Electronic and Optical Properties of Energetic Particle-Irradiated In-rich InGaN

S.X. Li,1,2 K.M. Yu,1 R.E. Jones,1,2 J. Wu,1 W. Walukiewicz,1 J.W. Ager III,1 W. Shan,1 E.E. Haller,1,2 Hai Lu,3 William J. Schaff,3 and W. Kemp4

1 Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720
2 Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720
3 Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853
4 Air Force Research Laboratory, Kirtland Air Force Base, Kirtland AFB, NM 87117

ABSTRACT

We have carried out a systematic study of the effects of irradiation on the electronic and optical properties of InGaN alloys over the entire composition range. High energy electrons, protons, and 4He+ were used to produce displacement damage doses ($D_d$) spanning over five orders of magnitude. The free electron concentrations in InN and In-rich InGaN increase with $D_d$ and finally saturate after a sufficiently high $D_d$. The saturation of carrier density is attributed to the formation of native donors and the Fermi level pinning at the Fermi Stabilization Energy ($E_{FS}$), as predicted by the amphoteric native defect model. Electrochemical capacitance-voltage (ECV) measurements reveal a surface electron accumulation whose concentration is determined by pinning at $E_{FS}$.

INTRODUCTION

Among the group III-nitrides, InN, a narrow bandgap semiconductor [1, 2], is certainly the least studied. Even basic parameters, such as its direct bandgap value, were the subject of some controversy [3-8], it is now clear that InN a direct gap of of ~0.7eV, in contrast to the previously believed 1.9eV. In many instances the larger reported bandgap values can be understood by a significant Burstein-Moss shift due to the high free electron concentration in the samples [9]. The narrow bandgap of InN has opened up many new possible applications, such as InGaN tandem solar cells, inspired from the almost perfect match of InGaN bandgap span with the solar spectrum [10]. To test the irradiation hardness of InGaN, we have carried out a systematic study of the effects of irradiation on the electronic and optical properties of InGaN alloys over the entire composition range from InN to GaN. The irradiation, which produces mostly native point defects, also reveals the origin of the tendency of InN to be n-type, as predicted by the amphoteric defect model [11, 12].

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The epitaxial InN and In$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$N thin films (310-2700 nm thick) used in this study were grown on c-sapphire substrates by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) with GaN as the buffer layer [13]. The initial free electron concentrations in these samples range from the low $10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ to low $10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ and the mobility ranged from 7 cm$^2$/V·s ($x = 0.76$) to above 1500 cm$^2$/Vs ($x = 0$). In addition, a GaN sample (3 µm thick with an electron concentration $\sim 7.74 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$) and a
GaAs sample (~10µm thick with an electron concentration ~8×10^{16} cm^{-3}) were also included in this study.

The samples were irradiated with 1 MeV electrons, 2 MeV protons, and 2 MeV 4He^+ ions. The fluences of electrons ranged from 5×10^{15} to 1×10^{17} cm^{-2} and those of protons and 4He^+ from 1.12×10^{14} to 2.68×10^{16} cm^{-2}. In all cases, the particle penetration depth greatly exceeded the film thickness, assuring a homogeneous damage distribution in the film. Ion channeling spectroscopy showed that the minimum yield $\chi$ increased from 0.04 in an as-grown InN sample to merely 0.11 after 4He^+ irradiation with a dose of 1.8×10^{16} cm^{-2}, indicating that the InN films remains single crystalline in spite of the high concentration of radiation-induced defects. X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that after the heaviest 4He^+ dose (2.68×10^{16} cm^{-2}) the lattice parameter of the film increased by 0.02 Å (0.35%). Since extended crystalline defects such as dislocations and twins do not alter the lattice parameter of a crystal, we believe that point defects are responsible for the observed changes in electrical properties of the irradiated materials.

We used the displacement damage dose methodology developed by the Naval Research Laboratory for modeling solar cell degradation in space environments to scale the irradiation damage [14,15]. The displacement damage dose ($D_d$, in units of MeV/g) is defined as the product of the non-ionizing energy loss (NIEL) and the particle fluence. In this work, the NIEL was either obtained from the tables in Ref. 16 or from the SRIM (the stopping and range of ions in matter) program [16].

