Nanoparticulate mineralized collagen scaffolds induce in vivo bone regeneration independent of progenitor cell loading or exogenous growth factor stimulation
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A B S T R A C T

Current strategies for skeletal regeneration often require co-delivery of scaffold technologies, growth factors, and cellular material. However, isolation and expansion of stem cells can be time consuming, costly, and requires an additional procedure for harvest. Further, the introduction of supraphysiologic doses of growth factors may result in untoward clinical side effects, warranting pursuit of alternative methods for stimulating osteogenesis. In this work, we describe a nanoparticulate mineralized collagen glycosaminoglycan scaffold that induces healing of critical-sized rabbit cranial defects without addition of expanded stem cells or exogenous growth factors. We demonstrate that the mechanism of osteogenic induction corresponds to an increase in canonical BMP receptor signalling secondary to autogenous production of BMP-2 and -9 early and BMP-4 later during differentiation. Thus, nanoparticulate mineralized collagen glycosaminoglycan scaffolds may provide a novel growth factor-free and ex vivo progenitor cell culture-free implantable method for bone regeneration.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Skeletal regenerative medicine emerged as a field of investigation to address the clinical need for reconstruction of osseous deficiencies secondary to congenital, traumatic, and post-oncologic conditions. Although reconstructive surgical techniques have undergone significant advances, correction still depends on the availability of tissue within the patient and the morbidity that a patient can sustain from tissue transfer [1,2]. Non-autologous replacement in the form of allograft and alloplast are fraught with problems including resorption, exposure, and infection [3–5]. These limitations demonstrate a significant need for establishing alternative methods for bone replacement.

Approaches to bone tissue engineering incorporate three elements: osteogenic cells, growth factors, and scaffolding material [6,7]. Cell types are usually adult tissue-derived stem cells and osteogenic growth factors are frequently members of the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) family [8]. Mechanistically, BMP dimers promote osteogenic differentiation by binding to BMP receptor (BMPR) complexes and activating intracellular signaling cascades in osteoprogenitor cells [9]. Depending on the method of BMPR oligomerization, activation of the canonical or non-canonical pathways may occur. In the canonical pathway, the receptor Smads (Smad 1/5/8) are recruited and phosphorylated. Phosphorylated receptor Smads associate with co-Smad (Smad 4) and translocate to the nucleus to activate transcription. In the non-canonical pathway, ERK, p38 MAPK, and PI3K/Akt are activated. Regulation of each BMP receptor signaling may occur at the ligand level, receptor level, or via intracellular molecules [10–12]. Secreted homodimeric BMP
antagonists such as noggin, chordin, and the DAN/Cerberus family of proteins sequester BMP ligands [13,14]. The pseudoreceptor BMP and activin bound protein (BAMBI) inhibits the effects of the activated receptors and is expressed in osteoblasts [15]. Intracellular negative or positive regulation can also occur within or between the canonical and noncanonical pathways with expression of inhibitory Smad proteins.

Clinically, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved two BMPs for usage in bone defects: BMP-2 and –7 [16–18]. However, complications such as soft tissue swelling, ectopic bone formation, resorption of adjacent bone, and long term effects on maxillary growth have all been reported [19–21]. Such drawbacks highlight the need for alternative methods for augmenting osteogenesis without reliance on supraphysiologic dosages of growth factors.

Scaffolding material, once considered to be inert or passive supporters of biological processes, are increasingly designed to have instructive properties that promote osteogenesis depending on the material, porosity, and ability to mimic the native extracellular matrix (ECM) [6]. Although a multitude of scaffolds have been reported in the literature comprised of ceramic, biodegradable polymers, extracellular matrix components, or combinations thereof, the quest for the ideal scaffold is yet to be complete. Classic limitations include production of inflammatory acid metabolites by biodegradable polymers and structural contraction of collagen scaffolds [22–24]. Although ceramic composites, such as ß-tricalcium phosphate (ß-TCP) and hydroxyapatite, are both osteoconductive and osteoinductive, their utility is limited by variable resorption rates or brittle mechanical properties [25]. We recently reported that combining both the organic and inorganic components of the ECM in the form of a novel nanoparticulate mineralized collagen glycosaminoglycan (MC-GAG) scaffold results in a highly osteogenic and structurally stable scaffold that stimulates osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) by autogenously stimulating the canonical BMPR signaling pathway [26,27]. In this work, we evaluate the therapeutic potential of MC-GAG in bone regeneration by investigating in vitro calvarial bone healing in a rabbit cranial defect model.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Fabrication of non-mineralized and mineralized collagen scaffolds

Col-GAG and MC-GAG scaffolds were prepared using the lyophilization process described previously [28]. Briefly, a suspension of collagen and GAGs were produced by combining microfibrillar, type I collagen (Collagen Matrix, Oakland, NJ) and chondroitin-6-sulfate (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) with and without calcium salts (calcium nitrate hydrate: Ca(NO3)2 suspension was frozen using a constant cooling rate technique). The solution of acetic acid (Col-GAG) or phosphoric acid (MC-GAG). The size was 156±8 mm in diameter for in vitro studies. Disks 8 mm in diameter were prepared for in vivo studies.

