non-profit that will manage the material needs of the local autonomous high school created by another Guadalajara-educated peer (Interview with Carrillo Sandoval, November 6, 2009). Examples such as these can help inform conceptualizations of identity as related to the habitation of multiple spaces, illustrating the difficult and often painful coexistence between fluidity and fixity.

Antonio serves as a formidable example of the ways in which some youth have reterritorialized their identities through the practice of using resources based in the city in order to conduct service work in Sierra communities. When Antonio was in the process of finishing his high school education in Puerto Vallarta, his mother took the family back to Guadalajara where the children had spent most of their lives. Once in Guadalajara, Antonio had a chance meeting with the director of the “intercultural” secundaria school, Tatutsí Maxakuaxí, located in the Wixárika locality of San Miguel Huaixtita, nearby his family’s home in San Andrés Cohamiata. The director offered Antonio an opportunity to work on an administrative project for the school that was being managed by the ITESO. After spending a year and a half working at Tatutsí Maxakuaxí through the ITESO, Antonio applied and was accepted to this university where he is obtaining a degree in Political Science. As he completes his studies, he has continued to work intermittently on educational programming at the secundaria in San Miguel Huaixtita, allowing him to actively engage with local matters in this area of the sierra while helping him consolidate the connection he long wished to have with Wixaritari youth and elders in traditional communal territory.

In the last year, both Antonio and his sister Rosa have been active members and coordinators of ITESO’s Universidad Solidaria (Solidaritous University), discussed in Chapter 4. Their first campus event in February of 2010 celebrated the “International Day of Mother Tongues” and showcased the wealth of indigenous languages through brief lessons in Wixárika, Mixtec, Ch´ol, and Tzeltal, as well as a forum on the importance of preserving these languages, especially for those indigenous peoples who live in cities and do not have access to bilingual and bicultural education. Lastly, the event invited mestizos to learn an indigenous language as a way to better understand the richness and complexities of Mexico’s indigenous culture.

Mixtec, Wixárika and Tzeltal students at the “International Day of Mother Tongues,” ITESO, Guadalajara, February 2010. Photo by author.
Outside of the university, Antonio, Rosa, and Anita have connected with Wixárika artisans and members of Wixaritari, Artistas y Artesanos Unidos en la Zona Metropolitana de Guadalajara (WAAU). While Antonio and Rosa had been actively involved in Universidad Solidaria, the chance to work with a diverse multi-generational group of Wixárika residents in Guadalajara has offered them a new perspective on the challenges that indigenous peoples face in the city, in this case, a large group of artisans who have been increasingly prohibited from selling their work in public spaces. Through WAAU, Antonio and Rosa are pushed to think more critically about the ways in which they, as Wixárika university students and future professionals, can help push for indigenous peoples’ rights to the city while drawing closer ties with the struggles their fellow Wixaritari face in the traditional territories of the Sierra.

Antonio recalls his initial interventions at the WAAU meetings as transformative experiences for himself and the larger group:

One time I had a stronger participation when [members of WAAU] were criticizing mestizo agencies that have treated them poorly. That is where I was able to contribute a little, no? Basically it happened that I drew the globe on the blackboard and I began to explain about the Spaniards, very generally, but it gave them a panorama of why: not just that the mestizos are bad and that things are bad for us, but that this is the fruit of many things that we have not known about, and it helped give a view of the circumstances that they currently experience. It is as if we visualized many things that had not yet been considered, so [the meeting] lasted many hours from 9 in the morning until 5 in the afternoon. [A]nd that is where I discovered how the experience of coordinating Universidad Solidaria had given me tools through which I could coordinate this other assembly as well […]

A lot of reflections were made about one’s identity. At first they only wanted to search for a space to sell as Wixaritari and as artisans, a place to sell, to make ends meet, no? And then we began to discover all the cultural questions and the following meetings were very rich because we began to ask ourselves what it means to be Wixárika, what is Wixárika culture, what is the actual landscape for artisans, and why are they artists of culture? (Interview with García, July 20, 2010)

For Antonio, the WAAU assemblies provided him with the opportunity to showcase his knowledge as a Wixárika trained in the modern education system. His knowledge of world history and specialization in political science gave him the tools through which he could critically discuss the web of power and subjectivity in contemporary Mexico—the same web that perpetuates the hierarchies Wixárika artisans experience in Guadalajara.

