Combined closures in reconstructive surgery
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Abstract

It is common for dermatology surgery patients to present on the day of surgery with more than one skin cancer needing Mohs micrographic surgery. When these carcinomas are located near one another, it may be more practical to treat both at the same time as the reconstruction for one defect may involve or otherwise affect the treatment or reconstruction for the other carcinoma. Treating both cancers on the same day creates an opportunity for efficient repairs where a creative single reconstruction may minimize the amount of tissue wasted during reconstruction and minimize morbidity for the patient. Further, combining multiple defects into one closure may reduce cost as it has been shown that reconstructive choice affects the cost-effectiveness of Mohs surgery relative to a traditional excision and Mohs surgeons more commonly select less costly options for wound management3. We present a case series of two-in-one closures - repairing two defects with one closure.
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Introduction

It is common for dermatology surgery patients to present on the day of surgery with more than one skin cancer needing Mohs micrographic surgery1,2. When these carcinomas are located near one another, it may be more practical to treat both at the same time as the reconstruction for one defect may involve or otherwise affect the treatment or reconstruction for the other carcinoma. Treating both cancers on the same day creates an opportunity for efficient repairs where a creative single reconstruction may minimize the amount of tissue wasted during reconstruction and minimize morbidity for the patient. Further, combining multiple defects into one closure may reduce cost as it has been shown that reconstructive choice affects the cost-effectiveness of Mohs surgery relative to a traditional excision and Mohs surgeons more commonly select less costly options for wound management. We present a case series of two-in-one closures - repairing two defects with one closure.

Case 1: Patient presented with defects of the left preauricular cheek and the left mandible after Mohs surgery (Figure 1a). Options for repair included healing by second intent, skin grafts, separate flap repairs, or linear closure for the inferior defect in combination with any of the aforementioned for the superior defect. We closed both defects with a single transposition flap by utilizing the intervening skin (Figure 1a, marked with star) between the two defects to transpose into the superior defect. The inferior defect was closed by secondary motion of the tissue trailing the transposition from the neck (Figures 1b, c).

Case 2: Patient presented with defects of the right preauricular cheek and the left mandible after Mohs surgery (Figure 1d). Options for repair included healing by second intent, skin grafts, separate flap repairs, or linear closure for the inferior defect in combination with any of the aforementioned for the superior defect. We closed both defects with a single transposition flap by utilizing the intervening skin (Figure 1a, marked with star) between the two defects to transpose into the superior defect. The inferior defect was closed by secondary motion of the tissue trailing the transposition from the neck (Figures 1b, c).

Case 3: Patient presented with defects of the right lateral cheek and the right temple after Mohs surgery (Figure 1g). Options for repair included an
Figure 1. a) Case 1: Immediate post-Mohs; b) Case 1: Immediate post-reconstruction; c) Case 1: One week follow-up; d) Case 2: Immediate post-Mohs; e) Case 2: Surgical planning; f) Case 2: Immediate post-reconstruction; g) Case 3: Immediate post-Mohs; h) Case 3: Immediate post-reconstruction.

Figure 2. a) Case 4: Immediate post-Mohs; b) Case 4: Planned incision for a combination rotation flap closure; c) Case 4: Immediate post-reconstruction; d) Case 5: Immediate post-Mohs; e) Case 5: Immediate post-reconstruction; f) Case 5: One week follow-up.
Figure 3. a) Case 6: Immediate post-Mohs; b) Case 6: Immediate post-reconstruction; c) Case 6: One week follow-up.

Figure 4. a) Case 7: Immediate post-Mohs; b) Case 7: Immediate post-reconstruction; c) Case 7: One week follow-up; d) Case 8: Immediate post-Mohs; e) Case 8: Immediate post-reconstruction.
advancement flap for the temple defect and primary closure of the cheek defect. We opted for a single rotation flap closure to close both defects (Figure 1h). The temple defect was closed with the primary movement of a rotation flap, and the cheek defect was incorporated into the standing cone deformity normally excised at the tail of a conventionally executed rotation flap.

**Case 4:** Patient presented with defects of the left nasal dorsum and the left nasal tip after Mohs surgery (Figure 2a). Secondary intention healing and skin grafting were options for closure. Primary closure could also be considered for the superior defect in a superior to inferior direction along the nasal dorsum, but the inferior defect would require a separate flap or graft closure. These defects were ultimately closed with a single rotation flap. This was done by rotating the intervening skin (Figure 2a, marked with star) between the defects into the inferior defect, thus incorporating the superior defect into the normally-occurring secondary defect created by any rotation flap (Figure 2b). This secondary defect/superior defect combination was closed utilizing the normal tissue reservoir found on the nasal dorsum (Figure 2c).

**Case 5:** Patient presented with defects of the superior and inferior nasal dorsum after Mohs surgery (Figure 2d). Options for repair included dorsal nasal rotation flap. Instead we opted for a combined primary linear closure for both defects (Figures 2 e, f).

**Case 6:** Patient presented with defects of the right lower eyelid and the right temple after Mohs surgery (Figure 3a). Options for repair included primary closure of the temple defect and rotation, bilateral A-T advancement flap, upper to lower lid transposition flap, or skin graft for the lower eyelid defect. Instead we opted for a Tenzel rotation flap to close both defects, incorporating the temple defect into the standing cone normally excised with this flap (Figures 3 b, c).

**Case 7:** Patient presented with defects of the right nasal tip and the left nasal supratip after Mohs surgery (Figure 4a). Both defects were closed with a single rotation flap incorporating the left nasal supratip defect (Figure 4a, arrow) into the tissue that would normally be removed at the pivot point of a dorsal nasal rotation flap (Figures 4 b, c).

**Case 8:** Another patient presented with defects of the left and right nasal dorsum after Mohs surgery (Figure 4d). Similar to Case 7, the two nasal dorsum defects were treated as one with a dorsal nasal rotation flap with the subsequent cone positioned in the smaller defect site on the left nasal dorsum (Figure 4e).

**Discussion**

In our practice, we limit the number of Mohs surgeries to two tumors per patient per day. Treating no more than two tumors in a single day provides tolerability for the patient, subsequent relative ease in wound care, and fewer limitations on activities after surgery. It is also convenient for the patient who travels a long distance for Mohs surgery to have at least two tumors treated during the same visit. A combined repair creates an opportunity to extend the tissue conservation principle of Mohs surgery and possibly decrease cost.
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