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Clodronate Improves Survival of Transplanted Hoxb8 Myeloid Progenitors with Constitutively Active GMCSFR in Immunocompetent Mice
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New methods to produce large numbers of myeloid progenitor cells, precursors to macrophages (MΦs), by maintaining Hoxb8 transcription factor activity1 has reinvigorated interest in MΦ cell therapies. We generated Hoxb8-dependent myeloid progenitors (HDPs) by transducing lineage-negative bone marrow cells with a constitutively expressed Hoxb8 flanked by loxP. HDPs proliferate indefinitely and differentiate into MΦ when Hoxb8 is removed by a tamoxifen-inducible Cre. We genetically modified HDPs with a constitutively active GMCSFR receptor and the tamoxifen-induced transcription factor IRF8, which we have termed “HDP-on.” The HDP-on proliferates without GMCSF and differentiates into the MΦ upon exposure to tamoxifen and ruxolitinib (GMCSF inhibitor via JAK1/2 blockade). We quantified the biodistribution of HDPs transplanted via intraperitoneal injection into immunodeficient NCG mice with a luciferase reporter; HDPs are detected for 14 days in the peritoneal cavity, liver, spleen, kidney, bone marrow, brain, lung, heart, and blood. In immunocompetent BALB/c mice, HDP-on cells, but not HDPs, are detected 1 day post-transplantation in the peritoneal cavity. Pretreatment of BALB/c mice with liposomal clodronate significantly enhances survival at day 7 for HDPs and HDP-on cells in the peritoneal cavity, spleen, and liver, but cells are undetectable at day 14. Short-term post-transplantation survival of HDPs is significantly improved using HDP-on and liposomal clodronate, opening a path for MΦ-based therapeutics.

INTRODUCTION

Macrophages (MΦs) straddle the innate and adaptive immune systems, playing important roles in homeostatic tissue maintenance and in the immunopathology of many diseases, including cancer, bacterial infections, trauma, and arthritis.2–5 To mediate these functions, MΦs are highly plastic, responding to changes in the environment by taking on inflammatory (M1) or regenerative (M2) phenotypes.6–8 Furthermore, specialized MΦs perform critical functions in virtually all tissues, ranging from recycling heme in the kidney9 to maintaining proper neuronal development in the brain.10–12 Genetically engineering MΦs can augment their homeostatic roles, phenotypic plasticity, and diverse tissue niches to resolve dysregulated tissue functions. These applications may utilize gene therapy to produce therapeutic proteins13–15 or deliver poorly soluble drugs.16–18 As MΦ biology is more extensively explicated, the broad range of behaviors that MΦs possess provide an opportunity for engineering novel MΦ-based therapies.

The history of MΦ-based therapies began over 40 years ago,19 but progress to date has been limited, due to the difficulty to generate the 10⁷–10⁸ MΦs required for human studies.19 This barrier is partially because primary MΦs do not usually proliferate in vitro, unlike T cells and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), which facilely proliferate in vitro.20–22 The earliest clinical trials for MΦ-based cell therapies for cancer treatment occurred over 30 years ago, where large numbers of autologous MΦs (10⁶–10⁷) were collected from patient blood, conditioned with granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GMCSF) and interferon (IFN)γ in vitro, and reinfused.19,23,24 At best, two or three doses of MΦ could be collected and infused. While there were minimal side effects, the efficacy was modest and mixed (for a review of MΦ-based cell therapies, see Lee et al.19). In more recent reports, tumor-derived MΦ-cell lines such as RAW264 or RAW309 have been used in animal studies.11,25 These lines are problematic for therapeutic development, due to their tumorigenicity. Studies that use bone-marrow-derived MΦs lack a practical means to generate the MΦ numbers required for a therapy.19

There have been a few reports of altering the expression of transcription factors to induce self-renewal in MΦs and in myeloid progenitors, which can differentiate into MΦs. These include MafB and c-Maf double-knockout (Maf-DKO) MΦs26 and Hoxb8-dependent myeloid progenitors (HDPs), which are held in a self-renewing state.27 Maf-DKO MΦs and MΦs derived from HDPs are...
functionally similar to endogenous MΦs from other sources, and are a good starting point for the development of MΦ-based therapeutics.

To perform further studies that required large numbers of MΦs, we were interested in simplifying and accelerating the process of generating large numbers of MΦs. The self-renewal capabilities of HDPs described by Wang and collaborators were dependent upon a tamoxifen-dependent Hoxb8-ERT (estrogen receptor) and required culturing in 1 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT). Removal of 4-OHT would stop Hoxb8 activity, and the HDP would differentiate into a MΦ. We asked whether a modified HDP with constitutively expressed Hoxb8 flanked by loxP sites and a 4-OHT-induced Cre recombinase could produce a self-renewing HDP that could undergo 4-OHT-inducible MΦ differentiation. HDPs also require the GMCSF to survive and proliferate. GMCSF signals through GMCSFR, and a single point mutation of GMCSFR (L452E) renders GMCSFR constitutively active and ablates the requirement for external GMCSF in myeloid cells. We reasoned that the addition of constitutively active GMCSFR to HDPs would remove the need for the GMCSF to survive and proliferate.

To increase the rate of differentiation, we identified IRF8 as a transcription factor that is upregulated during MΦ differentiation. By expressing 4-OHT-induced IRF8-ERT, we hypothesized that we could bypass the time required for IRF8 to be endogenously expressed and increase the differentiation rate of the HDP. By using a combination of lentivirus and retrovirus, we generated a modified HDP with constitutively active Hoxb8 and GMCSFR, as well as 4-OHT-inducible Cre and IRF8. To initiate differentiation, we cultured these modified HDP 4-OHT with ruoxitinib, a JAK1/2 inhibitor, to reduce GMCSFR activity and 4-OHT to activate Cre and IRF8. We demonstrate in this report that this new form of HDP, known as HDP-on, maintains the same growth and differentiation characteristics of the HDP, with cytokine- and 4-OHT-free self-renewal and 4-OHT- and ruoxitinib-inducible rapid differentiation into a MΦ.

Maf-DKO MΦs are nontumorigenic when injected into an immunodeficient mouse. However, it is unclear whether Maf-DKO MΦs survive and engraft in this model. To our knowledge, there have been no reports of the in vivo survival of HDPs and HDP-derived MΦs (HDP-MΦs). We sought to quantitatively determine the survival potential of HDP-on cells and HDP-on-derived MΦs (HDP-on-MΦs) in immunodeficient NCG (lacking B, T, and natural killer [NK] cells) and immunocompetent BALB/c mice.

In this article, we describe two advances for the development of MΦ-based therapies: (1) a modified ex vivo method using Hoxb8, constitutively active GMCSFR, inducible Cre, and IRF8 (HDP-on) to facilely and rapidly generate large numbers of functional MΦ and (2) quantitatively validating the ability of clodronate-loaded liposome pretreatment to improve acute post-transplantation survival of HDP in immunocompetent BALB/c mice.

RESULTS
Hoxb8: A Method for Unlimited Myeloid Progenitors and MΦs
Primary MΦs are terminally differentiated cells and cannot be expanded in vitro. Recent reports described methods to circumvent this developmental block. One method, as described by Wang et al., used tamoxifen-induced Hoxb8-ERT nuclear localization to hold a myeloid progenitor cell in a self-renewing state. This cell would differentiate into a MΦ when Hoxb8-ERT nuclear activity is reduced by the removal of 4-OHT from the media. We modified this method by using a lentivirus to transduce primary lineage-negative (lin−) bone marrow cells with a construct containing a constitutively expressed Hoxb8 flanked by loxP sites. Serial transduction with a Cre recombinase fused with ERT (Cre-ERT) endowed the cell with inducible Cre activity that could excise the Hoxb8 cassette with the addition of 4-OHT. This enables the differentiation of the progenitor cell toward a MΦ state that can be specified by the presence of GMCSF in the growth media (Figure 1). The construct containing Cre-ERT also contained luciferase, a traceable marker, to allow the detection of live HDPs. Cells that die do not contribute to luciferase activity, as the enzyme has poor serum stability and has a very short circulatory half-life of under 20 min. This reporter enables biodistribution studies by allowing for the total number of live cells to be determined from organ lysates. This cell, the HDP, formed the basis of our studies. HDPs require the GMCSF to proliferate and survive, which was provided by using a GMCSF supplement generated from the conditioned media of GMCSF-expressing L929 cells. Furthermore, HDPs can be cultured indefinitely in suspension culture to high densities (1–2 × 10^6/mL) and then differentiated into adherent MΦs by adding 4-OHT to the media for 10 days. This model allows for the generation of the high number of HDPs and HDP-MΦs required for the development of a MΦ-based therapy.

