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Summary

Dendritic cells initiate immune responses by ferrying antigen from the tissues to the lymphoid organs for presentation to lymphocytes. Little is known about the molecular mechanisms underlying this migratory behavior. We have identified a chemokine receptor which appears to be selectively expressed in human dendritic cells derived from CD34⁺ cord blood precursors, but not in dendritic cells derived from peripheral blood monocytes. When stably expressed as a recombinant protein in a variety of host cell backgrounds, the receptor shows a strong interaction with only one chemokine among 25 tested: the recently reported CC chemokine macrophage inflammatory protein 3α. Thus, we have designated this receptor as the CC chemokine receptor 6. The cloning and characterization of a dendritic cell CC chemokine receptor suggests a role for chemokines in the control of the migration of dendritic cells and the regulation of dendritic cell function in immunity and infection.

Dendritic cells (DCs) are central to antigen-specific immunity in vertebrates. They act in vivo as immune potentiating cells, sampling the antigenic environment and presenting those antigens to effector lymphocytes (for reviews see references 1 and 2). DCs are found at many sites in the body, particularly at or near surface areas where antigen contact is likely, and in the lymphoid organs where they form close associations with T cells. Migration is an integral part of DC function; precursor cells must migrate from the bone marrow to resident sites in the tissue. After exposure to antigen or inflammatory stimuli, many DCs migrate from their resident sites to the secondary lymphoid organs (1–4). Much of how DCs achieve their migratory functions remains a mystery. Recent attention has focused on the chemokine system, a multipartite superfamily of chemotactic cytokines that induce the directed migration of leukocytes and other cells, and on the superfamily of G protein-coupled, seven transmembrane receptor proteins through which chemokines act (for reviews see references 5–8). Chemokines are involved not only in immune cell trafficking and inflammation, but are also central to infectious disease processes. For example, certain chemokines have been shown to be endogenous inhibitors of HIV-1 entry (9), and some chemokine receptors are "cofactors" or "second receptors" for HIV binding and fusion with target cells (10–15).

The understanding of how chemokines might regulate the migration of DCs is still rather sparse, though a few reports clearly demonstrate that certain CC chemokines are indeed chemoattractants for some types of DC in vitro (16, 17). It has been also demonstrated that HIV-1 can infect DCs in vitro through interactions with CC chemokine receptor (CCR)5, CXC chemokine receptor (CXCR)4, and probably another chemokine receptor (18). To address some of the questions surrounding the control of DC function at the molecular level, we sought to identify new elements of the chemokine system in these cells. Here, we report on the isolation of a new chemokine receptor, CCR 6, which is highly expressed in CD34⁺ cell-derived DCs, but not in mono-
cyte-derived DCs, and its interaction with a recently described CC chemokine, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP) -3α (19).

Materials and Methods

PCR Screening of cDNA Libraries. cDNA plasmid libraries from DCs, eosinophils, and T cells were used as templates in PCR reactions as follows: 50 μl total volume in 0.2-ml tubes with 5 μM degenerate primers second intracellular loop (IC2) sense (5′-GATCGTNTAGGTGATNTGCNAGC6-3′) and transmembrane (TM)7 antisense (5′-GCATANTNANGGGAATC6-3′) in buffer containing 20 mM NH₄SO₄, 75 mM Tris HCl, pH 9.0, at 25°C, 0.01% Tween 20, 2 mM MgCl₂, 200 mM deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates and 2.5 units Taq DNA polymerase (ABT, London, UK). "Touchdown" PCR was performed as follows: 15 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 65°C annealing for 1 min decreasing by 1°C per cycle, and 72°C for 2 min followed by 20 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min. PCR products (550 bp) were purified after agarose gel electrophoresis by absorption to glass beads (Qiaex II; Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) and cloned into vector pSPORT (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD). In addition, radiolabeled probes generated from the PCR products were used to isolate full-length BN-1 clones by colony hybridization and restriction mapping, and double-strand sequencing was performed using flanking M13 and T7 primers. Sequencing was performed using a Giga prep (Qiagen). Southern analysis, large scale plasmid DNA preparations of amplified genomic DNAs of the radiation hybrid mapping panel Genebridge 4 were obtained from the Medical Research Council HGM Resource Centre (Cambridge, UK).

