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Abstract

Aging is known to have deleterious effects on cerebral white matter, yet little is known about these white matter alterations in advanced age. In this study, 94 oldest-old adults without dementia (90–103 years) underwent diffusion tensor imaging to assess relationships between chronological age and multiple measures of integrity in 18 white matter regions across the brain. Results revealed significant age-related declines in integrity in regions previously identified as being sensitive to aging in younger-old adults (corpus callosum, fornix, cingulum, external capsule). For the corpus callosum, the effect of age on genu fractional anisotropy was significantly weaker than the relationship between age and splenium fractional anisotropy. Importantly, age-related declines in white matter integrity did not differ in cognitively normal and cognitively impaired not demented oldest-old, suggesting that they were not solely driven by cognitive dysfunction or preclinical dementia in this advanced age group. Instead, white matter in these regions appears to remain vulnerable to normal aging processes through the 10th decade of life.

1. Introduction

Aging is known to have deleterious effects on cerebral white matter (for reviews see Gunning-Dixon et al., 2009; Raz and Rodrigue, 2006; Salat, 2011). At the macroscopic level, the aging brain is characterized by shrinkage of white matter tissue and development of white matter lesions. These gross structural differences may be driven by age-related effects at the microscopic level, which includes loss or alterations to myelin (demyelination), loss or shrinkage of white matter axons (neuronal degeneration), expansion of perivascular spaces (Virchow–Robin spaces), and proliferation of glial cells (gliosis; Matsusue et al., 2006; Peters, 2007). Because neuropathology studies of normal brain aging have focused on younger-old adults, little is known about these white matter alterations in advanced age groups.

White matter microstructure can be studied in vivo using advanced neuroimaging techniques, such as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). DTI measures the rate of molecular water diffusion (Beaulieu, 2002; Le Bihan, 2003), which moves more freely along the length of structures within white matter (neuronal cell membranes, myelin sheaths) relative to diffusion perpendicular to these restricting structures. Multiple diffusion indices can be calculated to assess the degree of restricted diffusion (fractional anisotropy, FA), rate of overall diffusion (mean diffusivity, MD), and the rate of diffusion parallel (axial diffusivity, AD) and perpendicular (radial diffusivity, RD) to the primary diffusion direction. These measures are thought to approximate the “integrity” of white matter because they are sensitive to numerous properties of the underlying microstructure (e.g., axonal size and density, degree of myelination, and coherence of fiber orientation) that differ across individuals and with aging.

DTI has been used extensively to assess age-related differences in white matter integrity (for reviews see Bennett and Madden, 2014; Gunning-Dixon et al., 2009; Madden et al., 2009, 2012; Sullivan and Pfefferbaum, 2006). Both longitudinal and cross-sectional studies of normal aging have revealed linear decreases in FA across
the adult lifespan and quadratic increases in MD starting around age 60 years (e.g., Hsu et al., 2010; Kennedy and Raz, 2009; Michielessi et al., 2010). These age-related declines in white matter integrity (decreased FA, increased MD) are most prominent in the genu of the corpus callosum, fornix, and external capsule (e.g., Bennett et al., 2010; Bucur et al., 2008; Burzynska et al., 2010; Davis et al., 2009; Michielessi et al., 2010; Pfefferbaum et al., 2000; Sala et al., 2012; Sullivan and Pfefferbaum, 2006). To date, however, very few DTI aging studies have included sizeable samples of individuals over age 80 years (e.g., >10: Kochunov et al., 2012; Westlye et al., 2010) and no studies have assessed oldest-old adults over age 90 years. Here, we hypothesize that if white matter integrity simply continues to decline linearly into advanced age, then similarly large age effects may also be expected in regions previously identified as being vulnerable to healthy aging (e.g., genu of the corpus callosum, fornix, and external capsule) in oldest-old adults without dementia.

