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Abstract
Kearney’s bluestar (Amsonia kearneyana) is a highly endangered herbaceous perennial in the family Apocynaceae. The species is found only in the Baboquivari Mountains of southern Arizona. We report the isolation and development of 12 microsatellite loci for Kearney’s bluestar. Numbers of alleles ranged from two to four and observed heterozygosities ranged from 0.20 to 0.80 in the nine loci found to be polymorphic in the test population. All loci were also tested for cross-amplification in five other Amsonia species representing two subgenera from the southwestern United States. Some loci that were not polymorphic in the Kearney’s bluestar were polymorphic in other species.
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Kearney’s bluestar is a federally endangered plant endemic to the Baboquivari mountains of Arizona. There are fewer than 1000 known plants, distributed among three drainages of Baboquivari Peak. Population sizes range from less than 10 plants to several hundred plants. Individual plants are long lived and produce many ramets from a single large fibrous root. The lifespan of mature Amsonia spp. plants is not well established, but may be many decades in length. Consistently small and highly dispersed populations and a paucity of discrete differentiating characters have contributed to significant taxonomic revisions in the genus (Woodson 1928; McLaughlin 1982). Most of the known populations of Kearney’s bluestar are very small and contain fewer individuals than are necessary for the long-term maintenance of genetic diversity. We have developed these microsatellite markers to evaluate the levels and distribution of genetic variability among populations of Kearney’s bluestar as part of an ongoing project investigating the ecology of the species.

We combined DNA from two different species prior to beginning the library construction process to maximize cost and time efficiency. As the frequency of repeat motifs varies in different taxa, we used enrichment procedures for four different repeat motifs in order to create four composite libraries that were preferentially enriched for one of the two species. We purified genomic DNA from silica-gel-dried leaf samples of A. kearneyana using the CTAB method (Doyle & Doyle 1987), followed by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, and from the blood of the northern goshawk (Accipiter gentilis) using Qiagen Dneasy® Kits, and combined the DNA in equal proportions. Libraries enriched for (GA)_n (TAGA)_n (TACA)_n and (AAT)_n repeat motifs were constructed by Genetic Identification Services (Chatham, CA) and screened according to the protocol in Meredith & May (2002). Five hundred and seventy-two plasmids were purified using Qiagen Qiaprep® Kit and cycle sequenced using ABI BigDye™ Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit version 1.0 and pUC19 forward and/or reverse sequencing primers. This total was comprised of 211 clones from the (GA)_n library, 187 from the (AAT)_n library, and 87 from each of the (TAGA)_n and (TACA)_n libraries. Sequencing reactions were purified using RapXtract™ magnetic bead separation (Prolinx) and separated on the MJ Research Basteation™ as described in Welsh et al. (2003). We assembled sequences using seqman™, and identified 46 different microsatellite repeats and designed PCR primer pairs for each using primerselect™ (Lasergene 5.1, DNASTAR Inc.).
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Primer pairs were designed to amplify fragments from 150 to 250 basepairs in length.

As the libraries were composites of two distinct species DNA, we initially screened primer pairs against four northern goshawk samples and four samples of Kearney’s bluestar. Five ng of genomic DNA were used as a template in a 10 µL reaction with 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0; 50 mM KCl; 0.1% Triton® X-100; 1.5 mM MgCl₂; 0.2 mM each dNTP; 1 mM unlabelled forward and reverse primers; and 0.375 units Taq polymerase (Promega™). Amplification was conducted using a touchdown protocol with the following parameters: 95 °C for 1 minute followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 1 minute, 67 °C for 45 s with a 0.5 °C decrease each cycle, and 72 °C for 2 min. PCR product was diluted 1:1 with 98% for-mamide loading buffer (10 mM EDTA pH 8.0; 0.005% xylene cyanol and 0.005% bromophenol blue) denatured at 95 °C for 3 min and chilled on ice prior to loading. Samples were separated on a 5% polyacrylamide gel at 50 W for 70 min. Gels were stained using the Sybr-Green™-agarose overlay protocol described in Rodzen et al. (1998) and scanned on a Molecular Dynamics™ FluorImager 595.

