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Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common worldwide disorder, with prevalence ranging from 12.3% to 21.6%. Impact on the individual is large, but societal impact is staggering, with approximately $185.5 billion in annual insurer expenditures attributable to medical care for patients with OA in the United States alone. Productivity losses (indirect costs) are even greater, estimated to be almost twice that of direct health care costs. The high costs of OA are typically seen in the setting of advanced, symptomatic disease. For instance, the direct cost of joint replacement procedures alone is between $15 billion and $23 billion annually. These numbers are expected to increase because of the aging population and an associated increase in the number of revision procedures.

A great deal of research is focused on the goal of detecting preclinical signs of OA, potentially allowing for the implementation of lifestyle, medical, or surgical interventions to prevent the disease from breaching the symptomatic threshold. If OA can be detected at an early stage, disease modification therapies may potentially prevent the morbidities and high cost expenditures associated with the end stages of the disease. Since magnetic resonance (MR) imaging is a tool that can directly and noninvasively evaluate articular cartilage, it has emerged as an essential tool in the study of OA. With more advanced MR imaging techniques, evaluation of the efficacy of treatment and comparison between the various therapies is now possible.

MR imaging sequences used to study OA can be divided into 2 categories: morphological and quantitative. Morphological sequences are the mainstay of currently utilized clinical MR imaging protocols. With regard to cartilage, assessment using morphological techniques is usually qualitative and focused on the detection of gross cartilage lesions, including fissures or
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defects, and characterization of advanced, diffuse changes such as thinning or complete loss. Quantitative MR imaging techniques involve MR measurements that are associated with a physical phenomenon, such as alteration or disruption of the extracellular matrix (ECM). The most widely utilized quantitative MR imaging techniques to evaluate cartilage involve measures of proton relaxation, including $T_2$, $T_2^*$, $T_1$ (particularly when measured after exogenous contrast administration, such as with the delayed gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging of cartilage [dGEMRIC] technique), and $T_1p$. Although several studies have now shown that some of these relaxation parameters are not highly specific to a particular macromolecule, it is still useful to consider them in broad terms of “collagen-sensitive” or “proteoglycan-sensitive” techniques. Many of these quantitative MR imaging measures have shown promise as biomarkers for early joint degeneration. This article provides an overview of these quantitative imaging techniques, including rationale, clinical uses, challenges, and future directions.

**ANATOMIC CONSIDERATIONS**

Hyaline articular cartilage provides a low-friction, wear-resistant, force-distributing surface that lines the bones in diarthrodial joints. Of the total weight, approximately three-quarters is water and one-quarter is the ECM, composed of mostly collagen and proteoglycans. Approximately 10% to 30% of the wet weight of cartilage is collagen (90%-95% of which is type II) and 3% to 10% is proteoglycans. Aggrecan is the major proteoglycan in articular cartilage, containing chondroitin sulfate and keratin sulfate glycosaminoglycan (GAG) side chains. The GAG side chains are negatively charged, fixed in the matrix, and attract positive counterions and water molecules. A strong electrostatic repulsive force is created, and the swelling pressure of cartilage is the result. Articular cartilage demonstrates varying composition and structure depending on depth, with collagen fibrils oriented perpendicularly at the deep zone and parallel at the superficial zone relative to the surface. Proteoglycans also demonstrate depth-wise variation, decreasing in concentration toward the surface. With degeneration and early osteoarthritic changes, proteoglycans are lost, collagen microstructure is disrupted, and water content is increased.

**MR IMAGING AND BASIC RELAXATION THEORY**

In brief, when a patient enters an MR imaging machine, the protons in their body will spin (or resonate) at a particular frequency and tend to align along the main magnetic axis, or $z$-direction in an exponential manner (characterized by $T_1$). After radiofrequency waves are introduced, there is a net transverse magnetization vector in the $x$-$y$ direction, which can be detected with specially positioned coils, decreasing in an exponential manner (characterized by $T_2$ or $T_2^*$).
its maximum value (Figure 3b). Pathologic tissues will typically, but not always, show longer T2, T2*, and T1 values compared with healthy tissues.

T1ρ is similar in concept to T2 and T2* in that it is a measure of relaxation in the transverse plane; however, it utilizes a unique condition where relaxation occurs in the presence of a continuous RF wave, referred to as the spin-lock (SL) RF pulse. The SL RF pulse is relatively low in frequency and coincides with the frequency of the molecular processes of interest, namely macromolecules such as proteoglycans. Higher T1ρ values have been associated with decreased proteoglycan content in cartilage.

