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## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## I. Genome Sequence, Assembly and Mapping to Chromosomes

## 1. Strains for genome sequencing

A natural isolate within the D. pulex species complex was picked for sequencing. The Chosen One (TCO) reproduces by cyclical pathenogenesis (capable of both clonal and sexual reproduction) and is easy to culture. The isolate was sampled from a naturally inbred population inhabiting a permanent pond in the Siuslaw National Forest, near the Pacific coast in Oregon, USA. Slimy-Log pond is situated south of Florence and Dunes City, in Douglas County, on the east side of HWY 101, at milepost marker 201 (GPS coordinates N 43.830013, W-124.148152). Sequences from mitochondrial genes suggest that the isolate belongs to an incipient lineage of D. pulex, endemic to an area west of the Cascade Mountains, called D. arenata [S1]. Allozyme and microsatellite genotyping indicated that gene diversity within this population is $\sim 4 \%$ [S2]. Of eight randomly chosen individuals, TCO possessed the lowest nucleotide heterozygosity $(\sim 0.14 \%)$ at 17 sequenced loci. This level of nucleotide polymorphism is comparable to variation found in the sequenced human genome [S3] and is suitably homozygous for the assembly of shotgun derived sequences into contigs. The actual nucleotide heterozygosity of the genome is $0.1 \%$ per site.

A second isolate was also sequenced, albeit at $1 x$ coverage of the genome, to map and study polymorphisms. The Rejected One (TRO) is a hybrid clone of D. pulex found in ponds and of the lacustrine species D. pulicaria. The nucleotide heterozygosity of TRO is $1.44 \%$ per site to study molecular evolutionary patterns.

The isoclonal animals were grown to large numbers in filtered culture medium, and then treated with $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of Tetracycline to reduce bacterial contamination and with 4.5 micron copolymer microsphere beads (Duke Scientific cat\# 7505A; Palo Alto, CA) to clear the gut. High molecular weight DNA was isolated by Genomic-tips using the manufacturer's protocol for animal tissues (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

## 2. Sequencing and assembly

Three size-specific genomic DNA libraries were created using standard protocols for pairedend shotgun Sanger sequencing on ABI 3730xI and MegaBACE 4000 machines. From a total of $2,711,298$ sequences, $1,225,940$ reads ( $45 \%$ ) were obtained from a 2,000-3,000 bp insert plasmid library, $1,272,122$ reads ( $47 \%$ ) were obtained from an $6,000-8,000$ bp library and 228,191 reads ( $8 \%$ ) were obtained from a $35,000-40,000$ bp insert fosmid library. The total number of sequenced nucleotides used in the assembly is $1,211 \mathrm{Mb}$, of which $95.7 \%$ are ascribed to the D. pulex genome. Over 2.4 Mb are ascribed to the Daphnia metagenome [S4]. In addition, there were $1,065,732$ reads that were ultimately not used in the assembly, containing $1,006 \mathrm{Mb}$ of untrimmed sequence.

The draft genome assembly v1.1 was built using the JAZZ assembler [S5] from 1,645,566 quality-filtered sequence reads. The JAZZ assembly is composed of 44,403 contigs and 26,848 scaffolds of which 5,191 belong to the nuclear genome. This assembly includes 17,555 gaps averaging $3,300 \mathrm{bp}$ (ca. 39 Mb in total). Two additional assemblies were created using the ARACHNE [S6] and PCAP [S7] assemblers. The results are reported without filtering. Compared to JAZZ, the ARACHNE assembler produced an equivalent number of scaffolds, yet from twice as many contigs. Although the ARACHNE contigs include only 20 Mb of additional nucleotides, the

ARACHNE scaffolds sum to 396 Mb . This discrepancy is attributed to 3.25 times more gaps, which total an estimated 186.8 Mb of missing data (Table S2). By contrast, the PCAP assembler produced 2.3 times more scaffolds than JAZZ, yet both sets sum to the same length (Figure S3).

We next improved the genome assembly by the manual alignment of trimmed paired-end reads from both TCO and TRO to the sequence scaffolds to build super-scaffolds. Custom scripts identified paired-end reads that aligned uniquely to separate TCO scaffolds. Strict criteria were imposed so to not introduce errors: alignments met a minimum e-value threshold of $1 \times 10^{-100}$ and scored better that the next best alignment by $>50$ orders of magnitude. Results after filtering the data are summarized (Table S3).

Remaining mate-pairs located on the same scaffold provided an actual DNA insert length distribution for each gDNA library. We found that some clones measured distances that were much larger than the predicted insert sizes. Therefore, a second filtering step was applied by removing all mate-paired sequences that spanned $>2 x$ their predicted distance. The modified means and standard deviations for each library were then used to determine whether pairedends that aligned to different scaffolds were sufficiently close to the scaffold terminals to serve as bridges. The set threshold was three standard deviations from the modified average read length for each gDNA library. If both paired-end reads were within the set cutoff from the ends of scaffolds, the reads were considered appropriate candidates for bridging scaffolds.

This above strategy identified 151 instances where at least one set of unique paired-end reads joins two scaffolds. After verification of the results, we propose a final set of 118 superscaffolds (Table S4). In 51 cases, a super-scaffold is supported by more than one independent set of paired-end reads. Further support is provided for seven cases where the joined scaffolds are found on the same chromosome, base on independent genetic analysis [S8](see Table S5).

The super-scaffolds represent a significant improvement of the overall assembly (Figure S3). The N50 for the super-scaffold assembly is 83 compared to 103 for the current assembly. Furthermore the number of super-scaffolds longer than 2.5 Mb has nearly tripled (14) compared to the original assembly (5).

## 3. Validating the draft genome assembly

Eukaryotic draft genome assemblies contain errors that often appear in regions with low read or clone coverage, regions containing chimeric or recombined sequence reads, regions that have compressed distances due to repeated elements or have wrongly oriented paired-end reads [S9]. We validated the overall quality of the D. pulex genome sequence assembly using two methods. First, we compared the assembly created by JAZZ [S5] to competing assemblies built by the ARACHNE [S6] and PCAP [S7] assemblers (Table S2). Comparative results were obtained by matching shared contiguous regions between assemblies using MUMmer [S10]. JAZZ produced 44,403 contigs having a total length of $186,524,647 \mathrm{bp}$. We find that $94 \%$ and $98 \%$ of the JAZZ contigs matched with the ARACHNE and PCAP contigs, respectively (corresponding to $98 \%$ and $95 \%$ of their total contig lengths)(Table S6). By contrast, ARACHNE and PCAP produced many more contigs than JAZZ (80,844 and 74,521) with greater total lengths ( $\sim 209$ Mb and $\sim 234.5 \mathrm{Mb}$, respectively)(Table S2). To detect inconsistent regions between the JAZZ assembly and the two reference assemblies, blocks of fixed length (e.g. 2,000 bp) in the JAZZ assembly were classified into three categories (Table S6): (1) unmatched blocks without alignments to the contigs in the reference assembly; (2) uniquely matched blocks that align to a unique and contiguous region in the reference assembly; and (3) overlapping blocks containing two overlapping regions matched to two different contigs in the reference assembly. This third
category lists putatively mis-assembled regions, which are called breakpoints in the contigs. Two sets of breakpoints (blocks) were identified by referencing each of the two assemblies, after filtering out imperfect matches within the MUMmer output if they did not have a unique region of a certain number of bases. We used 500 bp and $1,000 \mathrm{bp}$ blocks to define regular and stringent criteria.

Our second method applied machine learning to a combined evidence validation of genome assemblies (called GAV)[S11]. The machine learning model was trained to predict breakpoints within a $2,000 \mathrm{bp}$ block of assembled sequence using features deduced from the placement of reads and mate-pairs that cover this block, such as the read and clone coverage, clone length statistics, and repeat content. The training data sets included blocks that positively contained breakpoints and blocks that were positively error-free (Table S7A). These were confirmed by the EST alignments to the genome sequence. The training procedure follows. (1) ESTs that were not well aligned to the genomic (contig) sequences were filtered out based on the matching length (L), score (S), and e-values (V). By default, we used $L=200, S=100, V=1 \times e^{-10}$. (2) The matepairs (reads from the 5' and 3' ends of cDNA clones) were individually and unambiguously aligned onto the contigs. (3) When the 5' and 3' ESTs from a cDNA clone had incorrect orientation, the corresponding block was classified as a mis-assembled (negative) region of the contig. (4) Otherwise, unaligned regions in the cDNA clone were checked when aligned to the contigs. If the size of an unaligned region was greater than 50 bp , the block covering the boundary of the unaligned region was classified as a mis-assembled (negative) region. (5) We also checked the distances between the location of 5' and 3' ESTs. If the distance was greater than a cutoff ( $10,000 \mathrm{bp}$ ), we classified the block covering the boundary of the EST as a misassembled (negative) sample. (6) The blocks covered by the remaining ESTs were classified as correctly assembled (positive). In total, 116,714 positive blocks and 10,232 negative blocks were obtained, which represent 4,536 contigs and 920 scaffolds (Table S7A).

Since the 5,191 scaffolds of the current JAZZ assembly were chosen for the annotation of the D. pulex genome, these were further validated. Using the procedures described above, a consensus set of likely mis-assembled blocks (of length $2,000 \mathrm{bp}$ ) was predicted. We identified 1,889 breakpoints when using the ARACHNE assembly as reference, and 3,304 blocks when using PCAP as reference. GAV predicted 3,053 putatively mis-assembled blocks (Table S7B). Shared predicted breakpoints among the three sets are shown in Figure S4. Since each of the genome validation methods have inherently high false positive rates, concordance in their independent results produced a more reliable count of likely assembly errors. For instance, among the predicted mis-assembled regions by GAV, the best performance of the program produced $60 \%$ false positives [S11]. Although the program's performance seemed poor, its false negative rate was negligible [S11]; this exercise was therefore helpful to guide the necessary experimental validation. Finally, Figure S5 demonstrates a correlation between the length of scaffolds and the number of break points (i.e., the longer scaffolds tend to contain more breakpoints). Based on these analyses, sequence assembly errors are minimal, ranging between $0.1 \%$ and $0.5 \%$ of the total assembly (Table S7; Figures S4-5).

We investigated the genomic features residing in the assembly gaps by first identifying 19,733 paired-end sequences that were not included in the assembly ( 7,652 from 3 kb insert libraries; 8,397 from 7 kb insert libraries; and 3,684 from 35 kb insert libraries) where one end unambiguously aligned to a scaffold region, and the other end of the sequenced DNA fragment failed to unambiguously align, and fell within a gap, based on the insert sizes of the fragments. 17.5 Mb of DNA within 6,075 gaps were thus surveyed. The D. pulex paired-end reads that "dangle within gaps" are annotated as follows, using RepeatMasker
[http://www.repeatmasker.org] and RepBase [http://www.girinst.org/repbase/] database of arthropod repeats, and Gmap [S12] for EST and transcript finding:

- $1.16 \%$ of DNA within gaps is composed of simple repeats, $1.55 \%$ is composed of low complexity regions, and $2.50 \%$ is composed of transposons. These values are slightly higher than the 0.38 \% simple repeats, 0.77 \% low complexity, and 0.69 \% transposons for the full assembly. These small increments are unlikely to have impacted the assembly.
- $22 \%$ of the Daphnia genes (see section II. 1 below) have high-identify paralogs within gaps, which is equal to the number of paralogs found elsewhere in the assembled genome. These paralogs are found in 3,598 of the 6,075 surveyed gaps ( $59 \%$ ).
- ESTs also mapped to the dangling reads at the same rate as found in the assembled genome. Of 151,075 ESTs, $5 \%$ are found in these reads - with average $90 \%$ identity - compared to $92 \%$ found in full assembly at $95 \%$ identity. Therefore, ESTs align to genomic DNA at nearly equal rates for dangling reads residing in gaps ( 0.0006 EST/base) and for assembled sequences of the genome ( $0.0008 \mathrm{EST} /$ base).

Overall, we conclude that gaps contain repeated sequences. Given the number of highidentity paralogs arranged within $59 \%$ of the surveyed gaps, we surmise that, in particular, high-identity gene paralogs contributed to creating gaps in the D. pulex assembly

## 4. Comparative genomic hybridization using multiplex microarrays

In collaboration with Roche NimbleGen Inc. we designed and manufactured a multiplex (12plex) long-oligonucleotide ( 60 nt ) D. pulex microarray that measures gene expression and can also be used for comparative genome hybridizations. Each glass slide contains 12 identical arrays prepared using a Maskless Array Synthesizer [S13]. Each array consists of 137,000 temperature-balanced probes; 22,076 genes are represented by three unique probes, 13,232 genes are represented by two unique probes, 357 genes are presented by a single probe, while the remaining probes are designed from transcriptionally active regions whose gene models are not yet described. The array also contains control probes and random probes designed to reflect the genome nucleotide composition by Markov modeling.

DNA samples from 24 cultures of TCO were obtained using a CTAB method [S14] then quantified using a Quant-iT ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PicoGreen ® dsDNA protocol [S15]. High molecular weight DNA (1 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ) was sheered using the Sonicator 4000 (Misonix, Farmingdale, NY) to generate 500-2,000 bp fragments. The fragmented gDNA sample was assessed by capillary electrophoresis using Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Colorado Springs, CO) then labeled using the Roche NimbleGen labeling kit. Briefly, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ fragmented gDNA in $40 \mu$ l water was primed with $40 \mu$ l of 1-O.D. CY-labeled random nonomer primer at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, then immediately cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. The reaction was followed with 100 U Klenow fragment ( $3>5$ exo-) and $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 mM dNTP mix to a final volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$, incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours, and terminated with 0.5 M EDTA. CY-labeled gDNA was purified by isopropanol precipitation in the presence of sodium chloride. Concentration and purity of the resuspended Cy/DY labeled gDNA in water was determined using NanoDrop ND- 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

Hybridization, post-hybridization washing and scanning were done according to NimbleGen User's Guide for CGH Analysis v.5.1 (16 Mar 2009) with modifications for the 12-plex array format. Images were acquired using a GenePix 4200A scanner with GenePix 6.0 software (Molecular Devices, MDS Analytical Technologies). The data from the images were extracted using the software NimbleScan v2.4 (Roche NimbleGen Inc., Madison, WI).

The data were imported into an in-house analysis pipeline using Bioconductor for the analysis [S16]. The signal distributions of all probes, including random probes, were adjusted across the 24 replicates to the same median.

## 5. Chromosome studies

The D. pulex karyotype (Figure S6) is based on the preparation of meiotic chromosomes as described previously [S17]. Prepared slides were placed on a heat block at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, incubated in $2 \times S S C$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and rinsed in $0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$. For G banding, slides were dipped in $0.05 \%$ trypsin for 10 sec , rinsed in Gurr's buffer (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA), and stained with Giemsa ( 1 ml Giemsa [Gibco] buffered with 50 ml Gurr buffer) for 12 min . Finally, slides were rinsed in distilled water, air dried and analyzed by blight field observation. For DAPI banding, slides were stained with DAPI mounted in an antifading solution, Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), and analyzed by fluorescence observation. Observations were made on a Nikon Ecripse 80i microscope equipped with a motorized $Z$ axis. Images were captured with Photometrics HQ using Metamorph software and processed with Adobe Photoshop software. Measurements were performed using Scion image software.

## II. Gene Inventory

## 1. Manufacturing gene models and selection of the minimum set

The minimum gene set refers to Dappu version 1.1 gene models. These models were predicted using several methods: Fgenesh [S18], Genewise [S19], SNAP [S20], PASA [S21] and Gnomon [S22](Table S9). These gene prediction methods include a combination of ab initio modeling, homology-based modeling using protein seeds from similar sequences in other genomes, and modeling based on cDNA sequence alignments to the genome assembly. Whole genome tiling path microarrays, peptide sequencing, and comparison with D. magna genome sequence were used as additional lines of evidence. In addition, genes were also manually curated.

The annotation pipeline typically produced multiple overlapping gene models, which were created by different gene predictors at each locus. To select the best representative gene model, we employed a heuristic approach, based on a combination of protein homology and EST support. Homology information was based on the best alignments produced by BLASTp searches [S23] from the NCBI protein database. Only alignments with scores >50 and coverage greater than $25 \%$ of the length of the gene models were considered valid models with homology support.

EST support was based on the correlation coefficient (CC), a measure commonly used to estimate the accuracy of predicted gene models relative to known, experimentally validated gene models [S24]. For this annotation project, an average CC value was computed from all ESTs that mapped to a gene model. The CC values ranged from -1 to +1 , with +1 assigned to a perfect match between the ESTs and the predicted gene model, and -1 representing a complete disagreement. Negative correlations indicated potentially poor quality gene models. Therefore, models with negative correlations and poor homology support (alignment coverage both for gene model and its protein homolog $<50 \%$ ) were initially discarded from the minimum gene set.

Each gene model was assigned scores based on the following formula: $\mathrm{S}=$ Sblast $\times$ (cov1 $\times$ cov2 +CC ); where Sblast is the BLASTp score of alignments between a gene model and a protein homolog, cov1 and cov2 are the alignment-coverage for the model and homolog, respectively ( $0 \leq \operatorname{cov} 1, \operatorname{cov} 2 \leq 1$ ), and CC is an average correlation coefficient between the model and all overlapping ESTs. For a given locus, the model with the highest score was
selected, and all other models that had greater than 5\% overlap with the selected model were excluded from the final minimum gene set.

Ab initio models with no detectable homologs were also excluded from the minimum Dappu v1.1 set. Reducing the stringency of this gene selection project predicted a much larger count, potentially exceeding 40,423 genes. A protein similarity search against a draft genome sequence for D. magna at 8 -fold coverage identifies 2,319 ( $23 \%$ ) of 10,015 ab initio gene models, and 3,653 ( $46 \%$ ) of 7,965 gene models proposed by TARs (section II.4) that are all presently excluded from our minimal set of genes. Moreover, of the $>11,000 \mathrm{D}$. pulex peptide sequences detected by tandem mass spectrometry (section II.3), 880 peptides map to 95 ab initio gene models that are absent from the minimum set.

Multiple methods that follow were used to validate the Dappu version 1.1 gene builds.

## 2. Transcriptome sequencing 37 cDNA libraries

Twenty non-normalized cDNA libraries were generated from RNA extracted from a D. pulex isolate TRO. The libraries represent transcriptomes under a combination of 13 ecological conditions and three developmental stages (Table S10). The animals were cultured within large, aerated, 200 liter container of filtered lake water by feeding a concentrated monoculture of green algae (Scenedesmus acutus). Total RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen Life Sciences, Carlsbad, CA) and was subsequently purified using the RNeasy protocol (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The cDNA libraries were constructed and sequenced using previously described methods [S25], except that paired-end sequences were now obtained. This effort produced 70,765 reads from a total of 50,070 clonal plasmids. This method resulted in a gene discovery rate of $41 \%$ to $85 \%$ among the libraries and an average rate of $64 \%$.

Sixteen additional cDNA libraries were constructed using normalization procedures that improve the sampling of uniquely identified genes among conditions (Table S10). Total RNA was isolated from the TCO isolate using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen Life Sciences, Carlsbad, CA) and was subsequently purified using the RNeasy protocol (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The cDNA libraries were produced using the Creator SMART (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) system by following the manufacturer's instructions. After the cDNA synthesis but prior to cloning, the cDNA pool was normalized using the Trimmer-Direct cDNA normalization kit (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia), amplified then ligated into the pDNR-LIB vector. The vector-cDNA ligants were bacterial transformed into TOP10 competent cells (Invitrogen Life Sciences, Carlsbad, CA), grown onto selective $2 \times Y T$ agar plates overnight and individual colonies were archived by freezing within $15 \%$ glycerol $2 \times$ YT selective media. These libraries are available to the research community by the Indiana University Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics. Sequencing reactions were performed by priming at the 5' end of cDNA using vector primer pDNRlib30-50 (TAT ACG AAG TTA TCA GTC GAC G) and by priming at the 3 ' end using vector primer M13rev (AAA CAG CTA TGA CCA TGT TCA C) with ABI BigDye chemistry and the $3730 x L$ sequencer. Vector and poor quality sequences were trimmed from the sequencing reads and ESTs were assembled into contigs using ESTPiper [S26]. This effort produced 89,140 reads from a total of 59,904 clonal plasmids. This method resulted in a gene discovery rate of $75 \%$ to $87 \%$ among the libraries and an average rate of $81 \%$. EST sequences have been deposited in GenBank, accession numbers: FE274839-FE425949.

The ESTPiper program assembled 113,931 ESTs out of 148,410 sequences that passed quality assurance thresholds producing a unigene set of 14,891 sequences. The assembly to the D. pulex genome sequence scaffolds began first by using BLAT [S27] to find overlapping and
mate-paired EST clusters, then by using PASA [S21] to merge sets of compatible overlapping EST alignments to identify alternative splice variants. The following parameter options were applied: blat min. identity $=95 \%$; blat max. intron $=750 \mathrm{~Kb}$; clustering min. coverage $=80 \%$; clustering min. overlap $=40 \mathrm{bp}$; clustering max. magnification $=10 \mathrm{bp}$. A PASA database was constructed for D. pulex (Table S1) that provides web access to EST assembly summaries and details, EST validation and correction reports for gene predictions, providing a useful reference for expert gene annotators.

## 3. Proteome sequencing

We sequenced over 11,000 peptides using two approaches.
1D Nano-LC Orbitrap approach - Animals were freeze-dried and solubilised in SDS Buffer ( 0.5 M Tris pH 6.8, 5\% SDS, glycerol, milli-Q water, Bromophenol Blue, 10 mM DTT). After centrifugation at $100,000 \times \mathrm{g}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ protein was subjected to separation by SDS-PAGE on a $12.5 \%$ maxi gel using the BioRad Protean II Electrophoresis system (BioRad, Veenendaal, Netherlands) using 60 V in the stacking layer, increasing up to 80 V during the separation. The gel was stained using Gelcode® blue stain reagent (Pierce, Rockford, USA) overnight and subsequently washed with milli-Q water. The lane was subsequently excised into 20 gel pieces and reduced with 6.5 mM DTT (Roche Diagnostics) followed by alkylation with 54 mM iodoacetamide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) for one hour, to be then digested with trypsin at an enzyme: substrate ratio of 1:50 (w/w). Nanoflow liquid chromatography was performed on an Agilent 1100 HPLC binary solvent delivery system (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) with a thermostated wellplate autosampler coupled to an LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany). $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Aqua $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) trapping column and a $200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Reprosil-Pur $\mathrm{C}_{18^{-}}$AQ (Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch, Germany) analytical column. Peptides were trapped at $5 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$ in $100 \%$ A ( 0.1 M acetic acid in water) on the Aqua $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column for ten minutes. After flow-splitting down to $\sim 100$ $\mathrm{nl} / \mathrm{min}$, peptides were transferred to the analytical column and eluted with a gradient of $0-40 \%$ B ( $80 \%$ Acetonitrile/ 0.1 M Acetic Acid) in 40 minutes in a 60 minute gradient. Nanospray was achieved using a coated fused silica emitter (New Objective, Cambridge, MA) (o.d., $360 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; i.d., $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, tip i.d. $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). A $33 \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \Omega$ resistor was introduced between the high voltage supply and the electrospray needle to reduce ion current. The LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent mode, automatically switching between MS and MS/MS. The two most intense peaks above a threshold of 500 were selected for collision induced dissociation (CID) in the linear ion trap at normalized collision energy of $35 \%$. In the LTQ-Orbitrap full scan MS spectra ( $300-1500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) were acquired with a resolution of 60,000 at $400 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ after accumulation to a target value of 500,000.

2D Nano-LC LTQ approach - Growth of daphniids (TCO isolate), protein preparation, SDS gel fractionation of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ protein and in-gel digestion with Trypsin were performed as described in detail [S28]. The 2D-nano-LC separation of peptides derived from 10 SDS gel slices was performed on a multi-dimensional liquid chromatography system (Ettan MDLC, GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Chromatographic parameters for the first dimension were: $50 \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$ SCX column (BioBasic, Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany), flow rate $6 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ with 6 discrete salt plugs of increasing salt concentration (10, 25, 50, 100, 500 and $800 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ in $0.1 \%$ formic acid and 5\% ACN). The eluted peptides were bound on a RP trap column (C18 PepMap 100, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ i.d. 5 mm , LC Packings) and subsequently separated on the second-dimension RP column (C18 PepMap 100, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ i.d. 15 cm , LC Packings) with a 72 min linear gradient (A: $0.1 \%$ formic acid, B: $84 \% \mathrm{ACN}$ and $0.1 \%$ formic acid) at a flow rate of $260 \mathrm{~nL} / \mathrm{min}$. Mass spectrometry was performed on a linear ion trap mass spectrometer (LTQ, Thermo Fisher,

Waltham, MA) online coupled to the nano-LC system. For electrospray ionization a distal coated SilicaTip (FS-360-50-15-D-20, New Objective, Woburn, MA, USA) and a needle voltage of 1.4 kV was used. The MS method consisted of a cycle combining one full MS scan (Mass range: 300$2000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) with three data dependent MS/MS events ( $35 \%$ collision energy). The dynamic exclusion was set to 30 s .

Database searches and statistical data evaluation - MS/MS spectra of both approaches were converted to DTA files using Bioworks (Thermo, San Jose). Perl scripts were used to convert all spectra into a single file and searched using MASCOT search engine (Matrix Science, London, UK, Version 2.2.01) against D. pulex gene model databases (v1.1 or All Models) with cysteine carbamidomethylation and Methionine oxidation as a fixed variable modifications, respectively. A peptide mass tolerance of 5 ppm for Orbitrap spectra and 2 Da for LTQ data was used. As fragment mass tolerance 0.8 Da was selected and Trypsin was chosen as proteolytic enzyme allowing one missed cleavage. All data were loaded into Scaffold (version 02.01.00, ProteomeSoftware, Portland, OR) and was used to probabilistically validate peptide and protein identifications. Peptide and protein identifications were accepted when reaching 90\% and 95\% probability, respectively, requiring a minimum of two peptides per protein.

## 4. NimbleGen genome tiling microarray experiments

We used a set of two custom-designed Roche NimbleGen high-density-2 (HD2) whole genome tiling microarrays, each with 2.1 million isothermal long-oligonucleotide probes (50-75 nt in length) that sequentially overlap 30 bp , on average (NCBI GEO accession numbers GPL11200-GPL11201). Included are 225,000 markov modeled random probes sharing base compositions equivalent to the Daphnia sequences represented by the experimental probes. These random probes are used to set appropriate thresholds that measure significant hybridization signals over the background. All experimental probes were designed from unique regions of the genome sequence using the NimbleGen ArrayScribe software and the quality assurance tests of the probes were conducted by CGB in-house algorithms. Experiments conducted on this tiling array are used to (1) validate the frozen gene sets of the current genome annotation, (2) improve the predicted gene structures by empirically determining UTRs and intron-exon boundaries, identifying missing upstream, internal, and downstream exons and alternative transcripts, (3) propose gene structure models in transcribed regions containing no predicted genes and (4) delineate transcriptionally active regions of the genome from intergenic, intronic and genic regions. Signal to background ratios were determined by first calling probes that fluoresced at intensities greater than $99 \%$ of the random probes' signal intensities; therefore only $1 \%$ of fluorescing experimental probes should be false positives. The arrays reliably produced high signal to background ratios; $\log _{2}$ ratios of eight were observed for signal over background.

We conducted two-color competitive hybridizations that measure differential expression from three replicates, each using RNA from independent biological extractions of (1) adult males vs adult females, (2) $4^{\text {th }}$ instar juveniles responding to kairomones by the dipteran predator Chaoborus americanus vs controls, (3) 11 day old animals exposed to four metals separately for 24 hours vs controls, and (4) four week old animals who were exposed for 21 days to cadmium vs controls.

Comparing the sexes - We used Daphnia pulex isolate TCO for comparing adult male and female transcriptomes. Animals were reared in filtered lake water at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a $12: 12$ light/dark cycle at a density of approximately 1 individual per 5 ml . Animals were fed Scenedesmus algae at approximately $0.1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ each day and split into two groups of 20 . One group was exposed
to 400 nM methyl farnesoate in methanol ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}-1$ ), which is known to reliably induce male production [S29], while the other group of 20 individuals were untreated. Progeny were raised under conditions described above in common beakers, with about 25 individuals per beaker and inspected by microscopy to verify healthy appearance and the development of animals of both sexes. After 14 days, adult males and females were sacrificed. Total RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen) and RNeasy columns (Qiagen), including a DNase treatment performed oncolumn. Quality of total RNA preparations was assayed by spectrophotometry and by the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (see Bioanalyzer section of [S30]). Three biological replicates were compared. Two female replicates were labeled with Cy -3 (green) dye, therefore two male replicates were labeled with Cy -5 (red) dye, while the third replicate consisted of a dye flip.

Exposure to kairomones - We used Daphnia pulex clone R9 (isolated from arctic Canada by Dr. Larry Weider) for our kairomone experiments. This clone was shown to respond to chemical cues from Chaoborus by producing distinct neckteeth [S31]. We conducted the experiments in two separate labs under different culture conditions and slightly different induction protocols. The three biological replicates for each experimental condition were then mixed before the RNA was extracted. This allowed us to focus our search on genes that were up or down-regulated simultaneously under all induction conditions. In both set-ups, we cultured the Daphnia and conducted the experiments in artificial medium (consisting of local tap water, ultra pure water and trace elements) under fluorescent light in climate controlled rooms at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Scenedesmus acutus was used as food and offered at non-limiting concentration to stimulate offspring production in the cultures.

In one lab, we simultaneously raised cohorts and placed a mixture of 150 differently aged adult mothers into 3 L borosilicate glass beakers. We had a control and an induction treatment. In the induction treatment, 60-70 fourth instar Chaoborus flavicans larvae were placed into a net cage hanging into the experimental beakers. The net prevented direct predation but allowed all chemical cues to pass. The Chaoborus larvae were fed with first instar D. pulex from the cultures because the kairomone production depends on actively feeding Chaoborus larvae. Dead larvae were replaced and prey remnants were removed daily. We collected all offspring produced by the mothers in two day intervals, ensuring that the Daphnia were in the first two juvenile instars where they are still inducible. Offspring released during the initial two days were not used because their induction time had been two short. We verified neckteeth production by checking subsets of the offspring under a dissecting microscope. All juveniles in the induction treatment carried neckteeth. We harvested all offspring produced during the next 10 days (500-150 animals every second day per beaker). The control treatments had identical setups with the exception that the net cages contained no predators. Both treatments had four independent replicates. The animals were directly transferred to Trizol and frozen at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Experiments in the second lab deviated in some minor aspects. Animals were raised in 1.5 L beakers containing age-synchronized females and net cages. 15 larvae of Chaoborus flavicans were placed into the net cages for the induction. After releasing their offspring, the mothers were removed and the offspring stayed in the treatments. Offspring were harvested after molting to the second instar. Induction was checked under a dissecting microscope. Both treatments had three independent replicates. The animals were directly frozen in artificial medium at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The RNA was isolated and treated as above. Two kairomone treatment samples were labeled with Cy-3 (green) dye, therefore two control replicates were labeled with Cy-5 (red) dye, while the third replicate consisted of a dye flip.

Exposure to metals - We used Daphnia pulex isolates TCO and PA33 (from Portland Arch nature preserve in Lafayette, Indiana) for comparing the transcriptome of stage-specific adult
females challenged by metals to that under no stress. The experiment followed a protocol described in an earlier study [S32]. Animals were reared in 3.5L borosilicate glass beakers ( 25 per beaker) held at a constant temperature ( $20 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and photoperiod (16:8 light-dark). The animals were maintained in nanopure water reconstituted to moderate hardness [S33] and renewed weekly. They were fed Scenedesmus algae daily at a concentration of $75,000 \mathrm{cells} / \mathrm{mL}$. Our pre-experimental procedure consisted of maintaining cultures of neonates ( $<24$ hours old) for one generation prior to the metal exposure to control for maternal effects [S34]. These animals are referred to as 'brood females', which were synchronized with respect to time of maturity for producing neonates for the metal experiments.

We conducted a chronic (16-day) exposure experiment to cadmium. Test solutions were prepared immediately prior to use with culture media from stocks made with $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ (analytical grade, Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO, USA) dissolved in deionized water. Three independently replicated Daphnia microarray experiments used 24 hour old animals exposed to nonlethal concentrations of cadmium ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and control conditions in batches of 50 Daphnia per 3.5L exposure chamber. Earlier experiments showed that this concentration inhibits reproduction by $\sim 30 \%$. The animals were directly frozen in artificial medium at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The RNA was isolated and treated as above. Two cadmium treatment samples were labeled with $\mathrm{Cy}-3$ (green) dye, therefore two control replicates were labeled with Cy-5 (red) dye, while the third replicate consisted of a dye flip.

In another tiling array experiment, adult Daphnia (17-24 d) were acutely exposed (24-h) to one of five metals (arsenic, $1384 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; cadmium, $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; copper, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; nickel, $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; zinc, $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) or control conditions were identical, but lacked metals. The metal concentrations in these tests were demonstrated to be non-lethal over the acute exposure period. Arsenic and copper experiments were conducted with TCO. Copper, nickel, and zinc experiments were conducted with PA33. All Daphnia were exposed in batch with 25 individuals housed per 3.5L. Batch number was optimized to provide adequate sample mass for molecular evaluation (e.g., 1 adult Daphnia equals $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA). Each exposure included four replicate beakers per treatment and control. Culture conditions followed those previously described. RNA was extracted from each sample and pooled in equal-molar amounts from the five treatments and controls to form two groups (e.g., metal, control). Replicates within these groups were independent, as pools were randomly constructed from individual biological replicates obtained for each exposure condition.

For both experiments, three biological replicates were compared. Two metal exposure replicates were labeled with Cy - 3 (green) dye, therefore two control conditions replicates were labeled with Cy-5 (red) dye, while the third replicate consisted of a dye flip.

RNA sample processing and analysis of data - Beginning with at least $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA, a single round of amplification using MessageAmpTM II aRNA kit (Ambion) produced more than $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ for all other tissue types. Starting with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of cRNA, double strand cDNA synthesis was carried out using the Invitrogen SuperScript Double-Stranded cDNA Synthesis kit using random hexamer primer followed by DNA labeling using 1 O.D. CY-labeled random nonomer primer (either Cy3- or Cy5-coupled) and 100 U Klenow fragment ( $3>5$ exo) per $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ double-stranded cDNA (see NimbleGen labeling protocol for gene expression contained in the following PDF available from the NimbleGen website: exp_uerguide v3p2.pdf). Each treatment and control was differentially labeled and a dye-swap was included among the replicate experiments. Dual-color hybridization ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of both Cy -labeled samples), post-hybridization washing and scanning were done according to the manufacturer's instructions (exp_userguide_v3p2.pdf). Images were acquired using an Axon GenePix 4200A scanner
(Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale CA) with GenePix 6.0 software. The data from these arrays were extracted using the software NimbleScan 2.4 (Roche NimbleGen, Inc., Madison, WI).

Transcriptional active regions (TARs) were defined by stringing together overlapping probes showing fluorescence above a $1 \%$ false positive rate (FPR). First, replicate arrays were quantilenormalized [S35] and to each probe the median value of the replicate probe values was assigned. The fluorescence signal of 225,453 random probes, designed to reflect the genome nucleotide composition by Markov modeling, was used to determine a FPR threshold. Probes were considered positive if their fluorescence signal was higher than the $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the fluorescence signal of the random probes. (Fluorescence signal of 275,000 probes from 3,889 scaffolds likely to be from bacterial DNA were also assessed. Only $1.8 \%$ of those mostly bacterial probes had signal above that 1\% random probe FPR cutoff.) Contiguously transcribed elements, TARs, were generated similarly to the approach developed in [S36]. Positive probes were joined into a TAR if they were adjacent ( maxgap=0, no intermittent non-positive probe) and a TAR's length had to be at least 45 bp (minrun=45, mid-point first positive probe to mid-point last positive probe, resulting in at least 3 adjacent positive probes for a TAR).

The exons or genes were deemed to be transcribed only when greater than $80 \%$ or their tiled length was expressed. Genes validated by tiling array or EST data are shown in Table S11.

The data analysis to measure differential expression of genes and of unannotated TARs was performed using the statistical software package R [S37] and Bioconductor [S16] with additions and modifications. The signal distributions across chips, samples and replicates were adjusted to be equal according to the mean fluorescence of the random probes on each array. All probes including random probes were quantile-normalized across replicates. Expression-level scores were assigned for each predicted gene based on the median $\log _{2}$ fluorescence over background intensity of probes falling within the exon boundaries. This following analysis protocol was used for estimating differential expression of genes and other genome features from tiled expression data. (1) We created a "tile-expression" table containing normalized $\log _{2}$ expression scores for each oligonucleotide probe, with columns for each treatment and replicate, as well as the designated genome location (or address) of each probe. (2) We next created a "tile-genemapping" table, in the same sorted order as the tile-expression table, which has columns of gene IDs for each exon, intron, tar-region, in rows matching the address of each probe. (3) We calculated the per-tile, per-treatment differential expression (DE) levels with LIMMA R package [S38]. This balanced-design DE calculation is of the same type that LIMMA is designed to produce. (4) Using in-house algorithms, we combined the per-tile DE results using the tile-gene mapping table to produce statistics for each gene, gene-intron, tar-region of interest that include M and A expression estimates, t -statistic, and probability. The data are deposited at NCBI GEO under the accession GSE25823.

## 5. Transcription profiling using NimbleGen multiplex microarrays

We employed the 12-plex gene expression microarray described above (section I.4) for additional higher-throughput gene expression experiments. Our protocol on the use of this microarray platform for two-color hybridizations - comparing one conditions versus another - is described in a technical report [S30].

To investigate the evolution of gene expression, we gathered twelve microarray datasets that were produced using the same protocol by the same person (J. Lopez, CGB). We compared the gene expression patterns of four to six replicates of $D$. pulex: coping with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Cd}$, with 1.5 $\mathrm{mgC} / \mathrm{L}$ of a $1: 1$ mixture of Microcystis and Ankistrodesmus, with a Cd/microcystis mixture, and
with $5.33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}_{2}$. For each condition we compared the expression response of adapted and non-adapted isolates to control exposures. We also exposed a non-adapted isolate to acid stress ( pH 6 ), and compared young and geriatric isolates. Results from each of these 12 experiments are presented more fully within companion studies (added to [S39]).

After hybridizations and scanning, the data from each experiment were extracted using NimbleScan v2.4 software (Roche NimbleGen, Inc., Madison, WI) and imported into an in-house analysis pipeline using Bioconductor for normalization and analysis [S16]. All probes including random probes were quantile-normalized across chips, subarrays, samples and replicates. Differential expression was assessed using LIMMA and EBarrays [S38, S40] using the median signal of probes representing genes. EBarrays uses a parametric mixture model to calculate the posterior probability of differential expression for arbitrarily complex experimental designs. This method was applied to each experiment. To determine the significance of expression differences, and adjust for multiple testing, we calculated the False Discovery Rate using the BenjaminiHochberg method [S41] for each gene using the Bioconductor LIMMA package. The data are deposited at NCBI GEO under the accession GSE25823.

## 6. Annotating protein-coding genes

All predicted protein-coding gene models were functionally annotated by homology to annotated genes from the NCBI non-redundant set and classified according to Gene Ontology [S42], eukaryotic orthologous groups [S43], KEGG metabolic pathways [S44] and phylogenomic gene clustering [S45]. The automated annotation is followed by a distributed community-wide manual curation. The JGI Portal provides tools for web-based manual curation that enables a search for the gene of interest, validation of predicted gene structures, correcting and de novo model building with the correct structure, and correcting and/or providing additional details on functional annotation.

Manual curation is focused on either specific genes searchable by keyword or BLAST or groups of genes from metabolic and regulatory pathways (KEGG browser), functional categories of eukaryotic clusters of Orthologous Groups (KOG, via KOG browser) and molecular functions, biological processes or cellular components of Gene Ontology (GO via GO browser). At every locus, curators assess the quality of the predicted gene models using available supporting evidence on the DNA level displayed on the genome browser (ESTs, homology, genome conservation, etc.), or on the protein level (protein and alignments, domains, completeness), or through additional custom analysis (e.g., multiple alignment). After these assessments, the best available model is selected for the final minimum gene set (gene catalog v1.1). In the absence of models of sufficient quality, the models are edited or created de novo to be included in the gene catalog. Annotation data were submitted for1,688 manually curated genes and 523 novel or structurally modified genes. Gene annotations are deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession ACJ G00000000.

## 7. Annotating non-coding RNA and transposable elements

Automated searches for non-protein-coding loci added more characterized loci to the v1.1 gene builds. To estimate the repeat copy number for the rRNA arrays, we mapped by using BLAT all homologous reads from the TCO shotgun genome dataset to a reference sequence for the ribosomal RNA genes. The average coverage of shotgun reads for the rRNA repeat in this analysis was $4,120 \times$. Given that the average genome-wide coverage is $8.7 \times$, we estimate that the number of copies for the rRNA repeat is $\sim 468$. A similar analysis of the TRO shotgun reads suggests a repeat copy number of $\sim 500$.

We located tRNA genes using the Aragorn [S46] and rRNAscan-SE [S47] algorithms, which generated counts of 3,983 and 5,440 loci respectively. The combined analysis identified an overlapping set of 3,798 tRNAs. These annotated tRNA gene models are mapped to the genome sequence using Gbrowse at wFleaBase (Table S1).

Micro-RNA (miRNA) loci in the D. pulex genome (Table S16) were identified using a pipeline that uses Support Vector Machine models, homology and an orthology procedure [S48].

Transposable element (TE) content in D. pulex was determined using a two-step process. Consensus sequences were identified using various programs and used to build a library which was subsequently used to mask the genome to estimate the proportion of the genome comprised of TEs. Long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons were located using MGEScanLTR, a de novo identification method based on string pattern matching and profile hidden Markov model [S49]. MGEScan-LTR identified full-length elements having LTRs at both ends, and clustered them into families by using threshold parameters of $80 \%$ identity of reverse transcriptase (RT) protein sequences. The program mainly found elements in Gypsy, Copia, and Bel/Pao clades. In order to identify DIRS elements, protein domain searching was used with RT and tyrosine recombinase (YR) as queries. Non-LTR retrotransposons were identified using MGEScan-nonLTR, a probabilistic model for finding the protein domains for RT and endonuclease [S50]. Stop codons and frameshift mutations were allowed in this search. The elements identified were subsequently clustered into families by using the threshold parameters of 80\% identity of RT protein sequences. DNA transposons were identified using a combination of complementary approaches including protein homology, RepeatScout - a de novo repeat identification tool [S51], and the classification tool, Repclass [S52]. At the final step, a library of consensus (representative) sequences from families was assembled and used with RepeatMasker to estimate the proportion of the genome comprised of TEs, including full-length copies, fragments (including solo LTRs), as well as non-autonomous families. The results of RepeatMasker estimate the proportion of the genome represented by each superfamily (Table S17-18) after filtering short fragments (length $<20 \%$ of query element for DNA transposons and non-LTR retrotransposons and length $<1,000 \mathrm{bp}$ for LTR retrotransposons).

We visualized the number and genomic organization of an important transposable element within the repeated (and consequently unassembled) rDNA genes by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH; Figure S11). Preparation of chromosome spreads was performed as described previously [S17] with slight modification. Briefly, testes of adult males fixed in 4\% paraformaldehyde were extracted and dissected in PBS, incubated in PBS containing 0.5\% Triton-X, then briefly incubated in water. The tips of testes were gently torn in 4\% paraformaldehyde, and squashed under a coverslip. After freezing the sample on dry ice with the coverslip facing up, it was removed.

DNA fibers were prepared from oocyte nuclei. Ovaries of adult D. pulex females were extracted in PBS, and an oocyte was isolated with forceps. The oocyte was placed in NDS [1\% ( wt/vol) sodium lauroyl sarcosinate, 0.5 M EDTA, 10 mM Tris] on a slide and incubated for 10 min. DNA fibers were mechanically spread on the slide using the edge of a coverslip, and the slides were put on a heat block at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to dry. The slides were then washed briefly in PBS and fixed in ethanol.

Labeling of probe DNAs and hybridization were performed as described previously [S17]. PCR product of the D. pulex IGS was labeled using the Bio-Nick labeling system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for labeling with biotin-14-dATP. Pokey element from D. pulex was labeled using the DIG-nick translation mix (Roche) for labeling with digoxigenin (DIG)-11-dUTP. Hybridization
mixture [50 \% (v/v) formamide, 10\% (v/v) dextran sulfate, $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ salmon sperm DNA, and 0.1-0.2 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ labeled probe DNA in $2 \times$ SSC] was applied to the specimen, covered with a coverslip, and sealed with rubber cement. After the rubber cement solidified, the slide was heated for denaturation on a heat block at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 min , and incubated for hybridization at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humid chamber for 72 hrs . After hybridization, the rubber cement was peeled away and the slide was immersed in $2 \times$ SSC to float the coverslip off. Subsequently, the slide was washed once for 15 min in $50 \%$ formamide dissolved in $2 \times S S C$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, twice for 10 min in $2 \times$ SSC, once in $4 \times$ SSC for 5 min at room temperature, and then blocked with 4\% Block Ace (Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma, Osaka, Japan) in $4 \times$ SSC for 15 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hybridization of biotin-labeled probes was detected with goat anti-biotin antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), followed by staining with Alexafluor 488 rabbit anti-goat IgG antibody (Molecular Probe, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CO). Hybridization of digoxigenin-labeled probes was detected with mouse anti-digoxigenin (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), followed by staining with Alexafluor 594 rabbit anti-mouse IgG antibody (Molecular Probe, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CO). Each antibody was diluted in $4 \times$ SSC containing $1 \%$ Block Ace at the concentration suggested by the manufacturer. Incubation for detection was 1 hr at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by washing for 10 min in $4 \times$ SSC, for 15 min in $4 \times$ SSC containing $0.1 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$, and for 10 min in $4 \times$ SSC at room temperature. Staining was done for 45 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by washing for 10 min in $4 \times \mathrm{SSC}$, for 20 min in $4 \times$ SSC containing $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100, for 20 min in $4 \times$ SSC, and for 5 min in $2 \times$ SSC at room temperature. Finally, the specimens for chromosome FISH were counterstained with DAPI mounted in an antifading solution, Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). The specimens for fiber-FISH were mounted in Vectashield. Observations were made on a Nikon Ecripse 80i microscope equipped with a motorized $Z$ axis. Images were captured with Photometrics HQ using Metamorph software.

## III. Attributes of a Compact Genome

## 1. Comparing genome structures

Gene structures were measured for EST-validated gene models of D. pulex and compared to gene structures of six insects plus two non-arthropods (Acyrthosiphon pisum, Apis mellifera, Nasonia vitripennis, Tribolium castaneum, Anopheles gambiae, Drosophila melanogaster, Mus musculus, Caenorhabditis elegans) (Table S19). PASA [S21] was used first for EST assembly and for the production of cDNA-gene models. PASA also provided a method of validating gene models from the EST assemblies. The structure statistics were produced by processing gene exon locations with Perl and R language scripts that tabulate exon, intron and coding exon locations per gene. The data and software are deposited at [S53]. A table of arthropod gene structure statistics is updated with new genome data, as available at [S54, S55](Table S1).

## 2. Comparative study of intron evolution

Clusters of probable orthologous genes were constructed for nine animal species, including six arthropod genomes, two genomes of vertebrates, and the only available cnidarian genome (Table S20). Orthologous relationships were established by comparing the complete sets of protein sequences from these animals using a modification of the previously described method [S56]. If there was more than one gene from a particular species in any putative orthologous set, the ortholog with the highest similarity to the rest of the proteins in the cluster was chosen [S57]. Therefore, each of the clusters contained exactly one sequence from each species. Clusters that included sequences with obvious annotation errors (e.g., incorrectly assembled genes) were discarded. When applied to the six arthropod species, this approach yielded 3,936 clusters of likely orthologous groups. Adding the remaining three species yielded 2,946 clusters.

Sequences from each orthologous cluster were aligned using MUSCLE [S58]. The protein sequence alignments were converted back to the corresponding nucleotide sequence alignments, and intron positions were mapped onto the alignments [S59]. Only those positions without gap within five amino acids on either side were included in the calculations to prevent errors caused by misalignment. The intron presence-absence matrices were then constructed from such verified intron positions for each species, and intron gain and loss events were inferred using a maximum likelihood (ML) method [S60](Table S24).

## IV. Origin and Preservation of Daphnia pulex Genes

## 1. Assigning gene homologies

For the comparative study of the D. pulex repertoire of protein-coding genes, we used SmithWaterman alignment algorithm as implemented in Paralign (Sencel Bioinformatics, Oslo, Norway) to search for homologous genes in Tribolium castaneum (beetle), Drosophila melanogaster (fruitfly), Pediculus humanus (louse), as well as Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (urchin) Gallus gallus (chicken), Xenopus tropicalis (frog) and H. sapiens (human). Using these all-against-all gene comparisons, we identified orthologous gene relations, i.e. gene lineages originating from the last common Bilaterian ancestor of these species, using the OrthoDB procedure [S61]. It employs a clustering approach of best reciprocal hit triangles with an e-value cutoff of $1 \times e^{-3}$, and tuples with cutoff of $1 \times e^{-6}$, that are expanded to include all more closelyrelated within-species homologs and require all member sequences to overlap by at least 30 amino acids. This procedure has been scrutinized as part of several genome projects [S62, S63, S64, S65], and the extensive manual examination of orthologous groups in Daphnia [S66, S67, S68, S69, S70, S71, S72, S73, S74] and in other species [S75, S76, S77, S78] has confirmed their accuracy.

An interactive data-mining tool was created to explore orthologous gene sets among the proteomes of all sequenced arthropods [S79] including D. pulex, Ixodes scapularis (tick), Acyrthosiphon pisum (pea aphid), P. humanus (louse), Aedes aegypti, Anopheles gambiae, Culex pipiens (mosquitoes) Apis mellifera (honeybee), Nasonia vitripennis (wasp), T. castaneum (beetle) and three drosophilids: D. melanogaster, D. pseudoobscura, D. mojavensis. An all-against-all protein similarity searches using BLAST was performed [S23]. Small ( $<40$ amino acid) proteins and alternative transcripts were removed to only use the most similar gene variants; the discarded sequences included 6,500 alternate transcripts for D. melanogaster, 1,300 from A. aegypti, and fewer than 800 from all others. The similar genes were clustered using the standard methods outlined for OrthoMCL [S80, S81], which can be summarized as follows. Significance criteria were applied with recommended options: a similarity e-value $\leq \mathrm{e}^{-05}$, protein percent identity $\geq 40 \%$, and MCL inflation of 1.5 (influencing the granularity of the clustering). Reciprocal best similarity pairs between species, and reciprocal better similarity pairs within species (i.e., recently arisen paralogs, or proteins that are more similar to each other within one species than to any protein in the other species called in-paralogs) were added to a similarity matrix. The matrix was normalized by species and subjected to Markov clustering (MCL; [S82]) to generate ortholog groups including recent in-paralogs. An additional round of MCL clustering was applied to link related gene groups.

Finally, the Superfamily annotation [S83] was explored to verify patterns of gene family expansions observed by the above methods. Superfamily is based on a collection of hidden Markov models representing structural protein domains at the SCOP superfamily level. The results of all three investigations are available online (Table S1).

Results from these methods were verified to be consistent with the gene tree procedure PhIGs [S45]. PhIGs conducts a true phylogenetic analysis using maximum likelihood. Briefly explained, PhIGs performs these steps: (1) an all-by-all Blast search of the inferred amino acid sequences of each gene model of each considered genome, (2) extension to a full-length alignment of each significantly similar pair using MUSCLE [S58], (3) scoring of the similarity among each pair, (4) building a graph with each sequence as a node and the scores of the pairs as edges, (5) specifying the deepest ingroup versus outgroup relationship, (6) building clusters of gene families by noting the distance between each set of ingroup-outgroup gene pairs then doing a single-linkage clustering of all genes of ingroup organisms that have smaller distances, (7) successively moving through each descendent node of the tree of organisms, in each case specifying the new set of ingroup-outgroup relationships and repeating the clustering, (8) creating a multiple sequence alignment of each cluster, (9) performing a series of quality control measures, considering such things as total length of the multiple sequence alignment and eliminating highly gapped positions using GBlocks [S84], (10) creating a maximum likelihood evolutionary tree of each gene cluster. The complete gene sets from 14 genomes used for this analysis are: the protist Monosiga brevicollis, the cnidarian Nematastella vectensis, Homo sapiens, the teleost Takifugu rubripes, the urochordate Ciona intestinalis, the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, the mollusk Lottia gigantea, the polychaete Capitella capitata, the oligochaete Helobdella robusta, the dipterans Drosophila melanogaster, Anopheles gambiae, and Aedes aegypti, the coleopteran Tribolium castaneum, and Daphnia pulex. The PhIGs results can be downloaded from [S55].

## 2. Studying the history of gene family expansions and losses

The gene families of hypothetical ancestral species were reconstructed by a step-wise detection of BRH - here also called the symmetrical best alignments (sym-bets) - for each of the ancestral species. This comparison of gene families among the ancestral species of the phylogeny provides a hypothesis for the timing of gene duplication and loss events throughout evolution. We used Evolmap [S85] to elucidate these events, which is an algorithm that reconstructs sym-bets and localizes the gene duplications and losses to the most parsimonious branch of the phylogenetic tree by assuming a known species history and by applying the Dollo parsimony criterion. We applied Evolmap on 11 species (Table S27) using Nematostella vectensis as the outgroup for the assumed species phylogeny from Figure 1C.

