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Left ventricular strain and strain rate by 2D speckle tracking in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension before and after pulmonary thromboendarterectomy

Nicholas Olson¹, Jason P Brown¹, Andrew M Kahn², William R Auger³, Michael M Madani⁴, Thomas J Waltman², Daniel G Blanchard²∗

Abstract

Background: Echocardiographic evaluation of left ventricular (LV) strain and strain rate (SR) by 2D speckle tracking may be useful tools to assess chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) severity as well as response to successful pulmonary thromboendarterectomy (PTE).

Methods: We evaluated 30 patients with CTEPH before and after PTE using 2D speckle tracking measurements of LV radial and circumferential strain and SR in the short axis, and correlated the data with right heart catheterization (RHC).

Results: PTE resulted in a decrease in mean PA pressure (44 ± 15 to 29 ± 9 mmHg), decrease in PVR (950 ± 550 to 31 ± 160 [dyne/sec/cm⁵]), and an increase in cardiac output (3.9 ± 1.0 to 5.0 ± 1.0 L/min, p < 0.001 for all). Circumferential and posterior wall radial strain changed by -11% and +15% respectively (p < 0.001 for both). Circumferential SR and posterior wall radial SR changed by -7% and 6% after PTE. While the increase in posterior wall SR with PTE reached statistical significance (p = 0.04) circumferential SR did not (p = 0.07). In addition, septal radial strain and SR did not change significantly after PTE (p = 0.1 and 0.8 respectively). Linear regression analyses of circumferential and posterior wall radial strain and SR revealed little correlation between strain/SR measurements and PVR, mean PA pressure, or cardiac output. However, change in circumferential strain and change in posterior wall radial strain correlated moderately well with changes in PVR, mean PA pressure and cardiac output (r = 0.69, 0.76, and 0.51 for circumferential strain [p < 0.001 for all] and r = 0.7, 0.7, 0.45 for posterior wall radial strain [p = 0.001, 0.001, and 0.02, respectively]).

Conclusions: LV circumferential and posterior wall radial strain change after relief of pulmonary arterial obstruction in patients with CTEPH, and these improvements occur rapidly. These changes in LV strain may reflect effects from improved LV diastolic filling, and may be useful non-invasive markers of successful PTE.

Introduction

Once thought of as a rare complication, chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) has recently been demonstrated to be relatively common in the post-pulmonary embolism patient. While most epidemiological studies place the incidence at approximately 1 percent, a recent study estimated the incidence at 3.8% [1-4]. CTEPH results from the organization of a pulmonary embolus with extensive intimal hyperplasia of the adjacent pulmonary vasculature [5,6]. The resulting increase in pulmonary vascular resistance leads to severe right ventricular enlargement and overload. In the absence of coexisting cardiomyopathy or systemic hypertension, left ventricular (LV) systolic function remains normal [7]. However, left ventricular chamber distortion (decreased LV end-diastolic volume and
abnormal eccentricity index) and abnormal diastolic filling (E/A reversal, systolic-dominant pulmonary venous flow) are common and have been proposed as major pathophysiologic mechanisms for impaired cardiac output in this population [8]. The chamber distortion and abnormal diastolic parameters improve rapidly following pulmonary thromboendarterectomy (PTE) [9-11]. Therefore, echocardiographic evaluation of the left ventricle may be a useful tool to assess CTEPH severity and response to PTE. Two-dimensional (2D) speckle tracking is a novel way to quantify LV global and regional function via strain and strain rate (SR) analysis [12]. We evaluated 2D speckle tracking measurements of radial and circumferential strain and SR in the LV short axis, and correlated the data with right heart catheterization results to assess whether strain and SR are useful parameters of LV function in CTEPH before and after PTE.