When asked about his career objectives, Juan Aurelio answers using the standard warm professionalism that has propelled him into leadership positions at the National Autonomous University of Nayarit (UAN):
[I] have always proposed to myself […] to go to a community and speak with [the people] and present my achievements and bring something of what I have earned. And that is what I have proposed to myself because indigenous education is very deteriorated; it has many holes in the sense that projects appear and money arrives but is lost because there is no follow through. It is full of holes that need to be first and foremost sutured well in order for those things that are built to remain with the people. And now that I am finishing my degree I want to support indigenous communities. (Interview with Carrillo Ríos, December 19, 2009)

Juan Aurelio’s ideal of applying his education to work for indigenous communities is rooted in his mother’s career as a rural teacher and fed by several years of work with UAN’s indigenous student body. When he was appointed the new coordinator for Indigenous Issues (Asuntos Indígenas) through the UAN’s student union, Juan Aurelio was driven to deal not only with the academic and financial struggles of indigenous students at the university but also to travel to rural communities to promote higher education. As coordinator of Asuntos Indígenas (later renamed Gestión Estudiantil Indígena and currently Interculturalidad), Juan Aurelio worked with the university’s administration to facilitate the continued entry and retention of indigenous students. Within the last ten years, the number of indigenous students at the UAN has increased from a few dozen in the 1990s to close to 300 in 200988 out of a total population of 12,760 (Universidad Autónoma de Nayarit 2011).

But what does it mean to support indigenous communities and how different are the interventions proposed by these young professionals from those made by mestizos? Over the years the UAN has housed a number of Wixárika student activists who individually and collectively dream of ways to use their skills for the economic, political and social advancement of Wixárika and other indigenous communities. Among these efforts, student-led organizations have attempted to gain and re-use public spaces through the use of multiple mediums, including the internet, radio, academic conferences, and cultural festivals. At the 5th Annual Meeting of Indigenous Youth, held on October 25, 2009, Tzicuritemai, who served as president of the Union of Indigenous Professionals of Nayarit (UPIN), summarized this issue as follows:

Where does the idea that we are youth, we are students and we want to do something originate from? Or for example, the fact that we emigrate from our communities and need to be in the city […] get a degree in order to be able to say that we want to do something. But above this, the dilemma of what to do when I belong to an indigenous community? When I say “okay, I am from an indigenous community and what is it that I need to return?” Or “what will my role be as someone who is educated, who is a student, who is a professional? What is it that I am going to do?” And that is how our idea was born, from this question of what needs to be done.

88 Figure given at the 5th Annual Meeting of Indigenous Students, October 25, 2009.
In the past several years, students and graduates of the UAN have carried out these goals by initiating projects that range from attempts to restore the traditional Wixárika justice system in Nayarit, workshops that address violence against women and alcoholism, and the development of school curricula that is culturally, linguistically, and geographically relevant for rural Wixárika communities. These activities have taken many of Tepic’s Wixárika students to different states and countries to participate in cultural exchanges, conferences, and sporting tournaments. Through these efforts, spaces previously experienced as ones of loneliness and suffering are increasingly becoming spaces of opportunity and self-expression.

*Temari Waniuki* (The Voice of the Youth), a radio program initiated by several Wixárika students at the UAN, serves as an example of the space that these students and professionals have gained through the consolidation of their projects. Tukarima, a law graduate from the UAN, explained how in 2001 Nayarit’s 1530 AM radio station, *Radio Aztlán*, gave *Temari Waniuki* five minutes a week to broadcast to Nayarit’s indigenous communities on the coast and in the sierra from their position as indigenous university students. Soon enough, the students successfully petitioned for thirty minutes of air time a week and, currently, have received one hour of air time every Saturday. A daily hour-long morning broadcast by *Temari Waniuki* can also be heard on www.puebloindigena.com. On Sunday mornings, members of UPIN host a separate radio show on *Radio Aztlán* called *Voces Indígenas* or Indigenous Voices. The goal, according to Tukarima, is to eventually have an independent indigenous radio station for Nayarit.

