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1. INTRODUCTION

In this lecture we consider various fundamental topics which underlie the design and use of optical systems for synchrotron radiation. We choose to adopt the point of view of linear system theory which acts as a unifying concept throughout the series. In this context the important optical quantities usually appear as either impulse response functions (Green's functions) or frequency transfer functions (Fourier Transforms of the Green's functions).

2. SUMMARY OF LINEAR SYSTEM THEORY

Consider a generalised system in which an input signal \( i(t) \) leads to an output signal \( o(t) \). If \( i_1 \) leads to \( o_1 \) and \( i_2 \) to \( o_2 \) and if, in addition, the input \( ai_1 + bi_2 \) leads to an output \( ao_1 + bo_2 \) where \( a \) and \( b \) are arbitrary constants, then the system is said to be linear. The input signal \( i(t) = \delta(t-t_0) \) is of special importance and the corresponding output signal \( o(t) = g(t,t_0) \) is called the impulse response function or Green's Function. It should be understood as the signal at \( t \) due to a delta function input at \( t_0 \). The impulse response function contains a full description of the properties of system.

Any arbitrary input can be synthesised from a series of delta functions and hence using the superposition principle expressed in the above
In addition to linearity many systems have the additional property that \( g(t,t_0) = g(t-t_0) \) i.e. that the response to a delta function input at \( t_1 \) is the \textit{same} as the response to one at \( t_2 \) apart from a shift of \( t_2-t_1 \). For example, in a well corrected microscope the response to a point source of light in the object plane is a diffraction blur in the image plane. If the point source is shifted the diffraction blur is shifted correspondingly but retains the \textit{same shape}. Such systems are called \textit{shift invariant} and for these cases (1) becomes

\[
o(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} i(t_0) g(t-t_0) \, dt_0 \tag{2}\]

we recognise this as a convolution integral and from the Convolution Theorem we immediately have

\[ O(\omega) = I(\omega) \cdot G(\omega) \tag{3} \]

Where \( O, I \) and \( G \) are the Fourier Transforms of \( o, i \) and \( g \). For Linear, Shift Invariant Systems (3) defines the \textit{Frequency Transfer Function} \( G(\omega) \) which is seen to be the Fourier Transform of the impulse response function \( g \).

We have not so far given any particular physical meaning to the independant variable \( t \). However for cases where \( t \) represents time an additional restriction applies to the system because there can be no output until after the input i.e.

\[ g(t-t_0) = 0 \text{ for } t < t_0. \tag{4} \]

Such systems are called \textit{causal} and we shall see later that the restriction (4) leads to the requirement that the real and imaginary parts of \( G(\omega) \) form a Hilbert Transform pair.

We now consider two examples of Linear Shift Invariant Systems which are relevant to optical system design.

3. DAMPED HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

Consider an electron bound so that its natural oscillation frequency is \( \omega_0 \) and lightly damped with with damping constant \( \Gamma \). The impulse response function is the response to a forcing function \(-e^{\Gamma t} \delta(t)\) i.e. the solution\(^1\) to the equation.
In view of the non-zero inertia of the system we need a sine solution:

\[ r(t) \equiv g(t) = \frac{-e^E}{m \omega_o} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \sin \omega_o t \]  

By taking the Fourier Transform we arrive at the Frequency Transfer Function

\[ G(\omega) = \frac{-e^E}{m} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega^2 + i \omega \Gamma} \]  

\( E \) now represents the amplitude of a sine wave input to the system.

4. FREE SPACE PROPAGATION OF AN OPTICAL FIELD

Suppose we know the amplitude distribution of a wave over some plane surface \( \Sigma \) of finite area and general point \( P(x',y') \) and we wish to find the amplitude distribution over another plane surface parallel to \( \Sigma \), distance \( z \) downstream from it and having general point \( Q(x,y) \). According to the Rayleigh – Sommerfeld diffraction formula

\[ U_Q(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int U_P(x',y') \exp \frac{ikr \cos \theta}{r} \cos \theta \, dx'dy' \]  

where \( PQ = r, \quad k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \) and \( \theta \) is the angle between \( PQ \) and the normal to \( \Sigma \). We recognise that (8) is a linear superposition integral like (1). To simplify (8) we approximate \( \cos \theta \approx 1 \) and \( r \approx z \) in the denominator. In the exponent we approximate

\[ r = z^2 + (x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 \approx z \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x-x'}{z} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y-y'}{z} \right)^2 + \ldots \right] \]  

