Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Recent Work

Title
Azimuthally sensitive HBT in Au+Au collisions at sqrt(snn) = 200 GeV

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7rz386mw

Journal
Physical Review Letters, 93

Authors
Adams, J.
Adler, C.
Aggarwal, M.M.
et al.

Publication Date
2003-12-08
Azimuthally sensitive HBT in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV

We present the results of a systematic study of two-pion Hanbury Brown-Twiss (HBT) interferometry relative to the reaction plane in Au+Au collisions at RHIC. Oscillations of the extracted HBT radii vs. emission angle indicate sources elongated perpendicular to the reaction plane at pion freeze-out. The relative amplitudes of the oscillations increase from central to peripheral collisions, reflecting the larger initial spatial anisotropy of the collision. The results indicate that the pressure and expansion time of the collision system are not sufficient to completely quench its initial shape.

PACS numbers: 25.75.Gz, 25.75.Ld

Relativistic heavy ion collisions are believed to reach sufficiently high energy densities and temperatures for the possible formation of a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) \(^1\). Hanbury Brown-Twiss (HBT) interferometry \(^2\) of two particle Bose-Einstein correlations directly accesses the space-time structure of the emitting source formed in these collisions, providing crucial probes of the system dynamics. At the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider
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(RHIC), identical-pion HBT studies in Au+Au collisions at √s_{NN} = 130 GeV [3, 4] yielded an apparent source size quantitatively consistent with measurements at lower energies, in contrast to predictions of larger sources based on QGP formation [5]. In addition, hydrodynamical models, successful at RHIC in describing momentum-space quantities such as transverse momentum spectra and elliptic flow [5], have failed to reproduce the small HBT radii [5]. This so-called “HBT puzzle” [5, 6] might arise because the system’s lifetime is shorter than predicted by models.

In non-central collisions, azimuthally-sensitive HBT performed relative to the reaction plane provides a measure of the anisotropic source shape and collective flow gradients at freeze-out [3, 4, 7, 8]. In such collisions, the initial anisotropic collision geometry generates greater transverse pressure gradients in the reaction plane than perpendicular to it. This leads to preferential in-plane expansion (elliptic flow) [6, 9, 10] which diminishes the initial spatial anisotropy. The final (freeze-out) source shape should be sensitive to the evolution of the pressure gradients and the system lifetime; a long-lived system would be less out-of-plane extended and perhaps in-plane extended. Also, hydrodynamical calculations [11] predict a strong sensitivity of the HBT parameters to the early conditions in the collision system and show that, while the system may still be out-of-plane extended after hydrodynamic evolution, a subsequent rescattering phase [12] tends to make the final source in-plane. Therefore knowledge of the freeze-out source shape might discriminate among scenarios of the system’s evolution.

In this Letter, we present results of a systematic study of azimuthally-sensitive HBT in Au+Au collisions at √s_{NN} = 200 GeV. These results allow for first studies of the relationship between the initial and final eccentricities of the system. Implications for hydrodynamical models and scenarios for collision evolution are discussed.

The measurements were made using the STAR detector [13] at RHIC. Particle trajectories and momenta were reconstructed using a Time Projection Chamber (TPC) with full azimuthal coverage, located inside a 0.5 Tesla solenoidal magnet. Au+Au events with primary vertices ≤ 25 cm longitudinally of the TPC center were placed into centrality classes following Ref. [23]. A high-multiplicity triggered dataset of 500k events was used for the most central bin (0–5% total cross section), and a minimum bias dataset of 1.6 million events was used for all other centrality classes (5–10%, 10–20%, 20–30% and 30–80%). The second-order event plane angle Ψ_2 [21] for each event was determined from the weighted sum of primary charged-particle transverse momenta (p_T) [22]. Within the resolution which we determine from the random subevent method [21], Ψ_2 ≈ Ψ_T (true reaction plane angle) or Ψ_2 ≈ Ψ_T + π; i.e. the direction of the impact parameter vector is determined up to a sign [21], and the measured event plane is roughly coplanar with the true reaction plane.

Pion candidates, selected according to their specific energy loss (dE/dx) in the TPC in the rapidity range |y| < 0.5, were required to pass within 3 cm of the primary vertex and contain > 15 (out of 45) TPC space points in the reconstructed trajectory. Pion pairs were subjected to two requirements. To account for reconstructing a single particle trajectory as two tracks, a topological cut is applied in which a minimum fraction of TPC pad layers must show distinct hits for both tracks. To reduce the effect of merging two particle trajectories into a single reconstructed track, an additional topological cut requires that the number of merged TPC hits falls below a maximum fraction. The latter cut leads to a systematic error that depends on the event multiplicity and the transverse momentum of the tracks.

Pairs of like-sign pions were placed into bins of Φ' ≡ φ_{pair} - Ψ_2, where φ_{pair} is the azimuthal angle of the pair momentum [k = (p_1 + p_2)]. Because we use the 2nd-order reaction plane, Φ' is only defined in the range (0, π). For each bin, a three-dimensional correlation function is constructed in the Pratt-Bertsch “out-side-long” decomposition [24] of the relative pair momentum q. The numerator of the correlation function contains pairs of pions from the same event, and the denominator contains pairs of pions from different events which have similar primary vertex position, reaction plane orientation, multiplicity, and magnetic field orientation. π⁻ pairs and π⁺ pairs were mixed separately due to charge-dependent acceptances but are combined to increase statistics; separate π⁺ and π⁻ analyses showed no significant differences.

