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Response of tree biomass and wood litter to disturbance in a Central Amazon forest

Abstract We developed an individual-based stochastic-empirical model to simulate the carbon dynamics of live and dead trees in a Central Amazon forest near Manaus, Brazil. The model is based on analyses of extensive field studies carried out on permanent forest inventory plots, and syntheses of published studies. New analyses included: (1) growth suppression of small trees, (2) maximum size (trunk base diameter) for 220 tree species, (3) the relationship between growth rate and wood density, and (4) the growth response of surviving trees to catastrophic mortality (from logging). The model simulates a forest inventory plot, and tracks recruitment, growth, and mortality of live trees, decomposition of dead trees (coarse litter), and how these processes vary with changing environmental conditions. Model predictions were tested against aggregated field data, and also compared with independent measurements including maximum tree age and coarse litter standing stocks. Spatial analyses demonstrated that a plot size of ~10 ha was required to accurately measure wood (live and dead) carbon balance. With the model accurately predicting relevant pools and fluxes, a number of model experiments were performed to predict forest carbon balance response to perturbations including: (1) increased productivity due to CO₂ fertilization, (2) a single semi-catastrophic (10%) mortality event, (3) increased recruitment and mortality (turnover) rates, and (4) the combined effects of increased turnover, increased tree growth rates, and decreased mean wood density of new recruits. Results demonstrated that carbon accumulation over the past few decades observed on tropical forest inventory plots (~0.5 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) is not likely caused by CO₂ fertilization. A maximum 25% increase in woody tissue productivity with a doubling of atmospheric CO₂ only resulted in an accumulation rate of 0.05 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ for the period 1980–2020 for a Central Amazon forest, or an order of magnitude less than observed on the inventory plots. In contrast, model parameterization based on extensive data from a logging experiment demonstrated a rapid increase in tree growth following disturbance, which could be misinterpreted as carbon sequestration if changes in coarse litter stocks were not considered. Combined results demonstrated that predictions of changes in forest carbon balance during the twenty-first century are highly dependent on assumptions of tree response to various perturbations, and underscores the importance of a close coupling of model and field investigations.
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Introduction

Carbon cycling in old-growth tropical evergreen forests has been the focus of considerable attention given the importance of these ecosystems in the global carbon cycle (Malhi et al. 1998; Tian et al. 1998; Cox et al. 2000; Chambers et al. 2001a; Clark et al. 2003; Saleska et al. 2003; Baker et al. 2004a, b; Lewis et al. 2004a). Efforts to curb the rise in atmospheric CO₂ concentration have highlighted the importance of identifying carbon sources and sinks, although tracking the various movements of carbon into the terrestrial biosphere cannot currently be assessed with much accuracy (Bolin 1998). Human activities in tropical forests are responsible for most of the global land-use derived CO₂ source of about...
2.2 Pg C year\(^{-1}\) during the 1990s (Houghton 2003; Canadell et al. 2000), with at least 0.2 Pg C year\(^{-1}\) from land-use in the Brazilian Amazon alone (Houghton et al. 2000). However, observations on forest inventory plots have indicated that tree biomass is increasing in Amazonian forests at a rate of about 0.4 Pg C year\(^{-1}\) (0.5 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\)) (Phillips et al. 1998; Baker et al. 2004a, b). It has been suggested that this apparent sink may be in response to atmospheric CO\(_2\) fertilization (Phillips et al. 2002; Lewis et al. 2004a), although other factors may play more important roles in determining year-to-year changes in forest carbon balance (Keller et al. 1996; Clark et al. 2003; Nemani et al. 2003; Chambers and Silver 2004). Because the rate of increase in atmospheric CO\(_2\) would be considerably faster without a terrestrial carbon sink (Schimel 1995), it is important to determine first, if undisturbed tropical forests are in fact acting as carbon sinks, and if so, whether they will continue to sequester carbon in the coming decades.

A number of models have been developed to simulate the gap dynamics of trees (Botkin and Schenk 1996; Bugmann 2001), and biogeochemical cycling in terrestrial ecosystems (VEMAP 1995), and a few models have linked tree population dynamics and biogeochemistry (Bolker et al. 1995; Post and Pastor 1996; Moorcroft et al. 2001) or focused on tropical forests (Alder 1995). These models vary in their complexity, but in general are difficult to parameterize. Models are often designed for specific questions, or specific ecosystems, and are not easily modified to address questions for which they were not strictly developed. Modifying existing models for tropical forests is particularly problematic because of the high tree species diversity, soils that are often physically and chemically different from temperate soils, and the lack of appropriate mechanistic studies. For this project, instead of obtaining, modifying, and parameterizing an existing model, it was more practical to develop a site-specific model to address a well-defined topic: spatial and temporal variation in carbon cycling dynamics of live and dead trees in an old-growth Central Amazon forest.

The model was designed to operate in two primary modes. In the first mode, the model simulates stand dynamics (i.e., recruitment, growth, and mortality) for live trees and above-ground biomass (AGB-mode). The AGB-mode is useful to explore how changes in processes that affect individual trees impact forest carbon balance, and can be readily compared to results obtained from forest inventory plots. In the second mode, the model focuses on the carbon balance of total large wood (TLW), which includes trunks and large branches (\(\geq\)10 cm in diameter) from both live and dead trees (TLW-mode). TLW-mode is useful for exploring how decomposition and heterotrophic respiration from dead trees can offset changes in live trees.

The model focuses on the carbon cycling dynamics of wood for number of reasons. First, data from litter production and biomass increment studies demonstrate that woody tissue production is a substantial portion of above-ground net primary production (ANPP) (Fig. 1a) (Luizão 1989; Chambers 2001b; Clark et al. 2001). Second, wood comprises a large portion of total ecosystem carbon storage in Central Amazon forests (Fig. 1b), and it is also the pool that is most vulnerable to rapid changes in stocks in response to disturbance. Soil also contains a large amount of carbon, but compared to wood carbon, soil is much less susceptible to changes in carbon storage over yearly to decadal time scales (Trumbore et al. 1995; Telles et al. 2003) although studies at some sites show significant changes (Veldkamp et al. 2003). Third, years with high tree mortality rates (Nelson et al. 1994; Condit et al. 1995; Williamson et al. 2000) can cause massive shifts of wood carbon from live to dead pools, with consequent changes in ecosystem structure and dynamics. Despite the importance of wood in determining the carbon balance of tropical forests, basic parameters such as residence times, standing stocks, decomposition rates, and response to disturbance are poorly characterized (Chambers et al. 2000; Houghton et al. 2000).