With these simplifications which are variously known as the Fresnel, Gaussian or Paraxial approximation (8) becomes

\[ U_Q(x,y) = \frac{e^{ikz}}{4\pi \lambda z} \int U_P(x',y') \exp \frac{i\pi}{\lambda z} \left[ (x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 \right] \, dx' \, dy' \]  

We note that (10) is now a convolution integral (like (2)) and can finally be written, using * to represent convolution as

\[ U_Q(x,y) = \frac{e^{ikz}}{4\pi \lambda z} U_P(x,y) * \psi(x,y;z) \]  

where

\[ \psi(x,y;z) \equiv \psi(x;z) = \exp \frac{i\pi x^2}{\lambda z} \]  

and \( x = ix + jy \).

The function \( \psi(x;z) \) is known as an Optical Propagator or Vander Lugt Function. These functions provide a convenient shorthand for problems
in Fourier Optics and an extensive algebra has been worked out for them.

5. OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS: ELECTROMAGNETIC THEORY

Let us take as a starting point the exact form of Maxwells equations as stated for example by Born and Wolf. We now make the following additional assumptions about the properties of the material we are considering:

\[ j = \sigma \mathbf{E} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Ohms law}  \hspace{1cm} (13a)
\[ j_{\text{ext}} = \rho_{\text{ext}} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{no external currents or charges}  \hspace{1cm} (13b)
\[ \mathbf{P} = \chi_e \mathbf{E} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{no non-linear electric or magnetic effects}  \hspace{1cm} (13c)
\[ \mathbf{M} = \chi_m \mathbf{H} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{medium is isotropic.}  \hspace{1cm} (13d)

Taking these together with the following equations:

\[ \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{H} + 4\pi \mathbf{M} = \mu \mathbf{H} \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)
\[ \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{E} + 4\pi \mathbf{P} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

We have

\[ \varepsilon = 1 + 4\pi \chi_e \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)
\[ \mu = 1 + 4\pi \chi_m \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

\( \chi_e \) and \( \chi_m \) are the macroscopic electric and magnetic susceptibilities respectively. Otherwise the notation is standard as in references 4, 5 and 6. Using (13a), (13b), (14) and (15), Maxwells equations reduce to a single wave equation:

\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} + \frac{4\pi \sigma}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

If we now assume a time dependance \( e^{i\omega t} \) i.e. \( \partial / \partial t = i\omega \) then we get the space dependant equation

\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \mu^2 (\varepsilon - i\frac{4\pi \sigma}{\omega}) \mathbf{E} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

indicating a simple harmonic plane wave with complex propagation constant \( \tilde{k} \) given by

\[ \tilde{k}^2 = k_0^2 \mu (\varepsilon - i\frac{4\pi \sigma}{\omega}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency and \( k_0 = \frac{\omega}{c} \). Since \( \tilde{k} = \tilde{n}k_0 \) where \( \tilde{n} = n - ik \) is the complex refractive index of the material, we can write for non magnetic materials (\( \mu = 1 \))

\[ (n - ik)^2 = \tilde{n}^2 = \varepsilon - i\frac{4\pi \sigma}{\omega} \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)
so that
\[ n^2 - k^2 = c, \quad 2nk = \frac{4\pi \sigma}{\omega} \]  
(22)
Alternatively we can represent the last term in (21) as the imaginary part of a complex dielectric function \( \tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_1 - i\varepsilon_2 \) where
\[ \varepsilon_1 = c, \quad \varepsilon_2 = \frac{4\pi \sigma}{\omega} \]  
(23)
Another common notation is \( \tilde{n} = 1 - \delta - i\beta \). In all cases the real part represents dispersion; changes in phase velocity, wavelength etc. compared to vacuum. The imaginary part represents absorption. The plane wave solution to (19) thus becomes
\[ E = E_0 \exp(-i\omega t) \exp(-k_0 \cdot r) \]  
(24)
The linear absorption coefficient \( \mu \) is given by
\[ \mu = 2k_0 = \frac{4\pi k}{\lambda} \]  
(25)
In considering these optical constants it is important to note that for \( \lambda > 100 \text{ eV} \), \( |1 - n| \equiv |\delta| << 1 \) and \( k \equiv \beta << 1 \).