Constitutively Active GMCSFR HDPs Differentiate into MΦs
To further enhance the performance of HDPs, two additional genetic modifications were made: a constitutively active GMCSFR and IRF8-ERT, forming the HDP-on (Figure 1). Constitutively active GMCSFR results from a single point mutation (L452E) and, in myeloid cells and MΦs, results in survival and proliferation without the need for GMCSF. We hypothesize that this modification could potentially increase the overall in vivo survival, potential due to a limited pool of GMCSF in vivo. Additionally, reducing the need for cytokine (either recombinant or from conditioned media) reduces the cost of materials to maintain these cells. HDP-on cells in media without GMCSF supplement proliferate as rapidly as HDPs with GMCSF supplement, with a doubling rate of ~12 hr (Figure 2A). This proliferation rate enables the rapid generation of a high number of cells for differentiation into MΦs. To further enhance the ability to rapidly generate MΦs, IRF8-ERT was also added to HDPs. IRF8 is a transcription factor that is upregulated during MΦ differentiation, and constitutive expression of 4-OHT-inducible IRF8 results in a faster differentiation process by reducing the time for endogenous IRF8 to be expressed and migrate to the nucleus. In comparison to HDPs, which lack IRF8-ERT, the addition of IRF8-ERT reduces the time required for...
differentiation from 10 to 6 days, further simplifying the amount of processing required to generate MΦs. While HDPs require only 4-OHT to differentiate, HDP-on cells also require ruxolitinib, a Jak2 inhibitor that inhibits GMCSFR activity. Gene expression analysis of HDP-on cells differentiated for 6 days in 40 nM 4-OHT and 1 μM ruxolitinib by qPCR for MΦ- and HDP-specific genes reveals upregulation of the MΦ marker F4/80 (Emr1) and significant downregulation of HDP genes Elane, Prtn3, Ms4a3, and Plac8 (Figure 2B). Flow-cytometric analysis of differentiating HDP-on cells over 6 days also demonstrates increased surface F4/80 expression (Figure 2C), a hallmark of MΦ differentiation. Ruxolitinib alone does not affect F4/80 expression, while 4-OHT alone increases F4/80 expression to a smaller degree. Combination treatment results in significantly more F4/80 expression by day 6, demonstrating the synergistic effects of ruxolitinib and 4-OHT on rapidly differentiating HDP-on cells into MΦs. Based upon the gene expression and flow cytometry of differentiated HDP-on cells, we believe that HDP-on cells efficiently differentiate into MΦs and could serve as a model for generating MΦs for further studies.

**HDP-on-MΦs Retain M1/M2 Polarization Responses and Remain Highly Phagocytic**

We determined whether HDP-on-MΦs retained typical MΦ behaviors and phenotypes, using functional assays of phenotypic polarization and phagocytosis. One of the greatest potentials for MΦ-based therapies is to harness the plasticity of MΦs by polarization toward inflammatory (M1) or regenerative (M2) phenotypes. The broad spectrum of phenotypes demonstrates the potential applications of MΦs for a wide variety of conditions. It is important to note that the M1/M2 paradigm is not necessarily a binary distinction but, rather, describes a continuum of behaviors. However, there exist commonly accepted standards to describe M1-like and M2-like behaviors that can be elicited using specific polarization inducers. These methods were used to polarize and characterize HDP-on-MΦs. HDP-on-MΦs treated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS), an M1 inducer, responded by upregulating known M1-associated genes IL12b, iNOS, and TNF (Figure 3A). Similarly, treatment with interleukin-4 (IL-4), an M2 inducer, upregulated the M2-associated genes Arg1, CD206, and CCL17 (Figure 3A). This was not observed in undifferentiated HDP-on cells treated with LPS or IL-4 (Figure S1). Treatment of M1/M2-polarized HDP-on-MΦs with the opposing polarization inducer also resulted in polarization to the opposing phenotype (Figure S2). Based on these results, it is clear that HDP-on-MΦs retain the plasticity that exists in conventionally derived MΦs.

Another key function of MΦs is their ability to phagocytose other cells or materials. MΦs have also been proposed to act as drug carriers, so demonstrating this ability is key to enabling this...
form of MΦ cell therapy. HDP-on-MΦs were co-incubated with fluorescent DiD-labeled liposomes for 3 hr in serum-free media, and total lipid uptake was determined by measuring the total DiD fluorescence and comparing to a standard curve. RAW264, a MΦ cell line, was used as a positive control. Quantitative uptake studies demonstrated that HDP-on-MΦ and RAW264 cells exhibited a similar ability to phagocytose negatively charged liposomes (Figure 3B). Fluorescent imaging of HDP-MΦs incubated with fluorescent HPTS liposomes and TagBFP-expressing *E. coli* also shows that HDP-on-MΦs are highly phagocytic for both liposomes and *E. coli* (Figure 3C).

**Undifferentiated HDP-on Cells and HDP-on-MΦs Survive at Least 7 Days in Immunodeficient Mice**

We next determined the survival potential of HDP-on and HDP-on-MΦs injected into the immunodeficient NCG strain of mice that lack B, T, and NK cells to determine whether HDP-MΦs and HDP-on-MΦs survive in mice lacking an immune system. In some animals, live cells were detected in the peritoneal cavity and spleen (Figure 4). In the peritoneal cavity, undifferentiated HDP-on cells demonstrated significantly greater survival than HDPs (151% ± 4% versus 107% ± 4% of the injected cells, or 7.55 × 10^6 cells versus 5.35 × 10^6 cells; p < 0.01). However, this trend was reversed in the spleen, where there were significantly fewer HDP-on cells than HDPs (11% versus 2% of the injected cells, or 5 × 10^5 cells versus 1 × 10^5 cells; p < 0.01).

MΦs derived from either HDPs or HDP-on cells had significantly reduced survival in the peritoneal cavity (4.4% ± 0.3%, 2.2 × 10^5 cells; and 19.4% ± 3.3%, 9.7 × 10^5 cells, respectively) when compared to the respective parental cell. Neither MΦ type was detected in the spleen. Other tissues were analyzed, including the liver, heart, bone marrow, lungs, brain, kidney, and blood, but the combined percentages of injected cells detected across these tissues was below 1.5% of injected cells in all conditions tested (Figure S3).

Furthermore, there was a differential in the number of cells recovered between the peritoneal cavity and spleen, with HDP-on cells having a greater number of cells in the peritoneal cavity than HDPs. The opposite was true in the spleen (Figure 4). Overall, these experiments indicate that both HDPs and HDP-MΦs with or without HDP-on modifications can survive at least 7 days in immunodeficient animals.

**Clodronate Pretreatment Improves Survival of HDP-on Cells in Immunocompetent Mice**

Due to the significantly higher survival of HDPs and HDP-on cells when compared to the differentiated MΦs in immunodeficient NCG mice, we focused on determining the survival of undifferentiated HDPs and HDP-on cells in healthy immunocompetent BALB/c mice (Figure 5). HDPs were not detected in any tissues at 1 or 7 days post-transplantation. HDP-on cells had significantly higher survival in the peritoneal cavity 1 day post-transplantation, compared to HDPs (107% ± 21% or 5.35 × 10^6 cells; p < 0.001). However, survival of HDP-on cells in other tissues was limited: at 1 day post-transplantation, <1% was detected in the spleen or liver; and at 7 days post-transplantation, <0.5% was detected in the liver, and no cells were detected in the peritoneal cavity or spleen. For other tissues, including, brain, lung, heart, bone marrow, liver, spleen, kidneys, and blood, the total combined percentage of injected cells detected for either HDPs or HDP-on cells was below 1% of the injected cells (Figures S4 and S5).

