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Abstract

Background: Disrupted brain connectivity is implicated in the pathophysiology of late-life depression (LLD). There are few studies in this area using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI). In this pilot case-control study, we compare rs-fMRI data between age-matched depressed and non-depressed older adults.

Methods: Older participants ( ≥ 55 years) with current major depressive disorder (MDD) were recruited to participate in an ongoing study of LLD, and were compared to the age-matched, non-depressed controls. Rs-fMRI data were collected using a 3-Tesla MRI system. In this study, a data-driven approach was chosen and an independent component analysis (ICA) was performed.

Results: Seventeen subjects with MDD were compared to 31 controls. The depressed group showed increased connectivity in three main networks compared to the controls (p(cor) < 0.05), including connectivity between the default mode network (DMN) and the posterior superior temporal sulcus (pSTS). Increased connectivity was also observed within the visual network in the medial, lateral and ventral regions of the occipital lobes, and within the auditory network throughout the right superior temporal cortex.

Conclusion: This data-driven, pilot study finds patterns of increased connectivity that may be unique to LLD in the DMN, as well as visual and auditory networks. The functional implications of this aberrant connectivity remains to be determined. These findings should be further explored in larger samples.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The most recent global burden of disease data identified depressive disorders as a leading contributor to health burden internationally, and these data suggested that major depressive disorder (MDD) was also a contributor to burden allocated to suicide and ischemic heart disease (Ferrari et al., 2013). As the global population ages this century to unprecedented levels (UN, 2013), the rates of late-life depression (LLD) are expected to increase in parallel (Ferrari et al., 2013). This will see major impacts on our communities given the effects of LLD on cognitive, mood and somatic symptoms, as well as general functioning. Given this major burden, a deeper understanding of the neurobiology of LLD is important for the development of novel diagnostic systems and therapies.

Important markers of both structural and functional neuroplasticity in depression come from neuroimaging studies. These markers allow for an in vivo understanding of dysfunctional neuroplasticity processes such as reduced neurogenesis, as well as impaired synaptic plasticity and long-term potentiation (Eyre and Baune, 2012). LLD studies of neuroimaging are suggested to differ from mid-life depression in a number of ways, hence making the LLD-specific research field essential. For example, the Default Mode Network (DMN) demonstrates less functional connectivity with age (Koch et al., 2010; Tomasi and Volkow, 2012). LLD studies of neuroimaging are suggested to differ from mid-life depression in a number of ways, hence making the LLD-specific research field essential. For example, the Default Mode Network (DMN) demonstrates less functional connectivity with age (Koch et al., 2010; Tomasi and Volkow, 2012). White matter hyperintensities (WMH) are common in LLD, but rare in midlife depression (Hopkins et al., 2006). A higher burden of WMHs are associated with greater limbic activation on emotional reactivity tasks (Aizenstein et al., 2011). The differences between LLD and midlife depression may be explained by mechanistic hypotheses. Taylor et al. (2013) summarizes two key mechanistic
constructs in LLD: the disconnection hypothesis and the hypoperfusion hypothesis. The disconnection hypothesis by Alexopoulos et al. (Alexopoulos, 2002) suggests ischemia and white matter pathology may disrupt neural connections among regions modulating mood and cognition. In this model, widespread cerebral WMHs cause focal damage to tracts and circuits. Such focal damage could adversely affect the tract connectivity causing ‘disconnection’ of brain regions. This state is believed to adversely affect the function of connected regions at rest and during cognitive tasks, and may contribute to circuitry alterations mediating symptomatology. The hypoperfusion hypothesis (Taylor et al., 2013) is suggested given the commonly noted vascular dysfunction in LLD and the cerebral blood flow reductions which can alter brain function and contribute to symptomatology (Broadley et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2006; Greenstein et al., 2010; Panatham et al., 2010; Rajagopalan et al., 2001). Regional cerebral metabolic activity is tightly correlated with cerebral blood flow, which is regulated by complex interactions between neurons, glia and vasculature (Iadecola, 2004). In late-life, vascular disease disorders such as hypertension, diabetes and atherosclerosis often lead to vascular wall hypertrophy, reduced arterial lumen diameter, arterial stiffness and endothelial cell dysfunction (Dandonia et al., 2004; Touyz, 2005).

