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A B S T R A C T
The role of DOC for the build-up of soil organic carbon pools is still not well known, but it is thought to play a role in the transport of carbon to a greater depth where it becomes more stable. The aim of this study was to elucidate within-year dynamics of carbon transport from litter to the O (Oe and Oa) and A horizons. Mesocosms with constructed soil profiles were used to study dynamics of C transport from $^{14}C$-enriched (about 1000‰) leaf litter to the Oe/Oa and A horizons as well as the mineralization of leaf litter. The mesocosms were placed in the field for 17 months during which time fluxes and $^{14}C$ content of DOC and CO$_2$ were measured. Changes in $^{14}C$ in leaf litter and bulk soil C pools were also recorded. Significant simultaneous release and immobilization of DOC occurring in both the O and A horizons was hypothesized. Contrary to our hypothesis, DOC released from the labeled Oi horizon was not retained within the Oe/Oa layer. DOC originating in the unlabeled Oe/Oa layer was also released for transport. Extensive retention of DOC occurred in the A horizon. DOC leaching from A horizon consisted of a mix of DOC from different sources, with a main fraction originating in the A horizon and a smaller fraction leached from the overlying horizons. The C and $^{14}C$ budget for the litter layer also indicated a surprisingly large amount of carbon with ambient $^{14}C$ signature to be respired from this layer. Data for this site also suggested significant contributions from throughfall to dissolved organic carbon (DOC) transport into and respiration from the litter layer. The results from this study showed that DOC retention was low in the O horizon and therefore not important for the O horizon carbon budget. In the A horizon DOC retention was extensive, but annual DOC input was small compared to C stocks and therefore not important for changes in soil C on an annual timescale.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Transport and retention of DOC has been suggested to be an important mechanism for build-up of soil organic C pools in forest soils (e.g. Kalbitz et al., 2005). Due to abiotic retention, e.g. adsorption, there is typically a reduction in DOC flux from approximately 10–40 g m$^{-2}$ under the O horizon to about 1–20 g m$^{-2}$ in the B horizon (Kalbitz et al., 2000, Michalzik et al., 2001). DOC thus retained in the mineral soil is thought to a large extent to be stabilized in slow turnover carbon pools (Kalbitz et al., 2005). Dissolved organic matter has, however, also been suggested to be a significant substrate for soil microorganisms (Marschner and Noble, 2000) and DOC leached from undecomposed substrates has been shown to be especially labile (Kalbitz et al., 2005). In addition, an increasing number of studies suggest that fresh litter does not immediately contribute substantially to stable soil organic matter pools (e.g. Trumbore, 2000, Hagedorn et al., 2003, Swanston et al., 2005). The role of DOC in the long-term accumulation of soil organic matter is thus still widely debated. The aim of this study was to understand the role of DOC in short-term formation and stabilization of soil organic carbon by tracing the movement of enriched radio-carbon from fresh litter through the soil profile.

The Enriched Background Isotope Study (EBIS) took advantage of an unplanned local atmospheric release of $^{14}C$ from an incinerator near Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA (Trumbore et al., 2002). That release resulted in a whole-ecosystem isotopic labeling event, which has been used to study the local terrestrial carbon cycle, emphasizing belowground processes. Results from previous EBIS work showed that movement of carbon from the enriched litter to the mineral soil was limited (Cisneros-Dozal et al., 2007, Fröberg et al., 2007), but did indicate that the $^{14}C$ was gradually accumulating in the O horizons. After 3 years of $^{14}C$-enriched ($^{14}C$-1000‰) litterfall additions, $^{14}C$ in the Oe/Oa horizon increased from about 200 to over 300‰. Additionally, $^{14}C$-signatures in the enriched litter dropped from approximately 1000‰ to about 700‰ in the year following application of the litter to the field plots. Site-specific modeling of the carbon cycle
and transport of the $^{14}$C-enriched carbon to Oa and A horizons using a litterfall cohort model (P.J. Hanson, unpublished), suggested that retention of DOC leached from the $^{14}$C-enriched litter was responsible for much of the $^{14}$C accumulation in the Oe/Oa horizon (perhaps 70% over 10 years). From EBIS observations the depletion of $^{14}$C in the Oi was hypothesized to result from the disproportionate loss of $^{14}$C-enriched compounds through leaching and mineralization of the Oi layer. The field observations for EBIS were limited to an annual time step, which was insufficient to resolve intra-annual dynamics of $^{14}$C loss from the Oi horizon and immobilization in the Oe/Oa and mineral soil. To provide higher-resolution data on the dynamics of $^{14}$C and mass loss from fresh litter a mesocosm study was executed to quantify within-year dynamics of carbon transport from the Oi litter to the Oe/Oa and A horizons. The following hypotheses were tested:

- Litter-derived CO₂ and DOC during the first months following litter additions would yield $^{14}$C-signatures higher than that in the bulk litter due to differences in $^{14}$C content in different chemical fractions of the litter (based on observations from the main EBIS study).
- C would be transferred from litter to the O horizon in sufficient quantity to enable detection of changes in $^{14}$C-signature in the O horizon at the end of the experiment.
- DOC would be retained within the A horizon, but the amount of DOC-derived $^{14}$C from one litter cohort addition would be insufficient to cause a measurable significant change in bulk soil $^{14}$C.

2. Methods

2.1. Design of the field experiment

This experiment was conducted on the Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR; 35°58′N; 84°16′W) beneath the canopy of a mature deciduous forest. The area is dominated by an upland oak forest type (*Quercus prinus* L.) with scattered pine (*Pinus echinata* Mill. and *P. virginiana* Mill.) and mesophytic hardwoods (*Liriodendron tulipifera* L., *Fagus grandifolia* J.F. Ehrh.), and some hickory (*Carya spp.*). Mean annual temperature is 14 °C and mean annual precipitation is 1538 mm.

The experiment was performed using mesocosms with combinations of homogeneous soil and humus material with the addition of fresh *Quercus prinus* L. litter. All mineral soils, humus materials and $^{14}$C-enriched fresh litter materials used to construct the mesocosms were collected and manipulated within the ORR. The constructed soil profiles in the different mesocosm treatments were designed to approximate different depths in typical soil profiles found at the Oak Ridge reservation (Fig. 1). The three types of mesocosms are abbreviated as L, LO and LOA, respectively, throughout the rest of the paper.

- The L treatment contained 15.7 g dry matter (DM) of air-dried $^{14}$C-enriched chestnut oak (*Q. prinus* L) litter (Oi horizon) collected at Pine Ridge in autumn 2000, equal to an annual input rate of 500 g dry matter m$^{-2}$. Initial mean $^{14}$C-signature of the added litter was 953 ± 22‰.
- In addition to the fresh litter, the LO treatment mesocosms contained 31.4 g (DM) of field moist Oe/Oa horizon, corresponding to 1000 g DM m$^{-2}$. This O horizon was collected at Walker Branch on the Oak Ridge Reservation.
- Finally, the LOA mesocosms contained the same amount of $^{14}$C-enriched litter and O horizon soil, plus 2.74 kg (DM) of surface mineral soils (characterized as the A horizon) corresponding to 86 kg m$^{-2}$. The A horizon soil was collected at Haw Ridge on the Oak Ridge Reservation from a Typic Paleudult, Fullerton series, with a kaolinitic mineralogy. More details about the soil may be found in Johnson et al. (2007, 2008). The mineral soil was gently compacted to occupy a 10 cm depth to approximate the mean A-horizon bulk density of the field soils of the Oak Ridge Reservation.

Eight sets of mesocosms were constructed for harvesting through time. Each set of consisted of 5 replicates of each mesocosm type (L, LO, LOA) for a total of 120 individual mesocosms.

2.2. Mesocosm construction

Mesocosms were constructed using 20 cm diameter PVC pipe. At the bottom of all mesocosms 700 g of glass beads (Potter Industries A170 glass spheres; US sieve no. 10–14, diameter 1.4–2.0 mm) were added in order to get a flat surface with good drainage. Bead size was chosen to provide continuous solid-to-solid contact avoiding soil-air interface to minimize perching of water in the A horizon soil. To facilitate subsequent sampling of distinct layers, polyethylene screen (1.5 mm square mesh) was added above the glass beads, between all layers of the mesocosms and above the $^{14}$C-enriched litter added to the top of each mesocosm. Natural litterfall was regularly removed from the surface of the mesh screen throughout the experiment.