We sought to improve the survival of either HDPs or HDP-on cells and hypothesized that the removal of endogenous MΦs may improve survival, since improved survival of other cell types has been reported when animals are pretreated with MΦ-killing liposomal clodronate.38
Endogenous MΦs are found in tissue niches that transplanted HDPs might be able to occupy. Successful transplantation of MΦs has been reported in Csf2rb-KO mice, which have impaired MΦ activity and reduced numbers of MΦs. Additionally, F4/80+ cells phagocytose stem-cell-derived hematopoietic progenitor cells and could be involved in removing HDPs. Hence, the removal of endogenous MΦs may be beneficial for HDPs by creating a niche and interfering with active removal by endogenous MΦs. Endogenous MΦs can be transiently removed from the peritoneal cavity, liver, spleen, and blood with liposomal clodronate. We accomplished this by injecting 100 μL liposomal clodronate (5 mg/mL) intraperitoneally (i.p.) 1 day and 4 days prior to the injection of cells.

At 1 day post-transplantation, liposomal clodronate pretreatment only increased survival in the peritoneal cavity for HDPs, and no benefit was detected in other tissues. Compared to HDP survival in untreated mice, liposomal clodronate pretreatment significantly improved the survival of HDPs in the peritoneal cavity (95% ± 7.1% or 4.75 × 10⁶ cells versus undetectable; p < 0.001). This improvement was sustained at 7 days post-transplantation, with 85% ± 15% (4.25 × 10⁶ cells versus undetectable; p < 0.001) of the injected cells detected in the peritoneal cavity. HDP-on cells did not experience any significant improvement in the peritoneal cavity with liposomal clodronate pretreatment at 1 day post-transplantation when compared to untreated animals (90% ± 10% or 4.5 × 10⁶ cells versus 107% ± 20% or 5.35 × 10⁶ cells). However, HDP-on cells experienced significant enhancement in survival at 7 days post-transplantation in the peritoneal cavity when compared to animals that did not undergo liposomal clodronate pretreatment (60% ± 17% or 3 × 10⁶ cells versus undetectable; p < 0.05).

No improvements were seen in tissues other than the peritoneal cavity at 1 day post-transplantation. At 7 days post-transplantation, improvement in cell numbers was seen in the spleen and liver for both HDPs and HDP-on cells. In the spleen, HDPs increased from undetectable to 21.2% ± 2.7% (1.06 × 10⁶ cells; p < 0.001), and HDP-on cells increased from undetectable to 3.4% ± 0.9% (1.1 × 10⁵ cells; not significant). The enhancement was more modest in the liver, with HDPs increasing from undetectable to 1.35% ± 0.1% (6.75 × 10⁴ cells; p < 0.001) and HDP-on cells increasing from 0.22% ± 0.08% (1.1 × 10⁴ cells) to 0.86% ± 0.18% (4.3 × 10⁴ cells; p < 0.01). In other tissues tested, there was no measurable benefit with respect to the cell number found in the tissue (Figures S4 and S5).

Figure 3. Functional Analysis of HDP-on-MΦs for MΦ Behaviors
(A) HDP-on-MΦs respond to canonical M1 and M2 inducers, LPS and IL-4. MΦs were treated overnight with 10 ng/mL LPS or 100 ng/mL IL-4, and gene expression of established M1 and M2 genes (M1: IL12b, iNOS, and TNF; M2: Arg1, CD206, and CCL17) was measured by qPCR. Fold enhancement is expressed relative to untreated HDP-on-MΦs (n = 3). **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. (B) HDP-on-MΦs phagocytose Dil-labeled liposomes at a similar rate as the MΦ cell line, RAW264. Lipid uptake was normalized by total protein from lysed cells (N = 3 per condition). (C) Fluorescent images of HDP-derived MΦs incubated with HPTS-fluorescent liposomes and TagBFP-expressing E. coli. Scale bars, 150 μm (for liposome uptake) and 100 μm (for E. coli). Error bars are expressed as SE.
To summarize, in immunocompetent BALB/c mice, the HDP-on modifications significantly improved the number of HDPs that survived in the peritoneal cavity 1 day post-transplantation via the intraperitoneal route of administration. This benefit is not maintained, because no cells were detected at 7 days. Liposomal clodronate pretreatment to remove endogenous MØs increased the peritoneal cavity survival at 1 day post-transplantation of HDPs to levels similar to those of HDP-on cells. Liposomal clodronate pretreatment improved the survival of HDPs and HDP-on cells at 7 days post-transplantation in the peritoneal cavity, spleen, and liver. MØs differentiated from HDPs and HDP-on cells did not show increased survival in any tissue in the liposomal-clodronate-treated animals (Figure S6).

**Liposomal Clodronate Pretreatment Does Not Enhance Post-transplantation Cell Survival in Immunocompetent Mice beyond 7 Days**

We determined whether liposomal clodronate pretreatment enabled the long-term survival of HDP-on cells beyond 7 days. HDP-on cells were injected i.p. into NCG mice that were not treated with liposomal clodronate and in liposomal-clodronate-pretreated BALB/c mice. Liposomal clodronate was not used in NCG mice due to unacceptably high mortality, even at reduced doses of 15 mg/kg (data not shown). To determine the kinetics of in vivo survival post-transplantation for liposomal-clodronate-pretreated BALB/c mice, mice were euthanized at multiple time points and their data were combined with data from the previous section to determine the biodistribution at 1, 3, 7, and 14 days post-transplantation. Similarly, biodistribution was also performed in NCG mice at 7 and 14 days post-transplantation.

The long-term survival of HDP-on cells was limited in liposomal-clodronate-treated BALB/c mice (Figure 6). In these mice, the number of live cells detected in the peritoneal cavity decreased steadily 1 day post-transplantation and were undetectable at 14 days. In the liver, spleen, kidney, and bone marrow, the number of HDP-on cells increased from 1 day to 7 days post-transplantation. The total percentage of injected cells detected in these tissues at 7 days ranged from a low of 0.4% in the kidney to a high of 4% in the spleen. However, similar to the peritoneal cavity, 14 days post-transplantation, no cells were detected in these tissues. At no point were HDP-on cells detected in the brain, heart, lung, or blood in BALB/c mice (Figure S7).

In NCG mice, the number of live HDP-on cells increased across all tissues between 7 days and 14 days post-transplantation. In the peritoneal cavity, the total percentage of injected cells detected increased from 151% ± 4% (7.55 × 10⁶ cells) at 7 days to 718% ± 208% (35.9 × 10⁶ cells) at 14 days post-transplantation, representing a 4.75-fold enhancement. The relative increase between 7 and 14 days was also high in the other organs, with 75-, 4-, 26-, and 29-fold enhancement, in the liver, spleen, kidney, and bone marrow, respectively. HDP-on cells were detected at 14 days in other tissues (brain, blood, and lungs), which did not show live cells in any other conditions we have tested (Figure S8). Furthermore, at 14 days, NCG mice displayed significant morbidity and mortality and were euthanized in accordance with UCSF IACUC protocols.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we demonstrated that (1) HDPs modified with a constructively active GMCSFR and IRF8-ERT (HDP-on cells) can self-renew without cytokine and rapidly differentiate into MØs; (2) HDP-on-MØs retain MØ-like behaviors, including phagocytosis and M1/M2 polarization; (3) HDP-on cells and HDP-on-MØs persist in immunodeficient NCG mice for at least 7 days, using a quantitative luciferase-based assay; and (4) liposomal clodronate pretreatment enhances the survival of transplanted HDP-on cells in healthy BALB/c mice for at least 7 days.

We modified a method developed by Wang et al.1,27 to hold myeloid progenitors in a self-renewal state by inserting a constitutively expressed Hoxb8 construct flanked by loxP sites. Removal of Hoxb8 was performed by a tamoxifen-induced Cre recombinase and induced differentiation of the progenitor into a MØ. Addition of HDP-on modifications produced progenitors capable of self-renewal without GMCSF and enabled accelerated differentiation. F4/80 surface expression by flow cytometry demonstrated that HDP-on cells differentiated into MØs in 6 days when treated with 40 nM 4-OHT and 1 µM
ruxolitinib. These HDP-on-MΦs responded to M1/M2 polarization signals and were phagocytic for fluorescent liposomes. Previous reports using MΦs for cell-based therapies have used bone-marrow-derived MΦs or immortalized RAW264 cell lines, which are either limited in number or highly transformed. Using HDPs, we were able to easily genetically engineer HDP cells using retrovirus to tailor the cell for various applications, generate large numbers of HDP cells, and differentiate these cells into functional MΦs.