Both WMH and hypoperfusion may have consequences on brain networks that can be investigated with neuroimaging research. The most recent in neuroimaging of LLD surrounds the Default Mode Network (DMN), with the other commonly studied networks including the affective/frontolimbic network, the cognitive control network (CCN) and the corticospatial network (Alexopoulos et al., 2012, 2013; Andreescu et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2015; Tadayonnejad and Ajilore, 2014; Tadayonnejad et al., 2014; Yuen et al., 2014). The DMN is a network of regions showing synchronized activity patterns when the brain is at rest, and connectivity is decreased when the mind is engaged on the external environment (Fox and Raichle, 2007; Raichle et al., 2001). The DMN includes areas in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), the precuneus and the medial temporal lobe (MTL) (Buckner et al., 2008; Yeo et al., 2015). Evidence suggests involvement of the DMN in self-referential processing, including internal monitoring, autobiographical memory retrieval, future planning, and theory of mind (Buckner et al., 2008; Northoff and Bremohl, 2004; Spreng et al., 2009). Dysfunction in the DMN may occur due to WMHs and hypoperfusion (Tadayonnejad et al., 2014) and may represent an imbalance between control systems involved in negative rumination and preferential internal over external attention, possibly reflecting depressive biases toward internal thoughts at the cost of engagement in the external environment (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2010; Kaiser et al., 2014). Self-referential processing dysfunction may lead to negativity bias pronounced with depression (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2010; Kaiser et al., 2014).

We are aware of 3 studies in LLD exploring the DMN specifically, 2 via seed region analysis (Alexopoulos et al., 2012; Kenny et al., 2010) and 1 by independent components analysis (ICA) (Sexton et al., 2012). ICA is a useful methodology as it provides a data-driven approach to defining resting-state networks. The only ICA study in LLD of which we are aware comes from Sexton et al. (2012), who explored a cross-sectional, multimodal neuroimaging approach to a mixture of patients with current LLD or past history of LLD. No significant differences in functional connectivity were detected between the current (or past) LLD and age-matched healthy control groups in the DMN, anterior DMN, posterior DMN, cognitive control network (CCN), or affective/frontolimbic network.

Our study is the first to apply the ICA methodology in older adults with a current major depressive episode. We used a cross-sectional analysis of high-resolution rs-fMRI data. We hypothesized that LLD would be associated with aberrant connectivity within the DMN; therefore, this network was targeted in our primary analysis. We also performed exploratory analyses of LLD-related differences in functional connectivity in other resting-state networks to determine whether LLD is associated with broader dysfunction.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

From November 2013 to December 2014, we recruited 17 older adults (≥55 years) to participate in the ongoing study of geriatric depression (NCT01902004), and 31 non-depressed age-matched controls. After describing the details of the study to interested and eligible subjects, written informed consent was obtained in accordance with the procedures set by the UCLA Institutional Review Board (IRB).

2.1.1. Depressed subjects

Inclusion criteria were: (1) current episode of unipolar MDD according to DSM-5 criteria; (2) Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS-24) score ≥16; (3) Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) score ≥24; and (4) subjective memory complaints. Exclusion criteria were: (1) history of any other psychiatric disorders (other than unipolar MDD); (2) severe or acute unstable medical illness; (3) acute suicidal, violent behavior or history of suicide attempt within the last year; or (4) any other central nervous system diseases. Subjects were free of psychotropic medications for at least two weeks before participating in the study.

2.1.2. Non-depressed subjects

Inclusion criteria were: (1) Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) score ≥24; (2) subjective memory complaints; (3) no current, or history of, depression. Exclusion criteria were: (1) history of any psychiatric disorders or dementia; (2) severe or acute unstable medical illness; (3) any other central nervous system diseases; (4) no psychotropic medications use.