While protons are tiny (10\(^{-15}\) m), the signals coming from them reflect phenomena on a much larger scale, on the order of submicrometer to micrometer sizes (in the range of light microscopic resolution). The differences in proton T2 and T1 relaxation times are used to create contrast between different tissues and disease states on conventional morphological MRI. Individual tissue relaxation times can also be measured by acquiring multiple images with varying user-defined parameters. The signal of each pixel on each individual image is used to fit the relaxation curve, and a visual map is generated. More detailed and technical information on proton relaxation has been published previously.

A summary of individual quantitative MR relaxation techniques is provided below (Supplementary Table 1, available online at sph.sagepub.com/supplemental).

**COLLAGEN-SENSITIVE TECHNIQUES**

**T2 and T2* Mapping**

Since the earliest days of MR imaging, differences in T2 relaxation have been used to differentiate between normal and abnormal tissues. A large number of publications show that, in cartilage, T2 is sensitive to water content, collagen content, and collagen fibril orientation.\(^{30,44,45,75}\) Most but not all studies have found that T2 is insensitive to change in proteoglycan concentration.\(^{46,78}\) T2* reflects inhomogeneities in the main magnetic field in addition to the variables affecting T2, and thus is always less than or equal to T2. The orientation dependence of T2 and T2* is referred to as the “magic angle effect” and is caused by a quantum mechanical phenomena (changes in dipole-dipole interactions\(^{75}\)). These interactions are minimized when tissue fiber orientation approaches 55° relative to the main magnetic field, and the result is that T2 and T2* in collagen-rich tissues will increase as the general orientation approaches 55°. With regard to hyaline articular cartilage, the magic angle effect is most pronounced in the regions of greatest anisotropy, such as the superficial layer (approximately 20% of total depth).\(^{5,21,47}\) Although the interpretation of T2 and T2* values and visual maps are confounded by the magic angle effect, they remain useful in clinical practice.

Several in vivo uses of T2 and T2* maps have shown promise. Kijowski et al\(^{26}\) demonstrated that the addition of T2 mapping to a routine clinical knee MR protocol improved sensitivity in the detection of cartilage lesions in the knee joint from 75% to 89%, with only a small reduction in specificity. The greatest improvement was in the identification of early cartilage degeneration, such as cartilage softening, with sensitivities of 4.2% using the routine MR protocol alone and 62% using the routine MR protocol with T2 maps (\(P < 0.001\)).\(^{26}\) Quantitative signal variation (texture) analysis of T2 maps using various algorithms has also shown promise. Normal cartilage demonstrates relatively gradual variation among neighboring pixels, although there is expected depth-wise spatial variation. Alteration of textures has been used to detect differences between control and OA groups and can be used to longitudinally evaluate arthritis progression.\(^{9,30}\) Zhong et al\(^{76}\) found that T2 map signal variation could predict symptomatic knee OA progression in asymptomatic individuals with an overall accuracy rate of 84%. T2 maps have also been used to evaluate cartilage repair tissue.\(^{34,40}\) In particular, longitudinal evaluation can be performed with T2 maps to assess maturation of repair tissue and presence of chondral zonal variation (differences between superficial and deep layers), which is more indicative of hyaline-like cartilage (Figure 4).\(^{63}\)

**PROTEOGLYCAN-SENSITIVE TECHNIQUES**

**T1 Mapping and dGEMRIC**

T1 relaxation has been shown to be sensitive to water content in cartilage.\(^{1}\) Some studies have also shown that T1 is an
excellent discriminator between normal and degenerated cartilage in ex vivo specimens, performing better than several other measures including T2. At this time, however, native unenhanced T1 maps are not typically used in practice. Rather, T1 maps are more often obtained in the setting of exogenously administrated contrast agents as part of the delayed gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of cartilage (dGEMRIC) technique. With the dGEMRIC technique, a double dose of gadolinium diethylenetriamine penta-acetic acid (Gd-DTPA) is administered intravenously. Joint range of motion is performed for approximately 10 minutes, during which time there is slow diffusion of contrast agent into the synovial fluid with subsequent penetration and equalization within articular cartilage. As described, GAG side chains on proteoglycans are negatively charged and therefore preserved cartilage will repel the anionic molecules whereas GAG-depleted regions will accumulate contrast agent. Imaging is performed after a delay of approximately 90 minutes. Regions of accumulated contrast agent will demonstrate shortened T1 values, with a post-contrast T1 map reflecting quantitative GAG concentration. Several validation studies of dGEMRIC have been performed, nearly all showing strong correlation with GAG content.