## 3. Studying the history of gene duplication

To characterize the evolutionary pattern and rate of gene duplication, we compared the protein coding genes (Dappu v1.1, $\mathrm{n}=30,940$ ) to one another using a modified installation of Genome History [S86], which measures substitution patterns between gene copies in the context of gene family assignments. Our study included other genomes for comparative insights. The entire gene catalogue from C. elegans, and H. sapiens were downloaded from Ensembl [S87] For genes with multiple splice variants, the largest gene was chosen. Transposable element genes were excluded to the extent that they could be identified.

Genome History (GH) detects and compares gene duplicates within a genome by using a set of user-specified parameters and input. The following protocol was followed:

1. All predicted protein sequences were compared to each other using WU-gapped-BLASTp. Self-alignments were discarded and alignments better than $\mathrm{e}^{-10}$ proceeded to next step.
2. Gene matches were aligned using ClustalW [S88] with restrictions set at a minimum alignment length of 100 amino acids and percent identity greater than $40 \%$. These strict
settings minimized false relationships due to highly conserved motifs and narrowed the focus of this study to recent gene duplicates ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}<1$ ).
3. Each aligned gene pair was then back-translated using the nucleotide gene file. For each pair, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (substitutions / replacement-site) and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (substitutions / silent-site) were calculated using the maximum likelihood, codon-based model [S89].

Birth rates of gene duplicates were calculated using the number of single-pair duplicates in the youngest cohort ( $K_{s}<0.01$ ), the baseline number of single copy genes and the synonymous substitution rate $\left(K_{s}\right)$, providing units of duplications/gene/ $K_{s}$. Birth rates of nematodes and humans were comparable to those found in earlier studies [S90]. D. pulex appears to have a higher rate of gene duplication than other animals studied to date (see Table 8.1 in [S91]).

While the observed number of new duplicates can be used to estimate a birth rate, it should be considered a downwardly biased estimate, since observed duplications may represent a subset of events that rose to high frequency in the population, and were not purged by selection. Additionally, an accurate gene birth rate must also account for gene losses over the measured interval ( $K_{s}=0.0-0.01$ ), which can be inferred, assuming steady-state birth/death rates, from an estimate of instantaneous mortality rate using the slope of the regression of duplicate numbers at time $t\left(n_{t}\right)$ on synonymous substitution rate ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) [S91]. Birth rates estimates that account for losses give slightly higher values (5-20\% higher), but do not affect the phylogenetic pattern of estimated rates (D. pulex $>\mathrm{H}$. sapiens $>$ C. elegans).

## 4. Measuring the distribution of duplicated genes using Tandy

Tandem duplicated genes can be nearly identical (>95\% identity), arranged in very close proximity to one another (within the length of introns), produce regular signals of genome structure evolution and may be linked to interesting biology. Yet, software that relies on alignment with gapping produce poor gene models from repeated high-identity exons. Gapped alignments often mistakenly merge exons from neighboring genes into gene models. Therefore, Tandy software was developed to address problems of accurately predicting genes when arranged within tandem duplicated gene (TDG) clusters [S92]. The tandy approach compares exons, and secondarily predicted genes and proteins, to locate all duplicates in a region. Gene predictors typically call exons with greater success than their calls of full gene models because exon matches are made without gaps.

After identifying all predicted exons, tandy's algorithm marked runs of duplicate exons. These marked exons were then combined and split into duplicate gene models based on a heuristic method that uses (a) inter-gene versus intron distances, (b) runs of exon sets (e.g. exons 1, 2, 3 of a gene model that are repeated), and (c) gene start/stop exons and strand inversions. Tandy's final output was a GFF feature file of duplicated regions, of gene models and of the exon matches per gene model. Duplicates were then classified based on their relative distance from one another ( $<15 \mathrm{~Kb}$ ), based on the number of intervening genes, based on gene predictions and several quality measures.

Tandy was applied to produce comparative results using the well-studied genomes of C. elegans, D. melanogaster, 11 other Drosophila genomes, and D. pulex. Recent improvements add protein predictions to identify duplicates. Although these have a higher error rate than exon predictions, when one protein of duplicate set is well modeled, it can find other duplicates. The Tandy results were also used as evidence for gene prediction software to indicate gene boundaries.

## 5. I dentifying lineage specific gene family expansions

Groups of orthologous genes were delineated by the OrthoMCL method [S79] described above (section IV.1). Lineage-specific gene family expansions were defined as orthologous groups with multiple copies in Daphnia whose numbers are significantly greater than those of insects and tick ( $p<0.05$ ) based on 2,000 random permutations of exact probability, without correction for multiple testing (Table S26). To identify independent gene-family expansions in D. pulex and among the three mosquito species, the same test was repeated for each of these four species against the distribution of gene copy numbers of the remaining arthropod taxa.

## 6. Annotating and tracing the phylogeny of opsins

Sequence similarity searches against the D. pulex v1.1 gene set were performed by BLASTp [S23], using protein sequences of each D. melanogaster opsin gene of interest from FlyBase [S93] as "bait". The searches retrieved top best matches until D. pulex models outside the subfamilies of interest were obtained. Each D. pulex gene identified from this search was manually annotated with reference to the draft genome assembly and assigned to a subfamily by inclusion in maximum likelihood phylogenies.

We performed three separate phylogenetic analyses to understand the evolution of these Daphnia opsins (Figures S21-22). First, we analyzed diverse representatives of the major opsin clades, including ciliary, rhabdomeric ( Gq ) and RGR/Go opsins. In this analysis, we also included all opsins recently described from the branchiopods Triops longicaudatus, T. granarius, and Branchinella kugenumaensis [S94], plus opsin sequences from two crustaceans, a copepod Tigriopus californicus and an ostracod Vargula tsujii, which are included in Figure S21. Accession numbers are given in Table S32. To determine opsin sequences from these two crustaceans, we first used Trizol (Invitrogen) to extract total RNA from the copepod Tigriopus californicus provided by Ron Burton of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, and from the ostracod Vargula tsujii collected from baited traps set near Cabrillo Beach, San Pedro, CA (33.706,118.279). For the copepod, we first performed degenerate RT-PCR with a 48C annealing temperature using primers LWF1a (TGGTAYCARTWYCCICCIATGAA) and OPSRD (CCRTANACRATNGGRTTRTA), then performed a hemi nested reaction on this PCR product diluted 1: 10 with primers LWF1 and Scylla (TTRTAIACIGCRTTIGCYTTIGCRAA). For the ostracod we used primer SLF [S95] for degenerate 3' RACE. We sequenced the initial products to enable design of species-specific opsin primers. These gene specific primers allowed for successful 5' and $3^{\prime}$ RACE reactions and subsequent cloning and bidirectional sequencing of fragments representing an entire opsin for each species. For this phylogenetic analysis, we aligned opsin proteins using MUSCLE [S58], then estimated the most likely tree using RaxML [S96], while assuming the WAG $+\mathrm{I}+\Gamma$ model. We performed bootstrapping with 100 pseudoreplicates (Figure S21). This phylogeny is rooted with ciliary opsins as the outgroup, following [S97].

[^0]
## V. Implications Daphnia's Genome Structure <br> 1. Finding non-allelic gene conversion events

To determine how much concerted evolution has shaped the patterns of divergence among duplicated genes throughout the Daphnia genome, we compared gene conversion features and rates of gene conversion in D. pulex to those of five species of Drosophila. The original data set comprised 14,653 paralogous $D$. pulex genes from 2,259 gene families. These genes were used to make 66,501 pair-wise alignments of the coding sequences, which were subsequently processed to remove regions of low similarity, including gaps. The latter step is required to eliminate regions with very high divergence in the alignments, which could elevate the rate of false positives. Furthermore, as this filtering process can shorten the alignments to a large extent and possibly introduce some bias in the data set, only alignments that retained $50 \%$ or more of their original length after this step were further analyzed. The final set included most of the original data ( 13,330 genes grouped in 55,362 pair-wise alignments).

Gene conversion among D. pulex paralogous genes was investigated using the program Geneconv v.1.81 [S99], which was run using all default settings, except for the addition of the option to display pair-wise $p$-values and the option to include monomorphic sites in the calculation. The latter option allows the program to take into account constant sites and is required to examine alignments containing only two paralogs. The significance level is determined based on 10,000 permuted datasets. All fragments identified with $p<0.05$ were regarded as gene conversion events. The initial Geneconv output included 11,659 pairs from 6,943 genes. Of these genes, many were present in 10 or more converted pairs. We removed those pairs because such multiple conversion events between paralogs are highly improbable. The same threshold was applied for our analysis of gene conversion in Drosophila species. Rates of conversion were calculated as the ratio between gene pairs with conversion over the total number of screened pairs per species. The genetic divergence (number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site or $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) between paralogs was estimated by the maximumlikelihood method implemented in the program codeml from the package PAML [S100]. To correct the genetic divergence in converted pairs, we multiplied the original $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ value by the ratio between the alignment length and the length of the alignment minus the conversion tract. Several aspects of gene conversion were compared between D. pulex and five Drosophila species: D. melanogaster, D. yakuba, D. pseudoobscura, D. virilis and D. grimshawi.

## 2. Annotating and tracing the phylogeny of hemoglobins

Sequence similarity searches for hemoglobin genes against the D. pulex v1.1 gene set were performed as described above in finding opsin genes. Each D. pulex gene identified from this search was manually annotated with reference to the draft genome assembly. The D. pulex genome is found to contain 11 recognizable di-domain Hb genes (Table S39). Eight of the D. pulex Hb genes (named Dpul- Hb 1 to Dpul-Hb8) are organized in tandem within a 23.6 kb region on scaffold 4 (chromosome 7 based on the single Dp112 marker of the genetic map). Their arrangement along the same coding DNA strand is interrupted only by a non-protein encoding gene between Hb4 and Hb5. The eight clustered genes plus Dpul-Hb9 on Scaffold 17 are composed of seven exons, whereas Dpul-Hb10 and Dpul-Hb11consist of six exons, where the second intron is deleted from the ancestral gene structure. Although incomplete, a gene that may have encoded a single domain Hb chain is identified on scaffold 67 (dappu-109652).

An earlier study reported on the partial genomic sequence of a D . magna Hb gene cluster containing four Hb genes [S101]. To study the origin and evolution of duplicated Hb genes, and the consequences of their structural arrangements along two distant branches of the Daphnia
phylogeny, we further analyze the D . magna Hb gene cluster. The nucleotide sequence of the cluster was determined by first screening for clones containing Dmag- Hb 1 to Dmag-Hb4 from a lambda Zap genomic library using a DIG-labeled DNA fragment, which was located on the intergenic region between Dmag-hb4 and Dmag-hb5. We then determined the nucleotide sequences of a 6.6 Kb genomic region containing Dmag- Hb 2 and Dmag- Hb 3 by chromosome walking. Finally, the genomic clone encoding Dmag-Hb1 was screened by using a DIG-labeled DNA fragment that was generated by DNA amplification of the upstream region of Dmag- Hb 2 . We determined the nucleotide sequence of a 3.6 Kb DNA fragment containing Dmag- Hb 1 . A total of seven di-domain Hb genes were thus discovered (newly named Dmag-Hb1 to Dmag-Hb8); genes that were previously labeled dhb1 to dhb4 correspond to Dmag-Hb6, Dmag-Hb8, DmagHb5, and Dmag-Hb4, respectively. The seven genes are clustered in the same direction within a length of about 23.5 kb . Other than the obvious absence of Dmag-Hb7 from the D. magna cluster, elements in synteny between the two species are seemingly preserved from a duplication history that predates the split between the Ctenodaphnia and Daphnia subgenera.

The Hb gene cluster is used as a model for analyzing the evolutionary processes associated with tandem gene duplications. Specifically, we hypothesized that TDG clusters, like the Hb gene cluster, are subject to concerted evolution. Three alignments were created for our phylogenetic investigations comparing divergence among protein coding regions and intergenic regions of the Hb clusters. The first alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of the 18 Daphnia Hbs and two nematode genes (Ascaris suum and Pseudoterranova decipiens) were produced using ClustalW [S102]. Major adjustments were then made according to the conserved amino acids in known functional domains among arthropods and vertebrates (Figure S25). All gaps and amino acids corresponding to gap position were deleted and the amino acid sequences were then converted to the nucleotide sequences. As a result, 882 nucleotides were aligned, of which 534 were variable among the Daphnia genes and 749 were variable when outgroup Hbs were included (Figure S26). A second nucleotide sequence alignment by ClustalW was produced for intergenic regions between the stop codon of the upstream gene and the TATA box of the downstream gene, except for upstream sequences of Hb1. All gap positions were removed from the alignment. The 837 nucleotides were aligned, of which 833 were variable (Figure S27). A gene phylogeny for the coding regions was constructed using MrBayes v3.1.2 [S103] by applying the GTR and a site-specific rate model for each codon position. The four Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains were run for 3,000,000 generations and 15,100 trees were sampled with their posterior probabilities. A 50\% majority consensus rule tree was estimated. By contrast, a phylogenetic tree for the intergenic regions was constructed by using GTR base substitution model with a gamma rate substitution. The MCMC chains were run for 3,000,000 generations. A $50 \%$ majority consensus rule tree was estimated from 15,100 trees.

## VI. Evolutionary Diversification of Duplicated Genes

## 1. Estimating expression-level divergence among paralogs

I dentification of duplicate genes - The paralogs used for this study were those identified by Tandy (section IV.4) and by our analysis of genome history (section IV.3), which also produced the estimate of sequence divergence at silent sites ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) among all pairs of duplicates. Duplicated genes were grouped into gene families by the Markov clustering and MCL clustering methods described above (section IV.1).

Gene expression data - Two datasets were examined for this study, each taken from the multiplex microarray experiments described above (section II.5). The first set of analyses investigated variation of expression among duplicates of individual gene families. The $M$ values ( $\log _{2}$ treatment $-\log _{2}$ reference) from eight of the twelve experiments were used to calculate
the Pearson product-moment correlation using the statistical package JMP (SAS Institute Inc.). Prior to filtering, correlations were measured for 46,343 pairs of paralogs with $K_{s}<5$, of which 35,770 pairs were assigned to 1,393 annotated gene families. Hierarchical clustering of the genes [S104] was based on their $M$ values across experiments and required that a significant expression-level difference was observed for at least one experimental condition. Clustering was performed using the program Cluster v2.11 and visualized using TreeView v1.6 (rana.lbl.gov/EisenSoftware.htm). Plots comparing the correlation coefficients for paired orthologs as a function of their relative ages (measured by $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) were also produced using JMP (SAS Institute Inc.).

The second set of analyses investigated variation of expression among all duplicated genes within the D. pulex genome across all 12 experiments. The microarray probes used for detecting expression differences between paralogs were filtered to only include probes for genes which differed in sequence from the sequence of the closest related paralog by greater that $5 \%$ of the nucleotides. This threshold was chosen based on the reported specificity of long oligonucleotides on this NimbleGen microarray platform [S13]. By consequence, of the original 80,142 probes on the array that are designed to query the expression of 29,569 genes, our analysis was restricted to 14,323 probes interrogating 6,241 genes with paralogs in the genome: 3,059 genes are represented by three probes, 1,964 genes are represented by two probes, and 1,218 genes are presented by a single probe. $\log _{2}$ signal to background ratios were determined for each probe under each experimental condition, by first calling probes that fluoresced at intensities greater than $99 \%$ of the random probes' signal intensities; therefore only $1 \%$ of fluorescing experimental probes should be false positives. Probes with negative ratios were discarded from measurements of differential expression for each of the 12 contrasting conditions. The data file is available at [S55].

Our approach followed the general statistical method of Gu et al [S105], who defined a pair of duplicated genes as having "similar" or "different" expression patterns across experimental conditions based on whether their expression scores differed at $p \leq 0.05$ using an analysis of variance. Using custom scripts written for the R statistical package [S37, S55], we employed a similar ANOVA model where all the replicate probes for the two genes formed the error term, and the mean difference of the two genes was the measured effect.

In brief, we define a distinguishable expression pattern by a significance criterion ( $p<0.05$ ) using ANOVA for the simple statistical model of "aov( Yab ~ Xab )", for matrices Yab differential expression $M$ values and Xab gene factors, with replicates. A supplemental file [S55] reports
 their $K_{a}$ and $K_{s}$ values. We use $\operatorname{pr}(M)<0.05$ as criterion that expression differs between paralogous genes, for zero to twelve treatments. The tested hypothesis is one investigating the number of paralogous pairs in each $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ category that reach the criterion of a distinguishable expression pattern, which is tested for significance with Fisher's exact test for count data presented in Table S42. This method is reliable for as few as two probes for one gene and one probe for the other, although a greater number of replicate probes produced more significant results. The relation between the maximum observed difference in the expression response of paralogs to a shared experimental condition and their number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site ( $K_{s}$ ) was measured by a linear regression model using the R package [S37]. Because large $K_{s}$ values are unreliable estimates of age, we restricted our analysis to $K_{s}<3$.

## 2. Testing for genome structure effects on expression divergence

To test for genome structure effects on the evolution of gene expression, we compared the expression patterns of duplicated genes that are (1) arranged within TDG clusters, (2) that have signatures of gene conversion (section V.1), and (3) that are dispersed in the genome. The observed numbers of paralogs within each class that shared the same expression patterns, or that had different expression patterns in at least one of the 12 conditions tested on the microarrays were tested against expectations that there are no differences using Chi-square tests.

## VII. Functional Significance of Expanded Gene Families

## 1. Charting metabolic pathways for co-expanding, interacting genes

Homologous genes are defined by the metazoan Non-supervised Orthologous Groups (meNOGs), which are obtained from the eggNOG database [S106]. The meNOGs are built upon 363,805 proteins from the following 18 metazoan species: Homo sapiens, Pan troglodytes, Macaca mulatta, Mus musculus, Rattus norvegicus, Canis familiaris, Bos taurus, Monodelphis domestica, Gallus gallus, Xenopus tropicalis, Tetraodon nigroviridis, Takifugu rubripes, Danio rerio, Ciona intestinalis, Anopheles gambiae, Drosophila melanogaster, Apis mellifera, Caenorhabditis elegans. The meNOGs assemble 241,305 proteins into 23,033 orthologous groups. These groups are then subdivided into 4,404 subgroups of genes having a 1-to-many relationship (i.e., gene duplications occurred within a single species), 3,721 subgroups of many-to-many gene relationships (i.e., gene duplications occurred in multiple species) and 14,908 subgroups of genes with 1-to-1 relationship (i.e., single genes are found in each genome). The initial meNOG dataset was extended by the addition of D. pulex. The 30,907 D. pulex proteins were aligned to the 363,805 meNOG proteins using the PARALIGN software [S107] and the Swiss-Waterman algorithm. Daphnia pulex proteins were assigned to the meNOGs by reciprocal best matches above a sequence similarity threshold of 180 bit scores. Thus, 13,816 Daphnia proteins were assigned to 7,413 meNOGs. Ortholog groups were previously annotated with enzyme (EC numbers) and to metabolic pathways on the basis of the KEGG database [S44]. Therefore, enzyme annotations were transferred to 1,908 Daphnia genes. The data file is available at [S55]

Expanded and contracted enzymes were identified by the Fisher exact test. The test was based on the distribution of the number of genes corresponding to enzymes among the subset of equally distributed species between vertebrates (H. sapiens, M. musculus, G. gallus and T. nigroviridis) and arthropods (D. melanogaster, A. mellifera, A. gambiae). For example, we identified 89 copies of the Daphnia gene encoding enzyme EC2.4.1.152 (fucosyl transferase). By contrast, the total number of genes encoding this enzyme in other species is 13 ( 2 in H . sapiens , 1 in M. musculus, 1 in G. gallus, 3 in T. nigroviridis, 2 in D. melanogaster, 2 in A. mellifera and 2 in A. gambiae). The Fisher exact test statistic and the corresponding p-value were calculated based on expectations derived from comparing 1,908 total genes in Daphnia to 7,876 genes in all other species. Finally, a Bonferroni correction was applied to account for multiple testing using 563 as the total number of unique Daphnia enzymes. We tested for expanded and contracted enzymes comparing arthropods vs vertebrates (see Figure S30 and Table S44 for detailed information) and Daphnia vs all the other genomes (see Figure S31 and Table S43 for detailed information). Thirty-eight enzymes showing significant deviations from expected numbers ( $p$-value < 0.05) were finally mapped onto the overview metabolic network [S108] to observe functional relationships (Figure 4).

Among these 38 enzymes encoded by amplified genes, we identified the fraction of interacting genes (i.e., sharing metabolites) within the whole metabolic network (in total 563 enzymes and 478 interactions). As a result, 19/38 enzymes interact within small subnetworks (Figure 4 panels A-G). To assess the significance of the observed number of interacting amplified
genes, we first applied a binominal test. The probability distribution required for the binominal test was generated from 1,000 sets of randomly selected 38 genes. As a result, we proved that the nineteen (half of 38 ) is a significantly greater number of genes than numbers that are observed by chance. Second, we additionally performed a network permutation analysis. That is, we generated 1,000 randomized whole metabolic networks using node permutation (i.e., relabeling all nodes), and checked the number of interactions among the same set of 38 amplified genes. As a result, the number of interacting genes within the amplified genes in the "real" network is significantly higher than that in randomized networks ( $p<0.03$ in the null distribution derived from the 1,000 randomized networks (Figure S32).

## 2. Uncovering functional diversity of glycosphingolipid biosynthesis genes

To test whether evolutionary preservations of duplicated genes may be functionally interdependent, we compared the average similarity of expression patterns for interacting genes from lineage-specific expanded families within shared metabolic pathways (i x i matrix) to that for non-interacting genes from families of different pathways (i x j matrix). The differential gene expression patterns (only $\log _{2}$ fold change $>0.5$ were considered) of 275 duplicated genes across 12 experimental conditions and belonging to 38 metabolic pathways (Table S43) were used to calculate 37,675 pair-wise estimates of expression similarity based on their root mean square difference (RMSD). Thus, a RMSD near 0 is indicative of genes that are alike in their expression patterns, whereas a RMSD = 1+ is indicative of genes whose expressed patterns are different. There are mostly only single comparisons of interacting gene families (ixi) within the same pathway (same KEGG map ID in Table S43), but many possible pairs of gene families to chose for different-pathway comparisons ( $\mathrm{i} \times \mathrm{j}$ ). To reduce chance bias of selecting high scoring pairs from this large null-hypothesis ix j matrix, different-path comparisons were limited to gene families having a similar number of paralogs.

The hypothesis being tested is whether a greater similarity in expression is observed for bestmatched genes belonging to two different families within the same pathway, than observed for best-matched genes belonging to two families from a different pathway. We therefore implemented sampling without replacement for each enzyme (gene family) pairing, calculating RMSD for all possible gene pairs, then selecting the most alike pairs until all genes from the smallest enzyme group are matched. Thus, duplicated genes are sampled only once from each enzyme group. Significant differences between the averages calculated for all ixiand ix jene pairs were tested using the t-statistic. The input files, custom perl program "dpx-msrevpathq.pl" and results files for this "PathXDiverge" analysis for D. pulex gene expression patterns across metabolic pathways are available at [S55].

To further test our hypothesis and provide a specific example, we contrasted the phylogenetic history of interacting and co-expanded gene families of the glycosphingolipid biosynthesis pathway of metabolism to their similarity in expression patterns across eight microarray experiments. Amino acid sequence alignments were obtained using MUSCLE [S58] for 96 genes from among three families (Tables S45-48). Phylogenetic gene trees were constructed by the maximum likelihood method using the PHYLIP ProML algorithm [S109] with corrected distances by the Jones-Taylor-Thornton model of molecular evolution [S110]. Correlation coefficient plots and hierarchical clustering of genes, based on their differential expression patterns, were conducted as described above (section VI.1). Of particular interest was the functional association of genes within the largest expanded metabolic gene family (fucosyltransferase; enzyme 2.4.1.152) and the nine members of the expanded glycosyltransferase gene family (enzyme 2.4.1.65), because both enzymes are required to catalyze biochemical reactions for the production of branched glycans along the glycosphingolipid biosynthesis pathway [S111]. To test
these associations, we partitioned the variance in differential gene expression (DE) from microarray experiments with a nested ANOVA and REML estimator using JMP 8.0 (SAS Institute Inc.). We used the estimated variance component to calculate the ratio of among group variation to total variation. This ratio is the statistic $D_{s t}$ that estimates group differentiation based on the quantitative differential expression data and varies from 0 to 1 , similar to $F_{s t}$ [S112]. Unlike $F_{\text {st }} D_{\text {st }}$ is a measure of phenotypic, not genetic variance. The test was based on calculating the variance in the expression patterns of duplicated genes sharing memberships within (1) phylogenetically distinct clades ( $>95 \%$ identity at amino acids) relative to the variance in expression patterns observed among genes having independently evolved, and (2) groups of genes clustered with unrelated interacting genes based on the hierarchical clustering. We used the Delta method [S113] to estimate the significance of $D_{s t}$.

## VIII. Ecoresponsive Genes

## 1. Treatment of the transcriptome data with reference to the annotation

Sequences obtained from the cDNA sequencing project (section II.2) were classified as transcribed genes under biotic ecological conditions, abiotic ecological conditions, and standard non-ecological conditions, based on the libraries from which the gene transcripts were sampled. The biotic ecological challenges include exposure to bacterial infection, predators, hormones and varying diets (Table S10; TRO 12-20, TCO 9, 14). The abiotic ecological challenges include animals exposed to environmental toxicants, elevated UV, hypoxia, acid, salinity and calcium starvation (TRO 1-4, 6-9, TCO 4-8, 10-13, 15). Standard non-ecological conditions include animals at various stages of life history within a controlled laboratory environment (TRO 5, 1011, 21, TCO 1-3). The transcribed gene counts with and without homology to proteins from other species were tabulated and tested against expectations that these were equally distributed among the three classes of ecological conditions using Chi-square tests. Chi-square tests were also performed for transcribed genes from the tree classes found within and outside of tandem duplicated gene (TDG) clusters.

Differentially expressed Transcriptional Active Regions (TARs) obtained from the whole genome tiling path microarray studies (section II.4) were classified as overlapping with annotated exons (gene), residing within predicted introns of annotated gene models (intron), or located outside of currently annotated gene models (unknown). For each of the four tested treatments, counts of the tiles with up-regulation, down-regulation and no differential expression in each genome feature were tabulated. Chi-square tests were conducted against the null expectation that the pattern of regulation of tiles in each genome feature would be proportional to the number of tiles in each feature within each category of regulation (up-, down-, and no differential).

## SUPPORTING TEXT

## 1. Chromosome Studies

The chromosomes of Daphnia are extremely small. Past karyological observations have therefore been restricted to counting the diploid chromosome numbers [S114, S115]. Recent advancements in cytological techniques and instrumentation have permitted some successes at characterizing the morphology of D. pulex chromosomes (Figure S6).

Because most chromosomes are uniformly short, they are only roughly arranged according to size. Yet three size classes are apparent (Table S8). Chromosome 1 is obviously the largest, measuring 5.6-6.6 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ or $25 \%$ of the total. Chromosomes $2-4$ form the second class, containing $30 \%$ of the total nuclear DNA, while chromosomes $5-12$ constitute the third and shortest class for the remaining 45\%. Heterochromatic (A-T rich) regions are observed only on the four largest chromosomes. Two internal regions are identified in chromosome 1 and both terminal regions of chromosome 2 are banded; single broad bands are observed on chromosomes 3 and 4.

A first genetic linkage map for D. pulex was already published using 185 microsatellite markers [S8]. This investigation measured the segregation of polymorphisms within 129 ( $F_{2}$ ) selfed progeny from a D. pulex hybrid ( $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ ) obtained by crossing two genetically divergent isolates from populations in Oregon. The map spans 1,206 Kosambi cM and shows an average inter-marker distance of 7 cM . Linkage groups range in size from 7 to 185 cM and the number of markers per linkage group varied from 4 to 27. The map reveals linkage groups corresponding to the 12 chromosomes and covers approximately $82 \%$ of the genome.

We consolidated the genetic map data with the genome scaffolds to assign these sequences to each of the 12 chromosomes for the purpose of validating the genome assembly, identifying gaps and to begin defining the recombinational landscape. Mapped microsatellite marker sequences were unambiguously identified on the genome scaffolds by sequence similarity searches (Table S5). Of the 5,191 scaffolds from the present assembly, only 73 are placed onto chromosomes. Work is underway to obtain better coverage and consolidation of the D. pulex genetic and physical maps, while substantial progress is made at discovering the recombination map of the 10 D. magna chromosomes [S116].

Telomeres in Arthropoda are so far known to range from simple TTAGG telomeric repeats with relatively uniform and short $\sim 3 \mathrm{~kb}$ sub-telomeric regions for the long arm telomeres in the honey bee Apis mellifera [S117] - to much longer arrangements including multiple retrotransposon insertions within the TTAGG repeats in the silkmoth Bombyx mori and flour beetle Tribolium castaneum [S62, S118], to the unusual situation in Diptera, which have lost both telomerase and TTAGG repeats and depend entirely on regular insertions of particular retrotransposons (e.g. [S119]). We identified and manually annotated a single full-length ortholog of insect telomerase [S117, S120] in the D. pulex genome [NCBI Acc. Num for DpulTERT].

We searched the 228,190 fosmid clone end reads for tandem repeats of TTAGG with lengths of $1,000 \mathrm{bp}$. We found several hundred matches, most with long stretches of TTAGG repeats, although sometimes interspersed with TTAGGG repeats, which is the ancestral arthropod repeat. Almost all of these are plus/minus orientation, indicating that ends of chromosomes in D. pulex indeed consist of long stretches of TTAGG repeats (otherwise we would expect equal numbers of plus/plus and plus/minus matches). Examination of the mate pairs of these fosmid end-reads,
which should therefore be $30-40 \mathrm{~kb}$ internal to the TTAGG repeats, revealed almost entirely repetitive sequences.

One particular 136 bp satellite repeat was very common amongst these mate pairs and appears to form long repeat stretches that immediately border the TTAGG repeats, so was named TELSAT1 (consensus sequence is
TITTTCTAAGTATTGTCATCAGCGCCACCTGGTGGCAAGTITTGGAACTAAATTTTATTATGATCGCATCGT GTTCAGCGTTAAATTCTGATCAAGAATATGTTTGTTTCAAATGGTTCTGAGCAGTAGAAGTGCC). Examination and alignment of all 86 junctions between TELSAT1 and TTAGG repeats within the full set of sequence reads revealed that TELSAT1 repeats only occur in front of TTAGG repeats in direct tandem orientation, although rarely they are interspersed within the TTAGG repeats. There are 28 unique junctions of TELSAT1 repeats with TTAGG repeats, all joined from different positions within the TESAT1 repeat to the GG of a TTAGG repeat. A few of these junction sequences are singletons that might be interspersed within the TTAGG repeats, leaving around 24 unique junctions with multiple reads representing them, which likely are the 24 telomeres on the 12 D. pulex chromosomes.

To identify unique sequences upstream of the TELSAT1 repeats, a second search of the fosmid end reads was conducted with multiple TELSAT1 repeats, and the mate pairs of plus/minus matches were examined. Most of these sequences are composed of more TELSAT1 repeats, indicating that these repeats form sub-telomeric clusters over 40 kb in length. The few others include another 193 bp satellite named TELSAT2 (consensus sequence is TTTCCCTGTTACAGGATATGTTCATCGATGTCCAATACACTATTTAAAGTCATTAAAATCAATGAATCTATTA AGACATTCATGATGGAAAAGAAATAGAAATAAGAGTTGATAGAAAATCTTCCAGGAACTGAAAATCACAAC TTCAATGAATTTAAAATGACGATTCTGATTGTTTTACAAATTTCAAGGG). Efforts to progress beyond these TELSAT2 repeats led only to multiple other repetitive regions, thwarting efforts to connect these sub-telomeric regions to unique scaffolds in the assembly. In summary, the D. pulex telomeres appear to consist of terminal TTAGG repeats of a few kb, with long stretches of TELSAT1, TELSAT2, and other repeats in the sub-telomeric regions.

## 2. Gene Homology among Daphnia Genomes

TCO genes were partitioned among four classes of models, based on supporting evidence. Searches for homologs were conducted by measuring nucleotide similarities using BLASTn [S121] between TCO and TRO genomes. We estimated the levels of sequence divergence between these two strains range between $3 \%$ and $5 \%$. The first class of models consisted of TCO v1.1 gene predictions with both homology to non-daphniid proteomes and EST evidence. We found $17,411 / 18,233$ ( $95.5 \%$ ) genes models with significant alignments ( $\mathrm{e}<10^{-5}$ ) to TRO sequence. The second class of TCO gene predictions consisted of models without homology to other sequenced proteomes, yet having EST or paralogs (i.e., lineage-specific genes). We found that $9,733 / 12,707$ ( $77 \%$ ) of these gene models had significant sequence alignments to TRO sequences. The third class consisted of TCO ab initio gene predictions that were not included in the Frozen Gene Set v1.1 because they lacked supporting evidence. Here, 6,576/10,015 ( $65.7 \%$ ) had clear homologs in the TRO genome. Finally, the fourth class consisted of extra gene predictions inferred from transcriptional active regions (TARs) where tiling array data suggested significant expression levels in areas without ESTs or gene prediction models (Table S12). Based on BLASTn scores, 6,684/7,897 (84.6\%) TARs had homology between TCO and TRO.

We also searched for homologs of $D$. pulex genes within the $D$. magna genome that is currently being sequenced. Daphnia magna is a member of the subgenus Ctenodaphnia and resides primarily in Eurasia, whereas D. pulex is mainly in North America and its lineage split
from the D. pulex ancestor ca 150-200 MYA [S122], although younger estimates are obtained from nuclear genes [S123]. We currently have a draft genome assembly from $8 \times$ coverage sequencing using the Roche- 454 genome sequencer. Due to the possibly deep evolutionary history between these species, we used tBLASTn to detect homology between the two genomes (cut-off set at $e<10^{-5}$ ). Using the same four categories as above, we found evidence of homology for 1) 16,486/18,233 (90.4\%) "best" predictions, 2) 4,969/12,707 (39.1\%) of lineage-specific genes, 3) 2,319/10,015 (23.1\%) of weak-evidence predictions and 4) 2,787/7,897 (35.3\%) of TARs.

## 3. Micro-RNA and Transposable Elements

We located 50 micro-RNA (miRNA) loci in the D. pulex genome (Table S16) using a pipeline that uses Support Vector Machine models, homology and an orthology procedure [S48]. All loci are preserved in insects, most are single copy genes except for three loci: dpul-mir-2, dpul-mir-7, dpul-mir-87.

MicroRNAs are short (21-24-nt) non-coding RNAs that bind to complementary sites, usually located in the 3'-UTR of target mRNAs, and regulate protein translation. We discovered three miRNA-producing loci are evolutionary conserved within sequenced insect and Daphnia Hox clusters. Locus dpul-iab-4 resides in the Bithorax complex between the Abd-B and Abd-A genes, while dpul-mir-993 and dpul-mir-10 reside in the Antennapedia complex between Pb and Dfd , and between Dfd and Scr genes, respectively. Recent reports demonstrated that the iab-4 gene produces two distinct miRNAs that are encoded on opposite DNA strands [S124]. They inhibit endogenous UBX expression to induce Ubx-like haltere-to-wing transformations [S125, S126, S127]. Surprisingly, the structural arrangements important for wing development are preserved in the D. pulex genome (Figure S10). Knowledge on the general functional conservation of miRNA is restricted by the limited diversity of available arthropod genomes. For example, Shiga et al. [S128] reported several alternatively spliced variants of D. magna Antp and Ubx mRNAs, including bi-cistronic transcripts of both genes, yet no protein expression was observed from the fused Ubx/Antp transcripts. Ubx mRNA was shown to be a direct target for iab-4 microRNAs in Drosophila melanogaster [S124], implying that regulation of protein expression from fused transcripts might be mediated by functions of microRNAs in Daphnia.

In annotating transposable elements, 1,712 intact or fragmented elements are identified from five superfamilies of non-LTR retrotransposons, including the L2 superfamily, which is abundant in D. pulex but otherwise found only in the Anopheles gambiae genome. Representatives of 10 superfamilies of DNA transposons, including the Helitron and Maverick subclasses, are also found in D. pulex. Many have full-length open reading frames indicating they may have been recently active. Finally, as expected, the Daphnia specific DNA transposon Pokey [S129] is inserted in multiple copies throughout the large subunit ribosomal RNA gene of the single ribosomal DNA (rDNA) array, in addition to occurring at other genomic locations. The distribution of Pokey in the rDNA array is visualized using fiber-FISH (Figure S11) because sequence assemblies of the tandemly arrayed rDNA units are not possible.

## 4. The 46 Daphnia pulex Opsins

Animals use proteins of the opsin family of seven-transmembrane G-protein-coupled receptors to detect light (e.g. [S130, S131]). Three major lineages or subfamilies of opsins in animals are generally recognized: the ciliary opsins represented most prominently by the vertebrate visual opsins, the rhabdomeric opsins represented by the insect visual opsins, and the retinochromeor Go-like opsins represented by RGRopsin, peropsin, and neuropsin in chordates (e.g. [S97,

S132, S133, S134]). Some opsin evolution experts split the latter group into multiple subfamilies in recognition of their considerable divergences (e.g. [S131]). The classification of this third subfamily remains unsettled, and some authors rank these as protein families within an opsin superfamily. Nevertheless, substantial evidence suggests that all three subfamilies predate the major split of bilateral animals into the protostomes and deuterostomes: (i) the chordate melanopsin are relatives to the previously protostome-only rhabdomeric opsins [S132, S135]; (ii) the insect pteropsin and an annelid ciliary opsin are protostome representatives of the ciliary opsins [S133, S134, S136]; (iii) vertebrate members of the retinochrome-like subfamily resemble squid retinochrome (e.g. [S137, S138, S139]), as does the opsin 2 gene in scallops [S140]. More recently, older animal phyla are revealing additional opsin lineages and evolutionary complexity, including a clade named 'cnidops' known only from Cnidarians (e.g. [S97, S141]).

The Daphnia compound eye consists of eleven ommatidia and the two eyes are fused during ontogeny into a single anterior and dorsal organ. Daphnia also have a single ocellus. Like some other arthropods (reviewed in [S142]), each Daphnia ommatidium of the compound eye has eight photoreceptor cells (see [S143]). Attempts to study the wavelength specificity and sensitivity of these individual light-detecting units proved difficult. But in pioneering work, [S144] used intracellular recordings to identify photoreceptor cells that respond specifically to blue, green, and red light. Smith and Macagno [S143] confirmed these capabilities using extracellular recordings from entire ommatidia and also demonstrated UV sensitivity.

By manual annotation of the D. pulex genome sequence, we identified 46 opsin genes (Table S32). Daphnia pulex has the greatest number of opsins of which we are aware described to date for any animal (Figure S21), although the genomes of the cnidarians Hydra magnipapillata and Nematostella vectensis rival D. pulex if counting the numerous cndiarian sequences that are presumably pseudogenes [S97]. Our phylogenetic analysis along with genes from the three known subfamilies of animal opsins revealed that most D. pulex opsins originated by gene duplications among four lineages, including a novel rhabdomeric opsin lineage we name arthropsins. Arthropsins are highly diverged from other known opsins. Their phylogenetic position, coupled with absence from all other available animal genome sequences implies multiple independent losses of this kind of opsin, whose functions are unknown. This large repertoire of opsins, along with previous studies revealing multiple photoreceptors and opsins in other crustaceans (e.g. [S95, S145, S146]), indicates that a remarkable diversity of opsins mediates light-sensitive behavior in these arthropods.

Expansion 1, Arthropsins - We were surprised to discover an entirely new and putatively ancient lineage of opsins in the D. pulex genome, which we call arthropsins. Arthropsins form a sister group to all known members of the rhabdomeric clade, confidently outside even the vertebrate 'melanopsin' rhabdomeric lineage.

Because of the unexpected position of arthropsins, we looked for evidence of rapid rates of evolution because fast evolution could cause positively misleading topological results [S147]. We performed all possible three-taxon, maximum likelihood relative rate tests between arthropsin genes and all other genes, using a ciliary opsin outgroup (Takifugu TMT, GenBank AAM90677). These relative rate tests were implemented in HyPhy [S148], assuming a WAG + F model of protein evolution and a critical value using Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. There was no evidence of elevated rates of molecular evolution in arthropsin genes based on ML relative rate tests, which does not support long-branch artifacts determining clade position: 970 out of 988 arthropsin comparisons were non-significant; 18 comparisons significantly rejected the null hypothesis of equal rates of evolution between an arthropsin gene and another gene; 16
of these comparisons involved Amphiop4 or Amphiop5, showing that Amphiop4 and 5 genes evolved significantly slower than arthropsin genes. These results do not indicate arthropsins genes are fast evolving. Rather, Amphiop4 and 5 genes are slow, as indicated by significantly slower rates in 213 of 254 relative rate comparisons involved Amphiop4 or 5. Two comparisons showed that arthropsin genes evolved significantly slower than Squid Retinochrome. Taken together, there is no evidence of rapid evolution in the arthropsin genes, and no reason to suspect LBA in the placement of the clade. Other possible explanations for this placement, including convergent evolution of rhabdomeric-clade synapomorphies remain to be explored. Indeed, arthropsins share several diagnostic amino acids with the rhabdomeric opsins, including the SHP (or SSP) motif at the terminus of TM7, which contrasts to the XNX motif shared by all ciliary, peropsin and RGR opsins. Moreover, the cytoplasmic loop 3 (CL3) domain of arthropsins is longer than that of the ciliary opsins, in keeping with all other rhabdomerics. The sequence of this loop is divergent from the other rhabdomeric opsins, however, whereas it is highly conserved within all the arthropod visual opsins (e.g. [S146]).

Besides being ancient, arthropsins have undergone their own expansion within the $D$. pulex genome, including two presumably old lineages (based on their low $\sim 50 \%$ amino acid identity), each with multiple sub-lineages. In the absence of functional information, the only obvious features that distinguish the arthropsins from the other rhabdomeric lineages is that they all have relatively long C-termini, comparable in length to the pteropsins and some other ciliary opsins. Arthropsins1-5 also have a few additional amino acids in CL3, making this loop longer than those of other known opsins. We name these genes arthropsins to indicate their presence in at least one major arthropod lineage. We hypothesize that others will be discovered in other crustaceans, perhaps some insect lineages, as well as other arthropods, or other protostomes.

Expansion 2, Pteropsins - Pteropsin is a protostome lineage of ciliary opsins, which are otherwise primarily known from vertebrates [S133, S134, S136]. Arendt et al. [S133] defined the ciliary and rhabdomeric lineages based in part on their recognition of both kinds of opsins in the annelid Platynereis dumerilii, which is a protostome. In both insects and annelids, this ciliary opsin is expressed in the brain rather than in visual organs, and hence is likely to serve a nonvisual role in light detection, perhaps in entraining circadian rhythms [S134, S149]. Although duplication of pteropsin is known from Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes (AgOp11 and 12, [S136]), D. pulex again reveals multiple, sometimes old (based on as low as $54 \%$ amino acid identity), duplications of this lineage. Among these nine duplicated genes we discovered the only obvious pseudogenes among the total set of 46 opsin genes; specifically, Pteropsin2 has multiple frameshifts and a mutated intron/exon boundary, while Pteropsin5 has a small frameshifting deletion in exon 7. The D. pulex pteropsin genes share all five introns that insect pteropsin genes share, including the three that group them with the vertebrate ciliary opsins, as well as two idiosyncratic introns not seen in any other opsin gene (data not shown). The expansion of the D. pulex pteropsins also led to some proteins with unusual features. These include insertions of 5-15 amino acids in CL2, which includes a string of 5 or 6 glycines in Pteropsin5-8. Similarly an insertion of 4-25 amino acids is present in EL3 in Pteropsin4-9.

Expansion 3 - Short wavelength and unknown wavelength opsins - Daphnia pulex have four opsins that fall within a paraphyletic grade at the base of rhabdomeric opsins. This grade also includes opsins from other arthropods with experimentally determined short wavelength sensitivities, including Drosophila UV (rh3) and blue (rh5) opsins. Two of the Daphnia opsins are similar to UV and blue opsin clades, respectively. Most insects have single orthologs of the blue and UV opsins, therefore, these findings are unremarkable [S143, S144]. In addition, Kashiyama et al [S94] found Triops and Branchinella to have single orthologs sister to known UV opsins (they did not detect the blue ortholog we report in Daphnia). The other two D. pulex opsins in
this grade are homologous to the Rh7 opsin in D. melanogaster (also called "the unknown wavelength opsin"). The Daphnia genes share only 49\% amino acid identity.

Expansion 4 - Medium- and long-wavelength opsins - Daphnia pulex have numerous opsins from two major lineages of presumably medium and long-wavelength opsins. Lineage $A$ is already known from a crab [S150] and Triops [S94]. The crab opsins are maximally sensitive to green light around 480 nm [S150]. Lineage B is composed of only D. pulex genes and other branchiopod genes. The two lineages cluster confidently with the long-wavelength opsins of insects and of other arthropods. However, the divergence of D. pulex genes from the other crustacean opsins is curious, because the better known long-wavelength lineage in insects has clear orthologs in crustaceans [S146] including Procambarus clarkii [S151] and in a chelicerate Limulus polyphemus [S152]. Presumably, genes from this better-known long-wavelength opsin lineage were lost during evolution leading to branchiopods. In turn, the D. pulex opsins in lineages A and B are sufficiently ancient to also be present in other branchiopods.

We speculate that these two opsin lineages underlie the green and red wavelength photoreceptor cell sensitivities identified by [S144] and Smith and Macagno [S143], with the Lineage A genes mediating green sensitivity and the Lineage B genes mediating red sensitivity. Furthermore, the expansion of these two lineages to total 25 genes is unprecedented in animal genomes, although expansions to six genes have been reported for the long-wavelength opsins of A. gambiae mosquitoes [S136] and Oakley and Huber [S95] reported up to eight opsins in two ostracods. Unlike the insect expansions, which are all relatively recent and apparently largely species-specific, these two crustacean long-wavelength lineages are very old (less than $50 \%$ amino acid identity for all A-B comparisons) and each have diversified in both ancient and recent times; multiple young duplications encode almost identical proteins.

The remarkable repertoire of opsins encoded by the D. pulex genome indicates that their visual capabilities, while long recognized as being sophisticated, might be even more so. Early work demonstrated sensitivity to at least four different wavelengths, corresponding to UV, blue, green and red light [S143, S144]. In his intracellular recordings from single photoreceptor cells within ommatidia, Schehr [S144] observed that R6 and R8 have peak sensitivity around 450 nm , R2, R3, and R5 are most sensitive around 510 nm , and R1 around 590, so the R4 and R6 cells are candidates for the UV receptor cells. Smith and Macagno [S143] noted that the long wavelength specificities were less easily defined when observed extracellularly for entire ommatidia. It is therefore possible that each cell expresses a different opsin, or sometimes even multiple opsins. In addition, Smith and Macagno [S143] noted that spectral sensitivities showed slight variations between dorsal and ventral ommatidia. It is therefore also possible that the particular opsin expressed in a particular photoreceptor cell is different in different ommatidia. Detailed in situ hybridization studies of the expression patterns of these opsins, particularly the many LOPA/B genes, will help address these questions.

## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

## A. Introduction

Figure S1. Reconstruction of the evolutionary history of sequenced arthropods by maximium likelihood methods. Branch lengths are actual sequence divergence corrected for multiple substitutions at 131 aligned and concatenated universal single-copy orthologs totaling 23,748 amino acids. All nodes of the phylogeny are supported by the bootstrap value of $100 \%$. The Daphnia lineage is firmly positioned at the base of the insect clade, together forming the Pancrustacea, confirming current knowledge of the phylogeny and showing that the overall molecular evolutionary rate in the Daphnia lineage is not extraordinary. Common names: Acyrthosiphon pisum, pea aphid; Pediculus humanus, human louse; Apis mellifera, honey bee; Nasonia vitripennis, jewel wasp; Aedes aegypti, yellow fever mosquito; Culex quinquefasciatus, southern house mosquito; Anopheles gambiae, African malaria mosquito; Drosophila melanogaster, fruit fly; Tribolium castaneum, flour beetle; Daphnia pulex, waterflea, Ixodes scapularis, blacklegged tick.


Figure S2. Overview of the Daphnia pulex Genome Project. This multi-institutional project is divided into three sections. (1) Sequencing and assembly was done at the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) using DNA prepared at the University of New Hampshire (UNH) and at Indiana University (IU). Two additional assemblies and a genetic map were used to validate the results at IU. (2) Automated gene calls were made using algorithms implemented at the JGI, IU and at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Empirical gene annotations were made possible by sequencing 200,000 ESTs at the JGI from cDNA libraries created at UNH and IU to sample genes expressed under a variety of ecological settings and developmental stages; additional RNA was obtained from consortium members at the University of WisconsinMilwaukee, the University of Edinburgh and the University of Basel. Genome tiling path microarray experiments were carried out at Roche NimbleGen Inc. and at IU; additional RNA was obtained from Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU). (3) Discoveries of gene products and functions were made based on functional genomic experiments using in-house spotted oligo and Roche NimbleGen 12-plex microarrays at IU and proteomics at Utrecht University, University of California Davis and LMU; additional RNA for microarray experiments was obtained from Utah State University. All results are integrated at two publicly available databases: wFleaBase at IU [S153] and at the JGI's Genome Portal [S154]. Finally, over 100 investigators of various disciplines received manual annotation training at IU and via telephone and video conferences from J GI and IU, then trained others to ultimately contribute a series of manuscripts describing Daphnia's genome biology [S39]. Arrows indicate the flow of information across the three sections.


## B. Genome Sequence, Assembly and Chromosomes

Figure S3. Distributions of the cumulative scaffold and gap lengths for the JAZZ, Arachne, and PCAP assemblies (with 26,848, 23,643 and 61,858 scaffolds, respectively). The JAZZ assembler produced the best results, likely because of differences in its algorithm. For JAZZ, the unhashability threshold was set to five times the estimated sequence depth (i.e., 40). This is the threshold before a given sequence string is deemed too frequent to be used to seed alignments. The mismatch penalty was set to -30.0, which would tend to assemble together sequences that were more than $97 \%$ identical. Other scoring and penalty options were set to their default values. Default parameters were used for Arachne and PCAP. PCAP produced the largest number of scaffolds and placed more reads than the other two assemblers. A majority of the scaffolds, however, contain only a single contig. Super-scaffolds are also displayed, based on manual gapbridging. The inset plots the earliest cumulative rate of assembly for scaffolds 1-1,500.


Figure S4. Venn diagram highlighting the number of putative mis-assembled regions by using three different methods: GAV (Genome Assembly Validator), which identified 3,053 putatively mis-assembled regions; comparative validation using Arachne assembly as the reference, which identified 1,889 putatively mis-assembled regions; and the comparative validation using PCAP assembly as the reference, which identified 3,304 putatively mis-assembled regions. Notably, only a small number (84) of regions were reported by all three methods, whereas most of these regions were reported by only one method ( 2,519 for GAV, 891 for Arachne, and 2,168 for PCAP).
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Figure S5. The distribution of detected breakpoints by GAV among the scaffolds with varying lengths. As expected, long scaffolds tend to contain more potentially mis-assembled regions.


Figure S6. The karyotype of Daphnia pulex based on meiotic chromosomes prepared from testis. DAPI banding (A) and G banding methods (B) were used to reveal heterochromatic, dense DNA and/or higher AT content bands. Chromosomes are aligned according to their length. Arrowheads indicate the conspicuous heterochromatic bands on four large chromosomes. Bars represent $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Chromosome and banding measurements are listed in Table S8; we estimate that chromosomes $1-4$ contain half of the genome's DNA and that $25 \%$ of the genome is heterochromatic.


## C. Largest Gene Inventory

Figure S7. Corroborating evidence for the existence of a minimal set of 30,907 predicted protein coding genes. (1) Expression of 10,578 genes was detected by cDNA sequencing (ESTs aligning to $>90 \%$ of the gene model); (2) Expression of 13,445 genes was detected by tiling path microarray experiments as transcriptional active regions (TARs aligning to $>80 \%$ of the gene model). (3) Paralogs were found for 13,105 loci ( $p<10^{-20}$ ). (4) Homologs of 18,765 genes were detected within a draft assembly of 8 -fold coverage of the D . magna genome sequence. (5) Homology was found for 19,641 genes in other sequenced genomes ( $\mathrm{p}<10^{-5}$ ). (6) Peptides were sequenced matching 1,273 genes (not shown). At least 26,649 loci (86\%) are conservatively supported by at least one line of evidence. Homology and transcriptional evidence for the v1.1 annotated gene set is listed in Table S11.