Methods

Patients

The pre- and post- PTE 2D echocardiograms of sixty consecutive patients with CTEPH who underwent PTE were analyzed by 2D speckle tracking. Thirty patients demonstrated adequate 2D speckle tracking, and were studied 7.4 ± 4.7 days before and 9.2 ± 2.6 days after PTE. Adequacy of 2D speckle tracking was determined during strain and SR analysis of the 2D grayscale images with the EchoPac 6.1 software as outlined in the “Speckle Tracking Analysis” section below. Only echocardiographic studies that yielded “good” tracking in all segments were used in the analysis. Right heart catheterizations (RHC) were also performed 6 ± 5 days before and in the operating room immediately after completion of the PTE. Pulmonary artery pressure (PAP), pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR), central venous pressure (CVP), and cardiac output (CO) were determined. All PTE surgeries were performed between January 2006 and September 2008 at UCSD Medical Center according to standard techniques at our institution described previously [13]. The research protocol for this study was approved by the UCSD institutional research review committee.

Echocardiographic Examination

The echocardiographic examination was performed using a Vivid 7 cardiovascular ultrasound system (GE VingMed, Horten, Norway). Examinations included measurements of the LV end-systolic and end-diastolic diameters. Ejection fraction (EF) was calculated using the biplane modified Simpson method. Fractional shortening was measured in the parasternal long axis. All measurements were made in accordance with the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography [14].

Speckle Tracking Analysis

Standard grayscale 2D images were acquired in the parasternal short-axis view at the level of the LV papillary muscles. The myocardium was divided into 6 segments according to the standard 16 segment left ventricular model as displayed in Figure 1[15]. All of the images were recorded with a frame rate of at least 30 fps to allow for reliable operation of the software (EchoPac 6.1). From an end-systolic single frame, a region of interest (ROI) was manually mapped to the endocardial border and its width was adjusted to span the entire myocardium (Figure 1). The automated tracking algorithm followed the myocardial interference pattern (speckle pattern) from this single frame throughout the cardiac cycle. This tracking algorithm additionally reported how well speckle tracking was proceeding from frame to frame over the cardiac cycle. Each individual myocardial segment (Figure 1) was analyzed independently and labeled as poor, average, or good tracking. Further manual adjustment of the ROI was performed if needed to obtain optimal tracking. Only images that demonstrated good tracking in all myocardial segments in both their pre- and post-PTE echocardiograms were used in the analysis.

Global circumferential strain and strain rate, which represents an average of all 6 segments (Figure 2, upper, dotted), was used in our analysis. For radial strain and strain rate the posterior wall (purple) and septal wall (red) were used in our analysis. We chose these two segments for analysis because one (septal) is directly affected by the right ventricle (RV) while the other (posterior) is most removed from the RV and should be relatively unaffected. Strain and strain rate measures were plotted against time in the EchoPac 6.1 output screens displayed in Figure 2.

Statistical Analysis

The variables in our study (strain and SR) were dependent (i.e., each post-PTE value needed to be compared to its corresponding pre-PTE value for significance). Therefore, a paired two-tailed Student’s t-test was utilized to determine differences in strain and SR following PTE. 95% confidence intervals for changes in strain and SR as well as p values were determined. Plots of strain vs. RHC parameters and change in strain vs. change in right heart catheterization (RHC) parameters were analyzed with linear regression software http://www.Wessa.net[16]. Linear regression analyses of circumferential strain and posterior wall radial strain vs. RHC data (PVR, mean PA pressure and cardiac output) were performed. Also, linear regression analyses of change in circumferential strain and posterior wall radial strain vs. change in RHC parameters were performed. Strain vs. RHC linear regressions were performed on subsets of
Results
Right heart catheterization data as well as standard transthoracic echocardiogram data before and after PTE for this group are displayed in Table 1. PTE resulted in statistically significant decreases in both mean PA pressure (44 ± 15 to 29 ± 9 mmHg) and PVR (950 ± 550 to 31 ± 160 [dyne-sec]/cm5, p < 0.001 for both). Additionally, there was a statistically significant increase in cardiac output after PTE (3.9 ± 1.0 to 5.0 ± 1.0 L/min, p < 0.001). However, LV ejection fraction (EF), and LV fractional shortening did not change significantly following PTE.

