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We previously reported that Sestd1 KO phenocopies Dact1 KO in mice, consistent with a model in which Sestd1 and Dact1 form a crucial functional complex that regulates Vangl2 in the Wnt/Planar Cell Polarity (PCP) pathway. Here, we show that Dvl2, a binding partner of Dact1, also forms complexes with Sestd1, and does so independently of both Dact1 and Vangl2. In cell-based assays, whereas Sestd1 does not alter Dvl2 activation of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, Dvl2 enhances activation of Rho family GTPases by Dact1 and Sestd1, consistent with a role in the PCP pathway. In mice, although Dvl2 KO is recessive in an otherwise wild type background, it leads to dominant embryonic lethality in either the Sestd1 or Dact1 KO background. This genetic synergy stands in contrast to the epistasis we have previously reported between Sestd1 and Dact1 KO, and suggests independent or semi-independent functions for Dvl2 vs. Sestd1/Dact1 in the regulation of the PCP pathway during development. In conclusion, biochemical and genetic interactions between Dvl2, Sestd1, and Dact1, in addition to prior reported interactions between these same molecules and Vangl2, suggest that all these gene products can form complexes together and regulate the PCP pathway during mammalian development. However, Sestd1 and Dact1 have a closely allied function in the post-translational regulation of Vangl2 that is at least partially distinct from the functions of Dvl2 in this pathway.

Introduction

The Planar Cell Polarity (PCP) pathway is a major form of β-catenin-independent signaling that can occur in cells downstream of extracellular Wnt ligands and/or other intercellular interactions including between Wnt co-receptors and Van Gogh like (Vangl) 4-pass transmembrane proteins.1,2 The PCP pathway contributes to cell polarity and to polarized cell movements during development; one key developmental process to which it contributes in vertebrates is convergent-extension (CE) movement of cells in the primary germ layers and their immediate tissue derivatives that lengthen and narrow the embryo and close the neural tube. Although much remains to be elucidated mechanistically, it has been established that the PCP pathway coordinately regulates the subcellular localization of several transmembrane and associated intracellular proteins, and stimulates cytoskeletal rearrangements and presumably changes in cell adhesion that facilitate and promote this class of morphogenetic movements. Among several downstream PCP pathway effectors are the Rho family GTPases (comprised of the Rho, Rac, and Cdc42 subfamilies), whose activity serves to mobilize actin and other cytoskeletal components.1,3,4 The PCP pathway contrasts with the “canonical” β-catenin-dependent Wnt pathway (Wnt/β-catenin signaling), whose primary cell biological target is the transcriptional regulation of genes to alter cell proliferation and cell fate.3

Dishevelled (Dvl), a scaffold protein with 3 conserved motifs (DIX, PDZ, and DEP), is involved in virtually all described types of Wnt signaling.6-9 There are 3 Dvl loci (Dvli, 2, and 3) in the genomes of mice and humans, each with widespread and
highly overlapping expression patterns during development and in the adult.10-12 Genetically engineered Dvl1 knockout (KO) mice develop grossly normally but display defects in communal and maternal behavior.13 In contrast, Dvl2 KO mice have complex birth defects that include cardiac, skeletal, and neural tube defects reflective of PCP pathway disruption during development.14 Genetic combination of the Dvl1 and Dvl2 KO in mice leads to more severe neural tube defects than the Dvl2 KO alone,15 suggesting partially redundant functions of these Dvl proteins in the PCP pathway. Mice and humans also have 3 Dact (Dapper Antagonist of Catenin, also known simply as “Dapper” or “Frodo”) loci (Dact1, 2, and 3), which encode Dvl-interacting regulators of Wnt signaling.16-21 Dact1 KO mice usually die within a day of birth from a spectrum of posterior malformations, including neural tube defects, reflecting abnormalities in the PCP pathway during embryonic development.22,23 Biochemical analyses support that the PCP pathway is disrupted in developing tissues of Dact1 KO animals. Moreover, the levels and localization of some components of the PCP pathway, notably the Vangl2 transmembrane protein, are misregulated in affected tissues of Dact1 KO embryos.22

We recently identified SEC14 and spectrin domains 1 (Sestd1) as a Dact1 binding partner. Remarkably, Sestd1 KO mice display a phenotypic spectrum identical to Dact1 KO mice.24 Biochemical studies show that loss of Sestd1, like loss of Dact1, leads to disruption of cellular PCP pathway readouts. Based on this and other evidence, we concluded that in developing tissues where they are required, Sestd1 and Dact1 form a complex that regulates Vangl2 post-translational stability and/or trafficking and the PCP pathway.24 Given that Dact1 can bind Dvl2 that has been implicated separately in the same pathway and in overlapping developmental events,14 we speculated that Sestd1 and Dvl2 might also be partners during embryogenesis. Here we provide biochemical and genetic evidence that supports complex formation and functional interactions among the Sestd1, Dact1, and Dvl2 proteins, while also demonstrating that there are likely to be important differences in the roles these proteins play in the PCP pathway and in post-translational Vangl2 regulation.

