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ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

Biosynthesis of natural products in marine bacteria: studies in molecular genetics, phylogeny and structural elucidation

By

Sebastian Sudek

Doctor of Philosophy in Marine Biology

University of California, San Diego, 2006

Professor Margo G Haygood, Chair
Chapter 1 introduces marine natural products and discusses the symbiosis of bacteria and marine invertebrates as a source of these molecules. Indications for a bacterial origin of compounds isolated from invertebrates are presented and the major types of bacterial natural product biosynthesis pathways are introduced. The study of biosynthesis opens the possibility of solving the supply issue that often prevents the development of drugs from marine natural products. It also yields novel biochemical reactions and allows insight into the evolution of biosynthetic pathways.

Chapter 2 explores the biosynthetic genes responsible for the production of the anticancer compound bryostatin. Bryostatin was isolated from a marine bryozoan; here a putative biosynthetic pathway from a symbiotic bacterium via a modular polyketide synthase (PKS) mechanism is presented. Symbiont DNA was enriched using ultracentrifugation techniques and lambda phage library constructed. For homology screening initially a DNA fragment was used specific for a symbiont PKS derived in earlier work by degenerate PCR Subsequently the ends of the isolated lambda fragments were used in a series of screenings. Short gaps were bridged by PCR. This chromosome walking approach yielded the entire 80 kb bry cluster. The cluster contains all the major elements needed for the production of bryostatin. A putative biosynthetic scheme is described.
Chapter 3 investigates the phylogeny of *Prochloron sp.*, a cyanobacterial symbiont of ascidians, based on 16S rRNA gene and 16S-23S internally transcribed spacer region sequences as well as denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Prochloron* diversity is very low and there is no evidence for cospeciation with the ascidian host. This is in contrast to the vertical transmission of symbionts reported for some ascidians. *Prochloron* is the source of the patellamides, the relationship between phylogenetic and biosynthetic diversity is discussed.

Chapter 4 presents a novel cyclic peptide, trichamide, from the free-living cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium erythraeum*. A gene cluster related to the patellamide biosynthetic genes from *Prochloron sp.* was identified by homology in *T. erythraeum* sequence data available in Genbank. The structure of trichamide was proposed based on sequence information and could be verified by Fourier-transfer mass spectrometry.
1.

**Introduction: Biosynthesis of natural products in (symbiotic) marine bacteria**

The dissertation explores several aspects of the biosynthesis of natural products in selected marine bacteria. This chapter introduces natural products from marine invertebrates and the bacterial symbionts often proposed to be involved in biosynthesis of these molecules. The term all-encompassing term “natural product” will be used in this chapter, these molecules are also often called “secondary” or “bioactive metabolites”.

**Marine Natural Products**

Marine natural products are small organic molecules, typically with a molecular weight of 100s to 2000. They have diverse chemical structures and activities. Their ecological functions can be anti-competitor, anti-predation, trace metal scavenging and communication. This translates into pharmaceutically useful biological activities such as antibiotics, anticancer, antiparasitic, antiviral, and antifungal properties. Terrestrial environments have been and still are screened extensively for bioactive compounds. The marine realm is technically more challenging to explore; advances such as SCUBA diving and deep sea sampling
devices have made it accessible. Since the 1970s thousands of marine natural products have been described (for example, 869 structures are presented in a review covering only the year 2000 [14]).

Sessile marine invertebrates, especially sponges, but also ascidians and bryozoans have been particularly prolific sources. Like terrestrial plants, marine non-motile organisms have to find a way to counter predation. Physical defense mechanisms include shells, cuticles, external or internal spines and spicules. Chemical defense is the ability to produce toxic (or at least noxious) compounds that leave the animal unpalatable to potential predators. Often a mixture of both physical and chemical defense is employed. While motile, larvae of marine invertebrates are very vulnerable to predators and often the chemical defense is extended to or even mainly employed in the larval stages [35]. Natural products are also used to fend off competitors in the struggle for settling space on sublittoral substrates. Finally, marine invertebrates need a mechanism to prevent microbial/algal/fungal fouling of their surfaces, which can be achieved chemically.

Natural products and symbiosis

In the broadest definition symbiosis describes two species, which are associated persistently or at least for a major part of their life cycles. Often an evolutionary benefit for one or both of the partners can be demonstrated or hypothesized. Symbiosis between bacteria and marine invertebrates is common. There is a large diversity of lifestyles: the symbionts can be obligate or facultative, present as
biofilms on the host’s surface or in body cavities, intercellular within the host tissue or even intracellular, in specialized host cells (bacteriocytes). In microbe-invertebrate symbiosis, the benefit for the host is often nutritional. For example, wood-boring shipworms (Teredinidae) are able to thrive on their diet because cellulose and lignin are degraded by symbiotic bacteria [9,50]. Many invertebrates in deep-sea vent community are dependent on chemoautotroph symbionts [49].

Symbiosis can also involve natural products. As described above, the ability to produce defensive compounds is a strong selective advantage for marine invertebrates to fight predation, compete for space and prevent fouling. Many animals lack the metabolic capabilities to synthesize potent compounds, so forming a partnership with a microbe is an effective strategy. Bacteria are ideally suited for this kind of symbiosis, because of their versatile biosynthetic capabilities. A classic example of chemical defense is found in the shrimp *Palaemon macrodactylus*. Isatin (Figure 1.1) is produced by an *Alteromonas* sp. persistently associated with the shrimp larvae and has been shown to prevent fungal infections [20]. When bacterial symbionts are uncultivated, it is difficult to obtain direct evidence for their involvement in the production of natural products isolated from marine invertebrates. Indications for a symbiotic origin are:

1. The natural product has previously been identified from a bacterium or at least has reminiscent structural features as in the case of ET-743 from the ascidian
Ecteinascidia turbinata and saframycin B from Streptomyces lavendulae (Figure 1.1).

2. A similar compound has been isolated from an unrelated invertebrate. This is particularly appealing if the animals live in vastly different environments, which makes convergent evolution unlikely. Pederin from a beetle and onnamide A from a sponge (Figure 1.1) are discussed in more detail below.

3. The suggested mode of biosynthesis has previously only been found in bacteria as is the case with complex polyketides like bryostatin discussed in chapter 2.

4. Antibiotic treatment leads to a loss of compounds. Bugula neritina is the source of the anticancer compound bryostatin. When B. neritina larvae are treated with antibiotics, colonies developing from these larvae do not contain symbiotic bacteria or bryostatin [7, 37]. These experiments do not exclude the possibility that compounds are produced by the host in response to bacteria.

5. The compounds are localized in bacterial cells. In the sponge Dysidea herbacea, animal cells have been successfully separated from associated cyanobacterial Oscillatoria spongeliae filaments using a fluorescent activated cell sorter. 13-demethylisodysidenin and a brominated diphenyl ether were found only in the cyanobacteria, while two sesquiterpenes were located only in the sponge cells [47, 48]. In another instance, the bacterial population of the sponge Theonella swinhoei was separated by differential centrifugation. The cyclic peptide theopalauamide and the polyketide swinhohide A were found in morphologically different bacteria [2]. These results should be interpreted with
caution. Natural products can be excreted by bacteria; they may diffuse or be transported around the host and be taken up by host cells. The opposite could also happen, i.e. host compounds could be excreted and taken up by symbiotic bacteria. Furthermore, certain nudibranchs have been shown to retain natural products derived from a nutritional source, green algae [21]. In the same way it seems possible that bacterial producers are digested by host cells, while their natural product are retained.

None of the indications listed is conclusive and multiple lines of evidence should be demonstrated before proposing a bacterial origin.

**Types of bacterial biosynthetic pathways**

The *bry* cluster described in chapter 2 is an example of a modular polyketide synthase (PKS). Modular PKS are large polyfunctional megasynthases (up to 2000 kDa) that elongate and modify the nascent polyketide in an assembly-line fashion [30]. They are organized into functional modules that perform one specific elongation step by adding an acyl-CoA precursor and then transferring the polyketide chain to the next module. Each module has several catalytic domains (Figure 1.2). A canonical core module consists of a β-ketoacyl synthase (KS), an acyl transferase (AT) and an acyl carrier protein (ACP) domain. The AT selects and loads the extender unit (typically malonyl or methylmalonyl CoA) onto the ACP domain. In a decarboxylative step the KS condenses the nascent polyketide received from the ACP of the previous module
onto the acyl-CoA extender unit, effectively adding a minimum of two carbons to the polyketide. In most characterized PKSs, the AT functionality is integrated into each PKS module, but in several systems it resides on a discrete gene and there is no AT domain present in the PKS genes [4]. After the condensation step the ACP bridges the distance to the next module’s KS domain with a phosphopantetheine arm to transfer the polyketide for the next extension step. Each module can contain different accessory domains. After extension, the β-keto group can be reduced to a hydroxyl group by a ketoreductase (KR) domain, to a trans-double bond by a dehydratase (DH) domain and to the fully saturated β-carbon by an enoyl reductase (ER) domain [10, 26].

Iterative PKS systems consist of complexes of mono-functional proteins [25]. The proteins contain the same functional domains as modular PKS but analogously to fatty acid synthesis are used iteratively once per elongation step. These PKS catalyse the formation of compounds that require aromatization and cyclization, but not extensive variable reduction or reduction/dehydration. A well characterized marine example is enterocin. Iterative PKS systems often catalyze elaborate C-C cleavage and re-cyclization reactions, but the biochemical details are not well understood. Type III PKS systems were previously mainly known in plants, but have recently been found in many bacterial genomes. They consist of monodimeric multifunctional proteins that perform iterative condensations often using larger precursor molecules. Type III PKS
typically produce monocyclic compounds. So far no marine natural products have been shown to be derived from Type III PKS.

Non-ribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPS) are functionally related to modular PKS. Instead of polyketides from acyl units, peptides are assembled from amino acids. NRPS are also organized in modules, a minimal NRPS module consists of an adenylation domain (A), condensation domain (C) and peptidyl carrier protein domain (PCP). The A domain activates a specific amino acid (analogous to a t-RNA) and transfers it to the PCP which holds on to the growing peptidyl as a thioester. The C domain forms a peptide bond between the next amino acyl and the peptidyl unit. There can be modifying domains for epimerization and heterocyclization [45] (Figure 1.2).

In both PKS and NRPS the product is released by a thioesterase. PKS and NRPS modules can mix in a single biosynthetic pathway (and even gene), this leads to hybrid polyketide/peptide compounds like onnamide A (Figure 1.1). Mixed PKS/NRPS are quite abundant in cyanobacteria [19].

Peptide natural products can also be synthesized in the standard ribosomal mode. This is the standard route to peptides in eukaryotes, for example conotoxins in cone snails [13], but also found in bacteria, exemplified by the patellamide/trichamide pathway discussed in chapter 4. A precursor gene is translated into a precursor peptide containing the amino acid sequence of the mature compound. Single amino acid
residues can be modified by dedicated enzymes and proteases cut the final product out of the precursor peptide.

Examples of symbiotic natural products

The following examples highlight some well-studied symbiotic systems. Onnamide A is polyketide/peptide hybrid from the sponge *Theonella swinhoei* with antiviral and antitumor activities [17]. The putative biosynthetic cluster has been characterized by its similarity to the pederin biosynthesis cluster [42]. Pederin is a defensive compound in the *Paederus* beetle family. It prevents predation of the beetle larvae by wolf spiders [32]. There is strong evidence from antibiotic treatment studies as well as structural considerations that pederin is produced by a \( \gamma \)-proteobacterial symbiont found in female *Paederus* beetles [31] through a polyketide synthase pathway. The putative onnamide cluster also consists of PKS/NRPS genes and surrounding genes are clearly bacterial [42]. This suggests the presence of a symbiotic bacterium in *Theonella swinhoei* that produces onnamide, although a candidate bacterium has not been identified and the ecological function of onnamide A is unclear at this point.

Bryostatin is a macrocyclic polyketide isolated from the marine bryozoan *Bugula neritina* [41], and the subject of chapter 2. It has been shown to be a feeding deterrent in *B. neritina* larvae [37]. Bryostatin has shown activity against several human cancers and over the last decade numerous clinical trials have been performed
Bryostatin specifically binds to protein kinase C and modulates the enzyme’s activity. Recently, neurological effects of bryostatin have been documented. It enhanced long term memory in mollusks [1] and countered depression and dementia in rats [46]. Due to its chemical structure and the response to antibiotic treatment described above a bacterial origin of bryostatin is likely. A symbiotic $\gamma$-proteobacterium *Candidatus Endobugula sertula* (*E. sertula*) has been identified in *B. neritina*. *E. sertula* has been shown to be consistently associated with *B. neritina* [22], it is transferred vertically to a new bryozoan generation in a specialized groove on the *B. neritina* larva. A modular PKS gene *bryA* has been identified [24] and shown to originate in *E. sertula* by fluorescence in situ hybridization [6]. *BryA* contains four modules consistent with the first steps of bryostatin biosynthesis. The first module is the loading module. It contains DH and KR-like domains as well as the FkbH domain, which in other PKS system is proposed to form a methoxymalonate extender unit from a glycolytic pathway intermediate [3, 52]. The loading module is hypothesized to provide the unusual starter unit D-lactate. The three subsequent modules perform standard polyketide elongation reactions. The resulting nine carbon compound folds to form the southernmost part of bryostatin (Figure 1.3). Transcription of *bryA* has been shown by reverse transcriptase PCR on environmental RNA isolated from *B. neritina* samples [24]. *bryA* is part of a larger PKS gene cluster, the complete biosynthetic scheme is described in Chapter 2. *B. simplex*, a close relative of *B. neritina* also contains a specific symbiont, *Endobugula glebosa* and a PKS gene fragment homolog to the *bry* genes has been isolated [34]. There are indications for a bryostatin-like molecule in *B. simplex* that warrant further study.
The patellamides are cyclic peptides isolated from tropical didemnid ascidians. These ascidians have an obligate cyanobacterial symbiont *Prochloron sp.* An advantage of studying this system is the easy separation of symbiont and host. Mechanical pressure on the ascidian leads to the expulsion of highly enriched *Prochloron sp.* [33]. The patellamide biosynthesis cluster has been characterized from *Prochloron sp.* Biosynthesis is ribosomal via a microcin-like pathway. The amino acid sequence of mature patellamide could therefore be located in a small open reading frame after searching the translated version of the draft *Prochloron didemni* genome [44]. This precursor protein, PatE, is proposed to be modified by the products of surrounding genes, leading to the mature product patellamide. In this system there is direct evidence for bacterial production of the secondary metabolite: The pat gene cluster has been heterologously expressed in *Escherichia coli* and the production of authentic patellamide has been observed. The patellamides are mildly cytotoxic and are dispersed throughout the ascidian tunic, but patellamide C does not significantly reduce feeding by coral reef fishes [35], so the ecological function is unclear at present. Didemnid ascidians contain overlapping families of peptides (patellamides, mollamides, ulithiacyclamides and others [8, 16]). The ribosomal mode of biosynthesis suggests a straightforward mechanism to achieve this diversity. Point mutations in the precursor peptide gene *patE* would lead to altered amino acid sequences in the mature compound. So far, twenty-six versions of *patE*, differing only in the patellamide-coding region have been identified [11]. Homologs to the patellamide biosynthesis genes have been identified in phylogenetically diverse
bacteria (a *Streptomyces*, a chlorobium, a chlostridium and a *Rhizobium* species).