Receptor-Ligand Binding and Signaling Analyses. Full-length BN-1 expression constructs were first made in pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA) and used to generate stable transfectants in human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells as described (20). To control for levels of cell-surface expression of the receptor, subsequent constructs were made in which the M2 "Flag" epitope was attached to the NH₂-terminal portion of the receptor. Anti-M2 antibody was then used to detect cell-surface BN-1-encoded polypeptide and to sort the highest expressing cells for stable propagation. Intracellular calcium signaling analyses were performed as described (21). Equilibration binding was done using a standard filtration protocol using 10⁶ cells incubated with 0.1 nM [125I]MIP-3α (custom labeled by Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL) in the presence increasing amounts of unlabeled MIP-3α competitor. Reactions were incubated for 2 h at 22°C before being aspirated onto GF/C filters, washed, and measured by scintillation counting. Data were analyzed using IgorPro software (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, Oswego, OR).

Results

Cloning of Novel Chemokine Receptor Candidate cDNAs. Degenerate reverse transcription PCR was used to identify novel chemokine receptor-like sequences from several cell types: monocytes, cultured T cells, eosinophils, and DCs. A combination of primer pairs was used representing homologous regions within the family of known chemokine receptors and other seven transmembrane-spanning receptors associated with cell motility. Primer pairs from regions encoding TM2 and TM7, as well as the IC2, were used on subclone mRNAs or cDNAs from the cells listed above. Of these, primer pair IC2 sense and TM7 antisense generated from DCs and to a lesser extent eosinophils, a 550-bp PCR product whose sequence was at that time not present in any of the available databases. The 550-bp cDNA representing the IC2-TM7 segment were then used to isolate from a DC library a larger cDNA clone, designated 'Barney' or BN-1, encoding the entire presumptive open reading frame; BIOS Labs., New Haven, CT) was used as a template in a 50-μl PCR reaction. The primer pairs were: BN1, 5′-GACCCGTGACATCGCAGCCATTGACGCGCC; BN2, 5′-CTGAACTTCGTCCCAATGGAGCTAG; BN3, 5′-GTACAGTCGCTAGGGCTTCTCCTCG; and BN4, 5′-TGCAATACACTCTATAGATGTTCAC. Human TNF-α promoter primers TNF1: 5′-CAACACAGGCTCTAGGACTC and TNF2: 5′-AGGGAGCCTCTGCTGTTGCTG were a gift of Dominic Kwiatkowski. Genomic DNA from a panel of 16 somatic cell hybrids containing deletions and translocations of human chromosome 6 were a gift of Dr. J.M. Boyle (Paterson Institute, Manchester, UK). Genomic DNAs of the radiation hybrid mapping panel Genebridge 4 were obtained from the Medical Research Council HGM Resource Centre (Cambridge, UK).

Chromosomal Localization. Genomic DNA (400 ng) from a panel of 20 human-rodent somatic cell hybrids cell lines (Bioset; BIOS Labs., New Haven, CT) was used as a template in a 50-μl PCR reaction. The primer pairs were: BN1, 5′-GACCCGTGACATCGCAGCCATTGACGCGCC; BN2, 5′-CTGAACTTCGTCCCAATGGAGCTAG; BN3, 5′-GTACAGTCGCTAGGGCTTCTCCTCG; and BN4, 5′-TGCAATACACTCTATAGATGTTCAC. Human TNF-α promoter primers TNF1: 5′-CAACACAGGCTCTAGGACTC and TNF2: 5′-AGGGAGCCTCTGCTGTTGCTG were a gift of Dominic Kwiatkowski. Genomic DNA from a panel of 16 somatic cell hybrids containing deletions and translocations of human chromosome 6 were a gift of Dr. J.M. Boyle (Paterson Institute, Manchester, UK). Genomic DNAs of the radiation hybrid mapping panel Genebridge 4 were obtained from the Medical Research Council HGM Resource Centre (Cambridge, UK).