### Results

Dvl2 can form a complex with Sestd1 independently of Dact1 and Vangl2

To test the hypothesis that Sestd1 can form a complex with Dvl proteins, murine Sestd1 was recombination coexpressed in an immortalized human cell line with one of the murine Dvl paralogs, Dvl2. Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assays demonstrated that the full-length Sestd1 and Dvl2 proteins form complexes when expressed in this manner (Fig. 1A, lane 1 vs. control lane 2). However, as Dact proteins are shared binding partners of both Dvl2 and Sestd1,24,25 it remained possible that the Sestd1-Dvl2 complex formation observed in these cells might occur indirectly

### Table 1. Loss of the Dvl2 gene leads to dominant embryonic lethality in a Sestd1 null background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal Phenotype</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Short tail</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>No genital tubercle</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonates Observed</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonates Expected</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>2.375</td>
<td>2.375</td>
<td>2.375</td>
<td>2.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted Mendelian Ratio</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sestd1−/−; Dvl2−/− X Sestd1−/−; Dvl2−/− (total = 38)

### Table 2. Loss of the Dvl2 gene leads to dominant embryonic lethality in a Dact1 null background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal Phenotype</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>No genital tubercle</td>
<td>Embryonic lethality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonates Observed</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonates Expected</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted Mendelian Ratio</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dact1−/−; Dvl2+/+ X Dact1−/−; Dvl2−/− (total = 60)
via mutual binding to endogenous Dact proteins. To eliminate this possibility, a murine Sestd1 deletion mutant (Sestd1 [1–274]), which does not interact with Dact proteins, was recombinantly coexpressed with either Dvl2 or Dact1 (as a negative control) in the same cell line and co-IP assays performed. In confirmation of our previously published finding, this portion of Sestd1, comprised of the SEC14-homology region and other N-terminal sequence, is stably expressed in these cells but does not form a complex above background immunoprecipitation levels with full-length Dact1 (Fig. 1B, lane 1 vs. lanes 2 and 3); nevertheless, this same N-terminal region of Sestd1 does form a complex with Dvl2 (Fig. 1B, lane 4). In fact, this region of Sestd1 associates with Dvl2 more robustly than the full-length Sestd1 protein (Fig. 1B, lane 4 vs. lane 5), suggesting that the C-terminal region of Sestd1 including the spectrin repeats, which is necessary and sufficient for association with Dact1 and Vangl2, inhibits association with Dvl2. This is intriguing given that the converse is true with regard to Sestd1 complex formation with Vangl2: We previously reported that the N-terminal region of Sestd1, which we show here associates with Dvl2, inhibits association with Vangl2.24 To summarize, the co-IP data suggest that Sestd1 can associate with Dvl2 independently of the Sestd1-Dact1 interaction and of the Sestd1-Vangl2 interaction (Fig. 1C), and further suggest the possibility of mutual regulatory interactions between these halves of the Sestd1 protein in scaffold formation (Fig. 1D).