Another homolog from the cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium erythraeum* is described in chapter 4.

**Symbiosis and biosynthesis**

Symbiotic systems are attractive for studying bacterial biosynthesis. The animals form a closed system in which the natural products accumulate into detectable quantities. Once a product is characterized, the finite (albeit in the case of sponges diverse) bacterial community can be searched for the biosynthetic source. It can be technically challenging to isolate the symbiotic DNA of interest from the host, if the bacteria are not abundant. Sometimes certain life stages of the host can contain higher symbiont level, differential centrifugation combined with competitive PCR has also been employed to enhance the symbiont content of DNA preparations [23]. Another option is a metagenomic approach. If the nature of the candidate biosynthetic pathway is known, the entire set of biosynthetic gene can be catalogued and scanned for potential candidates for the pathway of interest. This approach has been successful for onnamide A [42], but its pitfalls are evident in a failed attempt to isolate the discodermolide cluster from the sponge *Discodermia dissoluta*: no characteristic feature of the target cluster was known and the bacterial community was very diverse [43]. In the foreseeable future advances in DNA sequencing techniques ("454 synthesis-based sequencing") should make obtaining the metagenome of all bacterial symbionts of an invertebrate a standard approach. The difficulty will then lie in
cataloguing all available clusters and determining which cluster is responsible for the natural product of interest.

In symbioses with vertical transmission, examining a group of related animals, their bacteria and bioactive metabolites allows insights into the evolution of biosynthetic pathways. Animals can readily be taxonomically classified and relationship between species assigned based on both morphological as well as genetic characters. In addition, some groups have a fossil record that can provide a timeline. The same relationship can then be proposed for the symbiotic bacteria as well as their biosynthetic pathways. This allows to elucidate evolutionary events affecting bioactive metabolites. The diversity of bacteria can be examined directly by genetic means (typically using the 16S rRNA gene). Comparing the phylogeny of bacteria and host animal is also instructive. Cospeciation (also called cophylogenetic descent) is the parallel and codependent speciation of host and symbiont. It leads to congruent trees of host and symbiont if the symbiont tree is superimposed on the host tree [27] (Figure 1.4). The congruence can be imperfect because of horizontal switching of host-specific symbionts between unrelated host lineages, symbiont duplication, i.e. speciation of symbionts within a non-speciating host lineage or sorting events, which include symbiont extinction and failure of a symbiont to colonize a new host lineage [28].

**Significance of biosynthetic studies**

Marine invertebrates often yield only miniscule amounts of a natural product. While a few mg of compounds are enough for modern structural elucidations,
typically 100s of mg are needed for bioactivity and early clinical studies. Gram quantities are required to supply an actual drug. This supply issue impedes the development of many compounds from marine invertebrates. Since direct routes like large-scale collection or aquaculture are typically not feasible or environmentally sound, indirect routes have been employed or envisioned. Total chemical synthesis has obvious advantages. Once developed it provides a secure and ideally scalable supply of compound. In the case of discodermolide multigram quantities of authentic compound were produced on an industrial scale [15]. The practicality of chemical synthesis may be problematic for complex natural products with multiple stereocenters. A possible alternative solution (that has yet to be successfully applied on a useful scale) is the production of compounds through heterologous expression of its biosynthetic pathway in an easily manageable host. This involves the identification of the biosynthetic gene cluster, the cloning into a suitable expression vector and expression in a host amenable to large-scale fermentation. As the technology becomes more widely available, *in-vitro* gene synthesis to accommodate host codon usage can be employed instead of cloning of the native genes.

Two studies in the didemnid-Prochloron system have used this approach [36, 44]: The patellamide biosynthesis gene cluster was cloned into *E. coli*. *E. coli* is easily manipulated and can be used in large-scale fermentation. The yields reported are low (µg of compound/liter of culture) and the patellamides presently have little clinical value, but a proof of concept has been achieved. Heterologous expression is much more difficult to achieve with PKS/NRPS pathways due to their size, the number of
catalytic steps and the need for accessory enzymes. In terrestrial *Streptomyces sp.* several compounds have been produced heterologously, a classical example is 6-deoxyerythronolide B, the aglycone part of the antibiotic erythromycin [29]. These experiments have been facilitated by the fact that closely related streptomyces species could be used as hosts, which may not be the case in some marine systems (for example, the putative bryostatin cluster comes from a γ-proteobacterium).

In addition to the practical application of supplying compounds, the study of biosynthesis pathways also gives valuable insight into novel biochemical reactions and enzymes. For example, halogenation is a common theme in marine natural products. Barbamide, a molluscicidal compound isolated from the filamentous cyanobacterium *Lyngbya majuscula* contains a unique trichloromethyl group [40]. This remarkable reaction is performed by two non-heme halogenases in the barbamide biosynthetic cluster. It requires oxygen, α-keto glutarate and chloride and proceeds via a carbon radical created through hydrogen atom abstraction by a high-valent oxoiron species [18].

Biosynthesis studies also open the possibility of combinatorial approaches by dissecting and engineering PKS and NRPS pathway leading to “unnatural” natural products. This approach is more difficult than anticipated because inter-modular interactions are important for efficient catalysis and presently poorly understood [38]. Once advances have been made, presumably in the most studied terrestrial
Streptomyces sp., they may be applicable to more exotic biosynthetic genes from marine organisms.

Finally, phylogenetic analysis allows insights into the evolution of bioactive metabolite biosynthesis. Terrestrial actinomycetes are a prolific source of natural products. Continuing advances in the Fenical lab suggest that marine actinomycetes will become another treasure trove [5, 51]. Yet, the discovery of biosynthetic genes in diverse bacteria continues to blur the line between natural product producing versus non-producing bacteria. Clearly homologous clusters are found in diverse bacteria. For example one of the closest relative of the bry cluster from the γ-proteobacterium E. sertula is found in the gram-positive Bacillus subtilis. This suggests that horizontal gene transfer of natural product biosynthesis clusters is possible.

The research presented in this dissertation explores three aspects of natural product biosynthesis in three different systems (Figure 1.5). In chapter 2 the putative biosynthetic gene cluster for the production of the polyketide bryostatin by a symbiotic bacterium of the source organism Bugula neritina is presented. Chapter 3 is a phylogenetic analysis of Prochloron sp., the cyanobacterial symbiont of tropical ascidians. Prochloron has been shown to produce a variety of peptides in different ascidians, but it proved to be genetically homogenous. Chapter 4 describes the discovery by genome mining and structural elucidation by mass spectrometry of a novel cyclic peptide in the free-living cyanobacterium Trichodesmium erythraeum.
Figure 1.1: Structures of selected natural products. Conserved features between ET-743 and saframycin B as well as pederin and onnamide A are highlighted in red.
Figure 1.2: Modular organization of non-ribosomal peptide synthases A. and polyketide synthases B. PKS reductive steps are shown in C., each module does not have the full set of these domains leading to partially reduced β-keto groups. Figure modified from [12, 30].
**Figure 1.3:** The putative first steps of bryostatin biosynthesis, from [24]. (A) Proposed pathway for D-lactate formation by the loading module. (B) The proposed series of reactions catalyzed by BryA. Curved arrow indicates lactonization. The dashed box depicts the part of bryostatin that BryA synthesizes.
Figure 1.4: Classic example of congruent phylogenetic trees in the symbiotic association of gopher and their lice, from [28].
Figure 1.5: Overview of dissertation chapters. Photos: *B. neritina* by Koty Sharp, SIO. *E. sertula* from [6]. Didemnidae (*Didemnum molle*) by Chris Ireland, UU. *T. erythraeum* by Dave Caron, USC.
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Identification of the bry polyketide synthase gene cluster from *E. sertula*: the putative biosynthetic pathway for bryostatin

Abstract

The bryostatins are protein kinase modulators with unique structural features and potential anti-cancer and neurological activities. They were isolated from the marine bryozoan *Bugula neritina*, but we suggest they are produced by a symbiotic bacterium, *Candidatus* Endobugula sertula (*E. sertula*). Here we present the putative biosynthetic genes: five modular polyketide synthase (PKS) genes, a discrete acyl transferase, a $\beta$-ketosynthase, a hydroxy-methyl-glutaryl (HMG) CoA synthase and an O-methyl transferase. The cluster was characterized in two *E. sertula* strains from different hosts. In one strain the gene cluster is contiguous, in the other strain it is split into two loci. One locus contains the PKS genes, the other the accessory genes. Here, we propose a hypothesis for the biosynthesis of the bryostatins. Using malonyl-CoA as the extender unit, thirteen PKS modules form the macrolactone ring. The methyl ester groups are added by an HMG gene cassette. The resulting hypothetical compound bryostatin 0 is the common basis for the 20 known bryostatins. As *E. sertula* is to date uncultured, the heterologous expression of this biosynthetic gene cluster represents the most viable method of producing the bioactive bryostatins in large enough quantities for development into a pharmaceutical.
Introduction

Marine invertebrates, particularly sessile ones lacking physical defenses, are rich sources of bioactive compounds. The number of compounds characterized from marine sources has increased significantly in recent years [11]. Often, symbiotic microorganisms have been proposed as the true producers due to structural similarities with known microbial compounds and in a few cases convincing arguments for marine compounds produced by symbiotic bacteria have been made [5, 29, 31]. Many bioactive compounds have strong cytotoxic, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial or antiviral activity, but the development into usable drugs is typically hampered by the scarcity of source material and/or the exceedingly low concentration of the compound. Large-scale isolation of compounds from natural sources is often environmentally detrimental and cost-prohibitive. One can envision four possible routes to provide sufficient amount of compounds: (i) aquaculture of the source animal, (ii) direct culturing of the symbiont, (iii) total chemical synthesis, and (iv) heterologous expression of biosynthetic genes in a suitable host. All four methods have potential benefits and drawbacks and the solution will presumably be different for different compounds.

The bryostatins are cyclic polyketides isolated from the marine bryozoan *Bugula neritina* [26, 27]. Their common feature is a 25-membered macrolactone ring with three component pyran rings (Figure 2.1). Bryostatin 1 has activity against a
variety of cancer cell lines (www.clinicaltrials.gov). It is in a number of phase II/III clinical trials alone and in combination with other drugs [3, 23, 25]. The effects of bryostatin 1, which modulates the activity of protein kinase C, is markedly different in different cell lines (reviewed in [25]). More recently bryostatin 1 has shown beneficial effects in countering depression and dementia in a rat model system [32] as well as enhancing long-term memory in a mollusk species [1]. One of the ecological roles of the bryostatins is to protect the host larvae from predators [19].

Complex polyketides such as the bryostatins are synthesized by modular polyketide synthases (PKS). PKSs are large multi-modular enzymes that elongate and modify the nascent polyketide in an assembly-line fashion. Each module performs one specific elongation step by adding an acyl-CoA precursor and then transferring the polyketide chain to the next module. Each module has several catalytic domains. A canonical core module consists of a $\beta$-ketoacyl synthase (KS), an acyl transferase (AT) and an acyl carrier protein (ACP) domain. The AT selects and loads the extender unit onto the ACP domain. The KS condenses the nascent polyketide received from the ACP of the previous module onto the acyl-CoA extender unit, effectively adding two carbons to the polyketide. In most characterized PKSs, the AT functionality is integrated into each PKS module, but in several systems it resides on a discrete and separate gene and there is no AT domain present in the PKS genes [4]. After the condensation step the ACP bridges the distance to the next module’s KS domain with a phosphopantetheine arm to transfer the polyketide for the next
extension step. Each module can contain different accessory domains. After extension, the β-keto group can be reduced to a hydroxyl group by a ketoreductase (KR) domain, to a trans-double bond by a dehydratase (DH) domain and to the fully saturated β-carbon by an enoyl reductase (ER) domain [8, 18]. A number of other domains are occasionally found in PKS modules for instance methyl groups are added to the carbon backbone of yersiniabactin and epothilone by methyl transferase (MT) domains [22, 24]. While in most cases to date co-linearity between the order of modules on the gene and the order of biosynthetic reactions necessary was found, there are examples where domains or entire modules are skipped or used more than once [12, 38, 39].

Modular PKSs are typically only found in bacteria, making a bacterial symbiont of *B. neritina* a prime candidate for the true biosynthetic source of the bryostatins. The Haygood group has previously identified such a bacterium “*Candidatus Endobugula sertula*” (*E. sertula*) that is consistently associated with *B. neritina*, hasn’t been found elsewhere in the surrounding water column, and is transferred vertically between generations [14]. Elimination of *E. sertula* with antibiotics leads to *B. neritina* larvae in the next generation without bryostatin [5, 19].

There are three recognized sibling species of *Bugula neritina* [6, 20], “deep”, “shallow” and Northern Atlantic, each containing a strain of the symbiont *E. sertula*. 
The species vary in their bryostatin composition. Of the 20 described bryostatins, most diversity is found in the C-7 and C-20 position (Figure 2.1). The “deep” species is normally found below ~10 meters and contains bryostatins with an octa-2,4-dienoate substituent at C-20 (among them the clinically relevant bryostatin 1), the “shallow” species, found above ~10 meters, does not contain bryostatins with this substituent. The third species found in the Northern Atlantic, has not been examined for bryostatin content. We hypothesize that a common precursor to all bryostatins is produced by a PKS in *E. sertula*.

A PKS gene fragment has been identified and localized to *E. sertula* cells [5]. More recently, an entire PKS gene, *bryA*, has been cloned from *E. sertula*. *BryA* has four PKS modules consistent with the early steps of bryostatin biosynthesis [16]. Here we present the entire ~80 kbp gene cluster proposed to code for the biosynthetic machinery to make a common precursor of the bryostatins.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample collection and genotype determination.** Samples of *Bugula neritina* were collected by SCUBA diving from three sites along the coast of San Diego CA, USA; Mission Bay (water depth ~5 m, sample not genotyped, but previous collections determined to be “shallow”), Scripps Pier (~ 7 m, CA “shallow”), Torrey Pines Artificial Reef II (~15 m, CA “deep”). A fourth sample was collected from
Radio Island Jetty in Morehead City, North Carolina (~7 m). The genotype of collected specimens was determined as described previously via a sequence polymorphism in the 16S gene [6].

