Title
More history than myth: African American women's history since the publication of ar'n't I a woman?

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6zv0701p

Journal
Journal of Women's History, 19(2)

ISSN
1042-7961

Author
Millward, J

Publication Date
2007-12-01

Peer reviewed
More History Than Myth: African American Women’s History Since the Publication of Ar’n’t I a Woman?

Jessica Millward

“History is supposed to give people a sense of identity, a feeling for who they are, and how far they have come. It should act as a springboard for the future. One hopes that it will do this for Black women, who have been given more myth than history.”

—Deborah Gray White

At the time of its initial publication in 1985, Ar’n’t I a Woman? was among a small, though significant, number of works focusing on the experiences of slave women in the United States. Calling critical attention to the world of female slaves, White interrogated stereotypes and historical inaccuracies about bondwomen by highlighting their experiences from childhood to adulthood. At the heart of White’s study was the argument that life under bondage fostered an alternative definition of womanhood for African American women. Chattel slavery produced life conditions fundamentally separating White and African American women in the United States, prior to and after the Civil War. While White and Black women may have lived under a paternal, patriarchal structure, race-based experiences nonetheless divided them. As the first book focusing entirely on slave women, it is not surprising that Ar’n’t I a Woman? continues to be one of the most important books ever produced on the subject.

In the two decades since the publication of Ar’n’t I a Woman? the study of African American women’s history has gained considerable prominence in the American historical canon. African American women’s intellectual work, historical contributions, social circumstances, and political participation are noted in countless articles, manuscripts, and dissertations. Discussions of African American women’s nearly four-hundred-year existence in what became the United States reach back into the colonial era and rush forward into the twenty-first century. Much of this turn in the literature owes a great intellectual debt to questions raised and synthesized in Ar’n’t I a Woman?

Although White’s work centered on slavery, the scholarly questions articulated by White continue to guide the writing of Black women’s history in general. In particular, scholars focus their attention on three broad categories: the first being the long-standing debate on race and feminism; the second articulating the relationship between resistance, activism, and power; and the third centering on violence, sexuality, and the body. These topics respond to particular social and historical circumstances such as
slavery, emancipation, and welfare reform; however, they are not historically specific. Rather, they are salient currents in the dialogue between the myths surrounding African American women and their actual lived histories in the United States. Pervasive stereotypes about African American womanhood permeate social, political, and economic realities in the twenty-first century and inspire scholars to aggressively dismantle the notion that all Black women fit into one of three categories presented by White: the asexual mammy, the hot-tempered sapphire, and the wonton jezebel. In doing so, the canon of studies produced in the generations after Ar’n’t I a Woman? highlights the multiplicity of African American women’s identities in the United States.

**Race and Feminism**

Feminism(s), like the writing of Black women’s history, is multilayered. Just as scholars realize that a taxonomy of differences based on class, educational attainment, and political orientation orders relationships between African American and White women, they also produce differences among Black women. Thus for historians of African American women, articulating the relationship between feminism and the writing of Black women’s history is as challenging now as it was for White in 1985. White found that Black women came to their protofeminist consciousness through lived experiences in bondage. Slave women did not have access to such formal institutional frameworks as the church and educational settings. Rather they fashioned a distinct worldview that aided them as they negotiated their new lives after the Civil War. Thus while White women endured their own “race-determined sexism,” writing African American women’s history forces scholars to investigate how race determines their feminist consciousness.5

The African American community also factors into feminist agendas. Leslie Alexander has suggested that “it impossible to remove Black women (or the study of Black women) from the context of the entire Black community.”6 Therefore, it is not surprising that emerging studies of Black women and feminism highlight the role of the community in such varied studies as those on slavery, church participation, and welfare reform. Moreover, scholars face the analytic challenge of not only interrogating issues of race, gender, and feminism in local contexts but at the global, national, and transnational levels as well.7 That said, scholars are cognizant that multiple definitions of Black feminisms exist.8
Resistance, Power, and Activism

The second set of debates challenging scholars in the generations after *Ar’n’t I a Woman?* lies in explaining the relationship between resistance, power, and activism. Resistance, as a subset of power, is a standard theme in studies of African American women’s history. White was aware of Black women’s perilous position on the plantation when she wrote that “Black women held the least formal power, and were perhaps the most vulnerable group in America.” Historians of enslaved women are ever mindful of the contributions and questions posed by White, and continue to explore the relationship between social circumstances and conditions that produced resistant acts. Brenda Stevenson, for example, has provided the excellent example of Sukie, who, tired of having her teeth, skin, and body examined on the auction block, lifted her skirt, pointed to her genitals, and asked if the speculator “saw any teeth down there.”