**Fig. 1 a** Assuming that the growth of live trees plus litterfall provides a reasonable estimate of ANPP, growth was estimated from BDFFP and BIONTE forest inventory plots (Chambers et al. 2001b), with between 80 and 95% of this growth as large wood (Eq. 2). Fine surface litter production averaged 7.8 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\), with 1.3 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) of this litter as fine wood (<10 cm diameter) (Klinge and Rodriguez 1968; Franken et al. 1979; Luizão and Schubart 1987; Luizão 1995). Aggregating these studies to determine carbon allocation to different production components demonstrates that woody tissues are a sizable fraction of ANPP (legend values Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\)). **b** Carbon stocks estimated from numerous sources demonstrate that wood is also a large carbon reservoir (legend values Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\)) (Davidson and Trumbore 1995; Trumbore et al. 1995; Guimarães and Melvo-Ivo 1997; Chambers et al. 2001b; Nascimento et al. 2002; N. Higuchi, unpublished data).
The model developed here serves as a means of aggregating the carbon cycling behavior of individual live and dead trees (≥10 cm diameter) measured through extensive field studies including tree growth rates, recruitment and mortality patterns, and decomposition and respiration from coarse litter (dead trunks and branches ≥10 cm diameter). These field data were used to characterize statistical distributions of attributes for individuals, and empirical relationships between attributes and ecosystem processes, and included studies in both undisturbed and logged forests. The overall goal was to simulate spatial and temporal dynamics of trees and large wood over spatial scales of 0.04 to 100 ha, and to explore how above-ground carbon cycling responds to a number of disturbances. Although we cannot directly address the issue of whether or not old-growth tropical forests are in fact acting as net sinks for atmospheric CO₂, we can help constrain the response by quantitatively exploring how a number of factors influence forest carbon balance.

**Methods and model development**

**Site description**

Work was carried out on permanent plots monitored since the early 1980s by the biomass and nutrient experiment (BIONTE) logging experiment (Higuchi et al. 1997) of the National Institute for Amazon Research (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisa da Amazônia, (INPA)) and the biological dynamics of forest fragments project (BDFFP), a collaboration between INPA and the Smithsonian Institution (Lovejoy and Bierregaard 1990; Rankin-De Merona 1992; Laurance et al. 2002). Data obtained from the permanent plots included stand dynamics (i.e., tree recruitment, growth and mortality rates), and taxonomic information for trees ≥10 cm trunk base diameter (\(D_b\); measured 1.3 m or above the buttresses), hereafter referred to as “trees.” Plots spanned a 50×40-km area (centered about 2°30’S, 60°W) approximately 60 km north of Manaus in the Brazilian Amazon with an elevation of 100–150 m. For the BDFFP site, only data from control plots located in undisturbed old-growth forests were used. For the BIONTE plots, data from both the control and treatment plots were used.

Site vegetation is old-growth closed-canopy terra firme (not seasonally inundated) forest. Mean annual rainfall at Manaus was 2,110 mm and mean annual temperature was 26.7°C for 1910–1983 (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Climatic Data Center, Asheville, N.C., USA). However sites away from the river may experience higher precipitation (Sombroek 2001), with a forested site 50 km east of our study averaging 2,610 mm year⁻¹ from 1980 to 2000, and precipitation for year 2000 at the ZF-2 site registering 3,450 mm (Silva et al. 2002). There is a distinct dry season during July, August, and September with usually <100 mm of rain during these months. The predominant soil type on plateau forests in the study area according to different classification systems is Xanthic Ferralsols (FAO/UNESCO), Allic Haplorthoxes (Oxisols) (US), or yellow Latosols (Brazil). However, there is considerable local topographic variation, and soil type varies gradually with elevation, comprising Oxisols on plateaus (about 80% clay), Ultisols on slopes, and Spodosols (about 2–5% clay) in valleys associated with streams (Bravard and Righi 1989; Laurance et al. 1999). Nearly 1,200 trees species have been identified in nearby forests (Ribeiro et al. 1999) with some trees living for more than 1,000 years (Chambers et al. 1998).

**Model description**

The model is individual based and coded in Java (an object oriented language similar to C++) and uses a 1-year time step. Trees located in 20×20 m cells (stands) are the fundamental objects. Stands are aggregated into a single plot with ~100 ha the largest manageable spatial scale (on a desktop PC) for a single run (about 65,000 trees). As described in more detail below, the model starts by filling stands with 10 cm \(D_b\) trees. These trees grow and die, and new trees are recruited. Dead trees decompose, and carbon is either lost as respiration or fragmentation. At present, damage to living trees and relationships with carbon balance (Chambers et al. 2001b) are not incorporated into the model.

The biomass of each tree was calculated using an allometric model based on the harvest of 315 trees in the Central Amazon (Chambers et al. 2001b), given by:

\[
\ln(B_t) = -0.370 + 0.333 \ln(D_b) + 0.933[\ln(D_b)]^2 - 0.122[\ln(D_b)]^3 \tag{1}
\]

where \(B_t\) is the total tree biomass in oven-dry weight. In TLW-mode, the mass of large wood (≥10 cm diameter) for each tree was required to link with the coarse litter decomposition submodel. For an additional 47 harvested trees, both large wood and small wood mass was estimated (N. Higuchi, unpublished data), and regression analysis showed a significant increase from 80 to 95% in the mean fraction of large wood for trees ranging from 12 to 98 cm \(D_b\) (\(P<0.01\)), given by:

\[
f_{l,W} = 0.774 + 0.0018 \times D_b \tag{2}
\]

where \(f_{l,W}\) is the fraction of total AGB in large wood, and trees larger than 100 cm \(D_b\) were assumed to be 95% large wood. Dry biomass was set at 50% carbon based on previous measurements (N. Higuchi, unpublished data; Chambers et al. 2000). The model tracks the large-scale carbon cycling balance of live trees in the AGB-mode, and both large live wood and coarse litter in TLW-mode, by summing the stocks and dynamics for each live and dead tree.
Random deviates drawn from frequency distributions of growth, recruitment, mortality, stem density (number of trees per stand), and wood density were used to allocate attributes to individual trees, using:

\[ A_x = M_x + \text{SD}_x \times R \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( M \) is the mean, SD is the standard deviation of variable \( x \), and \( R \) is a random normal deviate. The \( R \)s were generated using an algorithm (James 1990) that was coded into Java (P. Houle, personal communication). For attributes that were not normally distributed, \( A \), \( M \), and SD were transformed values (e.g., Log10).

