Spatiotemporal Fluctuation Analysis: A Powerful Tool for the Future Nanoscopy of Molecular Processes
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ABSTRACT The enormous wealth of information available today from optical microscopy measurements on living samples is often underexploited. We argue that spatiotemporal analysis of fluorescence fluctuations using multiple detection channels can enhance the performance of current nanoscopy methods and provide further insight into dynamic molecular processes of high biological relevance.

A major challenge of present (and future) biophysics is to quantitatively study how biomolecules dynamically fulfill their physiological role in living cells, tissues, or entire organisms. Over the last few decades, many biophysical approaches have been developed to study crucial molecular parameters (e.g., localization, diffusion, binding, and oligomerization state) in living samples with high accuracy. In particular, the perceived spatial-resolution limit of far-field optical microscopy has dramatically changed over the last 25 years (1). New experimental methodologies were introduced that are able to unveil details on a length scale that is a tiny fraction of the wavelength of light, thus moving spatial resolution far beyond the diffraction limit set by Ernst Abbe’s equation (2). Available imaging methodologies can be grouped into three large families. The first family was proposed two decades ago and exploits the spectral properties of the fluorescent molecules under study to circumvent the diffraction limit, and comprises stimulated emission depletion (STED) (3), ground-state depletion (4), and reversible saturable optical fluorescence transition (5) microscopies. The second family includes strategies that improve spatial resolution by suitably shaping the excitation-light beam, as in the case of structured illumination microscopy (SIM) (6–9). The third approach affords super-resolution by combining selected spectral properties of the fluorescent molecules (e.g., photoactivation and on/off switching) with single-molecule localization (SML) methods (also known as pointillistic strategies) such as stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (10,11), photoactivated localization microscopy (PALM) (12), and point accumulation for imaging in nanoscale topography (13,14). All of these techniques are rapidly spreading and yielding exciting new discoveries in molecular/cellular biology and related fields. As compared with classical methods to approach the nanoscale, such as transmission electron microscopy, these strategies offer a pivotal advantage: they do not require fixed samples and consequently have the potential to reveal the nanoscale dynamic behavior of single molecules directly within living samples (see notable examples in Refs. (15–21)). In fact, several important biological applications can be found for each one of these nanoscopy families. For instance, in the context of SML methods, by combining PALM with single-particle tracking (SPT), one can build mobility maps by measuring the trajectories of many individual molecules at the same time (22). This multiplexed capability was successfully used, for instance, to dissect the dynamic behavior of α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid-type glutamate receptors at the postsynaptic density of live cells (23). Also, steady-state cross-correlation analysis of PALM-based super-resolution images yielded a model-independent and robust quantification of protein dynamics and codistributions both in vesicles and in live cells (24–26). Again in the context of SML approaches, it is worth mentioning the application of stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy in live samples to reveal the ultrastructural dynamics of various organelles (27), as well as in vitro to probe the
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molecular exchange pathways in one-dimensional supramolecular fibers (28). Concerning SIM-based nanoscopy methods, their recent combination with ultrahigh numerical aperture (NA ~ 1.7) and reversibly photoswitchable fluorescent proteins (FPs) provided access to the sub-60-nm-resolution regime in applications at the plasma membrane level (29). This in turn allowed researchers to investigate with high accuracy a number of crucial molecular processes involved in the regulation of endocytic and cytoskeletal dynamics (29). Lastly, STED-based microscopy (applied in both single-point and scanning modes) was successfully used in combination with fluctuation spectroscopy to probe the dynamic behavior of single molecules at the appropriate temporal (microsecond-to-millisecond) and spatial (30–50 nm) resolution in several biological applications (30–38). Among others, in 2009, Eggeling and co-workers (30) used noninvasive optical recordings of fluorescence fluctuations at tunable spatial scales to study the dynamics of biomolecules on live-cell membranes. In particular, by tuning the probed area ~70 times below the diffraction limit, they could directly observe sphingolipids and glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins transiently trapped in cholesterol-mediated molecular complexes dwelling within <20-nm-diameter areas. Interestingly, it was recently shown that the combination of raster-image correlation spectroscopy with STED offers an enhanced multiplexing capability because of the increased achievable spatial resolution (as compared with conventional raster-image correlation spectroscopy) as well as access to 10–100 times higher fluorophore concentrations (39). This combined approach was used to accurately map molecular dynamics on both model membranes and live cells (39).