Using retrovirus to tailor the cell for various applications, generate large numbers of HDP cells, and differentiate these cells into functional MΦs. The ability to generate large numbers of cells is especially important. Other cell-based therapies, including T cell and stem cell therapies, rely on self-renewing cell types to generate therapeutic doses of the cell for animal and clinical studies. Primary MΦs do not normally proliferate in vitro, so the methods described here reduce barriers for the evaluation of MΦ cell-based therapies. Having these cells enabled us to study the biodistribution of HDPs and MΦs in immunocompromised NCG and healthy BALB/c mice.

There has been relative paucity in quantitative approaches to determine the biodistribution of MΦ-based therapies. Most studies have relied on qualitative measures such as a biological functional response, histology, or flow cytometry. While these methods may determine the presence of the transplanted cell, a quantitative approach is needed to measure biodistribution, dose response, and cell survival to better assess and develop cell-based therapies. We used a luciferase-based system, as it provided a quantitative method to count only live cells due to poor serum stability of luciferase when a cell dies.

Endogenous MΦs may serve as barriers to the post-transplantation survival of HDP-on cells and HDP-on MΦs. This may be due to the highly phagocytic nature of MΦs, or the lack of a tissue niche for transplanted cells to survive. Phagocytic endogenous MΦs are responsible for the low post-transplantation survival of embryonic-stem-cell-derived hematopoietic progenitors in the highly immunodeficient NOD/SCID (non-obese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency) mouse model. Long-term post-transplantation survival of wild-type bone-marrow-derived MΦs have been observed in a Csf2rb KO mouse, which possesses small numbers of dysfunctional MΦs. In this model, the lack of functional MΦs may have allowed the functional MΦs to engraft and survive. Much like how immunosuppression by chemoablation or sublethal radiation is used to prepare hosts for the transplantation of T cells or hematopoietic stem cells, the removal of endogenous MΦs may generate tissue niches for transplanted HDP-on cells and HDP-on-MΦs to enable long-term survival. Administration of liposomal clodronate has been shown to temporarily eliminate endogenous MΦs, and we observed that pretreating mice with liposomal clodronate increases the post-transplantation survival of HDP-on cells but not HDP-on-MΦs.

We conducted biodistribution studies by injecting HDPs, HDP-on cells, HDP-MΦs and HDP-on-MΦs i.p. in immunodeficient NCG and immunocompetent BALB/c mice. HDPs survived significantly better than MΦs, with HDP-on cells having a higher number of injected cells than HDPs in the peritoneal cavity (120% versus 100%) 7 days post-transplantation. In BALB/c mice, HDPs were undetectable across all tissues 1 and 7 days post-transplantation. Addition of the HDP-on modifications enabled the detection of
HDPs in the peritoneal cavity up to 1 day post-transplantation. Pretreatment of animals with liposomal clodronate improved the survival of both HDPs and HDP-on cells; with cells detected in multiple tissues, including the peritoneal cavity, liver, and spleen up to 7 days post-transplantation. Substantial proliferation of HDP-on cells in NCG mice was detected across most tissues, while no cells were detected in the liposomal-clodronate-treated BALB/c mice 14 days post-transplantation.

The robust survival in NCG mice indicated that HDPs can survive with only endogenous cytokines in vivo. Detecting more than 100% of the injected cells is very significant, as it also indicates that cells are proliferating in vivo. The substantial reduction in surviving MΦs in both NCG and BALB/c mice may be indicative of the transplantation process being more deleterious on MΦs or that the peritoneal cavity may lack the survival factors required to support the survival of the 5 × 10^6 MΦs that were transplanted. Additionally, biodistribution studies conducted by transplanting cells via the intravenous route did not result in any cells detected beyond 1 day in any organ (data not shown).

Administration of liposomal clodronate via the peritoneal cavity temporarily removes MΦs from the peritoneal cavity, spleen, liver, and blood. Thus, removal of endogenous MΦs may be responsible for the improved HDP survival in liposomal-clodronate-treated BALB/c mice in the peritoneal cavity (60%–85% of total injected cells at 7 days), liver (0.9%–1.3%), spleen (3%–21%), kidney (<1%), and bone marrow (<1%). In support of this observation, MΦs are primarily responsible for removing embryonic-stem-cell-derived hematopoietic progenitors. The enhanced HDP survival after liposomal clodronate treatment is probably due to the removal of endogenous MΦs. The endogenous MΦs may phagocytose transplanted HDPs or occupy tissue niches required by HDPs for longer term survival.

In BALB/c mice pretreated with liposomal clodronate, the number of HDP-on cells steadily increased in the spleen, liver, kidneys, and bone marrow site over 7 days, indicating migration from the peritoneal cavity to other tissues. However, no HDP-on cells were detected at 14 days in any tissues. We believe that the loss of HDP-on cells between 7 and 14 days is possibly related to the re-establishment of endogenous tissue MΦ populations. After liposomal clodronate treatment, MΦs repopulate in the mouse spleen and rat liver within 7–14 days. The returning endogenous MΦs may phagocytose the transplanted HDP-on cells and/or reoccupy the niche. To improve long-term survival of HDP-on cells, repeated clodronate treatment may be required post-transplantation. Liposomal
clodronate pretreatment did not improve MΦ survival, though this may be due to residual liposomal clodronate killing transplanted MΦs. Liposomal clodronate injected intravenously (i.v.) is not detectable in the blood 3 hr post-injection, but administration i.p. may extend the overall clearance time. This may be addressed by a different injection schedule to allow for the clearance of liposomal clodronate before transplantation of MΦs.

Long-term survival (at least 9 months) of transplanted bone-marrow-derived MΦ has been demonstrated in the lungs of Csf2rb−/− mice.44 These mice have a significantly reduced number of endogenous lung MΦs, which could result in niches for the transplanted MΦs to occupy. Furthermore, Csf2rb encodes for the GMCSF receptor, which provides both survival and proliferation signals to MΦs in the presence of GMCSF. Thus, the wild-type MΦs had a survival and proliferative advantage over the endogenous Csf2rb−/− MΦs and, over time, were able to outcompete the endogenous MΦs for the remaining niches. The addition of HDP-on modifications to HDPs possibly provides a proliferative/survival advantage that did not appear to mimic the effect observed in these studies. However, our studies used a different route of administration in healthy mice. Long-term survival of transplanted MΦs may be more successful in appropriate disease models with impaired MΦs.

Based upon the survival of HDPs in NCG mice beyond 7 days, it is clear that the immunodeficiencies of NCG mice have an impact on the survival of HDPs. Immunodeficient NCG mice possess MΦs, yet HDP-on cells survive beyond 7 days. This would imply that B, T, or NK cell activity may also be responsible for the loss of transplanted HDPs in BALB/c mice. Syngeneic cells were injected into BALB/c mice, but the HDPs were extensively modified to express foreign proteins, including fluorescent proteins, antibiotic resistance markers, and luciferase. These types of foreign proteins can be immunogenic and lead to the rejection of transplanted cells.47–49

Rejection of GFP-expressing cells has been strongly associated with T cells,50 and NK cells target stem-cell-derived hematopoietic progenitors.51 Swijnenburg and colleagues performed human embryonic stem cell xenografts into mice and dissected the immune response to identify CD4+ T cells and the adaptive immunity response as responsible for the loss of transplanted cells.52,53 Using histology and flow cytometry on tissue digests, they identified significant immune cell (T, B, MΦ, and neutrophil) infiltration in the injection site.52 Furthermore, when immunocompetent mice received a second injection of stem cells, no cells were detected at 3 days post-injection.51 Similarly, in BALB/c mice that received an additional round of liposomal clodronate and a second HDP-on injection (liposomal clodronate on day 4 and day 1), HDP-on injection on day 0, second round of liposomal clodronate on days 7 and 11, and second HDP-on injection on day 12; Figure S9), no cells were detected in any tissue 3 days after the second dose. Additionally, in mice that received the xenograft, splenocytes secreted more IL-4 than IFNγ, which, respectively, corresponded to Th2 (humoral immunity) and Th1 (cellular immunity) responses. Immunoglobulin (Ig)M levels were also significantly higher after transplantation. Swijnenburg and colleagues performed xenograft survival studies in Nude, CD4−, and CD8− mice to determine CD4+ T cells as mediators for removing the xenograft.51 Finally, they identified that a pretreatment strategy of a combination of tacrolimus (a calcineurin inhibitor) and rapamycin enabled the xenograft to survive for at least 28 days.51