2.2. Clinical measures

Mood evaluation included the Hamilton Rating Scale of Depression (HDRS-24; Hamilton, 1960), the Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAS; Hamilton, 1959)). Health functioning, medical and vascular comorbidity were collected using the Stroke Risk Factor Prediction Chart (AHA, 1990); and the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale-Geriatric (CIRS-G; Miller et al., 1992)). Stress coping resilience was measured by the Connor–Davidson Resilience scale (CD-RISC) (Connor and Davidson, 2003).

2.3. Image acquisition

Functional resting imaging data were collected with a 3 T TIM Trio scanner (Siemens AG, Munich & Berlin, Germany). Participants’ heads were positioned comfortably within a 32-channel head coil, and head motion was minimized with firm cushions. We instructed participants to close eyes and stay awake during image acquisition. Resting-state functional images were acquired for 5 minutes and 41 seconds with a multi-band gradient-echo echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence sensitive to BOLD contrast effects. We acquired 275 contiguous EPI resting-state volumes, and the parameters for functional imaging were repetition time 1.24 seconds, echo time 38.2 ms, flip angle 65°, field of view 21.2 × 21.2 cm², acquisition matrix 118 × 118 × 1.8 mm³ iso-voxel
size (no gap), 78 slices, and 6 bands. We also acquired anatomic images with 3D MPRAGE sequence (acquisition matrix 256 × 256 with 1 mm thick contiguous slices) for co-registration with the functional data.

2.4. Image analysis

The rs-fMRI images were pre-processed in FSL (FMRIB Software Library (FSL, www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) for motion correction, high-pass filter (0.01 Hz), image normalization and 5 mm³ Gaussian spatial smoothing. MELODIC (Multivariate Exploratory Linear Decomposition into Independent Components, a tool of FSL) was used to remove significant head motion, scanner, and physiological artifacts using ICA. The processed functional data from all participants were temporally concatenated to form a 4D data set, which was decomposed into group-level independent components (ICs) using ICA. The MELODIC automated dimensionality estimate was used to determine the number and order of the ICs (Beckmann and Smith, 2004). Each component includes brain structures that share the same temporal pattern of signal after mixture modeling was applied. The dual regression approach was subsequently used to back-reconstruct individual-specific connectivity maps associated with each group-level component, which been shown to be an effective and reliable approach to analyzes of rsfMRI data (FMRIB, 2015). This approach yielded 36 ICs; 26 of these overlapped gray matter and were considered biologically plausible. For each of these 26 ICs, we compared functional connectivity between the depression and control groups in analyzes restricted (i.e., masked) to voxels having 0.5 or higher probability of inclusion in each group-level IC image (Beckmann and Smith, 2004). One-tailed tests compared depression and control groups in each IC at a single-voxel threshold of $z > 1.64, p < 0.05$, with age and sex serving as nuisance covariates. Although Bonferroni correction is sometimes suggested to address the inclusion of two one-tailed tests (i.e., depression > controls, depression < controls) as executed per standard procedures in FSL, we chose a more lenient single-voxel threshold ($p < 0.05$) for these exploratory analyzes a priori, with correction for cluster extent to address the multiple comparisons problem using Random Field Theory at $p(\text{corr}) < 0.05$ (Worsley et al., 2004).

2.5. Statistical analysis of demographic and clinical data

In addition to the abovementioned imaging analyzes, a statistical analysis was performed on the demographic and clinical data obtained from the depressed and non-depressed groups. Data were checked for outliers and normality assumptions. The 2 study groups were compared on demographic characteristics using $t$ tests for continuous variables and $\chi^2$ tests for categorical variables. Significance levels were set at 0.05 for demographic, medical and neuropsychological data. Correction for multiple comparisons were not performed as this was an exploratory study (Bender and Lange, 2001).

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics

Seventeen depressed older adult and 31 non-depressed older adult participants were included in this analysis. Clinical and demographic characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 1. Compared to non-depressed comparators, the depressed group had greater depressive and lower resilience scores.