**DNA isolation, cloning and sequencing.** All procedures to enrich *B. neritina* DNA preparations for *E. sertula* as well as the construction of the SuperCos I cosmid, lambda-ZAP and lambda-DASHII (Stratagene) libraries were described previously [5, 16]. Briefly, since DNA preparations from *B. neritina* contain only minor amounts of *E. sertula* DNA, different (ultra)centrifugation techniques are employed to enrich for the symbiont DNA. All libraries were constructed from partially digested genomic DNA according to manufacturer’s protocols. Four SuperCos clones spanned most of the *bry* cluster, leaving two gaps, however due to stability issues, the entire cluster was re-cloned into lambda-DASHII (insert size ~15 kb) for CA “shallow” and lambda-ZAP (insert size ~5 kb) for CA “deep” and screened using cosmid fragments as probes. 32 lambda-DASHII and >100 lambda-ZAP clones were mapped to the *bry* cluster by end sequencing, overlapping clones were picked and fully sequenced by primer walking. Sequencing was performed on ABI3100 sequencers at the UCSD Center for AIDS research and through SeqXcel Inc., San Diego. The CA “shallow” cluster was only spot sequenced (~75% coverage); the CA “deep” cluster was sequenced to 2-4 fold coverage. Reads were assembled with Sequencher (Gene Codes Corp). Three long perfect repeats made assembly challenging. Each repeat was
covered by two overlapping clones, which contained part of the adjacent non-repeat region.

A fosmid library from NC populations of *B. neritina/E. sertula* was generated using CopyControl Fosmid Library Preparation kit (Epicentre). The library was probed with portions of KS genes that had been isolated from genomic DNA. Positive fosmids (2) were subcloned into pSMART-LC Kan sequencing vector (Lucigen Corp.) and end-sequenced. Because the whole cluster was not contained on these two fosmids, probes were generated from the 5’ and 3’ ends of the cluster and used to reprobe the library. The positive fosmids were subcloned and end-sequenced. Sequences were assembled using SeqMan (Lasergene). The fosmids were sequenced to 4-5 fold coverage.

Restriction mapping and Southern blotting were performed according to established protocols as described previously [16].

**RNA isolation and reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR).** Enriched bacterial fraction RNA was isolated from Scripps Pier (“shallow”) and Torrey Pines Artificial Reef (“deep”) adult *B. neritina* as described previously [16]. RNA used for RT-PCR was purified on an RNeasy Mini Kit spin column (QIAGEN Inc.), treated
with DNase I (QIAGEN Inc.) as described by the manufacturer, and eluted with 30 µl RNase-free water (QIAGEN Inc).

RT-PCR was performed as previously described [16]. Gene specific primers BryX-DH1R (GGC GTT GCC CAG GCA ATA TGT TGC) or BryPR (ACG TGA ATG AAA GGC AGC GC) were used to generate cDNA. PCR was performed on the cDNA with the corresponding primer pair, BryX-DH1F (GCT TTA CCC TGC TAT CCT TTT GCC) and BryX-DH1R or BryPF (GTG GGC AGG GTT CAC AGC AC) and BryPR. To confirm that RT-PCR products reflected RNA content rather than DNA contamination, control RT-PCRs without reverse transcriptase were conducted for each primer pair.

**Ribonuclease Protection Assay (RPA).** In order to make transcription templates for radio-labeled RNA probes, PCR was performed on “shallow” B. neritina DNA with the following bry cluster primer pairs: bryBF (GGT GAT GCC AAG ATG ACC ACC GC) and bryBR (CAC GAT CAT GAT TTA AAC GCT G), bryCF (CTA GAT GAT GAC GAT TTA AAC GCT) and bryXR (CAG ACT GCA ACA TGC GTA AGG C), bryX-DH1F and bryX-DH1R, bryX-KS3F (CCA GCC TTT TGA TCA GTT CAA GTC) and bryX-KS3R (GGG ATC TCC TAG TTT AGT CCC AGT), bryDF (TTC ATT TAT GTG CAG GAC ACA TAC) and bryDR (CCC AGA AGC CGA TTC CAG ATG CCC AGT), bryDF (TTC ATT TAT GTG CAG GAC ACA TAC) and bryDR (CCC AGA AGC CGA TTC CAG ATG CCC), and bryDendF (CAG ATA AAC CTA TAG AAG AGA TTG) and bryAR (GTT TTT TTC GGT ATT GTC GAA TGC). bry
cluster PCR products were purified from agarose gels with a Quiaex II Kit (QIAGEN Inc.) and cloned into pCR®-TOPO® plasmids (Invitrogen) using a TOPO TA Cloning® Kit for Sequencing (Invitrogen). Following transformation into TOP10 One Shot Chemically Competent E. coli (Invitrogen), growth of transformants and isolation of plasmid DNA with a QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN Inc.), plasmids were digested with SpeI or NotI (New England Bio Labs), depending on insert orientation, so that transcription of the plasmid would terminate shortly after the insert region. The digested plasmid was then purified by standard phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. ³²P-labeled RNA probes complementary to bry cluster mRNA were transcribed with T3 RNA polymerase or T7 RNA polymerase using a MAXIscript™ In Vitro Transcription Kit (Ambion) and [³²P]UTP (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). In order to maximize the portion of full-length transcripts in the RPA probe, the product of the transcription reaction was run on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel (6% acrylamide, 8M urea, 1X TBE), cut out of the gel and incubated in Probe Elution Buffer (Ambion) overnight at 37°C. 5 µg of enriched bacterial fraction RNA from “shallow” B. neritina and 8x10⁻⁴ cpm of labeled RNA probe were used with a Hybspeed RPA Kit (Ambion) for each RPA. In the RPA, the RNA probe hybridized with its complement from the genomic RNA. Upon the addition of RNase A/T1, the single-stranded RNA was degraded leaving only the double-stranded probe-target hybrid, which is protected. The products were run on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel (6% acrylamide, 8M urea, 1X TBE) and exposed to X-ray film at -80°C.
Results

The bry gene cluster – Overview. The construction and screening of “E. sertula” enriched B. neritina DNA libraries has previously been reported [16]. Briefly, a gene fragment from “E. sertula” amplified using degenerate primers for KS domains was used to identify four overlapping cosmids of a B. neritina DNA library. Due to instability upon propagation these cosmids could not be characterized. Subcloned fragments of the cosmid inserts were used as probes to repeatedly screen two libraries under high-stringency conditions: a lambda-ZAP library of California “deep, E. sertula-enriched” B. neritina and a North Carolina “shallow” B. neritina fosmid library. The clones identified were sequenced, assembled and annotated. The identified genes cluster with the modular PKS gene bryA we reported previously [16] and together constitute the entire putative bryostatin biosynthesis cluster. With one notable exception (see below) the arrangement of the genes is identical between “deep” and “shallow” strains of E. sertula. The sequence identity is high (~99%). A draft sequence was also obtained from CA “shallow” and proved to be virtually identical to NC “shallow” (data not shown).

The bry gene cluster has two parts: Five genes (bryA-D and X) totaling 71 kb coding for modular PKSs and four genes (bryP-S) totaling 6 kb with accessory functions: an acyl transferase, a discrete β-ketosynthase, a hydroxy-methyl-glutaryl CoA synthase and an O-methyl transferase (Figure 2.2 and table 2.1, function
proposed from BLAST searches). In CA/NC “shallow” *E. sertula* the accessory genes are directly upstream of the large PKS genes but in opposite orientation. In CA “deep” *E. sertula* a transposase lies directly upstream of the PKS, and although the accessory genes are present, their position relative to the PKS is unknown. They are not within ~30 kb upstream or ~5 kb downstream of the PKS genes as determined by draft quality sequencing of these regions (data not shown). There is a second transposase upstream of *bryP-R*. It is interesting to note, that the region directly upstream of *bryB* and *bryP* is extremely AT-rich (~75% over 200 nt) and hence susceptible to genomic rearrangement. Both parts of the cluster have coincident direction of transcription. The PKS genes have very small intergenic regions (0-6 bp) except for *bryX* to *bryA* (134 bp).

A peculiar feature of the PKS genes is the presence of three large (~4 kb) perfect repeats. The first repeat spans the first quarter of *bryB* and *C*, the second repeat is directly adjacent to the first in *bryB*, but about 6kb apart in *bryC*. The third repeat is found in the latter half of *bryD* and *A* (Figure 2.2). None of the repeat regions span genes. While the GC% is generally low at ~40%, it is notably higher in the repeat regions (~49%). The arrangement of genes has been confirmed by a series of Southern Blots and long-range PCRs (data not shown).
Downstream of the PKS cluster we found ~3 kb of truncated ORFs and hypothetical protein genes followed by a glutathione reductase gene, defining one end of the bry cluster. The gene density is notably lower in this area compared to the bry cluster. In the “deep” CA strain of E. sertula, there is a transposase directly upstream of the 5 large PKS genes bryA-D+X (Figure 2.2A). Upstream of the transposase there are a number of potential pseudogenes, primary metabolism genes and relatively long intergenic regions, but no homologies to bryP-S or the sequences surrounding these genes in the “shallow” E. sertula strains. In both strains of E. sertula, bryS is followed by a series of general oxidoreductase genes of unknown function (Figure 2.2A).

**Domain analysis.** The best-matching homologs for the entire bry PKS cluster are the Bacillus subtilis pksM genes [17] and the Paederus fuscipes symbiont ped genes [28]. BlastP [2] analysis on the NCBI server (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast) allowed the definition of catalytic domains and modules within the “E. sertula” PKS genes. BryA contains 4 modules thought to initiate bryostatin biosynthesis and has been described previously [16]. BryB and C, the first two of the 5 large PKS genes also contain four modules each (M4-11). bryX and D have two full modules each as well as a number of single domains (see table 2.1). We propose that the first KR-ACP (M11a) and the first complete modules of bryD (M12), as well as either the non-ribosomal peptide synthase domain (NRPS) condensation domain in bryD or the TE domain in bryX are used in bryostatin biosynthesis (Figure 2.1), while the other domains of bryX are inactive.
The first repeat in bryBC (Figure 2.2A) contains only a single KS domain. The second repeat contains a DH, MT, ACP and KS. The third repeat in bryDA contains the C-terminal region of a KS, DH, KR, ACP and the N-terminal region of another KS. Repeats are generally thought of as regions of increased genetic recombination and this domain content could allow “re-shuffling” of the PKS modules (see discussion).

The bry PKS genes lack integrated AT domains in each module. BryP could function as a discrete acyl transferase bringing a malonyl CoA extender unit to each of the 12 modules. This type of AT mechanism has been proposed in the closely related ped cluster and demonstrated biochemically in leinamycin biosynthesis [4]. BryP contains two distinct AT domains, the second domain has the complete active site residues GHS….R, in the first domain R is mutated to Q. The specificity motif defined for integrated ATs (YASH for methylmalonyl-CoA extension and HAFH for malonyl-CoA extension [7]) is only present partially in the first domain (HGFH), but closer to the expected extender unit.

bry cluster transcription. Two very sensitive techniques, reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) and ribonuclease protection assays (RPAs) and were used to detect transcripts from the bry cluster in RNA preparations from B. neritina.
The presence of \textit{bry}X transcripts in “shallow” \textit{B. neritina} and \textit{bry}P transcripts in “deep” \textit{B. neritina} was demonstrated with RT-PCR (Figure 2.2B). \textit{bry}B, \textit{bry}C, \textit{bry}X, \textit{bry}D and \textit{bry}A transcripts were detected in shallow type \textit{B. neritina} RNA with RPAs (\textit{bry}C and \textit{X} in Figure 2.2C as examples). These results are consistent with transcription of the entire \textit{bry} cluster in \textit{B. neritina}.

**Discussion**

\textbf{Bryostatin biosynthesis.} We have shown previously that the \textit{bry} PKS cluster is the only large PKS cluster present in both shallow and deep strains of \textit{E. sertula} [16]. The presence of such large open reading frames in an otherwise degenerate genome (as judged from \textasciitilde35 kb of DNA sequence surrounding the PKS cluster) and the demonstration of transcription throughout the region suggest that these genes are functional. We propose the following model for the biosynthesis of a bryostatin precursor (Figure 2.3): Biosynthesis starts with \textit{bry}A as described previously [16]. The next four modules (M4-7) come from \textit{bry}B, the first PKS gene in the cluster extending the chain from 9 to 17 carbons. A methyl transferase domain in M4 introduces the first characteristic gem-dimethyl group. The successive use of a single MT domain has also been suggested in pederin [28] and yersiniabactin biosynthesis [22], in the latter it is supported by biochemical evidence. In addition to the MT M4 also contains a DH, which lacks the HxxxGxxxxP signature motif and therefore should be inactive. M9, where the second gem-dimethyl group is introduced (see below) has a similarly modified DH, while M3 and M7, which both also have MT
domains do not. Incidentally in our biosynthetic scheme, we assume that the MT in M3 and M7 are inactive. Therefore the M4 and M9 DH may be involved in the methyl transferase mechanism.

*BryC* also contains four modules (M8-11), which extend the chain to 25 carbons. M8 contains KS-DH-PS-KR-ACP, introducing a second double bond. The pyran synthase (PS) region has 26% identity over ~500 amino acids to a region in module 5 of *pedF* (where it is annotated as a dehydratase domain). Strikingly the dehydratase domain in module 5 of *pedF* and the PS region in module 8 of *bryC* are both in the correct position to catalyze the formation of the tetrahydro-pyran ring that pederin and bryostatin have in common. Analogous to Piel [28], we propose the bryostatin pyran ring from C11 to C15 is formed by a Michael type reaction catalyzed by the newly defined pyran synthase domain. The double bond between C11 and C12 is attacked by the nucleophilic oxygen on C15, leading to an intermediate state with a shifted double bond and a hydroxy group on C9, which can then rearrange to form the functionality found in bryostatin (see box Figure 2.3). In M9 a MT domain introduces the second gem-dimethyl group.

The next gene *bryX* is skipped; its function is unclear (see below). After module 11, the first incomplete module of *bryD* consisting of KR and ACP (M11a) would reduce the beta-keto group left untouched by module 11 proper. This “division
of labor” with one module doing the extension and the next doing the reductive step is very unusual, it could be achieved if there is an equilibrium between the ACP in module 11 and 11a, that allows the transfer of the polyketide directly from one ACP to the next without a keto-synthase step. The next complete module on \textit{bryD} is proposed to do the final extension step.

We propose that the NRPS condensation domain in \textit{bryD} together with the adjacent KS or the TE domain in \textit{bryX} then cyclizes the molecule from C1 to C25. Two 5 carbon pyran rings form by the condensation of a keto and a hydroxyl group from C19 to C23 and C5 to C9. A further maturation step is the addition of two exomethylene groups on C13 and C21. The exomethylene groups are proposed to be synthesized by \textit{bryR} and \textit{S}. The HMG-CoA synthase \textit{bryR} would condense acetate onto the keto groups at C13 and 21, after dehydration the carboxylic acid group would be methylated by the O-Methyl transferase activity of \textit{bryS} (Figure 2.4). A similar function for HMG-CoA synthase homologs has been proposed in jamaicamide [9] and mupirocin [10] biosynthesis. It is interesting to note that during polyketide synthesis, when C13 and C21 carry the β-keto groups, a MT domain is present in the active modules 3 and 7. There is no methyl transfer needed in these steps, however the MT domain could activate the keto group to facilitate the HMG-CS reaction. Alternatively the MT in M3 and M7 could simply be inactive and the maturation steps could happen before or after the polyketide is released from the ACP. The resulting compound bryostatin 0 (Figure 2.4) is hypothetical, but is a plausible
common basis for the 20 known bryostatins. In all bryostatins (with the exception of bryostatin 3, 19 and 20 which have a further lactonization) further modifications are restricted to C7 and C20 (Figure 2.1). The mechanism (or even the physical location) of further maturation into these compounds remains to be investigated.