At the start of the experiment, the defined C stocks in the three layers were 235 g C m$^{-2}$ in $^{14}$C-enriched litter, 390 g C m$^{-2}$ in the Oe/Oa

![Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the three different treatments with initial conditions for Δ$^{14}$C (‰) and C stocks (g C or kg C m$^{-2}$). The $^{14}$C-enrichment level was the same for both litter additions. Mesocosm treatments are L=litter only; LO=litter plus organic humus and LOA=litter plus organic humus plus A-horizon mineral soils.](image-url)
Mesocosms without the litter additions were placed in the field in February 2006 to saturate and infiltrate the O and A material with natural rainfall. The 14C-enriched litter was added to all mesocosms 6 weeks later in March of 2006, and again in November 2006 to the unharvested mesocosms. The second litter addition at the same level as the first litter addition provided another pulse of 14C to each mesocosm to achieve a stronger 14C pulse and provided a second opportunity to study the dynamics of C release from fresh leaves. To track changes in C, and 14C over time, five replicates from each of the mesocosm treatments were destructively sampled and all horizons separated and sampled. This was done in June, August, November of 2006 and February, May and August of 2007.

2.3. Soil solution sampling

Soil solutions passing through all mesocosm layers were sampled by event over the whole experiment. In total, 51 events were sampled. Precipitation per event ranged from 7 to 70 mm precipitation (with a mean of 24 mm) per event. Precipitation at the experimental site (especially summer events) occurs as convective rainfall in distinct events. Soil solution collections were typically done the day after a major rainfall. Soil solution was allowed to drain freely at the base of the mesocosms and collection was made in glass bottles. Soil solution was sampled from 5 replicates of each mesocosm type for each sampled event. DOC concentrations were converted to flux values by multiplying DOC concentration data by the associated measured water fluxes. Reported variations in DOC fluxes were based on the variances of measured DOC concentrations assuming identical water inputs into all mesocosms.

The DOC draining from the L, LO and LOA mesocosms was analyzed for all defined precipitation events. The 14C analysis of collected soil solutions, however, was based on pooled event sampling between defined harvest dates. Solutions from individual mesocosms of the same type were subsampled on a volume-weighted basis and pooled to represent the DOC flux for the defined sampling period. The 14C influx was only evaluated during three periods of measurements distributed throughout the experiment.

2.4. CO2 and 14CO2 efflux

Respiration measurements from duplicate L, LO and LOA mesocosms were evaluated using autochambers following the methods of Czimczik et al. (2006). Autochambers were running during the first week of manipulations in March 2006 to capture the initial CO2 loss from the additions of 14C-enriched litter, but they were removed and used for another study from April to August 2006. In August of 2006 they were returned to service in the EBIS project for 12 months from August 2006 to August 2007. Although not continuous, the available CO2 efflux measurements for the mesocosms provided a full annual cycle which included observations through the second addition of 14C-enriched litter. CO2 respired from the mesocosms was well correlated with air temperature (all treatments) and litter water content (L and LO treatments). These relationships were used in period-specific linear regression models for gap filling the CO2 data, when measured data was not available. Models were optimized by minimizing the residuals between measured and modeled data for the specific time period.

CO2 evolved from mesocosms for 14C analysis was collected in molecular sieve traps from the L and LOA mesocosms near the midpoint between harvests using methods described by Cisneros-Dozal et al. (2007). LO mesocosms were excluded for budget reasons. Lids were placed over the top of the mesocosms and initial CO2 removed from headspace air by circulating it through a soda lime trap. CO2 concentrations were then allowed to build up to levels of several hundred parts per million, after which air was dried (using calcium sulfate) and CO2 trapped at ambient temperature on an activated 13X molecular sieve. Molecular sieve traps were sent to the University of California, Irvine (UCI) where CO2 was released and the traps reactivated for further use by baking at 610 °C. The released CO2 was purified cryogenically and converted to graphite using the Zn reduction method (Xu et al., 2007). An aliquot of each 14C sample was analyzed for 13C using continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry at UCI. Approximately 0.1 µl of purified CO2 was removed from the vacuum line with a syringe and injected into a He-flushed septum-capped vial. The isotopic signature of the CO2 was measured using a Gas bench II inlet to a Delta-plus stable isotope mass spectrometer.

Low rates of CO2 evolution and the high porosity of the glass beads supporting the mesocosm layers suggest that the CO2 trapped from mesocosm headspace was a likely combination of both CO2 evolved from decomposing organic matter in the mesocosms and ambient air CO2. The difference in the 13C between air samples (−28±3) and CO2 derived from decomposition (assumed to be equal to 13C measured in bulk litter (−28±3)) was used to estimate the fraction of CO2 from background air in the sample (F) using the following equation:

\[ F = \frac{\delta^{13}C_{\text{sample}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{decomp}}}{\delta^{13}C_{\text{air}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{decomp}}} \]

where \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{sample}} \) is the \( \delta^{13}C \) in the CO2 sample and \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{decomp}} \) and \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{air}} \) are \( \delta^{13}C \) in samples with 100% sample and ambient air respectively.