Relative to younger-old adults, however, oldest-old adults are disproportionately affected by dementia (Corrada et al., 2008; Gardner et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013). Alzheimer’s disease, in particular, has been linked to a number of white matter alterations (demyelination, neuronal degeneration, and gliosis; Brun and Englund, 1986; Sachdev et al., 2013; Zhan et al., 2014). Consistent with this view, DTI studies in younger-old adults diagnosed with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease have reported integrity declines in the fornix, cingulum, and splenium of the corpus callosum (Stebbins and Murphy, 2009). Importantly, similar dementia-related differences in white matter integrity have also been observed in cognitively normal younger-old adults at increased risk for Alzheimer’s disease (Gold et al., 2012; Rickmann et al., 2016). Thus, any examination of white matter aging in the oldest-old will need to account for the potential contribution of preclinical dementia in this advanced age group. Here, we hypothesize that if white matter integrity declines in advanced age are primarily attributed to the increased prevalence of dementia-related pathology in this age group, then age effects in regions previously identified as being vulnerable to dementia (e.g., fornix, cingulum, and splenium of the corpus callosum) may differ as a function of cognitive status in oldest-old adults without dementia.

The current study is the first to assess age-related differences in white matter integrity in the oldest-old and the degree to which they may be driven by cognitive dysfunction associated with preclinical dementia. Ninety-four oldest-old adults without dementia (age 90–103 years) underwent DTI to assess relationships between chronological age and multiple measures of integrity (FA, MD, AD, RD) from 18 white matter regions across the brain. The effect of preclinical dementia was assessed by controlling for cognitive status in oldest-old adults without dementia, and the cognitively normal and CIND subgroups, are provided in Table 1. Demographic and neuropsychological data on the full sample of 94 oldest-old adults without dementia, and the cognitively normal and CIND subgroups, are provided in Table 1.

Prior to participation, individuals were screened for contra-indications that would make it unsafe for them to undergo magnetic resonance imaging scanning (e.g., having ferrous metal implants). Each participant provided informed consent, and the University of California, Irvine Institutional Review Board approved the experimental procedures. Participants were compensated for their time.

2.2. Imaging data acquisition

Participants were scanned using a GE Signa HD 3.0 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging system. Fitted padding was used to minimize head movements.

One diffusion weighted echo planar imaging sequence was acquired using the following parameters: repetition time/echo time (TR/TE) = 12,850/72 ms, field of view (FOV) = 256 × 256 mm, 59 axial slices, and 1.4 × 1.4 × 2.7 mm spatial resolution. Gradients (b = 1000 s/mm²) were applied in 30 orthogonal directions, with 5 images having no diffusion weighting (b = 0).

A high-resolution T1-weighted fast-spoiled gradient recalled echo (TR/TE/IT = 7/3/400 ms, FOV = 256 × 256 mm, 160 sagittal slices, and 1.0 mm³ spatial resolution) and a fluid attenuation inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence (TR/TE/IT = 11,000/151/2250 ms,
Regional measures of each integrity metric (FA, MD, AD, RD) were obtained by superimposing the normalized DTI-derived images, corrected for WMH, onto a standard labeled atlas in the same MINI152 standard space (ICBM-DTI-81; Mori et al., 2005). A total of 18 white matter regions were identified from the labeled atlas, excluding brainstem and cerebellar regions: genu, body, and splenium of the corpus callosum, fornix body, fornix cres, cingulate gyrus, hippocampal cingulum, superior longitudinal fasciculus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, superior frontooccipital fasciculus, uncinate fasciculus, external capsule, corona radiata, corticospinal tract, posterior thalamic radiations, anterior limb of the internal capsule, posterior limb of the internal capsule, and retrolenticular part of the internal capsule. Bilateral regions included atlas labels from both hemispheres. The corona radiata included bilateral anterior, posterior, and superior atlas labels. Mean integrity values were calculated by multiplying each voxel-wise integrity image by each binarized white matter region, excluding voxels identified as WMH, and then averaging remaining values across voxels.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Age-related differences in white matter integrity

Separate multiple regression analyses were conducted between chronological age and each integrity metric (FA, MD, AD, RD) from the 18 white matter regions of interest, controlling for sex and education. Significant effects survived the false discovery rate (FDR) correction for 72 comparisons (FDR-adjusted \( p < 0.012 \)). These data are presented in Table 2. Descriptive statistics (mean, 95% confidence interval) for each integrity metric in each region of interest are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