From static to dynamic resolution

In all of the examples discussed so far, the actual resolution of the measurement is determined by both the spatial and temporal scales at which the dynamic molecular process is actually probed. As recently noted by several experts in the field (40–42), there is a need for a paradigm shift in the classical concept of measurement resolution, i.e., a shift from the capability to distinguish two different molecules separated in space but fixed in time (hereafter referred to as static resolution) to the capability to distinguish two positions of the same molecule that are separated both in space and in time (hereafter termed dynamic resolution). In other words, in the presence of a dynamic process, the goal of the measurement would be to probe the spatiotemporal displacement of single molecules. From this new perspective, it is of interest to discuss the characteristic potential of each of the abovementioned nanoscopy families in detail. In a conventional SML-based experiment, for instance, a minimum number of photons (typically ~10 photons) is required to properly perform single-molecule localization. Moreover, the localization precision ($\sigma_{\text{SML}}$), which is generally considered as the static spatial resolution of the measurement, depends on the square root of the number of collected photons ($N_{\text{phs}}$). As a consequence, the brightness of the label directly determines the relationship between the static spatial and temporal resolution of the measurement (43). This relationship is reported in Fig. 1A (green solid lines) for three representative brightness values, ranging from $10^4$ photons/s (typical of an FP) to $10^6$ photons/s (typical of a bright inorganic chromophore, such as a quantum dot). For instance, the minimum time required to localize a single FP with a 20-nm static spatial resolution is ~20 ms (dark blue line in Fig. 1A). By contrast, one can achieve a similar performance in 100-fold less time by using a 100-fold brighter chromophore (cyan line in Fig. 1A). This scenario changes in the case of dynamic processes. In this case, the spatial scale that can be accessed is strongly influenced by the details of the dynamics. Three representative rates of (Brownian) motion are plotted in Fig. 1A as solid yellow-to-red lines. For instance, a molecule diffusing at ~1 $\mu m^2/s$ (yellow solid line in Fig. 1A) will move ~20 nm in 0.1 ms. As a consequence, the trajectory of the molecule cannot be described with a 20-nm dynamic resolution using FPs, as the time required for FP localization with such a resolution (i.e., ~100 ms) is much longer than the time it takes the molecules to move 20 nm. Please note that a single FP provides, on average, <10 photons in 0.1 ms (threshold indicated by dashed black line in Fig. 1A), thus preventing molecular localization. By contrast, one can achieve a 20-nm dynamic spatial resolution by using an ~100-fold brighter label (cyan solid line in Fig. 1A). In fact, in this case, a 20-nm static spatial resolution can be achieved before the molecule has moved ~20 nm. Interestingly, in an intermediate case (e.g., an organic chromophore; blue solid line in Fig. 1A), the molecule can be technically localized in 0.1 ms, but the achieved (static) spatial resolution is ~80 nm. As a consequence, in this case, the molecular trajectory cannot be described with a 20-nm dynamic resolution. Note, however, that in principle, one can obtain the mean-square displacement (MSD) at 20-nm dynamic resolution by averaging multiple steps of a single-molecule trajectory and/or many single-molecule trajectories. All of these considerations are far more important with regard to molecules diffusing, for instance, in a three-dimensional intracellular environment, where molecular short-range motion shows diffusion coefficients of ~100 $\mu m^2/s$ (red solid line in Fig. 1A) (44). In these conditions, even a bright inorganic chromophore cannot afford a 20-nm dynamic resolution to describe the molecular trajectory or MSD. These limitations justify current intense efforts to search for improved chromophores and labeling methodologies (21,45–50).

As opposed to SML, both SIM- and STED-based strategies afford a static spatial resolution that is constant in time (Fig. 1B and C). As an example, in the case of STED, the spatial scale at which the molecular dynamic
behavior can be probed is invariably set by the efficiency of the depletion process, which, in the simplest case, depends on the power of the depletion beam (30). This in turn sets the size of the observation spot and hence the static resolution limit (Fig. 1C) (30). Based on these general considerations, it is easy to understand why the dynamic spatial resolution of a SIM- or STED-based measurement can be limited only by the temporal resolution of the acquisition, which is constantly improving thanks to innovative technical solutions (Fig. 1, B and C) (42,51).