The activities of these organizations also speak out against the ongoing racial hierarchies that are reproduced through acceptable everyday speech, aesthetics, and political economic decision-making that equate indigeneity with poverty, ignorance, and underdevelopment. Wixárika students and professionals repeatedly are met with surprise from mestizos and whites who hold onto notions of indigenous peoples as inherently pastoral and illiterate. In this light, Juan Aurelio believes that indigenous peoples cannot wait for Mexican society to transform its racial imaginary: it is only through indigenous self-representation and cultural exchange that these stereotypes can be broken (Interview with Carrillo Ríos, December 19, 2009). Displays of cuisine, dance, storytelling, and artisanal goods are some of the activities that set out to educate the general public about the diversity of the country’s indigenous peoples. While these organizations host friendly programs based on cultural exchange, they remain vocal about the injustices they live by organizing demonstrations, letter writing campaigns, and forums. These political manifestations help advance public knowledge of territorial encroachments, unwanted development, and racist media portrayals that affect indigenous peoples.

This sketch of Wixárika youth organizations in Tepic and Guadalajara illustrates the efforts that are being made on multiple scales to address the challenges and opportunities that new generations of Wixaritari are facing in Mexico’s cities and in their traditional territories. Like UJEW, a handful of urban Wixárika organizations have

---
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dissolved within a couple of years of their formation. The inability to consolidate organizational projects is largely attributed to the discursive and practical divisions that emerge among the membership. For instance, WAAU faced a significant internal divide in 2012 between those who wanted to strictly focus on the vending rights of artisans and those who sought a larger socio-political platform regarding indigenous rights. Sharper divisions among Wixaritari organizers have surfaced amid the ongoing defense of Wixárika sacred lands in Nayarit and San Luis Potosí. Despite these organizational bumps along the road, Wixárika students and professionals continue to be idealistic about what the future holds as their population grows and diversifies its interests. If anything, movements such as these may well be the answer for carving out new forms of ethnic recognition that affirm the equality of all citizens while permitting cultural, economic, and political autonomies that are vital for indigenous peoples in Mexico and elsewhere.

Student 1: I am a critic of my own religion, of my own ethnic group. Why do I say this? I am Huichol, since I have been studying, since elementary school I said I would study a degree and I had this objective. My objective was not to help out the people, no. My objective is to fill my pockets, that is my objective. And here where are we going? To the person who defends their community? No! It is to fill our pockets. That is how things are, that is how life is. Religion and culture are like that, as indigenous people they offer us an [outside] religion—and this I say because I am a critic of my community, of my people—outsiders arrive and they tell you they are offering you this, this and that and they leave food. What we want is to fill our stomachs, fill our hands and our pockets.

Student 2: Well it is not so much for that, I don’t know, in my case [I am studying] no as much because I want to fill my pockets on the day that I finish my degree. I chose this major because I think it is something useful, but I want to comment that I did it for my people because many of us know that we do not receive good treatment, that is where I am going. I did not do it because I want to have a lot of money. It is true that many do it to fill their pockets but I want to give a service because I want to support my people.

Student 1: I said this because I am poor and this is my interest. The first. The other thing is that I am a nursing student and I am doing things in my community. We are creating an organization of indigenous advisors...these are things that we are dealing ON THE SIDE. Personal matters are very different. To help is another issue.”