To eliminate effects from sample inhomogeneity and variations in the properties of metal contacts in the Hall measurements, the evaluation of the proton and 4He^+ irradiation damage was done sequentially at progressively higher radiation doses on the same samples. Near-surface carrier concentration profiles of InGaN were measured with the Electrochemical Capacitance-Voltage (ECV) technique with 0.2M NaOH: EDTA as the electrolyte.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The free electron concentrations of InN, In_{0.4}Ga_{0.6}N, GaAs, and GaN are plotted against $D_d$ in Fig. 1. Irradiation increases the free electron concentrations in InN and In_{0.4}Ga_{0.6}N, which eventually saturate at a value depending on the alloy composition as $D_d$ exceeds 10^{16} MeV/g. The largest increase and highest saturation concentration are found in InN, where the electron concentration rises by a factor of about 300. In contrast, irradiation reduces the free electron concentrations in GaN and GaAs. At $D_d$ higher than about 10^{13} MeV/g, the electron concentration in GaN decreases rapidly. The radiation-induced reduction of the free electron concentration in GaAs, which is a well-established observation, occurs at a

![Figure 1. Electron concentrations in InN, In_{0.4}Ga_{0.6}N, GaN, and GaAs as function of $D_d$. The calculated $N_s$ of InN and In_{0.4}Ga_{0.6}N are also marked as dotted lines.](image-url)
lower $D_d$ of mid-$10^{12}$ MeV/g. It is important to note that although all three nitride samples had very similar starting electron concentrations, the irradiation had profoundly different effects on their properties. The observed increase of electron concentration in InN and In$_{0.4}$Ga$_{0.6}$N shows that the damage creates donor-like defects. On the other hand, the reduction of the electron concentration in GaN and GaAs clearly demonstrates that acceptors are the dominant radiation-induced defects in these materials.

Our results can be readily understood using the amphoteric defect model [11,12], which predicts that the broken-bond type of defects have a universal energy level which is call Fermi level stabilization energy ($E_{FS}$). The point defects introduced by irradiation in our experiment all have their energy level at $E_{FS}$. Figure 2 shows the conduction band edge (CBE) and the valence band edge (VBE) energies relative to the vacuum level in In$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$N, GaAs and Ga$_{0.5}$In$_{0.5}$P [10]. Both GaAs and Ga$_{0.5}$In$_{0.5}$P are important materials in current state-of-the-art tandem solar cells. The position of $E_{FS}$ at 4.9 eV below the vacuum level is also shown. It is important to note that the value of the electron affinity of InN (5.8 eV) is larger than that of any other semiconductor. This extremely low location of the CBE explains the n-type activity and the effect of defects on the properties of InN. Since $E_{FS}$ is located high in the conduction band (~0.9 eV above the CBE), native donors are the dominant defects introduced by irradiation damage, and, at large doses, these defects push the Fermi energy ($E_F$) towards $E_{FS}$. When the damage is sufficiently high, the electron concentration saturates at a certain value (which we call $N_S$) as $E_F$ reaches $E_{FS}$. At this point acceptor- and donor-like defects are incorporated at the same rate and compensate each other. As a consequence, the Fermi level is pinned at $E_{FS}$ and does not change with further radiation damage. For In$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$N alloys, as $x$ increases (more Ga), the CBE moves closer to $E_{FS}$, which results in a lower value of $N_S$. In In$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$N with a Ga fraction higher than 66%, $E_{FS}$ falls below the CBE, i.e., inside the bandgap. In pure GaN, $E_{FS}$ is located ~0.7 eV below the CBE; therefore, in an n-type sample $E_F$ lies above $E_{FS}$ and radiation-induced native defects have acceptor-like character and are expected to compensate the donors, reducing the electron concentration. This is indeed what is observed in Fig. 1 for GaN. The same effect is observed in n-type GaAs; in this case irradiation moves $E_F$ into the lower half of the band gap, resulting in highly resistive material.