3.2. MRI Results

3.2.1. Primary analysis

3.2.1.1. Default Mode Network. The right posterior superior temporal sulcus (pSTS) showed increased connectivity with the DMN in depressed vs. non-depressed. The DMN recruited the following brain regions: medial and superior frontal gyrus, extending to middle and inferior frontal gyrus, angular gyrus spreading to middle temporal gyrus, and precuneus cortex, right angular gyrus and middle temporal gyrus. See Fig. 1 for a graphical illustration of these findings.

3.2.2. Exploratory analyzes of resting-state networks

Of the 25 remaining resting-state networks analyzed, 4 networks demonstrated significant differences between groups, including 3 visual networks overlapping occipital cortex and one auditory network overlapping superior temporal cortex. These findings are discussed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic and clinic characteristics for depression and healthy control subjects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Non-depressed group (n=31) Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Depressed group (n=17) Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Analysis T test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>67.48 (8.87)</td>
<td>67.28 (6.64)</td>
<td>T(46) = 0.09, p = 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (yrs)</td>
<td>16.23 (1.56)</td>
<td>16.33 (2.20)</td>
<td>T(46) = 0.20, p = 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMD</td>
<td>3.32 (3.51)</td>
<td>17.89 (2.89)</td>
<td>T(46) = 14.91, p &lt; 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVRF</td>
<td>7.71 (4.55)</td>
<td>5.70 (3.60)</td>
<td>T(46) = 0.17, p = 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIRS total</td>
<td>2.03 (2.07)</td>
<td>2.78 (2.42)</td>
<td>T(46) = 1.14, p = 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>28.84 (1.13)</td>
<td>28.28 (1.64)</td>
<td>T(46) = 1.42, p = 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-RISC</td>
<td>75.26 (11.44)</td>
<td>59.11 (16.27)</td>
<td>T(46) = 0.07, p &lt; 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male 14 (45)</td>
<td>Female 17 (55)</td>
<td>Chi-Square (p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>White 23 (74)</td>
<td>Other 8 (26)</td>
<td>$\chi^2 (1) = 0.66, p = 0.55$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness</td>
<td>Right 25 (81)</td>
<td>Left 6 (19)</td>
<td>$\chi^2 (1) = 2.22, p = 0.07$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD-RISC=The Connor–Davison Resilience Scale; HAMD=The Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; CVRF=Cardiovascular Risk Factors; CIRS=Cumulative Illness Rating Scale; MMSE=Mini-Mental State Examination.

* p ≤ 0.05
3.2.3. Visual networks

Three visual resting-state networks showed significant differences in functional connectivity between depressed and non-depressed subjects, including medial, lateral, and ventral visual networks. Within the medial visual network, which overlapped with early visual/occipital cortex, diffuse clusters of increased connectivity were found (see Fig. 2, column A). The second lateral network primarily overlapped posterior and ventral occipital cortex and inferior temporal cortex, and a cluster in the left occipital fusiform gyrus showed increased connectivity with this network (see Fig. 2, column B). The third network showed increased connectivity diffusely throughout the bilateral occipital cortex and bilateral lingual gyrus. Other areas recruited were in the intracalcarine cortex (see Fig. 2, column C).

3.2.4. Auditory network

An auditory resting-state network was found engaging bilateral superior temporal cortex. Additionally, multiple clusters of increased connectivity in depressed vs non-depressed groups were found throughout the right middle and superior temporal gyrus, extending to the right temple pole (Fig. 3).

3.2.5. Superior parietal network

Increased connectivity in this network was found bilaterally throughout the superior divisions of the precuneus cortex in the depressed vs. non-depressed groups. Recruitment of the network was also found in the superior divisions of the bilateral occipital cortex (Fig. 4).

3.2.6. Posthoc analyzes

No significant differences were found between HAM-D scores and functional connectivity in the above-mentioned brain regions. Further, resilience was not found to significantly correlate with functional connectivity in these brain regions either. Finally, correlations between network connectivity and illness duration in the depressed subjects were not significant.