Representative sample strain and strain rate curves are shown in Figure 2. Global circumferential strain and SR in the upper boxes is represented by the dotted line. Note the post-PTE radial strain curve in the lower left, demonstrating relative septal hypokinesis. Strain and strain rate data are displayed in Table 2. Circumferential strain decreased by 11% after PTE from -21 ± 3% to -23 ± 4% (p = 0.0002). Posterior radial strain increased by 15% with PTE from 31% ± 8 to 36% ± 11 (p < 0.001). Circumferential strain rate decreased by 7% (from -1.5/s to -1.6/s) and posterior radial SR increased by 5% (from 1.8/s to 1.9/s) after PTE (p = 0.07 and 0.04 respectively). A representative video file of postoperative circumferential strain rate 2D speckle tracking is shown in Additional File 1. Septal radial strain and strain rate did not change significantly following PTE (p = 0.1 and 0.8 respectively).

Figure 3 shows scatter plots with corresponding linear regression analyses for circumferential strain and posterior wall radial strain and changes in these parameters vs. RHC data (PVR, mean PA pressure and cardiac output). Linear regression data with r values as a measure of linear fit are displayed in Table 3. Circumferential strain did not correlate well with PVR, mean PA pressure, or cardiac output (r = 0.21, 0.5, 0.17; p = NS for...
all). Posterior wall radial strain also did not correlate well with PVR, mean PA pressure and cardiac output (r = 0.007, 0.03, and 0.14; p = NS for all). However, change in circumferential strain after PTE correlated moderately well with change in PVR, mean PA pressure and cardiac output (r = 0.69, 0.76, and 0.51; p < 0.001 for all). Change in posterior wall radial strain correlated moderately well with PVR, mean PA pressure, and cardiac output as well (r = 0.7, 0.7, 0.45; p values of < 0.001, < 0.001 and 0.02, respectively).

Figure 2 Left ventricular global circumferential strain (top left, dotted) and strain rate (top right, dotted) as well as radial strain (bottom left) and strain rate (bottom right) are displayed from a patient with CTEPH. The septal and posterior walls are outlined in red and purple respectively. The posterior wall radial strain is displayed post-PTE demonstrating the relative the hypokinesis of the septal segment (red).
Discussion
Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension has become a reversible disease with the development of PTE surgery. The dramatic and sudden decrease in PAP and PVR after PTE has provided a remarkable model to help study and elucidate RV/LV interactions and biventricular adaptations to severe RV pressure overload. Although the most obvious and striking features of this disease are right ventricular failure along with extreme elevations in PAP and PVR, recent studies have shown that LV diastolic abnormalities are common in CTEPH. Specifically, “impaired relaxation” transmitral Doppler tracings, systolic-dominant pulmonary venous flow patterns, and decreased diastolic tissue Doppler velocities have been observed in CTEPH patients [9]. However, previous studies from our institution suggest that these findings are not indicative of intrinsic LV diastolic abnormalities are common in CTEPH. Specifically, “impaired relaxation” transmits Doppler tracings, systolic-dominant pulmonary venous flow patterns, and decreased diastolic tissue Doppler velocities have been observed in CTEPH patients [9]. However, previous studies from our institution suggest that these findings are not indicative of intrinsic LV diastolic dysfunction, as there is a rapid improvement in the Doppler parameters immediately following PTE (including E/A ratio and mitral annular tissue Doppler velocities) [10].

2D speckle tracking is a novel echocardiographic technique that allows digital tracking and quantification of myocardial deformation as a function of time [12]. The deformation can be assessed as both strain (change in length/original length) and radial deformation (a positive value in systole, as the LV wall thickness increases).

In this study of 30 consecutive patients with CTEPH and adequate echo images undergoing PTE with pre- and postoperative RHC, we performed offline 2D speckle measurements of strain and strain rate. PTE resulted in marked improvement in mean PA pressure, PVR, and cardiac output. Additionally, patients demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in circumferential strain (i.e., more circumferential shortening) post-PTE and an increase in posterior wall radial strain (i.e., increased wall thickening). This is likely due to improved left ventricular filling and an increase in LV filling pressure after PTE [9] leading to an improvement in LV performance. The changes could also result from restoration of a more normal LV configuration after PTE.