Figure S8. Cumulative frequency distribution of the ratio of non-synonymous ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) over synonymous nucleotide substitutions $\left(K_{s}\right)$ among duplicated genes in the genome of Daphnia pulex and three reference genomes. This analysis purposefully adds evidence (one of six independent assessments presented) of the validity of the large number of annotated/duplicated genes in the sequenced genome, by utilizing the prediction that no evidence of purifying selection is expected from mistakenly annotated duplicated genes. Measurements are obtained from 34,550 pairs of D. pulex duplicated genes sharing a minimum of $60 \%$ amino acid identity, compared to 9,562 pairs for Homo sapiens, 5,048 pairs for Caenorhabditis elegans and 1,367 pairs for Drosophila melanogaster. Median $K_{a} / K_{s}$ for $D$. pulex is 0.38 , while $90 \%$ of the measurements are below 0.83. Daphnia pulex had the lowest proportion of paralogs $<0.5 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (a metric for purifying selection), but not much different than for human. This pattern is caused by Daphnia and Homo having a disproportionate number of very recent duplicates compared to Caenorhabditis and Drosophila (Figure S17), since $K_{a} / K_{s}$ cannot be calculated when there is no divergence between paralogs.


Figure S9. Evidence that genes residing in areas of low read coverage within the draft genome assembly are genuine. Results from the comparative genomic hybridization of TCO labeled DNA on a custom 12-plex microarray manufacture by Roche NimbleGen Inc. containing 3 unique probes for 21,133 predicted genes, 2 unique probes for 8,307 predicted genes and 1 unique probe for 129 unique genes representing $96 \%$ of the total predicted gene set. The experiment was replicated 24 times; no correlation is found between read coverage and the mean fluorescing units of probes representing genes.


Figure S10. Daphnia pulex reveals arthropod origin of two Hox cluster encoded microRNAs (iab4 and mir-993). (A) Drosophila melanogaster Hox cluster arrangement. Alignments and secondary structures for conserved microRNAs (B) iab-4, (C) mir-993 and (D) mir-10. The color hue code of the alignment indicates the number of different consistent nucleotide pairs occurring for a given base pair. The saturation of the color indicates the number of sequences that are not consistent with the base pair, in the sense that they have nucleotides at the relevant positions that do not form one of the six standard RNA base pairs. Abbreviations: Drome, Drosophila melanogaster; Drosi, D. simulans; Drose, D. sechellia; Droya, D. yakuba; Droer, D. erecta; Droan, D. ananassae; Drops, D. pseudoobscura; Drope, D. persimilis; Drowi, D. willistoni; Dromo, D. mojavensis; Drovi, D. virilis; Drogr, D. grimshawi; Culpi, Culex quinquefasciatus; Aedae, Aedes aegypti; Anaga, Anopheles gambiae; Bommo, Bombyx mori; Trica, Tribolium castaneum; Apime, Apis mellifera; Nasvi, Nasonia vitripennis; Acypi, Acythrosiphon pisum; Dappu, Daphnia pulex; Dapma, Daphnia magna; Lotgi, Lottia gigantean; Danre, Danio rerio; Musmu, Mus musculus.
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Figure S11. Distribution of transposon Pokey in the ribosomal DNA of Daphnia pulex. A. DAPIstained mitotic chromosomes. B. rRNA gene clusters (green) revealed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). The intergenic spacer (IGS) was used as probe DNA. Red represents counterstained DNA. C. IGS (green) and Pokey (red) visualized on a stretched chromatin fiber by fiber-FISH. Pokey elements are clearly dispersed along the whole length of the rDNA array. Bars represent $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure S12. Age distribution of Daphnia pulex Long Terminal Repeats elements (LTRs) as pairwise divergence at nucleotide positions at the termini.


## D. Attributes of a Compact Genome

Figure S13. Size distribution of introns in Daphnia pulex, Caenorhabditis elegans (smaller, gene rich genome), Drosophila melanogaster (relatively small arthropod genome), and Mus musculus (large, gene rich genome). A. Distributions of the cumulative density and intron size comparing the four species. B. Density distributions of intron size for the four species. C. Same density distribution as in panel B, observed by scaling down the y-axis values to show bimodal distributions in genomes except for the D. pulex genome.


Figure S14. Pair-wise percentage of conservation of intron position. The numbers were obtained by dividing the number of shared introns by the total number of introns in the given two species and converting the result to percentage and clustering using the UPGMA algorithm. Scale bar represents percent divergence. These results were validated by a subsequent analysis using clusters that only contained EST validated D. pulex introns. Validated introns were identified by the application of PASA (Program to Assemble Spliced Alignments), which produced 114,128 valid alignments from 166,289 high quality ESTs representing 15,827 genes. A link to the PASA analysis and exploratory tools for the Daphnia project is listed in Table S1. The number of annotated Daphnia pulex introns supported by ESTs is 33,386 . The result from this validation test is the same and is presented in Table S23.


Figure S15. Ancestral reconstruction of intron gains and losses for arthropods and three other metazoans using Maximum Likelihood methods. The Daphnia lineage shows a burst of new introns. The node sizes are proportional to the intron content. The green bars indicate intron gain events and scaled by the maximum gain (in Daphnia). The red bars indicate intron loss events and scaled by the maximum loss (in Arthropoda ancestor). Abbreviations: Anaga, Anopheles gambiae; Aedae, Aedes aegypti; Drome, Drosophila melanogaster; Drops, Drosophila pseudoobscura; Apime, Apis mellifera; Dappu, Daphnia pulex; Homsa, Homo sapiens; Danre, Danio rerio; Nemve, Nematostella vectensis, which is used as the outgroup species.


Figure S16. Estimated independent and parallel gain of introns in Daphnia. A. Estimated independent intron gains in D. pulex and parallel gains with arthropods and non-arthropod animals. B. Estimated parallel gains in D. pulex and different arthropod lineages. Abbreviations: Anaga, Anopheles gambiae; Aedae, Aedes aegypti; Drome, Drosophila melanogaster; Drops, Drosophila pseudoobscura; Apime, Apis mellifera.
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## E. Origin and Preservation of Daphnia pulex Genes

Figure S17. Frequency of pair-wise genetic divergence at silent sites ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) among the 2-member gene duplicates in the Daphnia pulex, Caenorhabditis elegans and Homo sapiens genomes. The comparisons are made between genes with greater than 100 aligned amino acids and with percent identity better than $40 \%$. Here, $1,437,949$ and 962 pair-wise comparisons are made for the three genomes, respectively.


Figure S18. Frequency of pair-wise genetic divergence at silent sites ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) among gene duplicates in Daphnia pulex. Panels include genes with greater than 100 aligned amino acids and with percent identity better than $40 \%$. A. 66,502 pairs including gene duplicates with evidence of gene conversion. B. 60,444 pairs excluding gene duplicates with evidence of gene conversion. We find that our estimate of the age distribution of duplicated genes is unaffected by gene conversion.
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Figure S19. Position and size of Tandem Duplicated Gene (TDG) clusters within the genome assemblies of four model species. Clusters are identified using the custom algorithm called Tandy (described in Methods section). The bottom axis plots all genes binned in groups of 50 and ordered from largest scaffold/chromosome to the smallest. The peak heights along the $y$ axis represent the percentage of genes that are simple tandem gene repeats (red) and mixed tandem gene repeats (green) within that 50 genes window in the respective genomes. In Caenorhabditis elegans, the largest TDG clusters have biased genomic distributions, as previously reported [S155]. In Daphnia pulex, TDG clusters are larger on average (scaled to 23,791 genes following the removal of small scaffolds under 80 Kb , compared to 20,062 in C . elegans), yet are more evenly distributed among the genome scaffolds. The Drosophila melanogaster and Mus musculus genomes also contain TDG clusters, yet these are comparatively less prominent.

Daphnia pulex tandem runs
$20+\%$ tandem duplicates (median: 16\%)


Drosophila melanogaster tandem runs
13\% tandem duplicates (median: 12\%)


Mus musculus tandem runs


Figure S20. Physical distances between neighboring members of large duplicated gene families composed of 10-80 genes within the Daphnia and three reference genomes. Daphnia's duplicated genes are most densely arranged into clusters. Observations are binned within intervals of $0-5 \mathrm{~Kb}, 5-10 \mathrm{~Kb}, 10-20 \mathrm{~Kb}, 20+\mathrm{Kb}$ and duplicates distributed among different sequence assembly scaffolds (unlinked). The last two bins are scaled $2 x$ for the $y$-axis values. Shaded fractions designate inverted duplicates (shaded portions of bar graph). Nearby tandem duplicates show a lower inversion rate than other species. Daphnia's genome shows an excess in unlinked (across-scaffold) duplicate genes as well as very near 1,000-2,000 bp tandem genes. As this draft genome assembly has thousands of small scaffolds, the unlinked duplicates may be found to be nearby tandems with further assembly refinement. The small scaffolds likely failed to assemble in part due to tandem duplicate gene regions. Abbreviations: Caeel, Caenorhabditis elegans; Dappu, Daphnia pulex; Drome, Drosophila melanogaster; Musmu, Mus musculus.
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Figure S21. Phylogenetic relationships of 39 of the 46 Daphnia pulex opsin genes (listed in Table S32), labeled in red; Some clusters of the most recent gene duplications within the Crustacea Long wave-length opsins Lineages A and B are not shown because full-length protein sequences are not available for these tandemly duplicated genes that failed to assemble) and representative animal opsins (labeled in blue for crustacean sequences and in black for all others). Opsins are members of the GPCR-class family of proteins that mediates phototransduction cascades in eumetazoan animals. The phylogeny is constructed by first aligning amino acids using MUSCLE [S58] and assuming the a WAG+I+Г model of amino acid evolution, as implemented in RaxML [S96]. The resulting phylogeny is rooted by the ciliary subfamily [S156]. At left, bootstrap support at the nodes is reported as concordance among 100 pseudo-replications, with nodes with $<49 \%$ support collapsed. Several major opsin clades are labeled. Although low bootstrap support is obtained for the RGR/Go subfamily (49\%), analysis of intron locations supports their monophyly [S157], as does more extensive sequence phylogenies (e.g. [S156]). At right is a phylogram showing branch lengths proportional to inferred number of amino acid changes. Gene names are the genus of the containing species, plus a number or accession number to identify uniquely multiple genes from the same species. We included all Branchiopoda opsin genes from a recent publication that studied three species [S94].
Abbreviations for D. pulex genes: LOP=Long-wave opsin; UVOP = Ultraviolet Opsin and BLOP = Blue Opsin are named based on similarity to functionally characterized opsins of other species, no functional analyses have yet been performed for these; 'Arthropsin' is used to describe a new clade of opsins, known so far only from Daphnia. We find these genes to be a sister-group to 'rhabdomeric' (Gq-coupled) opsins with strong support (100\%). Based on multiple three-taxon, maximum likelihood relative rate tests implemented in HyPhy [S158], we found no evidence for rapid rates of evolution in arthropsin genes, and therefore no support for long branch topological artifacts [S159] caused by rapid arthropsin evolution.


Figure S22. Maximum-likelihood phylogenies of Daphnia pulex opsin genes for comparison to evolution of other gene families involved in vision and eye development [S66]. Bootstrap support at the nodes is reported as concordance among 100 pseudo-replications. We included two clades of opsins in this analysis: rhabdomeric opsins (panel A, lineage 1), and the newly described arthropsin clade (panel A, lineage 2). Consistent with previous analyses [S97], this analysis recovers rhabdomeric opsins only from the bilaterian animals. A reconciled tree analysis (inferring the timing of gene duplication and loss events by comparing a gene tree to a species tree [S66, S160]) identifies 43 well-supported gene duplication events in the evolutionary history of rhabdomeric and arthropsin opsins across all taxa examined - far more than any other phototransduction locus considered by [S66]. Twenty-five of these duplications occurred within the D. pulex lineage alone. One duplication of rhabdomeric opsin predated the bilaterians (panel B, lineage 0); two duplications occurred at least prior to the origin of the Pancrustacea (panel B, lineages 1 and 2), and two duplications preceded the evolution of the vertebrates (Panel B, lineages 4 and 5). This analysis also recorded 13 loss events for rhabdomeric opsins. Because the node joining arthropsins (panel B, lineage 6) to the larger rhabdomeric opsin radiation was weakly supported in this analysis (alrt $=65$ ) (panel B) and because we assigned loss and gain using nodes supported by alrt $=0.9$ or greater, we did not record any loss events for this clade. However, the finding that the Daphnia-specific opsin clade arthropsin is basal to rhabdomeric opsins in rooted analyses (Figure S21) suggests that a more complicated history of loss for arthropsins is likely. Panel B lineages: $1=$ Rh6/Rh2; $2=$ Rh3/Rh4/Rh5/Rh7; 3 = Loph Rh; $4=$ Bilaterian Rh; $5=$ Melanopsin; $6=$ Arthropsin.


## F. Consequence Daphnia's Genome Structure

Figure S23. Rates of gene conversion (as percent of converted paralogs) and number of intervening genes between duplicates in Daphnia pulex (blue) and the average of five Drosophila species (red: D. melanogaster, D. yakuba, D. pseudoobscura, D. virilis, D. grimshawi). Values on the X-axis represent intervening genes between pairs of duplicates. Strictly tandem pairs have zero intervening genes. Bars above and below the mean values are maximum and minimum values among the Drosophila species.


Figure S24. Rates of gene conversion (as percent of converted paralogs) and divergence between duplicates in Daphnia pulex (blue) and the average of five Drosophila species (red: D. melanogaster, D. yakuba, D. pseudoobscura, D. virilis, D. grimshawi). Values on the x-axis represent divergence estimates for synonymous nucleotide substitutions. Bars above and below the mean values are maximum and minimum values among the Drosophila species.


Figure S25. Amino acid sequence alignment of di-domain hemoglobins (Hb) of Daphnia pulex and D. magna. The amino acid sequences used in the alignment and their accession number of NCBI/EMBL/DDBJ databases are: Moina macroccopa Hb 1 and Hb 2 (AB055113, AB055114), Barbatia lima Hb1 and Hb2 (D63931, D58417), Barbatia reeveana Hb (M73328), Ascaris suum Hb (L03351), and Pseudoterranova decipiens Hb (M63298). A to H helices in the globin folding are indicated above the first amino acid of each helix. N -terminal extension and pre-A are also indicated. The most conserved residues in all Hb are shaded black. Other highly conserved residues are shaded gray. Abbreviations: Dpul, Daphnia pulex; Dmag, Daphnia magna; Mmac, Moina macroccopa; BI, Barbatia lima; Br, Barbatia reeveana; As, Ascaris sum; Pd, Pseudoterranova decipiens.

Note. All Hb proteins from both Daphnia species and from outgroup species have conserved amino acids, such as a Trp residue at the twelfth position of helix A (A12), Pro (C2), Phe (CD1), His (F8), and Trp (H8), which are important for heme binding in the first and second domains. An exception is found at position F8 containing a substitution of Tyr for His in the first domain of Dpul-Hb9. Generally, positions B10, E7, and E11 residues are most important for oxygen affinity, and amino acid residues at B10 and E11 play a pivotal role in formation of the distal heme pocket [S161]. We find Leu (B10), His (E7), and Val (E11) conserved among vertebrate Hb and myoglobin, while Gln (E7) is common in the invertebrate Hb , including Hb in Daphnia. However, Leu is replaced by Phe at position B10 of the second Hb domains in Daphnia, except for Dpul-Hb10 and Dpul-Hb11, while Leu at position E11 is replaced by Ile in the first domains and Val in the second domains, respectively. A study of Ascaris (nematode) Hb suggests that substituting Leu for Phe increases the rate of oxygen association, resulting in an increase of oxygen affinity [S162], while a 10 fold benefit is observed in myoglobin [S163]. Presumably, a similar equilibrium between oxygen affinity and dissociation is reached by Daphnia's second domains. Finally, D. pulex Hb have characteristic Thr rich sequence in their pre-A sequences, located upstream of the first domain of waterflea Hb , except for Dpul- Hb 6 and Dpul-Hb9. The identities between the first and the second domain of the same Hb subunit in Cladocera (Daphnia plus Moina) are remarkably low in contrast to clam and nematode di-domain Hb (average amino acid identities in Cladocera, clam and nematode are 25.1\%, 79.2\% and 56.7\%, respectively). This observation suggests that the duplication of an Hb gene encoding a single heme-binding domain preceded the fusion and formation of di-domain Hb genes in Cladocera, which occurred much earlier than in clam and nematode.
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| signal sequence | N terminal extension pre-A |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MQP | YS--QAPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVTADEGTDS--GLL |
| 1 MQFLK-IALFFALVALASSSPS | CS--QAPGTTITSVT--TTVTTVTADEDSDN--GLL |
| 1 -MAS--FKIVFLLSVLAFA--- | CA--YKPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVSADEGNE---GI |
| 1 -MAFK-FALLFGLVAFASA | CS--QAPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVSADEGDE---GIL |
| 1 -MAFK-FALLFGLVAFASA--- | CS--QAPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVSADEGDE---GIL |
| 1 MQILTALALFFGTIAATCA | CANMATPGKTS YAIA--MSIMTTEDDEMGS---GLL |
| 1 -MAFK-FALLFGLVAFASA- | CS--QAPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVSADEGDE---GIL |
| 1 MQVLS-LALFIGIAAAVSA- | YA----PGTKVTTVT--TSVTTVTLDEEST---GIL |
| 1 MLASFKLVVLLSVVALACA- | WP--QFGSSSMTTGP---TTSTVPAKENSQGPTPKL |
| 1 -MAFK-LALLFGVIAFASA- | CS--YAPGTTVTTVT--TAVTTVSADEGEE---GIL |
| 1 -MAFK-LVLLFGVIASA--- | CS--YAPGTSVTTAV-----TTVSADEGEE---GIL |
| 1 MQLFN-LALVFGVVAFVSAB--- | CS--QTPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVTADDDGEA--GLL |
| 1 MQSLK-IALLFAFVALAST--- | CS--QAPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVTADDDSDS--GLL |
| 1 MASFK-IALLFGVIAFVSA--- | CS--QAPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVSADDGGEA--GLL |
| 1 MASFK-IALLLGVIAFVNA--- | CS--QAPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVSADDGSEA--GLL |
| 1 MASFK-IALLFGVVAFVSA--- | YS--QAPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVSADDGSEA--GIL |
| 1 MASFK-IALLLGVIAFVNA--- | CS--QAPGTTTTTVT--TTVTTVSADDGSEA--GLL |
| 1 MQVLT-IALFLGIVATASA--- | CASMAAPGTTVTTVT--TSVTTVSADEEST---GIL |
| 1 --MLK-IT LLLAVT LAVAYA-- | HQ--YAPGTWTTVTSTTTTTSVSAGDSDDS--GLL |
| 1 --MMK-IALLLAVT LAVAYA -- | SQ--YAPGSWTTVTSSTTTTTVSAGDSDDS--GLL |
| 1 -MHSSIVLAIVLFVAIASA--- |  |
| 1 -MRSLLLLSSIVFFVVTVSA--- |  |
| $1$ | -MSVAEK VDE VT-- |
| $1$ | -MSVEDKIEEVT-- |
|  | MSVSAKLDE VT |
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pre-A

| A | AB | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TPHQIRDVQTSWENLR | SD-- | --RNSLVSAIF |
| TPHQIHDVQR SWENIR | AN-- | --RNSLISAIFVK |
| SGHMIGDVQR SWENIR | GD-- | --RNAMISSIFVKLFKE |
| SPHMIGDVQRSWENIR | GG-- | --RNAMVSDIFIKLFKE |
| SGHMIGDVQR SWENIR | GG-- | --RNAMVSDIFIKLFKE |
| TPQQIKE VQRTWASMR | SD-- | --RNSI VSAIFIELFRE |
| TGRQIRDAQRTWENIR | GG-- | --RNAMVSSIFIKLFKE |
| TLHQIRDVQR SWETIR | ND-- | --RNAMVSSIFIKLFKE |
| TRPQIRNVQR SWESMK | SG-- | --RNSLVSAIFIKLFK |
| SAHQIRDVQR SWENVR | GG-- | --RNAMVSAIMIKLFKE |
| SAHQIRDVQR SWENIR | SV-- | --RNTLVSSIMIKLFKE |
| TPHQIRDVQTSWENIR | GD-- | --RNSI VSAIFIKLFKE |
| TPHQIQDVQR SWENLR | AN-- | --RNAMVSSIFVKLFKE |
| TPHQIQDVQRS $W$ ENIR | NG-- | --RNAIVSSIFVKLFKE |
| TPHQIQDVQR SWENVR | NG-- | --RNALISSIFVKLFKE |
| TPHQIQDVQRSWENIR | NG-- | --RNALVSSIFVKLFKE |
| TPHQIRDVQR SWENIR | ND-- | --RNALVSSIFVKLFKE |
| TLHQIRDVQRSWENIR | SG-- | --RNALVSSIFVKLFKE |
| SGHIIKDVQRSWENVR | GN-- | --RNTI VAGIFOKL FAG |
| SGHIIKDVQR SWENVR | GN-- | --RNTI VAGIFOKL FAG |
| -HSVRDHCMNSLEYIA | IGDK | EHQKQNGIDLYKHMFEH |
| -HAVRHQCMR SLQ ${ }^{\text {did }}$ | IGHS | ETAKQNGIDLYKHMFEN |
| QSDNKSLIRETWEMIA | GD-- | --RKNGV-E LMALLFEM |
| QPANKGLIRETWNIVA | GD-- | --RKNGV-E LMALLFEM |
| -PANKGLIRETWNMIA | GD-- | --RKNGV-ELMALLFEM |
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Figure S26. Nucleotide sequence alignment of di-domain hemoglobins (Hb) in coding regions of genes. The most conserved residues in all Hb are shaded black. Other highly conserved residues are shaded gray. Abbreviations: dpul, Daphnia pulex; dmag, Daphnia magna; asuumc, Ascaris sum; pdecic, Pseudoterranova decipiens.

Note. The nucleotide alignment was analyzed using GENECONV [S99] by assigning a gap penalty of 1 and creating 10,000 permutations for detecting copied DNA with probability $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.05 . Five copied DNA segments in the D . magna Hb gene cluster and the eight DNA segments in the D . pulex Hb gene cluster were identified. In the D . magna Hb gene cluster, gene conversion events occurred between $\mathrm{Hb} 1 / 2, \mathrm{Hb} 2 / 3, \mathrm{Hb} 2 / 4, \mathrm{Hb} 2 / 5, \mathrm{Hb} 2 / 7$ and $\mathrm{Hb} 1 / 7$. By contrast, gene conversion events occurred between $\mathrm{Hb} 1 / 3, \mathrm{Hb} 2 / 3, \mathrm{Hb} 2 / 4, \mathrm{Hb} 2 / 7, \mathrm{Hb} 3 / 4, \mathrm{Hb} 3 / 7$, $\mathrm{Hb} 4 / 7$ and $\mathrm{Hb} 5 / 7$ in the D. pulex Hb gene cluster.


Figure S27. Nucleotide sequence alignment of intergenic regions between the stop codons of upstream genes and the TATA of the downstream genes of all Daphnia pulex (dpul) and D. magna (dmag) di-domain hemoglobins ( Hb ). The most conserved residues in all Hb are shaded black. Other highly conserved residues are shaded gray.

Note. Consensus core sequence of HRE (T/G/C ACGTG) in the Hb gene clusters were found by using the homology search tool of GENETYX v11 (www.sdc.co.jp/genetyx, , Tokyo, Japan). Presumptive hypoxia response elements (HREs) in all intergenic regions were identified (Figure 2B). Some elements were accompanied by conserved ancillary sequences (VTACGTG(N)7YCACGY) (Figure 2B, marked with asterisks). Alignment of the intergenic sequences showed that many of them are located exactly the same position relative to the translation start point of the downstream Hb genes in the two clusters (Figure 2B, marked with sharps).


## G. Evolutionary Diversification of Duplicated Genes

Figure S28. Differential expression (DE) profiles of 37 of the 46 Daphnia pulex opsin genes from eight microarray experiments (A-H). A. Heat map showing results from the hierarchical clustering by un-centered expression correlation of genes from all of the major clades. Red designates up-regulation against the reference condition. Green designates down-regulation against the reference condition. Dark shades denote no change in gene expression. B. Differential gene expression (DE) pattern correlations among paralogs of the long-wavelength opsin genes, including lineage A (LOPA) and lineage B (LOPB), as a function of their pair-wise genetic divergence at silent sites ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ). Symbols indicate whether the paralogs both stem from lineage A (triangles), both stem from lineage B (circles), or are each from separate lineages (squares). Observations that are encircled involve all comparisons involving LOPA3 (Dappu67015). By eliminating this gene with the most divergent expression patterns, long-wavelength opsins are seen to gradually diverge with increasing age (best fit regression line is shown). Relative time since duplication is inferred from $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$.


Figure S29. Differential expression (DE) profiles of 11 Daphnia pulex di-domain hemoglobin genes from eight microarray experiments ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{H}$ ). A. Heat map showing results from the hierarchical clustering by un-centered expression correlation of genes from all major clades. Red designates up-regulation against the reference condition. Green designates down-regulation against the reference condition. Dark shades denote no change in gene expression. B. Differential gene expression (DE) pattern correlations among paralogs of the di-domain hemoglobin genes, including duplicates that are within the tandem duplicated gene (TDG) cluster (TDG only), duplicates sharing gene conversion tracts (Conv. only), duplicates within TDG clusters that also show signatures of gene conversion (TDG + Conv.), and duplicated genes that are dispersed in the genome, as a function of their pair-wise genetic divergence at silent sites $\left(K_{s}\right)$. Observations that are encircled involve all by one comparison involving Dpul-Hb8 (Dappu-230333). By eliminating these comparisons with the pair of genes with $K_{s}=5$, hemoglobins are seen to diverge with increasing age (best fit regression line is shown). Relative time since duplication is inferred from $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$.
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## H. Functional Significance of Expanded Gene Families

Figure S30. Thirty-eight expanded and 54 contracted metabolic genes in arthropod genomes compared to vertebrates. All enzymes are supported by the Fisher exact test ( 15 dark green and 2 red bars represent genes supported by Bonferroni correction for multiple testing), based on the distribution of the number of genes encoding corresponding enzymes among the following species: Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Gallus gallus and Tetraodon nigroviridis represent vertebrates, Drosophila melanogaster, Apis mellifera, Anopheles gambiae represent arthropods.

Contracted gene families in arthropod $\longleftarrow \mid \longrightarrow$ Expanded gene families in arthropod


Figure S31. Expanded metabolic genes in the Daphnia pulex genome compared to other arthropods and vertebrates. Thirty-two enzymes are supported by the Fisher exact test (dark green bars represent 20 genes supported by Bonferroni correction for multiple testing), based on the distribution of the number of genes encoding corresponding enzymes among the following species: Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Gallus gallus and Tetraodon nigroviridis represent vertebrates, Drosophila melanogaster, Apis mellifera, Anopheles gambiae represent arthropods.

Expanded genes in Daphnia


Figure S32. Distribution of the number of amplified genes with interactions, derived from 1,000 randomized metabolic networks. The horizontal axis represents the number of interacting genes with the vertical line at $p=0.03$, and the vertical axis represents the frequency from sampling 1,000 randomized metabolic networks. Nineteen amplified genes are observed in the real network as having interactions, which is significantly higher than in randomized networks.


Figure S33. Phylogenetic relationships of members of the three expanded gene families of the Daphnia pulex glycosphingolipid biosynthesis neo-lactoseries pathway of metabolism (KEGG map000602). Phylogenetic trees are constructed by the maximum likelihood method using the Phylip ProML algorithm [S109] with corrected distances by the Jones-Taylor-Thornton model of molecular evolution [S110], using aligned amino acid sequences by MUSCLE [S58](Tables S4548). Orthologs from the Tribolium castaneum (labeled blue) and Ixodes scapularis (labeled orange) genome sequences are included to bracket the Daphnia pulex paralogs.
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Figure S34. Differential expression (DE) pattern correlations among the Daphnia pulex genemembers of three lineage-specific gene family expansions from microarray experiments. The three enzymes are known to interact within the glycosphingolipid biosynthesis neo-lactoseries metabolic pathway of other model species. Correlations are plotted as a function of their pairwise genetic divergence at silent sites $\left(K_{s}\right)$. Enzyme names: 2.4.1.152, Alpha-1,3fucosyltransferase C; 2.4.1.206, Beta-1,3-galactosyltransferase 5; 2.4.1.65, glycosyltransferase.




Figure S35. The phylogeny of duplicated fucosyltransferase genes (Enzyme 2.4.1.152) compared to their differential expression (DE) profiles across 8 experimental conditions (A-H) on microarrays. Gene phylogeny is identical to the panel in Figure S34. Internal nodes labeled blue are clades containing genes with average genetic distance between 0.4 and 0.5 . Heat map on the left shows results from the hierarchical clustering by un-centered expression correlation of 79 genes from the expanded fucosyltransferase family plus 8 genes from the expanded glycosyl transferases family (enzyme 2.4.1.65 labeled by filled circles). Red designates up-regulation against the reference condition. Green designates down-regulation against the reference condition. Dark shades denote no change in gene expression. The two enzymes are required for biochemical reactions of glycosphingolipid biosynthesis. Subclusters labeled 1-7 contain at least one 2.4.1.65 gene. All 2.4.1.152 genes are grouped into one of these subclusters, except for Dappu-58299, Dappu-52155, Dappu-48653 and Dappu-248921. Lines are colored based on the membership of genes within clades stemming from marked nodes of the protein phylogeny.


Figure S36. Differential transcription of the genome from D. pulex exposed to kairomone produced by the larval dipteran predator Chaoborus (biotic challenge), from D. pulex exposed to cadmium (abiotic challenge) and from male and females (standard conditions) measured by genome-wide tiling path microarray experiments. Differential transcription is twice as pronounced in genomic regions that are currently void of gene models (Intergenic) compared to regions with annotated genes when D. pulex are exposed to ecological conditions.
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## SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

## A. Introduction

Table S1. Open-source web-portals for Daphnia pulex genome data, analysis results and bioinformatic tools.

| Daphnia informatics | URL address | Citation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wFleaBase | http://wFleaBase.org/ | [S153] |
| JGI Genome Portal | http://www.jgi.doe.gov/Daphnia/ | [S154] |
| PASA Database | http://wfleabase.org/genome/Daphnia_pulex/current/pasa/ | [S21, S164] |
| ESTPiper | https://estpiper.cgb.indiana.edu/ | [S26] |
| Superfamily | http://supfam.org/ | [S83] |
| Cado | http://omics.informatics.indiana.edu/lab/CADO/precalculated/DpullnterPro/ | [S165] |
| OrthoDB | http://cegg.unige.ch/orthodb | [S61] |
| miROrtho | http://cegg.unige.ch/mirortho/ | [S48] |
| DGC Web Portal | http://daphnia.cgb.indiana.edu/ | [S166] |
| Scaffold Dotplot | http://cancer.informatics.indiana.edu/cgi- | bin/jeochoi/daphnia/tandemduplicategene/index.cgi |
| MGEScan-LTR | http://darwin.informatics.indiana.edu/cgi-bin/evolution/daphnia_ltr.pl/ | [S167] |
| DGC Wiki Portal | https://wiki.cgb.indiana.edu/display/DGC/Home | [S49] |
| NIH Model Organisms | http://www.nih.gov/science/models/ | [S166] |
| NCBI UniGene | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene/UGOrg.cgi?TAXID=6669/ | [S168] |
| euGenes Arthropods | http://arthropods.eugenes.org/arthropods/ | [S169] |
| Companion papers for the | http://www.biomedcentral.com/series/Daphnia. | [S54] |
| genome sequence |  | [S39] |

## B. Genome Sequence, Assembly and Chromosomes

Table S2. Summary of the Daphnia pulex genome assemblies using three assemblers. The official assembly for the current annotation is JAZZ, where numbers in parentheses are for the scaffolds and contigs from the nuclear genome. Other numbers refer to the full sequence data. The ARACHNE and PCAP assemblies are used to validate JAZZ.

|  | JAZZ | ARACHNE | PCAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reads | 2,711,298 | 2,724,768 | 2,615,317 |
| Number of reads placed | 1,645,566 | 1,401,492 | 1,968,495 |
|  | $(1,554,564)$ |  |  |
| Length of reads placed (bp) | 1,199,451,926 | 1,188,616,421 | 1,688,271,557 |
| Number of scaffolds | 26,848 | 23,643 | 61,858 |
|  | $(5,191)$ |  |  |
| Length of scaffolds (bp) | 256,659,416 | 395,871,249 | 262,945,580 |
|  | $(197,261,574)$ |  |  |
| Length of largest scaffold (bp) | 4,193,030 | 2,075,369 | 1,945,001 |
|  | $(4,193,030)$ |  |  |
| Avg. Length of scaffolds (bp) | 9,660 | 16,743 | 4,250 |
|  | $(38,001)$ |  |  |
| Length of N50 scaffold (bp) | 318,519 | 40,486 | 92,912 |
|  | $(642,089)$ |  |  |
| Number of N50 scaffold | 142 | 1,734 | 376 |
|  | (75) |  |  |
| Number of contigs | 44,403 | 80,844 | 74,521 |
|  | $(19,008)$ |  |  |
| Length of contigs (bp) | 186,524,647 | 209,098,385 | 239,506,399 |
|  | $(158,634,814)$ |  |  |
| Length of largest contig (bp) | 528,830 | 144,860 | 302,603 |
|  | $(528,830)$ |  |  |
| Avg. Length of contigs (bp) | 4,201 | 2,586 | 3,213 |
|  | $(8,346)$ |  |  |
| Length of N50 contig (bp) | 1,170 | 14,037 | 14,037 |
|  | (831) |  |  |
| Number of N50 contig | 34,096 | 3,158 | 3,158 |
|  | $(49,250)$ |  |  |
| Number of gaps | 17,555 | 57,201 | 12,663 |
|  | $(13,817)$ |  |  |
| Length of gaps (bp) | 70,117,214 | 186,772,864 | 23,439,181 |
|  | $(38,612,943)$ |  |  |

Table S3. Analysis of shotgun reads from TCO and TRO derived libraries. Two genomic read libraries from TRO (ANIT,ANIS) and three libraries from TCO (AZSN, AZWZ, AZSH) were aligned to the TCO assembly using the BLAST algorithm and a strict filter was used to identify potential scaffold bridging reads (see SOM). Approximate insert size for each library is shown in parenthesis. Each row of the table between "Starting Reads" and "Different Scaffolds" represents a criterion on which the alignment failed to pass through the filter, with the number of failed reads shown for each read library.

| gDNA Library | TRO |  |  | TCO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ANIT (8kb) | ANIS (3kb) | Total | AZSN (35kb) | AZWZ (7kb) | AZSH (3kb) | Total |
| Starting Reads | 151,381 | 137,603 | 288,984 | 201,262 | 1,202,060 | 1,139,438 | 2,542,760 |
| No Pair in Library | 3,322 | 9,427 | 12,749 | 15,869 | 177,097 | 55,295 | 248,261 |
| No Blast Hit | 18,286 | 21,303 | 39,589 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| No pair | 5,601 | 4,299 | 9,900 | 17,489 | 43,401 | 56,395 | 117,285 |
| e-value not met | 38,654 | 36,206 | 74,860 | 11,368 | 45,522 | 50,054 | 106,944 |
| Multiple hits | 64,088 | 47,494 | 111,582 | 120,882 | 719,482 | 771,530 | 1,611,894 |
| Potential inversion | 596 | 380 | 976 | 380 | 3,206 | 7,660 | 11,246 |
| Different scaffolds | 1,920 | 1,520 | 3,440 | 1,828 | 12,760 | 14,922 | 29,510 |
| Candidate Reads | 18,914 | 16,974 | 35,888 | 33,446 | 200,592 | 183,582 | 417,620 |

TRO = reads from The Rejected One
TCO = reads from The Chosen One

Table S4. Putative super-scaffolds based on focused paired-end read analysis. Super-scaffolds are ordered by sequence length, excluding gaps. Scaffolds which clustered with a super-scaffold but could not be unambiguously placed are listed as Additional Scaffolds. Each bridged scaffold is listed in order of assembly with the number of bridging reads in the column to the right. Scaffolds anchored on the genetic map (Table S5) are indicated by an "Ig" followed by the genetic map chromosome number. Scaffolds that must be reverse complemented in order linked in the proper orientation are marked with an "rc". In a few cases, no direct bridging reads were found between two scaffolds but flanking scaffolds were found to be linked. These scaffolds are indicated with an "na" in their Bridging Scaffold column followed by the number of reads that bridge the flanking scaffolds.

Please download Tables S4 and S11-14 from:
http://wfleabase.org/releasel/current_release/supplement/

Table S5. Scaffolds genetically mapped to chromosomes. Markers and map IDs are described in [S8, S170]. Chromosomes are numbered starting from the largest map distance to the smallest.

| Scaffold ID | Start position | End position | Marker ID | Map distance (cM) | Map ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chromosome 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 130 | 265403 | 264989 | Dp840 | . | d115 |
| scaffold 42 | 674271 | 674164 | Dp40 | 3.9 | d039 |
| scaffold 42 | 766448 | 766130 | Dp564 | . | d076 |
| scaffold 130 | 317751 | 317116 | Dp1354 | 8.4 | d167 |
| scaffold 42 | 132315 | 132609 | Dp589 | 15.8 | d098 |
| scaffold 42 | 112093 | 112556 | Dp1290 | 17.9 | d170 |
| scaffold 53 | 685669 | 685917 | Dp199 | 22.8 | d048 |
| scaffold 53 | 515514 | 515330 | Dp571 | 26.1 | d096 |
| scaffold 53 | 289336 | 289000 | Dp884 | 30.4 | d114 |
| scaffold 53 | 192875 | 193436 | Dp1073 | 32.5 | d181 |
| scaffold 207 | 91612 | 91361 | Dp553 | 42.6 | d134 |
| scaffold 106 | 175949 | 175582 | Dp802 | 57 | d101 |
| scaffold 211 | 98831 | 99327 | Dp1495 | 72.3 | d063 |
| scaffold 3 | 3212918 | 3212697 | Dp1189 | 77.5 | d193 |
| scaffold 3 | 3015577 | 3015192 | Dp300 | 86.5 | d053 |
| scaffold 3 | 2484047 | 2483839 | Dp729 | 98.2 | d103 |
| scaffold 3 | 2318013 | 2318270 | Dp1266 | 102.6 | d174 |
| scaffold 3 | 2276376 | 2275958 | Dp1368 | 104.3 | d163 |
| scaffold 3 | 2064769 | 2064530 | Dp149 | 109.8 | d001 |
| scaffold 3 | 1923990 | 1923837 | Dp754 | . | d130 |
| scaffold 3 | 1384162 | 1383978 | Dp655 | 122.4 | d091 |
| scaffold 3 | 739228 | 739030 | Dp74 | 148.6 | d007 |
| scaffold 61 | 198861 | 198594 | Dp1155 | 168.6 | d148 |
| scaffold 61 | 211843 | 212169 | Dp1195 | . | d188 |
| scaffold 80 | 268005 | 267759 | Dp48 | 182 | d009 |
| scaffold 53 | 150886 | 150445 | Dp957 |  | d138 |
| Chromosome 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 5 | 2127458 | 2127138 | Dp1048 |  | d197 |
| scaffold 70 | 111417 | 111546 | Dp725 | 2.4 | d102 |
| scaffold 86 | 425898 | 426113 | Dp848 | 7.5 | d112 |
| scaffold 58 | 394484 | 394271 | Dp967 | 22 | d140 |
| scaffold 27 | 318072 | 318300 | Dp785 | 25.6 | d095 |
| scaffold 159 | 63421 | 63621 | Dp1497 | 25.8 | d123 |
| scaffold 84 | 320005 | 319800 | Dp339 | 25.8 | d016 |
| scaffold 84 | 323106 | 323340 | Dp742 | 25.8 | d104 |
| scaffold 63 | 450291 | 450076 | Dp1491 | 28.3 | d050 |
| scaffold 63 | 480969 | 480790 | Dp389 | 29.9 | d074 |
| scaffold 134 | 191172 | 191603 | Dp1494 | 70.5 | d044 |
| scaffold 80 | 364906 | 364846 | Dp969 | 82.5 | d195 |
| scaffold 30 | 735909 | 736343 | Dp1005 | 89.3 | d196 |
| scaffold 30 | 741780 | 741652 | Dp637 | 89.3 | d120 |
| scaffold 30 | 883779 | 883988 | Dp28 | 93.1 | d004 |


| scaffold 237 | 42137 | 42598 | Dp821 | 99.2 | d109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scaffold 112 | 95327 | 95763 | Dp325 |  | d070 |
| scaffold 71 | 331251 | 330699 | Dp1363 | 99.4 | d175 |
| scaffold 1 | 4020601 | 4020755 | Dp321 | 101 | d002 |
| scaffold 1 | 3532645 | 3532515 | Dp395 | 107.4 | d047 |
| scaffold 1 | 3510933 | 3510772 | Dp147 |  | d015 |
| scaffold 1 | 3257753 | 3258007 | Dp1056 | 116.8 | d183 |
| scaffold 1 | 2755698 | 2755478 | Dp224 | 128.7 | d069 |
| scaffold 1 | 2459188 | 2459642 | Dp557 | 137.8 | d079 |
| scaffold 1 | 2184113 | 2183854 | Dp117 | 145.6 | d124 |
| scaffold 1 | 1891813 | 1892387 | Dp1346 | 156.4 | d162 |
| Chromosome 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 219 | 85465 | 85231 | Dp1498 |  | d008 |
| scaffold 16 | 499003 | 499212 | Dp308 | 11.4 | d067 |
| scaffold 26 | 674530 | 674325 | Dp71 | . | d010 |
| scaffold 87 | 19282 | 19769 | Dp1490 |  | d064 |
| scaffold 26 | 185444 | 185604 | Dp572 | 30.9 | d097 |
| scaffold 21 | 598039 | 598442 | Dp1058 | 31.7 | d169 |
| scaffold 21 | 813362 | 813165 | Dp581 |  | d082 |
| scaffold 21 | 835598 | 835104 | Dp1276 | 35.6 | d177 |
| scaffold 21 | 1051430 | 1051139 | Dp24 | 39.5 | d003 |
| scaffold 21 | 1248646 | 1248825 | Dp50 | 41.5 | d122 |
| scaffold 62 | 124936 | 124649 | Dp616 | 62.4 | d078 |
| scaffold 62 | 51713 | 51836 | Dp115 | 66.4 | d054 |
| scaffold 97 | 406130 | 406310 | Dp337 | 76.2 | d019 |
| scaffold 2 | 3187522 | 3187300 | Dp137 |  | d041 |
| scaffold 2 | 3138447 | 3137984 | Dp770 |  | d094 |
| scaffold 2 | 2984218 | 2983993 | Dp144 | 93.3 | d049 |
| scaffold 2 | 2984384 | 2984631 | Dp895 | 93.3 | d132 |
| scaffold 2 | 2184048 | 2184167 | Dp111 |  | d062 |
| scaffold 128 | 62140 | 62654 | Dp196 | 111.9 | d059 |
| scaffold 32 | 851822 | 852212 | Dp1492 | 111.9 | d066 |
| scaffold 2 | 1834370 | 1834050 | Dp998 | 116.8 | d136 |
| scaffold 2 | 1851473 | 1851638 | Dp813 | 116.8 | d108 |
| scaffold 2 | 1247523 | 1247096 | Dp530 | 127.1 | d075 |
| scaffold 2 | 465349 | 465115 | Dp1041 | 147.2 | d147 |
| Chromosome 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 31 | 806857 | 806709 | Dp675 |  | d089 |
| scaffold 31 | 224972 | 225256 | Dp311 | 0.9 | d071 |
| scaffold 31 | 224972 | 225256 | Dp311 | 0.9 | d071 |
| scaffold 31 | 647755 | 648063 | Dp1311 | 0.9 | d156 |
| scaffold 28 | 18864 | 18481 | Dp1372 | 5.9 | d168 |
| scaffold 2784 | 447 | 465 | Dp430 |  | d029 |
| scaffold 110 | 104935 | 104741 | Dp605 |  | d081 |
| scaffold 11 | 725719 | 726062 | Dp687 | 8 | d084 |
| scaffold 11 | 343688 | 343786 | Dp878 | 15.3 | d116 |
| scaffold 11 | 203644 | 203416 | Dp924 | 19.6 | d139 |


| scaffold 86 | 299694 | 299675 | Dp1376 | 26.8 | d179 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scaffold 8 | 2259957 | 2260245 | Dp78 | 33 | d057 |
| scaffold 8 | 1927865 | 1927328 | Dp1185 | 36.3 | d155 |
| scaffold 8 | 1410937 | 1411116 | Dp779 | 36.6 | d105 |
| scaffold 47 | 238533 | 238708 | Dp295 | . | d021 |
| scaffold 8 | 213952 | 214644 | Dp830 | 80.5 | d106 |
| scaffold 43 | 380284 | 379974 | Dp143 | 114.6 | d018 |
| scaffold 43 | 254164 | 254353 | Dp1409 | 120 | d180 |
| scaffold 43 | 119984 | 120207 | Dp1396 | 123.1 | d172 |
| scaffold 163 | 158324 | 158011 | Dp1148 | 143.4 | d143 |
| Chromosome 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 89 | 595719 | 595282 | Dp838 | . | d126 |
| scaffold 89 | 542785 | 542357 | Dp1123 | 3.1 | d160 |
| scaffold 89 | 311220 | 310743 | Dp1262 | 7.1 | d164 |
| scaffold 39 | 1016773 | 1016558 | Dp91 | 29.6 | d013 |
| scaffold 39 | 589286 | 589170 | Dp240 | 49.3 | d031 |
| scaffold 39 | 427971 | 428255 | Dp231 | 54.1 | d024 |
| scaffold 39 | 379458 | 379327 | Dp208 | 57.6 | d042 |
| scaffold 39 | 284948 | 284755 | Dp319 | 60.9 | d030 |
| scaffold 39 | 5470 | 5195 | Dp721 | 69.3 | d093 |
| scaffold 12 | 1025201 | 1024971 | Dp21 | 95.5 | d055 |
| scaffold 12 | 368224 | 368109 | Dp648 | 96 | d087 |
| scaffold 15 | 212509 | 212011 | Dp632 | 96 | d119 |
| scaffold 38 | 309466 | 309811 | Dp775 | 113.5 | d100 |
| Chromosome 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 43 | 874249 | 874269 | Dp907 | . | d111 |
| scaffold 47 | 77609 | 77800 | Dp1232 | 22.9 | d144 |
| scaffold 47 | 88108 | 87872 | Dp170 | 22.9 | d020 |
| scaffold 47 | 76063 | 76223 | Dp815 | . | d125 |
| scaffold 191 | 128146 | 127729 | Dp642 | 38.4 | d085 |
| scaffold 32 | 244697 | 244205 | Dp298 | 48.6 | d025 |
| scaffold 32 | 253637 | 253489 | Dp126 | 49.8 | d014 |
| scaffold 32 | 295131 | 295288 | Dp475 | . | d035 |
| scaffold 32 | 376888 | 377193 | Dp1040 | 52.9 | d142 |
| scaffold 32 | 471100 | 471385 | Dp985 | 55.3 | d135 |
| scaffold 183 | 105222 | 105353 | Dp1399 | 60 | d190 |
| scaffold 32 | 749728 | 750056 | Dp146 | 60.7 | d012 |
| scaffold 32 | 772534 | 772153 | Dp361 | 60.7 | d073 |
| scaffold 32 | 1085664 | 1085897 | Dp385 | 61.6 | d028 |
| scaffold 57 | 715932 | 715294 | Dp1327 | 63.4 | d152 |
| scaffold 251 | 4363 | 4584 | Dp1350 | 84.9 | d153 |
| scaffold 28 | 807177 | 807482 | Dp1238 | 107.2 | d151 |
| Chromosome 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 46 | 900788 | 901047 | Dp156 | . | d027 |
| scaffold 4 | 2237553 | 2237059 | Dp112 | 21.2 | d058 |
| scaffold 184 | 39118 | 39342 | Dp786 | 31.6 | d133 |
| scaffold 93 | 181025 | 180507 | Dp1328 | 31.6 | d157 |


| scaffold 91 | 402113 | 401836 | Dp1347 | 45 | d189 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scaffold 91 | 391760 | 391276 | Dp1391 | 46.6 | d191 |
| scaffold 46 | 483793 | 484121 | Dp1300 | 53.3 | d166 |
| scaffold 46 | 456561 | 456798 | Dp867 | 54.5 | d107 |
| scaffold 22 | 102030 | 102641 | Dp1489 | 80.4 | d040 |
| Chromosome 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 7 | 1979117 | 1979476 | Dp53 |  | d068 |
| scaffold 7 | 2037319 | 2037409 | Dp142 | 4.3 | d065 |
| scaffold 83 | 464580 | 465066 | Dp559 | 23.9 | d077 |
| scaffold 136 | 226201 | 225971 | Dp887 | 46.5 | d117 |
| scaffold 151 | 287252 | 287019 | Dp1404 |  | d165 |
| scaffold 77 | 181266 | 181816 | Dp1160 |  | d150 |
| scaffold 77 | 57562 | 57923 | Dp1493 |  | d045 |
| scaffold 199 | 40471 | 40664 | Dp883 | 50.5 | d113 |
| scaffold 32 | 551409 | 551789 | Dp1351 | 75.2 | d192 |
| scaffold 2 | 11597 | 11824 | Dp1485 | 76.1 | d121 |
| Chromosome 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 9 | 761358 | 761163 | Dp1278 |  | d178 |
| scaffold 9 | 848851 | 848517 | Dp1309 | 0.9 | d171 |
| scaffold 9 | 1082325 | 1082581 | Dp1325 | 6.2 | d145 |
| scaffold 9 | 1369547 | 1369704 | Dp621 | 20 | d118 |
| scaffold 9 | 2143217 | 2143047 | Dp330 | 48.1 | d043 |
| scaffold 99 | 316482 | 316596 | Dp660 | 49.8 | d088 |
| scaffold 13 | 1397943 | 1397633 | Dp609 | 64.9 | d099 |
| scaffold 13 | 1333322 | 1333135 | Dp1236 | 65.2 | d149 |
| scaffold 13 | 1074377 | 1074598 | Dp123 | 72.4 | d011 |
| Chromosome 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 103 | 332371 | 332765 | Dp696 |  | d127 |
| scaffold 49 | 90586 | 90309 | Dp460 | 1.9 | d023 |
| scaffold 49 | 580950 | 580271 | Dp304 | 2.3 | d034 |
| scaffold 49 | 611970 | 612305 | Dp463 | 5.1 | d005 |
| scaffold 100 | 375967 | 375623 | Dp1496 | 17.5 | d072 |
| scaffold 29 | 225287 | 225013 | Dp1302 | 26.9 | d161 |
| scaffold 29 | 424770 | 425099 | Dp1057 | 35.4 | d186 |
| scaffold 17 | 914491 | 914609 | Dp641 | 61.3 | d083 |
| Chromosome 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 24 | 541082 | 540777 | Dp808 |  | d092 |
| scaffold 24 | 272325 | 272150 | Dp70 | 16.5 | d006 |
| scaffold 24 | 141703 | 142351 | Dp1112 | 22 | d173 |
| scaffold 111 | 208013 | 207484 | Dp693 | 55.4 | d086 |
| Chromosome 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| scaffold 5 | 123699 | 123547 | Dp726 |  | d128 |
| scaffold 5 | 134282 | 134058 | Dp936 |  | d137 |
| scaffold 5 | 126817 | 126598 | Dp1080 | 0.5 | d187 |
| scaffold 5 | 117840 | 118469 | Dp1144 | 1 | d182 |
| scaffold 5 | 109480 | 109307 | Dp1079 | 6.9 | d184 |

Table S6. Pair-wise comparison of genome assemblies by using different assemblers. The JAZZ contigs were matched with the contigs generated by Arachne and the contigs generated by PCAP using genome sequence alignment program, MUMmer [S10]. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ represent the total number and total length of all matched contigs in corresponding assembly, respectively; $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ represent the total lengths of uniquely matched contigs in both assemblies. We also applied the two additional criteria to filter the MUMmer matches (denoted as regular and stringent; see the texts for details) and show the comparison results below. In general, >95\% JAZZ contigs are consistent with Arachne and PCAP contigs, indicating JAZZ assembly that we used for the analysis in this manuscript has satisfactory quality.

| MUMmer Filtering | Assemblies | $\left(C_{n}\right)$ Total no. of matched contigs | ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{l}}$ ) Total length of matched contigs (Mb) | Fraction of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ over total length of contigs | $\left(U_{I}\right)$ Total length of uniquely matched contigs (Mb) | Fraction of ( $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) over total length of matched contigs ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{l}}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | Arachne vs. JAZZ | 78,569 | 205.9 | 0.98 | 202.4 | 0.98 |
|  |  | 33,734 | 175.6 | 0.94 | 170 | 0.97 |
|  | PCAP vs. JAZZ | 64,973 | 228 | 0.95 | 221.2 | 0.97 |
|  |  | 40,682 | 182.5 | 0.98 | 180 | 0.99 |
| Regular | Arachne vs. JAZZ | 52,176 | 172.6 | 0.83 | 164.3 | 0.95 |
|  |  | 26,814 | 168.1 | 0.90 | 156 | 0.93 |
|  | PCAP vs. JAZZ | 34,033 | 189.5 | 0.79 | 174.2 | 0.92 |
|  |  | 34,960 | 175.9 | 0.94 | 170.2 | 0.97 |
| Stringent | Arachne vs. JAZZ | 35,958 | 151.8 | 0.73 | 145 | 0.96 |
|  |  | 17,087 | 157.6 | 0.84 | 143.1 | 0.9 |
|  | PCAP vs. JAZZ | 19,851 | 172.2 | 0.72 | 158.9 | 0.92 |
|  |  | 19,792 | 160.9 | 0.86 | 155.4 | 0.97 |