2.2. Animals and cell culture

2.2.1. Isolation of rabbit bone marrow stromal cells and cell culture for in vitro studies

New Zealand White rabbits (2–3 months old, cared for in compliance with the USDA Animal Welfare Act and PHS Policy for the Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals) were injected with 3000 U heparin and 390 mg of pentobarbital solution (Fatal-Plus®, 390 mg/ml). Bone marrow was obtained from long bones via aspiration and resuspended in PBS by successive passages through 18 and 20 gage syringe needles. Cells were cultured in α-MEM (Cellgro Mediatech, Manassas, VA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Atlanta Biologicals, Flowery Branch, GA), 2 mM L-glutamine (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and 100 IU/mL penicillin/100 µg/mL streptomycin (Fisher Scientific) at 37°C for 2 days. Adherent cells were identified as stromal cells. At passage 3, 3 × 106 BMSCs were seeded onto 8-mm Col-GAG and MC-GAG scaffolds in proliferation media. 24 h after seeding, proliferation media was exchanged for osteogenic differentiation media consisting of 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, 50 µg/ml ascorbic acid and 0.1 µM dexamethasone. Scaffolds were untreated or treated with rhBMP-2 at a concentration of 50 ng/ml. Media and BMP-2 were changed every 2–3 days during culture.

2.2.2. In vivo rabbit cranial defect reconstruction

Approximately three weeks prior to creation of the parietal defect, 26 female New Zealand White rabbits (2–3 months old) underwent bone marrow harvest from the ilium as described above. Preoperatively, rabbits were injected subcutaneously with enrofloxacine (5 mg/kg) and acepromazine (1 mg/kg). Anesthesia was maintained with isoflurane gas (1.5–3%) during the procedure and pain control was performed with buprenorphine 0.05 mg/kg and carprofen 4 mg/kg subcutaneous injection. Isolated BMSCs were cultured as described above and 2 × 106 cells were seeded onto 14 mm Col-GAG and MC-GAG scaffolds in proliferation media. 24 h after seeding, proliferation media was exchanged for osteogenic differentiation media consisting of 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, 50 µg/ml ascorbic acid and 0.1 µM dexamethasone. Scaffolds were untreated or treated with rhBMP-2 at a concentration of 50 ng/ml. Media and BMP-2 were changed every 2–3 days during culture.

The rabbits were divided into seven groups (3–4 rabbits per group): 1) defect without reconstruction, 2) Col-GAG scaffold only, 3) Col-GAG seeded with BMSCs ex vivo, 4) Col-GAG seeded with BMSCs and rhBMP-2 ex vivo, 5) MC-GAG scaffold only, 6) MC-GAG seeded with BMSCs ex vivo, 7) MC-GAG seeded with BMSCs and rhBMP-2 ex vivo. The head of each rabbit was shaved and disinfected with Betadine. The cranial surface was exposed by a midline incision and the overlying parietal periosteum was dissected off of the calvarium. For each rabbit, a 14 mm full thickness, extradural defect was created by a hand powered trephine and the bone was lifted away without injury to the dura [32]. One scaffolds was implanted for each rabbit and the incision was closed with 4-0 nylon sutures. Twelve weeks after implantation, the rabbits were euthanized by intravenous injection of 1 mL of pentobarbital solution (Fatal-Plus®, 390 mg/ml) intravenously via the marginal ear vein. The previous incision was then reopened and the calvarium was exposed. The calvarium including the cranial defect was analyzed grossly and then explanted for micro-CT, histologic, and biomechanical analyses.
2.3. Quantitative real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction for in vitro studies

Scaffolds were processed for total RNA extraction using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) at indicated timepoints including 0, 3, 7, 14, and 24 days of culture. Quantitative real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed on the Opticon Continuous Fluorescence System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA) using the Quantitect SYBR Green RT-PCR kit (Qiagen) and cycle conditions described previously [26]. Cycle conditions were as follows: reverse transcription at 50 °C (30 min); activation of HotStarTag DNA polymerase/inactivation of reverse transcriptase at 95 °C (15 min); and 45 cycles of 94 °C for 15 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 45 s. Results were analyzed using the comparative CT method for analyzing reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction data and presented as representative graphs of triplicate experiments. Data was expressed as a fold change from day 0 levels. Primers used are listed with accession numbers in Table 1.

2.4. Histology and immunohistochemistry

2.4.1. In vitro studies

Scaffolds were fixed at 10% normal buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 4 microns using standard techniques. The sections were deparaffinized and stained with hematoxylin and eosin, Alizarin Red, or anti-p-Smad1/5 (Cell Signaling Technologies, Beverly, MA) and processed with the Dako automated FLEX system (Dako, Carpinteria, CA). All slides were analyzed qualitatively using a standard microscope and digitally photographed.

2.4.2. In vivo cranial defects

Skulls were removed twelve weeks after the implantation of scaffolds (n = 3–4 skulls per group), fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 4 microns using standard techniques. The sections were deparaffinized and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. All slides were analyzed qualitatively using a standard microscope and digitally photographed.