Results revealed significant age-related declines in white matter integrity (decreased FA; increased MD, AD, RD) in the splenium of the corpus callosum (FA, MD, AD, RD), fornix cres (FA, MD, AD, RD), cingulate gyrus (FA), external capsule (FA, RD), inferior longitudinal fasciculus (FA, RD), superior frontooccipital fasciculus (FA), posterior thalamic radiation (FA), posterior limb of internal capsule (MD, AD, RD), and retrolenticular part of internal capsule (MD, AD, RD). Select data for FA are presented in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>White matter region</th>
<th>FA ( [\beta \ (p)] )</th>
<th>MD ( [\beta \ (p)] )</th>
<th>AD ( [\beta \ (p)] )</th>
<th>RD ( [\beta \ (p)] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genu</td>
<td>-0.18 (0.079)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.085)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.186)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.068)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>-0.22 (0.037)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.117)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.337)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.083)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenium</td>
<td>-0.30 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.037)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fornix body</td>
<td>-0.23 (0.032)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.019)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.021)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fornix cres</td>
<td>-0.34 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate gyrus</td>
<td>-0.29 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.737)</td>
<td>-0.17 (0.104)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.206)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampal cingulum</td>
<td>-0.19 (0.074)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.116)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.150)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External capsule</td>
<td>-0.33 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.021)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.119)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior longitudinal fasciculus</td>
<td>-0.18 (0.085)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.143)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.342)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior longitudinal fasciculus</td>
<td>-0.30 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.023)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.085)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontooccipital fasciculus</td>
<td>-0.28 (0.009)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.085)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.207)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.051)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncinate fasciculus</td>
<td>-0.25 (0.015)</td>
<td>-0.05 (0.670)</td>
<td>-0.11 (0.291)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.897)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projection/thalamic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona radiata</td>
<td>-0.23 (0.033)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.054)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.021)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.043)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticospinal</td>
<td>-0.22 (0.035)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.360)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.812)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior thalamic radiation</td>
<td>-0.27 (0.000)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.029)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.136)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior limb of internal capsule</td>
<td>-0.24 (0.021)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.062)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.146)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.040)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior limb of internal capsule</td>
<td>-0.14 (0.174)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrolenticular part of internal capsule</td>
<td>-0.12 (0.248)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.007)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.010)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant (bolded, FDR-adjusted \( p < 0.012 \)) and trending (italics, \( p < 0.05 \)) relationships \( [\beta \ (p)] \) between chronological age and white matter integrity, controlling for sex and education, are presented separately for each integrity metric and each white matter region.

Key: AD, axial diffusivity; FA, fractional anisotropy; MD, mean diffusivity; RD, radial diffusivity.
Given the unexpected finding of significant age effects in the splenium, but not genu, of the corpus callosum, unplanned follow-up analyses compared regression coefficients in these regions using a procedure for correlated correlations (see Meng et al., 1992). Results revealed that the effect of age was significantly larger in splenium than in genu for FA (z = 2.4, p < 0.01), but not MD, AD, or RD (p's > 0.73). Data for FA are presented in Fig. 1.

### 3.2. Effects of cognitive status

#### 3.2.1. Neuropsychological and demographic data

Independent sample t-tests revealed that cognitively normal oldest-old performed significantly better than CIND oldest-old on both the MMSE, t(92) = 6.6, p < 0.001, and 3MS, t(88) = 7.9, p < 0.001. These data are presented in Table 1.

An independent sample t-test also showed that cognitively normal and CIND oldest-old did not differ in age (p > 0.08), and separate χ² tests revealed that there were no significant relationships between oldest-old subgroups and sex (p > 0.25) or education (p > 0.06).

#### 3.2.2. Age-related integrity differences are not moderated by cognitive status

Separate moderation analyses compared relationships between chronological age and white matter integrity in the cognitively normal and CIND subgroups for the 20 significant age effects observed across the full sample (see bolded effects in Table 2). For each region that demonstrated a significant decline in any integrity metric, the outcome variable was the corresponding integrity metric and the predictor variables were chronological age, group (cognitively normal, CIND), and the age × group interaction.