The model described here implies that the rules for co-linearity between genes and their proteins in PKS can be violated. The order in which biosynthesis happens is different from the gene order, namely bryA, the last gene in the cluster is the first gene in the biosynthetic scheme and bryX may be skipped. While the presence of an uninterrupted ORF of this size in both strains of E. sertula as well as our RT-PCR data shows that this gene is important, the domain content of bryX is enigmatic. A few functions required to produce complete bryostatins aren’t apparent in the cluster, including the formation of C7/C20 substituents and phosphopantetheinylation but the domain structure of bryX does not appear to be appropriate for these functions (except for the TE domain as a potential longer-chain acyl transferase). Also bryX is identical in both “deep” and “shallow” E. sertula, which consistently have different suites of bryostatins.

The large repeats are unprecedented in PKS genes to our knowledge. They do suggest an attractive mechanism as to how variations in secondary metabolites could be achieved by recombination events. For example, if the second repeat were deleted,
the preceding KS of module 4 would be in the right position to interact with the now orphaned reductive domains of module 5. The other way around, if this repeat were inserted at the right position between a KS and an ACP in a hypothetical module, the newly fused gene would then contain two fully fledged modules, where the KS of the original module would need to interact with the repeat’s ACP, and the repeat’s KS would interact with the original ACP. The boundary of the third repeat is within a KS domain. Judging by the position of catalytic residues relative to the repeat’s boundary deletion or insertion events would not lead to new functional KS domains.

One interesting aspect of the repeats found in the *bry* cluster is that KS domains are generally thought to be relatively specific to the chain length of their substrate. We propose that KSs identical in sequence perform in module 4/8 and module 5/10. It is possible that the formation of the tetrahydro pyran rings can mask the true chain length and allow identical KSs to catalyze reactions on such different substrates. Much further biochemical research is needed to test the biosynthetic hypotheses presented here.

Functional heterologous expression of the entire *bry* cluster would provide the hypothetical compound bryostatin 0 (Figure 2.4), differing from bryostatin 1 in C7 and C20 substituents. This compound contains all pharmacophore elements as proposed by Wender [35, 36]. C7 has been shown to be not relevant for activity. C20 substituents have recently been proposed as “tunable elements” to modify bryostatin
potency [33]. A chemical synthesis pathway for C20 modification of bryostatin 0 would presumably be difficult due to steric congestion leading to undesired side products [33]. It may be possible to simply overpower this problem with a cheap and basically unlimited supply of bryostatin 0 followed by separation techniques to isolate products with the correct bryostatin 1 substitution at C20. Alternatively, identification and functional expression of the additional biosynthetic genes from either host or symbiont would allow the direct production of authentic bryostatin 1.

The sequence data presented is the first step towards solving the bryostatin supply problem using a biosynthetic route. There are substantial technical hurdles to overcome: The bry PKS cluster are enormous in size, which makes cloning challenging and functional expression of the entire cluster daunting. Also, the low %GC of the bry cluster could be challenging for a heterologous host to translate, as well as making long stretches of DNA unstable and prone to recombination. Finally as discussed above, some genes in bryostatin biosynthesis have not been identified. In spite of these potential difficulties expressing the biosynthetic genes in a heterologous host would be very cost effective to provide a virtually unlimited supply of authentic bryostatin. The bry genes also have the potential to be used in a combinatorial biosynthesis approach. By deleting or modifying modules or domains variations of bryostatin could be produced and complement the chemically synthesized “bryologs” currently being developed. This compares favorably with the other potential routes to bryostatin: Aquaculture of B. neritina to produce bryostatins while technically
feasible has proven to be not cost-effective [21]. Direct culturing of obligate-
symbiotic bacteria is notoriously difficult because typically the bacteria have a hard
time adapting to conditions outside of the narrow range provided by the host. No
exception to this rule, *E. sertula* has proven unculturable so far. While total chemical
synthesis of bryostatin is possible [13] its practical use is limited because of the >70
steps needed. In our opinion the synthesis of simpler analogues based on the
pharmacologically active part of the molecule [37] is the other promising route. It has
already lead to a number of compounds with interesting activities [34]. A potential
drawback is, that each new analogue (chemically synthesized or derived from
combinatorial biosynthesis) presumably has to be evaluated again in clinical trials
since it is not authentic bryostatin 1.

Genomic rearrangement. The major difference between the two *bry* clusters
presented in this study is the separation of *bryABCDX* from *bryPQRS* in “deep”
*E. sertula*. The transposase genes found directly upstream of these two gene sets
strongly suggests transposons as the cause of rearrangement. Since the two
transposases upstream of *bryB* and *bryP* are not identical, multiple transposition
events must have taken place. The acyl transferase activity of *bryP* is integral for PKS
function, so we assume the gene arrangement found in “shallow” *E. sertula* with all
*bry* genes in one locus is ancestral. The fragmentation of a PKS pathway is not
unprecedented. The *ped* genes are found in three loci in the *Paederus fuscipes*
symbiont genome [29, 30], while the closely related *onn* genes [29] are clustered. The
ped loci are also bordered by transposase-like sequences. A symbiotic lifestyle generally leads to genome degradation and an increased percentage of transposases. It is tempting to speculate, that the strictly symbiotic lifestyle of \textit{E. sertula} has also led to the fragmentation of the putative bryostatin biosynthesis pathway.

**Conclusions.** The \textit{bry} cluster described in this paper is an example of a PKS cluster found in a marine invertebrate that is implicated in the production of a bioactive compound originally isolated from the host animal. While the organization of the genes and lack of appropriately sized PKS genes elsewhere in the genome makes us reasonably sure that the \textit{bry} cluster is responsible for bryostatin production, there is no direct evidence. This would require either culturing and mutational studies of \textit{E. sertula} or heterologous expression of all (or at least significant parts) of the \textit{bry} genes. As described above, both of these tasks are formidable. The \textit{bry} genes share a surprising number of features with the pederin/onamide clusters: domains from these clusters are usually the best hits in blastP searches after the \textit{B. subtilis pks} genes, both clusters have methyl transferases, discrete ATs and in the case of onamide HMG-CoA synthases. They also share a domain that presumably is responsible for the formation of a tetrahydro pyran ring via a Michael type reaction mechanism. It is interesting to note that pederin/onnamide is a mixed NRPS/PKS system, while bryostatin is pure PKS suggesting that nature is able to mix and match the two systems easily. There are three long perfect repeats in the \textit{bry} cluster. While their borders do not exactly coincide with PKS modules, the recombination events
commonly associated with repeated stretches of DNA hint at a mechanism how modular PKS genes can quickly evolve. In conclusion, the bry cluster has some unique and interesting features and we provide some testable hypotheses for its function opening a rich field of further study.

***********

The bryostatin project was a long-running collaborative effort. Laura Waggoner, Nicole Lopanik, Mark Hildebrand and Haibin Liu took part in the DNA sequencing and annotation effort as well as developing the biosynthetic hypothesis. Christine Anderson contributed all RNA data. David Sherman provided valuable input to the manuscript.
Table 2.1: Genes and PKS domains in the bry cluster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Size (amino acids)</th>
<th>Predicted catalytic domains and modules or function</th>
<th>homologs (blastP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| bryA  | 4888              | L: DHh-KRh-FkbH-ACP  
1: KS-KR-ACP  
2: KS-KR-ACP  
3: KS-(MT)-ACP  
4: KS-DH*-MT-ACP  
5: KS-DH-KR-ACP  
6: KS-DH*-KR-ACP  
7: KS-(MT)-ACP  
8: KS-DH-PS-KR-ACP  
9: KS-DH*-MT-ACP  
10: KS-KR-ACP  
11: KS-ACP (MT-ACP)  
12: KS-DH*-KR-ACP | B. subtilis PKS, Paederus fuscipes symbiont ped cluster |
| bryB  | 5511              | 1: KS-KR-ACP  
2: KS-KR-ACP  
3: KS-(MT)-ACP  
4: KS-DH*-MT-ACP  
5: KS-DH-KR-ACP  
6: KS-DH*-KR-ACP | |
| bryC  | 5381              | 7: KS-(MT)-ACP  
8: KS-DH-PS-KR-ACP  
9: KS-DH*-MT-ACP  
10: KS-KR-ACP  
11: KS-ACP (MT-ACP)  
12: KS-DH*-KR-ACP | |
| bryX  | 3476              | 11a: KR-ACP  
12: KS-DH*-KR-ACP | |
| bryD  | 4396              | KS-ACP-NRPS  
TE-KS  
11a: KR-ACP  
12: KS-DH*-KR-ACP | |
| bryP  | 632               | KS-ACP-NRPS | B. subtilis AT (pksC) |
| bryQ  | 405               | AT-AT  
KS | B. subtilis pksF |
| bryR  | 419               | KS  
HMG-CS  
O-MT | B. subtilis HMG-CS (pksG) |
| bryS  | 364               | KS  
HMG-CS  
O-MT | Microbulbifer sp. SAM-dependent methyl transferase |

* active site mutated, proposed to be inactive (italics), role in bryostatin biosynthesis unclear

KS = beta-keto synthase, KR = ketoreductase, DH = dehydratase, ACP = acyl carrier protein, MT = methyl transferase, TE = thioesterase, AT = acyl transferase, HMG-CS = hydroxymethyl glutaryl CoA synthase

The loading module (L) has some unique domains, DHh and KRh are DH and KR-like sequences, together with the FkbH domain they are proposed to catalyze the formation of the unusual D-lactate starter unit as described previously [16]. Module 8 contains a unique domain, proposed to form the tetrahydro pyran ring from C11-C15.
Figure 2.1: Structure of bryostatins, modified from [5]. Bryostatin 10 has been shown to be a feeding deterrent in *B. neritina* larvae and probably is the ecologically significant compound. Bryostatin 1 is currently in clinical trials for a variety of cancer treatments.
Figure 2.2: (A) The bry cluster in “deep” and “shallow” E. sertula. Modular polyketide synthase genes in blue, genes with accessory function in yellow and transposases in black. Genes with primary metabolism homologs in open arrows. The red, green and black striped regions are perfect repeats. Black bars approximate PKS modules involved in bryostatin biosynthesis. The two possible off-loading domains, a thioesterase in bryX and a NRPS condensation domain in bryD are circled (not to scale). Transcripts were detected by RT-PCR (*) or RPAs (↑) in native B. neritina RNA samples. The %GC plot shows higher values in repeats. (B) RT-PCR products from bryX (“shallow” B. neritina DNA) and bryP (“deep” B. neritina DNA) in which reverse transcriptase was included (+), showing the presence of transcript, or omitted (-), demonstrating the absence of DNA contamination, in the RT-PCR protocol. (C) BryCF/BryXR RPA products in which RNase A/T1 was included (+), demonstrating the presence of transcript, or omitted (-), showing the full length of the labeled RNA probe. The RPA products of the other genes are omitted.
Figure 2.3: Proposed pathway for bryostatin biosynthesis. Functional arrangement of domains and the intermediate polyketides are shown. Arrows denote genes, PKS modules are designated by L and 1-12, and their domains are listed. Box details the formation of the pyran ring via Michael reaction. Abbreviations see Table 2.1.
**Figure 2.4:** Maturation steps after PKS assembly. The order of maturation steps is arbitrary. Top row: HMG-CS pathway to form exomethylene groups.

Further modification on C7+20 to form the 20 known bryostatins
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3. Diversity of the symbiotic cyanobacterium Prochloron sp. in didemnid ascidians

Abstract

Prochloron sp. is the cyanobacterial symbiont of a tropical ascidian family, the didemnids. By 16S rRNA gene and 16S-23S internally transcribed spacer region sequencing and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis the diversity of Prochloron sp. from different didemnid species was explored. Multiple Prochloron strains were identified in a single didemnid colony, which accounts for the mixture of natural products found in the ascidians. Prochloron showed surprising genetic homogeneity (>99%) over considerable geographical distances as well as between host species suggesting continuous horizontal exchange of symbionts despite of reports of vertical transmission.
Introduction

Ascidians are sessile, filter-feeding, marine invertebrates. The ascidian tunic, a structural support and protective layer consisting of cellulose, is a unique adaptation in the animal kingdom. Traditionally ascidians, cephalochordata and vertebrata make up the phylum chordata, although typical chordate signatures like notochord, dorsal neural tube and muscular tail are only found in the larval stages of ascidians [29]. Recent molecular studies showing a high degree of divergence between the ascidians and the other two members of the phylum, lead to the proposal of a new phylum “tunicata” separate from the chordates [31]. Ascidians in the family didemnidae are colonial; thousands of individual animals (zooids) share a common tunic. The didemnidae are globally distributed, although most species diversity is found in tropical waters [12], where they form a major component of the filter feeding communities in sublittoral locations [13]. 500 species in eight genera have been described [13, 14]. Four genera, *Didemnum*, *Lissoclinum*, *Trididemnum* and *Diplosoma* contain species that bear the bacterial symbiont *Prochloron sp.*

*Prochloron sp.* is a cyanobacterium with unusual pigment composition [15]. It contains chlorophyll A and B, but none of the phycobilins typical for cyanobacteria. Cells are spherical and fairly large (~15 µm, Figure 3.1C). *Prochloron sp.* is typically found in tropical didemnids, where it makes up a significant part of the biomass (Figure 3.1) [16]. It has also been reported to be associated with sponges and other ascidians, often as an epibiont (Ralph Lewin, personal communication). Recently, *Prochloron* has been identified in stromatolites from Western Australia [3].
Prochloron cells have occasionally been reported in the water column [16]. Despite prolonged efforts, Prochloron remains uncultivated [16]. Full genome sequencing of Prochloron sp. has recently been completed, genome annotation is currently in progress (a collaborative effort of The Institute of Genomic Research: Jacques Ravel, M.J. Rosovitz and Jonathan Eisen; University of Utah: Mohamed Donia and Eric Schmidt; Scripps Institution of Oceanography: Christine Anderson, Sebastian Sudek and Margo Haygood; Station Biologique Roscoff, France: Frederic Partensky).

The nature of the symbiosis between Prochloron and the host didemnid is not completely resolved. Potential advantages for Prochloron are shelter, supply of ammonia and UV-protection. One advantage for the host is clearly nutritional. Prochloron sp. has been shown to contribute a significant portion of the reduced carbon used in host respiration (30-56% in L. patella under high-light conditions) [2] and the growth of didemnid colonies increases under elevated light conditions [20]. The symbiont may also provide a chemical defense through the synthesis of natural products (see below). Contrary to an earlier report [11], Prochloron is probably not involved in nitrogen fixation as the characteristic nif genes are absent from the genome (unpublished results, The Prochloron Genome Team).

The location and distribution of Prochloron sp. differs depending on the host (Figure 3.2). It has been found extracellular in the tunic, lining the cloacal cavity, or even intracellular (in L. punctatum) [10, 16]. In the genus Diplosoma, vertical transmission between ascidian generations has been shown. The larvae have a
specialized organ, the rastrum, to collect some Prochloron from the parental colony into a special pouch [9]. In the other genera, patches of Prochloron can be associated with the exterior of the larval tail [30]. Both mechanisms ensure that the daughter colony has a starting culture of the Prochloron symbiont.