Table S7. GAV (Genome Assembly Validator) is a machine learning approach that combines multiple evidences to detect putatively mis-assembled regions in genome assemblies [S11]. The features used in GAV include read and clone coverage, clone length statistics, and repeat content in the region. We used the regions in the assemblies that are supported by EST sequences as positive samples for the training (shown in A) The statistics of detected misassembled regions by GAV are shown in B.
A.

| Criteria | Class | No. scaffolds | No. contigs | No. regions | No. bases |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regular | Correct | 711 | 2,905 | 117,211 | $26,634,131$ |
|  | Mis-assembly | 500 | 1,841 | 13,029 | 369,702 |
|  | Total | 940 | 4,746 | 130,240 | $27,003,833$ |
| Stringent | Correct | 710 | 2,894 | 116,714 | $26,608,272$ |
|  | Mis-assembly | 474 | 1,642 | 10,232 | 334,507 |
|  | Total | 920 | 4,536 | 126,946 | $26,942,779$ |

B.

| Criteria | Model | Correct assembly | Mis-assembly | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regular | No. scaffolds | 512 | 1,799 | 771 | 1,862 |
|  | No. contigs | 2,502 | 7,653 | 2,823 | 7,887 |
|  | No. blocks | 48,009 | 208,363 | 5,906 | 262,278 |
| Stringent | No. scaffolds | 512 | 1,817 | 653 | 1,862 |
|  | No. contigs | 2,496 | 7,710 | 2,097 | 7,887 |
|  | No. blocks | 47,870 | 210,742 | 3,666 | 262,278 |

Table S8. Chromosome size measurements. Chromosomes are numbered starting from the largest in length to the smallest, and are not necessarily congruent with the chromosome numbers for the genetic map. Heterochromatic regions are measured as the proportion of total chromosome length in DAPI and G banding stained regions.

| Chromosome |  | Area $($ square $\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Length $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $3.67-6.18$ | $5.66-6.59$ |
|  | 2 | $1.8-3.66$ | $2.3-3.37$ |
|  | 3 | $1.74-2.11$ | $2.09-2.33$ |
|  | 4 | $1.4-1.96$ | $1.93-2.07$ |
|  | 5 | $1.38-1.58$ | $1.77-2.00$ |
|  | 6 | $1.33-1.41$ | $1.71-1.79$ |
|  | 7 | $1.27-1.3$ | $1.56-1.67$ |
|  | 8 | $1.21-1.28$ | $1.38-1.46$ |
|  | 9 | $0.86-1.06$ | $1.16-1.31$ |
|  | 10 | $0.58-0.94$ | $0.9-1.28$ |
|  | 11 | $0.53-0.83$ | $0.81-1.28$ |
| Total | $0.44-0.86$ | $0.71-1.28$ |  |
| Heterochromatic region | $16.21-23.17$ | $21.98-26.43$ |  |
|  | $4.2-5.85$ | $(25 \%$ of total area $)$ |  |

## C. Largest Gene Inventory

Table S9. Results from the automated gene annotation procedures. Gnomon, Fgenesh++ and SNAP are ab-initio predictors, but also using additional EST and protein evidence. GeneWise maps known protein genes to the genome, and PASA maps ESTs into gene models. Many gene predictions were post-processed to extend models with EST evidence. Gene models were improved by manual annotation and by automated verification against EST assemblies using PASA. These improvements included UTR additions, internal rearrangements and refinements of intron-exon boundaries, and merging or splitting of gene models. The criteria for assigning gene models to the Chosen models (v1.1 frozen gene set) are described in the SOM.

| Source of gene <br> prediction | Chosen models | All models | Alternate <br> transcripts modeled <br> from EST data | Average protein <br> length (AA) | Average exons per <br> gene |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gnomon | 7,717 | 37,329 | 137 | 323 | 4.7 |
| PASA | 4,059 | 11,845 | 1319 | 534 | 6.2 |
| SNAP | 7,364 | 41,310 | na | 306 | 3.9 |
| Fgenesh++ | 5,863 | 34,193 | na | 384 | 3.7 |
| GeneWise | 3,328 | 29,488 | na | na | 4.8 |
| EstExt | 2,434 | na | na | 406 | 7.8 |
| Manual | 175 | -- | 0 | na | na |

Table S10. The Daphnia pulex cDNA libraries and EST sequencing effort. cDNA clones were sequenced from both ends. Clone diversity is calculated by dividing the \# of EST clusters (assembled ESTs including clusters of 1) by the \# of clones in clusters. This estimate is inflated, especially for non-normalized libraries, by ignoring clones containing organelle transcripts (6\% to $45 \%$ of ESTs are mitochondrial, depending on library). By contrast, the normalized libraries typically contain between $<1 \%$ and $10 \%$ organelle ESTs. Libraries were created from two isolates: $\mathrm{TRO}=$ The Rejected One; TCO = The Chosen One.

| Library ID | Condition, Developmental Stage | \# Sequenced Clones | \# Nuclear ESTs | \# EST <br> Clusters $\dagger$ | \# Clones in Clusters $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-normalized |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRO-1 | Hypoxia, adult | 2,304 | 3,355 | 1,039 | 1,823 |
| TRO-2 | Hypoxia, juvenile | 3,840 | 5,567 | 1,524 | 3,033 |
| TRO-3 | Low dose UV exposure, mixed | 2,304 | 2,620 | 1,013 | 1,433 |
| TRO-4§ | High dose UV exposure, mixed | 384 | 450 | 188 | 243 |
| TRO-5 | Unchallenged, juvenile | 1,152 | 1,580 | 553 | 827 |
| TRO-6 | Low dose cadmium, mixed | 2,688 | 4,048 | 1,209 | 2,170 |
| TRO-7 | Low dose arsenic, mixed | 4,224 | 6,370 | 1,867 | 3,399 |
| TRO-8 | Low dose zinc, mixed | 4,224 | 6,817 | 1,535 | 3,709 |
| TRO-9 | High dose mixed metals, mixed | 4,608 | 7,185 | 1,863 | 3,770 |
| TRO-10§ | Unchallenged, mixed | 384 | 390 | 159 | 232 |
| TRO-11§ | Unchallenged, mixed | 384 | 405 | 167 | 238 |
| TRO-12 | Invertebrate (Chaoborus) predation, adult | 4,608 | 6,542 | 2,034 | 3,511 |
| TRO-13 | Food starvation, juvenile | 2,304 | 2,826 | 924 | 1,378 |
| TRO-14 | Food starvation, adult | 2,304 | 2,684 | 860 | 1,291 |
| TRO-15§ | Microcystis fed, juvenile | 384 | 307 | 150 | 175 |
| TRO-16§ | Microcystis fed, adult | 384 | 368 | 164 | 208 |
| TRO-17 | Fish predation, juvenile | 3,840 | 4,750 | 1,548 | 2,638 |
| TRO-18§ | Fish predation, adult | 384 | 425 | 177 | 249 |
| TRO-19§ | Methyl Farnesoate hormone, juvenile | 384 | 413 | 170 | 227 |
| TRO-20 | Methyl Farnesoate hormone, adult | 3,840 | 4,833 | 1,323 | 2,604 |
| Total |  | 50,070 | 70,765 |  | 33,158 |
| Library ID | Condition, Developmental Stage | \# Sequenced Clones | \# Nuclear ESTs | \# Clusters $\dagger$ | \# Clones in Clusters $\dagger$ |
| Normalized |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRO-21 | Unchallenged, mixed | 5,376 | 8,962 | 3,413 | 4,762 |
| TCO-1§ | Females, juvenile | 384 | 211 | 98 | 121 |
| TCO-2 | Females, adult | 3,456 | 5,313 | 2,252 | 2,821 |
| TCO-3 | Males, adult | 4,224 | 5,425 | 2,168 | 2,883 |
| TCO-4 | Low dose nickel, mixed | 4,224 | 6,484 | 2,865 | 3,599 |
| TCO-5 | Low dose copper, mixed | 4,224 | 6,852 | 2,963 | 3,685 |
| TCO-6 | Acid stress pH 6.0, mixed | 3,840 | 6,626 | 2,870 | 3,514 |
| TCO-7 | High salinity, mixed | 3,840 | 6,121 | 2,645 | 3,275 |
| TCO-8 | Fullerene nanoparticle, mixed | 4,224 | 5,643 | 2,428 | 3,044 |
|  |  | 96 |  |  |  |


| TCO-9 | Bacterial infection, mixed | 3,456 | 5,639 | 2,553 | 2,935 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TCO-10 | High dose mixed metals, mixed | 3,840 | 4,398 | 2,030 | 2,452 |
| TCO-11 | Low dose mixed metals, mixed | 3,456 | 5,407 | 2,447 | 2,967 |
| TCO-12 | Low dose monomethylarsenic III, | 4,224 | 6,274 | 2,768 | 3,387 |
| TCO-13 | mixed | Titanium dioxide nanoparticle, mixed | 4,224 | 5,742 | 2,490 |
| TCO-14 | Microcystis fed, mixed | 3,072 | 4,734 | 2,052 | 3,037 |
| TCO-15 | Calcium starvation, mixed | 3,840 | 5,309 | 2,278 | 2,822 |
| Total |  | 59,904 | 89,140 |  | 47,891 |

§ Libraries failing stringent quality control checks and were therefore excluded from high throughput EST sequencing.
$\dagger$ These numbers are of clusters and clones of nuclear genes only.

Table S11. Observed homology and transcription evidence for v1.1 annotated gene set of the Daphnia pulex genome. Evidence columns include (1) homology found within the 8 -fold coverage draft genome assembly for the distantly related Daphnia magna using BLAST searches with e-value cutoff set at $10^{-10}$; (2) EST evidence when the degree of sequence identity is $90 \%$ and above; (3) homology bit scores from BLAST sequence similarity searches against the NCBI non-redundant (NR) protein database with e-value cutoff set at $10^{-5}$; (4) evidence of transcription in tiling array experiments where transcriptionally active regions (TARs) and gene models overlap by $80 \%$ or more; (5) paralog IDs assigned by the OrthoMCL algorithm [S79, S80]. The gene location information includes strand ( $+/-$ ), while the listed gene models are those summarized in Table S9. Alternative Gnomon model IDs are also provided. Summary of the results: 23,239 predicted genes only have evidence from homology to other proteomes; 18,451 genes only have evidence from EST and tiling array experiments; 27,090 have at least one line of evidence, including paralogs; 25,690 genes have at least one line of evidence, excluding paralogs. Only 4,040 genes have no comparative or empirical support.

Note: By requiring 80\% overlap between detected TARs and gene models, 57,294 exons from $14,135 \mathrm{v} 1.1$ genes are supported. In addition, we detected 10,125 TARs that overlap exons from 4,227 alternative gene models. Yet further, we count 68,033 remaining TARs that do not overlap with any predicted exons. Of these, 9,783 TARs are found inside genes and outside of predicted exons but within 500 bp of exons, and 9,620 intergenic TARs are directly neighboring predicted gene boundaries by 200 bp . These transcribed areas of the genome are most likely untranslated genic regions (UTRs) or model corrections. Finally, 48,630 TARs are unattached to existing gene models. By clustering unattached TARs in groups of 3 or more exon-like structures within 200 bases from each other, we detect 7,965 gene-like groupings. Most of these TAR-predicted loci $(7,059)$ have an open reading frame greater than 40 amino-acids (see Table S12).

Please download Tables S4 and S11-14 from:
http://wfleabase.org/releasel/current_release/supplement/

Table S12. Supporting evidence is found for 4,480 Transcriptional Active Regions (TAR)predicted loci, despite their being overlooked by gene finding algorithms or their rejection from the v1.1 gene builds. Of the 7,965 gene-like TAR groupings, most $(7,059)$ have open reading frames greater than 40 amino acids; 1,275 (16\%) have EST support and 1,514 (19\%) overlap with discarded Gnomon gene predictions, some containing erroneous stop-points in open reading frames. A search for protein homologs in the NCBI non-redundant database, at the $1 \times 10^{-3}$ statistical cut-off value, uncovers matches for 171 TAR-predicted loci.

Please download Tables S4 and S11-14 from:
http://wfleabase.org/releasel/current_release/supplement/

Table S13. List of identified proteins. Values in row "Protein ID probability" are calculated using Scaffold V. 02.01.00. A) Proteins identified in v1.1 gene catalog; B) Proteins identified among all predicted models, yet not included in the v1.1 set.

Please download Tables S4 and S11-14 from:
http://wfleabase.org/release1/current_release/supplement/

Table S14. List of identified peptides. Values in rows "Protein ID probability" and "Best peptide ID probability" are calculated using Scaffold V. 02.01.00. A) Peptides identified in v1.1 gene catalog; B) Peptides identified among all predicted models, yet not included in the v1.1 set.

Please download Tables S4 and S11-14 from:
http://wfleabase. org/releasel/current_release/supplement/

Table S15. List of 716 genes conserved as single-copy othologs across eukaryotic genomes. The first 17 listed genes are missing from the v1.1 set of Daphnia pulex annotated gene list, yet all except two are either listed in this set or predicted by NCBI Gnomon gene models. Only two genes (KOG3086/CG8031 and KOG3499/CG18001) are missing from both sets. This analysis serves as a control for the assembly quality ( $2 / 716=0.3 \%$ missing). The D. pulex proteins were added to the clusters of orthologous genes of eukaryotes (KOGs), which were obtained by comparison of 7 genomes: Homo sapiens, the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster, the dicot plant Arabidopsis thaliana, the ascomycete fungi Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe, and the intracellular microsporidian parasite Encephalitozoon cuniculi [S56]. The D. pulex genes were assigned to the COGs using the "index ortholog" method [S171]. To compare with other genome assemblies, we measured the frequency of identifying orthologs of these same genes within the annotated genomes of 10 arthropods [S54]: Aedes aegyptii; Anopheles gambiae; Culex pipiens; Drosophila pseudoobscura; Bombyx mori; Tribolium castaneum; Nasonia vitripennis; Pediculus humanus; Acyrthosiphon pisum; Ixodes scapularis. The number of missing genes range from 1 to 9 , placing the D. pulex genome on par among the better arthropod genome sequence assemblies for identifying these single-copy orthologs.

| KOG ID | Daphnia pulex gene | Daphnia pulex gene | Drosophila melanogaster gene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KOG3086 | NULL | NULL | CG8031 |
| KOG3499 | NULL | NULL | CG18001 |
| KOG0333 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_286924 | CG10333 |
| KOG0923 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_140324 | CG10689 |
| KOG0924 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_630594 | CG32604 |
| KOG0998 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_280604 | CG16932 |
| KOG1119 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_156434 | CG13623 |
| KOG1643 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_9034 | CG2171 |
| KOG1748 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_1452013 | CG9160 |
| KOG1758 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_278513 | CG2968 |
| KOG1790 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_85284 | CG6090 |
| KOG2145 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_348024 | CG9735 |
| KOG2917 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_680113 | CG8549 |
| KOG3045 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_2332013 | CG7137 |
| KOG3152 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_246373 | CG32708 |
| KOG3336 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_884033 | CG9131 |
| KOG3974 | NULL | NCBI_GNO_502283 | CG10424 |
| KOG1467 | DAPPU-100447 | NCBI_GNO_122154 | CG10315 |
| KOG1784 | DAPPU-100799 | NCBI_GNO_90163 | CG2021 |
| KOG2781 | DAPPU-100904 | NCBI_GNO_262163 | CG11920 |
| KOG1322 | DAPPU-101964 | NCBI_GNO_482193 | CG1129 |
| KOG0214 | DAPPU-102782 | NCBI_GNO_102234 | CG3180 |
| KOG1436 | DAPPU-106454 | NCBI_GNO_862373 | CG9741 |
| KOG2090 | DAPPU-107701 | NCBI_GNO_340424 | CG7791 |
| KOG2609 | DAPPU-109004 | NCBI_GNO_604473 | CG12343 |
| KOG0981 | DAPPU-109061 | NCBI_GNO_476474 | CG6146 |
| KOG0346 | DAPPU-109408 | NCBI_GNO_172493 | CG1666 |
| KOG2518 | DAPPU-110118 | NCBI_GNO_140524 | CG10387 |

KOG2270
KOG3478
KOG3399
KOG3273
KOG3202
KOG1443
KOG0361
KOG0645
KOG2698
KOG1637
KOG1499
KOG0094
KOG0305
KOG3502
KOG1872
KOG0242
KOG0898
KOG0021
KOG2740
KOG2570
KOG1299
KOG2012
KOG2485
KOG2250
KOG2897
KOG1486
KOG3149
KOG0370
KOG0425
KOG2330
KOG0042
KOG2509
KOG2382
KOG1211
KOG2882
KOG1415
KOG3073
KOG1586 KOG0557
KOG2310 KOG1780 KOG1602 KOG0433 KOG3103 KOG2654 KOG2035

DAPPU-111070 DAPPU-111574 DAPPU-111718 DAPPU-113251 DAPPU-113324 DAPPU-113613 DAPPU-127024 DAPPU-127130 DAPPU-127379 DAPPU-127463 DAPPU-127740 DAPPU-128059 DAPPU-128430 DAPPU-128589 DAPPU-129179 DAPPU-129226 DAPPU-129273 DAPPU-129499 DAPPU-129909 DAPPU-130021 DAPPU-186897 DAPPU-186898 DAPPU-186925 DAPPU-187316 DAPPU-187339 DAPPU-187388 DAPPU-187412 DAPPU-187511 DAPPU-187657 DAPPU-187692 DAPPU-187868 DAPPU-187913 DAPPU-188037 DAPPU-188353 DAPPU-188403 DAPPU-188571 DAPPU-188726 DAPPU-188735 DAPPU-188759 DAPPU-188962 DAPPU-189031 DAPPU-189103 DAPPU-189542 DAPPU-189944 DAPPU-190512 DAPPU-190647

NCBI_GNO_530563
NCBI_GNO_352593
NCBI_GNO_662594
NCBI_GNO_416663
NCBI_GNO_60673
NCBI_GNO_8693
NCBI_GNO_606044
NCBI_GNO_394054
NCBI_GNO_616074
NCBI_GNO_510084
NCBI_GNO_99163
NCBI_GNO_108183
NCBI_GNO_346264
NCBI_GNO_374313
NCBI_GNO_106473
NCBI_GNO_406474
NCBI_GNO_238483
NCBI_GNO_102554
NCBI_GNO_822643
NCBI_GNO_208693
NCBI_GNO_380013
NCBI_GNO_438014
NCBI_GNO_986013
NCBI_GNO_40053
NCBI_GNO_414054
NCBI_GNO_82063
NCBI_GNO_744063
NCBI_GNO_370084
NCBI_GNO_1092103
NCBI_GNO_424113
NCBI_GNO_322154
NCBI_GNO_98173
NCBI_GNO_176203
NCBI_GNO_130324
NCBI_GNO_538344
NCBI_GNO_26403
NCBI_GNO_130463
NCBI_GNO_388463
NCBI_GNO_156473
NCBI_GNO_164544
NCBI_GNO_196563
NCBI_GNO_84593
NCBI_GNO_206814
NCBI_GNO_656013
NCBI_GNO_418023
NCBI_GNO_1034023

CG11660
CG7770
CG15309
CG11738
CG7736
CG14971
CG8351
CG12797
CG9441
CG5353
CG6554
CG6601
CG3000
CG2998
CG5384
CG10923
CG8332
CG32495
CG3035
CG4303
CG8228
CG1782
CG17141
CG5320
CG4621
CG6195
CG9207
CG18572
CG7656
CG3605
CG8256
CG17259
CG2059
CG6007
CG5567
CG4265
CG3527
CG6625
CG5261
CG16928
CG13277
CG5300
CG5414
CG12404
CG13625
CG6258

KOG3381
KOG1986
KOG0396
KOG0188
KOG0529
KOG3400
KOG2298
KOG2413
KOG1921
KOG1239
KOG0057
KOG1514
KOG0126
KOG2613
KOG2674
KOG2671
KOG1490
KOG0811
KOG1774
KOG0284
KOG3871
KOG2600
KOG0567
KOG1760
KOG1793
KOG0376
KOG4086
KOG1335
KOG0450
KOG0240 KOG2015
KOG0925
KOG1498 KOG2713
KOG0768
KOG1639
KOG0357
KOG2840
KOG1278
KOG3065
KOG2159
KOG1915
KOG0526
KOG0509
KOG0153 KOG3203

DAPPU-190910
DAPPU-190968
DAPPU-191245
DAPPU-191289
DAPPU-191339
DAPPU-191687
DAPPU-192225
DAPPU-193046
DAPPU-193197
DAPPU-193442
DAPPU-193653
DAPPU-194189
DAPPU-194198
DAPPU-194355
DAPPU-194862
DAPPU-195302
DAPPU-195334
DAPPU-195372
DAPPU-195506
DAPPU-195849
DAPPU-195940
DAPPU-196099
DAPPU-196422
DAPPU-196440
DAPPU-196656
DAPPU-196725
DAPPU-196840
DAPPU-197043
DAPPU-197428
DAPPU-197668
DAPPU-198149
DAPPU-198525
DAPPU-198904
DAPPU-198965
DAPPU-198969
DAPPU-199063
DAPPU-200182
DAPPU-200348
DAPPU-200371
DAPPU-200537
DAPPU-200873
DAPPU-201152
DAPPU-201206
DAPPU-201447
DAPPU-202081
DAPPU-202590

| NCBI_GNO_2108023 | CG7949 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NCBI_GNO_344034 | CG1250 |
| NCBI_GNO_1198033 | CG31357 |
| NCBI_GNO_866034 | CG13391 |
| NCBI_GNO_940034 | CG12007 |
| NCBI_GNO_758043 | CG11246 |
| NULL | CG6778 |
| NCBI_GNO_46083 | CG6291 |
| NCBI_GNO_392084 | CG9272 |
| NCBI_GNO_84093 | CG6404 |
| NCBI_GNO_870093 | CG7955 |
| NCBI_GNO_418114 | CG10667 |
| NCBI_GNO_834113 | CG10466 |
| NCBI_GNO_336123 | CG3460 |
| NCBI_GNO_302143 | CG6194 |
| NCBI_GNO_146173 | CG1074 |
| NCBI_GNO_250173 | CG8801 |
| NCBI_GNO_400173 | CG5081 |
| NCBI_GNO_806173 | CG18591 |
| NCBI_GNO_276194 | CG1109 |
| NCBI_GNO_18203 | CG1430 |
| NCBI_GNO_408204 | CG13097 |
| NCBI_GNO_180233 | CG2245 |
| NCBI_GNO_268233 | CG10635 |
| NCBI_GNO_320243 | CG9915 |
| NCBI_GNO_372244 | CG8402 |
| NCBI_GNO_202253 | CG1057 |
| NCBI_GNO_76263 | CG7430 |
| NCBI_GNO_352294 | CG11661 |
| NCBI_GNO_266314 | CG7765 |
| NCBI_GNO_282353 | CG13343 |
| NCBI_GNO_660373 | CG11107 |
| NCBI_GNO_566393 | CG1100 |
| NCBI_GNO_124403 | CG7441 |
| NCBI_GNO_204403 | CG4743 |
| NCBI_GNO_616403 | CG10849 |
| NCBI_GNO_66483 | CG8439 |
| NCBI_GNO_26493 | CG8078 |
| NCBI_GNO_104494 | CG9318 |
| NCBI_GNO_132513 | CG9474 |
| NCBI_GNO_128544 | CG2100 |
| NCBI_GNO_24563 | CG3193 |
| NCBI_GNO_252563 | CG4817 |
| NCBI_GNO_144584 | CG6017 |
| NCBI_GNO_366623 | CG14641 |
| NCBI_GNO_250663 | CG10603 |

KOG2805
KOG1076
KOG1489
KOG3262
KOG0810
KOG1961
KOG0622
KOG1111
KOG2750
KOG0820
KOG2909
KOG1144
KOG1122
KOG0090
KOG3800 KOG2851 KOG0691 KOG2920 KOG1439

KOG2187 KOG3431 KOG2785 KOG1985 KOG0677

KOG0530 KOG0739 KOG0372 KOG3448 KOG2967

KOG0003 KOG2014 KOG2103 KOG0018 KOG1243 KOG1077 KOG0358 KOG3141 KOG2151 KOG2680 KOG4655 KOG0985 KOG1521 KOG1396 KOG2472 KOG2261 KOG2952

DAPPU-202999 DAPPU-203047 DAPPU-203237 DAPPU-203390 DAPPU-203416 DAPPU-204587 DAPPU-204767 DAPPU-205016 DAPPU-205037 DAPPU-205158 DAPPU-205240 DAPPU-205517 DAPPU-205675 DAPPU-205698 DAPPU-205778 DAPPU-206450 DAPPU-206490 DAPPU-207481 DAPPU-207615 DAPPU-208793 DAPPU-208840 DAPPU-209129 DAPPU-209148 DAPPU-209234 DAPPU-209281 DAPPU-209675 DAPPU-209708 DAPPU-209723 DAPPU-210151 DAPPU-210344 DAPPU-210457 DAPPU-211018 DAPPU-211085 DAPPU-212197

DAPPU-212220
DAPPU-212503
DAPPU-212601
DAPPU-213023
DAPPU-213265
DAPPU-213273
DAPPU-213575
DAPPU-213644
DAPPU-213964
DAPPU-214647
DAPPU-214661
DAPPU-215020

NCBI_GNO_240703
NCBI GNO 146714
NCBI_GNO_110744
NCBI_GNO_124764
NCBI_GNO_52774
NCBI_GNO_112974
NCBI_GNO_111144
NCBI_GNO_300013
NCBI_GNO_436014
NCBI_GNO_852013
NCBI_GNO_776014
NCBI_GNO_2380013
NCBI_GNO_440023
NCBI_GNO_536023
NCBI_GNO_954023
NCBI_GNO_1514033
NCBI_GNO_1692033
NCBI_GNO_1202053
NCBI_GNO_120063
NCBI_GNO_770094
NCBI_GNO_198103
NCBI_GNO_96113
NCBI_GNO_178114
NCBI_GNO_520113
NCBI_GNO_744113
NCBI_GNO_154133
NCBI_GNO_266133
NCBI_GNO_296133
NCBI_GNO_238154
NCBI_GNO_470113
NCBI_GNO_326173
NCBI_GNO_348194
NCBI_GNO_266254
NCBI_GNO_56274
NCBI_GNO_250273
NCBI_GNO_86293
NCBI_GNO_298303
NULL
NCBI_GNO_50363
NCBI_GNO_60363
NCBI_GNO_704373
NCBI_GNO_32383
NCBI_GNO_586394
NCBI_GNO_453
NCBI_GNO_94454
NCBI_GNO_400473

CG3021
CG4954
CG13390
CG4038
CG31136
CG7371
CG8721
CG6401
CG8414
CG11837
CG8048
CG10840
CG8545
CG33162
CG7614
CG7108
CG17187
CG17219
CG4422
CG3808
CG13072
CG6769
CG1472
CG9901
CG2976
CG6842
CG32505
CG10418
CG14618
CG2960
CG12276
CG2943
CG6057
CG1973
CG4260
CG5525
CG8288
CG2161
CG9750
CG4866
CG9012
CG3756
CG31678
CG5706
CG7776
CG9947

KOG3230
KOG2523
KOG3151
KOG0952
KOG1295
KOG3239
KOG2936
KOG0432
KOG0209
KOG3293
KOG0342
KOG1936
KOG0329
KOG0761
KOG1705
KOG1607
KOG0366
KOG2693
KOG1189
KOG1070
KOG1506
KOG1541
KOG1332
KOG1062
KOG0368
KOG1612
KOG3068
KOG0937
KOG0796
KOG2767
KOG0414
KOG0261
KOG0343
KOG0668
KOG2061
KOG0010
KOG0803
KOG0979
KOG3460
KOG0330
KOG0362
KOG0481
KOG2227
KOG0457
KOG3080 KOG1147

DAPPU-215551
DAPPU-215796
DAPPU-215895
DAPPU-216243
DAPPU-216440
DAPPU-216824
DAPPU-216884
DAPPU-217307
DAPPU-217456
DAPPU-218645
DAPPU-219114
DAPPU-219129
DAPPU-219465
DAPPU-219561
DAPPU-219863
DAPPU-220106
DAPPU-220648
DAPPU-220710
DAPPU-220868
DAPPU-220970
DAPPU-220996
DAPPU-221497
DAPPU-221812
DAPPU-221821
DAPPU-222043
DAPPU-222073
DAPPU-222167
DAPPU-222170
DAPPU-222176
DAPPU-222844
DAPPU-222916
DAPPU-222950
DAPPU-223010
DAPPU-223674
DAPPU-224172
DAPPU-224315
DAPPU-224848
DAPPU-226104
DAPPU-226183
DAPPU-226459
DAPPU-226650
DAPPU-227386
DAPPU-227580
DAPPU-227604
DAPPU-227674
DAPPU-227683

| NULL | CG145 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NCBI_GNO_258533 | CG5941 |
| NCBI_GNO_222543 | CG4157 |
| NCBI_GNO_310564 | CG5205 |
| NCBI_GNO_236583 | CG11184 |
| NCBI_GNO_402603 | CG9099 |
| NCBI_GNO_88613 | CG1416 |
| NCBI_GNO_106644 | CG4062 |
| NCBI_GNO_480644 | CG6230 |
| NCBI_GNO_120793 | CG17768 |
| NCBI_GNO_46873 | CG6375 |
| NCBI_GNO_130873 | CG6335 |
| NCBI_GNO_148953 | CG7269 |
| NCBI_GNO_34983 | CG2616 |
| NCBI_GNO_53423 | CG2984 |
| NCBI_GNO_1286013 | CG3576 |
| NCBI_GNO_2132023 | CG18627 |
| NCBI_GNO_160033 | CG10449 |
| NCBI_GNO_1066033 | CG1828 |
| NCBI_GNO_930034 | CG5728 |
| NCBI_GNO_1734033 | CG2674 |
| NCBI_GNO_392054 | CG10903 |
| NCBI_GNO_1014063 | CG6773 |
| NCBI_GNO_1088063 | CG9113 |
| NCBI_GNO_624074 | CG11198 |
| NCBI_GNO_102083 | CG8395 |
| NCBI_GNO_746083 | CG9667 |
| NCBI_GNO_782083 | CG9388 |
| NCBI_GNO_804083 | CG7564 |
| NCBI_GNO_1010113 | CG9177 |
| NCBI_GNO_270124 | CG1911 |
| NCBI_GNO_554123 | CG17209 |
| NCBI_GNO_628124 | CG5800 |
| NCBI_GNO_440173 | CG17520 |
| NCBI_GNO_594203 | CG3260 |
| NCBI_GNO_524214 | CG14224 |
| NCBI_GNO_234274 | CG32210 |
| NCBI_GNO_174404 | CG32438 |
| NCBI_GNO_150413 | CG31184 |
| NCBI_GNO_214443 | CG9253 |
| NCBI_GNO_556463 | CG8258 |
| NCBI_GNO_212543 | CG4082 |
| NCBI_GNO_224604 | CG5971 |
| NCBI_GNO_164564 | CG9638 |
| NCBI_GNO_402564 | CG1542 |
| NCBI GNO 44574 | CG5394 |

KOG2924 KOG1390 KOG2529 KOG2166
KOG2141 KOG2403 KOG0911
KOG0103 KOG3406
KOG3452 KOG0402 KOG0122 KOG3301 KOG1664

KOG0407 KOG0077 KOG3079 KOG1749 KOG0767
KOG0179 KOG3424 KOG1775 KOG3090 KOG1728

KOG1647 KOG2299 KOG0181 KOG3318 KOG3271

KOG3163 KOG2691 KOG3049 KOG0466 KOG1816

KOG2708 KOG3418 KOG1678 KOG1742 KOG3387
KOG3343 KOG1688 KOG0723 KOG0182 KOG3421 KOG0279 KOG2239

DAPPU-228087 DAPPU-228205 DAPPU-228342
DAPPU-228373
DAPPU-228663
DAPPU-228809
DAPPU-229030
DAPPU-229110
DAPPU-230117
DAPPU-230277
DAPPU-230286
DAPPU-230293
DAPPU-230323
DAPPU-230423
DAPPU-230521
DAPPU-230523
DAPPU-230557
DAPPU-230600
DAPPU-230626
DAPPU-230628
DAPPU-230652
DAPPU-230679
DAPPU-230683
DAPPU-230714
DAPPU-230767
DAPPU-230884
DAPPU-230922
DAPPU-230984
DAPPU-231006
DAPPU-231033
DAPPU-231046
DAPPU-231048
DAPPU-231062
DAPPU-231070
DAPPU-231071
DAPPU-231081
DAPPU-231144
DAPPU-231165
DAPPU-231249
DAPPU-231254
DAPPU-231343
DAPPU-231471
DAPPU-231549
DAPPU-231574
DAPPU-231635
DAPPU-231649

| NCBI_GNO_340734 | CG8005 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NCBI_GNO_246634 | CG10932 |
| NCBI_GNO_286643 | CG3333 |
| NCBI_GNO_260644 | CG11861 |
| NCBI_GNO_184694 | CG9004 |
| NCBI_GNO_180714 | CG17246 |
| NCBI_GNO_22773 | CG6523 |
| NCBI_GNO_370774 | CG6603 |
| NCBI_GNO_1718013 | CG11271 |
| NCBI_GNO_1796033 | CG7622 |
| NCBI_GNO_2028033 | CG5827 |
| NCBI_GNO_56043 | CG10881 |
| NCBI_GNO_1242043 | CG3395 |
| NCBI_GNO_354063 | CG1088 |
| NCBI_GNO_576093 | CG1524 |
| NCBI_GNO_650093 | CG7073 |
| NCBI_GNO_1032103 | CG6092 |
| NCBI_GNO_168123 | CG8415 |
| NCBI_GNO_292133 | CG4994 |
| NCBI_GNO_298133 | CG4097 |
| NCBI_GNO_358143 | CG3751 |
| NCBI_GNO_122153 | CG6610 |
| NCBI_GNO_268153 | CG15081 |
| NCBI_GNO_190163 | CG8857 |
| NCBI_GNO_826173 | CG8186 |
| NCBI_GNO_468233 | CG13690 |
| NCBI_GNO_128253 | CG5266 |
| NCBI_GNO_202283 | CG11137 |
| NCBI_GNO_614283 | CG3186 |
| NCBI_GNO_116304 | CG5277 |
| NCBI_GNO_242303 | CG3284 |
| NCBI_GNO_258303 | CG3283 |
| NCBI_GNO_286323 | CG6476 |
| NCBI_GNO_104333 | CG6233 |
| NCBI_GNO_150333 | CG4933 |
| NCBI_GNO_110343 | CG4759 |
| NCBI_GNO_758373 | CG17420 |
| NCBI_GNO_62383 | CG15442 |
| NCBI_GNO_212443 | CG3949 |
| NCBI_GNO_50453 | CG3948 |
| NCBI_GNO_546493 | CG11857 |
| NCBI_GNO_290574 | CG7394 |
| NCBI_GNO_230613 | CG30382 |
| NCBI_GNO_228623 | CG6253 |
| NCBI_GNO_552643 | CG7111 |
| NCBI_GNO_122663 | CG8759 |

KOG3408 KOG0707
KOG0176 KOG0178
KOG0180 KOG0121 KOG3188
KOG3411 KOG0127
KOG2686 KOG0190 KOG0846 KOG2653 KOG0326
KOG0291
KOG0734 KOG0468 KOG1057 KOG2963
KOG2573 KOG2795 KOG0548 KOG1433 KOG0671
KOG1367
KOG1526
KOG2725
KOG2726
KOG0011
KOG0108
KOG0419
KOG3323
KOG1507
KOG0534
KOG2732
KOG1980
KOG2784
KOG1234
KOG2013
KOG1092
KOG2957
KOG0328
KOG0211
KOG2815
KOG1448 KOG1446

DAPPU-231670 DAPPU-231676 DAPPU-231717 DAPPU-231772 DAPPU-231791 DAPPU-231808 DAPPU-231825 DAPPU-231884 DAPPU-232196 DAPPU-233115 DAPPU-234212 DAPPU-235934 DAPPU-240002 DAPPU-244975 DAPPU-257213 DAPPU-258323 DAPPU-260737 DAPPU-260966 DAPPU-263794 DAPPU-299559 DAPPU-299643 DAPPU-299674 DAPPU-299714 DAPPU-299765 DAPPU-299795 DAPPU-299809 DAPPU-299821 DAPPU-299896 DAPPU-299929 DAPPU-299933 DAPPU-299959 DAPPU-299963 DAPPU-299973 DAPPU-299980 DAPPU-299981 DAPPU-299992 DAPPU-300019 DAPPU-300027 DAPPU-300104 DAPPU-300107 DAPPU-300127 DAPPU-300157 DAPPU-300165 DAPPU-300200 DAPPU-300219 DAPPU-300247

NCBI_GNO_326663
CG3224
CG11811
CG10938
CG9327
CG11981
CG15923
CG6750
CG5338
CG4806
CG2201
CG6988
CG5219
CG3724
CG4916
CG12325
CG3499
CG4849
CG14616
CG5786
CG13849
CG7753
CG2720
CG7948
CG33553
CG3127
CG7176
CG3803
CG5705
CG1836
CG7697
CG2013
CG18643
CG5330
CG5946
CG12018
CG7338
CG2263
CG32649
CG7528
CG5745
CG2934
CG7483
CG17291
CG4207
CG6767
CG17293

KOG0858
KOG2248
KOG1158
KOG1523
KOG0900
KOG1757
KOG3228
KOG3185 KOG0901
KOG0171 KOG3437 KOG2808
KOG3311 KOG2005
KOG2572 KOG1098 KOG1123 KOG0177 KOG2484
KOG3361 KOG0347 KOG3036 KOG0271 KOG0482
KOG1014 KOG2016 KOG0478 KOG2463 KOG1394 KOG3060 KOG1374 KOG3291 KOG0964 KOG0533
KOG2121 KOG0989 KOG0187 KOG0885 KOG2830 KOG3064 KOG2738 KOG2670 KOG0212 KOG2675 KOG3198 KOG2747

DAPPU-300294
DAPPU-300412
DAPPU-300447
DAPPU-300535
DAPPU-300540
DAPPU-300571
DAPPU-300592
DAPPU-300595
DAPPU-300630
DAPPU-300640
DAPPU-300659
DAPPU-300689
DAPPU-300691
DAPPU-300699
DAPPU-300779
DAPPU-300798
DAPPU-300803
DAPPU-300859
DAPPU-300860
DAPPU-300869
DAPPU-300875
DAPPU-300941
DAPPU-300951
DAPPU-301022
DAPPU-301034
DAPPU-301131
DAPPU-301153
DAPPU-301213
DAPPU-301461
DAPPU-301475
DAPPU-301492
DAPPU-301516
DAPPU-301524
DAPPU-301602
DAPPU-301666
DAPPU-301682
DAPPU-301703
DAPPU-301731
DAPPU-301783
DAPPU-301797
DAPPU-301830
DAPPU-301844
DAPPU-301925
DAPPU-301953
DAPPU-302042
DAPPU-302096

NCBI_GNO_832103
CG14899
CG12877
CG11567
CG8978
CG15693
CG5499
CG12135
CG17611
CG3661
CG9240
CG11419
CG6011
CG8900
CG7762
CG10206
CG8939
CG8019
CG17331
CG3983
CG9836
CG9143
CG14213
CG2863
CG4978
CG1444
CG7828
CG1616
CG2972
CG12170
CG17556
CG3157
CG8922
CG9802
CG1101
CG3298
CG8142
CG3922
CG10907
CG31852
CG10648
CG13630
CG17654
CG5608
CG33979
CG4457
CG6121

KOG0416
KOG0397
KOG2446
KOG1770
KOG1889
KOG3428
KOG3031
KOG3364
KOG0063
KOG2552
KOG1309
KOG0922
KOG1112
KOG1722
KOG0960
KOG1813
KOG1379
KOG3235
KOG2229
KOG2007
KOG1898
KOG1301
KOG1539
KOG1914
KOG0175
KOG0373
KOG2907
KOG0173
KOG3342
KOG3283
KOG2067
KOG1999
KOG0285
KOG0058
KOG3234 KOG1772
KOG2314
KOG1302
KOG0289
KOG2635
KOG1662
KOG0217
KOG1550 KOG2386
KOG0119 KOG1692

DAPPU-302154
DAPPU-302274
DAPPU-302344
DAPPU-302358
DAPPU-302360
DAPPU-302421
DAPPU-302434
DAPPU-302435
DAPPU-302438
DAPPU-302528
DAPPU-302577
DAPPU-302589
DAPPU-302612
DAPPU-302765
DAPPU-302792
DAPPU-302909
DAPPU-302940
DAPPU-303043
DAPPU-303148
DAPPU-303176
DAPPU-303178
DAPPU-303233
DAPPU-303234
DAPPU-303263
DAPPU-303318
DAPPU-303396
DAPPU-303407
DAPPU-303409
DAPPU-303416
DAPPU-303431
DAPPU-303461
DAPPU-303545
DAPPU-303553
DAPPU-303561
DAPPU-303577
DAPPU-303591
DAPPU-303630
DAPPU-303678
DAPPU-303758
DAPPU-303794
DAPPU-303877
DAPPU-303932
DAPPU-303934
DAPPU-303937
DAPPU-303941
DAPPU-304000

NCBI_GNO_474163
CG2257
CG7726
CG8251
CG17737
CG9128
CG10753
CG7993
CG17510
CG5651
CG13089
CG9617
CG8241
CG5371
CG9282
CG3731
CG4973
CG15035
CG11989
CG8070
CG8431
CG13900
CG3539
CG9799
CG17170
CG12323
CG12217
CG13418
CG3329
CG2358
CG7808
CG8728
CG7626
CG1796
CG3156
CG14222
CG6213
CG4878
CG12230
CG5519
CG14813
CG4307
CG7003
CG10221
CG1810
CG5836
CG3564

KOG0864
KOG4409
KOG1943
KOG1465
KOG1270
KOG0360
KOG3174
KOG0948 KOG0947
KOG0225
KOG2144
KOG2799
KOG2861
KOG3087
KOG0727
KOG1671
KOG1173
KOG0100 KOG0967
KOG0467
KOG2988
KOG1984
KOG2885
KOG1562
KOG2978 KOG3487
KOG2672
KOG1555
KOG0479
KOG2030
KOG2580
KOG0306
KOG0712 KOG2825
KOG2420 KOG2335 KOG2874 KOG3003 KOG3013
KOG3106 KOG1294 KOG1867 KOG2340 KOG0959 KOG0282 KOG3240

DAPPU-304059
DAPPU-304102
DAPPU-304145
DAPPU-304186
DAPPU-304225
DAPPU-304295
DAPPU-304315
DAPPU-304340
DAPPU-304359
DAPPU-304437
DAPPU-304445
DAPPU-304522
DAPPU-304531
DAPPU-304577
DAPPU-304599
DAPPU-304712
DAPPU-304732
DAPPU-304735
DAPPU-304798
DAPPU-304801
DAPPU-304893
DAPPU-304899
DAPPU-304910
DAPPU-304917
DAPPU-304921
DAPPU-304934
DAPPU-304936
DAPPU-304982
DAPPU-305021
DAPPU-305191
DAPPU-305300
DAPPU-305316
DAPPU-305330
DAPPU-305381
DAPPU-305406
DAPPU-305459
DAPPU-305506
DAPPU-305539
DAPPU-305543
DAPPU-305544
DAPPU-305561
DAPPU-305599
DAPPU-305636
DAPPU-305640
DAPPU-305671
DAPPU-305782

NCBI_GNO_94254
NCBI_GNO_336254
NCBI_GNO_522254
NCBI_GNO_202263
NCBI_GNO_208954
NCBI_GNO_320283
NCBI_GNO_592283
NCBI_GNO_182284
NCBI_GNO_248284
NCBI_GNO_90293
NCBI_GNO_362293
NCBI_GNO_52983
NCBI_GNO_148983
NCBI_GNO_330033
NCBI_GNO_700033
NCBI_GNO_934033
NCBI_GNO_756034
NCBI_GNO_762034
NCBI_GNO_1092034
NCBI_GNO_1108034
NCBI_GNO_50993
NCBI_GNO_58994
NCBI_GNO_288313
NCBI_GNO_404313
NCBI_GNO_434313
NCBI_GNO_120313
NCBI_GNO_122313
NCBI_GNO_48323
NCBI_GNO_54323
NCBI_GNO_324343
NCBI_GNO_414353
NCBI_GNO_352353
NCBI_GNO_536353
NCBI_GNO_76364
NCBI_GNO_226364
NCBI_GNO_486043
NCBI_GNO_1114043
NCBI_GNO_1602043
NCBI_GNO_1672043
NCBI_GNO_1712043
NCBI_GNO_1186044
NCBI_GNO_324043
NCBI_GNO_562044
NCBI_GNO_590044
NCBI_GNO_734044
NCBI_GNO_506363

CG11856
CG1882
CG7261
CG2677
CG9249
CG5374
CG17158
CG4152
CG10210
CG7010
CG4561
CG11963
CG11679
CG10673
CG16916
CG7361
CG6759
CG4147
CG5602
CG33158
CG10652
CG10882
CG4510
CG8327
CG10166
CG5161
CG5231
CG18174
CG4206
CG11847
CG11779
CG8064
CG8863
CG1598
CG5991
CG3645
CG4258
CG6155
CG3931
CG5183
CG3178
CG4166
CG3735
CG5517
CG6015
CG9245

| KOG3092 | DAPPU-305830 |
| :---: | :---: |
| KOG3187 | DAPPU-305850 |
| KOG0687 | DAPPU-305955 |
| KOG0418 | DAPPU-305966 |
| KOG0096 | DAPPU-305970 |
| KOG1241 | DAPPU-306007 |
| KOG2004 | DAPPU-306039 |
| KOG0477 | DAPPU-306072 |
| KOG1255 | DAPPU-306118 |
| KOG2848 | DAPPU-306184 |
| KOG0264 | DAPPU-306287 |
| KOG1698 | DAPPU-306295 |
| KOG1018 | DAPPU-306296 |
| KOG2217 | DAPPU-306323 |
| KOG2915 | DAPPU-306325 |
| KOG2387 | DAPPU-306334 |
| KOG0729 | DAPPU-306359 |
| KOG0359 | DAPPU-306375 |
| KOG2981 | DAPPU-306423 |
| KOG0184 | DAPPU-306433 |
| KOG1351 | DAPPU-306451 |
| KOG2916 | DAPPU-306457 |
| KOG2700 | DAPPU-306459 |
| KOG1567 | DAPPU-306462 |
| KOG2481 | DAPPU-306492 |
| KOG2759 | DAPPU-306505 |
| KOG0110 | DAPPU-306569 |
| KOG3181 | DAPPU-306633 |
| KOG1781 | DAPPU-306642 |
| KOG2696 | DAPPU-306646 |
| KOG3274 | DAPPU-306670 |
| KOG0559 | DAPPU-306760 |
| KOG2253 | DAPPU-306802 |
| KOG0364 | DAPPU-306806 |
| KOG3368 | DAPPU-306826 |
| KOG2537 | DAPPU-306844 |
| KOG0260 | DAPPU-306846 |
| KOG1906 | DAPPU-306880 |
| KOG1300 | DAPPU-306883 |
| KOG1331 | DAPPU-306906 |
| KOG0337 | DAPPU-306922 |
| KOG1342 | DAPPU-306940 |
| KOG1145 | DAPPU-306984 |
| KOG4020 | DAPPU-307032 |
| KOG0817 | DAPPU-307101 |
| KOG1148 | DAPPU-307141 |


| NCBI_GNO_156373 | CG15224 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NCBI_GNO_250373 | CG6746 |
| NCBI_GNO_120383 | CG5378 |
| NCBI_GNO_232383 | CG8284 |
| NCBI_GNO_274383 | CG1404 |
| NCBI_GNO_132384 | CG2637 |
| NCBI_GNO_264384 | CG8798 |
| NCBI_GNO_556384 | CG7538 |
| NCBI_GNO_390393 | CG1065 |
| NCBI_GNO_634393 | CG3812 |
| NCBI_GNO_728403 | CG4236 |
| NCBI_GNO_784403 | CG8039 |
| NCBI_GNO_786403 | CG5292 |
| NCBI_GNO_202413 | CG6686 |
| NCBI_GNO_254413 | CG14544 |
| NCBI_GNO_132413 | CG6854 |
| NCBI_GNO_126053 | CG1341 |
| NCBI_GNO_354053 | CG8231 |
| NCBI_GNO_1026053 | CG6877 |
| NCBI_GNO_1188053 | CG1519 |
| NCBI_GNO_1334053 | CG17369 |
| NCBI_GNO_1426053 | CG9946 |
| NCBI_GNO_1438053 | CG3590 |
| NCBI_GNO_1472053 | CG8975 |
| NCBI_GNO_322053 | CG4364 |
| NCBI_GNO_448053 | CG17332 |
| NCBI_GNO_660054 | CG3335 |
| NCBI_GNO_192424 | CG6779 |
| NCBI_GNO_330423 | CG13277 |
| NCBI_GNO_4423 | CG2051 |
| NCBI_GNO_198423 | CG5902 |
| NCBI_GNO_154434 | CG5214 |
| NCBI_GNO_326433 | CG4119 |
| NCBI_GNO_404433 | CG8977 |
| NCBI_GNO_640433 | CG1359 |
| NCBI_GNO_620433 | CG10627 |
| NCBI_GNO_512434 | CG1554 |
| NCBI_GNO_106444 | CG11265 |
| NCBI_GNO_110444 | CG15811 |
| NCBI_GNO_278444 | CG17807 |
| NCBI_GNO_340444 | CG32344 |
| NCBI_GNO_16453 | CG7471 |
| NCBI_GNO_384454 | CG12413 |
| NCBI_GNO_10063 | CG4180 |
| NCBI_GNO_195163 | CG8627 |
| NCBI_GNO_802064 | CG10506 |

KOG0263
KOG1493
KOG0480
KOG1357
KOG0816
KOG1652
KOG2540
KOG3347
KOG3355
KOG3282
KOG1672
KOG2421
KOG1800
KOG1487
KOG1992
KOG2023
KOG2792
KOG3372
KOG1655
KOG1753
KOG1590
KOG0554
KOG2292
KOG0299
KOG2058
KOG3432
KOG0605
KOG2770
KOG0325
KOG0651
KOG2608
KOG1137
KOG3349
KOG4098
KOG0580
KOG0688
KOG2768
KOG1979
KOG1149
KOG3298
KOG0585
KOG2769
KOG3430
KOG2171
KOG3237
KOG3490

DAPPU-307153
DAPPU-307195
DAPPU-307300
DAPPU-307317
DAPPU-307342
DAPPU-307357
DAPPU-307441
DAPPU-307499
DAPPU-307542
DAPPU-307543
DAPPU-307585
DAPPU-307611
DAPPU-307619
DAPPU-307651
DAPPU-307683
DAPPU-307700
DAPPU-307706
DAPPU-307738
DAPPU-307757
DAPPU-307788
DAPPU-307796
DAPPU-307813
DAPPU-307851
DAPPU-307893
DAPPU-307907
DAPPU-308028
DAPPU-308031
DAPPU-308047
DAPPU-308051
DAPPU-308117
DAPPU-308173
DAPPU-308207
DAPPU-308239
DAPPU-308250
DAPPU-308251
DAPPU-308328
DAPPU-308414
DAPPU-308451
DAPPU-308493
DAPPU-308561
DAPPU-308627
DAPPU-308637
DAPPU-308639
DAPPU-308670
DAPPU-308779
DAPPU-308814

| NCBI_GNO_1096063 | CG7704 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NULL | CG34441 |
| NCBI_GNO_302473 | CG4039 |
| NCBI_GNO_494473 | CG4162 |
| NCBI_GNO_786473 | CG1381 |
| NCBI_GNO_918473 | CG15257 |
| NCBI_GNO_336483 | CG31915 |
| NCBI_GNO_494483 | CG8816 |
| NCBI_GNO_248493 | CG12534 |
| NCBI_GNO_260493 | CG1307 |
| NCBI_GNO_494493 | CG4511 |
| NCBI_GNO_412494 | CG2818 |
| NCBI_GNO_470494 | CG12390 |
| NCBI_GNO_268503 | CG8340 |
| NCBI_GNO_31143 | CG13281 |
| NCBI_GNO_458504 | CG7398 |
| NCBI_GNO_114513 | CG8885 |
| NCBI_GNO_64073 | CG5677 |
| NCBI_GNO_382073 | CG6259 |
| NCBI_GNO_918073 | CG4046 |
| NCBI_GNO_1068073 | CG14290 |
| NCBI_GNO_142074 | CG6796 |
| NCBI_GNO_302074 | CG7748 |
| NCBI_GNO_528074 | CG33505 |
| NCBI_GNO_1220073 | CG5916 |
| NCBI_GNO_386523 | CG8210 |
| NCBI_GNO_468524 | CG8637 |
| NCBI_GNO_126533 | CG6415 |
| NCBI_GNO_66534 | CG9804 |
| NCBI_GNO_198543 | CG3455 |
| NCBI_GNO_424543 | CG1333 |
| NCBI_GNO_472543 | CG7698 |
| NCBI_GNO_126553 | CG14512 |
| NCBI_GNO_332553 | CG6302 |
| NCBI_GNO_286574 | CG3068 |
| NCBI_GNO_96563 | CG5605 |
| NCBI_GNO_706083 | CG4153 |
| NCBI_GNO_318084 | CG11482 |
| NCBI_GNO_876083 | CG4573 |
| NCBI_GNO_290564 | CG31344 |
| NCBI_GNO_288574 | CG17698 |
| NCBI_GNO_74583 | CG7757 |
| NCBI_GNO_54583 | CG6998 |
| NCBI_GNO_583 | CG1059 |
| NCBI_GNO_302593 | CG1789 |
| CBI GNO 434593 | CG12372 |

