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1. Introduction

Biologic tissues have been explored for their potential to be used as biomaterials in human patients for a variety of applications, particularly those involving replacement or repair of tissues with a mechanical role, from heart valve replacement to ligament and cartilage repair. Biologic tissues are advantageous compared to synthetic materials in that they possess the complex structure, composition, and mechanical properties of the tissue they are intended to replace. Currently, no other material matches the functional properties of native tissues such as cartilage (Huey et al., 2012). Sources of tissue for use as biomaterials may be autologous (obtained from the same patient receiving the repair), allogeneic (obtained from a human tissue donor), or xenogeneic (derived from another animal species). Although autologous tissue transplants are used successfully for some applications, xenogeneic (derived from another animal species). Although autologous tissue transplants are used successfully for some applications, autologous grafts can only be used for specific indications and are associated with potentially life-threatening complications (Henaine et al., 2012). Due to these limitations, allogeneic tissues from human tissue donors have been increasingly used for ACL repair (Reinhardt et al., 2010) and cartilage repair (Ahmed and Hincke, 2010; Revell and Athanasiou, 2009). Allogeneic grafts are also used for some heart valve replacements (Elkins et al., 2001; Ruzmetov et al., 2012). Allogeneic tissues are limited by their relative scarcity, potential for invoking immune reaction, and disease transmission. Xenogeneic tissues are abundant and also typically have composition and properties comparable to their human counterparts (Gilbert et al., 2006). Most notably, chemically fixed xenogeneic pericardium and heart valve tissue are frequently used to replace human heart valves (Courtman et al., 1994; Zhai et al., 2006). Xenogeneic tissues have also been used as scaffolds for tissue engineering of multiple tissue types, including heart valves (Schenke-Layland et al., 2003; Syedain et al., 2013; Wong et al., 2013), tendon (Lohan et al., 2013), trachea (Remlinger et al., 2010; Zang et al., 2012), meniscus (Stapleton et al., 2008, 2011), and articular cartilage (Gong et al., 2011; Kang et al., 2012; Kheir et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2008). Despite their desirable qualities, the major obstacle to using xenogeneic tissues as biomaterials is the presence of antigens that trigger an immune response and, ultimately, graft rejection. To more widely use xenogeneic tissues for clinical applications, it is necessary to remove the antigenic components from the tissues while preserving...
the desirable composition, structure, and function of the original tissue.

2. Decellularization and antigen removal from xenogeneic tissues

Xenogeneic grafts contain antigens, primarily proteins, which are recognized by a patient’s immune system as foreign. Such antigenic components of the tissue initiate recipient immune responses that attempt to break down and remove the foreign material. At a minimum, such graft-specific immune responses lead to biomechanical failure of the graft, and at worst it can lead to host death (Trivedi, 2007). A number of strategies have been employed to remove or disguise the antigens in xenogeneic tissues in order to render them safe for implantation into human patients. The most common strategy currently used for clinical applications is the use of a chemical treatment, such as glutaraldehyde, that cross-links the proteins within the xenogeneic tissue. The cross-linking process is intended to make the xenogeneic proteins unrecognizable to a human patient’s immune system, but there is evidence that glutaraldehyde treatment does not completely mask important antigens, including the Galα1,3Galβ1-R (α-gal) epitope (Hulsman et al., 2012). While glutaraldehyde-fixation is successful in overcoming hyperacute or acute rejection response towards xenogeneic heart valve replacements, delayed immune recognition ultimately results in chronic immune degradation and calcification resulting in valve failure (Manji et al., 2006). Removing the antigenic proteins, rather than cross-linking the proteins and leaving them within the tissue, has the potential to improve the longevity of xenogeneic grafts.

Various chemical and physical treatments have been proposed to decellularize xenogeneic tissues in order to reduce their antigen content. Chemical treatments include protocols that apply an exogenous substance to a tissue for the purpose of degrading or solubilizing cell components and other antigens. Chemical treatments, such as acid solutions, hypotonic/hypertonic solutions, detergents, organic solvents, and enzyme solutions, aim to disrupt cells by osmotic pressure (e.g., hypotonic solutions) or by solubilizing the cell membrane (detergents and organic solvents) and to facilitate removal of the cytosolic and membrane-associated proteins. Physical treatments such as freezing and thawing, sonication, and gamma irradiation or photo-oxidation are used to disrupt the cells within a tissue and are typically used in conjunction with a chemical treatment that facilitates removal of the cell components. Gamma irradiation and photo-oxidation both act to cross-link proteins within a tissue and have been examined as sole treatments or in combination with other antigen removal methods (Akens et al., 2001, 2002; Ota et al., 2007; Sarathchandra et al., 2012). The goal of an antigen removal protocol should be to target removal of antigens that trigger an immune response without disrupting the important structural molecules that lend a tissue its mechanical properties.