A diverse group of amino acid-derived compounds has been isolated from didemnids [27]. One family, the patellamides are cyclic peptides. They can be rationalized as containing two elements, each having the sequence thiazole-nonpolar amino acid-oxazoline-non polar amino acid. The elements can be identical as in patellamide A or different (while following the described template) as in patellamide C (Figure 3.3, [8]). The patellamides are mildly cytotoxic and some have been reported to reverse cancer multidrug resistance [8]. Patellamide C has no significant effect as a chemical defense against predation by coral reef fish [18], but this does not exclude the possibility that other patellamides are more active or active against different kinds of predators. While the patellamides are evenly distributed throughout the didemnid colony [25], they have been shown to originate in Prochloron sp. [26]. Biosynthesis of the patellamides is ribosomal via a microcin-like pathway. A precursor protein PatE, containing the sequence for two compounds (see chapter 4, Figure 3.2) is modified by dedicated enzymes leading to the mature products [26]. The diversity of patellamides is achieved by a remarkable mechanism: point mutations in the precursor protein gene were found specifically in the region where the sequence for the mature product is located, while the rest of the pathway is unchanged (Donia et al., unpublished results).
This project investigated the phylogenetic diversity of Prochloron and how it is related to chemical diversity and host speciation. Based on literature reports suggesting a vertical mode of transmission, we hypothesized that cospeciation (i.e. parallel and co-dependent speciation indicated by congruent phylogenetic trees) between the didemnids and Prochloron sp. would be detectable.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection. Didemnid samples (table 3.1) were collected in Palau (2002) and Papua New Guinea (2004) and stored in RNAlater by Eric Schmidt (University of Utah). Lissoclinum patella and Didemnum molle could be identified by their characteristic morphology in the field (Figure 3.1), sample 05-49 was identified as Diplosoma virens by 18S rRNA gene sequencing in the Schmidt lab, four other didemnids could not be identified by either method and are listed as unknown. These samples (05-31, 42, 45 and 46) are not L. patella or D. molle based on morphology. An unidentified sponge containing Prochloron sp. was also collected (sample 05-38).

DNA isolation. The Prochloron-containing part of the tunic (as determined by bright green coloration) was dissected out, and ~300 mg was minced using a razor blade. DNA was isolated using the DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s protocol with the following modifications: The amount of resuspension and lysis buffers was doubled and the lysis step was run overnight. The
presence of purified high-molecular weight DNA was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The yield varied between 50 ng and 1 µg.

**Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE).** DGGE was performed following established protocols [7] using the Bio-Rad DCode system. Briefly, a 16S gene fragment was PCR amplified with universal primers 1055f and 1392r with GC clamp. PCR products were loaded onto the gradient gels with 30-70% denaturant. The gel was stained with SYBR Gold (Molecular Probes Inc.), and bands were visualized with UV illumination and excised from the gel. The gel matrix was mechanically disrupted and the DNA extracted into 20 µl of ddH2O in an overnight incubation at 37 C. One microliter was used as the template to reamplify the bands with the same primers for DNA sequencing.

**16S rRNA gene and 16S-23S internal transcribed spacer (ITS) analysis.** The Prochloron 16S rRNA gene sequence was determined by two protocols. DNA was amplified (Roche, Taq polymerase) with general eubacterial primers 27f and 1492r, TOPO-TA (Invitrogen) cloned according to the manufacturer’s protocol and sequenced (SeqXcel Inc.). In some samples a significant percentage of clones turned out to contain 16S rRNA genes of unrelated bacteria, presumably originating from seawater in the ascidian body cavities. In these cases, a colony PCR was run with the Prochloron-specific primers pr16Sf and pr16Sr. Multiple positive clones per sample were selectively grown in liquid media, the plasmid re-isolated (QIAGEN) and sequenced with the general primers.
To skip the time-consuming cloning step, in the second protocol two separate PCR reactions were run for each sample using the primer pairs 27f + pr16Sr and pr16Sf + 1492r (Figure 3.4). The overlapping products were sequenced using the same primers and sequences assembled to re-constitute the quasi-full length 16S gene. In most samples double peaks in the sequencing chromatograms indicated single nucleotide polymorphisms. All 16S rRNA PCR reactions were run using Taq polymerase under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 minutes, 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 52°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 1 min, and final elongation at 72°C for 7 min with the following template DNA dilutions: 1x, 1/10x, 1/100x.

The 16S-23S internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) was amplified using the primer pair pr16Sf and 23S115r (Figure 3.4). The product was sequenced using the same primer and 1055f as an internal primer. The ITS PCR reactions were run as described for 16S with the following modifications: annealing temperature 54°C and elongation time 2 min.

Sequence reads were assembled with Sequencher (Gene Codes Corp.)

**Phylogenetic analysis.** Sequences were aligned using ClustalX [28]. The ends of the alignment were trimmed to avoid bias by longer sequences having unaligned parts. A total of 1209 characters were used for 16S and 462 for ITS. Phylogenetic trees were calculated using PAUP (Sinauer Associates) for maximum likelihood under the
Results

The diversity of the two Palauan samples (see table 3.1) was explored using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE). PCR products obtained with universal bacterial primers were run on a denaturing gel, with which separation depends on the nucleotide sequence of the PCR product (Figure 3.5). In both samples, a single major band was present and identified as Prochloron sp. through sequencing (identical to Genbank accession number X63141). Some weak bands were also present in the reef sample, and their sequence was shown to be identical to the major band. These bands are probably caused by incomplete denaturation of the PCR product. The DGGE results indicate, that Prochloron is overwhelmingly dominant in the Palau DNA preparations. This is consistent with light microscopy studies, where only the characteristic Prochloron cell type was observed. At the level of DGGE, there seemed to be no diversity of Prochloron strains.

To further study this question, Prochloron 16S rRNA gene sequences were amplified using general and Prochloron-specific primers from the Papua New Guinea samples. A total of 13 samples from seven different didemnid species and one sponge (table 3.1) were found to be >99.5% identical (Figure 3.5 and 3.6). To explore the diversity within a single host, the 16S rRNA gene of sample PNG05-019 was
amplified with the general primers 27f and 1492r and cloned into the TOPO vector. Eight Prochloron 16S rRNA genes were sequenced leading to 4 different sequences (>99.5% identity). It is interesting to note that in pairwise alignment, the sequences from PNG05-019 are not closer to each other than to the other sequences from different hosts (Figure 3.6).

The 23S-16S internally transcribed region should be mutating more rapidly than the 16S rRNA gene. This region is cut out of the rRNA precursor to yield mature 16S and 23S rRNA and so there are fewer functional constraints compared to the 16S rRNA, which needs to fold properly for a functioning ribosome. Using pr16Sf with the general primer 23S119r, the ITS of five samples was amplified and sequenced. The Prochloron ITS is 462 nucleotides long. It contains a single tRNA gene (Figure 3.4). Sequence diversity between the Prochloron samples was again found to be low (overall >97% identity). Surprisingly, there were almost no differences between most of the samples (3 mutations over 462 nucleotides) except for the sequences obtained from sample 05-031 (an additional 13 mutations). These variations clustered around nucleotide 210 and 305 (Figure 3.7).

The low diversity found in all Prochloron sp. and for both gene regions raises the question if all Prochloron sp. are really identical and the variation is caused by nucleotide misincorporation during PCR, especially considering that the environmental DNA preparations may contain small molecules interfering with the polymerase reaction (DNA sequencing errors can be discounted because samples were
sequenced with long overlaps and often multiple times, confirming the variations). While the occurrence of PCR misincorporations cannot be excluded for all sequence variations, some variations are certainly natural mutations based on two arguments: sometimes a particular nucleotide was different in multiple samples, suggesting a variable position in the gene (Figure 3.7). Furthermore, a certain part of the ITS region (Figure 3.8) had a high occurrence of variations. One would expect PCR misincorporations to be randomly distributed.

Initially a phylogenetic tree of the 16S sequences was constructed using the neighbor-joining algorithm. The tree grouped the Prochloron 16S sequences from *L. patella* (and one *D. molle*) together, the “unknown host” (which are not *L. patella* or *D. molle*) formed a second clade and the sequences from *D. molle* a third. The bootstrap support for this tree was too low to be convincing (data not shown). A second phylogenetic tree of the 16S sequences was constructed using Bayesian analysis with *Synechocystis* clone 29P18 as an outgroup. All taxa are unresolved with the exception of 05-025 and 05-034, both from *D. molle*, which clade together with good posterior probability support (Figure 3.9). Similar results were obtained using the maximum likelihood algorithm. The high degree of identity (17 informative sites out of 1209) doesn’t give enough resolution to establish separate clades. The ITS sequences (which also include about ~one third of the 16S rRNA gene, see methods section) also show polytomy. Only the sequences from sample PNG05-31 form a separate clade (Figure 3.10).
Discussion

All Prochloron 16S rRNA sequences known to date are ~99% identical. This includes sequences obtained in this study from Papua New Guinea and Palau didemnids, and sequences in Genbank from a Palau didemnid and Australian stromatolites (the stromatolite sequences are only ~550 bp long). This homogeneity is surprising considering they come from different locations within the Indo-Pacific, different host ascidians (and a sponge) and even from stromatolites. All efforts to construct a phylogeny have given inconclusive results due to the low number of informative characters. Surprisingly the 16S-23S ITS did not show higher divergence and does not yield higher phylogenetic resolution. A previous study based on DNA-dependent RNA polymerase sequences found divergence between five Prochloron sp. samples [21] (see below).

Working on the symbiosis of vesicomyid clams with chemoautotrophic proteobacteria Peek et al propose cospeciation based on congruent phylogenetic trees as well as the timing of cladogenic events. The amount of divergence they find within symbionts (3.9%) equals to ~100 million years as an independent lineage (they assume a divergence rate of 2% per 50 million years). This coincides with the age of the oldest fossilized vesicomyid clams [22].

Hirose et al. have recently published an extensive analysis of didemnid phylogeny based on 18S rRNA sequences [30]. Based on their phylogenetic trees, the
didemnid ascidians have speciated between ~175-350 million years ago, assuming a mutation rate of 1-2% per 50 million years in the 18S gene [19]. There is no fossil record of the didemnid ascidians, but the earliest ascidian fossils are believed to be 520 million years old [4]. The bacterial 16S rRNA gene is thought to mutate at the same rate as 18S rRNA gene [19], the amount of variation in the 16S rRNA genes found in this study (<0.5%) is therefore much less than what should be expected if the didemnids and *Prochloron* had cospeciated. A possible explanation for our data is that the mutation rate of the *Prochloron* 16S rRNA gene is much lower and therefore the variation is too little to show cospeciation. A more convincing possibility is that there is no cospeciation. *Prochloron* would be continually exchanged between didemnids (and stromatolites!) through horizontal transfer. One would expect there to be a pool of free-living *Prochloron*, so that genetic exchange is rapid enough to explain the homogeneity over large geographical distances. In this context it would be instructive to study Caribbean *Prochloron* sp., since current research is focused on the Indo-Pacific.

Single *Prochloron* cells have been found in the water column around ascidians, but have never been reported in open ocean water, despite of efforts to catalogue microbial diversity using molecular biology techniques. It is hard to estimate how rare (and therefore overlooked) *Prochloron* could be while still maintaining genetic homogeneity at least across the Indo-Pacific. A possible explanation is the existence of a *Prochloron* resting stage that is not characteristic under the microscope, maybe even
resistant to common DNA extraction methods (there is no precedence in cyanobacteria to our knowledge).

Cospeciation of bacteria and host is usually found in systems with vertical transmission (for example vesicomyid clams described above), whereas in horizontal transmission systems little bacterial divergence is apparent. For example, vestiminiferan tubeworms have symbiont-free juvenile stages. The adults are in an obligate symbiosis with chemoautotrophic $\gamma$-proteobacteria. Feldman et al. have shown that there is little divergence of symbionts in hot vent vestiminiferans, even among different tubeworm species and over long geographic distances based on 16S rRNA gene sequences [6]. This situation is clearly reminiscent of the findings in this study. Considering the evidence for vertical transmission of Prochloron sp., the following scenario is possible: Vertical and horizontal transmission are not mutually exclusive. All (or most) didemnids supply their larvae with a starter culture of photosynthetic Prochloron sp., clearly a nutritional advantage. Yet, Prochloron cells are also recruited (and expelled) in later life stages without specificity for didemnid species. Some form of understanding between host and symbiont in spe is needed as roaming Prochloron has to pass the digestive tract to arrive in the cloacal cavity, where the symbiont resides in most didemnids (Figure 3.2). If a Prochloron cell gets detached from the cloacal cavity wall it may be expelled with the water flow. Occasionally these wandering Prochloron sp. successfully colonize other surfaces like sea cucumbers and sponges as epibionts.
While there are indications for cospeciation of extracellular symbionts in the bryozoan genus *Bugula sp.* [17] and the sponge order Dictyoceratida [23], in many bacteria-animal symbiosis with cospeciation, the bacteria are maintained intracellularly (i.e. in bacteriocytes): for example vesicomyid clams and proteobacteria [22], aphids and *Buchnera sp.* [5], tsetse flies and \(\gamma\)-proteobacteria [1]. *Prochloron* is an extracellular symbiont except in the genus *Diplosoma*. In this study, only one *Diplosoma* sample was characterized, it seems possible that cospeciation within this one genus would occur. This could be tested by obtaining a wider range of *Diplosoma* samples.

Despite the high similarity of 16S rRNA gene and 16S-23S ITS sequences, different *Prochloron* strains are distinguishable in the ascidians. The single nucleotide polymorphisms found in the 16S rRNA sequencing suggest that even within a single host animal multiple strains of Prochloron are present. By cloning the PCR product pool, four slightly different 16S rRNA genes could be identified in a single ascidian sample, but the search was not exhaustive. The number of Prochloron strain per host didemnid is unclear presently. The *Prochloron sp.* diversity coincides with multiple versions of the patellamide biosynthesis precursor protein gene *patE*. Multiple versions of *patE*, differing in the patellamide-coding sequence have been identified in a number of didemnid samples (Donia et al. unpublished results). Quantitative PCR experiments have shown that the ratios of the different *patE* can be up to 1:70. (Donia et al. unpublished results). This strongly suggests, that the different *patE* versions do
not reside in a single genome but that there are multiple, closely related strains of Prochloron in different abundances, each with a different patE. This array of Prochloron sp. then leads to the well-documented mixture of patellamides found in a single didemnid.

The sequencing of the Prochloron genome indicates that there are minimal differences between Prochloron strains in a single ascidian with the exception of patE (and hence the ability to produce certain patellamides). This suggests that the chemical diversity has ecological significance beyond a possible general function of all patellamides as feeding deterrents against the didemnid’s predators. One could speculate that there is an arms race between the Prochloron strains. If the patellamides were toxic for Prochloron and required a resistance mechanism specific for each patellamide, acquiring the biosynthetic/resistance potential for a new patellamide would be a selective advantage. This could lead to different Prochloron strains with different patellamides as observed. Its resistance to culturing efforts impedes studies on Prochloron, we hope that the genome sequencing will help answer these intriguing questions.

