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Abstract

The far infrared (FIR) reflectance of the high-\(T_c\) superconductor \(La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4\) has been measured in the frequency range 15 to 400 cm\(^{-1}\). Below the superconducting onset temperature \(T=36K\), absorption features in the FIR spectrum indicate an energy gap, with a functional form similar to the BCS gap, but with a smaller magnitude.
Very recently, intense scientific interest has focused on the layered Perovskite-structure compounds La-M-Cu-O, with M = Ba or Sr\([1-8]\). Of particular importance are the materials La\(_{2-x}\)Ba\(_x\)CuO\(_4\) and La\(_{2-x}\)Sr\(_x\)CuO\(_4\), with x typically 0.15. These systems show unusual transport and magnetic anomalies\([1-8]\), suggestive of superconducting transitions, with onset temperatures \(T_{\text{CO}}\) as high as 52K under hydrostatic pressure\([7]\) and 37K under ambient pressure\([8]\).

Outstanding features of the La-Ba-Cu or La-Sr-Cu oxides include sharp drops in dc electrical resistivity below \(T_{\text{CO}}\), with zero (or nearly zero) resistance states at lower temperature. In high quality specimens, the transition width is as little as 1.4K\([8]\). Similarly, magnetic susceptibility measurements indicate perfect diamagnetism throughout a substantial volume of the sample, as expected from the Meissner effect of a superconducting ground state.

The nature of the "superconducting" state in these compounds is of great current interest. Important questions address the behavior of the specific heat, the extent of "bulk" superconductivity and the capacity for persistent currents, strength of the electron-phonon coupling, and existence of an energy gap.

We have addressed the question of the energy gap in the anomalous superconducting phase of La\(_{1.85}\)Sr\(_{0.15}\)CuO\(_4\) by means of far infrared (FIR) reflectance measurements, over the frequency range 15 to 400 cm\(^{-1}\). This spectral range is of interest since, in the BCS theory of superconductivity, a transition temperature of order 40K would imply an energy gap of magnitude \(2\Delta = (3.5-4)k_BT_c\), or the order of 100 cm\(^{-1}\). In La\(_{1.85}\)Sr\(_{0.15}\)CuO\(_4\), we find direct evidence that an energy gap exists. The gap first opens at \(T_{\text{CO}}=36K\), and displays a temperature dependence in rough agreement with the predictions of the BCS theory. The absolute magnitude of the gap (extrapolated to \(T=0\)) is, however, substantially smaller than the
BCS prediction. We interpret our results in terms of a gapped superconducting ground state, and discuss possible mechanisms that might lead to a reduction of the gap magnitude.

We have prepared polycrystalline samples of La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_{4}$ by reaction of La$_2$O$_3$, CuO, and SrCO$_3$. Finely ground powders of the starting materials were pressed into a pellet approximately 1 cm in diameter, and sintered at 1100°C for 44 hours. The finished ceramic-like pellets were 78% dense. We have characterized our samples by careful magnetic susceptibility measurements, employing a SQUID magnetometer. During the measurement, the samples were cooled in a 16 Gauss field. Fig. 1 shows $\chi_g$ versus temperature for La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_{4}$. The sharp break in $\chi_g$ signals the onset of the superconducting transition at $T_{co}=36$K. The form of $\chi_g$ below $T_{co}$ suggests a transition width of approximately 10K, indicating reasonable sample quality. At low temperature, the volume susceptibility of our samples approaches $\chi_v=-8.0 \times 10^{-2}$ emu/cc. Within experimental error, this value is in exact agreement with that expected for a perfect diamagnet: $\chi_v=-8.0 \times 10^{-2}$ emu/cc. 

FIR reflectance measurements were performed with a Michelson interferometer, adapted to a Helium gas flow cryostat to allow sample temperature variations from room temperature to 6K. During the experiment, chopped radiation impinged on the sample surface at near normal incidence. The reflected radiation was lock-in detected with a high sensitivity composite bolometer operated at 1.2K. At each sample temperature for which a reflectance spectrum was recorded, the data was normalized to a polished brass mirror. 

Fig. 2 shows a series of normalized reflectance spectra of La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_{4}$ at selected temperatures above and below $T_{co}=36$K. At 52K, the reflectance $R$ is approximately 86% at 20
cm$^{-1}$, and decreases smoothly with increasing frequency. Above 50K, the reflectance curve
was found to be rather insensitive to temperature. At 36K, $R$ has begun to rise at low frequency,
and is approximately 90% at 20 cm$^{-1}$. At temperatures below approximately 34K, the low
frequency reflectance is unity. In the low temperature regime below $T_{\text{co}}$, the reflectance
follows a consistent behavior. At low frequency, $R$ is near unity and decreases only slightly with
increasing frequency. At moderate frequency, $R$ displays a strong drop at a characteristic
frequency $f_0$, and begins to flatten out once again at a higher characteristic frequency $f_1$. At 6K,
$f_0$ and $f_1$ are clearly identified at 50 cm$^{-1}$ and 66 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. We also note, at low
temperatures, the presence of a shallow minimum in $R$ near 70 cm$^{-1}$. Between 90 and 400
cm$^{-1}$, the reflectance decreases smoothly with increasing frequency, with no outstanding
features.