The radiocarbon signature of the heterotrophically respired CO2 was then calculated from mass balance and measured 13C of CO2 in background air:

\[ \Delta^{14}C_{\text{decomp}} = \left( \frac{\delta^{13}C_{\text{sample}} - F\delta^{13}C_{\text{air}}}{1-F} \right) \]

where \( \Delta^{14}C_{\text{sample}} \) is the \( \Delta^{14}C \) in the in the CO2 sample and \( \Delta^{14}C_{\text{air}} \) is the 13C in ambient air, measured at the site. To avoid arbitrary corrections, data for 14CO2 efflux were not estimated when the calculations suggested that F was greater than 0.5 (i.e. the sample CO2 was more than 50% derived from background air). In practice, F values lower than 0.5 were achieved for 90% of data.

2.5. Laboratory assessment of litter-derived 14CO2

Laboratory incubations of the Quercus litter used in the mesocosms were performed to collect litter-derived 14C for comparison to the 14C signature of CO2 respired in the L only mesocosms. Roughly 30 g of leaf litter were suspended in aluminum foil in a 1 L glass mason jar with moistened glass beads as described in Cisneros-Dozal et al. (2007). Duplicate samples were incubated at room temperature (24–27 °C) with stopcocks open to air to avoid buildup of CO2 concentrations beyond 3%. Prior to sampling for isotopes the jars were flushed with CO2-free air, sealed and CO2 concentrations allowed to build up to >1% CO2. They were then attached to vacuum lines and CO2 cryogenically purified and analyzed for 13C and 14C content. Jars were sampled −1 week and 5 months after the incubation started.

2.6. Analytical approaches

Dry weight of remaining litter layer (Oi) was measured for all mesocosms at each harvest. The C and N concentrations and 14C content of the litter layer was determined for each LOA mesocosm at each harvest. To save on experimental costs the 14C-content of the mineral soil was determined at every second harvest because previous studies and modeling work suggested that bulk changes in this horizon would be slow to develop. Samples were analyzed for total C and N on a LECO CN-2000 (LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, Michigan) using secondary standards traceable to NIST reference materials. DOC
concentration was analyzed for each collection using a Shimadzu 5050 TOC analyzer. Prior to all analyses, solutions were filtered (0.45 μm).

Radiocarbon values were measured on the Van de Graaff FN accelerator mass spectrometer (AMS) at the Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore California and the W.M Keck Carbon Cycle AMS at UC Irvine (respiration samples). In preparation for AMS analysis, samples were combusted in evacuated, sealed tubes in the presence of CuO and Ag, then reduced to graphite coating on iron powder in the presence of H2 (Vogel et al., 1984). Splits of combusted sample were taken for 14C analysis from each organic and mineral horizon for correction of mass-dependent fractionation in the reported radiocarbon values, and all radiocarbon values are presented as Δ14C (‰) according to Stuiver and Polach (1977).

2.7. Environmental data

Air temperature, soil temperature, litter water content (methods according to Hanson et al., 2003) and soil water content (ECH2O, Decagon Devices, Inc.) were recorded for randomly assigned mesocosms. Those data were used to develop models of CO2 fluxes during periods when CO2 measurements were not made. These data are shown, but are available from the authors.

2.8. C mass balance calculations

Calculations of C budgets are based on soil C stocks, DOC fluxes and CO2 fluxes, in combination with 14C data. The 14C data is used to estimate the fraction of a carbon flux or pool derived from different substrates and this information is used in simple mass balance calculations. For all calculations we assume that DOC and CO2 have the same 14C values. For soil solutions the fraction of DOC that originated from the above lying horizons was calculated as:

\[ F_{\text{above}} = \frac{\text{DOC}_{\text{horizon}} - \text{SOC}_{\text{horizon}}}{\text{DOC}_{\text{above}} - \text{SOC}_{\text{horizon}}} \]

where \( \text{DOC}_{\text{horizon}} \) is the 14C in DOC leached from the horizon of interest (i.e. DOC from the LO treatment if we are interested in the O horizon), \( \text{SOC}_{\text{horizon}} \) is the 14C of soil organic carbon in the same horizon. \( \text{DOC}_{\text{above}} \) is the 14C in DOC leached from the horizon above (i.e. from the L treatment, if we are studying the O horizon).