The didemnid – Prochloron sp. symbiosis is summarized in Figure 3.11. This study shows that rigorous phylogenetic analysis is instructive. It has established the presence of multiple strains of Prochloron in different as well as in a single host didemnid. There is no indication of cospeciation between Prochloron and the didemnids. A larger sample pool including more Diplosoma-derived as well as
Caribbean samples would be a profitable direction of future research. In contrast to this study, Palenik et al. [21] have shown that there is limited diversity between Prochloron sp. based on the DNA-dependent RNA polymerase C gene (rpoC) sequences of six ascidians (up to 2.6%). This suggests that rpoC is a better phylogenetic marker for Prochloron. Certainly the most complete approach would be a phylogeny based on the sequencing of whole Prochloron genomes from multiple geographical locations and different host species.

**********

Eric Schmidt collected all samples used in this study. He and his student Mohamed Donia provided helpful discussion. Ralph Lewin originally described Prochloron and shared many of his interesting (and entertaining!) experiences with this bug.
Table 3.1: *Prochloron* samples analyzed in this study. 16S rRNA genes and internally transcribed spacer region (ITS) were amplified in samples indicated by +. Both of the Palauan 16S rRNA gene sequences were found to be identical to the sequence deposited in Genbank previously (accession number: X63141). In samples 05-19, 05-20 and 05-31 multiple strains within one host were identified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>host</th>
<th>16S</th>
<th>ITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palau reef</td>
<td><em>L. patella</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau seagrass</td>
<td><em>L. patella</em></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-19</td>
<td><em>L. patella</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-20</td>
<td><em>L. patella</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-25</td>
<td><em>D. molle</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-27</td>
<td><em>D. molle</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-28</td>
<td><em>D. molle</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-31</td>
<td>unknown A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-33</td>
<td><em>L. patella</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-34</td>
<td><em>D. molle</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-38</td>
<td>sponge</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-42</td>
<td>unknown B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-45</td>
<td>unknown C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-46</td>
<td>unknown D</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG05-49</td>
<td><em>Dip. virens</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: Oligonucleotide primers used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>primer</th>
<th>sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27f</td>
<td>aga gtt tga tcm tgg ctc ag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1055f</td>
<td>atg gct gtc gtc agc t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1392r</td>
<td>(g,c)₄₀acg gcc ggt gtg tac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1492r</td>
<td>tac ggy tac ctt gtt acg act t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr16Sf</td>
<td>agg gtc cgc agg tgg cga a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr16Sr</td>
<td>ctt cgg cac agc tcg gtt c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23S115r</td>
<td>ggg ttb ccc cat tcg g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.1: Didemnum molle, Lissoclinum patella and symbiotic Prochloron sp. A. D. molle and B. L. patella represent two genera of didemnid ascidians. Green coloration is caused by the symbiotic cyanobacterium Prochloron sp. Photos by Chris Ireland (University of Utah). C. Prochloron sp. in phase contrast light microscopy
Figure 3.2: Schematic of a didemnid ascidian, modified from [29]. Locations of *Prochloron* sp. in different didemnids indicated by numbers; species are only examples, *Prochloron* location may not be strictly correlated with species: 1. lining the peribranchial space in *Diplosoma similis* 2. lining cloacal cavity in *Lissoclinum patella* and *Didemnum molle*. 3. in the tunic, extracellular in *Diplosoma virens*, intracellular in mesenchymal cells in *Lissoclinum bistratum*. 4. associated with the larvae, in a specialized pouch in *Diplosoma* sp. or attached to the larval tail *L. patella*.
Figure 3.3: Patellamides A and C, cyclic peptides biosynthesized by *Prochloron sp.* They consist of elements with the substructure: thiazole, non-polar, oxazoline, non-polar amino acid.
**Figure 3.4:** rRNA operon of *Prochloron sp.* Position of primers used in this study are indicated by arrows (not to scale).

**Figure 3.5:** Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of *Prochloron* sp. DNA preparations (30-70% gradient). Lane 1: Palau seagrass sample; Lane 2: Palau reef sample. Arrows indicate the bands excised and sequenced.
|   | 05-019B-Lp | 05-019C-Lp | 05-019D-Lp | 05-020-Lp | 05-025-Dm | 05-028-Dm | 05-031-xx | 05-033-Lp | 05-034-Dm | 05-042-xx | 05-045-xx | 05-046-xx | 05-049-Dip | 03-Palau |
|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 1 |            | 0.085      |            | 0.170     | 0.085     | 0.085     | 0.085    | 0.085     | 0.085     | 0.085     | 0.085     | 0.085     | 0.085     | 0.085     |
| 2 | 05-019C-Lp | 0.085      |            |           |           |           |          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 3 | 05-019D-Lp | 0.170      | 0.085      |            |           |           |          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 4 | 05-020-Lp  | 0.085      | 0.000      | 0.085     |            |           |          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 5 | 05-025-Dm  | 0.255      | 0.170      | 0.255     | 0.170     |            |          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 6 | 05-028-Dm  | 0.085      | 0.000      | 0.085     | 0.000     | 0.170     | 0.000    |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 7 | 05-031-xx  | 0.085      | 0.000      | 0.085     | 0.000     | 0.170     | 0.000    | 0.000    |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 8 | 05-033-Lp  | 0.085      | 0.000      | 0.085     | 0.000     | 0.170     | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000     |           |           |           |           |           |
| 9 | 05-034-Dm  | 0.255      | 0.170      | 0.255     | 0.170     | 0.000     | 0.170    | 0.170    | 0.170     |           |           |           |           |           |
| 10| 05-042-xx  | 0.085      | 0.000      | 0.085     | 0.000     | 0.170     | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000     | 0.000     |           |           |           |           |
| 11| 05-045-xx  | 0.341      | 0.255      | 0.341     | 0.255     | 0.426     | 0.255    | 0.255    | 0.255     | 0.426     | 0.426     | 0.255     | 0.255     |
| 12| 05-046-xx  | 0.341      | 0.255      | 0.341     | 0.255     | 0.426     | 0.255    | 0.255    | 0.255     | 0.426     | 0.426     | 0.255     | 0.255     |
| 13| 05-049-Dip | 0.170      | 0.085      | 0.170     | 0.085     | 0.255     | 0.085    | 0.255    | 0.085     | 0.255     | 0.255     | 0.255     | 0.255     |
| 14| 03-Palau   | 0.085      | 0.000      | 0.085     | 0.000     | 0.170     | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000     | 0.170     | 0.000     | 0.255     | 0.255     |

**Figure 3.6:** Pairwise distance matrix for *Prochloron sp.* 16S rRNA sequences in percent. Lp = *Lissoclinum patella*, Dm = *Didemnum molle*, Diplo = *Diplosoma virilens*, Palau = *L. patella* from Palau (all other samples are from Papua New Guinea), xx = unknown host.
Figure 3.7: Partial 16S alignment of the most diverse part of the 16S rRNA gene. Syn.cystis = *Synechocystis* clone 29P18, other samples see Figure 3.9.

Figure 3.8: Partial 16S-23S ITS alignment showing clustered mutations of sample 05-031.
Figure 3.9: Phylogenetic tree of *Prochloron* spp. based on the 16S rRNA gene using *Synechocystis* clone 29P18 as an outgroup estimated using MrBayes. A maximum likelihood estimation with PAUP gives similar results. Bayesian posterior probability and (maximum likelihood bootstrap value) is shown for the *Dide. molle* clade.
Figure 3.10: Phylogenetic tree of *Prochloron spp.* based on the 16S-23S ITS estimated using MrBayes. Bayesian posterior probability is shown on nodes.
Figure 3.11: Overview of the didemnid – Prochloron sp. symbiosis. Prochloron sp. is transmitted both vertically and horizontally. Occasionally it ends up on other invertebrates. Multiple strains of Prochloron producing different patellamides are present within a single didemnid colony and may be competing against each other employing patellamides.

Bottom left: transmission electron micrograph of the frontal section of a Diplosoma similis tadpole larvae from [9]. The location of Prochloron in the “algal pouch” is indicated.
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Trichamide, a cyclic peptide from the bloom-forming cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium erythraeum* predicted from the genome sequence

**Abstract**

A gene cluster for the biosynthesis of a new small cyclic peptide, dubbed trichamide, was discovered in the genome of the global, bloom-forming marine cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium erythraeum* ISM101 because of striking similarities to the previously characterized patellamide biosynthesis cluster. The *tri* cluster consists of a precursor peptide gene containing the amino acid sequence for mature trichamide, a putative heterocyclization gene, an oxidase, two proteases and hypothetical genes. Based upon detailed sequence analysis, a structure was predicted for trichamide and confirmed by Fourier-transform mass spectrometry. Trichamide consists of 11 amino acids, including two cysteine-derived thiazole groups, and is cyclized by an N-C terminal amide bond. As the first natural product reported from *T. erythraeum*, trichamide shows the power of genome mining in the prediction and discovery of new natural products.
Introduction

*Trichodesmium* is a genus of marine diazotrophic, non-heterocysteous cyanobacteria. It occurs throughout the open waters of oligotrophic tropical and subtropical oceans and forms filaments (trichomes) of 20-200 cells that can further aggregate into colonies several millimeters across. *Trichodesmium* can form blooms in excess of 100,000 km$^2$ [12], which are most commonly composed of *T. erythraeum* and *T. thiebautii*. *Trichodesmium* spp. have been the subject of intense research mainly for two reasons. First, they contribute a significant portion (40% or more, [12]) to global oceanic nitrogen fixation, thereby directly affecting the biogeochemical carbon flux in tropical oceans with implications for the world’s climate [1]. Second, massive coastal *Trichodesmium* blooms have been reported to have toxic effects, both directly on invertebrates [8, 10] and on humans (“*Trichodesmium* or Tamandare fever”, [21]) as well as indirectly by inducing blooms of other organisms [3, 14] that can be potentially harmful. While cyanobacteria are a prolific source of diverse natural products and toxins [2, 6, 18], to our knowledge a toxic compound (or any natural product) has not been isolated from a *Trichodesmium* species despite some efforts [9].

While most small peptides found in cyanobacteria are biosynthesized by non-ribosomal peptide synthases [17], we have recently reported a microcin-like pathway for the biosynthesis of a family of cyclic peptides, the patellamides, from *Prochloron*
*didemni*, a cyanobacterial symbiont of tropical ascidians (accession number: AY986476) [23]. The patellamides are moderately cytotoxic and composed of a pseudo-symmetrical, cyclic dimer, with each substructure having the sequence thiazole-nonpolar amino acid-oxazoline-nonpolar amino acid. Despite these unusual features, patellamide biosynthesis is ribosomal. The *pat* gene cluster consists of a precursor peptide gene, which codes for the patellamide amino acid sequence, and a number of genes with protease and other peptide modifying homologies [23]. BLAST searches in GenBank with the *pat* genes revealed homologs in *T. erythraeum* IMS101. This led us to investigate the presence of a potential patellamide-like biosynthesis cluster as well as its product, a small cyclic peptide, dubbed trichamide in *T. erythraeum*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bioinformatics.** Most of the *T. erythraeum* IMS101 genome was shotgun sequenced by the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) and is available in GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The contig with accession number NZ_AABK04000003 contains the *pat* homologs listed before [23]. Nucleotides 785,500 to 803,500 of this contig were downloaded and manually annotated in Artemis (Sanger Institute). Predicted ORFs were compared to the JGI auto-annotation and putative functions assigned by BLASTP on GenBank.
**Culturing.** *T. erythraeum* IMS101 [20] was obtained from John Waterbury, WHOI via Katherine Barbeau at SIO. The culture is non-axenic, i.e. does contain other heterotrophic bacteria (K. Barbeau, personal communication). Cultures were grown in R medium at 25°C under 12 hour light-dark photocycle with slow stirring as well as daily inversion of the culture flasks. R medium (modified from John Waterbury’s recipe): 25% ddH2O and 75% natural sea water from Scripps pier are mixed and amended with 8 μM KH2PO4, 2.5 μM EDTA, 0.1 μM ferric citrate, 0.1 μM MnCl2, 10 nM Na2MoO4, 10 nM ZnSO4, 0.1 nM CoCl2, 0.1 nM NiCl2, and 0.1 nM Na2SeO4. All components are 0.2 μm filter-sterilized. *T. erythraeum* requires a 10% inoculum to start cultures; accordingly, 800 ml of culture were used in 8 liters of R medium. After 12-14 days, the culture was vacuum filtered through a 5 μm polycarbonate filter to retain *T. erythraeum* colonies and remove free-living bacteria. The cell material was rinsed off the filters into a 50 ml Falcon tube with ddH2O, immediately frozen at –80°C and later lyophilized. The average yield was ~10 mg dried cells per liter culture volume.

**Extraction and purification.** Lyophilized cyanobacterial pellets were extracted 3x with a ~100-fold excess of methanol. The methanolic extract was dried, yielding a crude extract that was used for initial electron spray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). For Fourier-transform MS (FT-MS), the crude extract was purified with a C18 ZipTip (Millipore).
A portion of the crude methanolic extract (23 mg) was further purified by partitioning between ethyl acetate and water. The aqueous part was fractionated over a HP20SS column with 25, 50, 75 and 100% acetone. As determined by ESI-MS, the 25 and 50% acetone (aq.) fractions contained the 1099 peak and were combined. This combined fraction was run on a HPLC Phenomenex C\textsubscript{18} analytical column with the following protocol (all solvents contained 0.01% trifluoroacetic acid): 5 min of water, 5-35 min gradient from 0-100% acetonitrile, 10 min of 100% acetonitrile. Fractions were collected in minute intervals. Only fractions eluting at 16-17 and 17-18 minutes contained a 1099 peak as determined by ESI-MS. These fractions did not contain a single compound, since additional peaks beside 1099 were present in the MS. The amount of material in the two HPLC fractions was too low to measure.

In an improved procedure, a methanolic extract (57 mg) was partially purified by step gradient on a column containing 7 g C\textsubscript{18}, using solvents containing 0.01% trifluoroacetic acid. Fractions were eluted with water, followed by 25%, 50% and 100% acetonitrile (aq). The 100% elution fraction was further purified on a Phenomenex C\textsubscript{18} column as described before. A single peak with the correct diode array profile cleanly eluted at 16.6 min. By ESI-MS analysis, this HPLC-peak contained the 1099 ion. The concentration of trichamide was below a measurable limit and was thus estimated by comparison of the diode array absorbance at 240 nm with those for standards of ulithiacyclamide at varying concentrations. This intensity
should depend mainly upon the concentration of thiazole, since both ulithiacyclamide and trichamide have no other chromophores at this wavelength. By this method, the total amount of trichamide isolated was estimated to be 25-50 µg.

**Mass Spectrometry.** Crude extracts and partially purified fractions were monitored by ESI-MS and by FT-MS on a ThermoFinnigan LTQ-FT at 100,000 resolution (i.e. mass 400). FT-MS/MS experiments were run with collision-induced dissociation (CID) and infrared multiphoton dissociation (IRMPD) techniques. Predicted masses were calculated using the following values: C=12, H=1.007825, N=14.003074, O=15.994914, S=31.97207.