Septal radial strain, however, did not change significantly post-PTE. Paradoxical post-operative septal movement and hypokinesis is commonly observed in patients undergoing open heart surgery, and can affect tissue Doppler imaging of the septum as well [17-19]. A recent study, however, has shown normal septal strain rate after bypass graft surgery [20]. The etiology of this regional wall motion abnormality (“post-op septum”) is unknown, and may stem from RV dysfunction or a postoperative change in cardiac translation. It is unknown whether the lack of increase in radial septal strain post-PTE in this study is long-lasting, as nearly all patients who undergo PTE at our institution do not live nearby and are unavailable for long term echocardiographic follow-up.

Circumferential as well as posterior wall radial SR did change somewhat after PTE. However, these changes were small compared to the changes in absolute strain (the change in circumferential SR did not reach statistical significance [p = 0.07] and the posterior wall radial SR barely did [p = 0.04]). Like systolic strain, systolic SR is a function of myocardial contractility, preload and afterload: with an increase in LV preload following PTE and, presumably, no change in afterload or LV contractility, one might expect a similar increase in both strain and SR. Why the changes in strain were not mirrored by similar degrees of SR change is unclear. One possibility may stem from the fact that accurate SR analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Patient Data</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>51 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean PA pressure (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>44 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PVR (dyne-sec)/cm$^5$</strong></td>
<td>950 ± 550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LVEDD (cm)</strong></td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LVESD (cm)</strong></td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LV Ejection Fraction (%)</strong></td>
<td>67 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fractional Shortening (%)</strong></td>
<td>40 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac Output (L/min)</strong></td>
<td>39 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LVEDD: left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVESD: left ventricular end-systolic diameter; PA: pulmonary artery; PTE: pulmonary thromboendarterectomy; PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance

| Table 2 Change in Strain and Strain Rate before and after PTE |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Mean pre-PTE      | -21 ± 3           | -1.5 ± 0.3        | 31 ± 8             | 18 ± 0.3         | 28 ± 8             | 19 ± 0.5          |
| Mean post-PTE     | -23 ± 4           | -1.6 ± 0.2        | 36 ± 11            | 19 ± 0.4         | 30 ± 11            | 19 ± 0.6          |
| Relative change   | -11%              | -5%               | 15%                | 7%               | 5%                 | -0.8%             |
| P-value           | < 0.001           | 0.07              | < 0.001            | 0.04             | 0.1                | 0.8               |

(Mean ± SD). Circ: circumferential; PTE: pulmonary thromboendarterectomy; Post: posterior; SR: strain rate
requires very precise speckle tracking. As noted in the limitations section, 2D speckle tracking measures strain directly and SR is then calculated by taking the temporal derivative of strain. Hence noise is amplified when determining SR. Although the quality of the echocardiograms was reasonable, there still may have been a degree of “scatter” and artifacts resulting in suboptimal myocardial tracking which was amplified in SR determination.

Radial septal SR did not change significantly with PTE. This is expected considering there was no observed change in radial septal strain with PTE. It is unclear whether the lack of change in septal radial SR post-PTE is long-lasting. One could also ask why LV strain
improved without changes in LV dimensions or EF. First, the modified Simpson’s method of discs may not apply well to left ventricles that are compressed and distorted in CTEPH, and so the EF calculations may not be completely accurate. Second, we suspect the improvement in strain could be linked to normalization of LV conformation, with a return to a more circular cross-sectional LV shape. Normalization of the LV “eccentricity index” is well documented in patients with CTEPH after PTE [21].

Linear regression analysis of circular strain and posterior wall radial strain vs. hemodynamic parameters revealed several findings. Despite the overall statistically significant change in circumferential and posterior wall radial strain that occurred with PTE, linear correlation of strain values with mean PA pressure, PVR, and cardiac output was poor (Table 3). However, linear regression analysis of circumferential strain revealed a correlation with changes in mean PA pressure, PVR, and cardiac output (r values of 0.69, 0.76, and 0.51 respectively; p < 0.001 for all). Change in posterior wall radial strain also demonstrated a moderate correlation with change in mean PA pressure, PVR, and cardiac output (r values of 0.7, 0.7, and 0.45; p values of < 0.001, < 0.001 and 0.02, respectively). Why did the change in strain correlate more closely with RHC parameters than strain itself? LV strain is influenced by a number of variables, including (but not limited to) long-standing hypertension, cardiac conditioning, coronary artery disease, valvular disease, chamber size, pericardial disease, and volume status [22-27]. Therefore, it is not surprising to find a range of LV strain values for any given degree of pulmonary hypertension. Examining the change in strain following PTE minimizes many of these confounding variables, and may explain the improved correlation between change in strain and RHC variables.