Similarly, the fraction of CO2 from litter in the L treatment was calculated as

\[ F_{\text{litter}} = \frac{14\text{CO}_2}{14\text{CO}_2 + 14\text{C}_{\text{throughfall}}} \]

where 14CO2 is 14C in CO2 from the L treatment, 14C_{throughfall} is annual average 14C in throughfall and 14C_{litter} is 14C in litter in the mesocosm.

2.9. Statistical analysis

Tests for statistical significance (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)) of changes over time were done using t-tests, comparing conditions at the start of the experiments with conditions at the end of the experiments. Standard error of the mean is used to indicate variability (±) throughout the manuscript.

3. Results

3.1. C stocks

Mass loss from the 14C-enriched litter differed between mesocosm treatments (Fig. 2). Total C loss from both 14C-enriched litter cohorts at the end of the experiment was highest for the LO treatment with a total loss of 191±22 g C m⁻² followed by the LOA treatment with a loss of 154±32 g C m⁻² (Table 1). C loss from 14C-enriched litter in the L treatment was lower at only 118±19 g m⁻². Nitrogen stock in 14C-enriched litter did not change and consequently the C/N ratio in the 14C-enriched litter added to LOA treatment in March 2006 dropped from 99 in March 2006 to 43 in August 2007.

Even though screens were present to allow uniform subsampling of all horizons (L, O, and A), the mobility of the humus layer (in the absence of anchoring root systems) made it unreliable to sample the mass of O horizon in a consistent way at each harvest. Therefore, C mass balance of this horizon was not obtained.

In the A horizon there was a tendency (\( p = 0.08 \)) for decreasing C concentration (Fig. 3). Initial C concentration at the start of the experiment was 3.5±0.1% and C concentration at the end of the experiment 3.3±0.1%. The corresponding C stocks were 3.0±0.1×10³ g C m⁻² and 2.8±0.1×10³ g C m⁻², respectively.

3.2. DOC

Highest DOC concentrations were measured in the LO treatment, with an average 63 mg DOC L⁻¹, followed by the LOA treatment with average DOC concentration 39 mg L⁻¹ (averaged over time of the whole experiment). The solution leached from the L treatment had a concentration of 32 mg DOC L⁻¹ averaged over the whole experiment. Renewed addition of 14C-enriched litter in November 2006 led to a significant increase in mean DOC concentrations for the L treatment from 22 mg L⁻¹ before to 47 mg L⁻¹ after the second litter addition. In the other two treatments changes in concentrations after renewed litter addition were more moderate, 57 and 67 mg L⁻¹ for LO and 36 and 42 mg L⁻¹ for LOA before and after litter additions, respectively. Average DOC concentration in throughfall was 12 mg L⁻¹. DOC concentrations in all treatments followed the seasonal pattern observed in many other studies (Kalbitz et al., 2000) with high concentrations during summer and lower concentrations during winter. Total fluxes of DOC throughout the experimental period were 12, 36, 61 and 45 g m⁻² for throughfall, L, LO and LOA, respectively (Fig. 4).

3.3. CO2

Total fluxes based on the summation of measured and model-interpolated data (Fig. 5) were 207, 338 and 498 g C for the L, LO and LOA treatments, respectively, implying that CO2 fluxes were approximately 5–10 times higher than the corresponding DOC fluxes from the same treatments. Of these totals, 27, 39 and 40% of the combined flux estimate were based on model interpolated data for the L, LO and LOA treatments respectively.
3.4. $^{14}$C

For the fresh litter cohorts, the $\Delta^{14}$C decreased, from 953±22 to 890±10‰ over 17 months for the first litter cohort ($p=0.02$) and from 975±12 to 926±11‰ ($p=0.01$) over 6 months for the second litter cohort (Fig. 6). The $\Delta^{14}$C-signature in the O horizon did not change significantly ($p=0.33$) during the course of the experiment and was 164±3‰ and 167±6‰ at the start and at the end of the experiment, respectively (Fig. 6). The A horizon also showed no significant change with values of 123±5‰ and 129±7‰, respectively ($p=0.21$) (Fig. 6). The pattern of increasing $^{14}$C levels for both the O and A horizons over time is consistent with some net litter-to-soil DOC transport.

