Tighter lymphatic junctions prevent obesity

Zippering of cellular junctions in intestinal lacteals prevents fat uptake

By Donald M. McDonald

Dietary fats take a circuitous route from the intestine to the bloodstream, where they serve as nutrients or are stored in adipose tissue. Fats processed in the digestive tract are packaged by the intestinal epithelium into tiny lipid-protein particles called chylomicrons. Chylomicrons are taken up by lymphatic channels (lacteals) within villi that line the small intestine and are transported by lymphatic vessels to the blood circulation. How chylomicrons enter lacteals has long been a mystery, as the particles are too large to cross the endothelial cells that line lymphatics. Moreover, scattered openings observed in lacteals seemed too sparse to explain chylomicron entry, and evidence for vesicular transport was limited (1, 2). The discovery of specialized, discontinuous button-like junctions between lacteal endothelial cells raised another possibility (3). Button junctions have open regions and closed regions and are strikingly different from zipper junctions that tightly seal endothelial cells in blood vessels and collecting lymphatics. On page 599 of this issue, Zhang et al. (4) show that chylomicron entry is dependent on button junctions. Importantly, experimental manipulations that led to transformation of button junctions into zippers prevented chylomicron uptake and protected mice from developing obesity while on a high-fat diet.

Intestinal lymphatic vessels not only transport chylomicrons but are also routes for the recirculation of fluid into blood. According to the conventional view, fluid flows into lacteals along hydrostatic pressure gradients when their endothelial cells are pulled apart by interstitial forces (5). The extent of separation of the cells at openings is governed by forces within villi transmitted to the lacteal wall by anchoring filaments. Intake of dietary fat promotes chylomicron production and water absorption by the intestinal epithelium that lead to higher interstitial pressure, lymph flow, and chylomicron transport through lacteals (5). Lacteal contractility, which is driven by longitudinal smooth muscle cells in intestinal villi, can propel fluid and cells in lymph at velocities up to 150 µm/s into downstream lymphatics en route to the bloodstream (6).

Zhang et al. sought to determine the contributions of the endothelial cell receptors, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 (VEGFR1) and neuropilin-1 (NRP1), to the regulation of fat transport. By deleting the corresponding genes, Vegfr1 (also known as Flt1) and Nrp1, in endothelial cells of mice, they unexpectedly found that button junctions in lacteals transformed into zipper junctions and that this conversion was accompanied by resistance to obesity when mice were given a high-fat diet (see the figure).

This work was initiated to resolve a controversy over the involvement of VEGFR1 and NRP1 in fat transport in obesity and type 2 diabetes. According to one concept, VEGF-B, a ligand of VEGFR1 and NRP1, increases expression of fatty acid transporters in blood vessels through binding and activating VEGFR1 and NRP1, which consequently promote fat transport into tissues (7). A contrasting interpretation is that VEGF-B improves vascular and metabolic health by displacing another ligand, VEGF-A, which can then activate VEGFR2 signaling (8). VEGFR2 signaling is essential for maintaining vascular function, which is compromised in metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. In the absence of VEGF-B, VEGFR1 and NRP1 can act as decoy receptors that compete for VEGF-A binding to VEGFR2. In support of this idea, Zhang et al. provide evidence that VEGFR1 and NRP1 bind VEGF-A and prevent excessive VEGFR2 signaling that would otherwise promote button-to-zipper transformation and thereby reduce chylomicron uptake into lacteals.

Zhang et al. add to the evidence that endothelial cell junctions are dynamic structures. Button junction plasticity is evident during development: Initial lymphatics have zipper junctions until late gestation, when they change into button junctions through a process that requires the growth factor angiopoietin-2 (ANGPT2) (9, 10). Alternatively, button junctions change into zippers in growing lymphatics in inflamed tissues (9). This transformation is reversible by treatment with the corticosteroid dexamethasone (9). Further evidence of plasticity is provided by the dependence of lacteal function on VEGF-C, a ligand for VEGFR3, and on delta-like ligand 4 (DLL4), a NOTCH ligand. Mice lacking Vegfc or Dll4 have reduced dietary lipid absorption and are resistant to obesity (11, 12). Genetic inactivation of Dll4 in lymphatic endothelial cells results in transformation of button junctions into zippers (12). In
addition to the gatekeeper function of cellular junctions, chylomicron entry into lacteals is controlled by the expression of the transcription factor pleomorphic adenoma gene-like 2 (PLAGL2) in intestinal epithelial cells. PLAGL2-deficient mice have impaired lacteal uptake of chylomicrons and die postnaturally from lack of fat absorption (13).