KOG0400
KOG1373
KOG0036
KOG0523
KOG0822
KOG3111
KOG2863
KOG3129
KOG1099
KOG2688
KOG3486
KOG3315
KOG3059
KOG1636
KOG3063
KOG1534
KOG1060
KOG3470
KOG1424
KOG1043
KOG0933
KOG2102
KOG1533
KOG2749
KOG1210
KOG1651
KOG0050
KOG0302
KOG1315
KOG2568
KOG1416
KOG2051
KOG1058
KOG1919
KOG1035
KOG0939
KOG2180
KOG0711
KOG0102
KOG2811
KOG3075
KOG1220
KOG1440
KOG1107
KOG3153
KOG3457

DAPPU-308825
DAPPU-308832
DAPPU-308837
DAPPU-308853
DAPPU-308857
DAPPU-308929
DAPPU-308938
DAPPU-309024
DAPPU-309098
DAPPU-309103
DAPPU-309158
DAPPU-309327
DAPPU-309328
DAPPU-309373
DAPPU-309382
DAPPU-309440
DAPPU-309510
DAPPU-309511
DAPPU-309652
DAPPU-309740
DAPPU-310261
DAPPU-310536
DAPPU-310542
DAPPU-310603
DAPPU-310703
DAPPU-310801
DAPPU-311294
DAPPU-311335
DAPPU-311398
DAPPU-311546
DAPPU-312712
DAPPU-312843
DAPPU-313075
DAPPU-313092
DAPPU-313217
DAPPU-313219
DAPPU-313250
DAPPU-313317
DAPPU-313359
DAPPU-313492
DAPPU-313516
DAPPU-314497
DAPPU-315618
DAPPU-315698
DAPPU-315739
DAPPU-315783

NCBI_GNO_518593
NCBI_GNO_578593
NCBI_GNO_454594
NCBI_GNO_604594
NCBI_GNO_544593
NCBI_GNO_434603
NCBI_GNO_360603
NCBI_GNO_920093
NCBI_GNO_670093
NCBI_GNO_706093
NCBI_GNO_258613
NCBI_GNO_194633
NCBI_GNO_254634
NCBI_GNO_224633
NCBI_GNO_296634
NCBI_GNO_188643
NCBI_GNO_376014
NCBI_GNO_162013
NCBI_GNO_580014
NCBI_GNO_734014
NCBI_GNO_728023
NCBI_GNO_992024
NCBI_GNO_998024
NCBI_GNO_1098024
NCBI_GNO_360034
NCBI_GNO_516034
NCBI_GNO_176043
NCBI_GNO_362044
NCBI_GNO_482044
NCBI_GNO_720044
NCBI_GNO_1024064
NCBI_GNO_288074
NCBI_GNO_212084
NCBI_GNO_234084
NCBI_GNO_416084
NCBI_GNO_418084
NCBI_GNO_460084
NCBI_GNO_1204083
NCBI_GNO_618084
NCBI_GNO_296094
NCBI_GNO_230093
NCBI_GNO_852113
NCBI_GNO_654144
NCBI_GNO_170153
NCBI_GNO_292153
NCBI_GNO_476153

CG13389
CG9539
CG32103
CG8036
CG3730
CG30499
CG7942
CG9588
CG5220
CG7351
CG2986
CG10153
CG12077
CG9193
CG14804
CG2656
CG11427
CG1890
CG14788
CG4589
CG10212
CG6413
CG10222
CG5970
CG10425
CG12013
CG6905
CG12792
CG1407
CG17660
CG9596
CG2253
CG6223
CG6187
CG1609
CG8184
CG3338
CG12389
CG8542
CG18048
CG30410
CG8073
CG7962
CG5625
CG14721
CG10130

KOG0434
KOG0733
KOG2360
KOG1620
KOG2807
KOG0969
KOG2245
KOG1968 KOG2971
KOG0250
KOG0436
KOG2754
KOG1073
KOG2992
KOG0616
KOG3222
KOG0344
KOG1625
KOG2308
KOG0962
KOG0740
KOG2554
KOG2068
KOG0313
KOG1956
KOG1131
KOG1831
KOG2111
KOG0780
KOG0219
KOG1597
KOG1349
KOG1540
KOG2201
KOG0524
KOG2020
KOG2585
KOG3022 KOG1989
KOG2438 KOG0213
KOG0152
KOG2198 KOG1268 KOG0355 KOG3327

DAPPU-316089 DAPPU-316737 DAPPU-317133 DAPPU-317135 DAPPU-317251 DAPPU-317527 DAPPU-318160 DAPPU-318220 DAPPU-318276 DAPPU-318587 DAPPU-319387 DAPPU-319543 DAPPU-319965 DAPPU-319994 DAPPU-319998 DAPPU-320031 DAPPU-321368 DAPPU-321802 DAPPU-321889 DAPPU-322547 DAPPU-322816 DAPPU-323292 DAPPU-323297 DAPPU-323838 DAPPU-324341 DAPPU-324413 DAPPU-324499 DAPPU-324944 DAPPU-325390 DAPPU-325677 DAPPU-325996 DAPPU-326467 DAPPU-326731 DAPPU-327249 DAPPU-327265 DAPPU-327633 DAPPU-327994 DAPPU-328248 DAPPU-328331 DAPPU-328680 DAPPU-328912 DAPPU-329118 DAPPU-329423 DAPPU-329474 DAPPU-329527 DAPPU-331571

NCBI_GNO_320163
NCBI_GNO_344184
NCBI_GNO_520193
NCBI_GNO_73154
NCBI_GNO_118203
NCBI_GNO_264214
NCBI_GNO_398234
NCBI_GNO_166244
NCBI_GNO_306243
NCBI_GNO_372254
NCBI_GNO_210294
NCBI_GNO_76304
NCBI_GNO_216324
NCBI_GNO_42334
NCBI_GNO_58333
NCBI_GNO_158333
NCBI_GNO_548364
NCBI_GNO_108384
NCBI_GNO_244384
NCBI_GNO_316404
NCBI_GNO_258414
NCBI_GNO_132433
NCBI_GNO_98434
NCBI_GNO_92454
NCBI_GNO_168474
NCBI_GNO_280474
NCBI_GNO_470474
NCBI_GNO_304494
NCBI_GNO_244513
NCBI_GNO_282524
NCBI_GNO_132544
NCBI_GNO_284563
NCBI_GNO_86584
NCBI_GNO_128604
NCBI_GNO_270603
NCBI_GNO_62623
NCBI_GNO_478633
NCBI_GNO_814643
NCBI_GNO_126644
NCBI_GNO_230664
NCBI_GNO_70684
NCBI_GNO_158693
NCBI_GNO_26713
NCBI_GNO_152714
NCBI_GNO_226714
NCBI_GNO_48834
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CG11471
CG8571
CG5558
CG10082
CG11115
CG5949
CG9854
CG1119
CG11583
CG5524
CG31322
CG9022
CG10686
CG7421
CG4379
CG8891
CG5589
CG5923
CG8552
CG6339
CG3326
CG3045
CG31716
CG6724
CG10123
CG9433
CG34407
CG11975
CG4659
CG4215
CG5193
CG4406
CG2453
CG5725
CG11876
CG12234
CG2974
CG17904
CG10637
CG5463
CG2807
CG3542
CG6133
CG1345
CG10223
CG5757

KOG0442
KOG2423
KOG1596
KOG0216
KOG1491
KOG0435
KOG2574
KOG3295
KOG0537
KOG4032
KOG0131
KOG3034
KOG3438
KOG3454
KOG0351
KOG1614
KOG2930
KOG2868
KOG0812
KOG1402
KOG1361
KOG3346
KOG2086 KOG3316
KOG2050 KOG0420 KOG2884 KOG0717 KOG2728
KOG1975
KOG1240
KOG2311
KOG0620
KOG1549
KOG1336 KOG1600 KOG0804 KOG2519 KOG0201 KOG0563 KOG0462 KOG1038 KOG1556 KOG2520 KOG2711 KOG0728

DAPPU-331812
DAPPU-332475
DAPPU-333298
DAPPU-333503
DAPPU-333762
DAPPU-334969
DAPPU-337041
DAPPU-34614
DAPPU-36528
DAPPU-36719
DAPPU-40110
DAPPU-40241
DAPPU-40279
DAPPU-40364
DAPPU-40427
DAPPU-40604
DAPPU-40730
DAPPU-40876
DAPPU-41202
DAPPU-41314
DAPPU-41973
DAPPU-42659
DAPPU-42769
DAPPU-42928
DAPPU-43721
DAPPU-44414
DAPPU-44914
DAPPU-44917
DAPPU-45011
DAPPU-45556
DAPPU-45609
DAPPU-45739
DAPPU-46150
DAPPU-46336
DAPPU-46525
DAPPU-46667
DAPPU-47474
DAPPU-47502
DAPPU-47789
DAPPU-48979
DAPPU-49405
DAPPU-49577
DAPPU-49603
DAPPU-49689
DAPPU-51324
DAPPU-52572

NCBI_GNO_184844
CG3697
CG6501
CG9888
CG4033
CG1354
CG7479
CG6876
CG4651
CG2140
CG14543
CG3780
CG9286
CG10685
CG5454
CG6920
CG9606
CG16982
CG11183
CG4214
CG8782
CG10018
CG10298
CG11139
CG6196
CG1685
CG7375
CG7619
CG2790
CG5629
CG3688
CG9746
CG4610
CG31137
CG12264
CG4199
CG5887
CG5555
CG8648
CG5169
CG12529
CG1410
CG4644
CG3416
CG10890
CG9042
CG2241

| KOG2467 | DAPPU-52799 |
| :---: | :---: |
| KOG2268 | DAPPU-53510 |
| KOG2036 | DAPPU-54191 |
| KOG1253 | DAPPU-54762 |
| KOG0243 | DAPPU-55076 |
| KOG3233 | DAPPU-56706 |
| KOG4018 | DAPPU-5678 |
| KOG1380 | DAPPU-57149 |
| KOG1135 | DAPPU-58164 |
| KOG1463 | DAPPU-58294 |
| KOG1783 | DAPPU-59069 |
| KOG1381 | DAPPU-59083 |
| KOG2989 | DAPPU-59672 |
| KOG2877 | DAPPU-60250 |
| KOG1725 | DAPPU-61104 |
| KOG1272 | DAPPU-61337 |
| KOG2841 | DAPPU-61546 |
| KOG3000 | DAPPU-62015 |
| KOG2241 | DAPPU-62407 |
| KOG1656 | DAPPU-62579 |
| KOG1461 | DAPPU-62668 |
| KOG2322 | DAPPU-63064 |
| KOG3172 | DAPPU-63450 |
| KOG2280 | DAPPU-63503 |
| KOG3405 | DAPPU-64901 |
| KOG0202 | DAPPU-65262 |
| KOG1274 | DAPPU-65744 |
| KOG0996 | DAPPU-67196 |
| KOG0876 | DAPPU-67591 |
| KOG0371 | DAPPU-68048 |
| KOG0009 | DAPPU-93183 |
| KOG1626 | DAPPU-93571 |
| KOG2659 | DAPPU-93654 |
| KOG3386 | DAPPU-93662 |
| KOG3325 | DAPPU-93995 |
| KOG0270 | DAPPU-96073 |
| KOG0813 | DAPPU-96363 |
| KOG2779 | DAPPU-96817 |
| KOG2804 | DAPPU-97573 |
| KOG1670 | DAPPU-98425 |
| KOG0556 | DAPPU-99304 |
| KOG3020 | DAPPU-99659 |
| KOG0185 | DAPPU-99667 |
| KOG3257 | DAPPU-99708 |


| NCBI_GNO_322313 | CG3011 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NCBI_GNO_388343 | CG11859 |
| NCBI_GNO_560363 | CG1994 |
| NCBI_GNO_88384 | CG6388 |
| NCBI_GNO_374394 | CG9191 |
| NCBI_GNO_396454 | CG5380 |
| NCBI_GNO_170763 | CG5515 |
| NCBI_GNO_298473 | CG5037 |
| NCBI_GNO_268513 | CG1957 |
| NCBI_GNO_378523 | CG10149 |
| NCBI_GNO_48554 | CG9344 |
| NCBI_GNO_110554 | CG9613 |
| NCBI_GNO_150584 | CG8435 |
| NCBI_GNO_38603 | CG6016 |
| NCBI_GNO_488633 | CG8331 |
| NCBI_GNO_182643 | CG2260 |
| NCBI_GNO_412644 | CG10215 |
| NCBI_GNO_86683 | CG3265 |
| NCBI_GNO_460693 | CG15100 |
| NCBI_GNO_60713 | CG8055 |
| NCBI_GNO_162714 | CG3806 |
| NCBI_GNO_296743 | CG3798 |
| NCBI_GNO_142773 | CG8427 |
| NCBI_GNO_104774 | CG8454 |
| NCBI_GNO_40873 | CG1163 |
| NCBI_GNO_164903 | CG3725 |
| NCBI_GNO_130944 | CG13350 |
| NCBI_GNO_418843 | CG11397 |
| NCBI_GNO_297184 | CG8905 |
| NCBI_GNO_135244 | CG7109 |
| NCBI_GNO_462513 | CG15697 |
| NCBI_GNO_110713 | CG4634 |
| NCBI_GNO_170783 | CG6617 |
| NCBI_GNO_310813 | CG3977 |
| NCBI_GNO_376013 | CG4764 |
| NCBI_GNO_510044 | CG6751 |
| NCBI_GNO_1562043 | CG4365 |
| NCBI_GNO_1180053 | CG7436 |
| NCBI_GNO_250074 | CG18330 |
| NCBI_GNO_582093 | CG32859 |
| NCBI_GNO_830113 | CG3821 |
| NCBI_GNO_916123 | CG3358 |
| NCBI_GNO_942123 | CG12000 |
| NCBI_GNO_1000123 | CG3351 |

Table S16. Fifty predicted Daphnia pulex miRNA

| miRNA name | Scaffold | Pre-miRNA <br> Start position | Pre-miRNA End position | Strand | Mature miRNA Start position | Mature miRNA End position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dpul-bantam | scaffold_115 | 370155 | 370238 | 1 | 55 | 77 |
| dpul-let-7 | scaffold_71 | 446440 | 446534 | -1 | 14 | 35 |
| dpul-mir-1 | scaffold_1 | 1720872 | 1720960 | -1 | 57 | 78 |
| dpul-mir-10 | scaffold_7 | 304805 | 304905 | -1 | 22 | 42 |
| dpul-mir-100 | scaffold_71 | 446641 | 446740 | -1 | 21 | 43 |
| dpul-mir-1175 | scaffold_113 | 97584 | 97667 | 1 | 53 | 76 |
| dpul-mir-12 | scaffold_1 | 1847835 | 1847917 | -1 | 13 | 35 |
| dpul-mir-124 | scaffold_120 | 76886 | 76970 | 1 | 55 | 77 |
| dpul-mir-125 | scaffold_71 | 445340 | 445450 | -1 | 24 | 45 |
| dpul-mir-125b-as | scaffold_71 | 445352 | 445433 | 1 | 55 | 76 |
| dpul-mir-133 | scaffold_1 | 1708481 | 1708584 | -1 | 67 | 88 |
| dpul-mir-137 | scaffold_92 | 410926 | 411003 | 1 | 49 | 70 |
| dpul-mir-13b | scaffold_80 | 240721 | 240800 | 1 | 51 | 73 |
| dpul-mir-153 | scaffold_3 | 3560633 | 3560719 | -1 | 53 | 72 |
| dpul-mir-193 | scaffold_167 | 85443 | 85550 | -1 | 73 | 94 |
| dpul-mir-2-1 | scaffold_80 | 240857 | 240946 | 1 | 55 | 77 |
| dpul-mir-2-2 | scaffold_80 | 241036 | 241112 | 1 | 48 | 70 |
| dpul-mir-210 | scaffold_51 | 480329 | 480413 | -1 | 51 | 71 |
| dpul-mir-219 | scaffold_253 | 93588 | 93666 | -1 | 11 | 33 |
| dpul-mir-252a | scaffold_285 | 66051 | 66144 | 1 | 16 | 37 |
| dpul-mir-252b | scaffold_8 | 127361 | 127465 | 1 | 20 | 42 |
| dpul-mir-263b | scaffold_87 | 475808 | 475882 | 1 | 11 | 30 |
| dpul-mir-275 | scaffold_4 | 1790732 | 1790817 | 1 | 51 | 73 |
| dpul-mir-276 | scaffold_15 | 755622 | 755692 | 1 | 46 | 67 |
| dpul-mir-277 | scaffold_4 | 1242957 | 1243058 | -1 | 61 | 85 |
| dpul-mir-279 | scaffold_43 | 177495 | 177579 | 1 | 52 | 70 |
| dpul-mir-281 | scaffold_11 | 1065349 | 1065415 | -1 | 1 | 21 |
| dpul-mir-283 | scaffold_1 | 1848733 | 1848832 | -1 | 21 | 40 |
| dpul-mir-29 | scaffold_1 | 332494 | 332591 | 1 | 62 | 83 |
| dpul-mir-309 | scaffold_24 | 361460 | 361528 | -1 | 44 | 65 |
| dpul-mir-315 | scaffold_58 | 431897 | 431975 | 1 | 12 | 33 |
| dpul-mir-317 | scaffold_4 | 1243950 | 1244040 | -1 | 56 | 80 |
| dpul-mir-33 | scaffold_90 | 265090 | 265171 | -1 | 7 | 28 |
| dpul-mir-34 | scaffold_4 | 1242031 | 1242127 | -1 | 14 | 35 |
| dpul-mir-36 | scaffold_32 | 68509 | 68591 | -1 | 51 | 70 |
| dpul-mir-7-1 | scaffold_11571 | 1020 | 1108 | -1 | 15 | 37 |
| dpul-mir-7-2 | scaffold_191 | 112539 | 112627 | -1 | 15 | 37 |
| dpul-mir-71 | scaffold_80 | 240421 | 240502 | 1 | 10 | 31 |
| dpul-mir-8 | scaffold_131 | 139395 | 139479 | 1 | 52 | 74 |
| dpul-mir-87-1 | scaffold_1 | 2190890 | 2190989 | 1 | 70 | 89 |
| dpul-mir-87-2 | scaffold_1 | 2191051 | 2191151 | 1 | 71 | 90 |
|  |  |  | 118 |  |  |  |


| dpul-mir-92b | scaffold_38 | 876312 | 876410 | 1 | 60 | 81 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dpul-mir-92c | scaffold_38 | 876134 | 876234 | 1 | 61 | 82 |
| dpul-mir-965 | scaffold_32 | 27762 | 27867 | -1 | 65 | 86 |
| dpul-mir-981 | scaffold_2 | 1450976 | 1451073 | -1 | 62 | 83 |
| dpul-mir-993 | scaffold_7 | 282304 | 282393 | 1 | 57 | 79 |
| dpul-mir-9a | scaffold_2 | 1526199 | 1526285 | 1 | 15 | 37 |
| dpul-mir-9b | scaffold_32 | 69569 | 69641 | -1 | 9 | 31 |
| dpul-mir-iab-4 | scaffold_7 | 515533 | 515617 | 1 | 15 | 36 |
| dpul-mir-iab-4as | scaffold_7 | 515541 | 515609 | -1 | 6 | 28 |

Table S17. Comparative analysis of transposable elements (TEs) in Daphnia pulex. Among arthropods, D. pulex is similar in terms of repeat content, with most families being present in low copy number. Daphnia pulex does, however, contain a large number of novel TE families [S172] and many, diverse families for which there is evidence of possible recent activity [S173].

|  | Daphnia | Drosophila | Aedes | Anopheles | Apis | Mus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proportion of $\quad$ DNA transposons genome (euchromatin) | 0.70\% | $0.31 \%^{1}$ | 20\% | n/a | ~1\% | 0.88\% |
| Retrotransposons | 8.66\% | $3.47 \%^{1}$ | 26.5\% | n/a | almost none | 37.29\% |
| Total | 9.4\% | $5.3 \%^{2}$ | 47\% | 16\% | 1\% | 38.55\% |
| Highest copy number family | gypsy | roo ${ }^{1}$ | Felai-B | Sine200 | Mariner | LINE1 |
| References |  | ${ }^{1}[\mathrm{~S} 174]$ $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~S} 175\right]$ | [S176] | [S177] | [S178] | [S179] |

Table S18. Classification and distribution of transposable elements in Daphnia pulex. The D. pulex genome contains representatives of 10 of the known superfamilies of DNA transposons (see also [S173]), including Helitrons which are found in tandem arrays. Also, D. pulex has the highest number of families of Copia elements of which we are aware described to date (44) compared with other arthropod genomes (see also [S172]). In addition, 15 families of DIRS elements were found in this study, a group previously annotated mainly in fish genomes which have not been found in other arthropod genomes (except Tribolium castaneum). Copy number estimates are based on RepeatMasker [http://www.repeatmasker.org] output (masked regions $>50 \mathrm{bp}$ in length, $>70 \%$ similarity, and $>20 \%$ of the length of the query).

Class Subclass \begin{tabular}{c}

Superfamily of families Copy number | Proportion of |
| :---: |
| genome (\%) |

\end{tabular}

| DNA Transposons | TIRs | CACTA | 10 | 109 | 0.0536 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hAT | 6 | 33 | 0.0180 |
|  |  | Merlin | 1 | 26 | 0.0160 |
|  |  | Mutator | 10 | 195 | 0.0657 |
|  |  | P element | 9 | 70 | 0.0411 |
|  |  | PIF | 2 | 15 | 0.0061 |
|  |  | TTAA | 3 | 685 | 0.2321 |
|  |  | Tc1/mariner | 7 | 217 | 0.0676 |
|  |  | SUBTOTAL | 48 | 1,350 | 0.5003 |
|  | Helitrons | Helitron | 4 | 573 | 0.2005 |
|  | Maverick | Maverick | 4 | 5 | 0.0038 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  | 56 | 1,928 | 0.7046 |
| Retrotransposons | LTR retrotransposons | BEL | 26 | 793 | 1.8249 |
|  |  | Copia | 44 | 600 | 1.1596 |
|  |  | DIRS | 15 | 218 | 0.2715 |
|  |  | Gypsy | 56 | 2,163 | 4.7192 |
|  |  | SUBTOTAL | 141 | 3,774 | 7.9752 |
|  | Non-LTR retrotransposons | I | 19 | 633 | 0.2163 |
|  |  | LOA | 16 | 244 | 0.0872 |
|  |  | L1 | 3 | 138 | 0.0787 |
|  |  | L2 | 27 | 593 | 0.2246 |
|  |  | NeSL | 8 | 104 | 0.0270 |
|  |  | SINEs | 5 | $404$ | 0.0520 |
|  |  | SUBTOTAL | 78 | 2,116 | 0.6858 |
| SUBTOTAL |  |  | 219 | 5,890 | 8.6610 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 275 | 7,821 | 9.3656 |

## D. Attributes of a Compact Genome

Table 19. Gene richness within a comparatively small genome. Various features of the Daphnia pulex genome compared to those of Drosophila melanogaster (relatively small arthropod genome), Apis mellifera (somewhat larger arthropod genome), Caenorhabditis elegans (small, gene-rich genome) and Mus musculus (large, gene-rich genome). Daphnia pulex values for the number of genes, gene span, intron size and intergenic size are outside the $95 \%$ confidence intervals when randomly sampling six other arthropod genomes.

|  | Daphnia | Apis | Drosophila | Caenorhabditis | Mus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Genome size in $\mathrm{Mbp}^{1}$ | 200 (150) | 235 (150) | 180 (120) | 100 (100) | $3,450(2,600)$ |
| Number of genes | 31,000+ | 17,000 | 13,700 | 20,100 | 27,600 |
| Avg. span of a coding gene in bp | 2,300 | 9,900 | 4,000 | 3,000 | 32,000 |
| Avg. number of exons/gene | 6.6 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Avg. number of introns/100 $\mathrm{aa}^{2}$ | 1.24 | 1.10 | 0.55 | 1.23 | 1.49 |
| Avg. exon size in bp ${ }^{3}$ | 210 | 240 | 410 | 200 | 280 |
| Avg. UTR size in bp ${ }^{4}$ | 370 | 340 | 800 | 260 | NA |
| Avg. intron size in $\mathrm{bp}^{5}$ | 170 | 770 | 660 | 290 | 2,800 |
| Proportion of long introns ${ }^{6}$ | 10\% | 36\% | 27\% | 33\% | 85\% |
| Avg. intergenic size in bp | 4,000 | 21,600 | 5,400 | 2,400 | 78,000 |
| Total fraction TE ${ }^{\prime}$ | 8.8\% | 1\% | 5.3\% | NA | 38.5\% |
| Number of STRs ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | 65,211 | 188,101 | 58,808 | 13,617 | 1,562,965 |
| Avg. STR length in bp | 19.2 | 23.7 | 30.2 | 26.4 | 35.7 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Numbers in parentheses indicate euchromatic genome size. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 "aa" abbreviates amino acid. Calculated from NCBI's genomes mapview data sets |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Distribution for D. melanogaster is strongly bimodal. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ UTR size is biased by counting cases where length $=0 \mathrm{bp}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ Intron size is non-normally distributed. The distributions in all species except $D$. pulex are bimodal. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ Proportion of the number of introns that is larger than average exon size. See Figure S13. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 "TE" abbreviates transposable elements. References for TE statistics are listed in Table S17. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8}$ Short Tandem Repeat (microsatellite) loci [S180]. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table S20. Species used in the study of introns. Abbreviations are used in Figure S15.

| Species | Abbreviation | Source |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Daphnia pulex | Dappu | http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Dappu1/Dappu1.home.html |
| Aedes aegypti | Aedae | http://www.vectorbase.org/ |
| Anopheles gambiae | Anoga | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ |
| Apis mellifera | Apime | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ |
| Drosophila melanogaster | Drome | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ |
| Drosophila pseudoobscura | Drops | ftp://tp.flybase.net/genomes/ |
| Nematostella vectensis | Nemve | http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Nemve1/Nemve1.home.html |
| Danio rerio | Danre | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ |
| Homo sapiens | Homsa | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ |

Table S21. Number and density (per 100 amino acids) of introns for nine species are calculated by dividing the number of introns present by the number of total amino acids (residues) in the proteins for all proteins in orthologous sets. Daphnia pulex has the greatest intron density among the arthropods, followed by Apis mellifera, for which genomic data are currently available, but a significantly lower intron density than that in vertebrates and, especially, in the only available cnidarian.

| Species | Residue | Introns | Density | Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Daphnia pulex | $1,409,089$ | 18,485 | 1.311 | 1 |
| Apis mellifera | $1,681,706$ | 18,827 | 1.119 | 2 |
| Anopheles gambiae | $1,465,363$ | 10,590 | 0.722 | 3 |
| Aedes aegypti | $1,619,969$ | 10,482 | 0.647 | 4 |
| Drosophila pseudoobscura | $1,801,498$ | 11,084 | 0.615 | 5 |
| Drosophila melanogaster | $1,846,871$ | 10,594 | 0.573 | 6 |
| Homo sapiens | $1,770,781$ | 32,535 | 1.837 | - |
| Danio rerio | $1,638,418$ | 30,674 | 1.872 | - |
| Nematostella vectensis | $1,358,638$ | 26,604 | 1.958 | - |

Table S22. Conservation of Daphnia pulex introns. A conserved intron is one whose position is shared by orthologous genes from at least two of the animal species listed in the table.

| Species | Conserved introns | Variable introns | \% conserved | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drosophila melanogaster | 1,300 | 4,652 | 21.84 | 4 |
| Drosophila pseudoobscura | 1,277 | 4,675 | 21.45 | 5 |
| Anopheles gambiae | 1,418 | 4,534 | 23.82 | 3 |
| Aedes aegypti | 1,440 | 4,512 | 24.19 | 2 |
| Apis mellifera | 2,882 | 3,070 | 48.42 | 1 |
| Homo sapiens | 3,411 | 2,541 | 57.31 | - |
| Danio rerio | 3,392 | 2,560 | 56.99 | - |
| Nematostella vectensis | 3,213 | 2,739 | 53.98 | - |

Table S23. Conservation of intron positions between Daphnia pulex and other animals. The table shows the percentage and the raw numbers (in parentheses) of shared intron positions in a set of 9 animal genomes including D. pulex for all pairs of annotated orthologous protein-coding genes (above the diagonal) and for pairs of orthologous genes confirmed with ESTs (at least one D. pulex EST per gene; below the diagonal). Abbreviations are given in Table S20.

|  | Dappu | Drome | Drops | Anoga | Aedae | Apime | Homsa | Danre | Nemve |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dappu | - | 31.93 | 31.48 | 34.47 | 34.63 | 55.54 | 47.85 | 47.26 | 43.25 |
|  |  | $(2600)$ | $(2554)$ | $(2836)$ | $(2880)$ | $(5764)$ | $(6822)$ | $(6784)$ | $(6426)$ |
| Drome | 32.61 | - | 94.99 | 58.28 | 58.94 | 38.10 | 25.00 | 24.79 | 22.01 |
|  | $(2156)$ |  | $(4134)$ | $(2604)$ | $(2686)$ | $(2522)$ | $(2624)$ | $(2626)$ | $(244)$ |
| Drops | 32.10 | 95.15 | - | 55.25 | 58.70 | 37.62 | 24.77 | 24.58 | 21.78 |
|  | $(2116)$ | $(3338)$ |  | $(2584)$ | $(2656)$ | $(2478)$ | $(2592)$ | $(2596)$ | $(2410)$ |
| Anoga | 35.50 | 58.59 | 58.41 | - | 88.77 | 40.49 | 26.75 | 26.69 | 23.88 |
|  | $(2378)$ | $(2118)$ | $(2100)$ |  | $(4120)$ | $(2714)$ | $(2830)$ | $(2850)$ | $(2670)$ |
| Aedae | 35.52 | 59.43 | 59.10 | 88.82 | - | 40.58 | 27.18 | 26.99 | 23.89 |
|  | $(2402)$ | $(2186)$ | $(2162)$ | $(3344)$ |  | $(2756)$ | $(2900)$ | $(2906)$ | $(2692)$ |
| Apime | 56.59 | 38.87 | 38.34 | 41.27 | 41.23 | - | 45.28 | 44.76 | 40.26 |
|  | $(4768)$ | $(2076)$ | $(2040)$ | $(2240)$ | $(2264)$ |  | $(5764)$ | $(5742)$ | $(5368)$ |
| Homsa | 48.65 | 25.41 | 25.09 | 27.32 | 27.68 | 45.62 | - | 94.88 | 73.35 |
|  | $(5628)$ | $(2156)$ | $(2124)$ | $(2342)$ | $(2390)$ | $(4698)$ |  | $(15850)$ | $(12622)$ |
| Danre | 48.26 | 25.25 | 24.96 | 27.31 | 27.50 | 45.29 | 95.32 | - | 72.54 |
|  | $(5628)$ | $(2166)$ | $(2136)$ | $(2366)$ | $(2400)$ | $(4706)$ | $(12900)$ |  | $(12554)$ |
| Nemve | 44.42 | 22.51 | 22.25 | 24.51 | 24.56 | 41.09 | 73.55 | 73.10 |  |
|  | $(5380)$ | $(2032)$ | $(2004)$ | $(2234)$ | $(2254)$ | $(4454)$ | $(10284)$ | $(10290)$ |  |

Table S24. Maximum Likelihood reconstruction of intron gain and loss events in arthropods and three other metazoans.

| Node | No. introns | No. losses | No. gains | Gain/loss ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metazoa | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Coelomata | 8,162 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Arthropoda | 5,163 | 3,666 | 667 | 0.18 |
| Insecta | 4,396 | 767 | 0 | 0 |
| Vertebrata | 8,367 | 586 | 791 | 1.35 |
| Diptera | 2,918 | 2,033 | 555 | 0.27 |
| Drosophilidae | 2,207 | 997 | 286 | 0.29 |
| Culicidae | 2,408 | 714 | 204 | 0.29 |
| Daphnia pulex | 5,952 | 1,047 | 1,836 | 1.75 |
| Drosophila melanogaster | 2,192 | 59 | 44 | 0.75 |
| Drosophila pseudoobscura | 2,160 | 83 | 36 | 0.43 |
| Anopheles gambiae | 2,276 | 208 | 76 | 0.37 |
| Aedes aegypti | 2,365 | 153 | 111 | 0.73 |
| Apis mellifera | 4,427 | 874 | 905 | 1.04 |
| Homo sapiens | 8,304 | 192 | 129 | 0.67 |
| Danio rerio | 8,402 | 257 | 292 | 1.14 |
| Nematostella vectensis | 8,905 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## E. Origin and Preservation of Daphnia pulex Genes

Table S25. Similarity of Daphnia pulex genes and 12 other genome-sequenced arthropods to human and other model eukaryote reference proteins. Reference proteins are all UniProtSwissProt curated entries of 6 model species, Arabidopsis thaliana, Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila melanogaster, Homo sapiens, Mus musculus and Saccharomyces cerevisiae, accessed on 2010 January from www.uniprot.org. Arthropod proteome sets are current as of December 2009 [S54]. BlastP of SwissProt reference proteins to arthropod proteins is used with cutoff at evalue $=1 e^{-5}$. Results are summarized to indicate closest arthropod matches to reference proteins in 4 ways: A. Counts of closest matching proteins. B. Alignment to reference proteins (average and sum of aminos) C. Percent of reference proteins found (of any found genes) D. Summary from other orthology assessments. Daphnia pulex has best matches and longest alignments to all non-arthropod gene sets, and Tribolium castaneum has the longest of the insects. Daphnia pulex has significantly greater best matches to proteins than Tribolium castaneum ( $\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{p}$-value $<1 \mathrm{e}^{-15}$ using Chi-square). Daphnia pulex has statistically longer alignments than Tribolium castaneum to each reference species, whether non-matched genes are included or the subset where both species have reference gene matches. The Wilcoxon rank order test for paired ortholog genes measures this, with $p$-value $<1 e^{-3}$ for human genes, and $p$ value $<1 e^{-5}$ for the other non-arthropod models (B). Similarly $1 \%$ more human and $1 \%$ to $5 \%$ more non-arthropod genes are found in Daphnia pulex than Tribolium castaneum or others ( $\mathbf{C}$, p -value $<1 \mathrm{e}^{-15}$ ). Related studies have compared arthropod genes to reference proteins with similar results (D). Using phylogenetic orthology methods with alignment and tree construction, Phylomedb [S181] and PHiGs [S45] both find Daphnia > Tribolium > other insects for alignment to human genes. Ixodes scapularis genes have a high proportion of best matches (A), but are poorer overall matches (B,C). Ixodes scapularis proteins are shorter than expected and missing many expected orthologs, possibly an artifact of a fragmented genome assembly.

## A. Counts of best match to reference proteins

| Arthropod | Arabidopsis | Caenorhabditis | Drosophila | Homo | Mus | Saccharomyces |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daphnia pulex | $1,004^{*}$ | $573^{*}$ | 0 | $3,286^{*}$ | $2,849^{*}$ | $714^{*}$ |
| Ixodes scapularis | 447 | 279 | 0 | 2,465 | 2,180 | 322 |
| Tribolium castaneum | 524 | 283 | 8 | 1,969 | 1,707 | 403 |
| Apis mellifera | 482 | 235 | 2 | 1,724 | 1,486 | 318 |
| Nasonia vitripennis | 506 | 249 | 7 | 1,606 | 1,412 | 374 |
| Pediculus humanus | 410 | 204 | 0 | 1,593 | 1,352 | 282 |
| Acyrthosiphon pisum | 496 | 166 | 2 | 1,286 | 763 | 266 |
| Aedes aegypti | 291 | 122 | 1 | 696 | 560 | 202 |
| Anopheles gambiae | 282 | 135 | 0 | 622 | 550 | 195 |
| Drosophila melanogaster | 330 | 134 | 2925 | 563 | 463 | 220 |
| D. mojavensis | 350 | 137 | 30 | 514 | 469 | 193 |
| Culex quinquefasciatus | 253 | 103 | 3 | 410 | 368 | 156 |
| D. pseudoobscura | 243 | 104 | 54 | 383 | 314 | 171 |
| Reference | Arabidopsis | Caenorhabditis | Drosophila | Homo | Mus | Saccharomyces |
| Ref_found | 5,029 | 2,492 | 3,035 | 1,5345 | 1,3004 | 3,575 |
| Ref_input | 8,823 | 3,278 | 3,052 | 2,0276 | 1,6214 | 6,912 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * p-value $<1 e^{-15}$ for Daphnia pulex vs Tribolium castaneum |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## B. Alignment to reference proteins, average aligned amino acids / protein.

| Arthropod | Arabidopsis | Caenorhabditis | Drosophila | Homo | Mus | Saccharomyces | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daphnia pulex | $130^{* *}$ | $186^{* *}$ | 216 | $188^{*}$ | $191^{* *}$ | $149^{* *}$ | $169^{* *}$ |
| Tribolium castaneum | 126 | 181 | 250 | 187 | 188 | 147 | 166 |
| Nasonia vitripennis | 125 | 179 | 239 | 183 | 185 | 145 | 163 |
| Apis mellifera | 123 | 178 | 239 | 184 | 186 | 141 | 162 |
| Pediculus humanus | 123 | 177 | 231 | 184 | 185 | 139 | 162 |
| Drosophila melanogaster | 126 | 181 | 586 | 178 | 180 | 141 | 161 |
| Drosophila mojavensis | 125 | 177 | 427 | 175 | 177 | 142 | 159 |
| Anopheles gambiae | 123 | 178 | 278 | 177 | 179 | 139 | 159 |
| Aedes aegypti | 123 | 176 | 271 | 174 | 176 | 140 | 158 |
| Drosophila pseudoobscura | 124 | 175 | 454 | 173 | 175 | 140 | 157 |
| Acyrthosiphon pisum | 124 | 172 | 216 | 172 | 172 | 139 | 156 |
| Culex quinquefasciatus | 119 | 168 | 254 | 165 | 167 | 133 | 150 |
| Ixodes scapularis | 109 | 154 | 165 | 157 | 161 | 117 | 140 |
| Mean | 123 | 176 | 294 | 177 | 179 | 139 | 159 |

** p -value $<1 \mathrm{e}^{-5}$; * p -value $<1 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ for Daphnia pulex vs Tribolium castaneum. Mean column excludes Drosophila melanogaster

## C. Percent of reference proteins found (blastp cut-off $1 \mathbf{e}^{-5}$ )

| Arthropod | Arabidopsis | Caenorhabditis | Drosophila | Homo | Mus | Saccharomyces | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daphnia pulex | 88.1* | 94.9* | 83.9 | 90.4* | 91.5* | 90.4* | 90.3* |
| Tribolium | 85.7 | 93.3 | 90.0 | 89.7 | 90.7 | 85.9 | 89.5 |
| castaneum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nasonia vitripennis | 85.7 | 93.0 | 88.7 | 88.9 | 89.8 | 86.0 | 88.8 |
| Apis mellifera | 83.5 | 92.7 | 88.8 | 88.9 | 90.1 | 86.0 | 88.6 |
| Drosophila melanogaster | 86.9 | 93.1 | 99.2 | 87.6 | 88.7 | 84.7 | 88.7 |
| Anopheles gambiae | 85.1 | 92.2 | 90.7 | 87.7 | 88.8 | 83.9 | 87.9 |
| Drosophila | 85.8 | 92.2 | 97.5 | 86.8 | 87.9 | 84.5 | 87.9 |
| pseudoobscura |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aedes aegypti | 84.0 | 92.2 | 90.8 | 87.6 | 88.7 | 84.3 | 87.7 |
| Pediculus humanus | 81.7 | 92.3 | 86.4 | 89.0 | 89.8 | 83.7 | 87.9 |
| Drosophila | 85.0 | 92.1 | 96.6 | 86.8 | 87.9 | 84.6 | 87.8 |
| mojavensis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acyrthosiphon pisum | 85.3 | 91.2 | 84.9 | 86.2 | 87.2 | 83.5 | 86.4 |
| Culex | 83.5 | 91.3 | 90.4 | 86.9 | 88.0 | 82.1 | 86.9 |
| quinquefasciatus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ixodes scapularis | 80.1 | 90.5 | 79.1 | 87.7 | 88.8 | 77.8 | 85.8 |
| Mean | 84.6 | 92.4 | 89.8 | 88.0 | 89.1 | 84.4 | 87.8 |
| Ref_found | 5029 | 2492 | 3035 | 15345 | 13004 | 3575 |  |

* p-value < $1 \mathrm{e}^{-15}$ for Daphnia pulex vs Tribolium castaneum. Mean column excludes Drosophila melanogaster


## D. Other orthology assessments, best match to human genes count

Phylomedb results (Acyrthosiphon pisum analysis) are for human gene trees with all of 6 arthropod species, $\mathrm{n}=6,281$.
This set is produced only for gene families including Acyrthosiphon pisum, so only groups having all 6 arthropods are counted here. PhIGs results (s50.3, 2007 data) for human gene trees with at least 1 of 4 arthropod species, $n=14,818$, using an early Tribolium castaneum gene subset ( $\sim 1 / 2$ current).

|  | Phylomedb <br> Arthropod | Human | \% Best | Arthropod | PHiGs <br> Human |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daphnia | 2,888 | 46 | Daphnia | 9,156 | \%est |
| Tribolium | 1,324 | 21 | Tribolium | 2,623 | 18 |
| Pediculus | 1,117 | 18 | Drosophila | 1,262 | 9 |
| Acyrthosiphon | 441 | 7 | Anopheles | 2,649 | 18 |
| Drosophila | 191 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Anopheles | 320 | 5 |  |  |  |

Table S26. Gene families in Daphnia pulex with and without recognizable InterPro protein domains that have expanded relative to gene families in insects. Statistically significant differences are marked in bold for D . pulex counts $>$ insect counts with $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ based on 2,000 random permutations of exact probability. Others are groups with $2+$ Daphnia pulex genes for 11 Insect genes. iAve, iMax are average, maximum other (insect) gene counts for the group. G is log-likelihood G-score (chi-square like) of abundance differences for all species. Results indicated that 483 orthologous gene families are overly-represented in Daphnia ( $p<0.05$ ). Based on iMax scores $=0$, we count 379 (or $78 \%$ ) expanded gene families that are unique to the Daphnia lineage. To test whether Daphnia duplicated genes are significantly biased towards genes without homologs, we compared the number of duplicates in 13 other arthropod genomes. The average frequency of unique duplicates is 0.104 . The expected number of unique Daphnia duplicates is 1,503 , thus giving the predicted total of 14,486 duplicate genes for the Daphnia genome. The observed number of lineage-specific duplicated genes in the Daphnia genome $(2,326)$ is significantly greater than expected $\left(X^{2}(d f=1)=450.55, p<0.0001\right)$.

Gene families that are found to have expanded independently among insects with an aquatic larval stage (mosquitoes) are indicated ( $¥$ ). Gene sets were compared from within the genomes of 11 insects (Acyrthosiphon pisum, Pediculus humanus, Aedes aegypti, Anopheles gambiae, Culex quinquefasciatus, Apis mellifera, Nasonia vitripennis, Tribolium castaneum, Drosophila melanogaster, D. pseudoobscura and D. mojavensis), Ixodes scapularis and D. pulex. To find coexpanded gene families in the Daphnia and mosquito lineages, D. pulex, A. aegypti, A. gambiae and C. quinquefasciatus (plus I. scapularis) were removed from the calculation of the terrestrial insect species average, and then over-abundant gene groups were tabulated for these four taxa relative to terrestrial insects.

| ARP2 gene group ID | No. of species | No. of genes | Daphnia pulex gene count | iAve |  | G | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G19 | 2 | 133 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 612 | neurexin IV; src=ixodes_ISCW023368-PA |
| G24 | 1 | 123 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 570 | hypothetical protein |
| G53 | 1 | 91 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 409 |  |
| G37 | 12 | 107 | 89 | 1 | 2 | 347 | Alpha-1,3-fucosyltransferase; alpha1,3-fucosyltransferase b homologue; glycoprotein A |
| G49 | 10 | 92 | 82 | 1 | 2 | 333 | hypothetical protein; cuticle protein; cpr50cb |
| G64 | 2 | 81 | 80 | 0 | 1 | 351 | hypothetical protein |
| G67 | 2 | 80 | 79 | 0 | 1 | 346 |  |
| G78 | 1 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 329 | hypothetical protein; jmjc domain-containing histone demethylation protein; kdm4a |
| G81 | 1 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 324 |  |
| G69 | 2 | 77 | 73 | 0 | 4 | 312 | hypothetical protein; btb/poz domain-containing protein; mgc154338 protein |
| G83 | 1 | 73 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 319 |  |
| G105 | 2 | 64 | 62 | 0 | 2 | 261 |  |
| G79 | 14 | 74 | 60 | 1 | 2 | 248 | denn domain-containing protein; tubulin-specific chaperone D |
| G110 | 3 | 62 | 59 | 0 | 2 | 244 |  |
| G113 | 1 | 59 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 250 | hypothetical protein |


| G149 | 1 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 216 | hypothetical protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G121 | 2 | 58 | 47 | 1 | 11 | 195 |  |
| G180 | 1 | 47 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 192 | hypothetical protein |
| G199 | 1 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 182 | hypothetical protein |
| G200 | 1 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 182 |  |
| G232 | 1 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 159 |  |
| G233 | 1 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 159 | hypothetical protein; spz; spaetzle-like cytokine |
| G94 | 12 | 69 | 39 | 2 | 8 | 119 | pupal cuticle protein; hypothetical protein; edg78e |
| G254 | 1 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 149 | hypothetical protein |
| G268 | 1 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 145 | cathepsin I-like |
| G276 | 1 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 140 |  |
| G277 | 1 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 140 |  |
| G296 | 1 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 135 |  |
| G309 | 1 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 131 | hypothetical protein; malate dehydrogenase |
| G310 | 1 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 131 | hypothetical protein; |
| G328 | 1 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 126 |  |
| G329 | 1 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 126 |  |
| G330 | 1 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 126 | lactosylceramide; alpha-lactosylceramide |
| G379 | 1 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 117 |  |
| G380 | 1 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 117 | hypothetical protein; btb/poz domain-containing protein; mgc154338 protein |
| G406 | 1 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 112 |  |
| G97 | 5 | 67 | 29 | 3 | 22 | 137 | hypothetical protein |
| G425 | 1 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 108 | hypothetical protein |
| G426 | 1 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 108 | hypothetical protein |
| G159 | 13 | 50 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 86 | cral/trio domain-containing protein |
| G349 | 3 | 32 | 27 | 0 | 4 | 96 |  |
| G375 | 5 | 31 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 93 | brain chitinase and chia; vegfr-a splice form a; tyrosineprotein kinase |
| G481 | 1 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 98 | cytochrome p450 |
| G482 | 1 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 98 | hypothetical protein; malate dehydrogenase |
| G483 | 1 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 98 | hypothetical protein |
| G484 | 1 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 98 | hypothetical protein |
| G526 | 1 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 94 | hypothetical protein |
| G527 | 1 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 94 |  |
| G27 | 13 | 119 | 25 | 8 | 18 | 57 | glucosyl/glucuronosyl transferases; gustatory receptor; class b scavenger receptor cd36 domain |
| G404 | 6 | 30 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 83 | F-box only protein 21; src=daphnia_NCBI_GNO_116234 |
| G579 | 1 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 90 | membrane glycoprotein lig-1 |
| G580 | 1 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 90 | hypothetical protein |
| G42 | 13 | 102 | 23 | 7 | 23 | 80 | histone h3 type |
| G578 | 2 | 25 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 79 | proclotting enzyme precursor; src=ixodes_ISCW000320-PA |
| G687 | 1 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 81 | hypothetical protein |
| G689 | 1 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 81 | trypsin alpha precursor |
| G690 | 1 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 81 | hypothetical protein |
| G691 | 1 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 81 | hypothetical protein |
| G686 | 2 | 23 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 75 | ankyrin repeat protein |
| G762 | 1 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 76 | hypothetical protein |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131 |


| G763 | 1 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 76 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G765 | 1 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 76 | hypothetical protein |
| G766 | 1 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 76 |  |
| G139¥ | 13 | 54 | 21 | 3 | 6 | 47 | class a rhodopsin-like g-protein coupled receptor gprop1 |
| G842 | 1 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 72 | hypothetical protein |
| G843 | 1 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 72 |  |
| G844 | 1 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 72 | hypothetical protein |
| G845 | 1 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 72 |  |
| G846 | 1 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 72 | bestrophin; bestrophin-2 |
| G148 | 14 | 51 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 51 | carbonic anhydrase; wd and tetratricopeptide repeats protein; cytoplasmic carbonic anhydrase |
| G227¥ | 14 | 40 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 58 | conserved hypothetical protein; src=ixodes_ISCW009102-PA |
| G345 | 11 | 32 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 54 | secreted protein; hypothetical protein |
| G760 | 3 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 65 | transcriptional regulator ycf27 |
| G988 | 1 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 68 | hypothetical protein |
| G989 | 1 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 68 |  |
| G990 | 1 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 68 |  |
| G991 | 1 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 68 | heat shock protein; inositol receptor |
| G992 | 1 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 68 | clip-domain serine protease; lumbrokinase-31 precursor; clipdomain serine protease subfamily $D$ |
| G269 | 14 | 36 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 55 | chorion peroxidase precursor; peroxidase precursor; chorion peroxidase precursor ec contains chorion peroxidase light chain |
| G420 | 4 | 29 | 19 | 1 | 8 | 68 | hypothetical protein; transposase; centromere protein B |
| G761 | 4 | 22 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 60 | hypothetical protein; discoidin domain receptor; discoidin domain-containing receptor 2 precursor |
| G1166 | 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 63 | hypothetical protein |
| G1167 | 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 63 |  |
| G1168 | 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 63 | hypothetical protein |
| G1170 | 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 63 | hypothetical protein |
| G1172 | 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 63 | hypothetical protein |
| G1173 | 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 63 | hypothetical protein; jmjc domain-containing histone demethylation protein; kdm4a |
| G1163 | 2 | 19 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 58 | hypothetical protein; solute carrier family member a3; protein star |
| G1169 | 2 | 19 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 58 | conserved hypothetical protein; src=ixodes_ISCW020111-PA |
| G1441 | 1 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 59 |  |
| G1442 | 1 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 59 | hypothetical protein |
| G1443 | 1 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 59 | hypothetical protein |
| G1444 | 1 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 59 | hypothetical protein |
| G1445 | 1 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 59 | hypothetical protein |
| G107 | 14 | 61 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 52 | protease m 1 zinc metalloprotease; alanyl aminopeptidase; aminopeptidase $n$ precursor |
| G178 | 8 | 47 | 17 | 2 | 17 | 77 | transposase; src=ixodes_ISCW007041-PA |
| G427 | 4 | 29 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 66 | Gly d 3; src=daphnia_NCBI_GNO_158563 |
| G1845 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 |  |
| G1846 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 | hypothetical protein |
| G1849 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 |  |
| G1850 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 | hypothetical protein |


| G1851 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 | hypothetical protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1852 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 | lactosylceramide |
| G1853 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 |  |
| G1854 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 |  |
| G1855 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 | hypothetical protein; solute carrier family member a3; protein star |
| G1856 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 | brain chitinase and chia; vegfr-a splice form a; tyrosineprotein kinase |
| G1857 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 | hypothetical protein |
| G73 | 14 | 73 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 27 | glucose dehydrogenase precursor |
| G164¥ | 14 | 47 | 16 | 2 | 5 | 36 | high choriolytic enzyme; zinc metalloproteinase nas-15 precursor; meprin a subunit beta |
| G759 | 4 | 22 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 49 | hypothetical protein; jmjc domain-containing histone demethylation protein; kdm4a |
| G1161 | 3 | 19 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 49 | hypothetical protein |
| G1831 | 2 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 50 | hypothetical protein |
| G1838 | 2 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 50 | lactosylceramide |
| G1847 | 2 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 50 | conserved hypothetical protein; src=ixodes_ISCW020342-PA |
| G2462 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 51 | hypothetical protein |
| G2463 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 51 | hypothetical protein |
| G2464 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 51 |  |
| G2465 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 51 | hypothetical protein |
| G2466 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 51 | di-domain hemoglobin precursor |
| G74 | 12 | 74 | 15 | 5 | 13 | 45 | serine-type enodpeptidase; src=aedes_AAEL003060-PA |
| G193 | 14 | 43 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 30 | abc transporter; atp-binding cassette sub-family a member; nod factor export atp-binding protein I |
| G207 | 14 | 43 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 30 | dna-directed rna polymerase II largest subunit |
| G306 | 13 | 34 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 33 | gastric triacylglycerol lipase precursor; lipase 1 precursor; lysosomal acid lipase |
| G510 | 11 | 26 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 36 | hypothetical protein |
| G2461 | 2 | 16 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 | hypothetical protein |
| G3483 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 | hypothetical protein |
| G3484 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 | hypothetical protein |
| G3485 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 | hypothetical protein |
| G3486 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 | hypothetical protein |
| G3487 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 |  |
| G3488 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 | hypothetical protein; transposase; centromere protein B |
| G3489 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 |  |
| G3490 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 | hypothetical protein |
| G3491 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 | clip-domain serine protease; lumbrokinase-31 precursor; clipdomain serine protease subfamily D |
| G3493 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 46 | glucosyl/glucuronosyl transferases; gustatory receptor; class b scavenger receptor cd36 domain |
| G688 | 3 | 23 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 48 | conserved hypothetical protein; src=ixodes_ISCW004589-PA |
| G1836 | 4 | 17 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 40 | conserved hypothetical protein; src=culex_CPIJ016633 |
| G3469 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 42 |  |
| G3476 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 42 | hypothetical protein |
| G3492 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 42 | Hypothetical protein |
| G4919 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 42 | hypothetical protein |