Both $f_0$ and $f_1$ decrease with increasing temperature above 6K. At and above 36K, $f_0$ and
$f_1$ are no longer identifiable. We associate the reflectance feature windowed by $f_0$ and $f_1$ in
La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$ with the onset of photon absorption at the superconducting gap energy $h\nu =$
$E_g = 2\Delta$. The absorption feature first appears just below $T_{\text{co}} = 36K$. Below this critical
temperature, the absorption edge at $f_0$ increases with decreasing temperature, as expected for an
electronic gap that opens at $T_{\text{co}}$ and subsequently grows with decreasing temperature.

Fig. 3 shows both $f_0$ and $f_1$ plotted versus temperature. Also plotted is $f_p$, which
corresponds to the frequency of the (negative) peak in the frequency-derivatives of the
reflectance traces of Fig. 2 (the derivative traces are not shown). The derivative method yields
a very accurate measurement of $f_p$, which lies between $f_0$ and $f_1$. The general behavior of the
data of Fig. 3 is similar in form to that predicted by the BCS gap[9]. The solid line in Fig. 3 is the BCS gap, normalized to $T_c = 36K$, but with a zero temperature magnitude of $E_g = 2\Delta = 60$ cm$^{-1}$. This magnitude is approximately 30% smaller than that predicted from the expression[9]

$$2\Delta = 3.5k_B T_c,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

for which $E_g(T=0) = 87$ cm$^{-1}$ (using $T_c = T_{CO} = 36K$).

The relatively small magnitude of the zero-temperature gap we observe in La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$ is surprising. The proportionality constant 3.5 in Eq. (1) is appropriate only to the weak-coupling limit, where $N(0)V \ll 1$, with $N(0)$ the density of states at the Fermi level and $V$ the interaction potential. In the strong-coupling limit, inelastic phonon processes give rise to quasi-particle damping which in turn increases the proportionality constant in Eq. (1)[10]. Hence, in the strong coupling limit, Eq. (1) would tend to underestimate the gap magnitude, rather than overestimate it. In La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$, the Debye temperature of $\approx 400K$[11] and $T_c \approx 40K$ would suggest an electron-phonon coupling constant on the order of $g = 0.43$. This is not too different from $g = 0.39$ for lead, a strong coupling superconductor for which $E_g = 4.1k_B T$[12].

We consider the possibility that the absorption we observe in La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$ results from a distribution of BCS-like gap energies within the sample. If a distribution were present, one would associate the upper energy cutoff with $T_{CO}$ and the lower cutoff with $T_{c1}$, where $T_{c1}$
represents the low temperature limit of the transition width. In Fig. 1, we identify $T_c1$ with the flattening out of $\chi$ at lower temperatures, hence $T_c1 \approx 25K$. A distribution would suggest that, at temperatures $T$ such that $T_c1 < T < T_c0$, a gap will exist at zero frequency. This is, however, in contrast to the FIR reflectance data of Fig. 2 which shows, at $T=24K$, a well-developed absorption edge near 50 cm$^{-1}$, with no evidence for additional absorption at lower frequency. We do not, therefore, expect a gap distribution to be appropriate to La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$.

Another possibility for a small gap magnitude in a superconductor is a strongly variable density of states near the Fermi energy[13]. In La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$, one might expect the Fermi surface to result from hybridized copper and oxygen bands, with the possibility of density of states fluctuations. However, it remains uncertain if the mechanism of fluctuations in $N(E)$ alone would be sufficiently strong to reduce the gap to the observed value.

It is apparent that La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$ is not a conventional type-II superconductor. A number of novel mechanisms have been suggested[14,15] to account for the observed high $T_c$ anomalies in resistivity and susceptibility, including a superconducting ground state driven by a charge density wave instability[14], and superconductivity with virtual plasmon exchange associated with the quasi-two-dimensional electronic structure[15]. Whether such mechanisms are consistent with the characteristic temperature dependence and magnitude of the superconducting gap we observe, remains to be seen.

In conclusion, we have observed absorption features in the FIR spectrum of La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$ which identify an energy gap in the superconducting state. The development of the gap is consistent with the superconducting onset temperature $T_c0=36K$. On the other hand, the magnitude of the gap is inconsistent with the conventional strong- (or weak) coupling
theory of BCS superconductivity, and suggests novel interaction mechanisms in this unusual material. Complementary measurements of the magnetic field dependence of the gap, in addition to tunneling spectroscopy, should be of importance in understanding the details of the superconducting ground state. Such measurements are presently underway.
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Figure Captions

1. Magnetic susceptibility of La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$ as a function of temperature. The initial break in the data determines $T_{co}=36K$.

2. Normalized reflectance of La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$ versus frequency at selected temperatures.

3. Characteristic frequencies $f_0$, $f_p$, and $f_1$ (see text) for FIR absorption in La$_{1.85}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$, versus temperature. The solid line is the BCS gap, normalized to $T_c=36K$, but reduced in magnitude by 30% from the prediction of Eq. (1).
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