**Results and Discussion**

**Biosynthetic genes.** Using genomic data available from GenBank, we have annotated a 12.5 kb gene cluster proposed to be responsible for the biosynthesis of trichamide (hence named *tri* cluster). The %GC is 40, higher than the average %GC of *T. erythraeum* at 34. On both sides it is bordered by tRNA-synthetase genes, potentially implicating horizontal gene transfer. The *T. erythraeum* genome is not closed, currently residing in 52 contigs at GenBank. The contig containing the *tri* genes (GenBank accession: NZ_AABK04000003) is 842 kb long and also contains a number of ribosomal proteins. A BLAST analysis of the ribosomal proteins finds
similarities in other cyanobacteria, so it is assumed that this contig is indeed from *T. erythraeum* and not from a possible contamination by heterotrophic bacteria.

The *tri* cluster contains 11 ORFs designated *triA-K* (Figure 4.1 and Table 4.1). Four of these (*triBCEF*) are short and have sequence identity only to conserved hypothetical proteins, while *triI* is only hypothetical with no significant sequence identities. Some of these ORFs may not be actively transcribed. This paper will focus on the remaining six genes, for which function may be inferred.

The product of *triG* is the putative precursor protein. It was identified by two 5 amino acid motifs (GPGPS..SYDGD) that closely resemble the proposed cyclization signal found before and after the patellamide A and C sequences in the precursor protein of patellamide biosynthesis, PatE (Figure 4.2 and [23]). Analogous to patellamide biosynthesis, these motifs would define the borders of the eleven amino acid peptide, GDGLHPRLCSC. *TriG* also contains a leader sequence of 43 amino acids without similarities in GenBank except that five of the first six amino acids are identical to those of PatE.

*TriA* has high similarity to *patD*, which is proposed to be involved in heterocyclization of cysteine and/or threonine/serine into thiazoline and oxazoline.
rings. The putative function was assigned on the basis of low sequence identity to previously characterized proteins: for the N-terminal part the adenylating enzyme MccB from microcin biosynthesis [7], for the C-terminal part a possible hydrolase, SagD from *Streptomyces iniae* [5].

TriD has high similarity to the N-terminal part of PatG and to oxidases. Previously, we predicted that this part of PatG would oxidize the intermediate thiazoline rings into thiazoles [23].

BLASTP analysis of TriH and K gives homology to subtilisin-like proteases. They have high similarity to PatA and the C-terminal part of PatG. We predict that these proteases would be involved in the maturation of PatE by cleaving the product from leader and trailer sequence and assume the same function in trichamide biosynthesis. It is interesting to note that TriH and TriK have 48% identity to each other.

TriJ has 50/72% similarity/identity to PatB. There is no other homolog to either of the two proteins in GenBank. PatB is not required for biosynthesis but seems to improve patellamide yield in heterologous expression experiments with the *pat* cluster (Eric Schmidt, unpublished results). The high identity between TriJ and PatB
over their entire length and presence in both clusters does suggest that they serve a role in peptide biosynthesis.

There are few differences between the pat and tri clusters: PatG has two domains: one for oxidation and one for proteolytic cleavage. In T. erythraeum these functionalities are separated into two proteins, TriD and TriH, respectively. The only pat gene without a homolog in the tri cluster (excluding very short putative ORFs) is patF, which has no significant homologies in GenBank. Overall, the pat and tri clusters have striking similarities. The biosynthetic genes have between 45-60% identity, and both gene clusters consist of a heterocyclization enzyme, an oxidase, two proteases and patB/triJ, a gene of unknown functionality. Also, while there is variability in the length of the precursor protein, both in terms of the leader sequence as well as in product sequence (8 amino acids for patellamide, 11 for trichamide), the five amino acid cyclization signals before and after the peptide are highly conserved.

Based upon these similarities in biosynthesis genes, we predicted the presence of a patellamide-like compound, trichamide, a cyclic, thiazole-containing peptide in T. erythraeum. Depending on the pattern of cyclization of the peptide and/or heterocyclization of serine and cysteine moieties, the possible molecular weight of the compound would be between 1079 and 1157.
**Mass spectrometry.** Initial screening of a crude extract of *T. erythraeum* with MALDI-TOF MS revealed the presence of a major peak at 1099. A molecule with this mass can be constructed from the precursor peptide sequence GDGLHPRLCSC by heterocyclization and oxidation of two of the three possible amino acids - cysteine, serine, cysteine - to thiazoles or oxazoles and cyclization of the entire peptide. Alternatively, this mass is also consistent with heterocyclization of the remaining amino acid to a thiazoline or oxazoline moiety in a linear peptide.

A high-resolution experiment on a Fourier-Transform MS/MS system gave a molecular ion at (M+H)*2 550.23166, only 0.022 ppm different from the theoretical value of (M+H)*2 550.231648 for the predicted structure, validating the presence of a molecule containing the trichamide molecular formula \( \text{C}_{46}\text{H}_{66}\text{N}_{16}\text{O}_{12}\text{S}_{2} \) (supporting material).

Further MS/MS fragmentation experiments of mass 550.2 using collision-induced dissociation (CID) and infrared multiphoton dissociation (IRMPD) techniques revealed fragmentation patterns in congruence only with a cyclic peptide (Table 4.2 and Figures 4.6 and 4.7). With the exception of ion A, all masses are within ~3 ppm of their predicted values. This leaves three possible heterocyclization patterns that have identical mass: 1) thiazole-serine-thiazole; 2) thiazole-oxazole-cysteine; and 3) cysteine-oxazole-thiazole. The data are consistent with 1) on the
basis of three arguments: First, heterocyclization of adjacent amino acids has no precedent in the patellamide structural literature; in fact when two cysteine residues are adjacent in the patellamide family as in the ulithiacyclamides [4], only one is cyclized. Second, the patellamide class of compounds does not contain oxazoles, but only oxazolines. Third, it is highly unlikely that an enzyme would specifically modify one cysteine but not the other.

Because of the ribosomal mode of synthesis and in accordance with the patellamides, all of the amino acids in this molecule should adopt the L-configuration. Exceptions to this rule may be serine and leucine 2, which are adjacent to thiazole. These stereocenters readily undergo epimerization, and they are often found in either the D or L form in patellamides. We are currently examining the patellamide biosynthetic proteins to determine whether or not this epimerization is enzymatic. The proposed structure of trichamide is shown in Figure 4.3.

**Biosynthetic Pathway.** Closely paralleling patellamide biosynthesis, we predict the following pathway for trichamide biosynthesis (Figure 4.4). TriG is the precursor protein and forms the substrate for posttranslational modification by TriA, D, H and K. First, TriA modifies the cysteine moieties of TriG to form thiazoline groups. This could be an ATP consuming process as in microcin heterocyclization [16], needing the ATP hydrolysing functionality of the N-terminal part of TriA, while the reaction itself would be catalyzed by the uncharacterized C-terminal part. Next, TriD oxidizes thiazolines to thiazoles. TriA and TriK cleave the propeptide guided by
the conserved motifs GXXXS and XYDG. We propose that one protease cleaves the peptide bond after the header sequence leading to a free amide group. The other protease would cut the back end and catalyze a transpeptidation reaction between the two ends of the peptide leading to the mature cyclic form in a mechanism similar to the well characterized peptidoglycan cyclization by a serine protease, penicillin binding protein (reviewed in [2]). It is possible that the significant similarities between the two proteases allow them to form a dimer, which catalyzes both the hydrolysis of two peptide bonds and the cyclization in concert. It is interesting to note that the biosynthetic cluster of the linear peptide goadsporin [19] does not contain the two subtilisin-like proteases found in the tri and pat clusters, in agreement with an involvement of TriHK in cyclization. Recently Milne et al. published a computational study in which preorganization of patellamides were predicted to lead to cyclization and an enzyme would thus not be required [15]. The differences in size and sequence and the maintenance of dedicated proteases in patellamides and trichamide argue against this possibility. Finally, the absence of a PatF homolog in T. erythraeum and the requirement of PatF in patellamide biosynthesis (Eric Schmidt, unpublished data) implicate PatF in oxazoline formation, which is not part of the trichamide pathway.

The biosynthetic scheme presented here is in accordance with all available data. Due to the ribosomal mode of biosynthesis, i.e. the coding of the trichamide amino acids in triG, the link between the tri genes and trichamide is direct. Still,
ultimate proof of function could only be obtained by heterologous expression or knock-out experiments with the *tri* genes.

Patellamide and trichamide biosynthesis may be examples of a more common pathway to small peptides. Besides the aforementioned goadsporin from *Streptomyces* sp. TP-A0584, at the time of this writing clustered ORFs with 35-40% identity to TriA and D are present in the genomes of phylogenetically distant bacteria: plut_0880 and 0878 in *Pelodictyon luteolum*, Chlorobia (GenBank accession: CP000096), swoIDRAFT_1502 and 1501 in *Syntrophomonas wolfei*, Chlostridia (GenBank accession: NZ_AAJG01000002), and blr4538 and 4539 in *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, Rhizobiales [11] (GenBank accession: BA000040).

**Trichamide function.** Trichamide is hydrophilic, partitioning to the aqueous fraction relative to ethyl acetate. In addition, it is found only in the cells and is not excreted in significant quantities to the growth medium (data not shown). These properties suggest an antipredation defense function, rather than anticompetitor or communication functions. To test biological activities, *T. erythraeum* crude methanolic extracts were tested for general cytotoxicity (HCT-116 at 10 µg/ml and CEM-TART at 5 and 50 µg/ml) and anti-HIV (1 and 10 µg/ml), antifungal (*Candida albicans* at 10 µg/ml) or antimicrobial (*Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecium* at 10 µg/ml) effects. No significant activity was found in these assays (data
not shown). A number of algal blooms have neurotoxic effects [2] and neurotoxicity of environmental *Trichodesmium sp.* in mice has previously been reported [9]. The crude methanolic extract of *T. erythraeum* IMS101 also exhibited neurotoxicity in a mouse assay, but purified trichamide was not the active component (data not shown). Guo and Tester have found that healthy *Trichodesmium sp.* cells do not affect the copepod *Acartia tonsa*, while aged or lysed *Trichodesmium* cells are toxic [8]. This result is consistent with the properties of trichamide, which suggest that the compound is maintained inside healthy cells, but would be released into seawater from lysed cells. Testing the effect of trichamide on health and feeding behavior of copepods and other grazers of *Trichodesmium* might reveal the ecological function of trichamide. The presence of trichamide should be examined in *Trichodesmium* bloom waters. It would also be interesting to determine if *T. thiebautii*, the other major *Trichodesmium* species, which in one report has proven more toxic than *T. erythraeum* [10], contains trichamide (or a related compound) and the necessary biosynthetic capabilities.

**Conclusion.** The ongoing exponential growth of DNA sequence data will lead to the discovery of many natural product biosynthesis pathways for which no actual product has been characterized. Careful study of these pathways can lead to discovery of novel products. Challis et al. identified a non-ribosomal peptide gene (NRPS) cluster in the genome of a *Streptomyces* and discovered a novel compound, coelichelin [13]. NRPS pathways are well-known routes to natural products and the
The genus *Streptomyces* is a prolific source. The genus *Trichodesmium* was not previously known to produce natural products and trichamide is only the second example, after the *pat* cluster of a cyclic peptide biosynthesized this way. Depending on the type of pathway, genomic mining should encompass careful curation. While the auto-annotation of the *T. erythraeum* genome identified most of the *tri* genes as ORFs of unknown function, the most essential part of the cluster, the precursor peptide gene, was discovered manually.

This study is also an example for the power of general and timely access to genomic data. Even though at the time of this writing the *T. erythraeum* IMS101 genome is not completely sequenced or published, the public availability of the draft sequence data allowed assignment of function to the *tri* gene cluster and the discovery of a novel cyclic peptide.

**************

Kelly Roe and Kathy Barbeau provided advice on *Trichodesmium* culturing. Bioassays were run in the labs of Louis Barrow and Baldomero Olivero at the University of Utah and William Fenical and William Gerwick at Scripps. Chad Nelson at the University of Utah ran the mass spectrometry measurements. This
chapter is a reprint of the material as it appears in Applied Environmental Microbiology 2006, 72: 4382-4387 with co-authors Margo G. Haygood, Diaa T. A. Youssef, and Eric W. Schmidt. The dissertation author was the primary investigator.
Table 4.1: the tri cluster proteins and their homologs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>protein</th>
<th>length (aa)</th>
<th>Homolog, GenBank accession</th>
<th>%identity/ %similarity</th>
<th>Predicted function</th>
<th>GenBank accession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TriA</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>PatD, AAY21153</td>
<td>57/70</td>
<td>Adenylation/ heterocyclization</td>
<td>ZP_00672901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriB</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Conserved hypothetical, NP_942321</td>
<td>53/70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ZP_00672900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriC</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Conserved hypothetical, BAB73591</td>
<td>60/78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ZP_00672899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriD</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>PatG, N-terminal, AAY21156</td>
<td>45/59</td>
<td>oxidase</td>
<td>ZP_00672897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriE</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>transposase-like, ZP_00345329</td>
<td>79/85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ZP_00672896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriF</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Conserved hypothetical, ZP_00675293</td>
<td>78/91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ZP_00672895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Precursor protein 794178..381 of NZ_AABK04000003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriH</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>PatA, AAY21150</td>
<td>60/72</td>
<td>Subtilisin-like protease</td>
<td>ZP_00672894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriI</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ZP_00672893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriJ</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>PatB, AAY21151</td>
<td>52/70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ZP_00672892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriK</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>PatG, C-terminal, AAY21156</td>
<td>48/64</td>
<td>Subtilisin-like protease</td>
<td>ZP_00672891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.2: Mass spectrometry of trichamide and fragments. Proposed peptide structure are in one-letter amino acid code, Thia = cysteine modified to thiazole, FT-MS = Fourier-transform mass spectrometry, CID = collision induced dissociation, IRMPD = infrared multiphoton dissociation. Artifacts intrinsic to the machine and visible in other spectra of unrelated molecules constitute the other major peaks in the spectrum and are not tabulated here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ion</th>
<th>proposed structure</th>
<th>Observed mass</th>
<th>Theoretical mass</th>
<th>difference (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FT-MS</td>
<td>I M+H*2, GDGLHPRL-Thia-S-Thia</td>
<td>550.23166</td>
<td>550.231648</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II M+H*2, ^34S</td>
<td>551.22845</td>
<td>551.22955</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III M+H, ^13C_2</td>
<td>551.23627</td>
<td>551.2350025</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CID-MS/MS of 550.2</td>
<td>A M+H*2 of ion F</td>
<td>481.20212</td>
<td>481.19883</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B M+H*2 of parent ion minus C=O</td>
<td>536.23520</td>
<td>536.23520</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C M+H, PRL-Thia-S-Thia-GD</td>
<td>792.29387</td>
<td>792.29213</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D M+H, PRL-Thia-S-Thia-GDG</td>
<td>849.31559</td>
<td>849.31359</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E M+H, PRL-Thia-S-Thia-GDGL</td>
<td>962.39975</td>
<td>962.39766</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F M+H, HPRL-Thia-S-Thia-GDG</td>
<td>986.37429</td>
<td>986.37250</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRMPD-MS/MS of 550.2</td>
<td>G M+H*4 of parent ion</td>
<td>275.11674</td>
<td>275.115824</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H M+H, G-L-H</td>
<td>308.17152</td>
<td>308.17220</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I M+H*2 of parent ion</td>
<td>550.23035</td>
<td>550.231648</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J M+H, RL-Thia-S-Thia-GD</td>
<td>695.23870</td>
<td>695.239367</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K as ion C</td>
<td>792.29121</td>
<td>792.29213</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L M+H, L-Thia-S-Thia-GDGLH</td>
<td>846.30172</td>
<td>846.302694</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M as ion E</td>
<td>962.39676</td>
<td>962.39766</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.1: The *tri* gene cluster. Arrows denote ORFs and their direction, black ORFs are tRNA synthetases, white ORFs are conserved hypothetical without homolog in the pat cluster, green ORFs are pat homologs, the precursor peptide gene is in orange.