To quantify the effect of irradiation on the electron concentration, we calculated $N_S$ using the following expression [17] for a nonparabolic conduction band with $E_F = E_{FS}$:
\[ N_s = \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \left( \frac{2m^*}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \int_{E_{CBE}}^{E_{FS}} e^{E-E_C} \left[ (E-E_C)^2 + (E-E_C)^2 \right]^{1/2} \frac{E-E_{FS}}{E-E_{FS}} \frac{dE}{1 + e^{\frac{E-E_{FS}}{k_BT}}} \]  

(1)

where \( m^* \) is the band edge effective electron mass, \( E_C \) is the energy of the CBE, and \( E_g \) is the bandgap. An additional important factor is the band-gap renormalization effect [18]. At sufficiently high electron concentrations electron-electron and electron-ion interactions can significantly reduce the fundamental bandgap. Here both effects contribute to the shift of the CBE whereas the energy of the defect level is affected only by the electron-ion interaction. Consequently the net shift of the CBE with respect to the localized defect level is given only by the electron-electron interaction.

\( N_S \) values of InN and In\(_{0.4}\)Ga\(_{0.6}\)N calculated from Equation (1) are marked as dotted lines in Fig. 1. They are in good agreement with the observed saturation electron concentration. Calculated values of \( N_S \) are plotted as a function of alloy composition in Fig. 3. In the calculation, \( m^* \) is extrapolated linearly between InN and GaN and a bowing parameter of 1.43 eV is used to calculate the bandgap. The calculations are in excellent agreement with experimental \( N_S \) values obtained from a number of In\(_{1-x}\)Ga\(_{x}\)N samples (0 \( \leq x \) \( \leq 0.76 \)) after heavy irradiation (\( D_d > 10^{16} \) MeV/g).

Our results show that, as predicted by the amphoteric defect model, incorporation of a high concentration of point defects stabilizes the Fermi energy at \( E_{FS} \). It has been demonstrated before that the same effect is responsible for the pinning of the Fermi energy on semiconductor surfaces [11, 12]. To test this assertion we carried out measurements of the surface accumulation effect in InGaN alloys using a capacitance-voltage technique <it would be ECV if we did etching>. In this method a potential is applied across the electrolyte/semiconductor interface to probe the charge distribution below the semiconductor surface. A Helmholtz double layer, formed in the electrolyte, acts as an insulator whose capacitance can be changed by varying the applied bias [19]. Charge density can be obtained from the capacitance and applied bias [20]. The electron concentration profiles of a number of In\(_{1-x}\)Ga\(_{x}\)N alloys and their endpoint compounds (InN and GaN) are shown in Fig. 4. For comparison the calculated \( N_S \) and bulk electron concentration measured by Hall effect are also shown. The profiles of the charge distribution in the samples with \( x \leq 0.6 \) clearly show an electron accumulation layer near the surface. For these samples, the carrier concentration decreases away from the surface and reaches its bulk value at the depth of few nm below the surface. The profiles also indicate that
the surface accumulation effect weakens as the Ga fraction increases. As seen in Fig. 4 there is no surface accumulation in GaN. This is consistent with well established fact that the surface Fermi energy is pinned in the band gap leading to surface electron depletion in this material [21].

The good agreement between the surface electron concentrations and the radiation damage-stabilized \( N_S \) indicates that in both cases the same, most likely vacancy-like, defects are responsible for the stabilization of the Fermi energy. This is the first experimental evidence that the amphoteric defect model, which has been successfully used to describe defect behavior in standard III-V semiconductors, is also applicable to group III-nitride alloys. Using known band edge alignments [22] we can position \( E_{FS} \) in all group III-nitrides. For instance, in In\(_{1-y}\)Al\(_y\)N alloys \( E_{FS} \) falls below CBE for \( y > 0.29 \), whereas at the AlN end point it is located 2.7 eV below CBE.

CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that the incorporation of high concentrations of native defects produced by high energy particle irradiation stabilizes the bulk Fermi energy in In\(_{1-x}\)Ga\(_x\)N alloys. The stabilized energy is the same as the surface Fermi level pinning energy in In-rich In\(_{1-x}\)Ga\(_x\)N. Its position ranges from 0.9 eV above the CBE in InN to about 0.7 eV below the conduction band edge in GaN. The results confirm the applicability of the amphoteric defect model to the group III-nitride alloys.
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