-Conversation at the National Autonomous University of Nayarit as part of the Taller de Diálogo or Dialogue Workshop, April 17, 2010-

With and Against the Archive

At the end of the summer of 2010, Wixárika authorities were alerted to 22 mining concessions in their sacred pilgrimage site of Wirikuta in the northeastern state of San Luis Potosí. These concessions were awarded by the Mexican government to a Canadian transnational corporation operating through its Mexican subsidiaries. Within weeks, a
coalition of non-governmental organizations united to “Save Wirikuta”, promising to follow the leadership of Wixárika communal authorities. Wixárika students and professionals quickly added their voices to the struggle by organizing forums on their campuses and emitting letters of opposition on behalf of their respective organizations. A handful of these students have been particularly vocal, utilizing the scholarly expertise gained in the classroom and their cultural knowledge gained in their homes and communities to bring attention to the cause. Speeches, letters, conference calls, and countless interviews have led them to international conferences, the Mexican senate, and to the offices of numerous organizations. Time and again, these activists have called on Mexico’s constitutional recognition of pluriculturalism and its related guarantees for the rights of indigenous peoples that rest upon the coexistence of equal citizenship and the recognition of their cultural autonomy and difference. At the same time, many national and international organizations and media outlets have responded to the alert, reflecting some of the benefits that have resulted from the hypervisibility of “Huichol” culture at home and abroad. In this case, the ironic benefit of what some may call ethnic opportunism is allowing for a broad coalition based on the territorial and cultural defense of indigenous patrimony against a transnational corporation.

I mention this struggle because it reflects some of the dilemmas discussed in this chapter, namely, the challenges and opportunities that Wixaritari face in contemporary Mexico, as well as the tense negotiations that they must undergo to respond to and transcend engrained expectations held by the non-indigenous segment of society. The conflict over the mining concessions brings to the fore Phillip Deloria’s “paradox of racial thinking” and Charles Hale’s discussion of racial ambivalence in a multicultural world that signal contrasting challenges to the ways in which state and society comprehend indigenous peoples to be equal but different citizens enjoying the privileges that this status affords them. As Wixaritari enter and exit these spaces of activism they are faced with the multiple expectations that continue to structure racial relations by placing the indigenous as either noble savages who are intrinsically allied to land and nature or, as barriers to modernity who stand in the way of economic progress, in this case, resource extraction. Furthermore, the recognition of their legitimate claims to Wirikuta as a sacred place rests upon ghosting an authentic being for the nation (Povinelli 2002) and therefore using particular signifiers that will convince senators and the corporation’s shareholders alike that the mining project is an illegal affront to native culture and territory.

Finally, what this current struggle signals is the increasing strategic importance of spatial linkages that the Wixaritari have forged and that Wixárika university students and professionals have seized upon in particular. Returning to Gupta and Ferguson, these efforts show how the reterritorialization of space and ethnic belonging “forces us to reconceptualize fundamentally the politics of community, solidarity, identity, and cultural difference” (Gupta and Ferguson 1997). The many hats that urban Wixárika citizens wear contest enduring notions of static indigenous belonging by demonstrating that identities are indeed, as Stuart Hall tells us, strategic and positional, fragmented and multiplying across the difference of discourses, practices, and positions (Hall 1996). The stories outlined in this chapter shed some light on the coexistence of multiple spatial and cultural belongings that, while not always painless, have the ability to become productive forces.
in shifting Mexico’s engrained racial and spatial imaginary by recognizing indigenous peoples as complex and heterogeneous citizens of the nation. The case of Wixárika culture is illuminating for understanding the ways in which the hypervisibility of targeted cultural traits and traditions intensify state and popular expectations of who Wixárika people are supposed to be. As such, a more ample discussion of how different Wixárika actors respond to these expectations can bring new insight into the ways in which ideas of authenticity, identity, space, and multicultural politics intersect to become accommodated or challenged at different conjunctures.
CONCLUSION:

WALKING TOGETHER

Crossings are never undertaken all at once, and never once and for all.