4. Discussion

Few studies explore resting-state functional connectivity in LLD populations. Understanding this area is important given the rising burden of disease, the need for more precise diagnostic systems and poor treatment response in LLD. In this study we found increased connectivity in multiple resting-state networks in subjects with LLD as compared with non-depressed older adults—the DMN, as well as visual and auditory networks.

Our study is unique in utilizing an ICA analysis of rs-fMRI data and finding aberrant DMN connectivity in an LLD population. Findings in the DMN show increased connectivity within the right pSTS. Previous research associated the pSTS with an array of tasks relevant to social perception including facial processing, motion processing, the integration of audio and visual information, as well as theory of mind (i.e. deciphering the beliefs and perspectives of
others) (Lahnakoski et al., 2012). These types of socially-related functions are characteristically impaired in depression (Hirschfeld et al., 2000). To our knowledge, there are no structural or functional neuroimaging studies exploring the pSTS in either midlife or LLD, making our results novel. Our results showing hyperconnectivity in the pSTS most likely represent dysfunctional social functioning, however this remains to be tested empirically. The rs-fMRI component of the multimodal Sexton et al. (2012) study, the only study with which we can truly compare our data, utilized data-driven ICA analysis in 36 mixed recovered or current LLD participants with low severity of depressive symptoms compared to 25 age-matched controls. They did not find any functional connectivity differences between groups. The lack of findings of connectivity from this study may be due to relatively low depression severity. The mean HAMD score in the mixed recovered vs. current LLD group was 4.19 (SD 4.77). Our depressed group, by comparison had mean HAM-D scores of 17.89 (2.89). Another study explored the DMN using seed-based approaches to rs-fMRI analysis. Although seed-based vs. ICA rs-fMRI analyzes are difficult to compare directly, some similarities between these studies emerge. The other study by Alexopoulos et al. (2012) explored rs-fMRI DMN data in LLD. In this study they engaged 26 non-MCI older adults, 16 with MDD (mean age 69 ± 5.5) and 10 with no MDD (mean age 68.6 ± 7.0). DMN activity was assessed from a seed placed in the PCC. Interestingly, hyperconnectivity in the DMN, specifically the left precuneus/medial parietal region,
subgenual anterior cingulate cortex and lateral parietal regions, distinguished depressed from non-depressed subjects. This again has significant overlap with our study results, suggesting compatible results. In our exploratory analyzes, we demonstrated increased connectivity between the medial and lateral parietal cortices and the superior parietal regions. In the Alexopoulos et al. (2012) study, hyperconnectivity within the DMN was positively associated with pessimism. This suggests the DMN may be a useful target which underlies characteristics, like pessimism, which can perpetuate depression and reduce treatment response.

Aberrant connectivity within sensory networks was a prominent feature of this depressed cohort in exploratory analyzes, and this finding is novel given few studies examine sensory networks in depression. Three separate components were found in the visual network region, whereby increased connectivity was seen diffusely throughout the occipital lobes. We believe this visual network hyperconnectivity should be considered in terms of functional and neuropathological underpinnings. From a neuropathological perspective, there are a number of hypotheses for this connectivity dysfunction. The occipital lobes have been implicated on a physiological level whereby those with MDD have reduced muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (M2) receptor binding in this region (Nikolaus et al., 2012), reduced fractional anisotropy (marker of white matter integrity) (Huang et al., 2011) and reduced magnetization transfer ratios (Kumar et al., 2004). A recent study by Maller et al. (2014) explored the phenomenon of occipital bending in adults with MDD (51 depressed, 48 health controls). This is the first study in adult depression and hasn’t been explored in LLD. Occipital bending is a phenomenon of occipital lobe asymmetry within psychiatric populations. This study found the prevalence of occipital bending is three times higher among depressed adults than non-depressed, though its effects on brain function are unclear and may not be restricted to occipital cortex. It is believed incomplete neural pruning may lead to restricted cranial space for brain growth, or ventricular enlargement may exacerbate natural occipital curvature (Maller et al., 2014). From a functional perspective, visual processing deficits may be related to our hyperconnectivity findings and are recognized as a component of LLD (Potter et al., 2013). Visual search may be impaired in LLD. It is an established model for studying how manipulation of task attributes affects speed of performance (Eckstein, 2011). In a study by Potter et al. (2013), visual search performance was compared in 32 LLD and 32 control participants. Data in this study showed specific slowing in the comparison stage of visual search in LLD, rather than in the encoding/response stages. They also found greater overall slowing in LLD during inefficient versus efficient search. There were no group differences on traditional neuropsychological measures of processing speed. This study therefore highlights the importance of specific analysis of processing speed, and that of the visual network. No study has explored fMRI or rs-fMRI correlations to visual search performance in an LLD sample. Our study also found hyperconnectivity in the auditory networks. There are very few studies conducted on the auditory network or auditory processing in LLD, none using neuroimaging.