**Dvl2 cooperates with Dact1 and Sestd1 in activating Rho GTPase activity**

Genetic and biochemical evidence strongly suggests that Sestd1 and Dact1 act together in the PCP pathway during mouse development.22,24 Dvl2 is a key regulator of the PCP pathway and is a binding partner of both Sestd1 and Dact1.15,20,24 On this basis we reasoned that Dvl2 might affect the ability of a Sestd1-Dact1 complex to activate Rho GTPases, downstream effectors of the PCP pathway.22,24 To measure this, we employed SRE.L, a plasmid-based luciferase reporter containing the Serum Response Element upstream of the luciferase cDNA, using conditions in an immortalized mammalian cell line that we have previously validated as a read-out of Rho GTPase activity.24 Although expression of Dvl2 alone had no effect on Rho GTPase activity based on this reporter (Fig. 2A, bar 2 vs. bar 1: Dvl2: 1.13 ± 0.078 vs. SRE: 0.97 ± 0.05, P = 0.1054), expression of Dvl2 significantly increased activity elicited in the presence of both Dact1 and Sestd1 (Fig. 2A, bar 4 vs. bar 3: Dvl2 + Dact1 + Sestd1: 2.53 ± 0.28 vs. Dact1 + Sestd1: 1.59 ± 0.14, P = 0.0086). These data suggest that Dvl2 can synergize with the Sestd1-Dact1 complex in Rho GTPase activation.
In addition to its activity in the PCP pathway, Dvl2 can also regulate the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Unlike Dact1, which when recombinantly expressed can antagonize Wnt/β-catenin signaling by inducing degradation of co-expressed Dvl2, Sestd1 does not induce degradation of co-expressed Dvl2 in cells (Fig. 1B, lane 5 vs. 6 anti-HA blot). It has also been demonstrated that a Dact1 paralog can synergize with a co-expressed Dvl protein to activate the Wnt/β-catenin pathway under some conditions. To test whether Sestd1 can similarly potentiate or otherwise affect Dvl2-mediated Wnt/β-catenin signal pathway activation, we employed an assay in an immortalized mammalian cell line based on a plasmid-based reporter (SuperTOP) containing multiple T-cell factor binding sites upstream of the luciferase cDNA. As expected from previous studies, recombinant expression of Dvl2 significantly activated the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway as measured by this assay (Fig. 2B, bar 3 vs. bar 1: Dvl2: 14.64 ± 1.63 vs. SuperTOP only (−): 1.0 ± 0.10, P < 0.0001). However, recombinant expression of Sestd1 did not activate this reporter by itself (Fig. 2B, bar 2 vs. bar 1: Sestd1: 1.1 ± 0.09 vs. SuperTOP only (−): 1.0 ± 0.10, P = 0.47), nor did it affect activation of this reporter by Dvl2 (100ng) regardless of Sestd1 dose (Fig. 2B, bars 4, 5, 6 each compared vs. bar 3: Dvl2+Sestd1 100ng, 13.76 ± 1.01, P = 0.66; Dvl2+Sestd1 200ng, 14.20 ± 1.07, P = 0.83; Dvl2+Sestd1 400ng, 16.49 ± 0.34, P = 0.31; each compared vs. Dvl2 only, 14.64 ± 1.63). Together, the signaling reporter data suggest that Sestd1 functionally interacts with Dvl2 in the PCP, but not the Wnt/β-catenin, pathway.

**Sestd1 and Dact1 KO display similar synergistic genetic interactions with Dvl2 KO in mice**

Mutation of PCP pathway components, including Dact1, Sestd1, and Dvl2, lead to a CE deficit during embryonic axis elongation and to neural tube defects. Biochemical interactions between the Dvl2 and Sestd1 proteins suggest that mutations at the corresponding loci may genetically interact in functionally revealing ways. To test this hypothesis, an intercross was made between Dvl2−/−; Dact1−/− mice, and neonatal offspring genotyped and phenotyped (Table 1). Although Dvl2 is recessive (i.e., Dvl2 heterozygotes are viable and phenotypically wild type), and although mice that are null for either Dvl2 or Dact1 can survive to birth, Sestd1−/−; Dvl2−/− (Sestd1 null in a Dvl2 heterozygous background) and Sestd1−/−; Dvl2−/− (Sestd1 null in a Dvl2 null background) neonates are never observed. This indicates that loss of Sestd1 combined with loss of either one or both copies of Dvl2 leads to embryonic lethality. This is similar to data we obtained by intercrossing Dact1−/−; Dvl2−/− mice. Here again, although mice that are null for either Dvl2 or Dact1 can survive to birth, Sestd1−/−; Dvl2−/− (Sestd1 null in a Dvl2 heterozygous background) and Sestd1−/−; Dvl2−/− (Sestd1 null in a Dvl2 null background) neonates are never observed, indicating that simultaneous loss of Dact1 and Dvl2 leads to embryonic lethality (Table 2).

**Discussion**

We have shown here that Dvl2 forms complexes with Sestd1 independently of interactions with Dact1 and Vangl2. We have shown in cells that recombinantly expressed Dvl2 can stimulate Rho GTPase activity cooperatively with Dact1 and Sestd1,
consistent with these proteins all contributing to the PCP pathway. Our genetic data in mice, demonstrating synergistic (phenotypically super-additive) developmental interactions between KO mutations at the Dvl2 and Sestd1 loci as well as between KO mutations at the Dvl2 and Dact1 loci, similarly suggest that the Dvl2, Sestd1, and Dact1 proteins functionally converge on a key developmental process (i.e., PCP) in the embryo—but indicate that Dvl2 also contributes in some fashion that is not entirely dependent on either Dact1 or Sestd1. This contrasts with the completely epistatic genetic relationship between mutations in Dact1 and Sestd1: KO of both of these loci together leads to precisely the same phenotype as KO of either locus by itself,24 indicating that the Dact1 and Sestd1 proteins act in a single (linear) biochemical pathway such that a loss of either protein alone has the same functional consequence as loss of both proteins together. Further supporting such a model, the Dvl2, Dact1, and Sestd1 loci substantially differ in their genetic interactions with the Vangl2 locus in mice: Dvl2 mutations synergize with,35 whereas Dact1 and Sestd1 mutations rescue, Vangl2 mutations.22,24