Improving dynamic resolution by spatiotemporal correlation analysis

Despite the efforts described above, we argue that the arsenal of analytical tools at our disposal to measure the subresolution details of molecular motion in today’s optical microscopy measurements is not fully exploited. In this regard, it is worth mentioning that the ability to resolve the dynamic behavior of molecules well below the nominal imaging resolution of the measurement ($\sigma_{PSF}$) was demonstrated in recent years by the sole use of fluctuation analysis in standard diffraction-limited optical setups (29,44,52–56). For example, Shusterman and co-workers (52,53) derived an expression of the single-point fluorescence correlation spectroscopy correlation function, which is directly related to the molecular MSD. Using this approach, they measured the cuvette molecular displacements of fluorescently labeled DNA molecules with a 30-nm dynamic spatial resolution. For a while now, the theoretical basis underlying these results has been the subject of debate in the field of correlation spectroscopy (57,58). Interestingly, recent in silico and experimental results have further extended the possibility to probe the nanoscale dynamic behavior of molecules by means of spatiotemporal correlation spectroscopy in standard microscopy setups (44,55). For instance, by using an FP-tagged variant of Transferrin Receptor (TfR), we recently probed the regulation of protein diffusion imparted by the cytoskeleton meshwork on the plasma membrane of live cells (55). In particular, the diffusion law of TfR was reconstructed by spatiotemporal fluctuation spectroscopy at a temporal resolution of ~0.1 ms. Thanks to this strategy, we were able to quantitatively describe subdiffraction confinement areas (with a linear length of ~100 nm) in agreement with high-speed SPT (59). Of note, in our experiments, the achieved dynamic spatial resolution was illuminated, and the image thus formed provides spatial information about the sample emission. This information provides a gain in resolution by a factor of ~2. On the other hand, the temporal resolution is set solely by the acquisition protocol that is applied. (C) In STED microscopy, the spatial resolution is set by the efficiency of the depletion of peripheral chromophores and, in the simplest case, it depends only on the power of the depletion beam. Also in this case, the temporal resolution is set by the acquisition protocol that is applied.
not determined solely by the imaging technology used (i.e., a standard, diffraction-limited setup with static resolution of ~250 nm), but rather by the temporal scale at which the fluorescence signal was measured and by the signal/noise ratio achieved in the description of the correlation function. To better elucidate this crucial point, let us consider the case of the so-called imaging-derived MSD (or iMSD) approach applied to spatiotemporal image correlation spectroscopy (STICS) (44,55) (see Fig. S1 in the Supporting Material for further details). In STICS-iMDS, the average displacement of many single molecules is measured as the increase in width of the spatiotemporal correlation function in time. As a consequence, the smallest measurable average displacement is set by the precision that can be achieved in measuring the width of the correlation function ($\sigma_{\text{MSD}}$). To quantitatively illustrate this concept, $\sigma_{\text{iMSD}}$ is quantified in Fig. 2 as a function of $N_{\text{phs}}$ and molecular density ($N_{\text{mol}}$, the number of molecules per observation volume) in a classical time-lapse acquisition. First of all, by increasing $N_{\text{mol}}$ from 0.01 to 10 molecules per point spread function (PSF), one can achieve a threefold improvement in the precision of the measurement regardless of the molecular brightness (open versus solid black dots in Fig. 2 A). Moreover, similarly to SML, $\sigma_{\text{iMSD}}$ decreases according to the square root of $N_{\text{phs}}$, independently of $N_{\text{mol}}$ (solid lines in Fig. 2 A).