We believe that the combination of humoral and cellular immune responses they observed may also be applicable to HDP-on cells in syngeneic animals. Further experiments, using an array of immunodeficient mice or B/T/NK-cell-depletion strategies, are required to isolate the cell type(s) responsible for the rejection of HDP-on cells. A similar drug treatment approach, targeting T cell activity, may also enhance the survival of HDPs.53 Other methods, such as blockade of co-stimulatory molecules (anti-LFA1, anti-CD40L, or anti-CD80) or genetically engineering cells to reduce major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I or increase immunosuppressive cytokine production, may also be explored to increase survival.54

To summarize, we describe a modified HDP system to generate large numbers of fully functional MΦs and use these cells to perform quantitative biodistribution studies. We found that liposomal clodronate pretreatment increases the in vivo survival of transplanted HDP-on cells from 1 to 7 days. Experiments in NCG mice demonstrated the survival and expansion of HDP-on cells to at least 14 days, demonstrating the role of B, T, and/or NK cells in preventing longer term survival. Our proposed model for this behavior is that HDP-on cells are removed by two overlapping mechanisms (Figure 7). The first is mediated by endogenous MΦs, which act within 7 days to remove transplanted cells. The second is mediated by B, T, and/or NK cells and removes transplanted cells beyond 7 days. Therefore, while liposomal clodronate and genetic modifications may enhance the acute survival of HDPs, further efforts must be made to reduce the impact of the humoral and cell-mediated immune responses to increase the long-term survival of transplanted HDPs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

Reagents were acquired from the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Cell Culture Facility (UCSF CCF), unless otherwise indicated. HDPs were cultured in RPMI-1640 (50 mM HEPES, 1% penicillin-streptomycin (PenStrep)/amphotericin B antibiotic/antimycotic, 1% GlutaMAX [GIBCO], 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum [Hyclone], 0.55 mM 2-mercaptoethanol [Life Technologies], 1% GMCSF supplement [see Supplemental Materials and Methods]), using tissue-culture-treated flasks from Greiner Bio-One (product #658170) in a humidified incubator maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2. Cells expressing the constitutively active GMCSFR were cultured without the GMCSF supplement. Cell counts were determined using a hemocytometer, and live cells were enumerated using trypan blue staining.

HDPs and HDP-on cells were differentiated into MΦs using different protocols. MΦs derived from HDPs were differentiated by culturing
cells in 200 nM 4-OHT (Enzo Life Sciences) for 10 days. Differentiation was started with a cell density of \(2 \times 10^5\) cells per milliliter, and the media were changed every 2 days for the first 6 days. Thereafter, no media changes were performed until MΦ were collected on day 10.

MΦ differentiated from HDP-on cells were differentiated using 40 nM 4-OHT and 1 μM ruxolitinib (Selleckchem) for 6 days. Differentiation started with a cell density of \(2-4 \times 10^5\) cells per milliliter, and the media were changed only on day 3.

### Plasmid Construction

Plasmids for lentivirus and retrovirus production were cloned using standard techniques, including restriction cloning and Gibson assembly, depending on the applicability of each technique to the desired product. For the production of plasmids containing Hoxb8 (NCBI Gene ID: 15416), GMCSFR (NCBI Gene ID: 12983), and IRF8 (NCBI Gene ID: 15900), murine cDNAs were acquired from GE Dharmaco and cloned into pLVX or pMSCV vectors for lentivirus or retrovirus production, respectively, as described in the following section. Expression constructs also encoded antibiotic selection markers: blasticidin (bsd), puromycin (puro), zeocin (zeo), and neomycin (neo). To engineer the constitutive activity of GMCSFR, a QuikChange Lightning kit (Agilent Technologies) was used to modify the leucine in position 452 to glutamic acid (L452E), as adapted from Perugini et al.29 Vectors for plasmid construction were obtained from Addgene or commercially available from Clontech Laboratories. Constructs were sequence verified before use.

### lin− Bone Marrow Culture and Generating Hoxb8-Dependent Progenitors

The lin− cells were collected from the bone marrow of healthy female BALB/c mice by purifying the cells using a lineage depletion kit (Miltenyi Biotec, #130-090-858) as per manufacturer protocols (see Supplemental Materials and Methods). Following collection, the lin− cells were cultured overnight in 100 ng/mL stem cell factor (SCF) (#250-03), 10 ng/mL IL-3 (#213-13), and 20 ng/mL IL-6 (#216-16) (all cytokines were murine and obtained from PeproTech) before they were transduced by lentivirus encoding the Hoxb8 construct. Following transduction with the Hoxb8 lentivirus, the cells were cultured in 30 ng/mL GMCSF (Peprotech, 315-03).

### Lentivirus and Retrovirus Production

A second-generation lentivirus was used, requiring three plasmids: pCMV-dR8.91 (Delta 8.9) (containing gag, pol, and rev genes; Addgene #12263), VSV-G (envelope; Addgene #8454), and expression construct (pLVX-insert; Clontech #632187). For murine stem cell retrovirus, two plasmids are required: the packaging vector (pCL-Eco; Addgene #12371) and the expression construct (pMSCV; Clontech #634401). To produce either retroviral or lentiviral vectors, HEK293T cells were transduced using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) with the required plasmids, and the media were collected and replaced every day for 3 days (see Supplemental Materials and Methods). The media containing the virus vector were concentrated by mixing 1:3 (v/v) with Lenti-X or Retro-X concentrator (Clontech) overnight and centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 30 min in a 50-mL conical tube. The concentrated virus vector was resuspended in 200 μL RPMI and was used without further purification for viral vector transduction.

### Lentiviral and Retroviral Transduction

Cells were transduced with retrovirus or lentivirus vectors using the Spinfection method, as described in the Supplemental Materials and Methods.31 Briefly, \(2 \times 10^4\) cells were added to a RetroNectin (Clontech #T100B)-treated 48-well plate along with 50 μL retrovirus or lentivirus vector. The plate was centrifuged for 90 min at 1,500 \(\times\) g and 30°C. The culture was then allowed to recover overnight in a humidified 5% CO₂ 32°C incubator. After 3-5 days, the culture was expanded and tested for integration of the desired modifications. For antibiotic selection, 6 μg/mL blasticidin, 0.2 μg/mL puromycin, 30 μg/mL zeocin, or 1 mg/mL neomycin (Life Technologies) was added to the media.

### MΦ M1/M2 Polarization

MΦs were prepared as described previously. To polarize MΦs, the media were changed for the appropriate polarization media: 10 ng/mL LPS (Sigma), or 100 ng/mL IL-4 (Peprotech) for M1 or
M2, respectively. Following an overnight treatment, MΦs were washed with D-PBS, and RNA was collected using the RNaseasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) following manufacturer protocols. The expression of M1/M2 genes was then measured by qRT-PCR.

Real-Time qPCR
RNA was collected from cells samples as previously described. cDNA for real-time qPCR was produced using the SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (ThermoFisher). Real-time qPCR was performed using SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (BioRad) following manufacturer protocols, using a BioRad CFX96 thermal cycler. Each readout was normalized against an internal mouse beta-actin expression value and then compared to matched genes in other samples to determine fold change. For each sample and each gene, three replicates were performed, and the fold enhancement was averaged to yield a single value. To generate multiple values for statistical analysis, multiple experimental samples, as indicated in the relevant figure, were subjected to the described method. For primer sequences, please refer to the Supplemental Materials and Methods.