Receptor-Ligand Binding and Signaling Analyses. Full-length BN-1 expression constructs were first made in pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA) and used to generate stable transfectants in human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells as described (20). To control for levels of cell-surface expression of the receptor, subsequent constructs were made in which the M2 "Flag" epitope was attached to the NH₂-terminal portion of the receptor. Anti-M2 antibody was then used to detect cell-surface BN-1-encoded polypeptide and to sort the highest expressing cells for stable propagation. Intracellular calcium signaling analyses were performed as described (21). Equilibration binding was done using a standard filtration protocol using 10⁶ cells incubated with 0.1 nM [125I]MIP-3α (custom labeled by Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL) in the presence increasing amounts of unlabeled MIP-3α competitor. Reactions were incubated for 2 h at 22°C before being aspirated onto GF/C filters, washed, and measured by scintillation counting. Data were analyzed using IgorPro software (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, Oswego, OR).
database as accession No. U68030, and was reported as a potential chemokine-like orphan receptor sequence of unknown function (22, 23). Multiple sequence alignment of the protein encoded by BN-1 with other human chemokine receptor sequences showed amino acid identity with CXCR1 and CXCR2 of \( \approx 38\% \), but this is only slightly more identity than to the closest human CC chemokine receptor (CCR4, \( \approx 36\% \)). The sequence alignment of Fig. 1 shows that parts of the BN-1–encoded ORF share extensive homology with CXC receptors, but not CC receptors (e.g., within TM3), whereas other parts of the BN-1 ORF show closer homology to CCR4 (e.g., the intracellular TM3–TM4 loop). Phylogenetic depictions of the evolutionary relatedness of the various chemokine receptors show BN-1 to be on a branch containing CXCR1, CXCR2, and CXCR4, and distinct from the branch containing the previously described human CC chemokine receptors CCR1–CCR5 (not shown).

Chromosomal Localization of the BN-1 Gene. We analyzed a panel of human–rodent somatic cell hybrids to ascertain the location of the human BN-1 gene. PCR using two pairs of BN-1–specific oligonucleotides consistently yielded a PCR product in only those hybrids containing human chromosome 6 (Fig. 2 A), Positive controls using PCR primers against a known human chromosome 6 gene, human TNF, confirmed the hybrid cell line karyotyping (Fig. 2 B), and localization of BN-1 on human chromosome 6 was confirmed by PCR typing of chromosome 6 deletion and translocation hybrids (not shown). We next used a radiation hybrid panel (Fig. 2 legend) to unambiguously localize the BN-1 gene to 6q26-27, consistent with the fluorescent in situ hybridization analysis of Liao, et al. (23). This constitutes a new locus for chemokine receptors; the CC chemokine receptors CCR1–CCR5 are closely linked on chromosome 3p21, the CXC receptors CXCR1 and CXCR2 map to chromosome 2q35, and CXCR4 maps to chromosome 2q21.

Distribution of BN-1 Message. Various direct and indirect approaches were used to assess the distribution of BN-1 mRNAs. A Northern blot containing mRNA from various organs and tissues showed a \( \approx 3.5\)-kb message predominantly in spleen, and to a lesser extent in thymus, testis, small intestine, and peripheral blood (Fig. 3 A, top left). In addition, the spleen showed two smaller transcripts of \( \approx 2.7 \) and 1.7 kb. mRNAs from various lymphoid and hematopoietic cell lines (TF-1, Jurkat, MRC5, JY, and U937; Fig 3 A, top right) were negative for BN-1 expression. For cell
lines and tissues where limited amounts of mRNA precluded direct Northern analysis, expression was determined by the extent of hybridization among the gel-fractionated population of cDNA inserts from libraries made from those cells. BN-1 expression was examined first in 19 cDNA libraries made from various cells of lymphoid lineage (Fig. 3A, bottom). BN-1 cDNA was present in one library made from resting PBMCs, consistent with the observation of...
vitro: (two principle methods by which DCs can be generated in distribution of the receptor among these cells. There are from a library derived from human DCs, we assessed the with cellular activation or growth in lymphocyte cultures. lymphoid lineage, or that its expression is downregulated appears that BN-1 may not be abundantly expressed in the Act (24, 25), and (by prolonged exposure of these cells to GM-CSF and IL-4 (Splen) activation of splenocytes with anti-CD40 and IL-4 (Splen A d) showed diminished levels of BN-1 cDNA. Thus it appears that BN-1 may not be abundantly expressed in the lymphoid lineage, or that its expression is downregulated with cellular activation or growth in lymphocyte cultures.

Since the original BN-1 PCR product was generated from a library derived from human DCs, we assessed the distribution of the receptor among these cells. There are two principle methods by which DCs can be generated in vitro: (a) stimulation of peripheral monocyte progenitors by prolonged exposure of these cells to GM-CSF and IL-4 (24, 25), and (b) by culture of CD34 precursor cells from bone marrow or cord blood with GM-CSF and TNF. Resultant DCs can be tracked via expression of differentiation markers such as CD1a and CD86, and purified by sorting for one or more of these markers. DCs generated by both procedures take on the typical veiled morphology and achieve antigen presentation capability (26, 27).