Several lines of evidence suggest that all 3 of these scaffold proteins—Dact1, Sestd1, and Dvl2—participate in the trafficking of Vangl2. Sestd1 has a SEC14 domain that by homology implicates this protein in lipid signaling and membrane trafficking.30,31 In previous work, we have linked the unique Dact1 and Sestd1 KO phenotypic spectrum and genetic interactions to increased Vangl2 protein levels at the plasma membrane in the affected tissue of mutant embryos.22 Conversely, Vangl2 missense mutations that reduce Vangl2 protein at the plasma membrane also interfere with the ability of the corresponding Vangl2 mutant proteins to form complexes with Dvl proteins.32,33 Supporting a link between Dact, Dvl, and protein trafficking or turnover, loss of individual Dact family members has been reported to increase Dvl protein levels in some models,23,27 whereas recombinantly overexpressed Dact family members reproducibly reduce Dvl protein levels in many cell lines.25,27 Finally, both Dvl and Dact family members display a punctate intracellular distribution,20,27,34,35 and although controversial,25,36 in both cases these puncta have been equated with a membrane-bound compartment in some studies.37,38

In sum, genetic, developmental, cell biological, and biochemical data suggest a model in which Dvl2, Dact1, and Sestd1 all contribute to the PCP pathway during embryonic development—but that Dact1 and Sestd1 have a relatively unique functional alliance in Vangl2 trafficking that is not wholly shared by Dvl2, whereas Dvl2 participates in another aspect of PCP pathway signal transduction that is not entirely dependent on either Dact1 or Sestd1 (Fig. 3). This model, clearly incomplete in its details, is nonetheless useful in that it highlights gaps in our understanding and questions that remain: Does a Dact1/Sestd1 complex participate primarily in the biochemical regulation of Vangl2 stability, in Vangl2 endocytosis and associated degradation or recycling, or in Vangl2 trafficking from the Golgi to the plasma membrane? Does Dvl2 participate in the same Dact1/Sestd1 complex or in some separate aspect of Vangl2 cell biology? How might scaffolding interactions between these proteins relate mechanistically to these and other steps in the PCP pathway, including activation of downstream effectors such as Rho GTPases? These and other questions can be addressed through further experiments making use of existing KO mouse lines and novel transgenic models, combined with state-of-the-art cell biological, developmental, signaling, and molecular imaging techniques.

**Methods**

**Immunoprecipitation**

A human embryonic kidney (HEK293T) cell line was transfected with HA-Dvl2 or HA-Dact1 expressing plasmid either with or without Flag-Sestd1 or Flag-Sestd1 (1–274) expressing plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies). After 48 h, transfected cells were lysed, pre-cleared, and incubated with anti-FLAG M2 beads for 3 h. Beads were collected and washed as described previously.23 Protein complexes were separated by SDS-PAGE followed by detection using anti-HA antibody.

**Luciferase reporter activity assays**

To measure Rho family GTPase activity, an immortalized mouse fibroblast (NIH3T3) cell line was transfected in triplicate with the SRE.Luciferase reporter plasmid, a Renilla plasmid, and either empty vector, HA-Dvl2, FlagDact1–2A-HASestd1,24 or the HA-Dvl2 and FlagDact1–2A-HASestd1 plasmids together, using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies). In previous work, we showed that stimulation of this reporter by Dact1 + Sestd1 in low serum conditions is blocked by co-expression of the C3 ADP ribosyltransferase, indicating that it reflects activation of the Rho subfamily of small GTPases.24,39 To measure Wnt/β-catenin signaling activity, HEK293T cells were transfected in triplicate with the SuperTOPflash reporter plasmid, a Renilla plasmid, and
either empty vector, Flag-Sesteadl1, HA-Dvl2 or the Flag-Sesteadl1 and HA-Dvl2 plasmids together, using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies). One day after transfection, luciferase activity was measured by a luminometer (Veritas) as previously described.24,40
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