6. THEORY OF DISPERSION

Initially let us represent an electron in an atom as a damped harmonic oscillator with a single natural frequency as in equations (5) - (7). Then we can see that we have an atomic dipole moment \( p = -e\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}E \).

The complex atomic polarisability \( \tilde{\alpha} \) is thus from (7)
\[ \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\omega^2}{m} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 + i\Gamma_0} \]  
(26)
The macroscopic polarisation \( P = N\tilde{\alpha}E \) where \( N \) is the number of oscillators per unit volume, so that from (13c) \( x_e = \frac{4\pi N\tilde{\alpha}}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\Gamma_0} \)

or \( \tilde{\varepsilon}(\omega) = 1 + \frac{4\pi N e^2}{m} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 + i\Gamma_0} \)  
(27)
The behavior of the dielectric function in equation (27) is shown in Fig. 1.

In order to be more realistic we need to recognize that atoms effectively contain many oscillators of different natural frequencies, each frequency corresponding to the energy of an allowed electronic transition. Suppose there are \( n_0 \) atoms per unit volume and each contains \( g_s \) oscillators of natural frequency \( \omega_s \). In this case
\[ N = n_0 \sum g_s \]  
and (27) becomes
\[ \tilde{\varepsilon}(\omega) = 1 + \frac{4\pi n_0 e}{m} \sum \frac{g_s}{\omega^2 - \omega_s^2 + i\Gamma_s} \]  
(28)
Fig 1. Behavior of the real and imaginary parts of $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 - i\varepsilon_2$ for $N$ oscillators per unit volume, each with resonant frequency $\omega_0$, according to equation (27).

Fig 2. Sample x-ray cross section data from reference 12. The curves show cross sections for photoelectric absorption, coherent and incoherent scattering and the total cross section for gold. Note that in the entire region of interest here absorption is the dominant process.

Fig 3. Values of $\beta$ and $\delta$ for nickel in the range 1-100Å taken from reference 19. Notice the K edge at 8.3 keV and L edges at 0.86 keV. The inset shows a rectangular pulse and its Hilbert Transform. One can see the similarity in the effects of a step in the two cases.
In view of this derivation it becomes clear that $\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega) - 1$ is the frequency transfer function for the material as the linear system, with $E(\omega)$ as input and $F(\omega)$ as output.

From (27) we can see the limiting behavior of $\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)$ for $\omega >> \omega_0$

i.e. electron binding forces negligible:

$$\lim_{\omega \to \infty} [\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)] = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}$$

(29)

where $\omega_p^2 = \frac{4\pi Ne^2}{m}$ and $\omega_p$ is known as the plasma frequency.

This description represents a crude model for dealing with free electrons and it has relevance in describing the interaction of low energy photons with conduction electrons. In this case it is known as the Drude model. It is also important as the asymptotic form of $\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)$ for deriving sum rules.

Ultimately the most important property of our oscillators is their strength as scatterers of an incoming wave. To calculate this we first observe that an incoming transverse electromagnetic wave creates an oscillating dipole whose dipole moment is perpendicular to the wave propagation direction (the axis, say) and has dipole moment $\tilde{\alpha}E$ which is given by (26). The field at an axial point distant $r$ from the dipole is $E_p$ where

$$E_p = \frac{\omega^2 \epsilon(t-\frac{r}{c})}{c^2 r}$$

substituting for $p(=\alpha E)$ from (26) we get for our oscillator

$$E_p = \frac{e^2}{mc^2 r} \frac{\omega^2 \epsilon(t-\frac{r}{c})}{\omega^2 - \omega^2 + i\omega}$$

(30)

Suppose now the electron oscillator were to become a free electron. This would be achieved by setting $\omega_s \to 0$, $\Gamma \to 0$. Then (30) would become

$$E_p' = \frac{e^2}{mc^2 r} \epsilon(t-\frac{r}{c})$$

(31)