Failure to sufficiently reduce the antigen content of a xenogeneic tissue or to preserve the composition and functional properties of the tissue will likely lead to graft failure upon implantation (Fig. 1). To produce a successful xenogeneic graft, it is necessary to measure the residual antigen content of the graft material after treatment. In the sections below, it should be noted that the term ‘antigen removal’ is used in lieu of ‘decellularization,’ as the goal of decellularization is to reduce the antigen content. Decellularization aims to reduce cell components, such as nuclei, from a tissue and assumes a corresponding reduction of antigens. Antigen removal can be defined as any process that eliminates proteins and other antigen molecules from a tissue. While removal of cell components is necessary, the concept of antigen removal establishes the residual antigen content of a tissue as a crucial endpoint. Historically, cell counts and quantification of the DNA remaining in a xenogeneic tissue have been used as a measure of success in reducing antigen content. There is evidence, however, that cell counts do not correlate with the residual antigen content of a tissue (Goncalves et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2011, 2013). Other means of quantifying or qualifying antigen content in a tissue include immunoblotting (Arai and Orton, 2009; Goncalves et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2011, 2013), ELISA (Galili et al., 1997; Nam et al., 2012), immunohistochemistry (Goncalves et al., 2005; Yoshida et al., 2012), and monocyte activation tests (Rieder et al., 2005; Yoshida et al., 2012). It is important to note that there is no known threshold for sufficient antigen removal and most researchers strive to remove as much antigen content as possible without disrupting the desirable qualities of the xenogeneic tissue. Adversely affecting the composition and mechanical properties of the xenogeneic tissue is just as likely as incomplete antigen removal to result in degradation of a xenogeneic graft if the graft material is incapable of withstanding the stresses it is subjected to.

3. Structure-function relationships of biomechanical tissues

Biomechanical tissues are capable of withstanding repetitive, compressive, tensile, and/or shear stresses. Although many tissues are subject to some mechanical stress, the tissues of the musculoskeletal and cardiovascular systems are subject to the greatest magnitude of stress and number of cycles during normal physiologic activity over the lifetime of an individual. In order to withstand mechanical stress, these tissues have highly specialized extracellular matrix (ECM) composition and architecture. To preserve the functional properties of a xenogeneic tissue for use as a biologic graft material, it is essential to understand the structure-function relationships of the xenogeneic tissue as well as the tissue it is intended to replace.

A hierarchy of ECM components exists that creates the functional properties of biomechanical tissues. The concentration and distribution of the major ECM macromolecules, collagen and proteoglycans, have a key role in determining mechanical properties. Other proteins, such as elastin, contribute to the mechanical properties of some tissues (Scott and Vesely, 1995; Vesely, 1998). The collagen type and alignment within the ECM provide a tissue’s tensile stiffness and strength. At the ultrastructural level, collagen fiber diameter, spacing, and crimping all contribute to tensile properties (Silver et al., 2003). Although the collagen network is also an essential prerequisite for tissue compressive stiffness (Williamson et al., 2001), proteoglycans are largely responsible for providing viscoelasticity and resistance to compression, especially in cartilage (Katta et al., 2008). The combination of collagen, proteoglycans, and other ECM molecules determines the biomechanical characteristics of a tissue (Hinton and Yutzey, 2011; Zhu et al., 1993).

Collagen type and alignment have a large influence on the tensile properties of a tissue. The ECM of tendons, ligaments, fibrocartilage, and heart valves consists primarily of coarse bundles of type I collagen. In tendons, the type I collagen fibers are arranged helically, parallel to the axis of force transduction, yielding uniaxial tensile stiffness and strength (Aparecida de Avo et al., 2012). The arrangement of collagen fibers in ligaments depends on the particular function of the ligament. In the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL), fibers are organized in a complex fashion providing a non-linear stress–strain relationship that is characterized by increasing stiffness with increasing loads (Dienst et al., 2002). The fibrocartilaginous meniscus has collagen fibers arranged circumferentially as well as radially allowing the meniscus to resist expansion under compression during loading of the knee (Almarza and Athanasiou, 2004;
Heart valves consist of type I and type III collagens of differing densities and alignments depending on the layer of the valve (Hinton and Yutzey, 2011). Fibrous (outflow) layer of heart valves contains the greatest proportion of collagen, where highly parallel, circumferentially oriented fibers provide tensile stiffness (Hinton and Yutzey, 2011; Liao et al., 2008; Schenke-Layland et al., 2003). The atrialis or ventricularis (inflow) layer of heart valves is less densely populated with collagen (Schenke-Layland et al., 2003). Articular hyaline cartilage is composed of finer type II collagen fibers that also exhibit different orientations depending on the cartilage layer (Almarza and Athanasiou, 2004). In the superficial zone of articular cartilage, collagen fibers are aligned tangential to the joint surface, helping provide resistance to shear stress. In the middle zone, collagen fibers are arranged in a looser, random network, providing a scaffold for the attachment of proteoglycans. Proteoglycans make up ~20% of the ECM dry matter in articular hyaline cartilage (Lohmander, 1988) and less than 1% of the ECM dry matter in the menisci (Fithian et al., 1990) and tendons (Aparecida de Aro et al., 2012; Gillard et al., 1977).