Fig. 3. Auditory network engagement in a cross-sectional study of late-life depression as compared to control. This figure shows increased connectivity in the auditory network in depression versus control groups (p < 0.05, corrected). The blue regions indicate higher connectivity in depression versus control group. The yellow region demonstrates the recruited areas. See A–C. The bar graph (D) indicates mean connectivity for depression and control groups. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
One study found deficits in pre-attentive auditory processing, specifically mismatch negativity, in LLD, measured using event-related potentials, were associated with poorer semantic fluency and high levels of functional disability (Naismith et al., 2012). This impairment was localized to the temporal and not frontocentral area. This is likely relevant to the findings of our study, whereby hyperconnectivity may be related to impaired auditory processing.

It may be possible to incorporating our findings together from DMN, auditory and visual networks. Our speculation is auditory and visual processing dysfunction noted in LLD, and via functional hyperconnectivity, is related to increased connectivity between DMN and the pSTS, perhaps causing impaired facial processing, motion processing, and integration of audio and visual information.

Our study didn’t find any involvement of other major resting state networks such as the salience, cognitive control, and cortico-striatal networks. This is likely due to differences in samples and resting state analytical techniques between studies. As mentioned previously, ours is the first study exploring LLD vs. non-depressed subjects with the ICA technique, therefore there are no comparisons to our study to date. When considering the salience network, Yuen et al. investigated resting-state connectivity in LLD with right anterior insula seed region (Yuen et al., 2014), and found that LLD subjects \( (n=16) \) exhibited increased connectivity in the bilateral precuneus compared with normal controls \( (n=10) \). In our data, depressed subjects also showed higher connectivity relative to the healthy controls in the bilateral precuneus for salience network, but this effect did not survive cluster-level correction.

This study has a number of limitations. Our study involved a cross-sectional comparison of two relatively small groups that prevents identification of causation, hence future longitudinal assessments are key. Our use of ICA analysis may be seen as a limitation. The data-driven approach using ICA analysis is useful to understanding patterns in the data without a preexisting model hypothesized. This is as opposed to the model-driven and/or seed-region approach which can also be used whereby the analysis is constrained to brain regions of interest or hypothesis. Our study didn’t correct for multiple comparisons which is therefore an area to improve on in future studies.

5. Conclusion

This pilot study finds increased connectivity in the DMN, visual and auditory networks in LLD versus non-depressed older adults. Our findings of hyperconnectivity in the DMN, pSTS, visual and auditory networks may suggest dysfunction. Aberrant connectivity within the DMN and pSTS may suggest social functioning and self-referential processing impairment. We speculate pSTS hyperconnectivity may represent dysfunction of integration of visual and auditory inputs, leading to visual and auditory impairments. We recommend further large-scale research to understand the neuropsychological correlation to these findings, the relevance of WMHs and occipital bending.

---

Fig. 4. Superior parietal and occipital network engagement in a cross-sectional study of late-life depression as compared to control. This figure shows increased connectivity in the superior temporal and occipital network in depression versus control groups \( (p < 0.05, \text{corrected}) \). The blue regions indicate higher connectivity in depression versus control group. The yellow region demonstrates the recruited areas. See A–C. The bar graph (D) indicates the connectivity for depression and control groups. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)