**Limitations**

This study has several limitations. First, the 2D speckle tracking software that we utilized requires high quality echocardiographic images and resolute interference patterns for accurate tracking of the myocardium throughout the cardiac cycle. Of the 60 patients evaluated for this study, only 30 had echocardiograms of adequate quality for analysis. As noted in the Methods section, speckle tracking was deemed poor, average, or good by the software’s automated tracking algorithm. This determination was based on how well speckle interference patterns could be linked from one frame to the next. Only studies that were deemed good were used in our analysis. One of the reasons for the relatively poor quality of postoperative echocardiograms was the difficulty in obtaining images from patients with surgical dressings and recent thoracotomies. This problem may well limit the clinical feasibility of strain analysis in CTEPH, though technical advances could lead to improved imaging in the future. In addition, strain imaging is not universally available and is used primarily at academic echocardiography centers [28]. We did not evaluate natriuretic peptides before and after PTE [29], and did not address the echo parameters of RV strain, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion [30] and left atrial size in this study. These are areas of ongoing research at our institution [31,32].

Another limitation was the subjective manual mapping of the region of interest (ROI) over the myocardium. This imprecision was minimized by manual ROI adjustment to achieve good myocardial tracking of all segments as gauged by the Vivid GE software. Additionally, the mean of 3 consecutive strain and SR measurements was used in our statistical analysis. Finally, preoperative trans-thoracic echocardiography and right heart catheterization were not performed simultaneously in this study, and up to 48 hours elapsed between the two procedures. Because the nature of pulmonary hypertension was chronic in this patient population, we do not believe that simultaneous measurement would have yielded substantially different results. After PTE, the time between initial hemodynamic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Linear Regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial strain vs PVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial strain vs PAP mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial strain vs CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial strain vs PVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial strain vs PAP mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial strain vs CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in circum strain vs change in PVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in circum strain vs change in PAP mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in circum strain vs change in CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in radial strain vs change in PVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in radial strain vs change in PAP mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in radial strain vs change in CO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Circ: circumferential; CO: cardiac output; PAP: pulmonary artery pressure; PTE: pulmonary thromboendarterectomy; PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance
measurements and echocardiography was longer (mean of 9 days), and it is possible that hemodynamic and Doppler parameters fluctuated during this period. In general, however, patients in this study remained stable after PTE. As with previous studies from our institution, echocardiography was delayed until patients left the surgical intensive care unit and could be positioned properly for examination in the noninvasive cardiac laboratory [9,10,33].

Conclusions

The hemodynamic changes after PTE were associated with changes of 11% and 15% in LV circumferential and posterior wall radial strain, respectively. The change in circumferential strain was negative (indicating an increase in circumferential contraction), while the change in posterior wall radial strain was positive (indicating an increase in posterior wall systolic thickening after PTE). These changes may stem from improved filling and normalized conformation of the LV post-PTE.

Although there were slight changes in circumferential strain rate and posterior wall radial strain rate post-PTE, these changes were less robust. Changes in strain and SR did not occur in the septum, possibly due to the effects of cardiopulmonary bypass and/or postoperative stunning. Although circumferential strain and posterior wall radial strain correlated poorly with mean PA pressure, PVR and cardiac output, the change in LV circumferential strain and posterior wall radial strain correlated well with changes in these hemodynamic parameters.

From a clinical perspective, the changes in circumferential and posterior wall radial LV strain may be useful non-invasive markers of successful PTE (particularly if postoperative TR is minimal and difficult to quantify). In addition, and perhaps more importantly, we believe the findings of this study of LV strain in CTEPH further support the concept [9] that the LV diastolic abnormalities seen in CTEPH are caused in large part by LV underfilling rather than intrinsic LV myocardial changes caused by longstanding right ventricular pressure overload.

Additional material

Additional File 1: A representative video image of postoperative circumferential LV strain rate 2-D speckle tracking is shown.
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