A striking distribution of BN-1 cDNA was seen in a panel of libraries made from monocytes and two types of in vitro-derived dendritic cells, suggesting marked differences between monocyte- and CD34-derived DCs. BN-1 was not present in any of the libraries derived from purified elutriated monocytes, and there were few if any BN-1 cDNAs in the libraries prepared from monocyte-derived DCs (Fig. 3 B, top). By contrast, there is clear expression of BN-1 as the CD34+ cells develop into differentiated DCs (Fig. 3 B, top). Although little signal is seen when these cultures are 30% CD1a+ (at about day 6), abundant message is present by the time the cells are 70% CD1a+ (about day 12). Interestingly, when 70% CD1a+ cultures are stimulated for 1–6 h with PM A and ionomycin (70% CD1a+ act 6 h), much less BN-1 cDNA is found in the libraries subsequently made from those cells (Fig. 3 B, top). BN-1 is most abundantly represented in libraries made from DC cultures derived from cells which were sorted on the basis of CD1a expression (95% CD1a; Langerhans-like), and less well in those DCs that were derived from CD14+ sorted cells (dermal/interstitial-like) (Fig. 3 B, top; reference 27). To control for the quality of monocyte and monocyte-derived DC libraries, we probed with other markers, including the CC chemokine human CCF-18/MIP-1y (28). Strikingly, the cDNA distribution pattern for this chemokine is almost directly inverse of that for BN-1 (Fig. 3 B, bottom). Finally, PCR performed directly on primary, unamplified poly A mRNA harvested from monocyte- and CD34-derived DCs yielded amplification products whose pattern mirrored the hybridizations seen in the library southern blots (Fig. 3 C). Taken together, the distribution data suggest that BN-1 seems to be expressed most highly in a mature phenotype of DCs derived from the differentiation of CD34+ cells, and is not well expressed in DCs generated from monocytes.

Figure 4. Chemokine specificity of the receptor encoded by BN-1. (A) A sorted population of transfected CHO cells stably expressing BN-1 protein containing an NH2-terminal Flag epitope (CHO-FlagBN1) showing intensity of anti-Flag mAb staining relative to wild-type CHO cells. CHO wild-type cells are shown as a control. BN-1–bearing CHO cells (B) Panel of purified recombinant or synthetic chemokines assayed for intracellular calcium mobilizing activity in various BN-1 transfectants. At 100 nM final concentration – indicates no detectable intracellular calcium mobilization in any of the transfectants (n > 3), whereas ++ denotes a robust response in all transfectants (CHO, 3T3, HS293) bearing BN-1. (C) Dose response of MIP-3x in the induction of intracellular calcium in CHO-FlagBN1 cells CHO wild-type cells are shown as a control. (D) Binding and homologous competition of radiolabeled MIP-3x to BN-1-bearing cells. Scatchard transformation of the binding data to reveal a Kd of ~0.1 nM.

Zaballos et al. for expression of the CKR-L3 orphan cDNA in CD4 and CD8 cells (22), and of the STR L22 orphan in PBLs (23). Interestingly, however, a matched PBMC library made after the cells were activated with anti-CD3 antibody and PMA showed no BN-1 cDNAs, which was further notable by their absence from virtually every other library made from T cell lines and clones (in various states of activation and anergy), pooled B cells, and N K cells (Fig. 3 A, bottom). Resting human splenocytes contain BN-1 cDNA (Fig. 3 A, bottom, Spen), but a matched library made after activation of splenocytes with anti-CD40 and IL-4 (Splen A d) showed diminished levels of BN-1 cDNA. Thus it appears that BN-1 may not be abundantly expressed in the lymphoid lineage, or that its expression is downregulated with cellular activation or growth in lymphocyte cultures.

Since the original BN-1 PCR product was generated from a library derived from human DCs, we assessed the distribution of the receptor among these cells. There are two principle methods by which DCs can be generated in vitro: (a) stimulation of peripheral monocyte progenitors by prolonged exposure of these cells to GM-CSF and IL-4 (24, 25), and (b) by culture of CD34 precursor cells from bone marrow or cord blood with GM-CSF and TNF. Resultant DCs can be tracked via expression of differentiation markers such as CD1a and CD86, and purified by sorting for one or more of these markers. DCs generated by both procedures take on the typical veiled morphology and achieve antigen presentation capability (26, 27).