Of the 46 primer pairs designed, 19 amplified appropriately sized fragments in Kearney’s bluestar and 18 amplified fragments in the northern goshawk (J.R. Topinka, manuscript in preparation). The remainder either did not amplify or amplified many nonspecific bands and did not result in robust and specific amplification upon further optimization. Candidate primer pairs were screened for polymorphism against 10 individuals selected from the largest known Kearney’s bluestar population (n = 300), as well as two individuals from each of two different populations chosen to represent the complete geographical range. Expected and observed heterozygosities, and allele numbers are reported from the 10 individuals from the largest population (Table 1). In the test population, allele numbers for loci ranged from one to four alleles per locus (mean = 2.45) and expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.00 to 0.69 (mean = 0.46). Tests for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were conducted in GENEPOP 3.3 using exact tests (Raymond & Rousset 1995). Locus Ake-4 was significantly out of HWE (P < 0.05). This departure from HWE may be due to the presence of a null allele at this locus and will require further analysis. After Bonferroni correction, no significant linkage disequilibrium was detected using Fisher’s exact test conducted in GENEPOP 3.3 using the default Markov chain parameters. Because we are also

### Table 1 Primer sequences for *Amsonia kearneyana* microsatellite loci

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Repeat motif</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5'–3')</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
<th>GenBank Accession #</th>
<th>n</th>
<th># of alleles</th>
<th>H₀</th>
<th>Hₑ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ake1</td>
<td>(CT)₁₉</td>
<td>F-CGACTCTCTTCTGTCTATTTCC</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>AY312439</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake2</td>
<td>(CT)₁₀(CCTTT)₄</td>
<td>F-ATACTGACCTGAGGCTGAAGG</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>AY312440</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake3</td>
<td>(CT)₃ … (CT)₁₀</td>
<td>F-TCGACCTCTTCAGCTGCTTCAG</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>AY312441</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake4</td>
<td>(CT)₁₈</td>
<td>F-CAATTTCCTTCAGTTGGTG</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>AY312442</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake5*</td>
<td>(CT)₁₆ … (CA)₆(7)₅</td>
<td>F-CCTTGGAGCATGCTGAGGCTG</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>AY312443</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake6</td>
<td>(CT)₁₃ … (CT)₂ₙ</td>
<td>F-CAGGACCACTGATAGCCACAAAT</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>AY312444</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake7</td>
<td>(CCT)₉(CA)₁₀</td>
<td>F-CCAAAACCCCATTAATTTAG</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>AY312445</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake8</td>
<td>(GA)₁₃</td>
<td>F-ATGCGCAGGATAGAG</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>AY312446</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake9</td>
<td>(CT)₉</td>
<td>F-AGATCGATATATATATATAAT</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>AY312447</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake10</td>
<td>(ACGT)₉</td>
<td>F-AAAAAGTCAGTTATACATATA</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>AY312448</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake11*</td>
<td>(GAT)₁₃</td>
<td>F-TGTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTA</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>AY312450</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ake12*</td>
<td>(GAT)₉₀</td>
<td>F-GTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTA</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>AY312450</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n, number of individuals analysed; H₀, observed heterozygosity; Hₑ, expected heterozygosity; F, forward primer; R, reverse primer; interrupted microsatellites are indicated by a ( ... ) between motifs; *polymorphic in other *Amsonia* spp.; †polymorphic in other *A. kearneyana* populations; # significant departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P < 0.05).
interested in using these microsatellites to examine the distribution of genetic diversity in other species of *Amsonia*, we screened all primer pairs that successfully amplified against a suite of *Amsonia* species, regardless of whether they were monomorphic or polymorphic in Kearney’s bluestar (Table 2). The 19 initial primer pairs were narrowed to the 12 loci reported here that were polymorphic in at least one *Amsonia* species. The remainder were either monomorphic in all species or did not robustly and specifically amplify the locus.
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