3.5. DO$^{14}$C

The $\Delta^{14}$C in DOC leached from the L treatment was for most periods significantly lower than the $\Delta^{14}$C in the $^{14}$C-enriched leaf material. DO$^{14}$C from the L treatment varied between approximately 500 and 900‰ (Fig. 7). In the LO treatment changes in DO$^{14}$C approximately paralleled the DO$^{14}$C in the L treatment for most observations, but with lower $^{14}$C, ranging from about 300 to 700‰ (Fig. 7). $^{14}$C in DOC from the LOA treatment had a different temporal pattern than the L and LO treatments. Initially the $\Delta^{14}$C in DOC was close to $\Delta^{14}$C in the soil, but gradually increased to approximately 200–400‰ during the last 12 months of the experiment (Fig. 7). Average throughfall $\Delta^{14}$C measured in 2006 was 315±3‰ (based on two periods of sampling), higher than what should be expected from present levels of $^{14}$C in the atmosphere. A large release of $^{14}$C was recorded on the Oak Ridge Reservation in 2006 similarly to the original 1999 release as demonstrated by $^{14}$C sampling of the local atmospheric CO2 on Walker Branch (Hanson and Trumbore, unpublished data) that could have contributed to these high and possibly temporally variable $^{14}$C inputs. For 2007 (based on one period of sampling) $\Delta^{14}$C in throughfall was 174±18‰.

3.6. $^{14}$CO$_2$

$\Delta^{14}$C in respired CO$_2$ from the L treatment varied with time, ranging from about 300 to 1100‰ (Fig. 8) and was typically lower than the $\Delta^{14}$C-signature of the enriched litter but close to $\Delta^{14}$C in DOC. In February of 2007, the average $^{14}$C in CO$_2$ from the L treatment was slightly higher than in bulk $^{14}$C-enriched litter. The $^{14}$CO$_2$ in the LOA treatment was also similar to DO$^{14}$C from the same treatment with $\Delta^{14}$C of about 200 to 400‰ (Fig. 8). LO treatment $^{14}$CO$_2$ data were not obtained (see Methods).

3.7. Litter lab incubations

The CO$_2$ respired from litter incubated in the laboratory was initially enriched in $^{14}$C. During the first days of incubations $\Delta^{14}$C varied from 1095‰ (two replicates: 1082 and 1108‰) to 903‰ (two replicates: 871 and 935‰) ($p=0.02$). $\Delta^{14}$C in throughfall was 174±18‰.

4. Discussion

Fluxes of carbon in each of the three mesocosm treatments are summarized in Fig. 9. The data presented in this paper suggested that retention of DOC in the O horizon, contrary to our hypothesis, was not...
significant and that extensive retention and release of DOC occurred in the A horizon. The C and 14C budget for the litter layer also indicated that a surprisingly large amount of carbon with ambient Δ14C signature was respired from this layer. These observations will be discussed below.

4.1. Low DOC retention in the O horizon

We originally hypothesized that there would be a significant retention of DOC in the O horizon. The extent of DOC retention in organic horizons in general is currently poorly known. Qualls (2000) reported that adsorption dominated over desorption in a forest floor, i.e. there was decrease in DOC concentration, but only at DOC concentrations of at least 700 mg L−1. It has also been suggested (Guggenberger and Kaiser, 2003; Kleber et al., 2007) that much of the retention of DOC in mineral soils occurs to organic matter and not to mineral surfaces. However, as will be discussed below, there were no indications of extensive sorption of DOC in the O horizon in our study. 14C measurements of DOC and 14C measurements of soil both suggested small retention of litter-derived DOC in the O horizon.

Higher litter mass loss from the LO and LOA treatments compared to the L treatment made budget calculations in the LO treatment and the O horizon difficult. Nevertheless, Δ14C in leachate from the LO treatment showed that a significant fraction of the DOC released from the litter was transported through the O horizon and down to the A horizon. The Δ14C of DOC leaving the LO treatment gradually increased from approximately 300–400‰ during the first months of the experiment to relatively stable values of about 500–600‰ during the second half of the experiment (Fig. 7). A simple mass balance, based on 14C data, indicated that about 50% of DOC leached from the LO treatment originated in 14C-enriched litter and that the remainder of the DOC was derived from throughfall or from the O horizon, which both had similar 14C-signatures (Fig. 7). Total flux of DOC from the LO treatment was 61 g m−2 (Table 1) and consequently about 30 g of DOC that originated from 14C-enriched Oi passed through the Oe/Oa horizon. Total flux of DOC from the L treatment was 36 g m−2 (Table 1), of which about 15 g, according to 14C measurements, was derived from sources other than the 14C-enriched litter. Paradoxically, a budget calculation suggests that more DOC with origin in 14C-enriched litter was leached from the LO treatment than from the L treatment. However, DOC leaching from 14C-enriched litter in the LO treatment was likely greater than in the L treatment, as reflected by the higher mass loss from litter in the LO treatment. The budget of DOC is therefore not possible to constrain. Nonetheless, the Δ14C of the Oe/Oa horizon did not change significantly, implying that DOC retention from 14C-elevated Oi inputs was small.