| G4920 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G4921 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 42 | r2d2; tar rna binding protein |
| G4922 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 42 | hypothetical protein |
| G4923 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 42 |  |
| G4924 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 42 | tudor domain-containing protein |
| G4925 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 42 | hypothetical protein |
| G4926 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 42 | hypothetical protein |
| G4927 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 42 | hypothetical protein |
| G187 | 14 | 45 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 22 | bumetanide-sensitive na-k-cl cotransport protein |
| G219 | 13 | 41 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 25 | hypothetical protein; cytochrome p450 cyp15a1; cyp304a1 |
| G229¥ | 14 | 32 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 27 | tribolium castaneum heat shock protein |
| G324 | 13 | 33 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 25 | lactosylceramide; alpha-lactosylceramide |
| G343 | 12 | 32 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 28 | pancreatic triacylglycerol lipase; ves g 1 allergen precursor pancreatic lipase related protein 1 |
| G441 | 13 | 27 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 27 | class b secretin-like g-protein coupled receptor gprmth4; class b secretin-like g-protein coupled receptor gprmth1; class b secretin-like g-protein coupled receptor gprmth3 |
| G2457 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 38 | abc transporter; atp-binding cassette sub-family a member; nod factor export atp-binding protein I |
| G5963 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5964 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5965 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5966 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5967 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 |  |
| G5968 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5969 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5970 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 |  |
| G5971 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5972 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5973 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5974 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5975 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5976 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G5977 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 38 | hypothetical protein |
| G212 | 13 | 40 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 22 | cral/trio domain-containing protein |
| G299 | 13 | 33 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 22 | amp dependent coa ligase; acyl-coa synthetase |
| G376 | 5 | 31 | 12 | 2 | 15 | 62 | hypothetical protein; mariner transposase; set domain and marinertransposase fusion |
| G3465 | 3 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 34 | polyprotein; hypothetical protein; hypothetical protein k02a2.6 |
| G3470 | 2 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 36 | hypothetical protein |
| G5959 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 34 | hypothetical protein |
| G5962 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 34 | c-type lectin ctl - mannose binding.; serine protease; c-type lectin ctl - mannose binding. transcript A |
| G6719 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein; |
| G6720 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | denn domain-containing protein; tubulin-specific chaperone D |
| G6721 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | ubiquitin-protein e3 ligase; hypothetical protein |
| G6722 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein |


| G6723 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G6724 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein; mariner transposase; set domain and marinertransposase fusion |
| G6725 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein |
| G6727 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 |  |
| G6728 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein |
| G6729 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | denn domain-containing protein; tubulin-specific chaperone D |
| G6730 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 |  |
| G6731 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 |  |
| G6732 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein |
| G6734 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 |  |
| G6735 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein |
| G6736 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 |  |
| G6737 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 |  |
| G6738 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein |
| G6739 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 |  |
| G6740 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | hypothetical protein |
| G6741 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 34 | rna-binding protein precursor; hypothetical protein; rnabinding protein |
| G192 | 13 | 45 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 19 | zinc carboxypeptidase; zinc carboxypeptidase a; zinc carboxypeptidase a 1 precursor |
| G246 | 14 | 36 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 19 | atp-binding cassette sub-family g member; abc transporter |
| G671 | 13 | 23 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 21 | queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase; src=culex_CPIJ003941 |
| G1848 | 2 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 38 | sulfotransferase sult; bile salt sulfotransferase; hypothetical protein |
| G4910 | 3 | 14 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 30 | hypothetical protein |
| G6718 | 2 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 30 | hypothetical protein |
| G7291 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| G7292 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| G7293 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| G7294 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| G7295 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 | hypothetical protein |
| G7296 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 | hypothetical protein |
| G7297 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 | hypothetical protein |
| G7298 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| G7299 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 | hypothetical protein |
| G7300 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 | hypothetical protein |
| G7302 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 | hypothetical protein |
| G7303 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| G7304 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 | hypothetical protein |
| G7305 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 | hypothetical protein |
| G7306 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 31 | hypothetical protein |
| G301¥ | 12 | 28 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 19 | receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase alpha precursor hypothetical protein; roundabout |
| G764 | 2 | 22 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 51 | hypothetical protein |
| G1223 | 7 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 24 | hypothetical protein |
| G6712 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 26 | serine/threonine-protein kinase mph1 |


| G7267 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G7752 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7753 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7754 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 |  |
| G7755 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7756 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7757 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | sulfate transporter |
| G7758 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7759 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7760 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7761 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7762 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7763 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7764 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7765 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7766 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7767 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7768 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 |  |
| G7769 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7771 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7772 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 |  |
| G7774 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | brain chitinase and chia; vegfr-a splice form a; tyrosineprotein kinase |
| G7775 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7776 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G7777 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27 | hypothetical protein |
| G271 | 13 | 32 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 21 | glutathione s-transferase; glutathione s-transferase ec classsigma |
| G600 | 11 | 19 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 17 | timeless protein |
| G660 | 12 | 22 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 16 | Idl receptor ligand-binding repeat bearing protein; hypothetical protein; pro-epidermal growth factor |
| G969 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 16 | athalia rosae coleseed sawfly/abc membrane transporter |
| G1440 | 7 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 22 | peritrophic membrane chitin binding protein |
| G7773 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 23 | neutral endopeptidase |
| G8296 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 |  |
| G8297 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8298 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 |  |
| G8299 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8300 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 |  |
| G8301 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8302 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8303 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8304 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8305 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 |  |
| G8306 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8307 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8308 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 |  |
| G8309 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |


| G8310 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G8311 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8312 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8313 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | chromosome 7 scaf14703 |
| G8314 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8315 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8316 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | c-type lectin ctl - mannose binding.; serine protease; c-type lectin ctl - mannose binding. transcript A |
| G8317 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8318 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8319 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8320 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8321 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8322 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8323 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 |  |
| G8324 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8325 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8326 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8327 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8328 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | chromosome 7 scaf14703 |
| G8329 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8330 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8331 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8333 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein; cuticular protein; structural constituent of cuticle |
| G8334 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8335 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8336 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G8337 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | hypothetical protein |
| G166 | 13 | 49 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 21 | cytochrome p450; corpora allata cytochrome p450; cyp4ac3 |
| G302 | 14 | 32 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 11 | acyl-coa-binding domain-containing protein; hypothetical protein; acyl-coa-binding protein |
| G604 | 14 | 24 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 17 | transcription elongation factor spt6 |
| G1422 | 6 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 25 | hypothetical protein; transposase; centromere protein B |
| G1902 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 17 | para-nitrobenzyl esterase |
| G6733 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 19 | hypothetical protein |
| G7698 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 20 |  |
| G8215 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 19 | hypothetical protein; transposase; centromere protein B |
| G8236 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 19 | hypothetical protein |
| G8275 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 19 |  |
| G8287 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 19 | hypothetical protein |
| G8295 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 19 |  |
| G8332 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8873 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8874 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8875 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8876 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8877 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |


| G8878 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G8879 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8880 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |
| G8881 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8882 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |
| G8883 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8884 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein; vitellogenin-1 precursor; hemelipoglycoprotein precursor |
| G8885 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8886 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 days neonate male adipose cdna |
| G8887 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8888 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8890 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |
| G8891 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8892 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8893 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |
| G8894 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8897 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8898 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8899 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8901 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |
| G8902 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G8903 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | sugar transporter; gastric caeca sugar transporter |
| G8904 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |
| G8905 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G650 | 14 | 23 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 13 | beta-1,4-n-acetylgalactosaminyl transferase bre-4; beta-1,4galactosyltransferase |
| G736 | 14 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 14 | hypothetical protein |
| G779 | 14 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 13 | regulator of g protein signaling |
| G825 | 14 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 13 | zinc carboxypeptidase; zinc carboxypeptidase a; zinc carboxypeptidase a 1 precursor ec |
| G1339 | 12 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 11 | low-density lipoprotein receptor Idl |
| G2467 | 4 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 24 | lactosylceramide; alpha-lactosylceramide |
| G7261 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 16 | hypothetical protein LOC100163706; src=aphid_ncbi_hmm240084 |
| G7877 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 16 | Lactosylceramide |
| G8808 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G8850 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G8853 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G8889 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein; src=ixodes_ISCW013637-PA |
| G9537 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9538 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9539 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9541 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | chitinase |
| G9542 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | vacuolar protein sorting |
| G9543 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9544 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9545 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |


| G9546 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G9548 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9549 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | bms1l protein |
| G9550 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9551 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9552 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9553 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9554 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9555 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9556 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9557 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9558 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9559 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9560 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9561 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9562 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9563 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9564 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9565 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9566 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9567 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9568 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9569 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9570 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9571 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9572 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9573 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9574 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | abc transporter; atp-binding cassette sub-family a member; nod factor export atp-binding protein I |
| G9575 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9576 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9577 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9578 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9579 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9580 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9581 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9582 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9583 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9584 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9585 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9586 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9587 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9588 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | glucosyl/glucuronosyl transferases; gustatory receptor; class b scavenger receptor cd36 domain |
| G9589 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9590 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9591 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | peroxinectin precursor |
| G9593 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| G9595 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G9596 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9598 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9599 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9600 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9601 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |
| G9602 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G9605 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 16 | hypothetical protein |
| G394¥ | 14 | 28 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 9 | scp-like extracellular protein; cysteine-rich venom protein; cysteine-rich secretory protein-2 |
| G901 | 14 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 10 | prolyl alpha-1 subunit precursor |
| G951 | 14 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 10 | dna topoisomerase II |
| G1086 | 11 | 17 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 10 | hypothetical protein |
| G1434 | 5 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 22 | nfx1-type zinc finger-containing protein 1; nfx1-type zinc finger-containing protein; splicing endonuclease positive effector sen1 |
| G5951 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 20 | hypothetical protein |
| G10425 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10426 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10427 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10428 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10429 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10430 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10432 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10433 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |
| G10434 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |
| G10435 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10437 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10438 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10439 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |
| G10440 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | atp-dependent rna helicase kurz |
| G10441 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10442 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10443 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10444 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10445 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10446 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10447 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |
| G10448 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10449 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10450 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10451 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |
| G10452 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10453 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10454 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10455 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10456 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10458 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |


| G10460 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G10461 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10462 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10463 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10464 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |
| G10466 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10467 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10468 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10469 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10470 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |
| G10471 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10472 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10474 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | mannan endo-1 |
| G10476 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10477 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10478 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10479 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10480 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein; cuticle protein; cpr50cb |
| G10481 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | replication protein a; hypothetical protein |
| G10482 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10483 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |
| G10484 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10485 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10486 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10487 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10488 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10489 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10490 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |
| G10491 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein; organic solute transporter alpha |
| G10492 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10494 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10496 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G10497 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | hypothetical protein |
| G388 | 14 | 29 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | bombesin receptor subtype-3 |
| G457 | 14 | 23 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 7 | delta-9 desaturase 1; fatty acid desaturase; acyl-coa delta-9 desaturase |
| G588 | 14 | 24 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7 | transcriptional regulator atrx x-linked helicase ii; dna repair and recombination protein rad54b; lymphoid specific helicase |
| G589 | 14 | 23 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 7 | n -ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein |
| G772 | 13 | 21 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | three prime repair exonuclease |
| G1054 | 13 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | dehydrogenase/reductase sdr family member |
| G1056 | 14 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | hypothetical protein; otopetrin |
| G1112 | 13 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | hypothetical protein |
| G1351 | 14 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | pre-mrna cleavage complex ii protein clp1 |
| G1402 | 13 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | nucleoside-diphosphate kinase nbr-a |
| G208 | 14 | 34 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | myosin-rhogap protein; myosin heavy chain; glycyl-trna synthetase |
| G304 | 14 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 | annexin x ; annexin ix; anxb11 |


| G336 | 14 | 27 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 | hypothetical protein; phosphatidylinositol transfer protein sec14; cral/trio domain-containing protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G391 | 14 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | chloride channel protein |
| G584¥ | 13 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 | amp dependent coa ligase; acyl-coa synthetase |
| G739 | 13 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | carbohydrate sulfotransferase; hypothetical protein |
| G780 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | sodium/hydrogen exchanger 3 nhe3 |
| G916 | 13 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | calcyphosine/tpp |
| G954 | 13 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | soluble guanylate cyclase; soluble guanylyl cyclase beta subunit |
| G955 | 14 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | valacyclovir hydrolase; serine hydrolase-like |
| G1002 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | gamma-glutamyl hydrolase precursor |
| G1032 | 14 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | peroxisomal isomerase |
| G1198 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase domain-containing protein |
| G1205 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | deoxythymidylate kinase thymidylate kinase |
| G1209 | 14 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | chromosome region maintenance protein |
| G1225 | 14 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase/polyprenyl synthetase |
| G1254 | 13 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | hypothetical protein |
| G1261 | 14 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | sa |
| G1272 | 14 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | hypothetical protein |
| G1277 | 14 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | delta-1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase |
| G1315 | 14 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | phosphatidate phosphatase |
| G1403 | 14 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | short-chain dehydrogenase |
| G1421 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | ribonuclease h1; ribonuclease H |
| G1482 | 14 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | hypothetical protein |
| G1553 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | hypothetical protein |
| G1642 | 14 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | hypothetical protein |
| G1745 | 14 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | integrator complex subunit |
| G2044 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | clip-domain serine protease; lumbrokinase-31 precursor; clipdomain serine protease subfamily $D$ |
| G2116 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | hypothetical protein |
| G2210 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | glucosyl/glucuronosyl transferases; gustatory receptor; class b scavenger receptor cd36 domain |
| G2334 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | mrna-capping-enzyme; nadh-ubiquinone oxidoreductase flavoprotein 1 ndufv1 |
| G2582 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | hypothetical protein |
| G2848 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | hypothetical protein |
| G3076 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | hypothetical protein |
| G4690 | 11 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | sodium-dependent phosphate transporter |
| G535 | 14 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | methionine-r-sulfoxide reductase |
| G606 | 13 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | potassium voltage-gated channel protein shaw shaw2; voltage-gated potassium channel |
| G611¥ | 14 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase |
| G618 | 14 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | steroid dehydrogenase; hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase |
| G653 | 14 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | adenylsulfate kinase |
| G711¥ | 14 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | hypothetical protein |
| G728 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | possible integral membrane efflux protein efpa |
| G733 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | long chain fatty acid coa-ligase |
| G823 | 13 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 9 | hypothetical protein |


| G878 | 14 | 20 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | dual specificity protein phosphatase; jnk stimulatory phosphatase jsp1; dual-specificity protein phosphatase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G882 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase |
| G911 | 13 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | apolipoprotein d precursor; apolipoprotein D |
| G957 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | lethal2essential for life protein; proteinlethal2essential for life protein efl21; heat shock protein |
| G1017 | 14 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | ion transport peptide precursor |
| G1040 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | sodium/nucleoside cotransporter |
| G1052 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Pug |
| G1084 | 13 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | amine oxidase; peroxisomal n1-acetyl-spermine/spermidine oxidase; peroxisomal n1-acetyl-spermine/spermidine oxidase precursor |
| G1095 | 14 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | plasma alpha-l-fucosidase precursor |
| G1129 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | sodium/calcium exchanger |
| G1208 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | hypothetical protein |
| G1213 | 14 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | integrin alpha-ps; integrin alpha2 precursor position-specific antigen 2 alpha subunit protein inflated; integrin alpha1 precursor |
| G1235 | 13 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | hypothetical protein |
| G1265 | 12 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | chitooligosaccharidolytic beta-n-acetylglucosaminidase precursor; beta-hexosaminidase subunit beta precursor n-acetyl-beta-glucosaminidase subunit beta beta-nacetylhexosaminidase subunit beta hexosaminidase subunit b; chitooligosaccharidolytic beta-n-acetylglucosaminidase |
| G1276 | 13 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | class b scavenger receptor cd36 domain. nb: previously described as scrb2; class b scavenger receptor cd36 domain |
| G1302 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | hypothetical protein |
| G1319 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | apis mellifera amt-2-like protein ,mrna; ammonium transporter iss; amt-1-like protein |
| G1373 | 14 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | fk506 binding protein; fk506-binding protein; fk506 binding protein fkbp |
| G1377 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | U4/U6.U5 tri-snRNP-associated protein; src=pediculus_PHUM534220-PA |
| G1387 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | intraflagellar transport homolog |
| G1395 | 13 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | I-lactate dehydrogenase |
| G1396 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | n6-adenosine-methyltransferase kda subunit |
| G1407 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4-aminobutyrate aminotransferase |
| G1410 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | serine/threonine-protein kinase polo; hypothetical protein |
| G1473 | 12 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | leucine zipper-ef-hand-containing transmembrane protein |
| G1538 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | b; solute carrier family glycerol-3-phosphate transporter; dna repair and recombination protein rad54 |
| G1605 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | class a rhodopsin-like g-protein coupled receptor gprdop2 |
| G1617 | 14 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Tw |
| G1626 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 1 |
| G1653 | 14 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | dual oxidase: peroxidase and nadph-oxidase domains |
| G1661 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | nicotinamide mononucleotide adenylyltransferase |
| G1693 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | long-chain-fatty-acid-coa ligase |
| G1736 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | importin beta-4 |
| G1795 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | wiskott-aldrich syndrome gene-like protein |
| G1799 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | ancient domain protein 2 cyclin m2 |


| G1936 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 40s ribosomal protein s9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1946 | 12 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | kinesin-like protein kif1b; kinesin heavy chain; hypothetical protein |
| G1975 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | phosphatidylinositol catalytic subunit alpha |
| G2006 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | hypothetical protein |
| G2025 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | wd repeat protein |
| G2035 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | retina aberrant in pattern; wd repeat-containing protein slp1 |
| G2050 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | gtp-binding protein di-ras2 |
| G2052 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | hypothetical protein |
| G2090 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | ufm1-conjugating enzyme 1 ubiquitin-fold modifierconjugating enzyme 1 |
| G2107 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | alcohol dehydrogenase class |
| G2125 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | endoribonuclease dcr-1; dicer-1 |
| G2132 | 13 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | integrator complex subunit 7 int7; |
| G2142 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | branchiostoma peroxiredoxin v protein |
| G2143 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | hypothetical protein |
| G2184 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5-aminolevulinic acid synthase |
| G2211 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | leucine-rich repeat serine/threonine-protein kinase |
| G2249 | 12 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | adenylate cyclase |
| G2264 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | tetratricopeptide repeat protein; o-linked $n$-acetylglucosamine transferase; sxc |
| G2361 | 11 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | phospholipid-transporting atpase |
| G2405 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1-acyl-glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase |
| G2618 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | nadph oxidase |
| G2667 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | hypothetical protein |
| G2729 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | dna-directed rna polymerase iii subunit F |
| G2782 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | myo inositol monophosphatase |
| G2896 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | beta-1,3-galactosyltransferase |
| G3077 | 12 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | dna-directed rna polymerase iii subunit G |
| G3141 | 12 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | short-chain dehydrogenase |
| G3720 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | hypothetical protein |
| G3798 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | xaao aminopeptidase |
| G3931 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | name=CG6865-PA; parent=FBgn0036817; src=drosmel_CG6865-PA |
| G4720 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | karyopherin importin alpha |

Table S27. Species used in the study of gene family expansions history (see Figure 1C).

| Species Name | Source | File Name / Version | \# of (predicted) genes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Daphnia pulex | JGI | Daphnia_FrozenGeneCatalog_2007_07_03.aa.fasta | 30,940 |
| Drosophila pseudoobscura FlyBase | dpse-all-translation-r2.3.fasta | 16,158 |  |
| Drosophila melanogaster | Ref 5 | http://insects.eugenes.org/arthropods/data/ | 13,738 |
| Apis mellifera | NCBI Gnomon | http://insects.eugenes.org/arthropods/data/ | 17,182 |
| Anopheles gambiae | Ensembl r50 | Anopheles_gambiae.AgamP3.50.pep.all.fa * | 12,457 |
| Aedes aegypti | Ensembl r50 | Aedes_aegypti.AaegL1.50.pep.all.fa * | 15,419 |
| Nematostella vectensis | JGI | proteins.Nemve1FilteredModels1.fasta | 27,273 |
| Homo sapiens | Ensembl r50 | Homo_sapiens.NCBI36.50.pep.all.fa * | 21,785 |
| Danio rerio | Ensembl r50 | Danio_rerio.ZFISH7.50.pep.all.fa * | 21,322 |
| Caenorhabditis elegans | Ensembl r50 | Caenorhabditis_elegans.WS190.50.pep.all.fa * | 20,176 |
| Tribolium castaneum | Beetlebase rel3 http://insects.eugenes.org/arthropods/data/ | 16,422 |  |

Table S28. EvolMap reconstruction of gene gain and loss events in arthropods and four other metazoans. Ancestor name = the common ancestor of the species for a given row. Sym-bets $=$ the number of symmetrical best alignments detected between the two descendants of the given node, as specified by the species phylogeny (Figure 1C). Present loci $=$ the estimated number of genes present at the specified node, by accounting for gene families that were detected in earlier ancestors. Loss $=$ the number of gene loss events estimated along the specified branch. Paralogs = the estimated number of duplication events along the branch, for genes having considerable sequence similarity with other members of the gene family within the same genome. Diverged paralogs $=$ the number of genes that have duplicated and diverged more than the orthologous genes, and thus are assumed to have evolved under relaxed or positive selection after the gene duplication event. Ambiguous gains $=$ the estimated number of genes originating at the specified branch that have no significant similarity to other gene families. Total gains $=$ the sum of paralogs, diverged paralogs and ambiguous gains. No scoring genes is calculated only for each of the modern species = the number of genes that have no sequence similarity above a minimum threshold ( $p>10^{-4}$ ). AVG and STD of sym-bet $=$ the average and standard deviation [S182] for the similarity estimates between orthologous members of the gene families, where a higher value indicates greater sequence conservation between the orthologous genes. Abbreviations: Anaga, Anopheles gambiae; Aedae, Aedes aegypti; Drome, Drosophila melanogaster; Drops, Drosophila pseudoobscura; Apime, Apis mellifera; cele, Caenorhabditis elegans; Dappu, Daphnia pulex; Homsa, Homo sapiens; Danre, Danio rerio; Nemve, Nematostella vectensis; Trica, Tribolium castaneum.

| Ancestor name | Sym-bets | Present loc | Loss | Paralogs | Diverged Paralogs | Ambiguous gains | Total Gains | No scoring genes | AVG Symbet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STD } \\ & \text { Sym- } \\ & \text { bet } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| homsa; danre; cele; dappu; apime; trica; aedae; anoga; drome; drops; nemve | 7,423 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| homsa; danre; cele; dappu; apime; trica; aedae; anoga; drome; drops | 6,764 | 8,679 | 17 | 86 | 877 | 310 | 1,273 | 0 | 538 | 104 |
| homsa; danre | 10,873 | 12,232 | 681 | 2,263 | 1,207 | 764 | 4,234 | 0 | 702 | 130 |
| homsa | 21,785 | 19,633 | 359 | 2,276 | 3,637 | 1,847 | 7,760 | 2,152 |  |  |
| danre | 21,322 | 20,869 | 1,000 | 3,280 | 4,405 | 1,952 | 9,637 | 453 |  |  |
| cele; dappu; apime; trica; aedae; anoga; drome; drops | 4,877 | 7,846 | 1,295 | 66 | 332 | 64 | 462 | 0 | 515 | 100 |
| cele | 20,176 | 15,762 | 2,643 | 2,050 | 2,634 | 5,875 | 10,559 | 4,414 |  |  |
| dappu; apime; trica; aedae; anoga; drome; drops | 6,895 | 8,685 | 393 | 458 | 503 | 271 | 1,232 | 0 | 588 | 123 |
| dappu | 30,940 | 25,030 | 1,079 | 5,076 | 5,537 | 6,811 | 17,424 | 5,910 |  |  |
| apime; trica; aedae; anoga; drome; drops | 7,698 | 9,161 | 756 | 96 | 819 | 317 | 1,232 | 0 | 602 | 122 |
| apime | 17,182 | 11,385 | 1,062 | 1,420 | 777 | 1,089 | 3,286 | 5,797 |  |  |
| trica; aedae; anoga; drome; drops | 7,665 | 9,385 | 439 | 87 | 423 | 153 | 663 | 0 | 602 | 123 |
| trica | 16,422 | 12,839 | 914 | 1,824 | 1,566 | 978 | 4,368 | 3,583 |  |  |
| aedae; anoga; drome; drops | 8,072 | 9,323 | 863 | 78 | 431 | 292 | 801 | 0 | 628 | 127 |
| aedae; anoga | 8,935 | 10,148 | 497 | 275 | 654 | 393 | 1,322 | 0 | 749 | 133 |
| aedae | 15,419 | 14,278 | 493 | 1,876 | 1,527 | 1,220 | 4,623 | 1,141 |  |  |
| anoga | 12,457 | 11,438 | 720 | 642 | 707 | 661 | 2,010 | 1,019 |  |  |
| drome; drops | 11,584 | 11,963 | 799 | 497 | 1,484 | 1,458 | 3,439 | 0 | 804 | 126 |
| drome | 13,738 | 13,002 | 196 | 258 | 560 | 417 | 1,235 | 736 |  |  |
| drops | 16,158 | 14,626 | 183 | 728 | 835 | 1,283 | 2,846 | 1,532 |  |  |
| nemve | 27,273 | 24,743 | 0 | 6,843 | 6,333 | 4,144 | 17,320 | 2,530 |  |  |

Table S29. Gene duplication and duplicate gene birth rates in the Daphnia pulex, Caenorhabditis elegans and Homo sapiens genomes. The birth rates of gene duplicates were calculated using the number of single-pair duplicates in the youngest cohort ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}<0.01$ ), the baseline number of single copy genes and the synonymous substitution rate $\left(K_{s}\right)$, and giving units of duplications/gene/ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Birth rates are estimated by (Number of single pair duplicates $<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ .01)/(Number of single copy genes + Number of single pair duplicate gene pairs).

| Single copy genes | 16,285 | 13,768 | 15,002 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duplicate genes | 14,655 | 6,350 | 7,678 |
| Total genes | 30,940 | 20,118 | 22680 |
| Birth rate | 0.0093 | 0.0033 | 0.0073 |

Table S30. Large fraction of Daphnia pulex duplicated genes. The large gene inventory is attributed to over 900 localized tandem gene duplication (TGD) clusters of 3 or more loci. Representative genomes are compared: Drosophila melanogaster, Caenorhabditis elegans and Mus musculus. The same method at identifying TGDs was applied to all species (see SOM). By using different criteria, Woollard [S183] reports 402 gene clusters for Caenorhabditis elegans, instead of 680 clusters by our measures.

|  | Total \# duplicated genes | Total \# 3+ tandem duplicated <br> genes | Total \# 3+ gene <br> clusters |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daphnia pulex | $13,972 / 28,093(50 \%)$ | $5,400 / 27,000(20 \%)$ | 919 |
| Drosophila melanogaster | $4,497 / 13,391(34 \%)$ | $1,500 / 13,500(11 \%)$ | 168 |
| Caenorhabditis elegans | $8,674 / 19,692(44 \%)$ | $3,000 / 20,000(15 \%)$ | 680 |
| Mus musculus | $10,244 / 18,871(54 \%)$ |  |  |

Table S31. Gene families that are expanded and/or shared between Daphnia pulex and other aquatic (vertebrate) species compared to average differences found in terrestrial animals. Thirty-six eukaryotic genomes are compared by superfamily assignments [S83], including 18 invertebrates and 17 vertebrates of which 14 taxa are aquatic and 21 taxa are terrestrial. Daphnia pulex is the only invertebrate that exclusively lives in water and with a draft genome sequence data. Three gene families are expanded in the D. pulex genome and have significant aquatic versus terrestrial average differences (indicated by $\dagger$ ), while the remaining 26 gene families have significant invertebrate versus vertebrate average differences. Significant ( $p<0.05$ ) $t$-test results of root mean square deviation from expected gene count (genome $\times$ family) contingency table are listed between aquatic/terrestrial groups.

| SuperFamily ID | Protein Domain | Aquatic Invertebrate Gene Count | Aquatic Vertebrate Gene Count | Terrestrial Invertebrate Gene Count | Terrestrial Vertebrate Gene Count | T Statistic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Degrees } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Freedom } \end{gathered}$ | P-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sf51665 $\dagger$ | Xylose isomerase | 2.86 | 1.57 | 1.18 | 1 | 4.97 | 28 | 3.03E-05 |
| sf10164 | 3 Thrombospondin Cterminal domain | 8.71 | 10.29 | 2.18 | 6.8 | 4.24 | 15.8 | 0.0006475 |
| sf52426 | Cryptochrome/photolyase, N -terminal domain | 1.86 | 2.86 | 1 | 1 | 3.62 | 18.9 | 0.001834 |
| sf55528 | Matrix metalloproteases, catalytic domain | 19.86 | 27.14 | 4.18 | 24.9 | 3.45 | 26.3 | 0.001902 |
| sf10364 | 8 TSP type-3 repeat | 14 | 16.14 | 3.18 | 11.1 | 3.53 | 15.1 | 0.00297 |
| sf55935 | Guanido kinase catalytic domain | 12.71 | 10.71 | 4.36 | 6.4 | 3.48 | 16.2 | 0.003031 |
| sf82904 | Noggin | 1.86 | 3.71 | 1.09 | 2 | 3.34 | 21.1 | 0.003122 |
| sf48035 | Guanido kinase N terminal domain | 9 | 9.86 | 3.36 | 6.1 | 3.46 | 16.5 | 0.003139 |
| sf81320 $\dagger$ | Rhodopsin-like | 24.3 | 41.9 | 11.8 | 17.1 | 3.44 | 16.5 | 0.003218 |
| sf48174 | Cryptochrome/photolyase FAD-binding domain | 5 | 11 | 2.91 | 3.5 | 3.28 | 18.8 | 0.003981 |
| sf52592 | G proteins | 162 | 291 | 104 | 240 | 3.36 | 14.6 | 0.004475 |
| sf52769 | Arginase-like amidino hydrolases | 5.86 | 7.29 | 2.36 | 4.7 | 2.99 | 17.3 | 0.008169 |
| sf53496 | Prolyl oligopeptidase, Cterminal domain | 3 | 3.86 | 1.73 | 2.7 | 2.66 | 32.8 | 0.01189 |
| sf47502 | Calmodulin-like | 41 | 64.4 | 20.9 | 54 | 2.71 | 17.3 | 0.01472 |
| sf10207 | 9 Putative alpha-Lfucosidase, catalytic domain | 9.71 | 5.43 | 2.73 | 4 | 2.67 | 14.8 | 0.0177 |
| sf11043 | 6 Ornithine cyclodeaminase-like (Pfam 02423) | 2.57 | 2.14 | 1.18 | 1.8 | 2.51 | 24.2 | 0.01922 |
| sf52468 | Deoxyhypusine synthase, DHS | 4.86 | 3.43 | 1.82 | 2.6 | 2.57 | 16.7 | 0.02022 |
| sf63708 | Ganglioside M2 (gm2) activator | 2.14 | 2.57 | 1.09 | 2 | 2.46 | 18.3 | 0.02394 |
| sf51557 | Adenosine deaminase (ADA) | 2.71 | 3.43 | 1.45 | 2.4 | 2.39 | 25.2 | 0.02447 |


| sf64357 | Synatpobrevin N-terminal domain | 3.29 | 6.86 | 2.91 | 2.6 | 2.37 | 26.9 | 0.02543 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sf53452† | beta 1,4 <br> galactosyltransferase <br> (b4GalT1) | 14.86 | 12.71 | 5.73 | 11.3 | 2.39 | 20.1 | 0.0267 |
| sf49266 | Fibronectin type III | 7.71 | 68 | 4 | 157.7 | -2.32 | 28.1 | 0.02766 |
| sf63608 | Leukotriene A4 hydrolase C-terminal domain | 3.14 | 2.57 | 1.36 | 2.1 | 2.34 | 20.2 | 0.02937 |
| sf49600 | TRAF domain | 14 | 10.43 | 2.64 | 11 | 2.33 | 14.9 | 0.03421 |
| sf46887 | Methionine aminopeptidase, insert domain | 3.14 | 3.71 | 1.55 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 31.7 | 0.03487 |
| sf82283 | Homocysteine Smethyltransferase | 12.14 | 4.29 | 1.82 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 14.8 | 0.03649 |
| sf81287 | ML domain | 2.86 | 2.86 | 1.55 | 1.9 | 2.15 | 21.2 | 0.04344 |
| sf52002 | R1 subunit of ribonucleotide reductase, C-terminal domain | 2.86 | 3.43 | 1.82 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 26.8 | 0.04524 |
| sf63984 | Sir2 family of transcriptional regulators | 8.29 | 9.29 | 4.73 | 7.8 | 2.07 | 24.1 | 0.04946 |

Table S32. Part A. Forty-six Daphnia pulex opsin genes belonging to 6 major clades. Part B. Additional Metazoan Opsins in Figure S21.

## Part A.

| Protein ID | Name in Figure S21 | Location in genome assembly | Opsin subfamily | Major clade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dappu-214454 | BLOP | scaffold_53:628972-627385 | Rhabdomeric | UV (Blue) |
| Dappu-303450 | UVOP | scaffold_21:242254-243735 | Rhabdomeric | UV |
| Dappu-14112 | UNOP1 | scaffold_95:369266-373273 | Rhabdomeric | Unknown |
| Dappu-60874 | UNOP2 | scaffold_95:441206-436847 | Rhabdomeric | Unknown |
| Dappu-307031 | LOPA1 | scaffold_598:27649-26145 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| Dappu-307030 | LOPA2 | scaffold_598:19709-18148 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| Dappu-67015 | LOPA3 | scaffold_598:16355-14836 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| Dappu-306275 | LOPA4 | scaffold_47:938824-940341 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| New | LOPA5N | scaffold_174:66413-66609 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| Dappu-302464 | LOPA6 | scaffold_174:68557-70212 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| Dappu-335676 | LOPA71 | scaffold_696:761-2619 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| Dappu-93838 | LOPA8 | scaffold_696:4556-6206 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| Dappu-93844 | LOPA9 | scaffold_776:5823-4192 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| Dappu-93844 | LOPA10 | scaffold_776:1944-678 | Rhabdomeric | LongA |
| Dappu-54168 | LOPB1 | scaffold_40:709566-708143 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-305771 | LOPB2 | scaffold_40:716215-717823 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-198385 | LOPB3 | scaffold-40:722122-723709 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-305803 | LOPB4 | scaffold_40:728027-729621 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-106095 | LOPB5 | scaffold_40:732744-734341 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-305772 | LOPB6 | scaffold_40:737671-739173 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-321382 | LOPB7 | scaffold_40:742430-743903 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-43742 | LOPB8 | scaffold_6:1902006-1900546 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-216106 | LOPB9 | scaffold_78:111258-112698 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| New | LOPB10 | scaffold_78:114113-114451 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-326257 | LOPB11 | scaffold_78:119912-120349 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-254506 | LOPB12 | scaffold_78:123902-124674 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-326259 | LOPB13 | scaffold_78:126986-128343 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| New | LOPB14 | scaffold_78:133375-134342 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-326260 | LOPB15 | scaffold_78:142739-144179 | Rhabdomeric | LongB |
| Dappu-24963 | ARTHROPSIN1 | scaffold_14:758164-761748 | Rhabdomeric | Arthropsin |
| Dappu-47717 | ARTHROPSIN2 | scaffold_14:766741-771298 | Rhabdomeric | Arthropsin |
| Dappu-24264 | ARTHROPSIN3 | scaffold_14:779460-783216 | Rhabdomeric | Arthropsin |
| Dappu-23519 | ARTHROPSIN4 | scaffold_14:847788-844292 | Rhabdomeric | Arthropsin |
| Dappu-2566 | ARTHROPSIN5 | scaffold_14:839526-835973 | Rhabdomeric | Arthropsin |
| Dappu-47520 | ARTHROPSIN6 | scaffold_13:689696-688112 | Rhabdomeric | Arthropsin |
| Dappu-223107 | ARTHROPSIN7 | scaffold_13:962643-964536 | Rhabdomeric | Arthropsin |
| Dappu-47330 | ARTHROPSIN8 | scaffold_13:1021380-1023187 | Rhabdomeric | Arthropsin |
| Dappu-312425 | PTEROPSIN1 | scaffold_6:1015520-1013655 | Ciliary | Pteropsin |
| Dappu-312424/235776 | PTEROPSIN2P | scaffold_6:1009166-1007372 | Ciliary | Pteropsin |

Dappu-307122
Dappu-97105
Dappu-51511
Dappu-51298/103328
Dappu-51251
Dappu-243539
Dappu-303264

PTEROPSIN3
PTEROPSIN4
PTEROPSIN5P
PTEROPSIN6
PTEROPSIN7
PTEROPSIN8
PTEROPSIN9

| scaffold_6:1006658-1004665 | Ciliary | Pteropsin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| scaffold_6:767483-770451 | Ciliary | Pteropsin |
| scaffold_25:431410-435620 | Ciliary | Pteropsin |
| scaffold_25:446147-452002 | Ciliary | Pteropsin |
| scaffold_25:460743-464047 | Ciliary | Pteropsin |
| scaffold_25:484111-488573 | Ciliary | Pteropsin |
| scaffold_2:3695086-3691119 | Ciliary | Pteropsin |

## Part B.

| Gene Name | Species | Accession |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bombyx UNOP | Bombyx mori | BGIBMGA012539-PA (silkdb.org) |
| Anolis pinopsin | Anolis carolinensis | AAD32622 |
| Anopheles op1 4 | Anopheles gambiae | XP_001238567 |
| Anopheles op7 | Anopheles gambiae | XP_001688790 |
| Anopheles op10 | Anopheles gambiae | XP_308329 |
| Anopheles op8 | Anopheles gambiae | XP_312478 |
| Anopheles pteropsin 12 | Anopheles gambiae | XP_312502.2 |
| Anopheles pteropsin 11 | Anopheles gambiae | XP_312503 |
| Anopheles op9 | Anopheles gambiae | XP_319247 |
| Anopheles op6 | Anopheles gambiae | XP_322000 |
| Bombyx pteropsin | Bombyx mori | BGIBMGA008437-PA (silkdb.org) |
| Bombyx Lop1 | Bombyx mori | BGIBMGA007787-PA (silkdb.org) |
| Apis Uvop | Apis mellifera | NP_001011605 XP_392791 |
| Apis Blop | Apis mellifera | NP_001011606 XP_392042 |
| Apis Lop1 | Apis mellifera | NP_001011639 XP_397397 |
| Apis pteropsin | Apis mellifera | NP_001035057 |
| Apis Lop2 | Apis mellifera | NP_001071293 |
| Bombyx Lop2 | Bombyx mori Branchinella | NP_001036882 |
| Branchinella BAG80984 | kugenumaensis | BAG80984 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80985 | kugenumaensis | BAG80985 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80986 | kugenumaensis | BAG80986 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80987 | kugenumaensis | BAG80987 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80988 | kugenumaensis | BAG80988 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80989 | kugenumaensis | BAG80989 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80990 | kugenumaensis | BAG80990 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80991 | kugenumaensis | BAG80991 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80992 | kugenumaensis | BAG80992 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80993 | kugenumaensis | BAG80993 |


| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BAG80994 | kugenumaensis | BAG80994 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80995 | kugenumaensis | BAG80995 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80996 | kugenumaensis | BAG80996 |
| Branchinella kugenumaensis | Branchinella |  |
| BAG80997 | kugenumaensis | BAG80997 |
| Amphioxus1 | Branchiostoma belcheri | BAC76019 |
| Amphioxus2 | Branchiostoma belcheri | BAC76020 |
| Amphioxus4 | Branchiostoma belcheri | BAC76021 |
| Amphioxus5 | Branchiostoma belcheri | BAC76022.1 |
| Amphioxus6 | Branchiostoma belcheri | BAC76024 |
| Amphioxus melanopsin | Branchiostoma belcheri | Q4R114 <br> Listed in paper as AB525082 - but not found in |
| Hasarius pteropsin | Branchiostoma belcheri | Genbank |
| Amphioxus 3 | Branchiostoma belcheri | C76023 |
| Bufo pinopsin | Bufo japonicus | AAF12820 |
| Ciona opsin1 | Ciona intestinalis | NP_001027727 |
| Anopheles op5 | Anopheles gambiae | AGAP001162-RA (Anopheles genome on Ensembl) |
| Danio red | Danio rerio | AAD20549.1 |
| Danio green1 | Danio rerio | AAD24752 |
| Danio peropsin | Danio rerio | NP_001004654 |
| Danio Encephalopsin | Danio rerio | NP_001104634 XP_690306 |
| Danio blue | Danio rerio | NP_571267 |
| Danio UV | Danio rerio | NP_571394.1 |
| Danio rod | Danio rerio | P35359.2 |
| Drosophilia rh4 | Drosophila melanogaster | NP_476701 |
| Drosophilia rh5 | Drosophila melanogaster | NP_477096 |
| Drosophilia rh7 | Drosophila melanogaster | NP_524035 |
| Drosophilia rh6 | Drosophila melanogaster | NP_524368 |
| Drosophilia rh2 | Drosophila melanogaster | NP_524398.1 |
| Drosophilia rh1 | Drosophila melanogaster | NP_524407.1 |
| Drosophilia rh3 | Drosophila melanogaster | NP_524411 |
| Gallus melanopsin | Gallus gallus | NP_989956 |
| Gallus pinopsin | Gallus gallus | NP_990740 |
| Hemigrapsus rh1 | Hemigrapsus sanguineus | Q25157.1 |
| Hemigrapsus rh2 | Hemigrapsus sanguineus | Q25158 |
| Homo Encephalopsin | Homo sapiens | NP_055137 |
| Homo melanopsin | homo sapiens | NP_150598 |
| Homo RGR | Homo sapiens | NP_001012738.1 |
| Homo peropsin | Homo sapiens | NP_006574 |
| Homo neuropsin | Homo sapiens | NP_859528 XP_166440 |
| Ictalurus parapinopsin | Ictalurus punctatus | 042266 |
| Limulus ops5 | Limulus polyphemus | ACO05013 |
| Limulus lateral | Limulus polyphemus | P35360 |
| Loligo GQ | Loligo forbesi | P24603 |
| Bombyx Uvop | Manduca sexta | 002465 |


| Bombyx Blop | Manduca sexta | 096107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Megoura rh1 | Megoura viciae | AAG17119 |
| Megoura UV | Megoura viciae | AAG17120 |
| Mizuhopecten GQ | Mizuhopecten yessoensis | 015973 |
| Mizuhopecten GO | Mizuhopecten yessoensis | O15974 |
| Papillo Rh3 | Papilio glaucus | AAD29445.1 |
| Papillo Rh1 | Papilio glaucus | AAD34220.1 |
| Papillo Rh2 | Papilio glaucus | AAD34221 |
| Papillo Rh4 | Papilio glaucus | AAD34224 |
| Papilio Rh5 | Papilio glaucus | AAD34222 |
| Papilio rh6 | Papilio glaucus Pediculus humanus | AAD34223 |
| Pediculus UV | corporis <br> Pediculus humanus | XP_002422743 |
| PhLopFix | corporis <br> Pediculus humanus | XP_002427337 |
| Pediculus UNOPN | corporis | XP_002432663 |
| Petromyzon pinopsin | Petromyzon marinus | 042490 |
| Platynereis c | Platynereis dumerilii | AAV63834 |
| Platynereis GQ | Platynereis dumerilii | CAC86665 |
| Procambarus P35356 | Procambarus clarkii | P35356 |
| Salmo VA | Salmo salar | NP_001117098 |
| Schistocerca 2 | Schistocerca gregaria | Q26495 |
| Schistocerca 1 | Schistocerca gregaria | Q94741 |
| Schistosoma GQ | Schistosoma mansoni | AAF73286 |
| Takifugu TMT | Takifugu rubripes | NP_001027778 |
| Tetradon RGR | Tetraodon nigroviridis | CAF98663.1 |
| Fugu melanopsin | Tetraodon nigroviridis | CAF99228 |
| Tertradon neuropsin | Tetraodon nigroviridis | CAG13006.1 |
| Tigriopus californicus | Tigriopus californicus | HQ180268 |
| Todarodes retinochrome | Todarodes pacificus | P23820 |
| Tribolium pteropsin | Tribolium castaneum | EFA01685 |
| Tribolium Lop | Tribolium castaneum | NP_001155991 XP_973147 |
| Tribolium UV | Tribolium castaneum | XP_970344 |
| Triops granarius BAG80976 | Triops granarius | BAG80976 |
| Triops granarius BAG80977 | Triops granarius | BAG80977 |
| Triops granarius BAG80978 | Triops granarius | BAG80978 |
| Triops granarius BAG80979 | Triops granarius | BAG80979 |
| Triops longicaudatus BAG80981 | Triops longicaudatus | BAG80981 |
| Triops longicaudatus BAG80982 | Triops longicaudatus | BAG80982 |
| Triops longicaudatus BAG80983 | Triops longicaudatus | BAG80983 |
| Triops longicaudatus BAG80998 | Triops longicaudatus | BAG80998 |
| Triops longicaudatus BAG80999 | Triops longicaudatus | BAG80999 |
| Vargula tsujii | Vargula tsugii | HQ180267 |
| Xenopus melanopsin | Xenopus laevis | NP_001079143 |

## F. Consequence Daphnia's Genome Structure

Table S33. Summary of gene conversion features as a function of the number of genes within the genomes of Daphnia pulex and five selected Drosophila species. Conversion rate is given as converted pairs of paralogs/total pairs of paralogs analyzed.

|  | Daphnia pulex | Drosophila <br> melanogaster | Drosophila <br> yakuba | Drosophila <br> pseudoobscura virilis | Drosophila | Drosophila <br> grimshawi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. Conversion events | 7,007 | 190 | 223 | 313 | 246 | 377 |
| No. Converted pairs | 6,086 | 138 | 194 | 244 | 186 | 301 |
| No. Converted genes | 6,213 | 233 | 337 | 407 | 305 | 483 |
| Events/Pair | 1.15 | 1.38 | 1.15 | 1.28 | 1.32 | 1.25 |
| Total pairs analyzed | 55,362 | 1,790 | 2,239 | 2,128 | 1,576 | 2,269 |
| Total genes analyzed | 13,330 | 1,905 | 2,747 | 2,501 | 1,960 | 2,683 |
| \% Converted genes | 46.61 | 12.23 | 12.27 | 16.27 | 15.56 | 18 |
| Gene conversion rate | 10.99 | 7.71 | 8.66 | 11.47 | 11.8 | 13.27 |

Table S34. Summary of genome-wide gene conversion features among Daphnia and five selected Drosophila species.

| Converted | Daphnia pulex | Drosophila <br> melanogaster | Drosophila <br> yakuba | Drosophila <br> pseudoobscura | Drosophila | Drosophila <br> grimshawi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Same strand | 1,105 | 89 | 102 | 119 | 113 | 153 |
| Opposite strand | 392 | 33 | 53 | 43 | 43 | 49 |


| Non-converted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Same strand | 3,881 | 908 | 1,023 | 829 | 733 | 852 |
| Opposite strand | 2,133 | 285 | 351 | 304 | 289 | 350 |


| Fisher's 2-tail | $5.51 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 0.4382 | 0.0267 | 1 | 0.924 | 0.1773 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table S35. Summary of genome-wide gene conversion features as a function of the location of paralogs on scaffolds or Müller elements among Daphnia and five selected Drosophila species.

|  | Daphnia pulex | Drosophila melanogaster | Drosoph yakuba | Drosophila pseudoobscura | Droso virilis | Drosophila grimshawi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Converted intraelement/scaffold | 1,497 | 122 | 155 | 162 | 156 | 202 |
| Converted interelement/scaffold | 4,589 | 16 | 22 | 40 | 18 | 18 |
| Total converted | 6,086 | 138 | 177 | 202 | 174 | 220 |
| Non-converted intraelement/scaffold | 6,014 | 1,193 | 1,374 | 1,133 | 1,022 | 1,202 |
| Non-converted interelement/scaffold | 43,262 | 459 | 480 | 517 | 357 | 344 |
| Total non-converted | 49,276 | 1,652 | 1,854 | 1,650 | 1,379 | 1,546 |
| Total intraelement/scaffold | 7,511 | 1,315 | 1,529 | 1,295 | 1,178 | 1,404 |
| Total interelement/scaffold | 47,851 | 475 | 502 | 557 | 375 | 362 |
| \% Converted intraelement/scaffold | 24.6 | 88.41 | 87.57 | 80.2 | 89.66 | 91.82 |
| \% Non-converted intraelement/scaffold | 12.2 | 72.22 | 74.11 | 68.67 | 74.11 | 77.75 |

Table S36. Summary of genome-wide gene conversion (conv.) features as a function of the size of conversion tracts among Daphnia and five selected Drosophila species. Minimum and maximum values represent the shortest and longest converted tract found by Geneconv [S99].

|  | Daphnia pulex | Drosophila <br> melanogaster | Drosophila <br> yakuba | Drosophila <br> pseudoobscura virilis | Drosophila | Drosophila <br> grimshawi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average (bp) | 169 | 186 | 192 | 180 | 182 | 297 |
| Median (bp) | 109 | 83 | 81 | 95 | 81 | 167 |
| Minimum (bp) | 20 | 14 | 11 | 7 | 11 | 10 |
| Maximum (bp) | 2413 | 2213 | 2837 | 1287 | 3079 | 2437 |
| Total converted bp | $1,180,733$ | 35,322 | 42,711 | 56,443 | 44,888 | 111,907 |
| Total bp converted pairs | $7,004,873$ | 385,800 | 518,349 | 644,846 | 518,080 | 861,115 |
| Total bp screened | $14,140,570$ | $3,454,328$ | $4,005,419$ | $3,764,802$ | $3,251,664$ | $3,957,669$ |
| \% Tract/conv. pairs | 16.86 | 9.16 | 8.24 | 8.75 | 8.66 | 13 |
| \% Tract/all pairs | 8.35 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 1.28 | 1.19 | 2.32 |

Table S37. Summary of genome-wide gene conversion (conv.) features as a function of the size of gene families among Daphnia and five selected Drosophila species. The asterisk indicates that the average of Daphnia converted families has been calculated after removing the largest family with 4,007 genes (the average would otherwise be $\sim 11$ genes per family).

|  | Daphnia <br> pulex | Drosophila <br> melanogaster | Drosophila <br> yakuba | Drosophila <br> pseudoobscura virilis | Drosophila | Drosophila <br> grimshawi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. Conv. gene families | 942 | 99 | 144 | 169 | 131 | 211 |
| Average family size conv. | $7.63^{*}$ | 3.28 | 2.99 | 2.97 | 2.97 | 2.9 |
| \% Conv. of family size 2 | $26.22 \%$ | $57.80 \%$ | $61.10 \%$ | $55.60 \%$ | $55.70 \%$ | $68.20 \%$ |
| \% Nonconv. of family size $260.43 \%$ | $80.00 \%$ | $85.70 \%$ | $82.90 \%$ | $84.10 \%$ | $83.50 \%$ |  |

Table S38. Summary of genome- wide gene conversion features as a function of the distance of intra-element or intra-scaffold paralogs among Daphnia and five selected Drosophila species.

| Converted | Daphnia <br> pulex | Drosophila <br> melanogaster | Drosophila <br> yakuba | Drosophila <br> pseudoobscura virilis | Drosophila | Drosophila <br> grimshawi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average distance (bp) | 110,881 | 294,326 | $1,027,163$ | 134,591 | 281,609 | 153,547 |
| Median distance (bp) | 16,060 | 1,797 | 2,601 | 2,325 | 2,360 | 2,530 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-converted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average distance (bp) | 268,781 | $1,508,835$ | $1,415,872$ | $1,434,743$ | $1,183,649$ | 620,793 |
| Median distance (bp) | 63,275 | 4,915 | 4,847 | 7,128 | 7,493 | 5,478 |

Table S39. Homologous di-domain hemoglobin genes (Hb) of Daphnia pulex and Daphnia magna. Daphnia magna hemoglobin gene cluster contig assembly NCBI accession number is AB518060.