2.5. Micro-computed tomographic imaging

Micro-computed tomographic imaging (μCT) was performed using the Scanco μCT 35 (Scanco Medical AG, Bruttisellen, Switzerland).

2.5.1. In vitro studies

Mineralization was followed by μCT after 6 and 12 weeks in culture (n = 3 scaffolds per time point). Scaffolds were fixed using 10% formalin for 24 h and then stored in 70% ethanol at 4 °C until scanned. Prior to scanning, the scaffolds were wetted in PBS and then placed in the holder (without solution) and scanned quickly (15–30 min) to prevent drying. Scans were performed using medium resolution settings with a source voltage of 45 E (kVp), Intensity (μA) of 114, and a voxel size of 37 μm. Scaffold areas were contoured to establish volumes of interest by visual examination of serial slices in all of the specimens. Two-dimensional images were analyzed using software supplied from Scanco (Image Processing Language version 5.6) to produce three-dimensional reconstruction pictures and volume determination. Optimum arbitrary threshold values of 20 (showing scaffold and mineralization) and 80 (mineralization alone) were used uniformly for all specimens to quantify mineralized areas from surrounding unmineralized scaffold.

2.5.2. In vivo cranial defects

Skulls were removed twelve weeks after the implantation of scaffolds (n = 3–4 skulls per group), fixed in 10% formalin for 24 h, and then stored in 70% ethanol at 4 °C until scanned. Scans were performed in PBS using high resolution settings with a source voltage of 45 E (kVp), Intensity (μA) of 177, and a voxel size of 15 μm. Skull areas were contoured to establish volumes of interest and an optimum arbitrary threshold value of 415 was used uniformly for all specimens to quantify mineralized bone areas from surrounding unmineralized scaffold. Three-dimensional reconstruction pictures were generated and the volume of old and new bone calculated.

For density calculations, DICOM files were imported into Osirix (Pixmeo Sarl) and mean Hounsfield Units (HU) were obtained from a cylindrical volume of 0.045 cm³ consisting of the defect and 0.03 cm³ outside of the defect in the native bone as an internal control. The ratio of mean density of defect/mean density of native bone was then calculated for each condition (defect only, empty Col-GAG, empty MC-GAG, Col-GAG precultured with BMSCs, MC-GAG precultured with BMSCs, Col-GAG precultured with BMSCs and 50 ng/mL rhBMP-2, and MC-GAG precultured with BMSCs and 50 ng/mL rhBMP-2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide sequence</th>
<th>Accession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin sense</td>
<td>5'-TACCCACACCTGCCCATCTACCAGA-3'</td>
<td>From PMID:23290592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Acin antisense</td>
<td>5'-CACGGAACCGCGCCATCTACCAGA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP sense</td>
<td>5'-CTCCATGGGCGTGGCTCAT-3'</td>
<td>From PMID:22894817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP antisense</td>
<td>5'-CCCCATAGGCGGCTGCTCAT-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col I sense</td>
<td>5'-AGGCTGAGTGAATCCATTGACGCTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col I antisense</td>
<td>5'-AGGCTGAGTGAATCCATTGACGCTAC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPN sense</td>
<td>5'-CTGACTTTGGTGTCTCCAGC-3'</td>
<td>NM_001082194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPN antisense</td>
<td>5'-CTGACTTTGGTGTCTCCAGC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCN sense</td>
<td>5'-GGGCGGAATCTGCAGCGAGG-3'</td>
<td>From PMID:22894817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCN antisense</td>
<td>5'-GGGCGGAATCTGCAGCGAGG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP2 sense</td>
<td>5'-AGGCTAGTGCAGTGCGCTGACG-3'</td>
<td>NM_001082650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP2 antisense</td>
<td>5'-AGGCTAGTGCAGTGCGCTGACG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP4 sense</td>
<td>5'-CCACCTGAGAAGAATCCATCG-3'</td>
<td>NM_001195723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP4 antisense</td>
<td>5'-CCACCTGAGAAGAATCCATCG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP7 sense</td>
<td>5'-CTGTTTACCGTGGGAGGCCG-3'</td>
<td>EU004072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP7 antisense</td>
<td>5'-CTGTTTACCGTGGGAGGCCG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP8 sense</td>
<td>5'-CGGGGCTGGTTCCTGCTCA-3'</td>
<td>XM_002723523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP9 antisense</td>
<td>5'-CGGGGCTGGTTCCTGCTCA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; Col I, type I collagen; OPN, Osteopontin, OCN, osteocalcin and BMP, bone morphogenetic protein.
2.6. Western blot for In vitro studies