The 14C measurements of soil in the O horizon also suggest low retention of litter-derived DOC in the O horizon. A change in O horizon soil Δ14C of approximately 10‰ at the end of the experiment would be statistically significant (p < 0.05), which with an initial C stock of about 400 g and a Δ14C-signature of about 164‰, would require retention of only approximately 5 g of DOC with 14C-signature equal to that in the litter. The change in 14C in the O horizon was however non-significant, increasing only from 164‰ to 167‰.

4.2. Extensive exchange of DOC in the A horizon

There was a trend of decreasing C concentration in the A horizon over the course of the experiment. This was expected, because normal inputs of root litter to the soil were precluded in the mesocosm work. From fluxes of CO2 and DOC data, it was estimated that net loss in the A horizon with initial C stock of 3.0 kg was about 0.14 kg C m−2; based on soil C concentration data the estimated decrease was 0.16 kg C m−2. Although the A horizon lost carbon through mineralization, it was still a net sink of DOC. The data from the LO treatment showed that a total of 61 g DOC entered the A horizon from the O horizon and a total of 45 g DOC was leached from the LOA treatment during the experiment, resulting in a net retention of 16 g DOC in the A horizon. Assuming that Δ14C in DOC from the A horizon was equal to Δ14C in bulk soil, it can be estimated by mass balance calculations that 27 of 45 g DOC in leachates from the LOA treatment had its origin in the A horizon, and 18 g from the overlying horizons (Oi and Oe/Oa). About 44 g of the 62 g of DOC leached from the O horizon was thus retained in the A horizon. The different temporal patterns in DO14C compared to the L and LO
treatment also indicate that exchange (i.e. both retention and release of DOC) was extensive in the A horizon. This seems to be a general phenomenon, which occurs in many different soil types with different mineralogy. Hagedorn et al. (2002) and Fröberg et al. (2007) showed that DOC captured in mineral soil is derived only to a minor extent from fresh surface litter.

The gradual increase in $\Delta^{14}C$ signal in DOC from the LOA treatment suggests that there is simply a time lag of DOC accumulation in mineral soils, with the pool of carbon with origin in fresh litter equilibrating with carbon from the A horizon over longer time periods. $^{14}C$ data of PLFAs in the A horizon of the LOA treatment sampled in August 2006 (Trumbore, unpublished data) indicated that DOC from $^{14}C$-enriched litter was not a significant substrate for microorganisms in the mineral soil, suggesting that litter-derived DOC delivered to and retained in the mineral soil was not rapidly mineralized by established soil microorganisms.

Mass balance calculations suggest that the higher $^{14}C$ in incoming DOC from the O horizon compared to DOC leached from the A horizon would have increased the $\Delta^{14}C$ in soil with about 5%; which was not large enough for a statistically significant change. This is in agreement with observations that showed no significant change in A horizon $\Delta^{14}C$ (Fig. 6).

4.3. Significant contributions from non-litter sources to DOC and CO$_2$ from the L treatment

Surprisingly, both DOC and CO$_2$ in the L treatment had significantly lower $\Delta^{14}C$ than bulk $^{14}C$-enriched litter, suggesting that there were major contributions (approximately 50%) from non-litter sources to both DOC and respiration from this horizon.