Heart valves and ligaments also contain proteoglycans and associated glycosaminoglycans (GAG) in relatively small amounts compared to hyaline cartilage (Cigliano et al., 2012; Graterol et al., 2006). The type and distribution of proteoglycans also differ between tissues and influence a tissue's mechanical properties. In articular hyaline cartilage, the primary proteoglycan is aggrecan, consisting of a large protein core with many GAG side chains. This results in a large, negative fixed charge density in hyaline cartilage, which serves to bind and hold water within the ECM. Together with collagen fibers, the proteoglycans restrict water movement out of the cartilage ECM, providing the tissue's compressive stiffness (Almarza and Athanasiou, 2004). Large proteoglycans serve a similar role in fibrocartilage, helping to resist compressive stress (Fithian et al., 1990). Proteoglycans are found in the greatest portion in the middle, spongiosa layer of heart valves, where they provide flexibility and resistance to compression (Hinton and Yutzey, 2011; Liao et al., 2008). Depletion or excessive accumulation of proteoglycans in heart valves results in valve incompetence (Hinton and Yutzey, 2011). In tendons, smaller proteoglycans, such as fibromodulin and decorin, predominate. In addition to having a possible regulatory role in the formation of the collagen fiber architecture of the tendon, proteoglycans accumulate at sites in tendons that are subject to bending and compression (Aparecida de Aro et al., 2012).

Elastin is found in both heart valves and tendons, where it allows elongation of the collagen fiber network without excessive stretching and damage of the tissue (Aparecida de Aro et al., 2012; Hinton and Yutzey, 2011). Elastin is primarily found in the atrialis or ventricularis layers of heart valves, where the radially oriented elastin filaments permit normal valve motion (Hinton and Yutzey, 2011; Schenke-Layland et al., 2003). In heart valves, elastin contributes tensile stiffness at low strains (Vesely, 1998). As with collagen, the content and orientation of elastin fibers determine its contribution to the mechanical properties of heart valves, and these properties vary with location and layer (Vesely, 1998).

The macromolecule content, distribution, and organization within the ECM of a tissue is essential to the tissue’s mechanical function. To capitalize on the inherent mechanical properties of xenogeneic tissues, a proposed antigen removal protocol must preserve the concentration of major ECM molecules, including collagen, GAG, and elastin. It is also important to preserve the microarchitecture of the collagen fiber network and the anisotropy of the tissue ECM to maintain the tissue’s functional properties.

4. Effects of antigen removal protocols on tissue biomechanical properties

In order to reduce the antigen content of xenogeneic tissues, a physical or chemical treatment must disrupt the cells within the tissue and then remove the cell components as well as any immunogenic molecules that may be present in the ECM. Chemical treatments aim to rupture cells using osmotic pressure (hypotonic solutions) or by solubilizing the cells’ lipid membranes (detergents and organic solvents). Hypotonic solutions alone are not sufficient at removing antigens from tissues (Meyer et al., 2006; Wong et al., 2011) and are often used in combination with detergents, enzymes, or other solution components that facilitate removal of proteins and other cell components (Whitlock et al., 2012; Woods and Graterol, 2005; Yang et al., 2009). Cell components are then removed from the tissue,
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Effect on mechanical properties</th>
<th>Effect on ECM composition</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-ionic detergent</td>
<td>1% Triton X-100</td>
<td>Porcine ACL</td>
<td>No change in tensile stiffness or failure load</td>
<td>No change in collagen or GAG</td>
<td>Woods and Gratzer (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% SDS</td>
<td>Porcine Diaphragm tendon</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Disrupted collagen architecture</td>
<td>Deeken et al. (2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Triton X-100</td>
<td>Murine Heart valve</td>
<td>Decreased flexural stiffness</td>
<td>Decreased GAG</td>
<td>Meyer et al. (2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Triton X-100</td>
<td>Bovine Pericardium</td>
<td>No change in</td>
<td>Preserved ECM architecture</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EY</td>
<td>1% Triton X-100</td>
<td>Porcine Heart valve</td>
<td>Decreased extensibility</td>
<td>Liao et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% SDS</td>
<td>Porcine Meniscus</td>
<td>No change in indentation deformation, UTS, or εmax</td>
<td>No change in collagen; Decreased GAG</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2% SDS</td>
<td>Bovine Articular hyaline cartilage</td>
<td>Decreased aggregate modulus</td>
<td>Decreased GAG</td>
<td>Elder et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25% Triton X-100</td>
<td>Porcine ACL</td>
<td>Increased tensile stiffness</td>
<td>No change in collagen; Decreased GAG</td>
<td>Vavken et al. (2009), Woods and Gratzer (2005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% SDS</td>
<td>Bovine Heart valve</td>
<td>Decreased flexural stiffness; Increased extensibility</td>
<td>Disrupted ECM architecture</td>
<td>Liao et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1–1% SDS</td>
<td>Bovine Heart valve</td>
<td>No change in E0, UTS, or εmax</td>
<td>No change in collagen; Decreased elastin; Disrupted ECM architecture</td>
<td>Weng et al. (2011), Weng et al. (2013), Zhou et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Triton X-100</td>
<td>Equine SDF tendon</td>
<td>No change in E0, UTS, or εmax</td>
<td>No change in collagen; Decreased GAG</td>
<td>Youngstrom et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2% SDS</td>
<td>Bovine Pericardium</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Preserved ECM components and architecture</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Triton X-100</td>
<td>Murine Trachea</td>
<td>Decreased E0, No change in UTS or strain at failure</td>
<td>Decrease GAG</td>
<td>Zeng et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% SDS + 0.5% SDC</td>
<td>Bovine Pericardium</td>
<td>Decreased E0, Increased elongation; No change in UTS</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Hulsmann et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ionic plus ionic detergents</td>
<td>0.05% Triton X-100 + 0.05% SDC + 0.05% IGEPAL</td>
<td>Bovine Heart valve</td>
<td>No change in maximum tension or εmax</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Seebacher et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25% Triton X-100 + 0.25% SDC</td>
<td>Bovine Pericardium</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Decreased E0, Emax, and εmax</td>
<td>Vavken et al. (2009), Yang et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic solvents</td>
<td>Tri (n-butyl) phosphate</td>
<td>Equine SDF tendon</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>No change in collagen; Decreased GAG</td>
<td>Youngstrom et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri (n-butyl) phosphate</td>
<td>Equine Diaphragm tendon</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>No change in collagen architecture</td>
<td>Deeken et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymatic digestion</td>
<td>0.5% Trypsin</td>
<td>Bovine Pericardium</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>No change in collagen; Decreased GAG</td>
<td>Vavken et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Trypsin</td>
<td>Bovine Pericardium</td>
<td>Decreased E0, Increased elongation; Increased strain at yield</td>
<td>No change in collagen architecture</td>
<td>Hulsmann et al. (2012), Zhou et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Trypsin</td>
<td>Bovine Heart valve</td>
<td>Decreased E0, Emax, and εmax</td>
<td>Decreased GAG, Decreased elastin; Disrupted ECM architecture</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Trypsin</td>
<td>Bovine Heart valve</td>
<td>Decreased tensile strength</td>
<td>Disrupted collagen fiber pattern; Decreased GAG; Decreased strain</td>
<td>Schindler-Layland et al. (2003), Yu et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential protein solubilization</td>
<td>Hypotonic buffer + KCl + Dithiothreitol</td>
<td>Bovine Pericardium</td>
<td>Decreased flexural stiffness; Increased extensibility; Increased maximum tangential modulus in circumferential direction</td>
<td>Depletion of spongiosa layer; Loss of regional variations in alignment</td>
<td>Schindler-Layland et al. (2003), Yu et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amidosulfabetaine-14</td>
<td>Bovine Pericardium</td>
<td>No change in E0, UTS, or εmax</td>
<td>No change in collagen or elastin content; Decreased GAG</td>
<td>Weng et al. (2011), Weng et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECM, extracellular matrix; ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; GAG, glycosaminoglycans; E0, Young’s modulus; Emax, maximum stress; UTS, ultimate tensile stress; εmax, maximum strain; εyield, strain at UTS; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate; SDC, sodium deoxycholate; IGEPAL, octylphenyl-polyethylene glycol; SDF, superficial digital flexor.