**FIGURE 2** Precision in measuring the molecular MSD by correlation spectroscopy. (A) $\sigma_{\text{iMSD}}$, as defined in Supporting Materials and Methods, is quantified for a defined range of molecular brightness ($N_{\text{ph}}$, number of photons per molecule per frame) and molecular density ($N_{\text{mol}}$, number of molecules per PSF) values. In detail, the measured $\sigma_{\text{iMSD}}$ is plotted against $N_{\text{ph}}$ for the two selected brightness values of 0.1 and 10 molecules per PSF (open and solid dots, respectively). The red lines underline the dependence of $\sigma_{\text{iMSD}}$ on the square root of $N_{\text{ph}}$. In the inset, a surface plot for all tested conditions is shown. (B) The same plot as in Fig. 1 A shows the contribution of spatiotemporal fluctuation analysis to the calculation of $\sigma_{\text{iMSD}}$ as obtained from simulated experiments for three representative molecular brightness levels of 10, 100, and 1000 kPhs/s (dark blue to cyan solid lines).
general, a $\sigma_{\text{MSD}}$ of $>20$ nm can be obtained in a wide range of conditions, even if individual molecules provide less than one photon per frame (cyan-to-blue portion of the surface plot in the inset of Fig. 2A). This is possible thanks to spatiotemporal correlation spectroscopy, because the motion of many single molecules is averaged before (not after, as in SML-based experiments) their displacement is measured. This difference makes it possible to explore a temporal scale that is inaccessible to classical SML. By following this general strategy, in principle, one can push the dynamic spatial resolution of any optical microscopy measurement well below the limits imposed by its static spatial resolution (dashed gray line in Fig. 2B) and by the temporal resolution needed for single-molecule localization (solid gray lines in Fig. 2B). Such an approach may make it possible to probe TR-GFP motion at the plasma membrane with a dynamic spatial resolution of $\sim20$ nm and a temporal resolution of $\sim0.1$ ms (55). These considerations regarding correlation spectroscopy are quite general and are not restricted to diffraction-limited setups. Therefore, we are asking, Can superresolution methods, which are intrinsically endowed with high static spatial resolution properties, take advantage of the resolution improvements that are accessible through spatiotemporal fluctuation analysis to describe dynamical processes? The answer is yes! We do believe that the time is ripe to push nanoscale investigations of dynamic processes to an entirely new level. To corroborate this statement, in the following we shall consider a recently reported set of superresolution experiments on dynamic biological processes. In particular, Schneider and co-workers (42) successfully combined STED-based imaging with electro-optical scanning technologies to obtain an unprecedented line-scanning frequency of 250 kHz. Using SPT and taking advantage of the 70-nm static resolution provided by STED, these authors investigated the dynamics of fluorescently labeled vesicles in living Drosophila or HIV-1 particles in cells with a temporal resolution of 5–10 ms (42). Such a platform appears ideal to show how the application of spatiotemporal fluctuation analysis can push the dynamic spatial resolution of a measurement well below the imaging nominal resolution, thus shedding light on unexplored dynamic phenomena such as the behavior of fluorescently labeled molecules trapped inside a vesicle. To illustrate this, in Fig. 3 we reproduce a set of analogous data from a simulated experiment in which fluorescent molecules can move within a vesicle that is comparable in size to the static spatial resolution of the measurement. The simulated image series is used to reconstruct the $\langle r \rangle^2$ profile of fluorescent molecules (as described in Ref. (55); see also Fig. S1). Fig. 3 shows that by means of spatiotemporal fluorescence correlation spectroscopy, one can access the motion of fluorescent molecules trapped within moving vesicles and thus fully exploit information collected on timescales up to microseconds, which can be easily reached in a line-scanning acquisition with the aforementioned technology. In more detail, the $\langle r \rangle^2$ plot displays two different diffusive regimes: a short-range diffusion that quantitatively describes the motion of molecules within the vesicle, and a 10-fold slower, long-range diffusion that reflects the movement of the entire vesicle. Both of these regimes match the imposed values. What is of importance here is that by applying spatiotemporal fluctuation analysis, we can resolve the dynamic behavior of molecules within a submicrometric environment with a dynamic spatial resolution of $\sim7$ nm, a limit that is actually 10 times smaller than the nominal static spatial resolution set by the STED experimental conditions.

In the words of Stefan Hell (2014 Nobel Laureate in Chemistry) and Steffen Sahl, “We envision several important developments going forward, of which the main thrusts are clear: we wish to move more and more toward real-time, four-dimensional (4D) molecular analysis not only in cells, but tissue-like preparations or tissues themselves” (1). Such an ambitious task will obviously entail efforts on many levels. We argue here that extending fluctuation analysis to the spatial dimension is the key to unraveling the dynamic behavior of molecules well below the nominal imaging resolution, down to the nanoscale. Using spatiotemporal fluctuation analysis, we can definitely integrate the arsenal of methods at our disposal to investigate living matter at the molecular level.
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