Growth

The growth rate distribution was based on measurements of over 10,000 trees from the BDFFP inventory plots. Not all trees grew over the census intervals as a number of trees experienced zero or negative growth rates. For example at one of the BDFFP sites (1,201), 3-ha of growth data spanning the interval 1981–1991 demonstrated 13.6% of trees (229/1,679) experienced no measurable positive growth. Lack of growth may be due, in part, to measurement error, but trees can also shrink from changes in wood moisture content and transpiration rates (Kozlowski et al. 1991; Clark and Clark 1999), or may live for many years without increasing in diameter. In addition, many trees may grow slower than the minimum increment that can be measured with a standard \( D_b \) tape over a typical census interval. For the purposes of this model, to develop a growth frequency distribution, only positive growth rates were used because the model uses long-term average growth rate (\( G_{\text{ave}}=D_b/\text{age} \)) which cannot, by definition, be zero or negative. Growth rates were assumed to be log-normally distributed (Fig. 2a). The model assigned \( G_{\text{ave}} \) to each tree, and this average growth rate was modified by intrinsic and extrinsic factors that influence growth.

To simulate variation in growth rates among individual trees, the model required a frequency distribution of \( G_{\text{ave}} \). The BDFFP permanent plot inventories provided short-term growth rate (\( G_t \)) data measured over a 5 to 10-year period. These data were used to approximate the \( G_{\text{ave}} \) frequency distribution by setting the mean \( G_{\text{ave}} \) equal to the mean \( G_t \), and reducing the SD. This was done because variation in the SD of \( G_{\text{ave}} \) must be less than \( G_t \). A 10-cm \( D_b \) tree cannot, for example, have experienced zero growth for its entire life, although it may demonstrate zero (measurable) growth for many years. With respect to mean growth rate, a forest-wide mean over a 5 to 10-year period is probably a reasonable approximation over the life of the

![Fig. 2a–d The probability frequency distributions used to parameterize the model.](image)

Fig. 2a–d The probability frequency distributions used to parameterize the model. a Log10 transformed short-term growth rate (\( G_t \)) data from BDFFP inventory plots. A histogram of annual growth rates for >8,500 trees measured for 5–10 years are shown, and compared with log-normal distribution (mean=-0.976, SD=0.431). The low end of the curve is not well defined because slow growth rates are difficult to measure accurately (\( D_b \) tape resolution is 1 mm in diameter), and the census intervals were relatively short (5–10 years). b Mortality rates from BDFFP and BIONTE data were assumed to be log-normally distributed (mean=1.02%, SD=1.72%). Rates were estimated from 56 separate census intervals varying from 1 to 5 years on 21 1-ha permanent plots. This distribution was used to calculate a plot-scale annual mortality rate. c Distribution of stem density (number of trees) at 400 m² resolution (\( n=450, \text{mean}=24.3, \text{SD}=5.0 \)). d Distribution of wood density for >200 tree species from the Central Amazon (mean=0.70 g cm⁻³, SD=0.15) (from Fearnside 1997).
average tree. However, because zero and negative growth rates were not included in the analysis of growth rate variation, average growth rates are probably slightly overestimated. The SD of $G_{ave}$ was set to be 50% of the SD of measured $G_t$, and model sensitivity to this parameterization was tested. The reduction in $G_t$ SD was the only model parameter that was tuned (i.e., not derived empirically).

Mortality and recruitment

Mortality rates were based on data from the control (undisturbed) plots at the BDFFP and BIONTE sites. Annualized mortality rates were calculated using a standard logarithmic model (Swaine et al. 1987) over each census interval (Fig. 2b). A mortality event can comprise a single tree or multiple tree deaths in the same stand. The BDFFP data included information on the frequency and number of trees killed by other tree falls. These data were mapped to a 400-m² (20×20 m) resolution to predict the BDFFP data. The model predicted some spatial aggregation of coarse litter production, although larger gaps and blowdowns (Nelson et al. 1994) were not treated. Each time step (1 year) a plot-scale mortality rate was calculated using a random deviate from the mortality frequency distribution (Eq. 1). Mortality was size-independent, with all trees having an equal likelihood of dying. Recruitment tracked mortality. When a tree died, it was replaced by a new tree in a randomly chosen stand. In this way, the total number of trees in the entire plot was conserved, but the number of trees in any individual stand varied. Changes in mortality were not implicitly tied to changes in environmental factors (e.g., precipitation). However, since changes in precipitation can be viewed as essentially random, annual mortality reflects changing conditions that produced variability in the empirical data. Census intervals much longer than a year act to reduce estimated annual mortality rates (Malhi et al. 2004), so annual rates presented here probably have small systematic errors toward lower rates. In addition, mortality was plot-size-independent, as we had no empirical data demonstrating variability in rates when moving from, for example, a 1-ha to a 100-ha plot size.

Coarse litter decomposition

When a tree died a decomposition rate was calculated using a multiple regression model from a coarse litter decomposition study carried out in the BDFFP and BIONTE permanent plots (Chambers et al. 2000), given by:

\[
k_d = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
0.014 - 0.670\rho - 0.163\log_{10}(D_b) & \text{if } k_d < 0.02, \\
0.02 & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right.
\]

where $k_d$ is the rate constant (fraction per year), $\rho$ is live wood density (gram per cubic centimeter), and $D_b$ (centimeter) was limited to a maximum of 100 cm corresponding to the largest tree measured by Chambers et al. (2000). The slowest decomposition rate was limited to 2% year⁻¹ which was the lowest rate quantified by Chambers et al. (2000). Decomposition losses were partitioned into respiration and fragmentation losses based on a coarse litter respiration study with 80% respiration and 20% fragmentation losses (Chambers et al. 2001c). When a dead tree decomposed to 5% of its initial mass, the remaining material was assigned to fragmentation losses. Since the model only tracks carbon balance of AGB and TLW, the fate of losses (e.g., respiration vs fragmentation), although important from a larger ecosystem perspective, does not alter the carbon balance of AGB or TLW, and was not explored for this study.