We can now calculate the scattering strength of our oscillator relative to a free electron. This quantity is known as the SCATTERING FACTOR of the oscillator $f_s$. From (29) and (30) $f_s = E_p/E_p'$ or

$$f_s = \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \omega^2 + i\omega}$$

(32)

Now using $c = \omega\lambda/2\pi$ and the classical electron radius $r_0$ given by

$$r_0 = \frac{e^2}{mc^2}$$

(28) becomes
\( r(\omega) = 1 - n_0 r_0 \lambda^2 \sum s e_s f_s \) \hspace{1cm} (33)

Apparently the quantity \( \sum s e_s f_s \) is an intrinsic property of an atom. It is called the atomic scattering factor and is usually written as \( f = f_1 + if_2 \). Substituting this in (33) we have

\( r(\omega) = 1 - n_0 r_0 \lambda^2 (f_1 + if_2) \) \hspace{1cm} (34)

and using (22) and (23) with \( 1 - n = \delta \) and \( k = \beta \) both small we finally get

\( \delta = \frac{r_0 n_0}{2\pi} \lambda^2 f_1 = A\lambda^2 f_1 \) \hspace{1cm} (35)

\( \beta = \frac{r_0 n_0}{2\pi} \lambda^2 f_2 = A\lambda^2 f_2 \) \hspace{1cm} (36)

where \( A = 2.72 \times 10^{-6} \frac{\rho}{M} \) \( \AA^{-2} \), \( r_0 = 2.818 \times 10^{-13} \) cm,

and \( \rho \) is the density in gm/cm\(^3\) and \( M \) the atomic weight.

A knowledge of \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) or their equivalents is extremely important in designing optical systems and there are extensive tabulations in the literature. Because of its direct relationship to the absorption coefficient and the absorption cross-section \( \sigma \), \( f_2 \) is easier to measure and is more widely known and tabulated than \( f_1 \). For reference we recall that the linear absorption coefficient is given by

\( \mu = \frac{A \delta}{\lambda} = 2 r_0 \lambda n_0 f_2 = n_0 \sigma \) \hspace{1cm} (37)

In the next section we show that since \( \tilde{r}(\omega) \) is a frequency transfer function of a casual system, its real and imaginary parts must form a Hilbert Transform pair. Anticipating this result we find\(^{14}\)

\( \delta(\omega) = A\lambda^2 \left[ Z + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_0 c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\omega} \frac{\beta(\omega')\omega' d\omega'}{\omega^2 - \omega'^2} \right] \) \hspace{1cm} (38)

This is the relation usually used to derive \( \delta \) or \( f_1 \) values from a set of measurements of \( \beta \) or \( f_2 \). (38) has two terms the first or "normal dispersion" term, which describes the dispersion for an atom comprising \( Z \) free electrons and the second or "anomalous dispersion" term which describes the response of the resonant system consisting of the oscillators. The second term becomes negligible for frequencies much larger than the largest resonant frequency.

We show in table I a survey of the main compilations of optical constants data for both VUV and x-ray energies. In figures 2, 3 and 4
we show typical data from such sources. In using these data we offer
various points to be noted:

(i) Unique values of $f_1$ and $f_2$ only exist for photon wavelengths
somewhat larger than the atomic size. For shorter wavelengths the
useful quantity for us is the scattering factor near the foreward
direction.

(ii) The above theory was written with photon energies above 100eV
in mind. In this case the allowed transitions having significant $g_s$
values in (28) are essentially from atomic bound states into the
continuum. This is not a necessary restriction and transitions
dominated by solid state effects, interband, intraband etc can be
included without changing the formalism except that $\delta$ and $\beta$ (1-n and k)
may no longer be small.

(iii) Tabulations above 100eV depend on measurements or
calculations that are specifically atomic in character. They do not
include anything to account for solid state effects such as EXAFS,
XANES etc. Generally speaking they also make no attempt to follow the
functions when they are rapidly varying near absorption edges.
Behavior in these regions is usually approximated as a sharp step.