Flow Cytometry
Flow cytometry was conducted at the UCSF Flow Cytometry core on a BD Fortessa instrument (see Supplemental Materials and Methods). The isotype antibody control used was APC-labeled Rat IgG2a, κ (BioLegend, Clone RTK2758). Fc-receptor blocking was performed using rat anti-mouse CD16/CD32 (BD PharmMingen, Clone 2.4G2). Cells were labeled with allophycocyanin (APC)-labeled rat anti-F4/80 (BioLegend, Clone BM8, Rat IgG2a, κ) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and the data were analyzed using FlowJo software. Before analysis, dead cells and doublets were removed using forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) gating.

MΦ Phagocytosis
Fluorescent liposomes were prepared with a 3:1:2 mole ratio of HSPC:DSPG:cholesterol (HSPC: L-α-phosphatidylcholine, hydrogenated (Soy); DSPG: 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1’-rac-glycerol) [Avanti Polar Lipids]) with 1% 8-hydroxypyrene-1,3,6-trisulfonic acid (HPTS) (Sigma). The mixture of lipids in chloroform were placed in a round-bottom flask, and the chloroform was removed using a rotary evaporator. The resulting lipid film was dried under high vacuum overnight at room temperature. The film was reconstituted with HBS (140 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES) and sonicated under argon at room temperature for 40 min to form liposomes. The liposomes were then dialyzed for 24 hr in 2 L HBS in a 10,000-molecular-weight (MW) dialysis cassette (ThermoScientific) to remove unencapsulated HPTS and sterile filtered through a 0.45 μm filter (Millipore). For quantitative studies, liposomes were prepared in a similar fashion, using a 1:3:2 mole ratio of DSPG/DSPC/cholesterol (DSPC: 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) with 0.01% DiD (Biotium). Lipid films were prepared as described and sonicated with D-PBS under argon at 45°C for 20 min. DiD-labeled liposomes were extruded through a 100-nm polycarbonate membrane before sterile filtering through a 0.45 μm filter. The size and charge of the liposomes were determined using a Zetasizer (HPTS: diameter, 76 nm; PDI, 0.76; charge, –57 mV; DiD: diameter, 116 nm; PDI, 0.216; charge, –27.3 mV). Fluorescent bacteria were generated by transforming a BL21 E. coli strain with a pGEX-TagBFP plasmid.

Uptake experiments were performed on MΦs differentiated from HDPs treated with 200 nM 4-OHT for 10 days or HDP-on cells treated with 40 nM 4-OHT and 1 μM ruxolitinib for 6 days. MΦs were removed from T-75 tissue culture plates using 5 mL HyQTase (GE Healthcare) for 10 min, and 1 x 10⁷ MΦs were plated overnight in 1 mL media in a 12-well plate prior to incubation with liposomes or bacteria. For fluorescent imaging, MΦs were incubated with 1 mL 500 μM HPTS-liposome solution or 1 mL 10% live TagBFP-E. coli culture (optical density 600 [OD₆₀₀] = 0.5) in serum-free media for 3 hr or 30 min, respectively, in a humidified 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator. Following the incubations, wells were rinsed three times with 1 mL D-PBS. Cultures treated with bacteria were imaged without any further treatment, while liposome-treated cultures were stained with DAPI prior to imaging on a fluorescent microscope. For quantitative liposomal uptake studies, 1 x 10⁷ MΦs were plated overnight in 1 mL media in a 12-well plate prior to incubation with liposomes. The next day, MΦs were incubated with DiD liposomes at varying concentrations in serum-free media for 6 hr in a humidified 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator. The MΦ cell line, RAW264, was used as a comparative phagocytosis positive control cell line. The wells were washed with D-PBS three times, and then the cells were lysed with 1 mL radio immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (150 mM NaCl [Sigma], 1% Triton-100 [Sigma], 10% glycerol [molecular grade; Roche], and 50 mM Tris [ Fisher Scientific]). Total fluorescence was measured using a spectrophotometer (Fluorolog; Horiba) (excitation, 644; emission, 665). The amount of liposomes taken up by the cells was determined from a standard curve of DiD liposomes in RIPA buffer. To normalize the fluorescence signal to the number of MΦs in the well, total protein was measured using a BCA Protein Assay Kit (ThermoFisher).

Animals
All mice used in this study were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA) and maintained under pathogen-free conditions at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF). All mouse procedures were approved by the UCSF Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Two mouse strains were used in this study: BALB/c (strain #028) and NCG (NOD-Prkd/c2m26Cd52/l2g2em26Cd22/NjuCrl; strain #572). Due to their immune-deficient status, NCG mice were housed in ultraclean barrier facilities.

Cell Transplantation
Adherent MΦs were removed from T-75 tissue culture flasks by treatment with 5 mL HyQTase (GE Healthcare) for 10 min and gentle tapping of the flask. After removal from the flask, the cells were treated identically to suspension cells. Suspension HDP cells were washed twice with plain RPMI buffer and counted, and the required dose was resuspended in 500 μL for intraperitoneal injection. For each biodistribution experiment, the luciferase activity per cell was
determined to calculate the total luciferase units injected into the mouse.

Mice that were treated with liposomal clodronate (ClodLip BV) were dosed twice (4 days and 1 day prior to cell injection) with 100 μL liposomal clodronate (5 mg/mL) i.p. After the injection, the mouse was placed in a cage and observed for 10 min to ensure no adverse effects. No adverse effects were ever observed during this observation period. However, as reported by other groups, there was a 20%–25% mortality within 5 days of liposomal clodronate treatment.52 All animals were female and 8–10 weeks old at the time of injection.

Biodistribution
Animals were euthanized by an intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (200 mg/kg) and cervical dislocation, as approved by UCSF IACUC. Organs were collected, weighed, and placed on ice. Each organ was lysed with RIPA buffer (~200 mg tissue per milliliter of RIPA buffer) using a glass dounce grinder with a tight-fitting pestle, and a lysate was formed by using the pestle until no tissue was visible. The organ lysates were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant was used for further analysis. Blood samples (~50–200 μL) were collected into a tube containing 10 μL of 1 mg/mL heparin in D-PBS (Alfa Aeser) and were not processed further. Flashes of the peritoneal cavity were collected by injecting 5 mL of plain RPMI medium into the peritoneal cavity of a euthanized mouse. The mouse abdomen was massaged slightly to ensure proper mixing in the peritoneal cavity before a cut was made into the abdomen to drain the fluid with suspended cells into a collection dish. This suspension was transferred to a 15-mL tube and stored on ice until ready for measurement. Immediately prior to the luciferase activity measurement of samples from the peritoneal cavity, the cell suspension was mixed thoroughly by inverting the tube several times.

Luciferase activity was determined using SteadyGLO (Promega), as per manufacturer protocols. Clarified organ lysate (100 μL) was mixed with 100 μL SteadyGLO in a glass tube. Total luminescence was measured using a luminometer (MGM Instruments) over a 10-s period. The luciferase activity from the measured sample was multiplied with an appropriate correction factor to determine the total luciferase activity in each organ. The percentage of injected cells in each organ was calculated by dividing the total luciferase activity in each organ by the total luciferase activity of the injected cells. In control experiments, the presence of organ lysate did not reduce the luciferase activity of a known number of cells by more than 10%, compared to cells in RIPA alone (data not shown).

Statistics
Statistics analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5. To determine the significance between datasets, one-way ANOVA was performed, followed by a Bonferroni post-test. For comparison of pharmacokinetic data, a one-sided t test was used to compare within the same time point. Significance was reported as follows: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, or ***p < 0.001. Error bars represent SE.
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Clodronate Improves Survival of Transplanted Hoxb8 Myeloid Progenitors with Constitutively Active GMCSFR in Immunocompetent Mice

Simon Lee, Saul Kivimäe, and Francis C. Szoka
Figure S1: Gene expression of HDP-on treated with M1 and M2 inducers. HDP-on were treated overnight with 10 ng/mL LPS or 100 ng/mL IL-4 and gene expression of established M1 and M2 genes (M1: IL12b, iNOS, TNF; M2: Arg1, CD206, CCL17) was measured by qPCR. Fold enhancement is expressed relative to untreated HDP-on MΦs.
Figure S2: Relative gene expression of MΦ and M1/M2 markers of M1/M2 polarized HDP-on MΦ treated with opposing M1/M2 inducers. Cultures were treated for 24 h with 100 ng/mL IL-4 or 10 ng/mL LPS. For IL-4 to LPS or LPS to IL-4 cultures, cells were treated for 24 h with 100 ng/mL IL-4 or 10 ng/mL LPS before the media was swapped for the opposing treatment for another 24 h. MΦ were differentiated for 6 days in 40 nM 4-OHT and 1 µM ruxolitinib in tissue culture plastic flasks before 1x10^5 cells were plated in a 12 well plate overnight before treatment. Relative expression is calculated by comparing expression levels between differentiated cells and HDP-on MΦ.