* Mechanical properties included here are as reported by the original authors.
primarily by diffusion. Physical processes alone have not been shown to eliminate antigens from a tissue and are typically used in combination with some chemical treatment. To achieve a xenogeneic tissue with reduced antigenicity and maintained functional properties, the effects of the antigen removal process on the tissue’s hierarchy of ECM composition and architecture, and the resulting mechanical properties must be examined. Table 1 provides an overview of the effects of various chemical antigen removal strategies on tissue functional properties.

4.1. Detergents

The effects of detergents on the ECM depend on the specific detergent, its concentration, and the tissue to which it is applied. The most commonly used non-ionic detergent for antigen removal research is octyl phenol ethoxylate (Triton X-100). Commonly used ionic detergents include sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and sodium deoxylolate (SDC).

Triton X-100 has been examined for its ability to decellularize and reduce antigen content in xenogeneic heart valve (Liao et al., 2005; Meyer et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2013), pericardium (Yang et al., 2009), tendon (Deeken et al., 2011), and ligament (Woods and Gratzer, 2005) with varying effects on tissues’ mechanical properties and ECM. The ECM architecture was preserved and no change in the tensile properties was observed in bovine pericardium treated with 1% Triton X-100 (Yang et al., 2009). Similarly, no change in collagen content or tensile properties was found when 1% Triton X-100 was used to decellularize porcine anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) (Woods and Gratzer, 2005). Also, the collagen fiber pattern was preserved in rat heart valves treated with Triton X-100 (Meyer et al., 2005). No change in GAG content was found in porcine ACL tissue treated with Triton X-100 (Woods and Gratzer, 2005). However, Triton-X100 is not without deleterious effects on the ECM of some tissues. Porcine heart valves decellularized with Triton X-100 demonstrated decreased flexural stiffness, increased extensibility, and altered maximum tangential moduli associated with disrupted collagen crimp, depletion of the spongiosa layer, and loss of regional variation in collagen fiber alignment (Liao et al., 2008). Triton X-100 was also found to reduce the elastin content of rat heart valves (Meyer et al., 2006) and to disrupt the collagen architecture of porcine diaphragmatic tendon (Deeken et al., 2011), but mechanical testing was not performed in these studies. Varying results associated with the use of Triton-X100 may be due to different tissue types, different testing modalities, and/or slight differences in the antigen removal protocol between studies.