Lysates for western blot analysis were prepared from scaffolds at 0, 3, 7, 14, and 24 days of culture using Phosphosafe lysis buffer (Novagen, Madison, WI). Equal amounts of protein lysates were subjected to 4–20% (Bio-Rad) SDS-PAGE. Western analysis was carried out with antibodies against phosphorylated-Smad1/5 (p-Smad1/5), total Smad1/5/8, phosphorylated-ERK1/2 (p-ERK1/2), total ERK1/2, phosphorylated-Smad2/3 (p-Smad2/3), total Smad2/3, phosphorylated-p38 (p-p38), total p38, Akt, phosphorylated-Akt (p-Akt) and β-actin followed by 1:4000 dilutions of horseradish peroxidase-conjugated IgG antibodies (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and an enhanced chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). For detection of p-Smad1/5 and total Smad1/5/8, 40 μg of lysate was loaded per lane. For detection of p-ERK1/2 and total ERK1/2, 60 μg of lysate was loaded per lane. For detection p-p38, total p38, p-Smad2/3, total Smad2/3, 50 μg of lysate was loaded per lane. All primary phospho antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technologies (Beverly, MA) and all primary full length antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Imaging was carried out using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

2.7. Reference point indentation for in vivo rabbit cranial defects

Explanted skull racks in 10% formalin were tested with the BioDent reference point indentation device (Active Life Scientific, Santa Barbara, CA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Indentations were conducted in five areas at a force of 2 N, an indentation frequency of 2 Hz, and 10 indentation cycles at a touchdown force of 0.1 N using a probe assembly type BP2. Indentation data was analyzed with the BioDent software for the total indentation distance (TID), first cycle indentation distance (ID1st), loading slope (LS), and unloading slopes (US). Toughness, or resistance to fracture, was determined by the total distance of indentation (TID) reached by the test probe and the first cycle indentation distance (ID1st). Relative stiffness was determined by the loading (LS) and unloading (US) slopes of the force (N) to displacement (μm) curves. To minimize differences in the thickness of bone for each animal as well as the bone healing capabilities, data from each cranial defect was internally controlled with the native calvarial bone.

2.8. Statistical analysis

All comparisons were performed with univariate analyses of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc comparisons using the Tukey criterion with SPSS software Version 23 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Data points were composed of at least three independent experiments, unless otherwise indicated. The F statistic, associated degrees of freedom, and p values are reported. For post hoc comparisons between groups using the Tukey criterion, p values are reported. A value of p < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Mineralized collagen glycosaminoglycan scaffolds upregulate in vitro osteogenic gene expression and mineralization in rabbit bone marrow stromal cells

We recently reported that addition of mineral content via nanoparticulate calcium phosphate (CaP) to collagen glycosaminoglycan (Col-GAG) scaffolds significantly promoted osteogenesis of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells [26,27,33,34]. We also determined that hMSC osteogenic differentiation and mineralization occurred in a growth factor-independent manner [26]. To test this effect on in vivo bone regeneration, we first determined whether this effect could be replicated in a rabbit model.

Col-GAG and mineralized collagen-GAG (MC-GAG) scaffolds were seeded with primary rabbit BMSCs isolated from lower extremity long bones and untreated or treated with rhBMP-2 (50 ng/mL), a concentration previously demonstrated in our laboratory to stimulate osteogenic differentiation in rabbit BMSCs [35]. Three transcriptional markers were chosen for evaluation of early and late phases of osteogenic differentiation: alkaline phosphatase (ALP), collagen I (Col I), and osteocalcin (OCN) (Fig. 1A–C). A significant effect of type, timing, and treatment of scaffolds on gene expression was seen in ALP [F(7,18) = 5.694, p = 0.001], Col I [F(11,23) = 12.217, p < 0.001], and OCN [F(11,22) = 9.227, p < 0.001]. As an early marker of osteogenesis, post hoc comparisons indicated that ALP expression increased with BMP-2 treatment at day 3 for BMSCs cultured on Col-GAG (p < 0.05) or MC-GAG (p < 0.01) in comparison to untreated cultures. There were no statistically significant differences in ALP expression between the scaffolds. By day 7, ALP expression had increased in both scaffold types and BMP-2 treatment no longer demonstrated any further increases.

As later markers for osteogenic differentiation, Col I and OCN were examined. Unlike ALP, Col I transcripts did not demonstrate appreciable increases until day 24 of culture for either Col-GAG (p < 0.05) or MC-GAG (p < 0.001). Similar to ALP, these increases were sensitive to BMP-2 stimulation in either scaffold. Similar to Col I, OCN also demonstrated a delay prior to increase. At day 24, OCN transcripts were found to be increased in MC-GAG scaffolds when compared to earlier timepoints in the absence (p < 0.01) or presence (p < 0.001) of BMP-2. Unlike Col I, OCN transcripts were not significantly affected by BMP-2 treatment. In contrast, OCN transcripts in Col-GAG scaffolds trended towards an increase in later timepoints but this did not achieve statistical significance.

To investigate whether the increases in osteogenic gene expression translated to increased mineralization, BMSCs-seeded on Col-GAG and MC-GAG scaffolds in the presence and absence of BMP-2 (50 ng/mL) were subjected to histologic analysis at 6 and 8 weeks of culture (Fig. 1D). In contrast to the Col-GAG scaffolds, MC-GAG scaffolds efficiently formed mineralized bone seen in the absence and presence of BMP-2. At 8 weeks of culture, Col-GAG scaffolds demonstrated a minimal amount of mineralization largely at the surfaces of the scaffold.