Results revealed that the interaction term was not a significant predictor of integrity in any region, p's > 0.08, f²'s < 0.04. Thus, the effect of chronological age on white matter integrity did not significantly differ between groups in these regions. Select data for FA are presented in Fig. 1.

#### 3.2.3. Age-related integrity differences persist after controlling for cognitive performance

Separate multiple regression analyses between chronological age and each integrity metric (FA, MD, AD, RD) from the 18 white matter regions of interest were conducted again after controlling for MMSE, in addition to sex and education. Significant effects survived the FDR correction for 72 comparisons (FDR-adjusted p < 0.012). These data are presented in Table 3.

Results revealed that, even after controlling for cognitive performance using MMSE, significant age-related declines in white matter integrity (decreased FA; increased MD, AD, RD) were observed in the splenium of the corpus callosum (FA, MD, RD), fornix cres (FA, MD, AD, RD), external capsule (FA), posterior thalamic radiation (FA), and posterior limb of internal capsule (MD, AD, RD). Age effects in the cingulate gyrus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, superior frontooccipital fasciculus, and retrolenticular part of the internal capsule no longer attained significance after controlling for MMSE.

#### 3.2.4. White matter integrity does not differ with cognitive status

Finally, group differences in white matter integrity were assessed with a group (cognitively normal, CIND) × region (18 regions of interest) × integrity metric (FA, MD, AD, RD) analysis of covariance that controlled for sex and education. Importantly, neither the main effect of group nor any interaction with group attained significance (p's > 0.06).

This omnibus group × region × integrity metric analysis of covariance was also reanalyzed using a Bayesian test with default prior scales in JASP (version 0.8.0.1; see Supplementary Table 2).
Consistent with the previously reported nonsignificant main effect of group, a Bayes factor of 0.049 for the group model relative to the null model provided strong evidence in favor of there being no difference in white matter integrity between cognitively normal and CIND oldest-old.

4. Discussion

The current study examined age-related differences in white matter integrity in oldest-old adults without dementia and assessed whether these relationships differed between cognitively normal and CIND subgroups. Results revealed significant age-related declines in white matter integrity in a handful of regions previously identified as showing the largest age effects in cognitively normal younger-old adults (e.g., fornix, external capsule). In the corpus callosum, however, relationships between age and white matter integrity were significantly weaker in the genu relative to the splenium. Observed age-related declines in white matter integrity also overlapped with regions previously identified as showing additional declines in younger-old adults with, or at risk for, dementia (e.g., fornix, cingulate gyrus, splenium of the corpus callosum). Importantly, relationships between age and white matter integrity in these regions were statistically similar in cognitively normal and CIND oldest-old, and remained significant after controlling for global cognition using MMSE performance, supporting the notion that the age effects observed here were not solely driven by cognitive dysfunction or increased dementia risk in this age group.

Previous DTI aging studies in cognitively normal younger-old adults have consistently reported brain-wide age-related declines in white matter integrity, with the largest age effects in the fornix, external capsule, and genu of the corpus callosum (Bennett et al., 2010; Burzynska et al., 2010). These regions overlap with the current findings of age-related declines in white matter integrity in the oldest-old. Specifically, increased age was associated with decreased integrity in half of the regions examined (9/18), including the fornix cres (decreased FA; increased MD, AD, RD) and external capsule (decreased FA; increased RD). In younger-old adults, normal age-related declines in white matter integrity are primarily attributed to demyelination and neuronal degeneration (Marner et al., 2003; Meier-Ruge et al., 1992; Peters, 2002; Tang et al., 1997). Age-related increases in radial (RD) and axial (AD) diffusivity, which were observed here for all regions that showed age effects for FA and MD, are often attributed to these neurobiological substrates, respectively (e.g., Kumar et al., 2013; cf., Wheeler-Kingshott and Cercignani, 2009). Yet, a definitive link between these microstructural alterations and regional age effects remains to be demonstrated. Measures of white matter integrity in oldest-old adults may be further influenced by a range of pathologies typically associated with various forms of dementia (e.g., neurofibrillary tangles, diffuse and neuritic plaques, microinfarcts, lacunes, white matter disease, cerebral amyloid angiopathy) that are also present in oldest-old adults without dementia (Balasubramanian et al., 2012; Bennett et al., 2006; Corrada et al., 2012; Kawas et al., 2015). Future neuroimaging studies can assess whether these dementia-related pathologies contribute to larger age differences in white matter integrity by directly comparing age effects in younger-old and oldest-old adults. As an important first step, here we demonstrated that oldest-old adults exhibit similar patterns of white matter aging as younger-old adults, especially in the fornix and external capsule, supporting the notion that white matter in these regions remain vulnerable to normal aging processes through the 10th decade of life.