Figure 4.2: Alignment of the precursor peptides PatE and TriG. The sequence encoding patellamide C, patellamide A and trichamide (top to bottom) is underlined, proposed cyclization signal is in bold.
Figure 4.3: A. Structure of trichamide. Stereochemistry is inferred, not determined experimentally, as described in the text. B. Assignment of CID-MS fragments from Table 4.2 to the trichamide structure. C. Assignment of IRMPD-MS fragments.
Figure 4.4: Proposed biosynthetic pathway to trichamide.
Figure 4.5: Fourier transfer – mass spec of crude *Trichodesmium* extract. Peaks are present for the trichamide parent ion (I), the \(^{34}\text{S}\) isotope (II) and the \(^{13}\text{C}_2\) isotope (III).
Figure 4.6: MS fragmentation patterns under CID (collision-induced dissociation) of ion 550.2. Peaks labeled “x” are artifacts of the instrument, present in all spectra measured no matter the sample type or source. All other ions can be accounted for as in Table 4.2 and Figure 4.3.
Figure 4.7: MS fragmentation patterns under IRMPD (infrared multiphoton dissociation) of ion 550.2. Peaks labeled “x” are artifacts of the instrument, present in all spectra measured no matter the sample type or source. All other ions can be accounted for as in Table 4.2 and Figure 4.3.
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Appendix

The Tale of the B. neritina

By Mark Hildebrand, Fall 2003
(to the tune of “The Wreck of the Edmund Fitzgerald” by Gordon Lightfoot)

Well, some time ago, a PI named Margo
Had a dream about curing the cancer,
Well she looked to the sea, and what did she see
That B. neritina was the answer
Well it wasn’t the host that attracted her most
But a tiny bacteria inside it,
As we all know, Wollacot’s larvae did show
That they lived in the pallial sinus

Seana started one day, and got DNA
From larvae in different locations
She amplified, and began to surmise
16S permutations
Two populations there’d be, both shallow and deep
Of gamma proteobacteria
In situ’s were done, and it was then named
Endobugula sertula

More evidence was needed, to show that indeed
Bryostatin was from this bug
If we could cultivate, it sure would be great
An endless supply of the drug
Or clone out the genes, what a novel scheme
And express them in another host
Bryopyran rings, and other things
Man that surely would be the most

Scott Allen came to town, by PCR bound
To clone out the KSa
Degenerate primers and touchdown designer
Eventually got it to stay
But he decided, to join the other side
And got lucrative offer
“My lack of finance, won’t let me skip the chance
To fill up my personal coffers”
Several interacted, to write a new chapter
  In the Endobugula caper
  PCR surveys, and other forays
  The supporting evidence paper
  Antibiotic, gave E. sertula a lick
  Its levels fell to the basement
Bryo activity also dropped, but it didn’t stop
  As judged by phorbol displacement

Mark’s grant had run out, when Scott left town
  The timing seem predestined
Margo then explored, if he’d like to come on board
  He said yes, thanks for asking the question
    That’s awfully kind, 40% time
    My schedule I’ll be rearranging
  Little did he know, what lay in store
  Or how his life would be changing

All started OK as he isolated DNA
  Of sufficient molecular weight
  Got into a groove, and then did remove
    The pigment by cesium gradient
Partials then performed, they came quite normally
    Just a little indigestion
Sucrose gradients came next, from which you select
    The fragments for your ligation

The pigment by the way, was of interest I say
  Margarita showed it absorbed in UV
  The bad rays will go, inhibit the glow
    Applied to the face liberally
Consider the fact that it had bryostatin
    And was brown in color
Self-tanning yes, it will be the best
    Way to prevent skin cancer
Our research to speed, we found that we needed
   A way to grind that was groovy
Well come on lets move on, buy a Polytron
   And let’s make Bugula smoothies
They splattered and spilled, but the grinding was a thrill
   The whirl of our success
Bacteria intact, but the host was shellacked
   My goodness what a mess

   Differential spins, host debris did win
The race to become the pellet
The bacteria did float, along with the foam
   A way for them to embellish
Check enrichment now, competitor is how
   Another of Scott’s contributions
For every problem we faced, a step we’d take
   Towards coming up with the solutions

The pace went so well, there’s really no telling
   How fast we’ll make bryostatin
These thoughts were arising, but on the horizon
   A sinister thing did happen
The cosmids you see, were high copy
   And subject to deletions
They generated a gap, which started to tap
   Our confidence into submission

Filling in the gaps, was one of the traps
   That soon began taking its toll
They swallowed you see, time and energy
   Like a supermassive black hole
But we toiled away, by night and by day
   It became an incredible feat
When we were finally done, we found more than one
   Enormous, humongous repeat
Along the way we discovered one day
   The end of the PKS cluster
When we saw the last, four amino acids
   Wouldn’t you just go and figure!
Histidine glutamic, then double leucine
   A word this sequence did spell
It seemed most fitting, this devilish fiend’s
   Sequence ended in HELL

We realized one day, we had cloned every way
   Possibly known to man
There was ZAP, and DASH, cosmids and plasmids
   All became part of the plan
They all fell short, I have to report
   None of them got us to there
After all this work, there aren’t many perks
   It seems like we’re getting nowhere

Summers were spent, cloning in BACs
   Or pulsed field separations
Success was absent; time would’ve been better spent
   On extended four month vacations
Mark said with a frown, Bugula’s wearing me down
   I’m loosing my sense of calm
But what kept him going, in background was knowing
   He’d work on his diatoms

When he tried to construct, ORF5 in a pUC vector
   It was inherently unstable
He’d meet with Margo, and tell her so
   These things just aren’t normal
When last he was seen, he had turned to yeast
   For ORF5 expression
If these experiments fail, I can at least brew some ale
   And drink away all my frustrations
When Laura came on board, we felt reassured  
That the project really was growing  
From worms did she come to the neritina fun  
She got into without really knowing  
What lie ahead, it could never be said  
She knew what was in her future  
But the curse wouldn’t hide, it would only decide  
On the particular brand of her torture  

She extracted DNA and enriched it one day  
By Hoechst dye centrifugation  
Tiny fractions she collected, and read them in the spec  
‘till sufficient accumulation  
She pooled all those, and by PCR showed  
Where the KSa was located  
Once they were combined, she next spent her time  
On Southerns she was dedicated  

Well the Southerns looked great, we all were elate  
At the beautiful signals appearing  
She straightened out the map, we began to clap  
And some of us started cheering  
But the curse is so tough; it said “that is enough  
I’ll turn their joy into sorrow  
Happy now they’ll be, but just wait and see  
What’s in store for them tomorrow”  

In the summer of ’01, we collected a ton  
Of the B. neritina larvae  
Our goal to ensure, that the DNA’d be pure  
As we strove to make our libraries  
Laura did the preps, we felt sure they’d be the best  
For any such use we could come by  
For Southerns and clones, and even genomes  
Our spirits were soaring high
She collected the brown, larvae and froze them down
Preparing them for the extraction
The DNA came out, and enough no doubt
For cesium centrifugation
When the gradients came down, our smiles turned to frowns
The DNA had disappeared
Explanations we had none, except for one
The Bermuda Triangle moved westward

To further her pains, she expressed some domains
For antibody preparation
For first the amount, you could not count
It was very low expression
That problem was solved and she got more involved
And worked with sincere devotion
Six-His purified, the curse then told a lie
That these really were her proteins

We later found out, after months of doubt
That E. coli had harbored a sleeper
Activated by the curse, it made things worse
Our despair became even deeper
The protein she’d cleaned, was just part of the scheme
Of the curse for our disgrace
Some literature search confirmed the worst
It was superoxide dismutase

Then there was Carolyn, who led a harrowing
Existence running the show
She kept us organized, and well supplied
And assisted PI, Margo
When Bugula we desired, an assistant by her side
They gathered it off of the pier
I’m sure that their dive, brought some excitement
Compared with her duties here
She recently was honored, a special award
For her work with EH and S
The plaque that they gave her, I think that it said
She really can clean up a mess
To Carole Kent she’d say, we’ll do it your way
But it was said with a wink
Carole’s gone let’s pollute, and ethanol dilute
Before pouring it down the sink

As all of us know, we had video
That was held on a regular schedule
Where we sat in a room, sort of a temple of doom
And our every movement was so visible
It was such a fright, to sit under the lights
Radiating a shine from our faces
There for each one to see, without flattery
We all could have used some makeup

At least the room was tip top, but Minnesota’s was not
Their microphones, they didn’t matter
The images we received, well they made us grieve
’Twas like looking through fathoms of water
“We can’t see a thing in your cloning scheme
But your stories really compel us
Just download the images from the Discus
We follow along as you tell us”

Some good can be said for the regular way
We gathered together in earnest
The camaraderie was good, and we knew we would
Hear from David Sherman
He’s a very busy man, with lots of plans
And his mind, it is always moving
In barely a wink, ‘fore the meeting he’d think
“Now just exactly what are we doing?”
At one of these things Haibin gave a scheme
Explaining how all fit together
It was quite creative, we were all elated
It seemed to avert disaster
Unconventional it was, but so was the cluster
It didn’t have any ATs
A module to load, was part of the code
And NRPS replaced the TE

It jumped around, until it found
A partner domain
Loading module lactate, it really was great
A test had come up in his brain
One way to see, was with DEBS-TE
Experiments that he started
We all were encouraged, but then got discouraged,
’Twas then that Haibin departed

Deanne spent part time, chopping and grinding
Bugula ad infinitum
Several spins, then Percoll she’d begin
In the hopes of purification
Her ultimate goal, was to culture the sole
Bacteria of our interest
What she found instead, was E. sertula was dead
Using different staining treatments

Christine came along for a summer SURFF
To work in the lab for a few weeks
In situ’s she did, they were publish’ed
She thought graduate school would be a breeze
She applied and came in, and thought she would win
Her degree in a short/sweet duration
Little did she know, from way down below
The curse had just set its hooks in
It was weeks, it was days, doing RPAs
To test if the cluster was active
They’d work, then they didn’t, and on infinite
These experiments were an awful disaster
RNA’s a fickle thing, just to begin
And mighty tricky to handle
But on top of it all, the curse came to call
Making Christine’s life all scrambled

So she searched for a scheme, to fulfill her dreams
And thought about genomics
“If we sequence it all, the mysteries will fall
And I will complete my thesis”
She and Liz gave a go, to do some flow
Cytometric genomic comparisons
The genome was small, but then came the fall
For the curse had just started its harassment

The grant didn’t come through, what else is new
The challenge wasn’t that simple
Other bugs for sure, can be isolated pure
But not so for our dear E. sertula
This bug is unclean, and is always seen
With a host of contamination
When you think about it, purity will never be writ
On the tomb of this small abomination

Now Grace tread with care, she didn’t dare
Fully expose herself to the danger
She did some trees and activities
But otherwise remained a stranger
To the neritina curse, avoiding the worst
She was smarter than most of us
Simplex and turrida, but not neritina
Are species that she did trust
When simplex gave KSa, it was quite a day
You simply must go ahead and clone it
She said “hmmm; let me think I’m right on the brink
Of finishing up my thesis
Well I just won’t have time, it’s awfully kind
Of you to make that suggestion
But I’ll be leaving soon, and some poor buffoon
Will have to tackle that question”

Then one day there came, Sebastian’s the name
A native German national
A hard working lad, he jumped into the lab
Thinking that research was rational
My poor boy don’t you see, this is Bugulee
You’re bound to become quite vexed
There’s a curse you will find, it’s a matter of time
‘fore you won’t know what’s coming next

But he went for the deep, right into the breach
To clone the PKS cluster
But deep Bugula was worse, it had its own curse
And few E. sertula it could muster
He spent quite a long time, collecting and grind
-ing all the material he wanted
Yields were pitifully spare, and he was not aware
That this project was certainly haunted

I’ll take a short cut, and try my luck
By doing long range PCR
In just a few days I’ll go all the way
And eclipse the sequence of Mark’s
Well the PCR’s worked, with one little quirk
T’was a wonderful schema
You think so, OK but the DNA
Had more mutations than Hiroshima
He then relegated to clone, set out on his own
   With guidance from shallow sequence
As the years slipped by, he said with a sigh
   I’m loosing my sense of reason
An example of the trap, he couldn’t fill a gap
   By partial digestion coverage
Well these two Sau3A’s, were 4 kb away,
   That’s 16 times farther than average

Well Sebastian we’ve heard, will be traveling abroad
   To deal with his Germanic visa
Listen to me man, get out while you can
   From the curse of the B. neritina
Use your trip overseas, to set sail on a fresh breeze
   And search for a new horizon
A new project you should find, if you want to keep your mind
   Don’t return to your third floor dungeon

Jen took a dare to repeat Russell Kerr’s
   Experiments in bryo labeling
Label and extract, and then rotovap
   To increase the concentration
Then count and see, and do TLC
   To find out if something happened
After a few months, of coming up skunked
   She ran clear out of the building

Now quite recently, Koty gave us to see
   Her confocal images were awesome
E. sertula abounded, within and around
Like some cosmic fluorescent blossom
Was it Cy 5 or sci-fi, I couldn’t rely
   On experience to make it clear
At any minute, I expected a ship
   Like the Enterprise to appear
The pictures were stunning, my mind started running
How can the curse let this be?
Then I realized in the blink of an eye
The curse had selectivity
I’ll tell you this much, it says look but don’t touch
With your molecular tools
Touch my DNA, and I’ll blow you away
And make you all look like fools

Progress the years and all of our fears
Will fade into the past
But I can’t say, that they’ll ever go away
Such horrors generally last
As we lay in our beds, thoughts will come to our heads
Of our days on La Jolla Shores
We’ll awake with a scream, it was only a dream
Of being engulfed by lophophores

When we’re about to collapse, from failed synapses
We’ll tell our grand – sons and daughters
To them we’ll regale, this tremendous tale
Of our sailing on treacherous waters
With lots more research, we’ll iron out the quirks
And finally get to the answer
They’ll be lots of Bryo, and we’ll use it to show
How we can cure our own cancer