SDS has been extensively studied for its potential to remove antigens from xenogeneic tissues, although it has been found to have negative effects on nearly every tissue investigated. For example, the GAG content of tissue ECM is particularly susceptible to treatment with SDS. SDS has been shown to reduce the GAG content in porcine ACL (Vavken et al., 2009; Woods and Gratzer, 2005), bovine pericardium (Wong et al., 2013), bovine articular cartilage (Elder et al., 2010), and equine SDF tendon (Youngstrom et al., 2013) following treatment with SDS. Interestingly, treatment with SDS caused an increase in tensile stiffness in porcine ACL (Woods and Gratzer, 2005). When combined with 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.25% SDS did not affect the ultimate tensile strength (UTS) or strain at failure for bovine pericardium (Nam et al., 2012). To summarize, SDS causes depletion of GAG across all tissues, decreases elastin, and disrupts the ECM architecture, resulting in undesirable effects on mechanical properties of some tissues, including cartilage, heart valve, and bone. Due to its potential for harmful effects on the functional properties of multiple biomechanical tissues, its use should be avoided for antigen removal, particularly if GAG content needs to be preserved. If SDS is used as part of an antigen removal protocol in any tissue with a mechanical role, the resulting antigen-removed graft material should be examined with appropriate mechanical testing and ultrastructural analyses prior to in vivo application.

SDC is infrequently used by itself for antigen removal, but is often used in combination with another detergent, such as Triton X-100 or SDS. When used by itself to remove antigens from bovine pericardium, 1% SDC was found to preserve the major ECM components and architecture, but no mechanical testing was performed (Zhou et al., 2010). SDC (4%) applied to murine trachea decreased the Young’s modulus and GAG content of the tissue, but did not influence the UTS or strain at failure (Zang et al., 2012). When combined with Triton X-100, SDC had no effect on the matrix architecture of porcine heart valve (Kasimir et al., 2003) or collagen content of porcine ACL (Vavken et al., 2009). The combination of Triton X-100 and SDC significantly reduced the GAG content of porcine ACL (Vavken et al., 2009) and reduced the tensile properties associated with disrupted ECM in bovine pericardium (Yang et al., 2009). At a low concentration, 0.05% SDC combined with 0.05% Triton X-100, and 0.05% octyolphenyloxyethylene glycol, a non-ionic detergent, did not alter the tensile properties of porcine heart valve (Seebacher et al., 2008). SDC (0.5%) combined with 0.5% SDS resulted in decreased tensile stiffness, increased strain at failure, but no change in UTS when used to remove antigens from bovine pericardium (Hulsmann et al., 2012). Given the relative lack of mechanical testing and variable results obtained from existing studies using SDC for antigen removal, further research is needed to characterize its effects on ECM composition and mechanical properties in biomechanical tissues.

4.2. Organic solvents

Organic solvents are capable of solubilizing lipid membranes and hydrophobic proteins, making them potentially useful for antigen removal. The organic solvent tri(n-butyl)phosphate (TnBP) has been used alone and in combination with other factors for antigen removal from tendon (Cartmell and Dunn, 2000; Deeken et al., 2011; Youngstrom et al., 2013), ligament (Woods and Gratzer, 2005), and engineered cartilage (Elder et al., 2009). TnBP (1%) applied to equine SDF tendon (Youngstrom et al., 2013) and porcine diaphragmatic tendon (Deeken et al., 2011) had no effect on collagen content in either tissue and no effect on tensile properties in porcine diaphragm tendon. However, TnBP caused a significant reduction in GAG content in equine SDF tendon (Youngstrom et al., 2013).
Using 2% TnBP for antigen removal from engineered articular cartilage did not influence the collagen content, but caused near complete loss of GAG as well as significant reductions of aggregate modulus and Young’s modulus (Elder et al., 2009). Furthermore, TnBP did not achieve reductions in cell counts or DNA content in engineered articular cartilage (Elder et al., 2009). A two-step antigen removal protocol, consisting of 1% Triton X-100 followed by 1% TnBP, applied to porcine ACL resulted in no change in collagen content, tensile stiffness, or ultimate failure load compared to native tissue controls or samples treated with Triton X-100 alone (Woods and Gratzer, 2005). The preservation of collagen content and tensile properties following antigen removal with TnBP may be useful for application to some tissues. The possible effect on tissue GAG content should be considered when choosing an antigen removal protocol for a given tissue that includes TnBP. Additional research is needed to examine the utility of TnBP for antigen removal from fibrocartilage, heart valve, or pericardium.

4.3. Sequential protein solubilization

Due to the potentially harmful effects of detergents and enzymes on tissue functional properties, an alternative strategy based on targeted protein solubilization has been proposed and applied to bovine pericardium (Goncalves et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2011, 2013). This strategy involves sequential removal of water-soluble antigens followed by lipid-soluble antigens. In the first step, a hypotonic buffer with added electrolytes and a reducing agent induces cell lysis and disrupts molecular interactions, allowing removal of water-soluble antigens (Wong et al., 2011). In the second step, a novel, switchover detergent, amidosulfabetaine-14 (ASB-14), is used to solubilize and remove lipid-soluble antigens (Wong et al., 2013). Although both steps were found to decrease the GAG content of bovine pericardium, no changes in tensile properties, collagen content, or elastin were found and the ECM architecture was preserved better following treatment with ASB-14 than with SDS (Wong et al., 2011, 2013). Future studies examining a sequential protein solubilization strategy for antigen removal in other tissue types are indicated.