-M. Jacqui Alexander, Pedagogies of Crossing, 2005, 290-

Pushing the Limits of Multiculturalism: Nunca Más Un México Sin Nosotros

In Pedagogies of Crossing (2005), M. Jacqui Alexander critically addresses the limits of liberal discourse and practice which have cemented ideas of individual rights, including our right to travel across geographic borders and our freedom to enunciate our personal views. Yet this liberalism often reaches its limits when non-normative subjects—such as indigenous peoples—contest the terms and conditions of said liberal citizenship. Alexander illustrates this argument through an analysis of the processes and outcomes of activism at the New School for Social Research in New York, where students, faculty, and staff struggled to articulate and understand each other’s demands for respecting and transcending differences of race, class, gender and sexuality. Perhaps one of the most formidable obstacles of this struggle was to overcome the dismissals of those who supposed that social equality had been consolidated through the university’s radical origins and the ascendancy of American multiculturalism. In this vein, additional demands made on the state and private sector (in this case an institution of higher education) were not only viewed as superfluous but were perceived as examples of the reactionary and separatist stances held by women, people of color, the low-income and the LBGT community.

Most importantly, Alexander describes how institutional diversity discourses were utilized to delegitimize the New School’s activists’ call for equity whereby diversity was whittled down to the “counting of bodies of color” (133):

Institutional claims made within “diversity” discourses become the claims within which people of color are understood. They represent people of color. But it is their centrality that helps us to understand the force of contestation and the reason why any challenge to them runs the risk of being discounted and silenced. (Alexander 2005, 133)

While the New School’s activists were given the chance to enunciate their demands, the discursive work of institutionalized diversity and multiculturalism effectively limited their opportunity to be listened to and have their claims fully understood. Through the tropes of diversity and multiculturalism, the university effectively sought to dismiss the “lived experience of everyday oppressions within the institution.” (125)

I make reference to Alexander’s analysis of the New School’s struggle over diversity because it poignantly highlights the inconsistencies of liberal multiculturalism on a global level. Mexico’s constitutional embrace of pluriculturalism in 1992 was in
many ways a rhetorical move—an attempt to quell rising popular anger at the country’s political, economic and cultural inequality on the eve of the 500th anniversary of the Spanish conquest. Mexico’s “pluricultural constitution” legally mandated that indigenous peoples have a right to protect and promote their languages, customs, natural resources and forms of political and social organization (León-Portilla 2012, accessed November 9, 2012). In effect, this constitutional amendment has become a crucial, albeit contested, legal tool for indigenous communities as they continue to defend their aboriginal territories and cultures from encroachment and obliteration. But twenty years later, the Mexican people and the bodies that govern them still struggle over the philosophical meaning and material substance of living in a pluricultural nation. Questions surrounding the rights and privileges of indigenous communities become visible in different moments of major public debates over development projects, or more intimate and quotidian interactions at work or school. Should the government continue to tolerate the semi-autonomy of indigenous communities? Do indigenous communities have the right to thwart development projects that place their sacred sites in peril but are said to bring economic benefits to the nation? Is it fair to wave testing and fees for aspiring indigenous university students? And should mestizo students be encouraged to embrace a fellow indigenous student who chose to wear their native clothing during graduation?

As I have argued throughout the present work, racial alterity exists at the core of Mexico’s pursuit of nation-building. Indigenous peoples’ demands to be recognized as culturally autonomous but equal citizens has certainly tested the limits of the liberal nation-state. The unfolding of the Zapatista movement since 1994 can tell us a lot about how the Mexican government and public have come to understand indigenous demands for treatment as separate but equal citizens. The Zapatista call, “nunca más un México sin nosotros” (“never again a Mexico without us”) expresses this pursuit by indigenous peoples to be recognized as full Mexican citizens at the same time that it speaks to another more complex demand based on the respect for indigenous peoples’ difference. It is this delicate interplay between autonomy and inclusion that I believe best illustrates the political and social landscape that Wixaritari navigate as they push against development projects in their sacred lands or call for respect and recognition within Mexico’s cities. What is perhaps most difficult to assimilate for both the government and the general population is the fact that within this call for indigenous peoples as full but autonomous citizens, lies each indigenous community’s distinctive historical geographic engagement with Mexico and Mexicananness. It is in this light that I call for the importance of anchoring the stories of Wixárika university students and professionals to the specific geographic and interpersonal trajectories that reveal the heterogeneity of Wixárika experiences in contemporary Mexico, and by extension the heterogeneity of all Mexicans.