Figure S3: Biodistribution of HDP, HDP-on, HDP MΦs and HDP-on MΦs in immunodeficient NCG mice 7 days post injection. Mice were injected intraperitoneally with 5x10^6 cells in 500 µL RPMI with a 28 gauge syringe, euthanized after 7 days and tissues were analyzed for luciferase activity. Statistics: N = 6 for HDP-on, N = 3 for other conditions.
Figure S4: Biodistribution of HDP and HDP-on in mice pretreated with liposomal clodronate 1 day post-transplantation. For clodronate pretreatment, mice were injected IP with 100 µL liposomal clodronate (5mg/mL) 4 and 1 days before cell injection. Healthy BALB/c mice were injected intraperitoneally with 5x10^6 cells in 500 µL RPMI with a 28 gauge syringe, euthanized after 1 days and tissues were analyzed for luciferase activity. Statistics: N = 3 per condition.

Figure S5: Biodistribution of HDP and HDP-on in mice pretreated with liposomal clodronate 7 days post-transplantation. For clodronate pretreatment, mice were injected IP with 100 µL liposomal clodronate (5mg/mL) 4 and 1 days before cell injection. Healthy BALB/c mice were injected intraperitoneally with 5x10^6 cells in 500 µL RPMI with a 28
gauge syringe, euthanized after 7 days and tissues were analyzed for luciferase activity. Statistics: N = 3 for HDP with no liposomal clodronate, N = 6 for all other conditions.

Figure S6: Biodistribution of macrophages derived from HDP and HDP-on in mice pretreated with liposomal clodronate 1 and 7 days post-transplantation. Healthy BALB/c mice were injected intraperitoneally with 5x10⁶ cells in 500 µL RPMI with a 28 gauge syringe, euthanized after 1 or 7 days and tissues were analyzed for luciferase activity. Statistics: N = 3 for all conditions.

Figure S7: Biodistribution of HDP-on in clodronate-pretreated BALB/c mice at 1, 3, 7 and 14 days post-transplantation. Mice were injected IP with 100 µL liposomal clodronate (5mg/mL) 4 and 1 days before cell injection. BALB/c mice were injected intraperitoneally
with 5x10^6 cells in 500 µL RPMI with a 28 gauge syringe, euthanized after 14 days and tissues were analyzed for luciferase activity. Statistics: N = 3 per time point

Figure S8: Biodistribution of HDP-on in immunodeficient NCG mice 14 days post-transplantation. NCG mice were injected intraperitoneally with 5x10^6 cells in 500 µL RPMI with a 28 gauge syringe, euthanized after 14 days and tissues were analyzed for luciferase activity. Statistics: N = 3
Figure S9: Biodistribution of HDP-on in clodronate-pretreated BALB/c mice which received a second injection of HDP-on. (Top): Treatment scheme of mice which received second injection. (Bottom): Biodistribution of mice which received second injection, presented with data from mice which receive only a single injection of HDP-on. Mice were injected IP with 100 µL liposomal clodronate (5 mg/mL) 4 and 1 days before cell injection. BALB/c mice were injected intraperitoneally with 5x10^6 cells in 500 µL RPMI with a 28 gauge syringe, euthanized after 14 days and tissues were analyzed for luciferase activity. Statistics: N = 3 per time point
2 Methods

2.1 Supplemental GM-CSF Media

A GM-CSF expressing L929 cell line was generated by transducing L929 cells with a lentiviral construct (pLVX-GMCSF-IRES-tdTomato). Positively transduced cells were isolated by FACS and used to generate the supplemental media. GM-CSF L929 cells were grown to confluency, whereupon the media was switched to a low serum formulation (DMEM, 50 mM HEPES, 1% PenStrep/Amphotericin B antibiotic/antimycotic, 1% Glutamax (Gibco), 0.5% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (Hyclone)). This reduces the proliferation capacity of the cells but maintains survival. After 3 days, the media was collected, centrifuged, filtered and frozen for future use. GM-CSF levels were measured following the protocols from a GM-CSF ELISA kit from Boster.

2.2 Lin: bone marrow culture and infection

Preparation:

**Progenitor outgrowth media:**
RPMI + 10% FBS + 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol + 100 ng/mL SCF + 10 ng/mL IL-3 + 20 ng/mL IL-6 (all cytokines from Peprotech)

**Maintenance media:**
RPMI1640 + 10% FBS +50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol + cytokine (30 ng/mL GM-CSF)

Method:
1. CO₂ euthanize one mouse and collect the leg bones: femur, tibia and fibula. Remove as much of the tissue as possible and rinse in PBS.
2. Crush bones in PBS/0.5%BSA+2% mouse serum 2x(1 wet crush + 1 dry crush), collect into 50 mL Falcon tube
3. Triturate cell clumps by pipetting up and down with 5 mL tissue culture pipet
4. Filter cells/bone fragments through 40 µm strainer into another 50 ml Falcon tube
5. Spin 5 min at 1500 rpm
6. Resuspend in 4 mL PBS/0.5%BSA
7. Load on 3 mL Ficoll-Paque gradient
8. Spin 10 min at 2000 rpm
9. Collect all cells except bottom pellet
10. Dilute in 43 mL PBS/0.5%BSA
11. Spin 5 min at 2000 rpm
12. Resuspend in 3 mL PBS/0.5%BSA
13. Count cells
14. Spin 5 min at 1500 rpm
15. Resuspend at 40 μl/10⁷ cells in PBS/0.5%BSA
16. Add 10 μl of biotinylated antibody cocktail/ 10⁷ cells (Miltenyi Lineage Depletion Kit)
17. Mix, incubate 20 min at 4°C in coldroom (mix gently second time at 10 min)
18. Add 30μl of PBS/0.5%BSA per 10⁷ cells
19. Add 20μl of magnetic beads/ 10⁷ cells (Miltenyi Lineage Depletion Kit)
20. Mix, incubate 15min at 4°C (mix gently second time at 7 min)
21. Add 1 mL PBS/0.5%BSA
22. Spin 10 min at 300g
23. Equilibrate Miltenyi MS column on magnet with 500 μl PBS/0.5%BSA while cells are spinning
24. Resuspend cells in 500 μl PBS/0.5%BSA per 10^8 cells
25. Apply cells to column
26. Collect flowthrough – this contains the lin- cells (approximately 1x10^5-5x10^5 cells depending on strain and age)
27. Wash column 2x with 750 μL PBS/0.5%BSA
28. Collect and pool washes with flowthru (total 2 mL)
29. Count cells
30. Spin 5 min at 1500rpm
31. Resuspend at 10^6 cells/ml in progenitor outgrowth media in 48 or 24 well (will grow/differentiate faster when more dense)
32. Incubate cells 24-48 h at 37°C
33. Count cells
34. Infect 2 x 10^5 cells/ml by spinoculation on retronectin coated 48 well plate in 0.3 mL at 3000rpm for 90min (maintenance media + conc. virus + 0.1% Lipofectamine2000)
35. Add 0.3 mL media after spin
36. Incubate overnight at 32°C in tissue culture incubator
37. Change ½ media next day
38. Passage non-adherent cells to new well with new media every 1-3 days

2.3 Lentivirus and Retrovirus Production

Preparation:
1. Culture HEK293T cells for at least 2 passages (~5 days) prior to transfection
3. Note: HEK293T cells have very poor adherency, especially during the virus production phase. Take great care in gently changing media, and use 1% gelatin treated flasks.