4.4. Enzymatic digestion

Enzymes are employed in many antigen removal protocols to break down proteins and other macromolecules that cause an immune reaction. Most antigen removal protocols include nucleases (i.e., ribonuclease and deoxyribonuclease) in the main antigen removal solution or as an additional step in order to degrade and facilitate removal of RNA and DNA from the xenogeneic tissue. Although the effect of nucleases on the ECM composition and tissue mechanical properties has not been examined, nucleases have been used in multiple studies that did not find negative effects of the antigen removal protocol (Woods and Gratzer, 2005; Yang et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2010), suggesting these enzymes do not have a significant impact on ECM composition or mechanical properties. Enzymes are also used to remove specific antigens. For example, α-galactosidase, which is used to remove α-gal (Goncalves et al., 2005; Nam et al., 2012; Yoshida et al., 2012), has been shown to have no effect on the UTS or strain at failure of bovine pericardium (Nam et al., 2012).

Trypsin is the protease most commonly used for enhancing antigen removal from xenogeneic tissues. Trypsin, in concentrations from 0.05% to 1%, causes negative effects on the functional properties of porcine ACL (Vavken et al., 2009), bovine pericardium (Hulsman et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2010), murine heart valve (Meyer et al., 2006), and porcine heart valve (Liao et al., 2008; Schenke-Layland et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2013). Specifically, 0.05% trypsin decreased the Young’s modulus and increased the strain at failure associated with disrupted ECM architecture and reductions in GAG and elastin content in bovine pericardium (Hulsman et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2010). A concentration of 1% trypsin caused severe disruption of bovine pericardial ECM organization and reduced its Young’s modulus, maximal stress, and strain at failure (Yang et al., 2009). Trypsin (0.05–0.5%) caused similar loss of GAG and elastin, disruptions of the ECM collagen fibers, and decreased tensile strength and flexural stiffness in heart valves (Liao et al., 2008; Meyer et al., 2006; Schenke-Layland et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2013). Depletion of the spongiosa ECM and loss of the normal regional variations in collagen fiber alignment were also observed in porcine heart valves following application of 0.5% trypsin (Liao et al., 2008). When applied to porcine ACL, 0.1% trypsin caused a 83.6% decrease in the tissue GAG content; mechanical testing was not performed (Vavken et al., 2009). Overall, the results obtained from using trypsin as an antigen removal agent suggest a consistent, deleterious effect on tissue functional properties.

Limited research has examined the use of other proteases or trypsin in combination with other antigen removal agents. Pepsin has been used with 75% ethanol for antigen removal from ox carotid arteries and shown to preserve collagen and elastin within the ECM resulting in no change in artery burst pressure or suture retention (Zhao et al., 2010). When combined with hypotonic Tris buffer and Triton X-100, trypsin caused no change in type II collagen and an apparent, but not statistically significant, reduction of GAG in powdered bovine articular cartilage (Yang et al., 2010). A similar protocol applied to human Achilles tendon included an additional step subjecting samples to peracetic acid and found an increase in tendon strain at failure, but did not change significantly the Young’s modulus or UTS (Whitlock et al., 2012). Trypsin combined with 1% SDC applied to porcine heart valves showed increased spacing between collagen fibers, but mechanical testing was not pursued (Yu et al., 2013). Overall, trypsin has a negative effect on xenogeneic tissue properties. Further testing is indicated to determine if other proteases or the combination of trypsin with other factors may preserve tissue ECM and mechanical properties better than trypsin used alone. Given the known impact of trypsin on xenogeneic tissues, it is especially important that tissue composition, architecture and mechanical properties be thoroughly evaluated for any proposed antigen removal protocol that includes proteolytic enzymes.

4.5. Physical processes for antigen removal

Physical processes that have been described for antigen removal from biomechanically functional tissues include irradiation, photo-oxidation, sonication, and freeze-thaw cycles. Although physical processes alone are not capable of removing antigens from a tissue, they can mask residual antigens, induce cell lysis, and enhance the ability of subsequent chemical treatments to remove antigens from the tissue. The effect of physical processes on biomechanical tissue properties has not been widely investigated, but multiple studies have shown improved antigen removal when a physical process is combined with a chemical treatment. The advantage of physical processes is that a chemical residue, such as solvents, detergents, or enzymes, is generally not left behind, making them an appealing alternative to potentially harmful chemical treatments, such as glutaraldehyde.