| Daphnia pulex gene | Location in genome assembly | Daphnia magna gene | Location in contig <br> assembly | \% identity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dpul-Hb1 (Dappu-96311) | scaffold_4:23666681-2368249 | Dmag-Hb1 | $553 . .2095$ | 73.1 |
| Dpul-Hb2 (Dappu-230332) | scaffold_4:2370110-2374287 | Dmag-Hb2 | $4360 . .5875$ | 73.2 |
| Dpul-Hb3 (Dappu-311662) | scaffold_4:2372773-2374287 | Dmag-Hb3 | $7071 . .8561$ | 70.6 |
| Dpul-Hb4 (Dappu-234836) | scaffold_4:2376081-2377561 | Dmag-Hb4 | $10541 . .12024$ | 71.7 |
| Dpul-Hb5 (Dappu-234837) | scaffold_4:2380765-2382213 | Dmag-Hb5 | $15384 . .16893$ | 71.2 |
| Dpul-Hb6 (Dappu-234838) | scaffold_4:2383418-2384965 |  |  |  |
| Dpul-Hb7 (Dappu-234839) | scaffold_4:2386115-2387624 | Dmag-Hb7 | $19734 . .21224$ | 70.7 |
| Dpul-Hb8 (Dappu-230333) | scaffold_4:2388769-2390272 | Dmag-Hb8 | $22483 . .24059$ | 71.8 |
| Dpul-Hb9 (Dappu-210408) | scaffold_17:410538-409010 |  |  |  |
| Dpul-Hb10 (Dappu-92880) | scaffold_36:522846-524214 |  |  |  |
| Dpul-Hb11 (Dappu-93831) | scaffold_452:2493-3859 |  |  |  |

## G. Evolutionary Diversification of Duplicated Genes

Table S40. The number of paralog pairs that differ unambiguously in their expression patterns among 0 to 12 conditions as a function of genetic divergence measured as nucleotide substitutions at synonymous sites ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ).

| $\mathrm{K}_{\text {s }}$ | Number of Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 0-0.05 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.05-0.1 | 35 | 31 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.1-0.5 | 729 | 468 | 215 | 118 | 54 | 40 | 23 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 0.5-1 | 940 | 604 | 414 | 227 | 163 | 95 | 61 | 33 | 25 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 22 |
| 1-2 | 1106 | 792 | 596 | 563 | 443 | 364 | 224 | 161 | 125 | 63 | 25 | 16 | 11 |
| 2-3 | 520 | 458 | 394 | 373 | 325 | 239 | 208 | 172 | 106 | 51 | 27 | 7 | 7 |
| 3-5 | 264 | 260 | 274 | 225 | 246 | 188 | 174 | 145 | 93 | 53 | 24 | 16 | 10 |

Table S41. Chi-square tests for associations between paralogs ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}<2$ ) sharing expression patterns across 12 conditions tested on microarrays and $\mathbf{A}$. their genomic arrangements (dispersed or clustered); B. whether gene conversion signatures are detected.

| A. | Expression Patterns |  | \% Different | Clustered $\mathrm{X}^{2}=0.027 ; p=0.869$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Genomic Arrangement | Same | Different |  |  |
| Dispersed | 2396 | 5125 | 68.1 |  |
| Clustered | 428 | 932 | 68.5 |  |
| B. | Expression Patterns |  |  | $G C X^{2}=11.9 ; p=0.00055$ |
| Gene Conversion (GC) | Same | Different | \% Different |  |
| No Signature | 2426 | 5414 | 69.1 |  |
| Signature of GC | 398 | 643 | 61.8 |  |

Table S42. The number of paralog pairs that have the same expression patterns and that have different expression patterns among 0 to 12 conditions as a function of genetic divergence measured as nucleotide substitutions at synonymous sites $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, comparing sets that include and that exclude genes showing signatures of gene conversion.

|  | All paralog pairs |  |  |  | Paralog pairs excluding gene conversions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | Same | Different | \% Different | P-value | Same | Different | \% Different | P -value |
| 0-0.05 | 14 | 16 | 53.3 | 1.0000 | 11 | 11 | 50.0 | 1.0000 |
| 0.05-0.1 | 35 | 51 | 59.3 | 0.2840 | 23 | 38 | 62.3 | 0.2020 |
| 0.1-0.5 | 729 | 940 | 56.3 | 0.0003 | 540 | 729 | 57.4 | 0.0002 |
| 0.5-1 | 940 | 1667 | 63.9 | 0.0000 | 807 | 1445 | 64.2 | 0.0000 |
| 1-2 | 1106 | 3383 | 75.4 | 0.0000 | 1045 | 3191 | 75.3 | 0.0000 |
| 2-3 | 520 | 2367 | 82.0 | 0.0000 | 504 | 2315 | 82.1 | 0.0000 |
| 3-5 | 264 | 1708 | 86.6 | 0.0000 | 261 | 1689 | 86.6 | 0.0000 |

## H. Functional Significance of Expanded Gene Families

Table S43. Metabolic pathways (classified by KEGG and highlighted in Figure 4) containing expanded metabolic genes in the Daphnia pulex genome compared to insects and vertebrates. The number of gene copies is indicated for identified enzymes. "Highlighted pathway ID" refers to panels $A-G$ in Figure 4 where pathway " H " corresponds to the enzymes not listed in any panels.

| Highlighted pathway ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KEGG map } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | KEGG name | Enzyme commission No. | Enzyme name | No. gene copies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | map00040 | Pentose and glucuronate interconversions | 2.4.1.17 | glucuronosyltransferase | 24 |
| - | map00040 | Pentose and glucuronate interconversions | 5.3.1.5 | xylose isomerase | 6 |
| - | map00072 | Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies | 1.1.1.30 | 3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase | 8 |
| - | map00100 | Biosynthesis of steroids | 1.14.13.72 | methylsterol monooxygenase | 11 |
| - | map00120 | Bile acid biosynthesis | 3.1.1.13 | sterol esterase | 28 |
| E | map00150 | Androgen and estrogen metabolism | 2.4.1.17 | glucuronosyltransferase | 24 |
| E | map00150 | Androgen and estrogen metabolism | 2.8.2.4 | estrone sulfotransferase | 7 |
| H | map00230 | Purine metabolism | 2.7.7.6 | DNA-directed RNA polymerase | 105 |
| H | map00240 | Pyrimidine metabolism | 2.7.7.6 | DNA-directed RNA polymerase | 105 |
| G | map00330 | Arginine and proline metabolism | 1.14.11.2 | procollagen-proline dioxygenase | 12 |
| G | map00330 | Arginine and proline metabolism | 1.5.1.12 | 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase | 6 |
| H | map00480 | Glutathione metabolism | 3.4.11.2 | membrane alanyl aminopeptidase | 26 |
| - | map00500 | Starch and sucrose metabolism | 2.4.1.15 | alpha,alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase (UDP-forming) | 4 |
| - | map00510 | N -Glycan biosynthesis | 2.4.1.38 | beta- N -acetylglucosaminylglycopeptide beta-1,4-galactosyltransferase | 11 |
| - | map00512 | O-Glycan biosynthesis | 2.4.1.122 | glycoprotein- N -acetylgalactosamine 3-beta-galactosyltransferase | 16 |
| A | map00530 | Aminosugars metabolism | 3.2.1.14 | chitinase | 15 |
| A | map00530 | Aminosugars metabolism | 3.2.1.52 | beta- N -acetylhexosaminidase | 10 |
| H | map00531 | Glycosaminoglycan degradation | 3.2.1.52 | beta- N -acetylhexosaminidase | 10 |
| - | map00561 | Glycerolipid metabolism | 3.1.1.3 | triacylglycerol lipase | 37 |
| - | map00590 | Arachidonic acid metabolism | 5.3.99.2 | prostaglandin-D synthase | 11 |
| - | map00600 | Sphingolipid metabolism | 2.4.1.47 | N -acylsphingosine galactosyltransferase | 18 |
| F | map00601 | Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - lactoseries | 2.4.1.206 | lactosylceramide 1,3-N-acetyl-beta-Dglucosaminyltransferase | 9 |
| F | map00601 | Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - lactoseries | 2.4.1.65 | 3-galactosyl- N -acetylglucosaminide 4-alpha-L-fucosyltransferase | 7 |

Glycosphingolipid
F map00602 biosynthesis - neolactoseries
Glycosphingolipid
F map00602 biosynthesis - neolactoseries
Glycosphingolipid
F map00602 biosynthesis - neolactoseries
F map00603 Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - globoseries
F map00603 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glycosphingolipid } \\ & \text { biosynthesis - globoseries }\end{aligned}$
Glycosphingolipid
H map00604 biosynthesis ganglioseries

- map00630 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Glyoxylate and } \\ & \text { dicarboxylate metabolism }\end{aligned}$
- map00650 Butanoate metabolism
- map00670 One carbon pool by folate
- map00680 Methane metabolism
- map00680 Methane metabolism
- map00720 Reductive carboxylate

D map00920 Sulfur metabolism
map00940
Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis
Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis
2.4.1.152
2.4.1.206
2.4.1.65
2.4.1.228
3.2.1.52
3.2.1.52

### 6.3.4.3

1.1.1.30 3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase
6.3.4.3 formate--tetrahydrofolate ligase
1.1.1.284 $\begin{aligned} & \text { S-(hydroxymethyl)glutathione } \\ & \text { dehydrogenase }\end{aligned}$
1.11.1.7 peroxidase 38
2.7.9.2 pyruvate, water dikinase 2
2.8.2.4 estrone sulfotransferase 7
1.11.1.7 peroxidase 38
6.2.1.12 4-coumarate--CoA ligase 12

Table S44. Metabolic pathways (classified by KEGG and highlighted in Figure 4) containing expanded metabolic genes in the arthropod genomes compared to vertebrate genomes. The number of gene copies is indicated for identified enzymes. "Highlighted pathway ID" refers to panels A-G in Figure 4 where pathway " H " corresponds to the enzymes not listed in any panels.

| Highlighted pathway ID | KEGG map $_{\text {KEGG name }}$ | Enzyme commission No. | Enzyme name | No. gene copies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | map00040 Pentose and glucuronate | 2.4.1.17 | glucuronosyltransferase | 24 |
| - | map00100 Biosynthesis of steroids | 1.14.13.72 | methylsterol monooxygenase | 11 |
| - | map00120 Bile acid biosynthesis | 3.1.1.13 | sterol esterase | 28 |
| - | map00150 <br> Androgen and estrogen metabolism | 2.4.1.17 | glucuronosyltransferase | 24 |
| - | map00150 <br> Androgen and estrogen metabolism | 2.8.2.4 | estrone sulfotransferase | 7 |
| - | map00230 Purine metabolism | 2.7.7.6 | DNA-directed RNA polymerase | 105 |
| H | map00230 Purine metabolism | 4.6.1.2 | guanylate cyclase | 16 |
| - | map00240 Pyrimidine metabolism | 2.7.7.6 | DNA-directed RNA polymerase | 105 |
| - | map00251 Glutamate metabolism | 1.4.1.13 | glutamate synthase (NADPH) | 1 |
| - | map00340 Histidine metabolism | 4.1.1.22 | histidine decarboxylase | 7 |
| H | map00340 Histidine metabolism | 4.1.1.28 | aromatic-L-amino-acid decarboxylase | 7 |
| B | map00350 Tyrosine metabolism | 1.14.17.1 | dopamine beta-monooxygenase | 5 |
| B | map00350 Tyrosine metabolism | 4.1.1.25 | tyrosine decarboxylase | 7 |
| B | map00350 Tyrosine metabolism gamma- | 4.1.1.28 | aromatic-L-amino-acid decarboxylase | 7 |
| - | map00361 Hexachlorocyclohexane degradation | 3.1.3.1 | alkaline phosphatase | 6 |
| H | map00380 Tryptophan metabolism | 4.1.1.28 | aromatic-L-amino-acid decarboxylase | 7 |
| - | map00480 Glutathione metabolism | 3.4.11.2 | membrane alanyl aminopeptidase | 26 |
| - | map00500 <br> Starch and sucrose metabolism | 2.4.1.15 | alpha,alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase (UDP-forming) | 4 |
| - | map00500 Starch and sucrose metabolism | 3.2.1.20 | alpha-glucosidase | 11 |
| - | map00512 O-Glycan biosynthesis | 2.4.1.122 | glycoprotein-N-acetylgalactosamine 3-beta-galactosyltransferase | 16 |
| A | map00530 Aminosugars metabolism | 2.4.1.16 | chitin synthase | 3 |
| - | map00530 Aminosugars metabolism | 3.2.1.14 | chitinase | 15 |
| - | map00530 Aminosugars metabolism | 3.2.1.52 | beta- N -acetylhexosaminidase | 10 |
| - | map00531 <br> Glycosaminoglycan degradation | 3.2.1.52 | beta-N-acetylhexosaminidase | 10 |
| - | map00561 Glycerolipid metabolism | 3.1.1.3 | triacylglycerol lipase | 37 |
| - | map00562 Inositol phosphate metabolism | 3.1.3.62 | multiple inositol-polyphosphate phosphatase | 6 |
| - | map00564 <br> Glycerophospholipid metabolism | 1.1.99.5 | glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | 7 |
| C | map00590 Arachidonic acid metabolism | 5.3.99.2 | prostaglandin-D synthase | 11 |

C map00590 Arachidonic acid metabolism
map00600 Sphingolipid metabolism
map00601
Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - lactoseries Glycosphingolipid
map00602 biosynthesis - neolactoseries Glycosphingolipid
map00602 biosynthesis - neolactoseries
Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - globoseries
Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - globoseries
Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - ganglioseries
map00790 Folate biosynthesis

- map00790 Folate biosynthesis
- map00920 Sulfur metabolism
map00940
Phenylpropanoid
biosynthesis
5.3.99.5 thromboxane-A synthase ..... 2
2.4.1.47 N-acylsphingosine ..... 18 galactosyltransferase
2.4 .1 .65 3-galactosyl-N-acetylglucosaminide 4- alpha-L-fucosyltransferase4-galactosyl-N-acetylglucosaminide 3-alpha-L-fucosyltransferase3-galactosyl-N-acetylglucosaminide 4-alpha-L-fucosyltransferaselactosylceramide 4-alpha-galactosyltransferase
3.2.1.52 beta-N-acetylhexosaminidase ..... 10
3.2.1.52 beta-N-acetylhexosaminidase ..... 102-amino-4-hydroxy-6-
2.7.6.3 hydroxymethyldihydropteridine ..... 3diphosphokinase
3.1.3.1 alkaline phosphatase ..... 6
2.8.2.4 estrone sulfotransferase ..... 7
6.2.1.12 4-coumarate--CoA ligase ..... 12

Table S45. Ninety-six (96) Daphnia pulex genes from three lineage-specific gene family expansions that are part of the glycosphingolipid biosynthesis neo-lactoseries metabolic pathway.

## Enzyme 2.4.1.152

(Alpha-1,3-fucosyltransferase C, Glycosyl transferase, family 10)
Dappu-104196
Dappu-106945
Dappu-107642
Dappu-111600
Dappu-116054
Dappu-13230
Dappu-13713
Dappu-15329
Dappu-19438
Dappu-198878
Dappu-219820
Dappu-221393
Dappu-227431
Dappu-23160
Dappu-236411
Dappu-241186
Dappu-244685
Dappu-24623
Dappu-248921
Dappu-251980
Dappu-25363
Dappu-253741
Dappu-25935
Dappu-260055
Dappu-260935
Dappu-266638
Dappu-266923
Dappu-266928
Dappu-272135
Dappu-302400
Dappu-302457
Dappu-302634
Dappu-302891
Dappu-308012
Dappu-311402
Dappu-312894
Dappu-313010
Dappu-313025
Dappu-315506
Dappu-315514
Dappu-316372
Dappu-316572
Dappu-316587
Dappu-316980
Dappu-318584
Dappu-319378
Dappu-325563
Dappu-325685

Location in genome assembly

```
scaffold_29:516567-518088
scaffold_46:224049-225221
scaffold_51:725267-726313
scaffold_87:116388-117590
scaffold_173:170541-171871
scaffold_356:21642-22727
scaffold_68:176859-177731
scaffold_356:7770-8810
scaffold_10031:420-1163
scaffold_46:232818-234380
scaffold_1396:115-1342
scaffold_4:3007607-3011002
scaffold_76:225531-227364
scaffold_14:1100902-1106527
scaffold_7:1456987-1458048
scaffold_18:353961-355160
scaffold_29:499533-504745
scaffold_7:2167295-2168158
scaffold_46:242704-243900
scaffold_61:720217-721224
scaffold_29:601986-605294
scaffold_72:252130-254074
scaffold_34:56787-57719
scaffold_132:222649-224187
scaffold_145:50678-51847
scaffold_332:14980-18089
scaffold_356:19512-21162
scaffold_356:31731-32948
scaffold_2299:6593-7516
scaffold_17:1454152-1456089
scaffold_173:167951-169157
scaffold_18:1062894-1063732
scaffold_19:1404773-1406891
scaffold_72:235616-236979
scaffold_4:1049624-1050748
scaffold_7:1448864-1450036
scaffold_7:2093696-2094982
scaffold_7:2168985-2170223
scaffold_14:1098141-1099795
scaffold_14:1115817-1116902
scaffold_17:640256-641443
scaffold_17:1457020-1458114
scaffold_18:11511-12227
scaffold_18:1352486-1353631
scaffold_25:756640-757413
scaffold_29:538303-540175
scaffold_71:175007-191884
scaffold_72:213716-214915
```

Dappu-328684
Dappu-331779
Dappu-331784
Dappu-334524
Dappu-336888
Dappu-3750
Dappu-3751
Dappu-3818
Dappu-4083
Dappu-4136
Dappu-4141
Dappu-41601
Dappu-48653
Dappu-49176
Dappu-49339
Dappu-52155
Dappu-53630
Dappu-55591
Dappu-56240
Dappu-58299
Dappu-58316
Dappu-58354
Dappu-60056
Dappu-60476
Dappu-63087
Dappu-64359
Dappu-65379
Dappu-66309
Dappu-66315
Dappu-67044
Dappu-67045
Dappu-67046
Dappu-68594

## Enzyme 2.4.1.206

(Beta-1,3-galactosyltransferase 5, Glycosyl transferase, family 31)

Dappu-111641
Dappu-14718
Dappu-241308
Dappu-241507
Dappu-314238
Dappu-316941
Dappu-325474
Dappu-56803

## Enzyme 2.4.1.65

(alpha 1,3-fucosyltransferase, Glycosyl transferase, family 10)
Dappu-202947
Dappu-26234
Dappu-58283
Dappu-58437
Dappu-61832
Dappu-64347
Dappu-64409
scaffold_107:160375-162469
scaffold_173:181964-182991
scaffold_173:195174-196654
scaffold_356:27899-29071
scaffold_1221:450-1589
scaffold_356:11019-11912
scaffold_66:364793-365776
scaffold_183:114080-114946
scaffold_52:670620-671612
scaffold_13:1294763-1295593
scaffold_18:1250655-1251494
scaffold_3:2506282-2507324
scaffold_17:1277138-1280333
scaffold_18:13927-17142
scaffold_18:1253253-1254113
scaffold_29:856285-857325
scaffold_36:644234-645364
scaffold_50:689973-690977
scaffold_54:717402-718004
scaffold_72:221080-222066
scaffold_72:239493-240638
scaffold_72:216595-217752
scaffold_87:9135-10897
scaffold_90:365213-366334
scaffold_132:309467-310211
scaffold_173:192910-193980
scaffold_216:159136-160098
scaffold_332:35690-36814
scaffold_332:27798-28952
scaffold_604:27130-28257
scaffold_604:41549-42619
scaffold_604:35948-36971
scaffold_1936:6389-7141
scaffold_87:215283-216322
scaffold_59:689162-689806
scaffold_18:724825-725889
scaffold_18:1240340-1242342
scaffold_10:1875122-1876652
scaffold_18:1246144-1247416
scaffold_70:418642-420376
scaffold_59:685045-685659

Table S46. Alignment of Enzyme 2.4.1.65 Daphnia proteins, with Tribolium castaneum and Ixodes scapularis orthologs, using MUSCLE [S58].

## Protein ID

Description

Dappu-61832
Dappu-64347
Dappu-26234
Dappu-58283
Dappu-64409
Dappu-58437
Dappu-202947
Ixodes_ISCW003580
Tribolium_TC014343 MP

 - - PRLSARRLCLVIFFFGGVTVLVTLHHRL

Dappu-61832
Dappu-64347
Dappu-26234
Dappu-58283
Dappu-64409
Dappu-58437
Dappu-202947
Ixodes_ISCW003580
YQQNSKELDSDDSANFVVINNDESKIPSAD--NNVRINNTTISSNILRRHGL---PWYIK Tribolium_TC014343 TWPSTKSRIPSSDEDELLIHTTAPSLPVVE QQDADLQDQPNVLEPKLLLQQQSYAVPQDSHKGTVRRDGRQMEKRVESGSGSSERPWYMK EHETEQPPKSQEKAWFFG

Dappu-61832
Dappu-64347
Dappu-26234
Dappu-58283
Dappu-64409
Dappu-58437
Dappu-202947
Ixodes_ISCW003580 Tribolium_TC014343 GGTLFPTASKGLPRLFP-DQTDGDRIIEQLMYVPEDYQGFDTP----------EKVILA

Dappu-61832
Dappu-64347
Dappu-26234
Dappu-58283
Dappu-64409
Dappu-58437
Dappu-202947
Ixodes_ISCW003580

Dappu-61832
Dappu-64347
Dappu-26234
Dappu-58283
Dappu-64409
Dappu-58437

Tribolium_TC014343 YNGLGTWGQ-RSGPGSF--HGCPVSRCSLTDDR---SRAADADAILYKDH---FIHPPV


WP
RRT-PQQRYVFWLLESAGWPEYLPMHTSSLGNFFNWTLTYRWDSDMVMPYG-Y NRSLHQQRYIFWLLESAGWPEYL--DTKPLGNFFNWTLTYRWDSDMVMPYG-YVRPTGNV RRS-PQQRYVFWILESAEWREYL--NTSTLGNFFNWTLTYRWDSDMIMPYG-YVRPTGNV RRT-PQQRYLFWLLESAGWPEYL--NTSQLGNFFNWTLTYRWDSDMVMPYG-Y------RRS-PQQRYVFWILESAGWPEYL--NTSTLGNFFNWTLTYRWDSDMVMPYG-YVRPTGNV

Dappu-202947
Ixodes_ISCW003580
Tribolium_TC014343

Dappu-61832
Dappu-64347
Dappu-26234
Dappu-58283
Dappu-64409
Dappu-58437
Dappu-202947
Ixodes_ISCW003580
Tribolium_TC014343
PRP-PHQIWIMYMLECPLHTQYI----REKDVFNWTATYKSDSELVTPYEKWVYFDDKV RRP-WQQVWILYLLECPYHTQTF----AHFRDTFNWTATYRHDSDIVAPYEKFVRYDDLD SRP-FNQVWIMYFLECPYHTQSI----KFPDVINWTATYRRDSDLVAPYERWTYFDPQV
*
------------------ASRSEHQLFVMVSDESPQHSR----------- - IDIFGKC ------DQLKQLMSVQKMNYAAGKTKMASWMVSNCGAHSNRLQMVKILQKYIQVDVYGVC PLHPSDDQMKELLSNQKVNYATAKTKMAAWMVSNCGSHSSRNEMVNIIKKYIQVDVYGAC PLHPSENQLKQLMSNQKVNYAAGKAKMASWMVSSCFSHSSRHEMVKILQKYIQVDIYGAC ----------QLMSVQKMNYAAGKTKMAAWMVSNCGSHSNRKEMVSLLQKYIQVDVYGAC PLHPSENQLKQLMSDQKVNYAAGKTKMAAWFVSNCVAKSNRNEMVKILQKYIQIDVYGVC RRKP-----------VTTNFAANKTKKVAWFVSNCGAKNNRLEYAHALQKHIDVDIYGSC PVAEA----------SRVLPNHNKTKKVAWFVSNCAARNQRLQYARKLGAHIEVDIFGAC RQKV-----------QNRDYSANKTKKVAWFVSNCGARNGRLAYARELSKYIQVDIYGMC

GKPFCSFDQL-----NDCYQRIEIDYKFYLSFENSLCRDYITEKFF-NLLDRNIVPIVYG GNLTCPKENS-----DRCNNLLD-EYKFYLSAENSLCADYVSEKFY-RALKTDIIPVVYG GTMSCPKEAGVDNSSEDCRDMVGKTYKFYMSLENSLCRDYISEKLF-GMLHRPIIPIVCG GTKTCPKKEDENNSSEECRDVAGGNYKFYMALENSLCHEYISEKFF-GMLHRPIIPVVFG GPLKCPKEVGVDNSSEDCRDMAGQNYKFYMALENSLCRDYISEKFF-GMLQRPVIPVVFG GNLTCPKEVGVDNSSEDCRDMAGENYKFYMALENSLCHEYISEKFF-GMLHRPVIPVVFG GTKNCPRHSG-----DHCLDILSTEYKFYLAFENSNCRDYITEKFYVNGLGSKVLPIVMG GPLKCPRARA-----GHCFDILDREYKFYLAFENSNCKDYITEKFFVNGLGRDVVPIAMG GPLACPRSD------KKCFDLLDREYKFYLAFENSNCRDYITEKFYVNGLGQNVLPIVMG


A--GNYEAIAPPHSYIDALKY-TPVQLAKYLDILDKNDTLYNEYFWWKPFYKLMA----G--ADYAAYAPPHSYIHVADFASPKQLAEYLLLLDKNEALYLKYFEWKKDYDVLRGPLD-L-HDYYDKIAPPHSFINAAKFENMQKLADYLILLDKNDTLYNEYFWWKPH
L-HDHYDKIAPPHSYINAAKFENMRQLADYLILLDRNDTLYNEYFWWKPHFESRYKQKDV L-HNHYDQMAPAHSFINAAKFENMRQLADYLILLDRNDTLYNEYFWWKPHFESRYKQKDV L-HDHYDKIAPPHSFINAAKFENMRQLADYLILLDRNDTLYNEYFWWKPHFESRYKQKDV APRADYEKHAPEHSFIHVDDFATPKELADYLHLLNSNDTLYNEYFEWKETGQFIN GRPEDYRRASPDHSFVHVEDFPSEKALADYLHVLDRNDSLYNEYFRWKGSGEFIN ARPEDYQRSAPEGSYIHVDEFAGPAELAAYLNRLDKDSTLYNSYFKWKGTGQFIN

```
    * :* *:: . .: ** ** *: :.:** .** **
```

Dappu-61832
Dappu-64347
Dappu-26234
Dappu-58283
Dappu-64409
Dappu-58437
Dappu-202947
Ixodes_ISCW003580
NIAFCQLCQQLNQ-PRTHVQWYHDIDAWYDGGNHCHKPNRFKVPYTFSYFIIIGRM-----GWCDLCAKLND-PQEPAKVYQSMAEWWYDEVPCYPGESFIKTVLNHIQ----------

NIGMCHLCASLHN-KDLPPKVYPNMTDWWESKSSCISTPLIS-------------------NIGMCHLCASLHN-KDMPPKVYANMTOWWDEOSFCINSPPIS NIGMCHLCASLHN-KEMPAKVYPNMTHWWDEQSSCINSPPIL-------------------TYFFCRLCSMLHEAPYSPPRYYDDFNEWWRGGTNCIKGSWRDLENHQRNKKNKKKVGGDG TYFWCRLCAMLHA-PPVP-KVYPDIGAWWSGPGTCNSNRWSKFKTKKDSVGYVFT----Tribolium_TC014343 TFFWCRLCAMLHA-PRVH-RHYDDINDWWRGPGVCSSKSWRNADFV

Table S47. Alignment of Enzyme 2.4.1.206 Daphnia proteins, with Tribolium castaneum and Ixodes scapularis orthologs, using MUSCLE [S58].

## Protein ID

Description

Ixodes_ISCW018107
Tribolium_TC014213
Tribolium_TC008953
Ixodes_ISCW003730
Dappu-325474
Dappu-241507
Dappu-241308
Dappu-111641
Dappu-316941
Dappu-314238
Dappu-56803
Dappu-14718

Ixodes_ISCW018107
Tribolium_TC014213
Tribolium_TC008953
Ixodes_ISCW003730
Dappu-325474
Dappu-241507
Dappu-241308
Dappu-111641
Dappu-316941
Dappu-314238
Dappu-56803
Dappu-14718

Ixodes_ISCW018107 SHPPGLHEDVNP---YPFGYVLNKPDL---C
-ATGSKILVLI Tribolium_TC014213 SGGSSEAPQLPAVRTLTNATNSSQPDLTRGVAAEIIYEAGHVDVSSQICPELGRDLKLLI Tribolium_TC008953
 Ixodes_ISCW003730
Dappu-325474
Dappu-241507
Dappu-241308
Dappu-111641
Dappu-316941
Dappu-314238
Dappu-56803
Dappu-14718
----------LLYVFGVP-------------- KSKHWRTHAHFRQHRFSSRATPAMA -YVAYITSPQLTTTASPLRTLVSSEIRAFQGNTTQAEVAKNMTVAPPSSN
-F-----------------------NTTRTTHYVLN
-----------F--
----------FGYGLLYRPLSFGS--------- - LAGRPRPDMSWLLAQQDIRQL---
IRYNKVVIEKKFLSDYMVSVWDTRIIDEEAEKAKPIKDRMQDYIRYSVARLGLHEL----
-----------LFDYLAFH-LRDK----------EYDGIENYIRFMTANLGLKSLPISS
-----------IISSLVVPSLINT------------PYPGVANYTLYETARLGLLI-----
-----------FFDYLANHLRDT-------------PYPGVGNYIRYTVARLGLAPL---
--------------------------------------
-----------FFEYLASQLRDT------------RYPGVETHTRYVVAKTRRKYL---

--------------------------------------------------------------------

Dappu-316941
Dappu-314238
Dappu-56803
Dappu-14718

Ixodes_ISCW018107 DSLHADIVQGNFTDCYRNLTFKSVMMVRWASASCPG-AEFVLKIDDDVLLNVWDFAPTLS Tribolium_TC014213 QYLYGDIIRGKFRDTYDNLTLKTISMLEWVDNYCPK-AAFVLKTDDDMFINVSRLLAFIA Tribolium_TC008953 SDRFGDIIQERFIDSYNNLTLKSVFMLKLVSSYCANSTKYLLKIDDDMFVNMIPVVRMLR Ixodes_ISCW003730 SRLFGDVIQADFMDTYNNLTVKSVVLLKWTGQQCPQ-TRYILKTDDDMYVNVPNLVSYLN Dappu-325474 Dappu-241507 Dappu-241308 Dappu-111641 Dappu-316941 Dappu-314238 Dappu-56803
Dappu-14718
AVV--SAPENFEKRNIIRQTWRTHLN-LEYHEKLMNIIGFAFILGMSD-KNVTQIKIEEE AVI--SAPKYFHKRDIIRRTWQRHLQ-MQSDLNSMNLAGFGFIVGLTQGDDGIQKRIEDE -----------------------------------MARFGFFLGQTR-NDSIQKRIEEE ALI--SAPDHFKERNDIRETWLIHLK-SVLEKNLLGMARFDFFLGQTR-NDSIQKRIEEE *.: SDKNKDVVQVDMMDNGKNDSLKLAAIFNWVQQFCTN-VDVVFKMDENF--EIATLKKFGS SETFGDILQVNMIDRYVDLSVKLASLFNWVDTYCPR-VDFVLKVDDDVYVNVHNLATVLH SKTHDDIIQFEMLDTHRNLPLKMAGLFNWVNTICPK-LDFLLKLDDEMYLNVHVLANFVN ANTHGDMIQIGISDFYRNLSLKVAGLFHWLYSNCAR-VDFVAKLDDDVYVNVRNLARFVQ SKTHKDILQIEIPDIYYRLAVKVAGLFNWLHRYCAQ-IDFLLKVDDDVYVNVRNLAHFVN GKTYGDILQIEMIDDYYNLTFKVVGLLNWVNDHCSR-VDYVLKVDDDVYVNTHNLVAVMN SQKHGDIVQIEMDDSYRNLTLKGIAVLNWVRQHCAK-VDLVFKVDDDVYVNVHNLVHFVR SQKHGDIVQIEMDDSYRNLTLKGIAVLNWVRQHCAK-VDLVFKVDDDVYVNVHNLVHFVR

Ixodes_ISCW018107 ALHGV---DRTIWGL-------LAQ---RWTPERNPRSKWYVSWGMYQNATYP-DFLTGP Tribolium_TC014213 KHSPE---QRTIYGR-------LAK---KWKPIRNKKSKYYISPNQYKPAVFP-DFTTGP Tribolium_TC008953 DRNST---TDLLMGK-------LIC---RARPIKDTTSKWYSPRYMYPHHVYP-NYVSGT Ixodes_ISCW003730 KKGG----RKMLLGC-------LIS---GATPIRDWTSKWYVPPFVYPHHTYP-DYLSGT Dappu-325474 Dappu-241507 Dappu-241308 Dappu-111641 Dappu-316941 Dappu-314238 Dappu-56803
Dappu-14718

Ixodes_ISCW018107 Tribolíum_TC014213 Tribolium_TC008953 Ixodes_ISCW003730 Dappu-325474 Dappu-241507 ALTEKEIPDTFVYG-------VKG---DIRPQR-EAGKRMITMEEFPWTTFP-AYFNGL SLTVA---DQSIYGR------QCG---GMIPDR-KGGKWMTSYENWPWHKFP-IYFQGA TYRQLG--KMTIFGQSPRKGYPFIN---NWGPQR--SGMHEIALEEWPWNTYP-NYVNGP TYRHQS--NQSMFGS-------AAG---NLWPAR--DGKWNMTFEDWPWNEYP-PYFLGP EQKVQPSINQTLFGS-------YIGYGRDYIPDR--EGKHFISYEEWPWTRYP-RFFNGP NLNSS---EHSMYGS------FA----EGLPNR--GGKWYISFEDWPWSNYP-TYFRGA SNYQS-- NNSVFGH------ AWG---ETYPHRYKDSKYYISLEEYPWSNYPYNWLSGP SNYQS---NNSVFGY-------VWS---EPYPNRYKDSKYYIPLEEYPWRHYP-NYVNGP

SYLLSGDSVPLLARASDSVPYLYLEDVFLTGLVAEKAGVRRVHNDGFLN-----YRKFFT AYLLPARLSKELYVAALNHTYFKLEDVFVTGIVANSLKIKRVHAPEFLN----KRVSLT GYVMSVDVAEKLYKAALKTPIFHLEDVYTTGLCAKRAGVRPKNNPLFTY-----QSMNYD GYVMSGDVLGQLFRTALETPFFYMEDIFVTGMVAQKVGIKPVNYDAFKF----YKRKNN AYFITGNMIVPLMAAFQTVPMLPLEDVYL-GICIIKSDMKRYTYCG-------RDINNS GVVIAGSAVRPILSAMQVTPYFIWEDMYLVGLCAAKAKVQLRTSNQ-
AYLIHQTAILPLLAAIQTTPIMPFEDIYITGICSEKAGVVTQYSSGYNR AVLFPSSTILPLLAALQTTPMMPIDDVYYSGMCTEKAGVVLRFSTNSTR------GVVISGNSILSLLAAMQTTPIMTSDDVYYIGICTEKTNITLHFSSKSTSVFSMECPDLSR AILMPGITIGPLLAASQTTPFLPFDDTFLTGLCTAKAAITVRISDRFFV---GGATEVPE AYFMHASVVIPLLAASQTTPLHPFEDVFLTGMCREKAGVKIRNSIDQRQ------QLWFM AYFMHASVVIPLLAASQTIPFNPFEDVFLTGLCTEKASV-
Dappu-14718

Ixodes_ISCW018107 PCTTPRVIASHGYTPLYLRHVW-
Tribolium_TC014213 PCSVQKGISIHMVKGVEQYDLWKKLHDVAAKCKK
Tribolium_TC008953 VCLYMRLYTAHRFTPSDIRKTYTLLKD--SNVTRECTYHRGRSNLSVNWLMNNILKVNKP Ixodes_ISCW003730 PCVFRKLITAHIMTPSELRSMWSRVRDRRIKCS
Dappu-325474
Dappu-241507
Dappu-241308
Dappu-111641
Dappu-316941
Dappu-314238
Dappu-56803
Dappu-14718

Table S48. Alignment of Enzyme 2.4.1.152 Daphnia proteins, with Tribolium castaneum and Ixodes scapularis orthologs, using MUSCLE [S58].
Protein ID Description

Dappu-328684
Dappu-244685
Dappu-104196
Dappu-319378
Ixodes_ISCW004236
Ixodes_ISCW024758
Ixodes_ISCW023318
Dappu-41601
Dappu-48653
Dappu-331779
Dappu-67046
Dappu-55591
Dappu-334524
Dappu-302891
Dappu-60476
Tribolium_TC008651
Tribolium_TC008652
Dappu-227431
Dappu-251980
Ixodes_ISCW003590
Dappu-318584
Dappu-316980
Dappu-312894
Dappu-236411
Dappu-60056
Dappu-3751
Dappu-107642
Dappu-253741
Dappu-13230
Dappu-219820
Dappu-315514
Dappu-25363
Dappu-315506
Dappu-25935
Dappu-260935
Dappu-19438
Dappu-52155
Dappu-302634
Dappu-66315
Dappu-66309
Dappu-302400
Dappu-56240
Dappu-65379
Dappu-4136
Dappu-266638
Dappu-13713
Dappu-4141
Dappu-266923
Dappu-272135
Dappu-116054
Dappu-331784
Dappu-67045
-----------MHIFKTLFSQLDRHRVFYLLWLLFLLNVFTFKQLTLNEDDVDSKELVI
-- -- -MNNRRRFQNVFYKLNLHRVLYPLWLFFLFNVFTLKQLTIDETENEVKELDIVKHI
----MNNRRRFQNVFYKLNRHRVLYPLWLFFLFNVFTLKQLTIDETENVEVKELDIVKHI
SLPRKGRSCPIWPKMTPSVRTSIFILTSLLLLLWLFSFSAFRPTFRQIVGVWSPVKWSYY
---------------LGTFWYSKSKFQRCTQESVLVNGSNENVYMNRLENVMYNYSLWT

- MVHSFPVIMVTRKFAIKFIFVAVLICACFVILYVAPRLLT

-------------------------------------------------------------------



-----------------------MSPYRRFVVGILIIVLLTRFYNKVAFYKNEENEK
 -------------------------------2QTIQLEQATIFLLSQYRTESTPAQTLIKR -------------------MSNNLNTVSQKRIALFATLLCILILTFFVFNTPIFHSHD


-------------------MVFILFLCISSVVAFLVYFHETNVAPSEFVNQTSTGEIVS



AAFSTKMKWSESSFQLVAALWRRKHKVLVLLFCFVFVIGVRQLDFNQEDKVIETEEKLMP


TSFIFVVCFLAFINYQHLGVTHSSILPKFSISRASQQQVSHANDAENTNNNTFKNLNKKT ----------------------------MYANNALRSTADHHVAKEEKDSDHLL

 ------------------------------------------------------MVDN FHRHWIAIQSSLSKQTGFSSKIVSYWLASSFLIAIIFLYYLLLAFWDNKLLFRQNQQVVP ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------LLRKRIRSKMMCRRYPGICAGRRRPWVIRPRPVVKRRPLVSRPTFRPTMTRFPCVSCGRRG -----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------MHFSNEKGSSASNDPTSEKIHKGPVIVRNKR --------------------------------------------------------MR LKLTRLSLAKRIILVAIGIVFFLAALIRRDDDGRTSPALPNPFDSISFQPIRETNLVVNR ----------MGLSAAGLFLTSAAFLYWNEMNNQQQLITFSQSTNKDVTGKIAANVNMKI

Dappu-316572
Dappu-302457
Dappu-64359
Dappu-4083
Dappu-53630
Dappu-111600
----------------------------------MTSILHNKIGGEPTLNNWVNRNN
Dappu-15329
Dappu-58299
Dappu-248921
Dappu-325563
Dappu-23160
Dappu-221393
Dappu-3818
Dappu-58354
Dappu-311402
Dappu-106945
Dappu-198878
Dappu-313025
Dappu-313010
Dappu-24623
Dappu-58316
Dappu-308012
Dappu-67044
Dappu-260055
Dappu-63087
Dappu-316372
Dappu-68594
Dappu-325685
Dappu-3750
Dappu-336888
Dappu-266928
Dappu-49339
Dappu-316587
Dappu-241186
Dappu-49176

Dappu-328684
Dappu-244685
Dappu-104196
Dappu-319378
Ixodes_ISCW004236
Ixodes_ISCW024758
Ixodes_ISCW023318
Dappu-41601
Dappu-48653
Dappu-331779
Dappu-67046
Dappu-55591
Dappu-334524
Dappu-302891
Dappu-60476
Tribolium_TC008651 NVDDKPTFLDNNDTKTILYWTP-MFQSLN-----FYLGL----GS----KIFEK--CA-Y
Tribolium_TC008652 SVDGKPTFLGNNVTKTILYWTP-MFQSPH-----FYLGT----GS----KIFEK--CA-Y
Dappu-227431 LSEYETIKKNCSGKQLVLFWTK-FFETDD----FYVGL----GI----KPFKQ--CT-V
Dappu-251980
Ixodes_ISCW003590
Dappu-318584
Dappu-316980
Dappu-312894


Dappu-236411
Dappu-60056
Dappu-3751
Dappu-107642
Dappu-253741
Dappu-13230
Dappu-219820
Dappu-315514
Dappu-25363
Dappu-315506
Dappu-25935
Dappu-260935
Dappu-19438
Dappu-52155
Dappu-302634
Dappu-66315
Dappu-66309
Dappu-302400
Dappu-56240
Dappu-65379
Dappu-4136
Dappu-266638
Dappu-13713
Dappu-4141
Dappu-266923
Dappu-272135
Dappu-116054
Dappu-331784
Dappu-67045
Dappu-316572
Dappu-302457
Dappu-64359
Dappu-4083
Dappu-53630
Dappu-111600
Dappu-15329
Dappu-58299
Dappu-248921
Dappu-325563
Dappu-23160
Dappu-221393
Dappu-3818
Dappu-58354
Dappu-311402
Dappu-106945
Dappu-198878
Dappu-313025
Dappu-313010
Dappu-24623
Dappu-58316
Dappu-308012
Dappu-67044
Dappu-260055
Dappu-63087
Dappu-316372
Dappu-68594
Dappu-325685
Dappu-3750
Dappu-336888
Dappu-266928


AYVQRAVDSSDGEPKIILFWTK-YHGSAS-----FDFGL----GS----RPFETAGCR-V
-----------STKTILIWNP-YSRFEL-----EVFGE----GA--- - DTFTSHHCP-I
-------MEQPAEFKTILYWND-FFGIED-----FTFGL----GR---EPFIQAQCP-I
FNDIEEEEAGPSALKTILYWNS-FFAFKD-----FNFGF----GQ----QPFLDAKCP-T
NQIDLSNQSSSDALKIILLWSTWSSTMAD--------------------EPLVKARCP-VHDTCEEIRPLSHRRKTLLLWTPVSFTWSI----------------------LSPPPATPIHFDKKFIILYWTKYYHFLDF-----GNIGL----GG----KPFVTCDRAGI
IGNVSGLSAPCQRKILILYWTK-YFTSVD-----FEYGL----GR----TPFATCDDNRI
GRFRQQCPNVNYIQIIILYWTK-YFGASD-----FGFGI----GR----KSFAQCDQTCSFAOETIKOAESNKPKIILYWNK-YFNHSD-----MGFGV----GQ----EPFIKAGCK-VTTIKSTTVKQLETLKIVLYWNT-FFNQTD-----MTFGF----GR----QPFVDAGCQ-I-MQEIVETKPSPPDKVILFWTP-YYNDSD-----YTVGF----GR----DPFVKNGCQ-F--------------KTILFWTP-YYNHSD-----YTFEL----GQ----DPFIKFGCK-VMPSRLASEPKWEKLKRILYWTE-YFGTKD-----YPFKL----GD----QTFREAKCR-VNRHQRNKKDHSLMFKKILFWNS-YFSSKD-----FELGL----GR----TAFKDAGCR-IPFMTAGCR-YKEREDSSESGSSPPKRTRKSGKTAEHTQLIETAVFGFGH----------QPFLDHGCE-V------------KIKSILFWNG-PRRSEM-----TIFGT----GH----DAFVQQECP-I
EKEISANNQTSLGYKNILIWNE-ADRTET-----ANFGI----GH----DPFVEHKCE-V
NRTANVTRNNNNRYQSILIWNS-PDRIET-----SAFGL----GH----EPFIRNGCQ-V
VIDNLFPSLFLSKRKTILIWNS-AHRIET-----AAFGI----GH----EPFVQYGCE-I
TFSKITGLSNNRKNKTILIWNS-PQILDT-----APFGF----GH----EPFIAHGCE-V
-MIPWIAA---RDIKTILIWNS-AHRIET-----AAFGF----GR----QTFFQHGCD-I
LFDVFQSAPTLRENKTILIWNSAHRIETA----AFGFEL----------DSFRRHGCE-V
SVSDFLSRVTYRGNKTILIWNSAHRIETA----AFGFGY----------QPFIQHGCE-V
--------------------------------TIFGT----GH----DAFVQHGCP-V
DLVENNSTTVQGRIKTILLWNA-PQRPEV-----VIFGT---GH----DAFVQRGCP-V
QNTFQNRVLVSKRGKSILLWNS-NENERF-----FRHHS-----------------GSCG-S
FQKVHDYILQSKRGKSILLWNS-NENERF-----FRQHS--------------GSCG-S
NNIIIPKGNNNYPVKKILLWNA-SQRKEV-----RAFGV----GQ----DVFARKRCA-F
ESVESSGFIQKNVTKTILLWNG-VRRKEV-----RVFGQ----GD----QVFVNQSCP-V
SKEHHQEETEKNATKRILLWNG-SRRVEV-----QVFGK----GQ----DAFAKQNCT-Y

Dappu-49339
Dappu-316587
Dappu-241186
Dappu-49176

Dappu-328684
Dappu-244685
Dappu-104196
Dappu-319378
Ixodes_ISCW004236
Ixodes_ISCW024758
Ixodes_ISCW023318
Dappu-41601
Dappu-48653
Dappu-331779
Dappu-67046
Dappu-55591
Dappu-334524
Dappu-302891
Dappu-60476
Tribolium_TC008651
Tribolium_TC008652
Dappu-227431
Dappu-251980
Ixodes_ISCW003590
Dappu-318584
Dappu-316980
Dappu-312894
Dappu-236411
Dappu-60056
Dappu-3751
Dappu-107642
Dappu-253741
Dappu-13230
Dappu-219820
Dappu-315514
Dappu-25363
Dappu-315506
Dappu-25935
Dappu-260935
Dappu-19438
Dappu-52155
Dappu-302634
Dappu-66315
Dappu-66309
Dappu-302400
Dappu-56240
Dappu-65379
Dappu-4136
Dappu-266638
Dappu-13713
Dappu-4141
Dappu-266923
Dappu-272135
Dappu-116054
Dappu-331784
Dappu-67045
Dappu-316572
Dappu-302457

RSAQKAVKIIRNETKTILVWNG-SGRKEV-----RNFGW----GK--- -DAFINKNCP-Y
--TNCILSK-NKNI---VTPERADAIVFLYTNLCE------- LPKVHG--RQEYQRFVL
--TNCVLSK-NKTK---VIPEQADAIVFLYTNLCE------- - LPKIHG--RQGFQRFVL
--TNCVLSK-NKTK---VIPEQADAIVFLYTNLCE--------LPKIHG--RQGFQRFVL
--TNCVLSK-NKTK---VIPEQADAIVFLYTNLCE--------LPKIHG--RQGFQRFFL
--RSCILTN-DRSL-----LESSDAIVFHIRDIDM--AN----LPQR----RSPFQKWVF
--DRCYITN-DRRL-----LHSSDAVVLYGTDLDL--AD----MPWR----RYRGQKWVY
SIPPCVVTS-NRSL-----LNESDLVIFHMRDIRA--DD----LPAE---RPPGQRWAL

-     - TDCFTTD-NRSM--LKTAAEFDAIVFHLRTFNI--ED----LPPT----RGQNQRWIF
- -WQCETSD-NRNH----VQDYDAVVFHLRSWSR--ND----LPQR---RSPHQRYIG
--XXXXTST-NRTD-----VHDYDAVIFHMRGSWD-PND----LPQR----RSPHQRYVF
--WQCETST-DRTN---- VHDYNAVIFHMRGSWN-PNE----LPQR---RSPHQRYVF
--WQCETSD-NRTN----VQEYDAVVIHLRTWNK--KD--- LPKL--- RSPHQRYVF
--WQCEISA-NRTD---- VHTYDAVLFHLRTWSK--ND--- LPHP--- RLANQRYVF
--YQCDIFN-RERIVDWDTLKYYDAIVFHQHGWTP--ND----VPMK----RWPHQHYIF
--WQCKTIS-DRNI---LLIESYDAVVFNQRKWTP--TD----LPVN----RSGHQRYIF
-- NNCYATY-VKNE---RPVEKFDAIIFHGVEYQEKWFG----KPQK--- RNPNQVYIF
--KNCYATY-VKNE-- LPVEKFHAIMFHAVEYQEKLFG----KPQK--- RNPNQFYIF
--FACCSTN -NRNF---- LDVSDAIIFHIRDLDL--ND--- MPPR--- RSVRQRWIF
--RNCRVTT-DRRS---NLLGKFDAIIFNMAVLHQLATDK-- LPPADT--RESHQYYIF
- -QVCLFTR-QRRH----LKSSAAILFHGKDIYL--ND--- MPSY--- RSPQQRWIF

--SNCLITY-NSTL---MPHWQFDAFLVHPPTING----------PYILKDRRPDQMFVM
--TNCLITY-NNTL---MTHDKFDAFVIHSPTQHT--------PWILKD--RRPDQMFVM
-     - DNCFITN-NASL---MPHENFDAILVHPPTQKT--------PKEFKN--RRADQIFVM
--SNCKTTT-DRLL---- LNESHAIIFHSGNLNM--SD----MPPV---RFDHQRWIF
--NNCFITK-NRTW---APLHQFDSIIFNMPPLSL--YK----FPVDEH--RRPEQRYIF
--STCQVTN-DRSQ-----FNGSQVVVFSAQNLNF--SD----LPPH----RFPHQRFVF
--ATCFLTN-DRTL-----FNQSDVVIFSVQQMNL--TD----LPPY---RFAHQRFVF
--ATCYVTD-DRSL-----FNRSDVVIFSIQGMNL--TD----LPTH----RFPHQRFVF
----MNLTD--------------------------------2PTH---RFPHQRFVF
--TACLFTP-DLTL-----FNQSDVVVLSVETT----PD----FLVN--- RLPHQRFVF
--TSCIFTP-DRSL-----LNHSHVVLFFANNETKRNDA----LPEH----RQPHQRFVF
--TSCIFTA-DMSL-----IHQSDVVVLYVDTLTD--------FPLN----RRPHQRFVF
--RSCVFTT-DMSL-----INQSDVIVLHFDTLED------- FPLN---RQPHQRYVF
--KSCLFTT-DMSL-----MQQSDVVVLHFDTLED------- YPVN---RQPHQRFVF
----CLFTT-DMSL----LQQSDIVVLHFDTLED-------YPIN---RQPHQRFVF
--KGCRLIS-DRRL-----LNESDAVIFHFRNGSF--DR----LPTC----RRPDQRYVY
--HNCRLST-DRRL----LNESDAVIFHFWNDKL--DR----IPTY--- RSPHQYYVY
NGDGCVVTT-DRNL-----LNQSDAVMFHFRCFDL--ND----MPPPAW--RRPRQHFIL
N-SGCMATT-DRNL-----LNESDAVIFHFRTINV--SD----MPPPEW--RRPQQHFIF
---VCLTTM-DRGL-----VNESDAVIFHSRDLRD--ND----LPPPGW--RLPHQHYVF
----CVTTT-DRRL----LNDSDAVIFHARDLHP - -ND--- LPPPGQ--RRPHQNFVF
----CWTTT-DRGL----LNRSQAVIFHARDLDP - -DD----LPPPGW--RRPHQQFIF
--ENCLTTS-DRNL---- LNKSDAVIFHGRDLKD--SD----LPPPEW--RLPHQHFVF
--SNCLTTT-DRGL-----LNDSNAVIFHGRDLHV--QD----LPLPEW--RRPHQIFIF
----CLTTT-DRGL---- LNDSGAVIFHGRDLHV--ED----LPPPGW--RRPHQMFIF
--NNCIATS-DRSL-----LKESDGVIIHAGDYSE--ND----LPIY----RSPHQRFIF
--NNCIATS-DRSL-----LKESDGVIIHAGDYSE--ND----LPIY----RSPHQRFIF
--SNCIATN-DRRL---- FNRSDGVIIHAGDYLE--HD----LPTY----RLPHQRFIM
--SNCIATN-DRRL-----FNRSDGVIIHAGDYLE--HD----LPTY----RLPHQRFIF
-     - TNCWATG-DRTL---- LEQSDAVIFHAGQFNL--SD----LPSK----RLQRQRYIF
-- TNCITTA-DRNS-----LDKSDAVIFHAFQVNS--RD----LPAQ--- RHPRQRFVF
-- TNCIATA-DRKL----LNQSDAVIFHALQVNS--RD--- LPTH--- RHPHQRFIF