μCT scanning was also performed on all scaffolds and their respective empty scaffold controls at 6 and 12 weeks of culture in osteogenic medium to evaluate in vitro mineralization (Fig. 2). Similar to the histologic analyses, μCT scanning showed efficient mineralization of MC-GAG scaffolds, whereas non-mineralized Col-GAG scaffolds demonstrated only mineralization at the edges of the scaffold. When mineralized volume was quantified as a percentage of the total volume of the scaffold (Fig. 2B), there was a significant effect of type of scaffold on percent mineralized volume [F(11,18) = 16.635, p < 0.001]. Post hoc comparisons using the Tukey criterion indicated that, at six weeks, the mineralization achieved in BMSCs cultured on MC-GAG was greater than Col-GAG in the presence of BMP-2 (p < 0.05). However, at 12 weeks of culture, MC-GAG cultured with BMSCs in the absence or presence of BMP-2 demonstrated increased mineralization when compared to the respective Col-GAG scaffolds (p < 0.001 and p < 0.01, respectively). Both untreated and BMP-2 treated MC-GAG/BMSC scaffolds demonstrated significantly greater mineralization when compared to empty MC-GAG scaffolds at 12 weeks (p < 0.001 and p < 0.01, respectively). In addition, treatment with BMP-2 did not significantly increase or decrease mineralization. Taken together,
expression of osteogenic genes such as ALP and Col I were increased by BMP-2 treatment in either scaffold early during osteogenesis. However, as osteogenesis progressed, neither OCN expression nor mineralization was significantly influenced by BMP-2 treatment in MC-GAG scaffolds. Rather, only the type of scaffold influenced the quantity of mineralization.

3.2. BMPs are differentially expressed and Smad1/5 is constitutively activated in BMSCs on MC-GAG scaffolds

To elucidate the mechanism behind increased osteogenesis in MC-GAG scaffolds in relationship to Col-GAG scaffolds, the canonical (Smad dependent) and non-canonical (Smad independent) pathways of BMP receptor signaling were investigated (Fig. 3A–B). In Col-GAG scaffolds, p-Smad1/5 was demonstrated only in response to BMP-2 stimulation on day 3 and day 7 (Fig. 3A). On day 24, a small amount of p-Smad1/5 was detected in the untreated Col-GAG scaffolds that was slightly increased with BMP-2. MC-GAG scaffolds were dramatically different in both the quantity of Smad1/5 phosphorylation and response to BMP-2. Even at day 0, MC-GAG scaffolds showed a weak presence of p-Smad1/5. P-Smad1/5 was increased at day 3, 7, and 24 in both BMP-2 untreated and treated scaffolds. Interestingly, BMP-2 had a stimulatory effect in MC-GAG scaffolds at day 3, but this difference was no longer present at the longer timepoints.

In contrast to Smad phosphorylation, p-ERK1/2 was elevated in the Col-GAG scaffolds in comparison to the MC-GAG scaffolds (Fig. 3A). At day 7, BMP-2 stimulation resulted in a slight reduction in p-ERK1/2 in Col-GAG scaffolds. At day 24, both untreated and treated Col-GAG scaffolds showed elevated levels of p-ERK1/2. In contrast, p-ERK1/2 was not seen in the MC-GAG scaffolds until day 24. Similar to Col-GAG scaffolds, BMP-2 appeared to slightly decrease the amount of p-ERK1/2 at day 24 in MC-GAG scaffolds. To determine whether other noncanonical downstream signaling molecules were affected, p38, Smad 2/3, and Akt were investigated (Fig. 3B). Unlike Smad1/5 and ERK1/2, there were no differences detected between the two scaffolds types or induction by BMP-2 addition. These data show that MC-GAG scaffolds...
upregulate the BMPR canonical receptor Smad1/5 phosphorylation in BMSCs. This effect can be augmented at early timepoints (day 3) with exogenous BMP-2. However, at later timepoints (day 7 and 24), Smad 1/5 phosphorylation in MC-GAG scaffolds occurred even in the absence of exogenous BMP-2. Within the BMPR non-canonical signaling pathways, MC-GAG negatively regulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation early in osteogenic differentiation.

Following phosphorylation, Smad1/5 translocates to the nucleus to activate transcription of downstream targets. To confirm that phosphorylated Smad1/5 detected in Western blots corresponded to nuclear translocation, Col-GAG and MC-GAG scaffolds seeded with BMSCs at 6 and 8 weeks of culture, untreated and treated with BMP-2 stimulation, were subjected to immunohistochemistry with an antibody against p-Smad1/5 (Fig. 3C). Strong staining and nuclear localization of p-Smad1/5 was seen in the presence and absence of BMP-2 in MC-GAG scaffolds at all time-points. Col-GAG showed minimal staining of p-Smad1/5 with few cells demonstrating nuclear localization.