Irradiation and photo-oxidation both act to cross-link collagen and other proteins within a tissue and provide a possible alternative to chemical fixation using glutaraldehyde. Gamma-irradiation has been performed as an adjunct to decellularization of porcine heart valves using a hypotonic solution (Sarathchandra et al., 2012). In that study, irradiation was found to cause a marked reduction in the expression of collagen types I and III, hyaluronan, chondroitin...
6-sulphate, and versican” compared to native heart valves or heart valves undergoing only decellularization (Sarathchandra et al., 2012). Irradiation also increased the spacing between collagen fibrils and decreased the density of pyridinoline collagen cross-links compared to controls, suggesting additional disruption of the ECM (Sarathchandra et al., 2012). In a separate study of the effect of gamma-irradiation on porcine heart valves, a protocol consisting of immersing the valves in 80% polyethylene glycol followed by irradiation resulted in no change in maximum load, collagen content, or histologic appearance of the ECM compared to controls (Ota et al., 2007). Photo-oxidation involves applying methylene blue and exposing a tissue to visible light, which alters the amino acids of collagen resulting in increased cross-links (Akens et al., 2002). This process has been applied to bovine osteochondral explants and used for xenogeneic transplantation into sheep and rabbits (Akens et al., 2001, 2002; Hetherington et al., 2007). Although positive results have been reported from in vivo studies (Akens et al., 2001, 2002; Hetherington et al., 2007) and the photo-oxidation process is believed to increase the stiffness of the tissue (Akens et al., 2002), examination of the ECM composition and mechanical properties has not been reported. Further research is necessary, but these techniques have the potential for masking the antigenicity of ECM components that remain in the tissue following initial antigen removal while maintaining or improving tissue mechanical properties.

Sonication has been investigated for its ability to improve antigen removal from fibrocartilaginous tendon–bone interfaces (entheses) and from the nucleus pulposus of intervertebral discs. When applied to human flexor tendon entheses, sonication followed by antigen removal with peracetic acid and SDS decreased the number of visible cells and antigen content in the interface region without affecting the UTS compared to native tissue (Bronstein et al., 2013). A trend was observed for greater cell removal from entheses with increasing power of sonication, with no difference in tensile properties when samples were treated with high power sonication (Bronstein et al., 2013). Sonication applied to porcine nucleus pulposus in conjunction with Triton X-100 and SDC caused a 41–49% decrease in GAG content, but did not influence the compressive stiffness or viscosity of the tissue (Mercuri et al., 2011). The reduced GAG content associated with sonication was significantly different from native nucleus pulposus, whereas no significant difference in GAG content occurred following treatment with only Triton X-100 and SDC (Mercuri et al., 2011). Sonication appears to be promising for enhancing antigen removal from tissues that may be difficult to decellularize by chemical processes alone. It may be possible to titrate the power and duration of sonication to maximize antigen removal while preserving desirable tissue properties. Additional research is warranted to determine the effects of sonication on antigen removal and functional properties of biomechanical tissues.

Cyclic freezing and thawing is incorporated into many antigen removal protocols with the intention of disrupting cells within the tissue, aiding the removal of cell components and other antigens (Burk et al., 2013; Dutra and French, 2010; Kheir et al., 2011; Ning et al., 2012; Shahabipour et al., 2013; Stapleton et al., 2011, 2008; Youngstrom et al., 2013). Freeze-thaw cycles were found to be beneficial for removal of cells and DNA content from equine SDF tendon compared to the use of detergents alone without causing any histologic ECM disruption (Burk et al., 2013). Tendon slices treated only with freezing-thawing and nuclease digestion exhibited a 30% decrease in Young’s modulus, but no significant change in UTS or strain at failure compared to native tissue controls (Ning et al., 2012). In many of these studies, the freeze-thaw process was applied to all treatment groups and the specific effects of freezing and thawing cannot be isolated. The efficacy of freeze-thaw cycles to enhance antigen removal and to preserve the tissue ECM should be compared to other methods of cell lysis, such as hypotonic hypertonic solutions and sonication.

5. Future directions

Expanding the clinical use of xenogeneic tissues as scaffolds and biologic grafts requires development and assessment of antigen removal strategies that preserve the functional properties of a tissue while removing sufficient antigen content to avoid immune rejection. A proposed general strategy to develop antigen-removed xenogeneic tissues for clinical applications is depicted in Fig. 2. Essentially, after a xenogeneic tissue undergoes antigen removal treatment, it must be assessed for residual antigen content, quantification of ECM structural macromolecules, and ECM microarchitecture. The antigen-removed graft material should also undergo mechanical testing as appropriate for the intended function of the graft. Finally, if the functional properties of the xenogeneic graft have been sufficiently preserved and the antigen content reduced, evaluation of the material in an animal model must be performed to ensure maintenance of graft function in vivo.
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Development of antigen removal procedures should include novel applications of known antigen removal protocols as well as discovery of new agents and processes. New antigen removal strategies may involve applying existing, successful protocols to different tissues. Other opportunities for improving antigen removal include combining agents or processes with different mechanisms of action that can be applied sequentially or simultaneously to enhance removal of antigens with varied properties, such as solubility. For example, a physical process, such as sonication, may be combined with hypotonic solutions and detergents to efficiently lyse cells and remove both cytosolic and membrane-associated antigens. For maintaining biomechanical functionality, it is thus important to develop new antigen removal agents and protocols that only reduce antigens without significantly affecting macromolecules that have an important structural role in the tissue ECM.