In contrast to previous DTI aging studies in younger-old adults, oldest-old adults exhibited a different pattern of white matter aging in the corpus callosum. Specifically, relationships between age and white matter integrity did not attain significance in the genu of the corpus callosum for oldest-old adults in the current study. Instead, increased age was significantly related to decreased FA and increased MD and RD in the splenium of the corpus callosum, with the effect of age on splenium FA being significantly larger than the effect of age on genu FA. These results are not inconsistent with the notion of an anterior-posterior gradient of brain aging, where anterior white matter is thought to be more susceptible to brain aging (Head et al., 2004; Pfefferbaum et al., 2005). Indeed, the current data may indicate a temporal shift in the vulnerability of anterior and posterior corpus callosum, with the genu showing...
accelerated declines in younger-olds adults that plateau in oldest-old adults, whereas the splenium remains relatively preserved in younger-old adults and begins to decline later in the aging process. This interpretation should be tested in future studies that compare regional differences in the aging of white matter integrity across the lifespan.

Before concluding that the age effects observed here are attributed to normal aging, we need to rule out the potential influence of preclinical dementia. Previous DTI studies have reported dementia-related declines in white matter integrity in the splenium of the corpus callosum, fornix, and cingulum in younger-old adults diagnosed with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease (Stebbins and Murphy, 2009). The same regions also show integrity declines in cognitively normal younger-old adults at increased risk for Alzheimer’s disease as determined by APOE4 carrier status, family history of Alzheimer’s disease, and neuroimaging assessment of amyloid burden (Gold et al., 2012; Rieckmann et al., 2016). Notably all 3 of these regions yielded significant age-related declines in white matter integrity in oldest-old adults. Importantly, however, moderation analyses revealed that the relationships between age and white matter integrity in these (or any) regions did not differ in cognitively normal and CIND oldest-old adults. Analyses controlling for MMSE also revealed that the age effects in these regions remained significant after controlling for global cognition. And group comparisons revealed no significant differences in white matter integrity between the oldest-old subgroups. Thus, the reported age effects do not appear to be attributed to cognitive dysfunction or underlying pathology associated with preclinical dementia in this advanced age group.

Despite constituting the fastest growing segment of our population (He and Muenchratn, 2011), the oldest-old have been significantly underrepresented in the scientific literature. This neuroimaging study provides initial evidence that age-related differences in white matter integrity in oldest-old adults without dementia are largely similar to those observed in younger-old adults, supporting the notion that white matter in the fornix, external capsule, and corpus callosum are particularly vulnerable to normal aging processes that extend from the sixth through 10th decades of life. Moreover, because similar patterns of age-related differences in white matter integrity were observed in cognitively normal and CIND oldest-old adults, the current data are consistent with the effects of normal aging, not preclinical dementia. As the first examination of age-related differences in white matter integrity in oldest-old adults, this study is strengthened by the large sample of well-characterized participants and by comparing age-matched groups of cognitively normal and CIND oldest-old within a narrow age range. The latter provides confidence that differences, if observed, are due to diagnosis and not age, which is sometimes difficult to control in studies of younger-old adults. Our interpretations, however, will benefit from future studies that directly compare younger-old and oldest-old adults and that include a subgroup of oldest-old adults with dementia.
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