Finite reaction plane resolution and finite width of the Φ' bins reduce the measured oscillation amplitudes of HBT radii vs. Φ'. A model-independent correction procedure [25], applied to each q-bin in the numerator and denominator of each correlation function, accounts for these effects and increases the amplitudes of the HBT radii vs. Φ (Φ ≡ φ_{pair} - Ψ_T). The increase is roughly inversely proportional to the reaction plane resolution, i.e. the amplitudes increase ~10–30%. All data were corrected using this procedure. Also, auto-correlation contributions to Φ were tested by selecting distinct sets of particles for event plane determination and HBT analysis, with no observed effect.

In addition, correlations due to final-state Coulomb repulsion must be accounted for, in order to isolate the Bose-Einstein correlations of interest. Traditionally this was accomplished by applying correction weights (determined by calculating the Coulomb correlation function K(q) for a spherical Gaussian source [3]) to all pairs in the denominator. Recently, the CERES collaboration [26] noted that this approach actually over-correction for the Coulomb effect, and advocated an improved procedure [27] which applies the Coulomb weight only to the fraction of pairs that participate in the Bose-Einstein correlation. We adopt this approach, and fit each exper-
The full results are summarized in Figure 3, which shows the centrality dependence of the Fourier coefficients for three ranges of $k_T$. The number of participants for each centrality was determined using a simple nuclear model.

We verified that the 0th-order FC corresponds to the HBT radii obtained in an azimuthally-integrated analysis.

Strong 2nd-order oscillations are observed for $R_2^T$ and $R_4^T$, and the signs of the oscillations are qualitatively self-consistent in $10^{-2}$, though the amplitude for most-central events is small. Similar oscillations were observed in a statistics-limited analysis of minimum-bias Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ GeV. These oscillations correspond to a pion source that is elongated perpendicular to the reaction plane in configuration space, as discussed below. The next terms (4th-order) in the Fourier expansions (Eq. 4) are consistent with zero within statistical errors.

The $k_T$-dependence of the oscillations of the HBT radii may contain important information on the initial conditions and equation of state of the system [30]. Figure 2 shows the $\Phi$ dependence of HBT radii for mid-central (20–30%) events for four $k_T$ bins, indicated in the figure. Due to the additional division of pairs in $k_T$, only four bins in $\Phi$ are used. The 0th-order FC increases with decreasing $k_T$, which was observed for azimuthally-integrated HBT analyses at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ GeV [24] and attributed to pion emission from an expanding source. Also, strong out-of-plane oscillations are observed for all transverse radii in each $k_T$ bin.

The full results are summarized in Figure 3, which shows the centrality dependence of the Fourier coefficients for three ranges of $k_T$. The number of participants for each centrality was determined using a simple nuclear model.
of the oscillations offer a more robust measure of

and uncertainty associated with the Coulomb procedure. The total variation is largest when the increase in \( R_{2s,0}/R_{l,0} \) is accounted for. Systematic errors of 30\%, based on sensitivity to model parameters, are assigned to \( \varepsilon_{\text{final}} \).

To explore the shape of the pion source at freeze-out and its relation to the spatial anisotropy of the collision’s initial overlap region, a model-dependent approach is required. In the presence of collective flow the HBT radii correspond to regions of homogeneity and do not reflect the entire source. The “blast-wave” parametrization of freeze-out, which incorporates both spatial and dynamical anisotropies, has been used to describe various observables at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130 \text{ GeV} \).

The eccentricity of the initial overlap region is calculated from a Glauber model outlined in Ref. and using the r.m.s. values for \( R_y \) and \( R_x \). Figure 4 shows the relation between the initial and final eccentricities obtained by averaging the three \( k_T \) bins in Fig. 3. The initial and final eccentricities exhibit a monotonic relationship, with more peripheral collisions showing a larger final anisotropy. Within this model-dependent picture, the source at freeze-out still retains some of its initial shape, indicating that the outward pressure and/or expansion time was not sufficient to quench the initial spac-
tial anisotropy. The large elliptic flow and small HBT radii observed at RHIC energies might favor a large pressure build-up in a short-lived system. However, the present result also indicates that elliptic flow might still be developing weakly at late stages of the collision if anisotropic pressure gradients are still present. Also, out-of-plane freeze-out shapes tend to disfavor a long-lived hadronic rescattering phase following hydrodynamic expansion [18].

In conclusion, we have performed an analysis of two-pion HBT interferometry relative to the reaction plane in Au+Au collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \) GeV. The relative amplitudes of the HBT radius oscillation is largest for peripheral collisions, indicating larger out-of-plane anisotropy in the pion source at freeze-out, for collisions with larger initial spatial anisotropy. No strong \( k_T \) dependence of the relative oscillation amplitudes is observed. The out-of-plane freeze-out shape of the source indicates that the build-up of pressure and the evolution time of the expanding system are not sufficient to quench the initial geometry of the collision. This information, taken together with the size of the source and anisotropies in momentum space, provides significant, comprehensive constraints on future theoretical efforts to describe the nature and timescale of the collision’s evolution.
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[28] The general form of Eq. 2 contains cross terms \( R_2^d \) and \( R_2^a \); however, without knowledge of the 1st-order reaction plane, these terms vanish by symmetry considerations [25]. \( R_2^a \) is related to the tilt angle between the emission direction and “out” direction in the Pratt-Bertsch decomposition of relative pair momentum [31].
[35] C. Adler et al., nucl-ex/0307025.