In combination, these data suggested that autogenous activation of the BMP receptor signaling pathway in BMSCs was stimulated by MC-GAG scaffolds. To further elucidate whether this activation was due to specific osteogenic BMP production, quantitative RT-PCR was performed for BMP-2, -4, -7, and -9 (Fig. 3D–G). A significant effect of type, timing, and BMP-2 treatment of scaffold on gene expression was found for BMP-2 [F(15,32) = 69.736, p < 0.001], BMP-4 [F(15,32) = 238.264, p < 0.001], BMP-7 [F(15,32) = 18.488, p < 0.001], and BMP-9 [F(15,32) = 12.131, p < 0.001]. Production of BMP-2 mRNA was found to be higher in MC-GAG scaffolds in comparison to Col-GAG scaffolds at the day 3 (p < 0.001) and 7 (p < 0.001) timepoints. BMP-2 expression was highest early and decreased with time in culture (p < 0.001). In MC-GAG scaffolds, exogenous BMP-2 negatively regulated BMP-2 expression at days 3 (p < 0.001) and 7 (p < 0.001). BMP-7 also displayed an initial increase in expression at the day 3 timepoint, followed by decreased expression thereafter in either Col-GAG or MC-GAG scaffolds. Although there appeared to be slightly more BMP-7 expression in Col-GAG versus MC-GAG, this did not reach statistical significance. Unlike BMP-2 expression, exogenous BMP-2 did not have any significant impact on BMP-7 expression. BMP-4 expression differed in comparison to the expression patterns of BMP-2 and BMP-7. Expression of BMP-4 in Col-GAG scaffolds was significantly higher than MC-GAG scaffolds on days 7 and 14. However, MC-GAG scaffolds surpassed Col-GAG scaffolds in BMP-4 expression at the day 24 timepoint suggesting a delay in BMP-4 expression on MC-GAG. Expression of BMP-4 was significantly downregulated with exogenous BMP-2 in either Col-GAG or MC-GAG. Interestingly, BMP-9 mRNA was also found to be much higher in MC-GAG scaffolds in comparison to Col-GAG scaffolds by approximately 6 fold in the day 3 (p < 0.001) and 7 (p < 0.001) timepoints. BMP-9 expression decreased with time in MC-GAG scaffolds while BMP-9 expression did not demonstrate statistically significant differences over time in Col-GAG scaffolds. Similar to BMP-2, BMP-9 expression was initially downregulated by exogenous BMP-2 in MC-GAG scaffolds. However, this effect diminished with time. Taken together, efficient osteogenic differentiation of primary rabbit BMSCs on MC-GAG scaffolds correlated with activation of the canonical BMP receptor signaling pathway driven by transcriptional activation of BMP-2 and -9 early and BMP-4 late during differentiation.

3.3. Critical sized rabbit cranial defects are regenerated with nanoparticulate mineralized collagen glycosaminoglycan scaffolds in the absence of ex vivo progenitor cells expansion or exogenous BMP-2

With the establishment that rabbit primary BMSCs demonstrated similar mineralization and signalling mechanisms to hMSCs, we utilized a rabbit cranial defect model to compare the differences in in vivo bone healing in Col-GAG and MC-GAG scaffolds untreated or treated with BMP-2 (Fig. 4). Primary BMSCs...
were first isolated from 6 to 8 week old rabbits and cultured ex vivo on Col-GAG or MC-GAG with and without BMP-2. After one week of culture, the scaffolds were implanted in critical-sized rabbit calvarial defects 14 mm in diameter and bone healing was evaluated after 12 weeks (Fig. 4C).

The explanted skulls included native calvarium containing unreconstructed defects (Defect only), defects reconstructed with empty scaffolds (Scaffold only), defects reconstructed with scaffolds loaded with BMSCs, or defects reconstructed with scaffolds loaded with BMSCs and treated with BMP-2 (BMSC/BMP-2). Scaffolds were subjected to micro-CT scanning to assess mineralized content (Fig. 4D–E). Quantification of the amount of mineralized content was derived by the average density in Hounsfield units from the micro-CT scan within a fixed volume of the defect and within the native calvarium. This was expressed as a ratio of defect to calvarium density. There was a significant effect of type of defect reconstruction on density \[ F(6,18) = 11.255, p < 0.001 \]. Post hoc comparisons using the Tukey criterion indicated that the mean density achieved in unreconstructed defects (26.5 ± 15.6%) was significantly lower than defects reconstructed with empty MC-GAG scaffolds (68.5 ± 4.4%, \( p < 0.001 \)), MC-GAG/BMSCs (64.6 ± 10.2%, \( p < 0.01 \), or MC-GAG/BMSCs/BMP-2 (59.8 ± 0.09%, \( p < 0.01 \)). Differences in densities between unreconstructed defects and Col-GAG reconstructed defects did not reach statistical significance. When comparing Col-GAG and MC-GAG, empty MC-GAG defects produced more mineralized volume than empty Col-GAG (\( p < 0.01 \)) and MC-GAG/BMSCs produced more mineralized volume than Col-GAG/BMSCs (\( p < 0.05 \)). However, differences in density between MC-GAG/BMSCs/BMP-2 versus Col-GAG/BMSCs/BMP-2 did not reach statistical significance. Lastly, no significant differences in density could be demonstrated between any of the MC-GAG scaffolds suggesting that neither addition of BMSCs or BMSCs/BMP-2 contributed additional bone healing.