A striking distribution of BN-1 cDNA was seen in a panel of libraries made from monocytes and two types of in vitro-derived dendritic cells, suggesting marked differences between monocyte- and CD34-derived DCs. BN-1 was not present in any of the libraries derived from purified elutriated monocytes, and there were few if any BN-1 cDNAs in the libraries prepared from monocyte-derived DCs (Fig. 3 B, top). By contrast, there is clear expression of BN-1 as the CD34+ cells develop into differentiated DCs (Fig. 3 B, top). Although little signal is seen when these cultures are 30% CD1a+ (at about day 6), abundant message is present by the time the cells are 70% CD1a+ (about day 12). Interestingly, when 70% CD1a+ cultures are stimulated for 1–6 h with PM A and ionomycin (70% CD1a+ act 6 h), much less BN-1 cDNA is found in the libraries subsequently made from those cells (Fig. 3 B, top). BN-1 is most abundantly represented in libraries made from DC cultures derived from cells which were sorted on the basis of CD1a expression (95% CD1a; Langerhans-like), and less well in those DCs that were derived from CD14+ sorted cells (dermal/interstitial-like) (Fig. 3 B, top; reference 27). To control for the quality of monocyte and monocyte-derived DC libraries, we probed with other markers, including the CC chemokine human CCF-18/MIP-1y (28). Strikingly, the cDNA distribution pattern for this chemokine is almost directly inverse of that for BN-1 (Fig. 3 B, bottom). Finally, PCR performed directly on primary, unamplified poly A mRNA harvested from monocyte- and CD34-derived DCs yielded amplification products whose pattern mirrored the hybridizations seen in the library southern blots (Fig. 3 C). Taken together, the distribution data suggest that BN-1 seems to be expressed most highly in a mature phenotype of DCs derived from the differentiation of CD34+ cells, and is not well expressed in DCs generated from monocytes.

Figure 4. Chemokine specificity of the receptor encoded by BN-1. (A) A sorted population of transfected CHO cells stably expressing BN-1 protein containing an NH2-terminal Flag epitope (CHO-FlagBN1) showing intensity of anti-Flag mAb staining relative to wild-type CHO cells. BN-1–bearing CHO cells (B) Panel of purified recombinant or synthetic chemokines assayed for intracellular calcium mobilizing activity in various BN-1 transfectants. At 100 nM final concentration – indicates no detectable intracellular calcium mobilization in any of the transfectants (n > 3), whereas ++ denotes a robust response in all transfectants (CHO, 3T3, HEK293) bearing BN-1. (C) Dose response of MIP-3x in the induction of intracellular calcium in CHO-FlagBN1 cells CHO wild-type cells are shown as a control. (D) Binding and homologous competition of radiolabeled MIP-3x to BN-1-bearing cells. Scatchard transformation of the binding data to reveal a Kd of ~0.1 nM.
Chemokine Specificity of the Receptor Encoded by BN-1. The chemokine literature is replete with cDNA sequences encoding orphan chemokine receptors of unknown ligand specificity and unknown function. To assess the ligand-binding specificity of the putative chemokine receptor encoded by BN-1, we constructed expression plasmids (pFlagBN-1) encoding a receptor with an added NH$_2$-terminal Flag epitope. This allowed for detection and selection of the most highly expressing stable transfectants using an anti-Flag monoclonal antibody. To decrease the change of a species- or lineage-specific G protein-dependent response, we also tested BN-1 expression constructs in the following different types of cells from different species: human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293), murine 3T3 fibroblasts, and Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. Stable transfectants were generated from each of these cell lines; for some, cells were sorted by anti-Flag antibody for high expression and further propagation, an example of which is shown in Fig. 4A.

A panel of purified chemokine ligands was then used to challenge the various stable transfectants expressing the BN-1 gene product. Calcium mobilization in the cytoplasm of BN-1 transfectants was used to assess receptor engagement and functional coupling to G proteins, as has been established for other chemokine receptors (21). We tested a panel of 25 purified recombinant or synthetic chemokines (listed in Fig. 4B) including all of the standard CXC, C, and traditional CC chemokines, as well as the CX$_3$C chemokine Fractalkine (20). With one exception, all chemokines were negative for calcium mobilization in recombinant BN-1–bearing cells of all backgrounds. One chemokine, however, the recently described CC chemokine MIP-3$\alpha$, showed a robust calcium signal, whereas the wild-type and mock-transfected cells were unresponsive. MIP-3$\alpha$ exhibited a dose-dependent response, reaching a plateau at $\sim$10 nM (Fig. 4C). MIP-3$\alpha$ was positive in every test on the stable transfectants in all three cellular backgrounds and also in transfectants expressing the native form of the receptor (without the NH$_2$-terminal flag), as well as in a variety of transiently transfected cells of different types (not shown). We did not detect MIP-3$\alpha$ activity on other chemokine receptors tested (CCR1–CCR5, and CXCR1 and 2 [not shown]). Competition binding and dissociation experiments were also performed. Homologous competition of radiolabeled MIP-3$\alpha$ with unlabeled ligand showed clear dissociation; Scatchard transformation revealed a dissociation constant (Kd) of $\sim$0.1 nM (Fig. 4D). From the signaling and binding data, we therefore concluded that the BN-1 gene product is a receptor for the CC chemokine MIP-3$\alpha$, hence its designation as CCR6.