Several in vivo uses of dGEMRIC have shown promise. Increased Gd-DTPA concentration has been seen in patients with preradiographic degenerative cartilage lesions (Figure 5). dGEMRIC measures have been shown to correlate with pain and severity of disease in patients with hip dysplasia. This technique has also been used to evaluate cartilage in the setting of acute injury. In vivo cartilage adaptive responses to exercise can be detected with the dGEMRIC technique. dGEMRIC can also be used to evaluate cartilage after therapy, including after intra-articular viscosupplementation or cartilage repair tissue after surgery.
**T1ρ Mapping**

T1ρ measurements have been shown to be sensitive to changes in proteoglycan content using enzymatically degraded bovine cartilage.1,15 T1ρ has also been shown to be sensitive to early cartilage degeneration in vivo32 (Figure 6) and may be more sensitive than T2 for this purpose.32,55 Similar to T2, quantitative signal variation (texture) analysis of T1ρ maps have shown promise for the detection of early OA in patients. Carballido-Gamio et al10 found that T1ρ discriminated between healthy controls and mild OA cases better than T2 using a specific texture and laminar analysis algorithm. In patients who underwent arthroscopy, Witschey et al74 found that quantitative evaluation of T1ρ relaxation times in regions of interest could detect most cases of cartilage softening and early superficial fibrillation, but all cases qualitatively demonstrated abnormalities on T1ρ maps. T1ρ imaging has also been applied after both microfracture and mosaicplasty and can be used to follow the maturation status of the cartilage repair tissue.22,59

**CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Although the various MR parametric mapping techniques described above have shown promise for clinical use (Supplementary Table 2, available online at sph.sagepub.com/supplemental), a number of challenges exist. First, biologic tissues are heterogeneous and contain various tissue components. In ex vivo cartilage samples, up to 4 distinct pools of protons, each with their own decay pattern, have been demonstrated.55 Although some of these proton pools may not be detectable in clinical practice, there are circumstances where
a biexponential relaxation pattern can be detected and indeed provides a better model for curve fitting.\textsuperscript{13,37} Preliminary data suggest that identification and separation of individual proton pools provides added information, and some of these measures may be much less sensitive to the confounding magic angle effect.\textsuperscript{38,54}

Reproducibility of relaxation measurements is another challenge. Although high reproducibility can be seen when sequences and parameters are kept constant,\textsuperscript{25,6} there is significant variation when comparing between different pulse sequences, parameters, and coils used for imaging.\textsuperscript{43,52} There is little consensus at this time as to which sequence, set of parameters, and post-processing method is optimal. Interpretation of quantitative relaxation values can also be challenging. Normal cartilage can demonstrate artifactual increases or decreases in T2 values due to the confounding magic angle effect. Pathologic cartilage most typically demonstrates increases in T2 values but at times can demonstrate decreased values.\textsuperscript{41,52} Coupled with the fact that there is a typically a narrow range of quantitative MR parameters over clinical populations, it becomes apparent that there is much parameter value overlap between controls versus OA patients.\textsuperscript{58} Promising approaches to overcome these limitations include using a multiparametric approach\textsuperscript{14,53} and analysis of spatial variation (texture analysis).\textsuperscript{33,56}

Improvements in speed of quantitative mapping techniques must also occur prior to widespread clinical acceptance. Of the above described mapping techniques, total time of examination is a major barrier for the acceptance of the DGMRIC technique. For the remaining techniques, advances in accelerated imaging techniques have been successfully implemented, and T1 and T2 maps have been generated in approximately 1 minute.\textsuperscript{23,51} Finally, multiple less widely available techniques are also being evaluated in the rapidly developing field of MR biomarkers. Many of these have shown promise in preliminary studies including sodium,\textsuperscript{59} magnetization transfer (such as chemical exchange saturation transfer\textsuperscript{20}), and diffusion\textsuperscript{20} techniques.

**CONCLUSION**

Quantitative assessment of cartilage using noninvasive MR imaging techniques likely represents the best opportunity to identify early cartilage degeneration and to follow patients after treatment. However, at present, there is no single MR biomarker that is both widely available and accepted for these purposes. Continuing efforts to further refine existing techniques, develop emerging techniques, and improve analysis have shown exciting and promising results. The combination of vendor incorporation of faster sequences into clinically available packages, standardization, and validation will lead to increasing acceptance and utilization by the community.
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