Initializing and iterating the model

A plot consisted of an area divided into 400 m² cells (20×20 m) called stands. At the beginning of a run, a number of trees were randomly assigned to each stand using a random deviate (Eq. 1) drawn from the BDFFP stem density data (Fig. 2c). Each new tree started at 10 cm $D_b$ and was randomly assigned (Eq. 1) an $G_{ave}$ (Fig. 2a), and an initial age (assumed to be $D_b/G_{ave}$). Wood density was randomly assigned (Eq. 1) to each new tree based on data from >200 Central Amazon tree species (Fig. 2d) (Fearnside 1997). The size of the plot and the time of the model run varied depending on the issue being explored. For example, when maximum tree age was being addressed, the model ran at 100 ha for 2,500 years prior to generating output to ensure stabilization of the age-class distribution, because some trees can live longer than 1,000 years (Chambers et al. 1998).

Preliminary runs and model corrections

Preliminary runs of the model predicted size-class distributions and live wood production rates quite different from the forest inventory data. The model under-predicted the number of small trees and over-predicted the number of large trees. Since small trees are often suppressed due to an inadequate availability of light (Clark and Clark 1992), on average, small trees probably grow slower than larger trees. Regression analysis of the BDFFP data showed a subtle (i.e., low $r^2$), yet highly significant curvilinear relationship between stem diameter and growth rate ($P<0.0001$), with rates increasing with $D_b$ (e.g., Clark and Clark 1999) and slowing for the largest trees (second order term). This curvilinear relationship between growth rate and $D_b$ was modeled by scaling the average long-term.
growth rate ($G_{ave}$) as a function of $D_b$, using:

$$\log_{10} \left( G_D \right) = -2.007 + 1.107 \log_{10} \left( D_b \right) - 0.222 \log_{10} \left( \left( D_b \right)^2 \right)$$

(5)

and

$$G_t = G_o + G_o \left[ \frac{G_D - G_{ave}}{G_{ave}} \right]$$

(6)

where $G$s are all log$_{10}$-transformed growth rates (centimeter per year), $G_{ave}$ is the global average growth rate (mean of Fig. 2a), $G_D$ is the average growth rate for a given $D_b$, $G_o$ is last years growth rate, and $G_t$ is scaled for this years $D_b$. Using Eq. 5, growth rate increases from about 0.8–2.0 mm year$^{-1}$ as trees grow from 10 to 80 cm $D_b$, and then slowly increases reaching a plateau at about 2.3 mm year$^{-1}$ for the largest trees. When these size-dependent changes in growth rate were accounted for, the model more accurately predicted the size-class distribution of the smallest trees.

After accounting for $D_b$ dependent variation in growth rates, the model still over-predicted the number of large trees (>50 cm $D_b$), resulting in substantial overestimates of live wood mass (Fig. 3a) and annual biomass increment. We suspected that lack of information on species dependent variation in maximum tree size was causing the model to over-predict the number of large trees. The model was assigning all trees an equal probability of attaining large sizes. However, in the Central Amazon the potential number of tree species that can colonize a gap is at least ~2,000 (Ribeiro et al. 1999), and the life-history strategy of these tree species varies considerably. Some species are understory specialists that never attain large sizes, whereas others are emergents, towering many meters above the average canopy height (Lieberman and Lieberman 1987). Unfortunately, unlike most temperate tree species (McMahon 1973), there is little information concerning maximum $D_b$ attained by tropical trees. One problem is that most species have densities of less than one individual per hectare (Rankin-De Merona et al. 1992), and it is logistically challenging to obtain adequate sample sizes to assess variation in maximum diameters.

As a preliminary analysis, the BDFFP data provided tree species size distribution information. Maximum diameter for 220 species with at least eight individuals present in the data set was tallied. However, since eight individuals was not an adequate sample size to assess for maximum size, the data set was tested for a sampling bias. There was a significant relationship between sample size and maximum diameter ($P=0.01$), where species with fewer individuals were biased toward smaller maximum sizes. This bias was corrected by assuming that the maximum size for the species with the most individuals was not biased, and a correction factor was determined for species with fewer individuals. This resulted in a maximum correction amounting to an increase in maximum size of 50% for species with eight individuals. Next, a frequency distribution was generated, and each new tree was randomly assigned to one of 14 maximum diameter pseudo-species. Once a tree reached this diameter limit, growth stopped (see Discussion), but the probability of mortality did not increase. With this pseudo-species information, the model more accurately predicted $D_b$ size-class distribution and AGB, although the model still under-predicted the number of trees in the 20–30-cm classes, and slightly over-predicted trees in the 50–90-cm classes (Fig. 3b).

The model presented here utilizes variation in growth rate, wood density, and maximum size to create pseudo-species that represent many different life-history strategies. Whether each combination of these attributes is equally probable remains to be investigated. For example, perhaps species with large maximum diameters, on average, grow faster than species with small maximum diameters. However, with the extremely high diversity, species exist with attributes that may seem intuitively improbable. For example, \textit{Dinizia excelsa} has very dense wood (0.92 g dry weight cm$^{-3}$) and grows relatively fast as determined by radiocarbon dates of five individuals ~100 cm $D_b$ (Chambers et al. 2001d). Even after accounting for large
Radiocarbon errors for trees less than about 350 years, *D. excelsa* average growth rate fell somewhere between 0.33 and 1.10 cm year$^{-1}$, compared to an average of 0.21 cm year$^{-1}$ from Eq. 5 for 100 cm $D_b$ tree.

**Response to CO$_2$ fertilization**

Model predictions of carbon storage response to increased productivity driven by elevated atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration has been briefly published elsewhere (Chambers et al. 2001a, Chambers and Silver 2004). Chambers et al. (2001a) demonstrated a lag-time of more than 100 years before carbon storage reaches a new dynamic equilibrium in response to a 25% increase in productivity over an arbitrary 50 year interval. Chambers and Silver (2004) linked a 25% productivity increase to the actual and expected increase in atmospheric CO$_2$ from 1850 to 2100, and predicted a small CO$_2$ fertilization sink of about 0.2 Mg C ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ over a longer ~200 year interval. The equations to model the relationship between increased productivity and atmospheric CO$_2$ are described below.