**Discussion**

We report the identification of a cDNA encoding a chemokine receptor abundantly expressed in certain types of cultured human DC populations, and the characterization of the protein that it encodes. This receptor, designated here as CCR6, is notable in that of the over two dozen purified chemokines tested, it appears to interact only with the newly reported CC chemokine MIP-3$\alpha$.

CCR6 is expressed most highly in DCs derived from CD34$^+$ cells, but not in monocyte-derived DCs. We have also noted CCR6 expression in resting PBMCs consistent with reports of the presence of transcripts for the orphan clones CCR-L3 and STRL22 (22, 23). Those reports, however, did not examine DC populations, nor did they identify any ligands which bound the orphan cDNA gene product. The robust but differential patterns of CCR6 suggest that it plays a role in the function of certain types of DCs. At least three populations of cells with dendritic morphology have been reported in peripheral blood (29, 30). Each may represent discrete maturational and functional stages; the possibility has been discussed that the CD34$^+$ derived DCs in vitro are enriched for Langerhans-like cells. It will be therefore be of interest to assess whether CCR6 will prove to be DC lineage marker in vivo. It is known that of the different DC populations identified in the periphery, only one appears to efficiently support HIV-1 infection (30). DCs have been proposed to act in a variety of sites as a reservoir for HIV-1 (31), although most efficient infection seems to occur in cocultures of DC and T cells. The virus can clearly infect DCs alone, and can use the chemokine receptors CXC and CCR5 as infection coreceptors (18). Additionally, some infection of DC from CCR5-deficient individuals by M-tropic HIV has been observed (18), suggesting an additional coreceptor on these cells. Although we do not yet know how CCR6 expression relates to the different types of DCs in vivo, it may be a candidate for an infection cofactor for strains of HIV that infect DC (32).

It has been shown that monocyte-derived DCs and CD34$^+$ umbilical cord blood-derived DCs are chemotactically responsive to the CC chemokines RANTES, MIP-1$\alpha$, and monocyte chemotactic protein 3 (16, 17). The chemotactic response of DCs to MIP-3$\alpha$, however, has not been reported. Indeed, much remains to be determined as to the properties of MIP-3$\alpha$. Recently identified as an orphan chemokine of unknown function (19), it has also been described as LARC (liver activation–related chemokine) by Hiieshima et al. (33). Expressed sequence tags containing parts of the MIP-3$\alpha$-coding region were generated from sequence analysis of fetal lung, liver, and pancreatic islet cDNA libraries (19, 33). Although a CC chemokine, MIP-3$\alpha$ is widely diverged from other CC chemokines, showing only 20–28% identity with other members of the CC family and being encoded on chromosome 2 rather than chromosome 17 (33). The chemotactic properties of MIP-3$\alpha$, especially as mediated through CCR6, are currently being studied. LARC protein was shown to induce chemotaxis of T cells, albeit less potently and efficiently than RANTES (33). This would be consistent with CCR6 expression in T cells as noted here and for CCR-L3 (22), but the existence of other MIP-3$\alpha$ receptors on lymphocytes cannot be excluded. Our experiments suggest that levels of CCR6 expression in other cells are low relative to
the levels seen in DCs, and it is notable that CCR6 so far exhibits specificity only for MIP-3β among the limited set of chemokines tested. The specificity of CCR6 binding is supported by the evidence of Baba et al., whose study appeared while the present manuscript was under revision, reporting a receptor of identical sequence, GPR-CY4, that binds specifically to LARC/MIP-3α (34). Again, that study did examine dendritic cell distribution or function.

In summary, the identification of CCR6 as a provisionally specific receptor for the CC chemokine MIP-3α defines another piece in the puzzle of chemokine–chemokine receptor interactions. Detailed analysis of CCR6 and its CC chemokine ligand may shed new light on the control of DC migration and function, providing a potential therapeutic avenue for treatment of a wide range of pathologies including HIV infection, autoimmunity, and transplant rejection.
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