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Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is an aggressive hematopoietic cancer characterized by recurrent genetic lesions and clonal expansion of immature and ineffective myeloid lineage cells, and is associated with a high morbidity and mortality. Recent genomic studies have shown that AML is a highly complex and heterogeneous disease.[1] The most recent edition of the World Health Organization classification addressed the increasing awareness of AML heterogeneity by significantly increasing the number of AML subcategories.[2] Prognostic variables in AML include age and certain genomic mutations.[3] In fact, recent analyses have demonstrated the value of incorporating gene mutations beyond FLT3, NPM1, and CEBPA (eg, IDH1 and IDH2, ASXL1, MLL, DNMT3A, and TET2) into AML risk classifications.[4] Despite these advances in our understanding of the pathogenesis of AML, treatment strategies have not significantly changed over the past 40 years, and age-adapted remission induction with chemotherapy and post-remission consolidation with chemotherapy and allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant remain the standard of care.

In this issue of ONCOLOGY, Khaled, Al Malki, and Marcucci contribute a timely and comprehensive review of recurrent genomic abnormalities, current treatment paradigms, and emerging targeted therapeutic approaches in AML.[5] The authors review the incidence and biologic and prognostic impact of both established and emerging recurrent cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities, as well as the current treatment paradigms in both untreated and relapsed and refractory AML. Importantly, the authors review many of the emerging therapeutics for AML, including several novel therapeutics that target recurrent mutations or abnormal biological processes in AML cells. The impact of minimal residual disease (MRD) analysis on post-remission strategies in AML is also discussed. The authors summarize these advances and propose updated treatment algorithms that incorporate newer mutations and MRD testing, and in which patients are stratified by age and fitness for intense therapy.

As highlighted by the authors, our therapeutic approach to AML must evolve to match our increasing understanding of AML pathogenesis and potential associated therapeutic vulnerabilities. Since the advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS), the mutational profiles of large numbers of AML genomes have been described, resulting in a well-characterized AML mutatome.[6,7] This information has increased our understanding of AML pathogenesis. For example, data suggest that AML development is often a stepwise process that follows the development of clonal hematopoiesis as early as the fifth decade of life.[8,9] Furthermore, mutational profiling has led to the isolation of phenotypically and functionally normal preleukemic hematopoietic stem cells that serve as a reservoir for the development of AML clones, both at presentation and at relapse.[10,11] Transformation of these preleukemic hematopoietic stem cells leads to the development of AML, and while the field of AML leukemic stem cells is relatively mature, knowledge of AML mutations adds to the potential targets that might be used to eliminate leukemic stem cells (and potentially preleukemic hematopoietic stem cells) and thus improve therapeutic outcomes.[12] In addition, the process by which secondary AML develops and established AML evolves, termed clonal evolution, has been better described now that NGS has facilitated the characterization of AML-associated mutations.[6,13] Similar work has described the role of TP53 mutations in the very-poor-risk therapy-related AML subtype, and has made it possible to identify which patients have a secondary or secondary-like AML based on mutational profiling.[14,15] Overall, the rapid increase in our molecular understanding of AML has led to refinements in our prognostic models, in addition to paving the way for the development of very promising novel targeted agents, such as FLT3 inhibitors, isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) inhibitors, and BCL-2 inhibitors.[16-18] The impact of AML mutational profiling on MRD analysis is also rapidly evolving. The presence of MRD after initial therapy, detected by either flow cytometry or molecular-based approaches, has significant and independent prognostic value in AML.[19-22] Determination of the optimal method for MRD detection in AML, the choice of molecular or antigen targets, and the signifi
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This commentary reflects on the review article on page 318.
Our therapeutic approach to AML must evolve to match our increasing understanding of AML pathogenesis and potential associated therapeutic vulnerabilities
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