(iv) Significant disagreements among the various sources and
between theory and experiment are quite common. Efforts continue to
improve this situation. The following\textsuperscript{32} gives an idea of the
accuracy with which optical constants are known:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Below 1 keV</td>
<td>30-50% inconsistencies among theories, sparse experimental data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 keV</td>
<td>Sources agree within 5-10%, occasionally 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 5 keV</td>
<td>Sources agree within 2-5% except within 10% above threshold when variations are 10% for low Z, 5-10% for high Z.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(v) In some wavelength ranges $f_1$ values are hard to find. To
get $f_1$ values in these ranges one can either apply equation (38) or
utilize the universal dispersion curves provided by Parratt and
Hempstead\textsuperscript{10}. Either way considerable effort is involved.

(vi) Optical constants of compounds and mixtures can be calculated
by taking the weighted averages of the elemental constituents. This
procedure is obviously good only when atomic effects dominate.
Fig 4. A sample from the tabulation of Henke et al. (reference 14(ii)) showing $f_1$ and $f_2$ values for aluminium.

Fig 5. The contour $c$ used in equation (41)
7. **KRAMERS KRONIG RELATIONS**

Since \( \tilde{c}(\omega)-1 \) is a frequency transfer function there must be a causal, shift invariant impulse response function \( g(t-t_0) \), so that

\[
\tilde{c}(\omega)-1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\tau)e^{-2\pi i \omega \tau} d\tau
\]

(39)

where \( \tau = t-t_0 \) and \( g(\tau) = 0 \) for \( \tau < 0 \). Suppose for the moment that \( \omega = \omega_1 + i\omega_2 \). (39) then becomes

\[
\tilde{c}(\omega)-1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\tau)e^{-2\pi i \omega_1 \tau} e^{2\pi i \omega_2 \tau} d\tau
\]

(40)

It is apparent from the last term of the integrand in (40) that for \( \tau > 0 \). The integral remains bounded only if \( \omega_2 < 0 \). Thus \( \tilde{c}(\omega)-1 \) is analytic only in the lower half plane. We also consider that \( \tilde{c}(\omega)-1 \to 0 \) as \( |\omega| \to \infty \). This is because \( \tilde{c}(\omega)-1 \) represents a physical property of a material and no material is elastic enough to respond to an input at infinite frequency.

With these understandings the integral

\[
I = \int_{c} \frac{\tilde{c}(\omega')-1}{\omega-\omega'} d\omega'
\]

(41)

(with the contour \( c \) shown in fig 5) must, by Cauchy's Theorem, be equal to zero. Defining integrals that go clockwise round the contour as positive we get

\[
I = I_{\text{large circle}} + I_{\text{real axis}} - \frac{1}{2} I_{\text{small circle}}
\]

(42)

or substituting values

\[
0 = 0 + \int_{-\omega_1}^{+\omega_1} + \int_{-\omega_2}^{+\omega_2} - \frac{1}{2} 2\pi i \{\tilde{c}(\omega)-1\}
\]

(43)

where the value of the last term follows from Cauchy's integral formula. Taking the limit of (43) as \( \Delta \to 0 \) we get the result

\[
\tilde{c}(\omega)-1 = \frac{1}{i\pi} P \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{c(\omega')-1}{\omega'-\omega} d\omega',
\]

(44)

where \( P \) indicates the Cauchy principle value. Taking real and imaginary parts of (44) with \( \tilde{c}=c_1-i c_2 \)

\[
c_1(\omega)-1 = \frac{1}{\pi} P \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{c_2(\omega')}{\omega'-\omega} d\omega',
\]

(45)

and

\[
c_2(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} P \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{c_1(\omega')-1}{\omega'-\omega} d\omega',
\]

(46)
The signs of (45) and (46) would be reversed for \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + i \varepsilon_2 \).

(45) and (46) are a form of the Kramers-Kronig relations for \( \varepsilon(\omega) \) and indicate that the real and imaginary parts of \( \varepsilon(\omega) \) indeed form a Hilbert Transform pair. The same proof is applicable to any causal frequency transfer function. It is usual to apply certain arguments and rewrite (45) and (46) as

\[
\varepsilon_1(\omega) - 1 = -\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_2(\omega')\omega'}{\omega^2 - \omega'^2} d\omega',
\]

\[
\varepsilon_2(\omega) = -\frac{4\pi \sigma(0)}{\omega} + \frac{2\omega}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_1(\omega')-1}{\omega^2 - \omega'^2} d\omega',
\]

where \( \sigma(0) \) is the d.c. conductivity.