Histologic analyses of explanted cranial defects were also performed to confirm the presence of mineralization (Fig. 5). Novel bone, as noted by a decreased thickness in comparison to native

---

**Fig. 3.** In vitro BMP expression and activation of intracellular mediators of BMP receptor signalling by BMSCs cultured on Col-GAG and MC-GAG. (A) Western blot of phosphorylated Smad 1/5 (P-Smad1/5), total Smad (Smad 1/5/8), phosphorylated ERK1/2 (P-ERK1/2), total ERK1/2, and actin controls. (B) Western blot of phosphorylated p38 (P-p38), total p38, phosphorylated Smad 2/3 (P-Smad2/3), total Smad 2/3, phosphorylated Akt (P-Akt), total Akt, and actin controls. (C) BMSCs cultured on Col-GAG and MC-GAG scaffolds were stained with anti-P-Smad1/5 at 6 and 8 weeks in the absence and presence of BMP-2. P-Smad1/5 is minimally found in BMSCs on Col-GAG scaffolds. P-Smad1/5 strongly stains the nucleus in BMSCs cultured on MC-GAG scaffolds with minimal to no increase in response to BMP-2. High magnification inserts are shown. Arrows denote nuclei. Real-time RT-PCR analysis of (D) BMP-2, (E) BMP-7, (F) BMP-4, and (G) BMP-9 expression in BMSCs on MC-GAG and Col-GAG scaffolds with and without BMP-2 (50 ng/ml). Data is expressed as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments in relation to day 0 values. P values are shown in the figure.
calvarium as well as a less organized appearance, was identified at the junction between the scaffold and the native bone in all specimens. In the unreconstructed and Col-GAG reconstructed defects, minimal mineralized content was found in the central portions of the defect whereas the central portions of MC-GAG scaffolds contained significantly more mineralized bone. Thus, the histologic and micro-CT analyses of critical-sized rabbit cranial defects suggest that MC-GAG scaffolds regenerate more bone than Col-GAG scaffolds independent of pre-culturing with BMSCs or BMP-2.

Type of defect reconstruction was evaluated with biomechanical testing via reference point indentation on new bone formation (Fig. 6). Following explantation, the rabbit skulls were subjected to 40 rounds of bioindentation in the defect as well as the native calvarium. All bioindentation measurements were expressed as a ratio between defect to native bone in order to internally control for differences in bone healing and bone thickness between animals. Similar to previous reports, total indentation distance (TID) and first cycle indentation distances (ID1st) were used as measures of microfracture resistance, whereas the unloading slope (US) and loading slope (LS) were used as measures of stiffness and elastic modulus [36,37]. Differences in TID \[F(6,12) = 7.693, p = 0.001\], ID1st \[F(6,12) = 8.318, p = 0.001\], US \[F(6,12) = 21.787, p < 0.001\], and LS \[F(6,12) = 34.719, p < 0.001\] were found to be significant between cranial defects reconstructed with Col-GAG versus MC-GAG scaffold (Fig. 6A–D). Post hoc comparisons using the Tukey criterion indicated that TID was decreased in defects reconstructed with empty MC-GAG (p < 0.05) and MC-GAG/BMSC/BMP-2 (p < 0.01) when compared to the respected Col-GAG counterparts. When comparing the first cycle indentation...
distance, an indicator of density and mineralization, both empty MC-GAG \( (p < 0.05) \) and MC-GAG/BMSC/BMP-2 \( (p < 0.05) \) were reduced in comparison to the respective Col-GAG counterparts. When measures of stiffness were compared, both US and LS demonstrated increased values of each MC-GAG reconstructed defect in comparison to the corresponding Col-GAG defect. However, differences between the MC-GAG scaffolds were also seen where MC-GAG/BMSC/BMP-2 demonstrated increased stiffness when compared to defects reconstructed with empty MC-GAG or MC-GAG/BMSC. Derived from loading and unloading slopes, the stiffness of MC-GAG reached between 50 and 80% of native bone.

4. Discussion

Approaches to developing clinically translatable skeletal regenerative technologies have depended upon synthetic scaffolds, progenitor cells, and growth factors. However, progress in bone engineering have been impeded by the lack of optimized biomimetic and osteogenic reagents, the dependency on \textit{ex vivo} cultures of large quantities of stem cells, and the usage of supra-physiologic dosages of growth factors thereby resulting in various unintended consequences \[19–21\]. Our current work investigated the healing of rabbit cranial defects with a custom nanoparticulate mineralized collagen glycosaminoglycan scaffold and detailed the
The contribution of ex vivo pre-culturing with bone marrow stromal cells and exogenous BMP-2. Our data showed that MC-GAG supported in vivo bone healing better than non-mineralized collagen glycosaminoglycan scaffolds (Col-GAG) and that this was possible even without ex vivo cultures with BMSCs or addition of exogenous growth factor. At the mechanistic level, we demonstrated that improved osteogenesis on MC-GAG scaffolds corresponded to differential temporal transcription of BMPs (BMP-2 and -9 early, BMP-4 late), thereby inducing autogenous BMP receptor signaling via phosphorylation of Smad 1/5. Although the in vivo regenerated bone was not identical in thickness to native bone, scaffold directed healing reached >60% of the density of native calvarium at 3 months (Figs. 4E and 5). From our biomechanical studies, the strength was less than native bone (Fig. 6A–B) and stiffness was 50–80% of native bone (Fig. 6C–D). However, given that the function of the calvarium is non-weight bearing, the differences in biomechanical resistance to fracture and stiffness from native bone are not significant for this particular clinical application. Previous work by our groups have demonstrated bioinspired methods for increasing the tensile mechanical strength of collagen biomaterials independent from the scaffold architecture [38,39]. Ongoing efforts are extending these design concepts to improve the compressive strength of these mineralized constructs to support application in a wider range of clinical applications.