The low $\Delta^{14}C$-signature of DOC leached from the L treatment (Fig. 7) could be explained by dilution from DOC with lower $^{14}C$-signature in throughfall, whereas this seemed like a less likely explanation for the low $\Delta^{14}C$ in CO$_2$ (Fig. 8). A mass balance of sources of DOC was calculated, assuming that $\Delta^{14}C$ in DOC from the $^{14}C$-enriched litter had the same $^{14}C$-signature as bulk litter and that the remainder of the DOC in the L treatment had a $^{14}C$-signature equal to the $\Delta^{14}C$ in throughfall. Using these assumptions, about 60% or 21 g m$^{-2}$ of the 36 g DOC m$^{-2}$ from the L treatment originated in the $^{14}C$-enriched litter and the remaining 15 g m$^{-2}$ from throughfall, which is in reasonable agreement with the measured DOC flux of 12 g m$^{-2}$ in throughfall.

The $\Delta^{14}C$ in DOC and respiration from both the L and LOA treatments largely followed the same temporal pattern during the experiment (Figs. 7 and 8). However, whereas contribution from throughfall was a reasonable explanation for low $\Delta^{14}C$ in CO$_2$ from the L treatment, from throughfall was not a likely explanation for the low $\Delta^{14}C$ in respired CO$_2$ from the L treatment. The flux of DOC in throughfall was too small to sustain a dilution of $^{14}C$ in the respiration from the mesocosms. The $\Delta^{14}C$ data suggested that close to 50% or 98 g m$^{-2}$ of the 207 g of respired CO$_2$ from the L treatment was derived from carbon with ambient or close to ambient $\Delta^{14}C$, assuming that CO$_2$ had $\Delta^{14}C$-signature similar to that in bulk $^{14}C$-enriched litter. This is more than 8 times the amount of C measured in throughfall.

Although throughfall is known to have high concentrations (in some cases >50%) of labile fractions of DOC (e.g. Qualls and Haines, 1992; Yano et al., 2000), indicating that it may partly be mineralized before DOC analysis and thus never recorded as a DOC flux, the amount of labile C in throughfall needed to explain the low $\Delta^{14}C$-signature in DOC and respired CO$_2$ has not been reported in the literature. The $^{14}C$ data are also in agreement with data of CO$_2$ fluxes and mass loss from...
litter, showing small C loss in litter compared to total CO₂ and DOC efflux from the litter (Fig. 9). Mass loss data showed that C loss from 14C-enriched litter in the L treatment was only 118 g C m⁻² (Table 1), which can be compared to the total CO₂ flux of 207 g m⁻² and the total net DOC leaching of 24 g m⁻², implying that total DOC and CO₂ losses were twice as high as litter mass loss, which match the estimates of an approximate 50% contribution from non-litter sources to CO₂ from the L treatment. Furthermore both DO14C and 14CO₂ peaked, with Δ14C equal or higher than bulk 14C-enriched litter, during winter when the canopies were all bare. It seems difficult to account for this large contribution from non-litter sources to DOC leaching and respiration, but overall the data in this paper are internally consistent and both signatures of 14C in DOC and CO₂ and their temporal variations and litter mass loss data tell the same story.

Higher than expected Δ14C-signature in throughfall during 2006 (315%), may be explained by local releases of 14C, similar to those in 1999–2000. Such releases were detected through air-monitoring stations (Trumbore and Hanson, unpublished data), but were not strongly reflected in litterfall collected in the fall of 2006, which had an average Δ14C-signature of 176%, which is also higher than the ambient atmospheric Δ14C.

The calculations here rely on the assumption that Δ14C in CO₂ and DOC were similar to that in bulk 14C-enriched litter or bulk soil. The laboratory studies, however, showed that CO₂ has, at least during the early stages of decomposition, a higher Δ14C than the residue fraction, which may be explained by different 14C-concentrations in different leaf chemical constituents. Because the differences are fairly small compared to the difference between the 14C-enriched litter and the other sources of C such a bias does not change the general picture that emerged from the field experiment. Low mass loss from 14C-enriched litter in the L treatment compared to the other two treatments is another factor that makes it necessary to interpret the data carefully. This was likely caused by drier conditions and lower resulting microbial activity due to absence of moist soil or humus coming in contact with the L material. Mass loss rates in LO and LOA were approximately equal to litter mass loss rates measured at Walker Branch watershed by Hanson et al. (2003, 2005).

5. Conclusions

1. DOC derived from the litter layer was not to a significant degree retained in the O horizon.
2. Extensive retention of DOC occurred in the A horizon. DOC leaching from the A horizon consisted of a mix of DOC from different sources, with a main fraction originating in the A horizon and a smaller fraction leached from the overlying horizons.
3. A surprising amount of C respired from litter and leached as DOC was derived from a small size fraction litter inputs (DOC in throughfall etc.).
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