It is not possible to identify the refractive index directly as a frequency transfer function, however we can still get Kramers Kronig relations for it by the following argument. \( \tilde{n}(\omega) \) is analytic in the lower half plane since \( \tilde{n}^2 = \tilde{\varepsilon} \) and an analytic function of an analytic function must be another analytic function. Thus the main argument for \( \varepsilon(\omega) - 1 \) can be used for \( \tilde{n}(\omega) - 1 \) and (47) and (48) can be shown to become

\[
n(\omega) - 1 = -\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{k(\omega')\omega'}{\omega^2 - \omega'^2} d\omega',
\]

\[
k(\omega) = \frac{2\omega}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{n(\omega')-1}{\omega^2 - \omega'^2} d\omega',
\]

Useful dispersion relations for many other quantities for example the amplitude reflectance can be worked out and are important for analysing optical data. Reviews can be found in references 6, 20 and 28.

There are further restrictions on the form of the optical constants which can be traced to the fact that all physical systems have some inertia and so their impulse response functions must be zero at time zero. Such restrictions are called inertial sum rules and are reviewed in reference 20. The best known sum rule is the "f-sum rule" and we can derive it easily by considering the high frequency behavior of, for example, \( n(\omega) \). Equating the square root of (29) to the high frequency limit of (49) we immediately get

\[
\int_0^{\infty} \omega k(\omega) d\omega = \frac{\pi}{4} \omega^2
\]

In view of (39) it is not surprising that the \( t \to 0 \) behavior of \( n(\omega) - 1 \) can be studied by considering \( \omega \to \infty \).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Constants</th>
<th>Energies</th>
<th>Grid spacing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McMaster et al.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>all elements</td>
<td>absorption cross sections</td>
<td>$10^3$ - $10^6$ eV</td>
<td>10 - 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plechaty et al.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>all elements</td>
<td>only ditto</td>
<td>$10^2$ - $10^8$ eV</td>
<td>10 - 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veigele</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>all elements</td>
<td>ditto</td>
<td>$10^2$ - $10^8$ eV</td>
<td>10 - 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke et al. (i)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>all elements</td>
<td>$f_1$ &amp; $f_2$</td>
<td>100 - 2000 eV</td>
<td>~2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke et al. (ii)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>all elements</td>
<td>$f_1$ &amp; $f_2$</td>
<td>100 - 2000 eV($f_1$)</td>
<td>~10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirz et al.</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15 Elements</td>
<td>$f_1$ &amp; $f_2$</td>
<td>100 - 10,000 eV</td>
<td>10$^2$ or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>steps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haelbich and</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Rare gas sols $\mu$</td>
<td>~5 - 5000 eV</td>
<td>graphs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwan</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>alk halides</td>
<td>(variable)</td>
<td>only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaver et al.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Most metals $c_1$ $c_2$ $n$</td>
<td>~1 - 30 eV</td>
<td>.05 eV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hageman et al.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mg Al Cu Ag</td>
<td>ditto plus</td>
<td>~.01 - 5 x $10^4$ eV</td>
<td>10 - 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Au Bi C Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zombeck and</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Ni, Au, Pt, Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>$N_{eff}$</td>
<td>100 - 10,000 eV</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>n, k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palik (ed)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11 metals, k</td>
<td>IR - 2000 eV</td>
<td>various</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>appropriate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14 semi cons,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 insulators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasaki**</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>all elements</td>
<td>$f_1$ &amp; $f_2$</td>
<td>1.1 - 2.89 Å</td>
<td>.01 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hettrick</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Ni, Au, Ru, Pt, k</td>
<td>100 - 10,000 eV</td>
<td>10% &amp; graphs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auerbach and</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>all elements</td>
<td>$f_1$ &amp; $f_2$</td>
<td>100 - 10,000 eV</td>
<td>graphs &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>digital storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke and</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>elements</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>100 - 1500 eV</td>
<td>parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schattenburg</td>
<td>1-32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biggs and</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>all elements absorption</td>
<td>$10^1$ - $10^6$ eV</td>
<td>parameter fits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighthill</td>
<td></td>
<td>cross-sections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* data up to 10,000 eV on digital storage

**computed values using Cromer and Liberman code
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