Through grounded engagement with these experiences, the pluricultural nation can begin to have discursive and practical significance. Indeed, Mexico has come a long way from the time that the centralist state forced mestizaje as the desired national identity. In 2010, Mexico celebrated 200 years of independence and 100 years since the revolution. While still moving within the margins of sanctioned historical narratives, the traveling multimedia spectacle that commemorated these anniversaries portrayed a diverse country where differences in race, class, gender and age are respected. Compared
to the assimilationist tendencies of yesteryear, this respect for and celebration of difference should perhaps be appreciated for its progress. But as Alexander’s analysis suggests, the institutionalization of diversity discourse and multicultural politics poses new challenges for those who continue to suffer discrimination. Undoubtedly, the state continues to enact policies that undermine the political, cultural and territorial integrity of the country’s indigenous peoples. Similarly, the popular imagination still fixes indigenous peoples and indigeneity to age-old stereotypes that perpetuate both everyday racist encounters as well as institutional forms of racism. As Allan Pred notes, “stereotypes that Universalize” render invisible the lived experiences of the stereotyped (2004, xii). But today, the voices of the stereotyped, of the discriminated, and of the colonized have access to more outlets through which they can contest their invisibility and break the silences that surround their hardships.

Mexico’s multicultural turn has occurred unevenly depending on regional political and cultural dynamics. The cases of Tepic and Guadalajara allow us to examine this shift toward multiculturalism on both a local and regional level and discern the types of opportunities and foreclosures that have arisen as a consequence of these new cultural politics. A promotional video created in December 2012 by the government of Nayarit reflects the state’s celebration of racial and geographic diversity. In this video, Nayarit’s progress and modernity is reached through embracing its multiracial and multilingual population. One scene depicts a Wixárika man dressed in traje on a stretcher being airlifted from his remote homeland to receive medical services. Reminiscent of Aguirre Beltrán’s call for reaching the country’s “regions of refuge,” the video’s message promotes the idea that modernity and progress implies providing essential infrastructure and health services to the most isolated of its population. Strikingly, the video is accompanied by the state’s anthem sung in Spanish, Wixárika and Náayari. Meanwhile, in the proud mestizo state of Jalisco, the adoption of Huichi as one of three mascots for the 2011 Panamerican Games was problematically promoted by the government as an example of its reassessment of Wixárika culture as one of its own. But contrary to Nayarit, Jalisco is far from institutionalizing and folklorizing the state’s indigenous cultures, as it continues to anchor its identity to European and mestizo traditions.

These shifts have not originated behind the closed doors of the hegemonic state; they are the product of public debates and the longtime work of indigenous peoples who have sought wider visibility. At the same time, government policies demonstrate that the shift toward multiculturalism is a poorly disguised strategy to continue to disposess indigenous peoples of their territorial, political economic and cultural sovereignty. In this way, Nayarit and Jalisco’s celebration of diversity on the public stages of YouTube or sporting events is of little significance in the face of the lukewarm reception if not complete rejection by state authorities to the ongoing political, economic and cultural demands of indigenous peoples. The struggle for equality is far from ending when Wixárika citizens continue to be denied service at local restaurants and are victims of the denigrating stares and insults of their lighter complexioned countrymen and women.