Dappu-64359
Dappu-4083
Dappu-53630
Dappu-111600
Dappu-15329
Dappu-58299
Dappu-248921
Dappu-325563
Dappu-23160
Dappu-221393
Dappu-3818
Dappu-58354
Dappu-311402
Dappu-106945
Dappu-198878
Dappu-313025
Dappu-313010
Dappu-24623
Dappu-58316
Dappu-308012
Dappu-67044
Dappu-260055
Dappu-63087
Dappu-316372
Dappu-68594
Dappu-325685
Dappu-3750
Dappu-336888
Dappu-266928
Dappu-49339
Dappu-316587
Dappu-241186
Dappu-49176

Dappu-328684
Dappu-244685
Dappu-104196
Dappu-319378
Ixodes_ISCW004236
Ixodes_ISCW024758
Ixodes_ISCW023318
Dappu-41601
Dappu-48653
Dappu-331779
Dappu-67046
Dappu-55591
Dappu-334524
Dappu-302891
Dappu-60476
Tribolium_TC008651
Tribolium_TC008652
Dappu-227431
Dappu-251980
Ixodes_ISCW003590
Dappu-318584
Dappu-316980
Dappu-312894
Dappu-236411
Dappu-60056
--TNCIATA-DRKL---- LNQSDAVIFHALQVNS--RD--- LPTH--- -RHPHQRFIF
--SNCMTTD-DRQL-----LNVSDAVLFHAMDFDE--LD----FPSLVN--RRPDQRFIF
--SNCQLTD-DRSL-----LNSSDAVIFHINDFDD--RD----LPDPLD--RLAHQRFIF

-     - TNCLLSD-DRRL----LDTSDAIIFHANDFNE--RD--- LPDPHR--RRPNQRFIF
-     - NNCMTTT-DRNL---- VNQSDAIIFHPFDVNV--KD----LPTY---RTAHQRYIL
--TNCLTTT-DKSL-----ANQSDALIFHPNDFDV--DN----LPRH----RLAAQRYVF
--TECRIDL-EASG--- TLDTYDAIVVNFNDQFR--LID---LPEFR---RKPHQRMVF
--SDCAIFD-NETS---LPIEEYDAIVMHMCLIWL--SE----IP---------------
--SDCEIVN-SPHQYPYRPLSSFDAVIFNFNDEFW------- LTKRPHFQRQPHQRFIF
-     - SDCAIFTRDTSM-- LPYEEYDAVIIHMLFLKM--FQ--- LPNFE--RRRHQRFIF
--SDCAVFN-QQSA-ASLPLEEFDAVIVQISTMWL--SD----LPENRT--RSKHQRFIF
--SDCVIFD-NETA---LPLKEYDAIVMNMHVIWL--TE----LPYFK---RRQHQRFIF
--SDCILFD-NATSPDLLPIEDYDAIILHMHELWI--TG----HPIYN---RQKYQRLIF
--SNCIVFD-QPSI-- LPLEEYDAILVHVHELWK--TR----MPDFH-- RQKHQRFVF
-     - KECVVFD-NKTS--ILPLEEYDAIIIHMHELWQ--TQ--- -MPNFT-- RRAHQRLIF
-     - SDCIVFD-NATSHELLPLEDYDAIIIHMHELWL--TH--- LPEFQ-- RKSHQRLIF
--SDCVVFD-NATTPELLPLEDYDAIIIHMHELWL--TQ----LPEFK---RQAHQRLIF
--SDCVVFD-NATTPELLPLEDYDAIIIHMHELWL--TQ----LPEFK---RQARQRLIF
--SDCEIVN-SPHQYPGRPLDSYDAIIFNFNDEFWL-TK----RPIFN---RQPHQRFIF
-     - SDCELVN-SPYQYPGRSVESYDAIVFNINDQFGVGSR--- RPYADGNQRPATQRYVF
--SDCELVN-SPYQYPERSVDSYDAIVFNINDQFGVGSR----RPYADGNQRPATQRYVF
-- IRCEIIS-NRSE-- RPIESYDAIVVIFDDQFS--PVDPMELAEFQSESNNTNQKFVF
-     - IRCEIIS-NRSE---RPIESYDAIVVIFGDDFS--PVDPMELAEFQSESNNTNQKFVF
---------------------------------------LAEFQSESNNTNQKFVF
-     - TQCEIFT-DRWE-- HPLDYYDAIVVVFNDEFL--SKEDMAMPEFESG-RNPNQRLVF
--NRCEIVTSSRTE---RPIESYDAIIVVFHDELI--TSYELKMPEFPNG-RNPNQRLIF
--NGCEIVT-SRTE---RPIESYDAIIVVFHDELI--TPYELKMPEFPNG-RNPNQRLIF
--SRCEISD-NRTE---RPLEHYDAIVVVLNNEFI--SPDQLKLPEFDNK-RNASQRLVF
$\qquad$
--------- MTRL
-     - TRCEMTD-NRSE-- RPLEHFDAIVFVLNDEFT--SPDQMMMPDFKNK-RNASQHLVL


LTDDP-PMCYPRNYFE-RNNLF---GSFFNWTISYRENADV--TWKRGWIEK------ -
LTDDP - PMCYPRNYFE-RDNHF---GSFFNWTISYRENADI--TWKRGWIEK
LTDDP-PMCYPRNYFE-RDNHF---GSFFNWTISYRENADI - -TWKRGWIEK
LTDDP-PMCYPRNYFE-RDNHF---GSFFNWTISYREKADI--TWKKGWIEK
WSMEP-PPYS-------VFAGFKYMMNMFNWTMTYRFDSDI--PVQYGQLER
WSLEP-PPHCVLR----SLTYL---NNTFNWTMTYRQDSDVLDSYVLSLTKK
LDYEA-PPHTP-----RVPDV--LKGTFNWTITYRQDSDV----- - NVLP
WSLES-PQYNMQ-----DIYPL---DGLFNWTMTYRRDSDV--IQPYGWIQP
WIMES-AAWREYMV---DNSPM---VNFFNWTFSYRWDSDI - -VSPYGYVKP
WNLES-AEWREYL----DTSQL---GNFFNWTLTYRWDSDM--VMPYGYVRP
WILES-AGWFKFL----DTSPM---GNFFNWTLTYRWDSDM--VMPYGYVRP
FSMES-SAWRAYS---VVKSM-- ENLFNWTMTYRWDSDI--VYPYGYINP-.-.-. -
WSMES-AAWRIY---- SVAPM-- AEFFNWTMTYRWDSDV- -VAPYGYVRP-------
LSMES-SAWRFV---- DTKSM-- -ANFFNRTMTYRRDSDI--FNPYGWFKS-------
WSRES-PGWRYV-----NTNTM---AEFFNWTMTYRWDSDI - -AYPYGWI-
SNQES-PVNT----PS-FIRDF---DNFYNWTMTYRLDSDI - - LRPYGFLVK SNKES-PVNT----PS-FIKDF-- -NNFYNWTMTYRLDSDI - - LRPYGFLIK
YLQES-PLHTPN---- ILYDL---SNVFNWTMTFRMDSDI - -YTPYPVVES
FSQES-PFYHKENV---QIKDY---IGYFNWTMSYLPESNI --PYPYGRIER
FSLEP-PTATSLS----MLEKL---DELFNMTMTYRQDSDI--TTFYGYTVQ
---------------RLDAF---ENYFNWTMTYLPESDI--PLPYGRIEQ
FSTEP-PVHMY------HLQKY---ENYFNWTISYRTGSTF--QLKYGEIIA
FTTEP-PPHMP-----KLDKF---ENFFNWTMTYRSGSTF--QLKYGEIVP
FSNEP-PDHMPS-----DMKSF---DNYFNWTMTYRRGSDF--HLKYGEIIP
YSFTS-PVNLA------PIPKF--LQDKFNWTMTYRRDSDIIHRYPFGAMVA

Dappu-3751
Dappu-107642
Dappu-253741
Dappu-13230
Dappu-219820
Dappu-315514
Dappu-25363
Dappu-315506
Dappu-25935
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FSQEP-PTYIGE-----EVKLF---NHRFNWTMSYATHADI--RYHYGEIIP FEMES-PVNTDPQSMLDPRTRF--- SFFNWTMTYRLDSDIVQRDSYGFVVP YEMES TTDPLPLLYNRTRY----GFFNWTMTYRLDSDIVNRDAYGLVVP YEMES TTDYRPLLHNQTRF---GFFNWTMTYRLDSDIVNRDPYGIVLP-.-.-.-. YEMES TTDYRPLLHNQTRF----GFFNWTMTYRLDSDIVNRDPYGIVLP FVMES NTVDIPML-RNNLT--RYNYFNWTMSYRRDSDIVLRDFLGAVVSKNNLNDQY VARHASIESDSLISALTEDDRI--RYNFFNWTMTYRRDSDIVFRESFGAIKN AQLES-PDNTKMATI--NDPRL--RYDYFNWTMTYRRDSDIFLRDYYGSVIK YHFES-PENTASDFM--DDPRF - -RYGYFNWTMTYRRDSDIFLRDYYGSLVA YHFES-PDNTASELM--NDSNF--RYDYFNWTMTYRRDSDIYLRDYYGSLIA-------
FHFES-PENTASTLM--NDPRI--RYDYFNWTMTYRRDSDIFLRDFYEKLNF-------
LNFES-AIRSRSSYPWGKLP----RHFFNLTATYNLDSDFV-GLAFGGFQF
LNFES-AIRSRNHFPWRKIP-----HDFFNLTATYRLDSDFFGKMFYGFQFE
FEQES-PVHTAYYTGL-KLPLL---KDFFNRTMTYRRDSDIAYLNTHGRLRF
FEVES-PVHTYLPAL--RWPSL-- KSYFNRTMTYRRDSDV--SNIRIDSDP
FNHES HTDLNLL--RRPVF---WNYFNRTMTYRRDSDIVDLHPYET-

FLLES-PMHTDLKML--QMPLF---QNYFNRTMTYRLDSEV--VNTYGRIRT FNYES-PVHTDLA----KLRLY--FNHYFNRTMTYRRDSDVVSLHPYGRLKC FLYES HTDLEVL--QRPVF---RNYFNRTMTYRRDSDVVDLHPYGRIKC FLLES-PIHTDLGLL--QQPVF---RHYFNRTMTYRRDSDVVELHAYVFSAS FLLES-PVHT-DLELL-QRPVF---RNYFNRTMTYRRDSDVVELHAYDSAVV FNLETLPGLR-------HLPCF-SRRHFYNWTMTYRRDSDIYDARPYGALRL FNLETLPGLR-------HLPCF-SRRHFYNWTMTYRRDSDIYDARPYGALRL LLFETLPGGY-------HLPFF-ARPHFYNWTMTHRRDSDVYLSKSYGALRR NNYETLPGGN------GLPCF-SRQHFYNWTMTHRRDSDVYVNRPYGALRR FLFETLPLSRDYAVYFSRAVDY-----YFNWTMTHRRDSDVYCAQHYGKIRR FLYET-IPNTSIPCVGKCLPERQYLPHYFNWTMTHRRDSDVYVAEQYGAITP FLQYA-------------------PHYFNWTMTHRRDSDVYVAEPYGAIAP FLQYA--------------------PHYFNWTMTHRRDSDVYVAEPYGAIAP YNYET-CVGEK----- DMPVFVWTKDFFNWTMTYRRDSDIYDPHPYGSIRR YNFETMDGFQ-------DYPFFKKTKHFFNWTMTYRRDSDIYDAWTYGAIRR YNYETMVTAS-------DMPMFTQTKHFFNWTMTYRRDSDIYDVRTYGALQR FFYEA
LYYEAMASERERLSV--FTEPL-- KHFFNWTMTHRRDSDIFSSHPYGSLRR
FTQEP -PPAL-KGY-- DFRRY-- ANYFNWTMTYRTDSDI--PLTYGRITK
NFQSM-- RNYFNWTMSYRLNSDI - -RLLYGRIEP
FTIEP-PPSNEPM--- NVTGY---TNYFNWTMTYRLDSDV--PFPYGRIRP
LTQET-PVMMPL-----YISSL---DNYFNWTMTYKRNSDV--QFLYGRIEP
FAQES SMTESLP--DIFSM---RNYFNWTMSYRSNSDI--QFLYGRIQP
MTQES SMLFL----RVKTL---KNYFNWTMSYRRNSDI--QFRYGRILP
LTQEA-PTTLAI---- DVNEM-- GNYFNWTMSYRFNSDI--QLLYGRIHP------ -
LTQES-PISMHTI----DVAKM---GNLFNWTMSYKFNSDV--RLLYGRIHP
LSQES-PTTI--P
LSQES-PTTLPI---- DVTKF-- GNYFNWTMTYKLNSDV--QLLYGRVSP
LTQES-PTTMPI-----DITIL---GNYFNWTMSYRLNSDV--QLLYGRVSP
LTQES-PTTMPI---- DITEF-- GNYFNWTMSYRLNSDV--QLLYGRVSP
FTQEP-PPSIKQM----NISGY---RNYFNWTMTYRMDSDV--RFLYGRIRP
LTQEP-PPALVDQ----NLAQY---RNYFNWTMTYRMDSDV--RLLYGRIRP
LTQEP-PPALVDQ----NLAQY---RNYFNWTMTYRMDSDV--RFLYGRIRP
YTRKS-PQSLASYH---NLSEF---TGVFNWTMTYRRDSDI --PLLYGRIEP
-GVFNWTMTYKRDSDI--PLLYGRIEP
YTRKS-PQSLASYH---NVSEF---TGVFNWTMTYRRDSDI--PLLYGRIAP-------
YTRKS-PQSLASYH-- NVSEF---TGVFNWTMTYRRDSDI--PLLYGRIAP--------
FTQES-PPALRSHY---NMTRF---VHFFNWTMTYALDSDI--PLLYGRIIP-------
LTQEP-PTSLKRYY---NTSQL-- -TNFFNWTMTYRMDSDI--PFLYGRVLP
LTQEP-PTSLKRFY---NTSQL---KHFFNWTMTYRMDSDI--PFLYGRVLP
FTQEP-PPALMPYY---NTSRF---ANFFNWTMTYRMDSDI--RLLYGRFIP--------
FTQEA-PPALRPLF---NMSQL---VDIFNWTMTYRFDSDI--PFIYGRVIP
ANFFNWTMTYRINSDI--QLLYGRIIA
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FTQES-PPALKSYY-- NMTQL-- - AHFFNWTMTYRMDADI--RFLYGRIIP
LTQEA-PPALKPYY---NMTRL---ANFFNWTMTYRSDADI--RLRYGRIIP
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Dappu-107642
Dappu-253741
Dappu-13230
Dappu-219820
Dappu-315514
Dappu-25363
Dappu-315506
Dappu-25935
Dappu-260935
Dappu-19438
Dappu-52155
Dappu-302634
Dappu-66315
Dappu-66309
Dappu-302400
Dappu-56240
Dappu-65379
Dappu-4136
Dappu-266638
Dappu-13713
Dappu-4141
Dappu-266923
Dappu-272135
Dappu-116054
Dappu-331784
Dappu-67045
Dappu-316572
Dappu-302457
Dappu-64359
Dappu-4083
Dappu-53630
Dappu-111600

GPC-----GN--- LS--- CPE--- - TNGSPGEALQPCLDM- - LADNYKFV-LAFERFI GPC-----GT----KS---CPE---TNGTPTAAILPCLDM--LAENYKFV-LAFEHNV GPC-----GT----KS----CPE----TNGTPTAAILPCLDM--LAENYKFV-LAFERFI GPC-----GT----KS----CPE----TNGTPTAAILPCLDM--LAENYKFV-LAFERFI GLC-----GD----HK----CSR----SR------GTSCYSD--FERKYFFM-LAFENSI GKC-----GK----HR----CER----DT------TPRCHTL--FANNYFFL-LSFENAV GQC-----GH----LS----CLP----KM------SADCYHN--ASKVYFFY-LALENSI GDC-----GS----MA----CDR----DN-----AANCYEM--LEQDYKFY-LSFENSF GNC-----GT----MT----CPR----NI------EDECREM--AAKNYKFY-MALENSL GAC-----GT----LE----CPK----ELGVDNS-SEECRDM--AGQNYKFY-MALENSL GSC-----GN--- KK--- CPKEVGVDNS-----SEDCRDM--AGQNYKFY-MALENTL GKC-----GN----MT----CPK----KQDKSFESSDECREM--AAQRYKFY-FALENSL GTC-----GN----LT----CPK----KLDDSYESSEECRDL--AASEHKFY-LSLENSL GQC-----GN----MS----CSR----SN------PEFCRQM--LESDYKFY-LSLENTL GEC-----GN----MS----CSR----SN------PELCRKM--LERDYKFY-LSLENTL GRC-----GT----LH----CEK----NN------KEGCYDM--MERKYKFY-LSFENSI GKC-----SA----LH----CEK----DN-----TEACYDK--MERDYKFY-LSFENSI GTC-----GN----LT----CSH----SD-----HIECYKM--LERDYKFY-LAFENSI GLC-----GP----LK----CNW----NSDTGIS-HPECYDM--LEKEYKFY-LSFENSL GKC-----GK----HV----CEP----KA-----SDACYQD--AAKNYSFY-LSFENSI GKC-----GG----KDL---CPK----LKN-----DELCYDM--IEKTYKFY-LAFENSI DGC---EGGR----NI----CPR----EKN-----GQECYDS--IERDYKFY-LSFENSI GKC-----GG----QDV---CPR---EKN-----SDVCYDM--IETTYKFY-FSFENSI GQC-----GG----EDR---CPR----SQN----EDVCYDM--IEKTYKFY-FSFENSI GGC-----GH----KY----CGS-----------HEQVRDI-----PFNFFVLAFENSL GGC-----YS----LR----CPM----NESAFLS-TEPCYDL--LDSSYKFY-LAFENSF GKC-----GN----LS----C------------GDRCLEM--IRSDYKFY-VAFENSF GKC-----GN----LS----CAD------------QTRGREM--VRDHYKFY-IGFENSL GKC-----GN----LT----CSN-----------RNHCKEM--IRRDYKFY-IAFENSL GKC-----GN----LT----CSN----------RNHCKEM--IRRDYKFY-IAFENSL GRC-----GK----EQVTSICDS----ADD--- NCEEIRALRAQYKFY-LAFENSW GNC-----SS-----E----CPY-------------DCYAM--LRAEYKFY-LAFENSW GRC-----GK-----D---CPS-------------NCDDL--LRTDYKFY-LAFENSW GNC------T---KQ---CPS------------HCDDM--LRTDYKFY-LAFENSW GNC------T----QD----CPY-------------HCDEM--LRAEYKFY-LAFENSW GSC------T----KK----CPY-------------NCDEM--LRAEYKFY-LAFENSW GKC-----------LKNPKTCPR----KK------QKECDDM--LKREYLFY-LSFENSF GNC----------LENHKSCPRKKDANNQPLYYVRTECDEA--LERDYLFY-LSFENSF GGCATKPENK---------CNT-----------PRDCNLM--LSQYYRFY-LSFENSL GGCATEEEKK---------CPN---------- --RPACNPM--LGQYYRFY-FCFENSL GFC-----GNGS--HQ----CPS-----------RADCDRF--LGQNYRFY-LSFENSL GSC-----RNNGSNHT----CVN-----------RADCNVM--LGRYYRFY-LSFENSL GSCRNNGSNQ----HT----CVN----------RADCNVM--LGRYYRFY-LSFENSL GEC----HGG----HQ----CRN-----------RPECDRM--LSRHYRFY-LSFENSL GKC----GDGR--HS----CQN----------RVGCDRI--LSRHYRFY-LSFENSL GKCAN---GK----HS----CPN---------- - KSECDQM--LSRHYRFY-LSFENSL GKCANAA-GS----QQQHHSCPA----N-------QSECDRM--LSRHYRFY-LSFENSL GKC-----GT----LE----CLP----RN-----TPRCDSR--VLMKYKFY-LAAENSL GKC-----GT----LE----CLP----RN------TPRCDSR--VLMKYKFY-LAAENSL GKC-----GS----LE----CLP----YN------DPRCDTK--VLVNYRFY-LAAENSL GKC-----GS----LE---CLP---RN-----DPRCDTK--VLVNYRFY-LAAENSL GNC-----GN----LT----CLP---RN-----SDRCDNL--LDE-YKFY-LSAENSL GKC-----GT----ME----CLP----RN------SYRCENL--LDN-YKFY-LAAENSL GKC-----GT----ME----CLP----RN-----SQRCESL--LDD-YKFY-LAAENSL GKC-----GT----ME---CLP----RN-----SQRCESL--LDD-YKFY-LAAENSL GRC-----GK----LN----CLP----SR------SSKCDQL--LDS-YKFY-VAAENAI GSC-----GS----LA----CVP----VR------SDKCDVE--LLDSYKFY-VAAENAL GSC-----GS----LT----CVP----LR------SEKCDKL--LDS-YKFY-VAAENAI
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CDDFVTKRFFDLLS-RDTVPIVFG-GADYTRIAPPHSFIDALSFN-PRQLADRLLE------------FQPEV-KDVV----G-QRLAAKFVHQFQIVKAVGHGEG------------CDDFVTKRFFDLLS-RDTVPIVFG-GADYKRIAPPYSFIDALSFN-PKELADHLLK----CDDFVTKRFFDLLS-RDTVPIVFG-GADYKRIAPPYSFIDALSFN-PKELADHLLK CRDYITEKFFTALR-YDMVPVVFG-GANYTRVAPSRSFIDALSFKSPKHLAEHLTR---CKDYVTEKLYYTLL-YDIIPVVFG-GANYSAVAPAGSYIDALSFESPKHLAVHLTS----CTDYITEKFYNALT-WGMVPIVMS-GANYTSVAPPRSYIDALSFQNVRHLADHLKQ---CDDYVTEKFFSVLR-LDVVPIVFG-GGNYSAISPPFSYINAQDFETAVQLADYLKM----CQDYVTEKFFAMLH-QPIIPIVYGVHDHYDQIAPTHSFINAAKFETMKQLADYLIL----CRDYITEKFFGMLQ-RPVIPVVFGLHNHYDQMAPPHSFINAAKFENMRQLADYLIL----CRDYITEKFFGMLH-RPIIPVVFGLHDHYDQMAPPHSFINAAKFENMRQLADYLIL---CRDYVTEKFFENIR-RPILPIVFGLHGDHEKLAPPHSFINAANFKNMKALANHMNL----CRDYVTEKLFAMMH-RPIIPVVYGLHDDQEKLAPPHSFINAAKFENTKALADYLIL----CEDYVTEKFFDQMR-YHIIPIVFDLHGHHARMAPSHSYINAADYQSVRELADYLTL----CEDYVTEKFFDQMR-YHIIPIVFDLHGHHARMAPPHSYINAADYQSVRELADYLTL----CEDYVTEKLYNVLQ-RNIVPIVYG-GADYNTLAPPKSVINVMDFMSVKHLVKHLKY CEDYVTEKLYNVLQ-RNIVPIVYG-GADYNTLAPPKSVINVMDFMSVKDLVKHIKY-- -CKDYVTEKFFNALL-FNVVPVVYG-GANYHALAPKNSYIDVRDFSSVHHLVKYLKF---CSHYVTEKFYSILK-LDVVPVVMG-RANYSGIAPPYSFIDALRYS-PKQLADYLLL----CRDYVTEKFFRPLL-FDLVPVVLG-GGDYVSVAPPGSYINALDFRSPAELGEYLKR----CREYVTEKFFNSIA-RNLVPIVLG-GANYSAIAPEHSYIDALAYS-PRQLAAYMKR----CDDYVTEKFFEMMS-RNVVPVVLG-GANYTALAPPHSFINALDFT-PRELANYLKQ---CEEYVTEKFFEMMG-RNIVPVVLG-GADYSAIAPPHSYISALDYT-PKQLAKYLKE----CEEYVTEKFFEMMG-RNIVPVVLG-GADYSAIAPPHSYISALDYT-PKQLAKYLKE----CTDYVSEKLYTALE-NGVVPVVYG-EADYRAYAPSYSYVNARDFGSPKELAEYLWL CNDYVTEKFFDVLQ-RRIIPIVMG-GANYSAIAPPHSYIDALQYS-PRELAEYLKL CTDYVTEKLTRALL-YDAVPIVMG-GVDYNRFAPPHSFIDVKDFDSPEQLGNYLLL---CTDYVTEKLMVGLL-YDAVPIVKG-GVDYTEFAPPHSFIDVNDFTSPKQLADYLLL---CTDYVTEKLAIGLI -YDAVPIVMG-SVDYTKFAPPHSFIDVNDFPSPKQLARYLLL
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CTDYVTEKLAIGLI-YDAVPIVMG-SVDYTKFAPPHSFIDVNDFPSPKQLARYLLL----CPDYVTEKFYRTLQ-FDTVPIVLG-GAEYDRFAPPHSFINALDFSSPKQLAEYLLL----CPDYVTEKFTRPLF-HDAVPIVLG-GADYSHFGPPHSYINARDFASPKALADYLIL---CPDYITEKFIRPLV-YDSVPIVLG-GANYSHFAPPHSYINARDFDSPKELADYLIL---CPDYVTEKFIRPYL-YEAIPIFLG-GADYSKYAPRNSYINARDFDSPKQLAEYLIL----CPDYVTEKFIRPFV-YDAIPIFLG-GADYSQFAPPHSYINARDFKSPKELAHYLIL----CPDYVTEKFIRPFL-YDAVPIVLG-GADYNQFAPSNSYINAMDFGSPK-
CPDYVTEKFYRAFE-TGTVPVVFG-GANYSLFAPPHSYINARDFKTPKLLAEYLIQ---CPDYVTEKFYRAVE-MGTVPVVFG-GANYSLFAPPHSFINARDFQTPKLLAEYLVK----CPDYVTEKLYRPMA-YDTVPVVYG-GSDYSFYLPAGSYINAMDFDSPQSLANYLKK---CPDYVTEKCYRPLA-YDTVPVVYG-GSDYSLFFPAGSYINALDFDSPESLANYLKK----CPDYITEKLYRPLA-HGVVPVVYG-GSDYSFYLPAGSYVNARDFDSPQSLAEYLEK----CPDYVTEKLYRTLM-HDTVPVVYG-GANYSLYLPEGSYVNARDFDSPENLANHLKE---CPDYVTEKLYRTLM-HDTVPVVYG-GANYSLYLPEGSYVNARDFNSPENLVNHLKE---CPDYVTEKLYRALA-HDTVPVVYG-GADYSLYLPAGSYVDARDFESPQSLADHLKK---CPDYVTEKLYRPMA-YDTVPVVYG-GSDYSFYLPAGSYINAMDYDSPQSLANHLKK---CPDYVTEKLYWPLA-HDTVPVVYG-GADYSDFFPARSYVDGRHFENPEALADHLKK----CPDYITEKLYRPLA-HDTVPVVYG-GADYSLYLPVGSYVNARDFKNPEALANHLKK----CPDYVTEKFYRGFL-NDIVPVVYG-GADYSQYAPPHSYINIADFRSPKELADYLLL----CPDYVTEKFYRGFL-NDIVPVVYG-GADYSQYAPPHSYINIADFRSPKELADYLLL---CPDYVTEKFYRALM-NDIVPVVFG-GADYAQYAPPNSYVNIADFQSPKQLAEYLLL----CPDYVTEKFYRALM-NDIVPVVFG-GADYAQYAPPNSYVNIADFQSPKELAEYLLL----CADYVSEKFYRALK-TDIIPVVYG-GADYAAYAPPHSYIHVADFASPKQLAEYLLL----CPDYVSEKFYRALN-QNIVPIVYG-GADYAEYAPPHSFINIADFKSPQDLAAYLKL---CPDYVSEKFYRALT-NDIVPIVYG-GADYTDYAPPHSFINLADFASPKDLAAYLKL----CPDYVSEKFYRALT-NDIVPIVYG-GADYTDYAPPHSFINLADFASPKDLAAYLKL---CTDYVTEKFYRALS-SDIVPIVYG-GADYSSYAPPLSYIDVSDFKSPKDLADYLKL----CPDYVTEKFYRALA-ADIVPIVYG-GADYSAYAPPSSYIDAGDFKSPKALADYLKL----CPDYVTEKFYRAMA-ADIVPIVYG-GADYSEYAPPMSYIDAGDFKSPKALADYLKL----CPDYITEKFYRALE-MGVVPVVYG-GADYSAYAPPHSYINAADFESPQALADYLLL----CADYVTEKFYRALE-ADVVPIVYG-GADYSAYAPAHSYINTADFASPKALAEYLYV---CRDYVTEKFFKIIQ-RRIVPVVYG-GADYERIAPAGSYIDARRYH-PAQLADYLRR---CEDYVTEKFFEIMK-RDLIPIVYG-GAKYINIAPHHSYIDATQYT-PEGLARYLKLGRHY CPDYVTEKFYRALQ-VGAVPIVYG-GSDYSAYAPPYSFIHAADFQSPKDLADYLIL----CTDYVTEKFFEIMD-HDMIPIVYG-AANYSEIAPPHSYINALDFT-PEGLARYLQM----CEEYVTEKFFEIAN-RDIVPIVYG-GADYKRIAPPHSFIDALEFT-PEALAQYLTI----CEDYVTEKFFEIMN-HDIIPVVYG-GANYSRIAPPHSYIDALQFT-PETLAQYLKV----CTDYVTEKFFELLN-YDIIPIVYG-GANYSQLAPLHSFINALDFT-PETLAQYLKI----CNDYVTEKFFEIIN-HNIVPIVYG-GANYSQFAPHHSYINALDFT-PEKLAQYLLL----CNDYVTEKFFEIMN-HNIVPIVYG-GANYSQFAPHHSYINALDFT-PEKLAQYLLL---CTDYATEKFFEILT-HNMVPVVYG-GANYSYIAPPHSYINALDFT-PEKLAEYLKL----CTDYATEKFFEILK-HNMIPVVYG-GANYSQIAPPHSYINALDFT-PEKLAEYLKL---CTDYATEKFFEILK-HNIVPVVYG-GANYTQIAPPHSYIDALDFT-PEKLAEYLKL----CPDYVTEKFFQIMSLRDIVPVVYG-GADYAQLAPEHSYIDARQFE-PQQLAAYLKK----CQDYVTEKFFHIMSLRDIVPVVYG-GADYAQLAPGHSYIDALQFE-PKQLAAYLEM----CQDYVTEKFFHIMSLRDIVPVVYG-GADYAQLAPGHSYIDALQFE-PKQLAAYLEM----CPDYVTETFFTMMD-RDVVPVVYG-GADYTRYAPTHSYIDARQIK-PEELATYLKL---CPDYVTETFFTMMD-RDVVPVVYG-GADYTRYAPTHSYIDARQIK-PEELATYLKL----CPDYVTDTFFTMMD-RDVVPVVYG-GADYTRYAPTHSYIDARQFK-PEELATYLKI----CPDYVTDTFFTMMD-RDVVPVVYG-GADYTQFAPIHSYIDARQFK-PEELATYLKF----CKDYVTEKFFKVMD-HDIVPIVYG-AADYARHAPPHSYIHAGKFK-PKELADYLKL----CKDYVTEKFFKILD-LYMIPIVYG-GADYTQHAPPHSYIDARKFK-PKELAAYLKI---CKDYVTEKFFKILD-LYMIPIVYG-GADYTQHAPPHSYIDARKFK-PKELAAYLKI---CPDYVTEKFFKILG-QNLVPIVYG-GADYTQHAPAHSYIDALKYK-PKELAAYLQL----CPDYVTEKFFKIMG-HDIVPIVYG-GADYSRHAPPHSYIDARHFK-PKELAAYLKQ----FPDYVTEKFFKIMG-HHIVPVVYG-GADYTQHAPPHSYIDARKFK-PEELAAYLKL---CPDYVTEKFFKIMG-HHIVPIVYG-GADYTQHAPPHSYIDARKFK-PKELATYLKL----CPDYVTEKFFKIMG-HHIVPVVYG-GADYSQYAPPHSYINAREFK-PKELAAYLKL----
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ----LEKDEKHYFRHFWWKDVYKVIYTK <br> ----LEKDEKHYFRHFWWKDVYKVIYSR---------------------PFECDLCEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -VAKDFNLYKSYFNWKGKYDL IPWTEI----------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - IAKDPL Y Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LISNDDI YNQYFWWKPHYRVRNHIQDLKL------------- SM-CGLCSR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ----LDRNDTLYNEYFWWKPHFESRYKQKDVNI------------GM-CHLCAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LDMNDTL YNEYFWWKPYFQVRDSQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LNNNDTLYNEYFWWKPYFKVHDSEDEKNK-------------SM-CRLCAA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LDGNDTLYNEYFWWKKHYVVNN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEWKKDYIVETASTQ---------------TL-CTLCQK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEWKKDYIVETSSTR-------------- SL-CTLCQK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LARNDSAYLHYFDWRKTPPGLSLLPRTNQ------------GW-CTLCSM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LDGNQTLYERYLKWKTSYIIRSGYEEMGGQ------------AL-CSLCAQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAGDPEWYESFFLWKNHFKLKYEHLG - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -VDQNDSLYAEFFWWKPHYRVVNLPQTNKE-------------- SF - CNLCAA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ----LDADDRLYAEYFWWKPHYQVANLYHTNRQ-------------VF-CHLCQA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LDSNDTLYAEYFWWKPHYRIRNLYDTNRK------------AF-CDLCEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LDSNDTLYAEYFWWKPHFTVRNLYGTSR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ----LHQNDHLYQNYFSWNQDYMVDRFPTD---------------GW-CNLCQM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LASDDKLYNEYFWWKPHFQVVKRYPFLAAN-----------AL-CSLCDK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LDKADSLYARYFDWRRDFTVELYQKR----------------GW-CRLCQL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---- LSETDALYMRYFDWKRDFTVHLNLKL------------------SWWLCQL <br> ----- LNSSEELYVGYFQWKNHYRVSLPAMD---------------- -- GW-CDLCRM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LNNSDALYASYFDWKKDFRVVKTDMS---------------GW-CDLCQL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --LDKSESLYASYFSWKNHYYVSVPDMY-----------------GW-CELCRM <br> --LDKSDDLYARYFDWKRDYYVSVPDFY-----------------GW-CELCRM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LSRNLDLYSHFFDWKKFNLRKSS-----------------GWACKLCEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMADDELYLSYFRWR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---LMTDDELYLSYFRWRRKYVVDLAPKD---------------SW-CQLCEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LMLDDELYLSYFRWRNRFTVDPKPVD---------------GM-CQLCRL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ----LMINDELYLSYFRWKQRYTVELGHLN-------------- -- - - - - - CSLCRL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---- LMINDELYLSYFRWKQRNTVELGHLN------------------ - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ----LMSDDRLYLKHFTWRRNYVVD <br> -----LMADDELYLSYFRWRQKFAVDPSPID------------------ -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -----LIANDTLYS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LMANDTLYASYFQWRIKYVVD------------------------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---- LDKNDALYRKYFDWKKNFEVINRPLN---------------- GW-CDLCEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LDKNDALYRKYFDWKKNFEVINRPLN--------------- ------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSKYFDWKKDYEVIRKPLN------------- GW-CDLCAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ----LANNDALYSKYFDWKKDYEVINRPPD-----------------GW-CDLCAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- - LDKNEALYLKYFEWKKDYDVVRSPLD------------------------------- GW-CDLCEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -----LASNEALYVEYFQWKKHYAVVRSPKK-----------------GW-CDLCAK <br> -----LASNEALYVEYFQWKKHYAVVRSPKK-----------------GWWCDCAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LDENDGLYLKYFDWKXYEVVSRPVT----------------GW-CELCEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LDQNDGLYLKYFDWKKDYQVVNGPVG----------------GW-CQLCEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -LDENDGLYLKYFDWKKDYEVVRRPVG---------------GW-CELCEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LYSEYLDWNKDWEINKQKQSE----------------GW-CRLCEK <br> LYSKYFDWKKDWEVIRSPTD----------------- -- GW-CDLCEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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--------LDADDTLYQEFFRWKKDYAVEAGVASMARR ..... GF-CHLCSR
HCPRITAEISLASSILPLLAYHSSPHILESSIPSLLAYHSSLVLSSRTQLRNF-NSFSQQ
--------LDQNPKLYARYFEWKKDWIVDREPFD GW-CSLCEK
------- - LDANDTLYNEYFWWKNHYRVESGEPQMARH GF-CDLCKK
--------LDANDELYNEFFWWKSHYKVEAGLQQMARH GF-CDLCKK
--------LDANDQLYNEYFWWKGHYAVESGVEQMARH GF-CDLCKK
--------LDANDTLYNEYFWWKDHYRIESGIEQMARH GF-CDLCKK
--------LDANDNFYNEYFWWKDHYRVESGVEQMARH AF-CDLCKK
--------LDANDNFYNEYFWWKDHYRVETGVEQMARH GF-CDLCKK
--------VDSNDTLYNEYFWWKDHYEVEAGVDQMASH GF-CDLCKK
--------VDSNDTLYNEYFWWKDHYEVEAGVDQMASH ..... GF-CDLCKK
--------VDSNDTLYNEYFWWKDHYEVEAGVDQMASH GF-CDLCKK
--------LAANETLYNEYLWWKDDYVVEAGMEEMVRR GF-CDLCRK
------- - LAANETLYNEYLWWKDDYTVEAGLEQMVRH GF-CDLCRK
------- - LAANETLYNEYLWWKDDY
--------LDANDTLYGEYFWWKDHYRNIT
--------LDANDTLYGEYFWWKDHYRVTSSKENMWRN SF-CDLCQK
--------LDANDTLYGEYFWWKDHYRVTSSEENMWHN ..... SF-CDLSQK
--------LDANDTLYGEYFWWKDHYQVTSSEENMWRN ..... SF-CDLC--
--------LDANQTLYEEYFWWKDHFRVESSVDDMSRH GF-CDLCQK
--------LDADDALYNEYFWWKDHYHVEFITENTSRH ..... GF-CSLCQK
--------LDADDALYNEYFWWKDHYHVEFITENTSRH GF-CSLCQK
--------LITNETLYNEYFWWKDYYKVEFTLEDRSRH AF - CDLCQK
------- - LDADDALYNEYFWWKDHYRVEYSVDDRSRH AF-CDLCQM
--------LDANDTLYNEYFWWKDYYDVEYSIEGTTRH GF-CDMCQK
------- - LDANDTLYNEYFWWKDYYRVEYSVEDMTRH GF-CDLCQK
--------LDANDTLYNEYFWWKDYYDVEYSIEDMSRH- GF-CDLCRK
LN------ SNLPR---- -KVYRDIDAW--- -WYNS-- TKCSGPEDRGIVIRNKGNEDL
LG---------------EIRVDIR
LN-------SNLPR-----KIYRNLDDW--- WYNN---TKCSAPEDRGIVIRHNGTVDD
LH-----G-KDFREQ----TTYNDMRVW----W-EQ-E-GRCRSWNL
LY-----S-EHFRRS----TVYEDILYW----W-NA-T-SQCRVWDRYSNQLLQ
LH----E-QSPP-----RMYEDINAW---WFM
LH-----Y-DRAL------KIYDDMEKW----W-VQ-D-SHCHTPRSDNVFHIPFWKN-
LH-----N-TTLPP-----KIYRDMTEW----W-ET-K-SKCADSPHIS
LH-----N-NELPA-----KSYSNMTDW----W-EK-Q-SYCVTSPPIS
LH-----N-KDMPS-----KTYTNMTDW----W-DE-R-SACINSPPIS
LH-----D-EKLPR-----KIYSNLTDW----W-EK-K-STCIYSPTIS
LH-----D-ETLPP----KIYHNLTDW----W-DT-Q-STCIFSPKIS
LH----D-STIPS----KTYRNMTDW---W-DV-Q-SKCRSLTFVDKNTSKNDSNFY
LH-----V-PNKPS-----KIYSDMTNW----W-DI-Q-ATCQTITFSEETDFAEESDGE
LN-----E-PIKQ------KIYNDITKW----WAGK-DLDKCMVSKNGFLDKYLLQS---
H-----E-PIKQ------KIYSDITKW----WAGK-NKNKCMVNKNGFLDKYLLQS--
LN----N-DSLPS----HSYSNIHSW----W-FE-K-GQCEKDRTSIQKLAI
LN-----L-SDDRKEI---MPAADVLST----W-NP-T-TRCLNPRYVKAFHSIDRNNNR
LH-----S-DIASGRT---FTYNKFRK-----WFLE-D-ARCANWKQLLHGRA
LH-----E-TPMQE-----RKAQGLQK-----WYVD-D-SHCLVKPNFNSTQ
LH-----Y-QVGQPLLANGSTLQDVKK-----WYMD-D-SHCLDIPKFDET
LH-----T-SPIQS----SVAKGLHQ-----WYHK-D-AKCRHNPKFDET
LH-----STPLKR-----GTVNGLEK-----WYMK-E-SHCANMPIIIRN
LH-----R-PIES------QAYSDVQRW----W-AE-E-VTCTSNYHFNLTVSNNLEPVA
LH-----H-NKTE------SIYHDLAAG----W-
AN-----D-DRLPS-----RTYDDIFQW----WVDD-P-ETCNLKVGDTPIQRTS
AN-----D-DRLPP-----RVYDDILKW----WVDD-P-VNCNLPS
AH-----DSQVISS-----TTYKDILEW----WVSN
AH-----DSQVISS---- TTYKDILEW--- WVSN-TPANCSNLPPHTKFPFPEFAIKF
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AH-----N-DSLPS-----KVYPDIKRW
AH-----D-DTLPA-----KTYRDIKQW----WMLD-D-GECETDSNKYF
IH-----D-SKLPP----KVYPDIKKW--- - WMS
AH----D-NTLPI----KVYHDIKQW----WMLD-A-GECESNSTKYF
LH-----T-DLRVTAA---KSYEDIGE-----WFFD-K-NTCENYQWSNVRS
LR-----N-PDVKA-----KTYANMSAW----WLGETINHTCMYAPPKSLVFNQTG
LR-----D-PKP------KMYDDIGAW----WSGE-T-INQTCLMTPPKSLVNVT
LS---- - D-KKTEE---- KIYPDIAEW--- WHGG-N-HTCLTPPPSLV--------
LN-----DRNDAEK-----KSYAVIAAW----WSGQLNNQTCFTPPPTSLV---------
LN---- -DRNDAEK-----KSYADIAAW----WSGQLTNQTCFTPPPTSLV---------
LS---- D-TQTEA---- KSYPDISSW--- LAGNVANQTCFPPPTTK


LN-----D-PTQKS-----KSYENVAKW----W-YD-D-IPCLAGSSFINSIATM
LN-----D-PTLAS-----QSYASVAKW----W-YD-D-SPCLPGSSYITSLIRSS
LN----D-ESLPR----KSYSNMGMWCIRKWYLH-E-EKERRESLADALGIFD
LN-----D-PHEPT-----KIYESMAEW----W-YD-D-VPCYPGESFIKTRLNHIQ---
LN-----D-PQLETVT---KSYADVGHW----W-IR-K-LPCYPGSSFLMSHT------
LN----D-PHWQSQR---KSYEDVAEW----W-VR-K-LPCYPGSSFLLGHM------
LN-----D-PHWQSQR---KSYEDVAEW----W-VR-K-LPCYPGSSFLSGHTSIPAS--
LN-----D-PHQRP-----KVYKDISDW----W----------------------------
LN----D-AQQKP----KVYADMTDW----WFHT-N-IPCLSGYDYLDHLLQQDAKDN
LN-----D-PQQKP-----KVYKDMTDW----WYHK-D-IACLSGYDYLDNLLQQNMTTF
LNAAAKSK-EQQPKNNSASKVYRDMAKW----YYE
LN-----R-PEEPE-----KSYEDIGT-----WFYD-K-VPCLPGSSLKNLYGEM
LH-----H-DQTV-----KTYVDLTSH----WQHP-S-DECQSPLEMNEFIFSLY
LS----S-YISSIIV-- AIFVSSGPG----F-LV-V-GPHKVIQSGILWATIVS---
LN-----D-PDANQTS---KSYRDIAKW----W-----------------------------
LH-----Q-DESV------KYYPEIRSE----W-HP-N-SQCRHLSSTWENSPQNYLTPV
LH-----------------------------------------------------------
LH-----QEDEGVV-----KFYPQLVSE----W-DP-K-KKCKYFDSWETQS-------
LH-----Q-DDGVT-----KYYPELLTD----W-NP-D-TVCEKVESWDIPTYPVTHRFF
LH-----H-EEGVT-----KFYPELESE----W-HP-K-TQCRYFSSWETSA-------
LH-----Q-EEGVT-----KFYSDLVSE----W-HT-K-TQCKQMSNWETSTTTQSTTTT
LH-----Q-DQGVI-----KYYSELVSE----W-HY-N-TQCHQFTSWETQS
LH----Q-DQGVI-----KYYHELVSE---W-DP-E-TKCKQMSSWEKN

LH----EANQEP-----KMYTSMASR----WNP---ARCQRPSKHGDQIKPEQNLPG
LH-----V-DNERI-----KSHPSLFPK----W-HP---GRCSRPTYKLKKSPKKFPFLK

LH-----R-DFES-----KSYQDLISY----W-DD-N-NQCVPFDPKWIF
LH----R-DFES-----KSYQDLISY----W-GD-Y-NQCVPFDPKWIF
LH-----E-DSEF------KSYAEMASD----WGDD-S-RQCA
LH-----D------------------------------------
LH-----D-IQTPF-----QSYADEGVLT---DLGD-D-SKCLPFDPNWIS
LH----E-QDDR-----KSYPDLSAE----W-GD-G-NKCKPFDPTWI
LH-----E-SDDDGHF---QTYPDMESF----W--G-N-ETCQPFDPKWIS---------
LH-----E-LKDVDY----QSYKRSG------F-----------------------------
LH-----Q-QQDGDF----RTYKELESE----W-GD-G-NKCQPFDPSWLS
LH-----Q-QQDGNF----QSNKELESE----W-GD-G-NKCQQFDSSWL

## I. Expanded and Unknown Genes are Ecoresponsive Genes

Table S49. Counts of unique gene transcripts sampled from cDNA libraries partitioned into three ecological conditions. Biotic challenge includes Daphnia pulex exposed to bacterial infection, predators, juvenile hormone and varying diets. The abiotic challenge includes animals exposed to environmental toxicants, elevated UV, hypoxia, acid, salinity and calcium starvation. Standard non-ecological conditions include animals at various stages of life-history within a controlled laboratory environment. The transcribed gene counts with homology to proteins from other species, without homology to other proteomes are tabulated here, with Chi-square statistical analysis of the effects. The transcribed gene counts for loci found within tandem duplicated gene (TDG) clusters and outside of TDG clusters are tabulated below, with Chi-square statistical analysis of the effects.

| Homology vs no homology | Biotic challenge |  |  | Abiotic challenge |  |  | Standard conditions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Homology | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { homology } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Homology | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { homology } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Homology | No homology | Total |
| Count | 1,184 | 1,393 | 2,577 | 2,895 | 3,700 | 6,595 | 3,599 | 2,632 | 6,231 |
| Expected | 1,284.6 | 1,292.4 |  | 3,287.4 | 3,307.6 |  | 3,106 | 3,125.0 |  |
| Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chi-square | 7.873 | 7.826 |  | 46.847 | 46.562 |  | 78.254 | 77.778 |  |
| contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Row Percent | 45.95\% | 54.06\% | 16.73\% | 43.90\% | 56.10\% | 42.82\% | 57.76\% | 42.24\% | 40.45\% |

Chi-square statistics for all table factors $=265.1399$; d.f. $=2 ; \mathrm{p}=2.664438 \mathrm{e}^{-58}$

| Within vs outside of TDG clusters | Biotic challenge |  |  | Abiotic challenge |  |  | Standard conditions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In TDG cluster | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Not in } \\ & \text { TDG } \\ & \text { cluster } \end{aligned}$ | Total | In TDG cluster | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Not in } \\ & \text { TDG } \\ & \text { cluster } \end{aligned}$ | Total | In TDG cluster | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not in } \\ & \text { TDG } \\ & \text { cluster } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Count | 936 | 1,641 | 2,577 | 2,462 | 4,133 | 6,595 | 1,999 | 4,232 | 6,231 |
| Expected Values | 902.9 | 1,674.1 |  | 2310.8 | 4284.2 |  | 2183.3 | 4047.7 |  |
| Chi-square contribution | 1.21 | 0.653 |  | 9.894 | 5.336 |  | 15.55 | 8.388 |  |
| Row Percent | 36.32\% | 63.68\% | 16.73\% | 37.33\% | 62.67\% | 42.82\% | 32.08\% | 67.92\% | 40.45\% |

Chi-square statistics for all table factors $=41.03073 ;$ d.f. $=2 ; p=1.231094 e^{-09}$

Table S50. Differential expression (DE) of the genome of Daphnia pulex with four treatments measured on genome tiling path microarrays. Counts of tiles with DE per genome feature (gene, intron, unknown). Tiling DE is ascertained from statistical analysis of balanced treatment $\times$ three-replicate design using the LIMMA package in R [S16, S37, S38]. Counts of the tiles with up-regulation, down-regulation and no difference in each genome feature are tabulated here, with Chi-square statistical analysis of the effects.

| Cadmium exposure | Up-regulated |  |  |  |  | Down-regulated |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total |  |
| Count | 9,539 | 2,118 | 26,226 | 37,883 | 16,461 | 2,493 | 31,242 | 50,196 |  |
| Expected values | 9,659 | 2,189 | 26,035 |  | 12,798 | 2,901 | 34,497 |  |  |
| Chi-square contribution | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1,048 | 57 | 307 |  |  |
| Row Percent | $25 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $2 \%$ |  |


| Cadmium exposure | No differential regulation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total |
| Count | 717,889 | 164,017 | $1,947,692$ | $2,829,598$ |
| Expected values | 721,432 | 163,537 | $1,944,628$ |  |
| Chi-square contribution | 17 | 1 | 5 |  |
| Row Percent | $25 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $97 \%$ |

Chi-square statistics for all table factors $=1441.834 ;$ d.f. $=4 ; p=5.863123 e^{-311}$

| Kairomone exposure | Up-regulated |  |  |  | Down-regulated |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total |
| Count | 48,569 | 10,405 | 12,7001 | 18,5975 | 39,292 | 8,238 | 118,583 | 166,113 |
| Expected values | 47,416 | 10,748 | 12,7810 |  | 42,352 | 9,601 | 114,160 |  |
| Chi-square contribution | 28 | 11 | 5 |  | 221 | 193 | 171 |  |
| Row Percent | $26 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| Kairomone exposure | No differential regulation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total |
| Count | 656,028 | 149,985 | $1,759,576$ | $2,565,589$ |
| Expected values | 654,121 | 148,279 | $1,763,189$ |  |
| Chi-square contribution | 6 | 20 | 7 |  |
| Row Percent | $26 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

Chi-square statistics for all table factors $=662.5405 ;$ d.f. $=4 ; p=4.494261 \mathrm{e}^{-142}$

| Mixed metal exposure | Up-regulated |  |  |  |  | Down-regulated |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total |
| Count | 53,806 | 10,954 | 194,138 | 258,898 | 104,842 | 6,881 | 95,965 | 207,688 |
| Expected values | 66,008 | 14,963 | 177,926 |  | 52,952 | 12,003 | 142,733 |  |
| Chi-square contribution | 2,256 | 1,074 | 1,477 |  | 50,849 | 2,186 | 15,324 |  |
| Row Percent | $21 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $7 \%$ |


| Mixed metal exposure | No differential regulation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total |
| Count | 585,241 | 150,793 | $1,715,057$ | $2,451,091$ |
| Expected values | 624,929 | 141,662 | $1,684,501$ |  |
| Chi-square contribution | 2,520 | 589 | 554 |  |
| Row Percent | $24 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $84 \%$ |

Chi-square statistics for all table factors $=76829.46 ;$ d.f. $=4 ; p=0$

| Sex differences | Up-regulated |  |  |  |  | Down-regulated |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total |
| Count | 142,616 | 4,737 | 68,803 | 216,156 | 93,665 | 6,398 | 126,267 | 226,330 |
| Expected values | 55,111 | 12,493 | 148,552 |  | 57,705 | 13,081 | 155,544 |  |
| Chi-square contribution | 138,940 | 4,815 | 42,813 |  | 22,409 | 3,414 | 5,511 |  |
| Row Percent | $66 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $8 \%$ |


| Sex differences | No differential regulation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gene | Intron | Unknown | Total |
| Count | 507,608 | 157,493 | $1,810,090$ | $2,475,191$ |
| Expected values | 631,073 | 143,054 | $1,70,1064$ |  |
| Chi-square contribution | 24,155 | 1,457 | 6,988 |  |
| Row Percent | $21 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $85 \%$ |

Chi-square statistics for all table factors $=250502.2 ;$ d.f. $=4 ; p=0$
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[^0]:    We also studied Daphnia opsin evolution using two analytical approaches matching those of a companion paper [S66] on the evolution of other multiple gene families involved in vision and eye development (Figure S22). The first approach produced a maximum likelihood analysis of rhabdomeric-clade Daphnia opsins (Figure S22A), plus close related genes found when using the Daphnia opsins to search Uniprot databases [S98]. The tree is rooted with arthropsin according to Figure S21. In addition, Figure S22B presents a maximum likelihood analysis of rhabdomericclade Daphnia opsins, plus closely related genes from 19 metazoan genomes, and rooted with arthropsin (see [S66] for methodological details of these companion analyses).