In some cases, it may be impossible to remove antigens without compromising tissue biomechanics. Thus, another exciting avenue for future research is the examination of treatments that may restore functional properties that are unavoidably diminished by the antigen removal process. Such treatments may include cross-linking agents that mask any residual antigens as well as increase tissue stiffness. Recellularization of antigen-removed xenogeneic tissues using allogeneic or autologous cells with the intention of restoring tissue functional properties has also been the subject of considerable research (Ingram et al., 2007; Iwai et al., 2007; Martinello et al., 2012; Ota et al., 2007; Syedain et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2010), and the ability of recellularization to restore tissue ECM composition and mechanical properties should be examined. Successful identification of processes that improve tissue functional properties may permit more aggressive antigen removal protocols to be used that would otherwise deteriorate a tissue's ECM and prevent its use in vivo.

Considering the variation in current attempts to quantify antigen content, it is essential to adopt a measure of success for assessing the residual antigen content of a tissue following antigen removal. Because cell or nuclei counts do not necessarily correlate with residual antigen content in an antigen-removed tissue, success criteria should assess more directly the tissue antigen content. Antigen content can be quantitatively or qualitatively examined using methods such as ELISA, immunoblotting, immunohistochemistry (IHC), monocyte activation, or lymphocyte response tests. ELISA and immunoblotting have been performed for specific antigens, such as \( \alpha \)-gal and major histocompatibility complexes, as well as entire tissue proteomes (Goncalves et al., 2005; Nam et al., 2012; Pei et al., 2010; Raghavan et al., 2012; Syedain et al., 2013; Wong et al., 2011, 2013). IHC is typically performed to evaluate the distribution of known antigens within a tissue following antigen removal. Building on antigen quantification, it is important to identify thresholds of antigen content that are tolerated in vivo. It is likely that the maximum allowable antigen content for a xenogeneic graft will differ depending on the tissue type, species of origin, and ultimate site of implantation. Meaningful measures of antigen content and establishing targets for acceptable residual antigenicity will help advance the translation of antigen-removed xenogeneic tissues.

Successful antigen removal is not useful unless the desirable properties of the original xenogeneic tissue are preserved. A core set of functional properties for each tissue should be defined by collaborations between engineers and surgeons to ensure that in vitro tests are practical and relevant toward the tissue's ultimate function in vivo. Such a core of functional properties should include appropriate mechanical testing and examine the hierarchy of ECM properties that contribute to the tissue's function. For example, appropriate testing of an antigen-removed tissue might include compressive and tensile testing; concentrations of major ECM macromolecules, such as GAG and collagen; distribution of those macromolecules; and examination of the ECM microstructure and ultrastructure. The choice to report material or structural mechanical properties should depend on how the graft will be applied in vivo. For example, if an entire xenogeneic ligament will be used to repair an injured ligament in a patient, describing the structural properties of the antigen-removed ligament would be most appropriate. Therefore, collecting and reporting both structural and material properties is warranted.

In reporting the tissue properties, when possible, mechanical testing should adhere to appropriate standards to allow comparison among different studies. For tissues such as cartilage, ACL, and heart valve, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidance documents exist that guide researchers in the appropriate choice of assays and tests to conduct (FDA, 1997, 2010, 2011). For a cartilage repair product, the FDA recommends assessment of static mechanical properties, including aggregate modulus, shear modulus, and permeability, as well as dynamic mechanical behavior, such as complex shear modulus (FDA, 2011). The FDA recommends tensile testing to failure as well as tensile fatigue testing for devices intended to replace the ACL; other tests may be required for a finished device (FDA, 1987). At a minimum, the treated tissue must be compared to untreated, native tissue controls tested in the same manner. Comparison of xenogeneic tissue properties to the human tissue properties they are intended to replace is also essential. Some xenogeneic tissues have greater mechanical properties than their human counterparts (Athanasiou et al., 1991), making some reduction in mechanical properties during the antigen removal process acceptable. Every study that is conducted using xenogeneic grafts must refer to mechanical testing standards, such as those set forth by the FDA or by the American Society for Testing and Materials.

Once a promising antigen removal strategy has been examined in vitro, testing in an appropriate xenogeneic animal model must be performed for final evaluation of the immune reaction as well as tissue function in vivo. Considerations for choosing an appropriate animal model include cost, size of the donor tissue and recipient site, ease of surgical implantation, and site of implantation. Due to potential differences in immune reaction associated with different implantation sites, orthotopic implantation of the antigen-removed tissue should be performed when possible. For technically difficult or costly implantation procedures, it may be preferable to first evaluate the antigen-removed xenogeneic graft in a heterotopic site to characterize any possible immune reaction prior to orthotopic implantation to evaluate the graft's function. Evaluation of an antigen-removed graft's function should include its ability to integrate with the surrounding adjacent tissues and to maintain its mechanical properties over time. Inasmuch as no appropriate in vitro models exist to assess the complex in vivo immunological and mechanical events that take place, it is imperative that appropriate animal models be employed.

The combination of residual antigen quantification, ECM characterization, and mechanical testing will allow researchers to screen antigen removal agents for their likelihood of success prior to implantation. Furthermore, standardized testing will allow comparison of results between different antigen removal protocols, researchers, and tissues. Ultimately, in vivo studies must be conducted that finally determine the immunogenicity, function, and durability of antigen-removed xenogeneic tissues, especially in load-bearing tissues.
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