Our findings point out a common theme and reasoning for using progenitor cells. In most circumstances, the efficiency of in vivo bone healing is low in the absence of progenitor cells, as seen in Col-GAG scaffolds. Progenitor cells and growth factors, thus, augment osteogenesis by directing the process after implantation. However, the core problem is not a need for stem cells or growth factors, but an inability to optimize conditions with endogenous materials for adequate regeneration. Given that MC-GAG has the ability to demonstrate bone healing in the absence of ex vivo cultures with BMSCs, it is likely that qualities of the scaffold recruit osteoprogenitors and stimulate differentiation to bone. Other investigators have reported using cell-free scaffold implantation for regeneration, however the quantity of bone formed is less than our current report and the mechanism responsible for regeneration was not elucidated [40]. The next question is, thus, what is the source of such progenitor cells? Several investigators have demonstrated that both the dura mater and the cranial suture mesenchyme provide osteoprogenitors and serve to direct physiological calvarial suture fusion [41,42]. In our current work, we have noted infiltration of cellular material through the entirety of the scaffold even without ex vivo BMSC preculturing. In addition, we have also noted that bone formation occurs at the periphery as well as centrally suggestive that cellular infiltration was not localized to the peripheral bone. Thus, it is likely that local osteoprogenitors from both the surrounding bone and dura mater are responsible for the mineralized content in implanted empty MC-GAG scaffolds.

There are several unanswered questions relating to the intersection between in vitro and in vivo studies. Components of the osteogenic differentiation medium used in vitro, such as...
glucocorticoids, are likely contributors to BMSC osteogenesis. However, the combination of glucocorticoids and MC-GAG scaffolds on BMSCs is unknown. Additionally, cell seeding of scaffolds for in vitro versus in vivo experiments were different due to the differences in the size of the scaffolds. Differences in cell number or cell density in in vivo bone healing may contribute to differentiation, mineralization, or BMP signalling. Lastly, all of the animals used in this study were female and, thus, the potential contributions of estrogen in bone healing cannot be excluded. Although all of the former considerations are held as constants throughout the study to formulate the current conclusions, further study in understanding interactions of the MC-GAG scaffold on BMSCs may be warranted.

Addition of exogenous BMP-2 resulted in a downregulation of BMP-2, -9, and -4 expression, whereas differential temporal expression of all three BMPs was seen in the MC-GAG scaffolds that were left untreated. This concept of temporal regulation of differential BMP expression has been suggested by others and may be a part of normal physiological osteogenic differentiation [43]. This may be indicative of a mechanistic reason for dysregulated bone formation reported during clinical BMP-2 usage. These data point out a significant downfall in depending on supraphysiologic doses of growth factors for osteogenic stimulation. In addition, the ability for biomimetic materials to stimulate efficient osteogenesis provides an alternate, potentially more controlled, pathway for skeletal regeneration.

Downstream BMP receptor signaling was activated via the canonical Smad1/5 pathway, suggesting that MC-GAG scaffolds activate BMPR signaling via autogenous ligand expression. However, the inductive agent for BMP expression remains to be elucidated. Although other investigators have observed osteogenic properties from organic components of the extracellular matrix [44,45], Col-GAG and MC-GAG scaffolds are composed of comparable organic components. Thus, the inorganic component differentiates between the two scaffolds. One of the most recent developments in osteogenic signaling relates to the contributions of inorganic ions such as phosphate to induction of osteogenesis [46,47]. Clinically, both hyperphosphatemia and increased serum concentrations of BMP-9 are present in renal failure patients and have been demonstrated to synergistically induce osteogenic differentiation of vascular smooth muscle cells [48]. BMP-9 is interesting in that it has been reported to be the most osteogenic member of all BMP molecules although relatively little is understood about its physiologic and pathologic functions [49]. This clinical situation is analogous to the microenvironment provided by the MC-GAG scaffold in that inorganic phosphate is concentrated and an increase in BMP-9 is detected in BMSCs undergoing osteogenic differentiation. Thus, the efficiency of osteogenesis of MC-GAG may be related to the nanoparticulate distribution of inorganic matter. Further understanding of inorganic ion concentrations in osteogenic signaling and defect healing will be an important concept for the design of future biomaterials.
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