**Wixárika Claims to the City**

This study sought to demonstrate why it is essential to understand the experiences and negotiations of urban Wixaritari within the context of particular places and situations.
Examining the historical construction of ethnic heritage in Guadalajara and Tepic allows us to understand how each city has racialized the landscape in particular ways. For instance, the hypervisibility of the “Huicholito” and “Corita” in Tepic may render the diversity of the city’s indigenous population invisible by promoting an ethnic other suitable for the tourism industry. The depiction of the city’s “Huicholitos” and “Coritas” locate indigenous peoples in ethnic neighborhoods and as holding ethnic-appropriate occupations. Conversely, Guadalajara’s identity continues to be fixed to a whitewashed Catholic persona that negates the existence of the city’s heterogeneous urban residents.

By emphasizing the conjuncture between the historical conditions and identities of these two cities and the current experiences of its Wixárika residents, we can move away from perceiving the presence of indigenous peoples in cities merely as a form of displacement and dereitalization. As discussed in Chapter 5, Wixárika struggles to carve out spaces of inclusion and cultural recognition within the urban setting demonstrate the active work of emplacement and reterritorialization that is occurring both through the intimate individual as well as through the collective organizational efforts of Guadalajara and Tepic’s Wixárika youth. Arturo Escobar (2008) contends that present day agendas and demands surrounding identity reflect a “multilayered entanglement” of actors whose claims evolve and are situated around the production of spatial and historical assemblages (273, 287). From this perspective, Escobar argues that the “metaphor of the rhizome” reveals the “networks of heterogeneous elements that grow in unplanned directions, following the real life situations they encounter” (274). Escobar’s analytic can help us make sense of the particular conditions that distinguish the experiences and modes of action of Wixaritari residing in Tepic from those in Guadalajara.

As my research with Wixárika university students and professionals deepened, I came to realize the formative role that the political, economic, and cultural landscapes of each city had on the outlook of my story’s protagonists. Early on, I was advised by a Wixárika professor in Tepic that too many of the city’s Wixárika university students and professionals were being sucked into political partisanship. At the time, I understood this matter to be first and foremost a problem where certain students did not finish their degrees because they had been “fished out” by a political party or, that too many Wixárika professionals were serving the state as opposed to their communities—becoming development intermediaries to the detriment of their tribal lands. As time passed, I realized that this matter marked a key difference between Wixárika students, professionals, and organizations based in Tepic from those in Guadalajara. The inclusion and celebration of Wixárika heritage in Tepic has given Wixaritari a certain cultural leverage reflected in the local university’s affirmative action-type policies. As a result, Wixárika organizations in Tepic have an easier time accessing public spaces and are often less critical of the government.

Guadalajara presents a strikingly different panorama for its Wixárika inhabitants. Undoubtedly the city’s physical and demographic magnitude affects the conditions and types of political and cultural organizing that occurs amongst it Wixárika population. But it is Guadalajara’s dismissal and mistreatment of its indigenous residents that fosters a more cynical stance from Wixárika youth. In contrast to Wixárika students in Tepic,
those in Guadalajara often belong to organizations that hold more critical views of the city, state and nation’s political agenda. Consequently, many Wixárika students who enter the political arena in Guadalajara do so via the non-governmental sector and hold more radical visions for the future.

I mark these differences with a broad brush stroke to emphasize the articulatory work of space and place in the trajectories of urban Wixaritari. I do so knowing that these trajectories are also rhizomatic, fluid, and in constant construction. The highly contentious movement to defend Wirikuta from the encroachment of capital exposes these differences as some Wixárika activists based in Tepic have shown more willingness to listen to and work with the government, while Guadalajara has produced some of the most radical Wixárika leaders of the movement. Finally, a finer brush stroke allows us to see that even the most “pro-government” and radical Wixárika students and professionals demonstrate shifting discourses and practices.

As the Mexican state and population continues to struggle over the meanings and implications of its pluriculturalism, its colors oscillate—they bleed together to form complex hybrids but they also stay vividly vibrant in their difference. As we recognize the threads that lead us to our shared futures, we must take on the difficult and always unfinished work of walking together, even in our differences. To borrow the words of M. Jacqui Alexander, “[c]rossings are never undertaken all at once, and never once and for all” for the geographies that construct our individual and collective identities reflect the dynamic colors of the ever-changing Mexican landscape.
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