The findings by Zhang et al. raise the question of how VEGF-A can have opposite effects on lymphatics, where it reduces permeability by promoting zipper junctions, and on blood vessels, where it increases leakage by opening normally closed zipper junctions in endothelial cells. Although the basis of this dichotomy is unclear, mechanistic insights come from studies of Rho-associated protein kinases (ROCKs). Pharmacologic inhibition of ROCKs promote zippering of endothelial cell junctions and suppress chylomicron uptake into lacteals. ROCK inhibitors also reduce leakage in blood vessels (14). In both cases, ROCK inhibitors increase the barrier function of endothelial cells by stabilizing proteins at intercellular junctions.

These advances highlight the importance of button junctions in intestinal lacteals for the uptake of dietary fat. Although button junctions were identified in lymphatics more than 10 years ago (3), the finding that the junctions are essential for chylomicron uptake by lacteals and can be genetically and pharmacologically regulated point to new strategies for management of obesity. However, understanding the mechanism and consequences of the transformation of button junctions into zippers is at an early stage. Zippering junctions in lymphatics could impair uptake of essential nutrients in chylomicrons or have adverse effects by compromising fluid drainage and immune cell trafficking. Nonetheless, the discovery that the uptake of intestinal fat is determined by the structure of junctions in lymphatic endothelial cells opens new avenues for investigating the regulation of body weight.

ESSAY

The future of humans as model organisms

A “human phenomic science” approach could accelerate personalized medicine

By Garret FitzGerald1, David Botstein2, Robert Califf3,4, Rory Collins5, Keith Peters6, Nick Van Bruggen2, Dan Rader1

Ten years ago, Nobel laureate Sydney Brenner remarked, “We don’t have to search for a model organism anymore. Because we are the model organisms” (1). Indeed, over the past decade, we have deepened our understanding not only of how the genomic blueprint for human biology manifests physical and chemical characteristics (phenotype), but also of how traits can change in response to the environment. A better grasp of the dynamic relationship between genes and the environment may truly sharpen our ability to determine disease risk and response to therapy. A collection of human phenotypic data, and its integration with “omic” information (genomic, proteomic, transcriptomic, epigenomic, microbiomic, and metabolomic, among others), along with remote-sensing data, could provide extraordinary opportunities for discovery. A comprehensive “human phenomic science” approach could catalyze this effort through both large-scale “light” phenotyping studies and “deep” phenotyping studies performed in smaller groups of individuals.

Data integration is already advancing medicine at the individual patient level. The identification of unexpected disease associations with genes (2), the linkage of gene variants to unanticipated human phenotypes (3), and the use of Mendelian randomization (a method to estimate causal effects) to predict biomarker validity (4) or drug response (5) are some examples. Efforts are underway to broaden the diversity of populations studied and to establish standards for phenotyping (6), but the depth and quality of characterization at scale are limited by cost and feasibility.

Complementary to large-scale, comparatively lighter basal phenotype studies are deeper phenotyping studies of smaller groups of individuals in settings where phenotypic responses can be evoked under well-controlled conditions (7). Such studies can address and refine hypotheses generated from data at scale, enabling investigators interested in mechanisms and drug development to seek proof of concept in focused, prospective clinical trials. Deep phenotyping is ideally suited to elucidate gene function and comes close to conducting a “human gene knockout” study (8). The approach can also connect the modifiable causes of common diseases to patients with rare diseases, enabling a better understanding of the pathophysiology of common diseases. For example, detailed characterization of “outlier” patients can direct mechanistic interrogations of common diseases at population scale. One example is the gene encoding proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) and cardiovascular disease (9). The cardioprotective phenotype that was revealed through light phenotyping at scale inferred the validity of PCSK9 as a drug target; this was confirmed in deep phenotyping studies and then in randomized clinical trials. Another example is the autoimmune phenotype of patients with atypical presentations of the myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome (10). Deep phenotyping revealed a mechanistic basis for a symptom complex that is sometimes misclassified as an affective disorder. Furthermore, the two approaches to phenotyping might be integrated to enable the identification of patients with rare diseases from large phenotypic datasets. This could lead to more efficient recruitment for deep phenotyping studies. A major challenge will be harmonizing protocols for deep phenotyping. However, while moving toward standardization, it may be possible to inte-
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