Method:
1. One day prior to transfection, passage HEK293T into a T75 treated with 1% gelatin solution (apply solution for ~10 mins, wash 2x with PBS) such that it will be 70-80% confluency on the day of transfection.
2. Prepare lipofectamine and DNA solution:
   a. 1.875 mL Opti-Mem + 75 µL Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen)
   b. 1.875 mL Opti-Mem + 30 µg DNA (Lentivirus: 14 µg pLVX-insert, 6 µg VSV, 10 µg dR8.2; retrovirus: 15 µg pCL-Eco, 15 µg pMSCV-insert)
3. Allow solutions to incubate individually for 5 mins, then mix together, incubating the mixed solution for 20 mins.
4. Remove media from flask and add lipo/DNA solution (dilute to 10 mL Opti-MEM)
5. After 6 h, replace with fresh DMEM media.
6. Collect and change media every 24 h, up to 4 days, storing at 4°C.
   a. Check after 24 h for fluorescence of HEK293T cells if insertion construct has a fluorescent marker
   b. Be very careful, as the culture gets older, the cells become less adherent. Pipette gently and handle the flask with care to prevent the cells from sloughing off the surface
c. *All materials from this point on should be treated with bleach solution*
7. Filter the collected media through a 0.45 µm filter.
8. Add Lenti-X-Concentrator or Retro-X-Concentrator (Clontech) (~13 mL to 40 mL of media), and incubate overnight at 4°C
9. Spin at max speed (1500 g) for 45 min at 4°C, and resuspend pellet in 400 µL of PBS.
10. Aliquot into 50 or 100 µL vials and freeze at -80°C until ready for use.

### 2.4 Lentivirus and Retrovirus Transduction
1. Coat infection wells (48 well plate) day before with 5-10 µg/mL retronectin (Clontech) in PBS overnight at 4°C.
2. Next day rinse coated wells 1x with PBS
3. Block non-specific binding with PBS+0.5% BSA for 30 min at room temperature.
4. Rinse blocked wells 2x with cell media
5. Mix in coated wells 20,000 cells in 200 µL growth media + 50 µL virus + 0.1% Lipofectamine 2000
6. Spin plate for 90 min at 1500 g at 30°C.
7. After spin add 300 µL growth media
8. Place cells overnight to 32°C cell culture incubator
9. Transfer next day to 37°C
10. Expand and assay for integration 5 days after infection. Split before if too dense after 3-4 days.

### 2.5 qPCR
*Note that all pipette tips used in these protocols should be filter tipped to prevent cross contamination*

#### Reverse transcription to generate first-strand cDNA
1. Mix the following components:
   a. 4 µL Superscript VILO Mastermix (Invitrogen)
   b. 500 ng RNA
   c. X µL DEPC-treated water to 20 µL final volume
2. In a thermocycler, using the following program:
   a. 25°C for 10 min
   b. 42°C for 60 min
   c. 85°C for 5 min
3. Dilute the 20 µL solution into 380 µL water and store at -20°C until ready for use

#### Quantitative PCR (qPCR)
1. For each single run, prepare the following mixture. A mastermix can be made without the cDNA and pipetted into the wells of the plate (96 well thin walled hard shell PCR plates HSP9655 (Bio-Rad)). cDNA should be added individually to each well (scale as appropriate):
   a. 10 µL SsoFast Evogreen MM (Bio-Rad)
   b. 1 µL cDNA
   c. 0.8 µL Forward Primer (10 µM)
   d. 0.8 µL Reverse Primer (10 µM)
   e. 7.4 µL Water
2. Prepare triplicates for each gene per each cDNA sample.
3. Seal the plate with B seals (MSB1001, Bio-Rad)
4. In a Bio-Rad CFX96 thermocycler, set the following program:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycling Step</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th># of Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme Activation</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denaturation</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annealing</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt Curve</td>
<td>65-95 (0.5 inc)</td>
<td>5/step</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. For each sample, calculate the ΔCt values between actin and the gene of interest. To determine fold change from an untreated sample, use the following equation:

\[
Fold\ Change = 2^{-(\Delta C_t_{sample} - \Delta C_t_{control})}
\]

**qPCR Primers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>PrimerBank ID*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actin F</td>
<td>GGCTGTATTCCCCTCCATCG</td>
<td>6671509a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin R</td>
<td>CCAGTTGGTAACATGCGGATG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elane F</td>
<td>AGCACTGCAAGTTGTGAACGG</td>
<td>7657060a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elane R</td>
<td>CACAGGCTCCACCTCGGAATGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prtn3 F</td>
<td>ATGGGCTGAAGCTACCCATTC</td>
<td>31981542a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prtn3 R</td>
<td>TGCCCACTCACAATGCTGAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms4a3 F</td>
<td>GTGCTCTCTTATACAGCCCTT</td>
<td>18875420a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms4a3 R</td>
<td>ACACCTGGTAGCCTGCTAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plac8 F</td>
<td>GCTCAGGCACCAACAGTTATC</td>
<td>21105853a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plac8 R</td>
<td>GCTGCCACTTGACATCCAAGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emr1 (F4/80) F</td>
<td>TAAGCTACACTTTGTGGGCTTAA</td>
<td>2078508a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emr1 (F4/80) R</td>
<td>CTCCCAGAATCCAGGTTACCCCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL12b F</td>
<td>TGGTTGAGCCATCAGGCG</td>
<td>6680397a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL12b R</td>
<td>ACACCTGGAGGCTGCTTCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iNOS (Nos 2) F</td>
<td>GCTTCAGCGAGCGAATACAGA</td>
<td>6754872a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iNOS R</td>
<td>GTGGGAGGCTTGCACTCTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF F</td>
<td>CACGACCATGACATCATCTCTCT</td>
<td>7305585a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF R</td>
<td>GCTACGACCTGGCGGCTACAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1 F</td>
<td>CTGGCAAGGCAAGGTCTTTAGAG</td>
<td>7106255a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg1 R</td>
<td>AGGAGCTGATGCTTTGACAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD206 (Mrc1) F</td>
<td>CTCTGTTGCTATTGGGACG</td>
<td>6678932a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD206 R</td>
<td>CGGTTTGTGCTTGCTGCTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL17 F</td>
<td>TACCATGAGGCTCATCCATG</td>
<td>225735578c1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL17 R</td>
<td>GCACTTCGCGCTACATATTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each pair is identified with one PrimerBankID, taken from PrimerBank [https://pga.mgh.harvard.edu/primerbank/](https://pga.mgh.harvard.edu/primerbank/)

2.6 Flow Cytometry
Flow cytometry was conducted at the UCSF Flow Cytometry core on a BD Fortessa instrument. Cells were labelled with antibodies according to manufacturer instructions and the data was analyzed using FlowJo (FlowJo, LLC.). Cell sorting was conducted on a BD FACS Aria instrument.

**Cell Surface Labeling**

1. Spin down 500,000+ cells, 400 g 4 min
2. Resuspend cells in PBS+0.5% FBS
3. Spin cells down again
4. Resuspend cells in PBS+0.5% FBS at 10^6 cells per 100 µL
5. Mix 100 µL of cells with 1 µg of unlabeled anti-CD16/32 (FcBlock)
6. Incubate RT, 10 min
7. Add labeling antibody (0.1-1µg) (directly conjugated or biotinylated). For F4/80, use rat IgG2b κ isotype, anti-mouse F4/80 (0.2 µg per 10^6 cells in 100 µL), labelled with APC (Biolegend). Isotype used for control was unlabeled rat IgG2b κ isotype (Biolegend)
8. Incubate on ice 30-60 min
9. Add 200 ng labeled streptavidin if labeling antibody was biotinylated for 10 min
10. Add 900 µL PBS+0.5% FBS
11. Spin down cells, 400 g 4 min
12. Resuspend labeled cells in 500 µL PBS+0.5% FBS
13. Read out on FACS (BD Fortessa at Parnassus Flow Cytometry Core, UCSF)

Have neg controls (no Ab, isotype specific non-specific Ab, no-expression-of-target cells)

**Fluorescent Protein Cell Analysis**

For cells that are fluorescently labeled, cells can be centrifuged and resuspended in D-PBS and analyzed on FACS without any further treatment.

**DRAQ7 Staining for Dead Cells**

Staining for live/dead cells was done using DRAQ7 (Abcam), which labels dead and apoptotic cells for flow cytometry, using the manufacturer protocols.