First, the known and expected increase in atmospheric CO$_2$ from 1850 to 2100 can be modeled using ice core data from 1850 to 1950 (Neftel et al. 1985; Friedli et al. 1986), data from Mauna Loa for 1950–2000 (Keeling and Whorf 2002), and the IPCC scenario IS92a for 2000–2100 (IPCC 2000) where atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration doubles from the pre-industrial concentration (i.e., 560 ppm) in about year 2070, and reaches about 700 ppm by 2100. The entire CO$_2$ trajectory from 1850 to 2100 can be empirically modeled using a third order polynomial ($r^2=0.99$) giving:

$$[\text{CO}_2]_{\text{atm}} = -212,048 + 341.5 \text{ year} - 0.1830 \text{ year}^2 + 0.00003270 \text{ year}^3 \quad (7)$$

where year is the calendar year. The relationship between atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration ([CO$_2$]$_{\text{atm}}$) and increased productivity is best described by a beta ($\beta$) response function that has been defended as more appropriate than a Michaelis–Menten function (Amthor and Koch 1996). The response function $\beta$ is estimated from relevant elevated CO$_2$ experiments as:

$$\beta = \frac{[\text{NPP}_e/\text{NPP}_a] - 1}{\ln([\text{CO}_2]_e/\text{CO}_2]_a)} \quad (8)$$

where the subscripts e and a are the corresponding...
productivities under elevated and ambient \([\text{CO}_2]_{\text{atm}}\) (which vary from study to study). Estimating \(\beta\) for old-growth forests of the Central Amazon is quite difficult because there are no particularly relevant studies. However, based on studies in other intact ecosystems, and field and laboratory experiments, \(\beta\) could be zero, or probably not much larger than 0.36, corresponding to a 25% increase in NPP for a doubling of \([\text{CO}_2]_{\text{atm}}\) (Chambers and Silver 2004; Clark 2004). Of the few large-scale studies of whole-forest response to elevated \([\text{CO}_2]_{\text{atm}}\) using the free-air \(\text{CO}_2\) enrichment (FACE) method, a loblolly pine plantation on relatively nutrient rich soils experienced a \(~25\%\) increase in woody tissue productivity with a doubling of \([\text{CO}_2]_{\text{atm}}\) (Hamilton et al. 2002). Thus, although it is possible that a Central Amazon forest on nutrient depleted soils could experience a stronger \(\text{CO}_2\) fertilization response than a loblolly pine plantation, given the experimental evidence, such a position is difficult to support (Chambers and Silver 2004; Clark 2004). Using the \(\beta\) function, growth under elevated \([\text{CO}_2]_{\text{atm}}\) for each tree in the model is calculated as:

\[
G_e = G_i \left(1 + \beta \ln\frac{[\text{CO}_2]_{\text{atm}}}{[\text{CO}_2]_a}\right)
\]  
(9)

where \(G_i\) is from Eq. 6, and \([\text{CO}_2]_a\) is limited to a maximum of 580 ppm. Using this approach, we explored how carbon balance responds to various values of \(\beta\), and constrained an upper limit for an above-ground \(\text{CO}_2\) fertilization response in Central Amazon forests.

Response to catastrophic mortality

Background mortality rates were based on data from control plots (Fig. 2b) which provided information on year-to-year variation in mortality rates driven by changes in weather and other environmental variables. However, relatively catastrophic mortality events occasionally occur (Nelson et al. 1994; Condit et al. 1995; Williamson et al. 2000) and can result in marked changes in forests structure and functioning. In lieu of data directly demonstrating the effects of catastrophic mortality, we used the forest inventory data collected on the BIONTE logging treatment plots as a surrogate for varying intensities of catastrophic mortality, which we define here as a tree mortality rate that exceeds 3.0% stems year\(^{-1}\) (maximum in Fig. 2b).

The BIONTE was established at INPA reserves located along the ZF-2 road north of Manaus in the mid-1980s (Higuchi et al. 1997). The experiment consisted of three blocks of 24 ha each, with four treatments in each block comprising four replicate hectares. Permanent inventory plots were established in the centermost hectare of each replicate (12 ha total). The treatments (T) were: T0, control; T1, 32% of commercial species basal area removed; T2, 42% removed, and T3, 69% removed (but see Fig. 4 legends for actual biomass loss). Each year after the logging treatments, with the exception of two 2-year intervals, growth, recruitment and mortality for trees \(\geq 10\) cm \(D_b\) was quantified.

One of the most notable characteristics of a catastrophic mortality event is transfer of a sizeable amount of large wood from live to dead pools. As there are no studies demonstrating altered rates of coarse litter decomposition rates in logged forests, or forests that have experienced relatively high tree mortality rates, coarse litter decomposition under catastrophic mortality was modeled as described above. Another characteristic of forests that have experienced high tree mortality is a competitive release from neighboring trees, and an increase in growth and productivity, by surviving trees (e.g., Alder and Silva 2000). This response was clearly evident in the BIONTE inventory data showing an increase in growth rates for surviving trees as a function of the percent of biomass lost during the logging experiment from tree removal and collateral mortality (additional trees killed during the logging operation), along with a decrease in growth rates as a function of time since the disturbance (Fig. 4). This dynamic was best modeled by fitting an exponential decay function, which describes the growth rate of surviving trees, with:

\[
G_t = G_o e^{-0.207t} + 1.10
\]  
(10)

where \(G_o\) is the average growth rate of surviving trees (in millimeter per year) immediately following the high mortality event (not including recruits), \(G_i\) is the average growth rate at \(t\) number of years after the event, \(−0.207\) is the decay constant derived from fitting the BIONTE growth curves (Fig. 4), and 1.10 mm year\(^{-1}\) is the long-term average growth rate for trees in undisturbed forests from the BDFFP data (Fig. 2a). The immediate growth rate response to disturbance \((G_o)\), increased linearly with the size of the disturbance event (Fig. 4), and was modeled by:

\[
G_o = 1.41 + 13.7 \times \delta
\]  
(11)

where \(\delta\) is the mortality rate as the fraction of biomass lost during the event (removal plus collateral mortality). Combining Eqs. 9 and 10 describes the growth rate response of surviving trees as a function of the time since the disturbance \((t)\) and the size of the disturbance event \((\delta)\).