African American women’s responses to power structures is a theme that transcends time and space. Such historical antecedents as “perceived” powerlessness during slavery blends into interrogations of the power system in freedom. The recent work on African American women as wage earners, activists, and participants in the civic process proves that Black women confronted the power structure with the tools available to them and did so with both measured and phenomenal successes. Scholars are also turning an even more critical eye to, among other things, the formation of the Black Women’s club movement and Black women and the communist party, as well as the formation of the welfare state, in attempts to understand the overlap between resistance, activism, and power.

Violence, Sexualities, and the Body

Perhaps the “newest” set of questions produced in the generations after *Ar’n’t I a Woman?* center on issues of violence, sexualities, and the body. Nell Painter’s pioneering work, “Soul Murder,” called for a “full cost accounting” of the havoc bondage wreaked on the Black psyche. Scholars on lynchings detail how the trauma of this racial violence galvanized African American women to participate in antilynching campaigns. Although small in number, lynchings and other forms of interracial violence remain prevalent. Domestic violence against African Americans is also receiving more attention in both the scholarly and public sectors. Jacqueline Jones, writing at the same time as White, was not silent in her suggestion that slave women endured domestic abuse by White and Black men. *Vibe* magazine recently published an article on domestic abuse among hip-hop artists. In addition, critical scholarly attention is focusing on the fact that Black
women are the largest group contracting the HIV/AIDS virus worldwide. The continuing existence of violence against Black women in a variety of forms, combined with the emotional terror endured in each of these situations, motivates scholars to understand how violence in various forms shaped and continues to shape African American women’s lives, histories, and historiographies.

For the writers of African American women’s history, the themes of violence, sexuality, and reproduction are intertwined and, therefore, often permeate other historical discussions. During slavery their bodies were used to reproduce the slave population, often through violent means. In contrast, scholars of the birth control movement are careful to point out that birth control options for Black women, particularly poor Black women, often include sterilization and are limited when compared to their White counterparts.

Discussions of African American women’s sexuality have also benefited from lines of interrogation challenging the static definition of heterosexuality. The range of African American women’s sexual experiences and identities have benefited from interventions by Gay, Lesbian, and Queer theorists. Moreover, nuanced treatments of African American masculinity vis-à-vis the developments in gender studies and African American women’s history are beginning to emerge.

It is not surprising that studies of the body are producing narratives that further complicate Black women’s identities over time. For African American women, history has always been graphed upon the body. Bodies are racially marked. They embody class differences, spiritual worldviews, and social norms. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth century there were progressive political movements to claim and elevate Black womanhood, specifically in the covering of the body. Sharla Fett has brilliantly detailed the “working cures” produced by African American midwives and nurses during slavery and into the twentieth century as they attempted to heal their own bodies. Despite these achievements in showing how African American women seized control of their repeated sexual victimization and even produced their own medical cures, scholars of the body, regardless of their chronological focus, contend with contemporary arguments surrounding media representations of the Black women’s body in hip-hop culture and in syndicated television.

Conclusion

In the decades since the publication of Ar’n’t I a Woman? African American women’s history has moved from an understudied topic to one that informs scholarship on gender, race, and class in the United States.
Scholars continue to engage questions first posed by White on issues of race and feminism, activism, resistance and power, as well as violence, sexuality, and the body. For African American women’s history, all these factors push previously marginalized and isolated discourses to the center. African American women’s history pivots on the notion that categories for understanding their experiences build upon one another and, at the same time, are as mutually reinforcing as they are unique. This epistemology, though particular to the United States, also has implications for understanding new directions in gender and women’s history in global contexts.

It is clear that African American women’s history is no longer read as a topic that is distinctly Southern or even “American.” However, as much as we stretch beyond the plantation South into the twenty-first century, some scholars are not content to allow the progress of “Black” in women’s history to be defined as studies of modern Black women’s history. Scholars are just as interested in African American women’s history during the colonial period as they are in the postmodern period. While we are beyond the model that African American women were “there,” we are not, however, beyond writing, teaching, and thinking about some of the questions raised by Deborah Gray White in the first and second editions of Ar’n’t I a Woman? In doing so, we can continue to respond to White’s call to produce more history of African American women than myth.
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