An interesting feature of results from the BIONTE study is that the control plots (T0), showed a decline in growth rates similar to the logged forests, although with a lower initial growth rate \((G_o)\) (Fig. 4, T0). At first this was perplexing, as these plots were expected to function similar to undisturbed forest with a relatively constant average growth rate taken over a number of years, and not a continuous decline in growth rates over time. The control plots showed an accumulation of biomass (Table 1) and a decrease in growth rates, which was qualitatively similar to forests that had undergone a high tree mortality event. Although there are probably different ways to interpret this...
change, we assumed that the control plots were in a non-steady-state condition and were aggregating biomass to a pre-disturbance level. Since the growth rates in T0 ultimately returned to values close to those found in undisturbed forests (Figs. 2a, 4, T0) we assumed that the amount of biomass accumulated over the time-since-disturbance interval was equal to the presumed disturbance event, which varied from 2.0 to 11.5% assumed biomass lost (Fig. 4, T0).

For the purposes of developing our carbon cycling model, the most important results from the BIONTE growth data were that (1) growth rates for surviving trees increased rapidly in response to the death of neighboring trees (Eq. 11), (2) growth rates decrease back to pre-disturbance values rapidly (−20.7% year⁻¹, Eq. 10), and (3) a relatively small disturbance (e.g., before the plot was established) may cause a large increase in growth rates (Fig. 4, T0). Using these results, we explored model predictions of forest carbon balance response to variability in tree mortality in both AGB-mode and TLW-mode, and then compare these model results with other published studies documenting changes in tropical forest dynamics.

### Changing forest turnover and community composition

Three distinct responses of tree recruitment to disturbance were recognized. First, in response to background mortality rates, there is no change in species composition of trees that recruit in newly formed gaps. This is the case in the undisturbed forests where the vast majority of gaps recruit few pioneer species such as *Cecropia* sp. and *Vismia* sp., and the species composition of gaps is largely distinguishable from undisturbed stands (Hubbell et al. 1999). The second type of response is a gradual increase in recruitment and mortality rates (turnover; Phillips and Gentry 1994) that may favor a gradual shift in the species composition of recruitment, without a dramatic increase in the number of pioneer species and without a secondary successional phase. Since tropical forests with faster turnover rates generally have a tree species composition with relatively lower wood density (Baker et al. 2004a), this change in turnover may result in a gradually changing species composition favoring trees with lower wood density and faster growth rates. The third type of disturbance is a mortality event large enough to cause high recruitment of pioneer species, and the initiation of secondary succession. In the present model, we only explored the first two types of disturbance.

Although it is widely accepted that trees with higher wood density have lower growth rates, this hypothesis has not been rigorously tested for numerous tree species with varying wood densities in an old-growth tropical forests. To test this hypothesis, we used data on tree species and growth rates from 29 ha of control plots at the BDFFP sites. We found a total of 60 species with at least five individuals per species, and where wood density data was available from published sources. Relative growth rates (growth mass divided by total tree mass) were calculated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Plot Pre-logging</th>
<th>Post-logging</th>
<th>Biomass number of trees</th>
<th>Biomass area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-logging</td>
<td>Post-logging</td>
<td>Biomass number of trees</td>
<td>Biomass area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
using Eq. 1 to demonstrate the apparent effect of wood density on carbon accumulation. Although there was considerable scatter in the data, there was a significant decline in relative biomass growth rate with wood density using Eq. 1 (Fig. 5). For example, apparent biomass production rate for a tree of wood density of 0.40 g cm$^{-3}$ was about twice as great as that predicted for a wood density of 0.80 g cm$^{-3}$ (36.1–19.4 g kg$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$, respectively). Because Eq. 1 does not account for differences in wood density, a simple scaling factor was introduced that reduces biomass proportionally with changes in wood density:

$$B_\rho = B_1 \left( \frac{1 + (\rho - \rho_{ave})}{\rho_{ave}} \right)$$

(12)

where $B_\rho$ is tree biomass (Eq. 1), and $\rho_{ave}$ is the average wood density (Fig. 2d). Since wood density was normally distributed, this equation was only important for determining plot-scale carbon stocks and fluxes when there was a change in tree species composition, and average wood density. Applying Eq. 12 to the data from Fig. 5 resulted in a non-significant relationship between wood density and biomass productivity, demonstrating that although low-wood-density trees may be growing faster in diameter, they are not accumulating carbon faster than high-wood-density species.

Using these methods the model was used to explore two types of events. First the model was run with varying levels of increasing forest turnover to explore the isolated impact of a more dynamic forest on carbon balance. Second, we assumed that increasing turnover would favor the recruitment of faster growing species with lower wood density, corresponding to a change in tree community composition. In other words, in a more dynamic forest with faster growth rates, an increase in biomass would be predicted using Eq. 1, but if newly recruited trees have a lower than average wood density, Eq. 12 acts to reduce forest biomass accumulation rates.

Size dependent and independent mortality

What happens to a tree as it approaches the diameter limit for its species? In the present model implementation, the tree stops growing and eventually dies from a random mortality event. To some extent this approach is supported by field measurements, which indicate that stem diameter increment can decline to nearly zero, and a tree can persist for many years without allocating carbon to wood production (see Growth). This distinction has important implications for the age structure of the forest, and raises some interesting questions. For example, if mortality is size-dependent, and trees at their species $D_{bh}$ limit experience higher mortality rates, how will the biomass and age structure of the forest change? To explore the effect of size-dependent mortality (SDM), the model was run in two additional modes. First, as described above, in size-independent mortality (SIM) mode, when trees reached their species diameter limits, trees simply stopped growing and mortality was a random event. Next, in SDM mode, trees died soon after they reach their species $D_{bh}$ limit.

Results and discussion

The model predicted a number of fluxes, pools, and structural features of Central Amazon terra firme forest that compared well with field data. First, a comparison of
size-class structure between the model and the forest inventory data demonstrated the model predicts both above-ground tree biomass and the distribution of biomass among $D_b$ size classes reasonably well, and underscores the importance of species information in modeling forest structure (Fig. 3b). Some model predictions were compared with empirical data (Table 2). In many cases these comparisons were simply consistency checks because we were comparing the aggregated plot data to model predictions based on individual tree data. For example, data on individual tree growth rates were used to parameterize the model, and then compared with plot-scale productivity estimates, where the size structure is also important. However, in a few cases, independent data were available to compare with model predictions. First, predicted coarse litter standing stocks was about the same as that measured in an intensive 3 ha study in the BIONTE project control plots (Summers 1998) (Table 2). Also, radiocarbon studies of maximum tree age (Chambers et al. 1998, 2001d) found 1,000-year-old trees, and the average age for trees >100 cm $D_b$ also compare well with model predictions (Table 2).

CO₂ fertilization

Chambers et al. (2001a), employing essentially the same model presented here, demonstrated that in response to an arbitrary 25% increase in tree growth rates, there was a lag-time of more than a century before large wood carbon balance reach a new dynamic equilibrium. Chambers and Silver (2004), also employing the model presented here, found that if the increase in tree growth rates was tied to the known and expected increase in atmospheric CO₂, the long-term Central Amazon forest carbon sink for TLW would only be about 0.18 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, although this sink strength would not be reached until ~2020–2030. In this study, we used the model in AGB-mode using various $\beta$ factors corresponding to 25, 50, 75, and 100% increases in tree growth with a doubling of $[CO₂]_{atm}$, Simulations predicted changes in tree biomass from 1850 to 2150 (Fig. 6). Linear regressions for only the period from 1980 to 2020, which would be a reasonable comparison with existent tropical forest inventory plots (~0.5 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ from Baker et al. 2004b), predicted a carbon sink strength during this period increasing from 0.05–0.51 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ as $\beta$ was increased from 0.36 to 1.44.

Only with a very high $\beta$ factor, corresponding to a ~100% increase in productivity with a doubling of $[CO₂]_{atm}$, did the model predict a carbon sink commensurate with Baker et al. (2004b). Is it reasonable to expect that tropical forest wood productivity will double with a doubling of $[CO₂]_{atm}$? Chambers and Silver (2004) argue that, given current understanding of how intact ecosystems (not individual potted plants or crops) respond to elevated $[CO₂]_{atm}$, the largest supportable $\beta$ factor is probably ~0.36, corresponding to a 25% increase in productivity with a doubling of $[CO₂]_{atm}$, which would correspond to a carbon sink of 0.05 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ for the period 1980–2020 (Fig. 6), or 0.04 Pg C year⁻¹ for the entire Amazon basin (assuming 7.11×10⁶ km²). In comparison, Tian et al. (1998) using a large-scale biogeochemical model (TEM) estimated a higher CO₂ fertilization response of 0.1–0.4 Pg C year⁻¹ for the Amazon basin.

Comparing forests across the entire Amazon basin, Central Amazon forests are among the least productive and dynamic (Baker et al. 2004b; Phillips et al. 2004; Malhi et al. 2004), and the predicted CO₂ fertilization response at other sites using this model may be significantly higher. However, forests with higher growth rates also have higher turnover rates (Phillips et al. 2004), which may to some extent reduce the effect of elevated growth on forest biomass accumulation (Fig. 9). Also, the most dynamic Amazon forests are about three times more productive as Central Amazon forests (Malhi et al. 2004), but the CO₂ fertilization response predicted here with a $\beta$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Empirical</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live trees (Mg C ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse litter (Mg C ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth (Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DBH (cm)</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age &gt;10 cm $D_b$ (years)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age &gt;100 cm $D_b$ (years)</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum age (years)</td>
<td>1,372</td>
<td>1,192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 6 Predicted response of above-ground tree biomass over time with different assumed CO₂ fertilization rates. Response was linked to the known and expected increase in atmospheric CO₂, and elevated growth was modeled using a $\beta$ function, as described in the text.](image)
of 0.36 is about an order of magnitude less than the observed average pan-Amazonian biomass accumulation (Fig. 6) (Baker et al. 2004b). Additional studies are needed to differentiate between the numerous factors that can lead to changes in forest carbon balance, but it seems unlikely that CO₂ fertilization can explain more than a small portion of the observed increase.

Tree mortality, coarse litter, and TLW dynamics

One of the emphases in this study is demonstrating the importance of wood carbon and coarse litter in determining year-to-year variations in forest carbon balance. However, in only a few cases are both live and dead wood carbon pools quantified in forest ecosystem studies, so results in TLW-mode are difficult to compare with field data. The vast majority of carbon balance studies only focus on the above-ground portion of live trees, over relatively short time periods of a few decades, and spatial scales of a few hundred hectares (e.g., Baker et al. 2004a, b). This live tree inventory approach may overlook important ecosystem processes that help determine forest carbon balance. For example, studies in the Eastern Amazon near the city of Santarém found a large amount of coarse litter in a ~20-ha plot, and estimated that the site was probably a net source of carbon to the atmosphere, and not a carbon sink, as would have been predicted using only the tree survey data (Saleska et al. 2003; Rice et al. 2004).

The model predicts spatial and temporal variation in TLW carbon fluxes and pools. Recall that ~85% of tree mass is large wood (Eq. 2), so that in TLW-mode, the live tree portion of the model operates similar to AGB-mode. Comparing the magnitude of variation in TLW from year-to-year as a function of an increase in plot size shows that spatial carbon balance variability stabilizes at ~10 ha (Fig. 7). This is because at <10 ha the influence of large treefalls has a considerable effect on net TLW carbon balance, and at >10 ha, annual variation in plot-scale mortality has a larger influence than individual treefalls. This suggests plot sizes of <10 ha are random excursions from mass balance and are probably not sufficient for addressing questions of regional carbon balance. Also, temporal variability also emphasizes the importance of quantifying both live and dead wood pools (e.g., Rice et al. 2004) when assessing directional changes in forest carbon balance. In addition, if highly clumped and episodic tree mortality is quantitatively important, the spatial scale required to representatively sample tree mortality may be considerably larger than suggested in Fig. 7, perhaps approaching hundreds to thousands of hectares. These relatively large gaps would not have to be as large as the blowdowns characterized by Nelson et al. (1994), but larger than perhaps a few dozen trees, which are rarely characterized in the inventory data.

How does forest carbon balance respond to a tree mortality event that is less than that required to cause a changes in tree species composition (e.g., large recruit-

Fig. 7 The SD of large wood biomass in TLW-mode over time, compared with variation in plots size. As the plot size increased, standing stock variability decreased until it stabilized at ~10 ha. This is due to individual treefalls driving variation at small spatial scales, and plot-scale mortality driving variation at larger scales. This result indicates that permanent inventory plots <10 ha may not be representative of regional carbon balance. If highly-aggregated (both spatially and temporally) mortality events exceeding ~5% are quantitatively important, carbon balance studies may require considerably larger areas.

Tian et al. (1998) predicted that Amazon forests act as carbon sources during dry–hot years associated with El Niño because reductions in soil moisture and elevated temperatures reduced NPP, and higher temperatures increased heterotrophic respiration. However, one of the main disturbances associated with El Niño drought is a higher than average tree mortality rate (Condit et al. 1995) and many years are needed for this material to decay and release carbon to the atmosphere (Chambers et al. 2000). Also, litter production during years with typical tree mortality (Fig. 2b) is about equally distributed between wood and leaf material (Chambers et al. 2001b), while during high mortality years, the proportion of woody material is much larger. Moreover, respiration from coarse and fine surface litter is greatly reduced under low moisture conditions (Chambers et al. 2001c; Toledo 2002; Goulden et al. 2004). Thus assertions that Amazon forests act as a carbon sources during dry years is quite speculative—there will be a considerable lag-time before
carbon associated with dead trees is respired to the atmosphere.

Changing community composition

Phillips and Gentry (1994) found an approximate doubling (from 1 to 2%) in tropical forest tree turnover rates (average of recruitment and mortality) at 40 sites, with most of this change observed between 1980 and 1990 (Phillips et al. 2004). Using the model in AGB-mode, we explored the consequences of an instantaneous increase in forest turnover on forest biomass. Model results demonstrate that changes in tree turnover rates have a strong impact on forest biomass (Fig. 9a). However, it is unlikely that changes in forest turnover will only affect rates of tree recruitment and mortality. Other changes, such as an increase in growth rate for surviving trees growing in a more open forest (Fig. 4), and a decrease in wood density for new recruits, are likely to occur in conjunction with increasing turnover.

Assess the precise nature of changes brought about by increased forest turnover (Phillips et al. 2004) is difficult for lack of appropriate experimental studies. For example, although the BIONTE data provide information on how forest growth responds to an instantaneous increase in tree mortality rates (Fig. 4), how growth will respond to a chronic increase in turnover rates is unknown. How
changes in the wood density of new recruits will be related to increases in forest turnover rate is also largely unknown. To at least provide some qualitative information on the interplay of some factors, we ran the model in AGB-mode assuming a 50% increase in tree turnover rates, a decrease from 0.70 to 60 g cm$^{-3}$ for mean wood density of new recruits (Eq. 12), and an increase of 25, 50, and 75% for tree growth rates (Fig. 9b). Results show that the interactive effect of increased turnover rates on changes in carbon stocks depends to a large extent on the long-term tree growth rate response.

Size dependent and independent mortality

The model was run five times each for 2,500 years at 100 ha in both SDM- and SIM-mode. Results showed that 1,000-year-old trees (millenarians) were absent in SDM-mode (oldest was 972 years), and occurred at a frequency of 0.042 ha$^{-1}$ in SIM-mode, or about 1 millenarian for every 24 ha of forest. About, 500-year-old trees occurred at frequencies of 1.6 ha$^{-1}$ and 1.1 ha$^{-1}$ in SIM- and SDM-mode, respectively. In addition, five runs of the model in SDM-mode at 10 ha predicted a 20% reduction in forest tree biomass from 319 to 256 Mg ha$^{-1}$ when compared with SIM-mode (Table 2). Thus, whether or not trees are more prone to mortality as their species diameter limit is reached has important implications for forest age and biomass structure. Condit et al. (1995) found that tree mortality for trees larger than 1.0 cm $D_b$ was independent of tree size over the census interval 1982–1985, but was dependent on tree size during the census interval 1985–1990. Chambers et al. (1998) demonstrated that 1,000-year old trees occur in similar Central Amazon forests in close proximity to forests studied here. In addition, tree biomass compared more closely with the empirical data when the model was run in SIM-mode. However, it is important to point out that the SD of the tree growth distribution served as a tuning parameter (Fig. 2a), and it is likely that the model could be forced to reproduce forest biomass structure in SDM-mode by re-tuning this parameter. Additional studies are needed to further explore the relationship between tree mortality rates and maximum species size limits.

Additional comments

With the exception of the initial combined treatment of turnover rates, tree growth rate response, and the wood density of recruits (Fig. 9b), we have focused here on the individual effects of various processes on forest structure and function for a Central Amazon forest. Initial exploration of combined effects demonstrated that predictions about whether or not old-growth tropical forests will act as carbon sources, sinks, or remain in overall balance, depends on assumptions for which reliable field data are often not available. Using pan-Amazonian forest inventory data, Lewis et al. (2004b) found that both basal area and basal area growth rates increased in Amazonian forests, and suggested elevated tree productivity as the most likely driver. As shown in Fig. 4, if tree biomass is accumulating due to recovery from disturbance, growth rates would be expected to decline over time, not increase. However, whether or not results from inventory plots are indicative of long-term trends or random variability remains to be determined. There are a host of potential factors that can drive changes in tree growth rate and forest carbon balance (Nemani et al. 2003; Chambers and Silver 2004; Lewis et al. 2004a), and distinguishing among these factors using higher temporal and spatial resolution forest inventory methods remains an important research area. Modeling results such as presented here can point to important field studies to help accurately predict the trajectory of forest change, and to distinguish transient phenomena from long-term trends. Examples of important question that need to be addressed include: (1) what affect do large gaps (e.g., ≥10 trees) have on the plot size needed to accurately assess carbon balance (e.g., Fig. 7)? (2) How will species composition and carbon balance respond to chronic elevated turnover rates? (3) Will responses vary among Amazon forest sites with different dynamics? (4) Are observed changes in forest structure and dynamics indicative of long-term trends or short-term variability?
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