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NMDA Receptor Activation and Calpain Contribute to Disruption of Dendritic Spines by the Stress Neuropeptide CRH
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Introduction
Molecular and cellular correlates of learning and memory are generally considered to take place at excitatory synapses (Larson and Lynch, 1986; Martin et al., 2000; Neves et al., 2008), by influencing synaptic function (Bear et al., 1987; Malenka et al., 1988; Barria and Malinow, 2002). These processes commonly involve changes in the number, composition, and function of glutamate receptors at the postsynaptic density (Baudry and Lynch, 1979; Scannevin and Huganir, 2000; Derkach et al., 2007) and structural changes of synapses. The postsynaptic component of excitatory hippocampal synapses is located on dendritic spines (Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2001; Segal, 2005). Indeed, memory-related changes are associated with changes in spine size and shape (Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2001; Fukazawa et al., 2003; Park et al., 2006; Penzes et al., 2011). Classically, memory-related synaptic plasticity involves enlargement of dendritic spines (Hering and Sheng, 2001; Chen et al., 2008; Bourne and Harris, 2000; Lynch et al., 2008; Holtmaat and Svoboda, 2009), whereas processes associated with memory loss involve spine shrinkage or loss (Tada and Sheng, 2006; Collingridge et al., 2010; Kasai et al., 2010).

Stress influences memory (Conrad et al., 1999; Kim and Diamond, 2002; Joels and Baram, 2009; Gray et al., 2013) with concomitant changes in synapse and spine integrity (Shors, 2001; Kole et al., 2004; Stewart et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2008, 2010; Jafari et al., 2012). Much work exists on role of the archetypical stress hormones, corticosteroids (Chen et al., 2007, 2008, 2010; Alberini and Chen, 2012), which activate both glucocorticoid (De Kloet, 2004; Ulrich-Lai and Herman, 2009; Liston and Gan, 2011; McEwen and Gianaros, 2011) and mineralocorticoid receptors (Joels and Baram, 2009; Wang et al., 2013a,b).

More recently, corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) has been implicated in deficits of hippocampus-dependent memory and long-term potentiation (LTP) resulting from chronic and short (hours-long) stress (Diamond and Rose, 1994; Garcia et al., 1997; Pawlak et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2008, 2010; Ivy et al., 2010). CRH is synthesized by pyramidal cell layer interneurons (Chen et al., 2001), and released during stress (Chen et al., 2004, 2010). Hippocampal pyramidal neurons express CRH receptor type 1 (Chen et al., 2000; Refojo et al., 2011) within the postsynaptic density on dendritic spine heads (Chen et al., 2004). The use of...
live, two-photon imaging has demonstrated that CRH provokes retraction of existing spines rather than reduction of spine formation (Chen et al., 2008, 2013). Thus, CRH is a candidate molecular mediator of the structural effects of stress in hippocampus. During minutes-long stress, CRH enhances LTP (Blank et al., 2002) whereas longer exposures reduce synaptic function and spine density in CA1 and CA3: behavioral deficits and spine loss induced by short stress can be largely prevented by CRHR1 antagonists (Chen et al., 2010), suggesting that CRH contributes to stress-related memory deficits. However, how CRH elicits spine loss is largely unknown. Because activation of ionotropic glutamate receptors by network activity can rapidly and dynamically change synapse size and spine structure, we tested the hypothesis that CRH-induced spine loss involves the co-option of fundamental mechanisms that influence synapse and spine dynamics.

**Materials and Methods**

Experiments conformed to National Institutes of Health guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California-Irvine (UCI).

**Hippocampal neuron cultures**

Timed-pregnant Sprague Dawley rat dams gave birth in the UCI vivarium. Hippocampal neuron cultures were prepared on the day of birth (P0) from pups of either sex as previously described (Noam et al., 2010). Briefly, hippocampi were dissected and incubated in dissection solution (137 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 0.17 mM Na2PO4, 0.22 mM KH2PO4, 33.3 mM D-glucose, and 43.8 mM sucrose in 9.9 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) with 10 U/ml papain ( Worthington). After removal of papain, cells were triturated and plated at a density of 400–600 cell/mm2 on 12 mm coverslips (Thermo Fisher) or 60 mm CellBIND dishes (Corning) precoated with poly-D-lysine (Sigma). Cultures were initially maintained in Neurobasal Medium (NBM) with B27 (Invitrogen) at 36°C and 5% CO2. After 3–4 h, half the culture medium was replaced with NBM preconditioned for 24 h over 1- to 3-week-old glia cell cultures (conditioned medium). Cultures were treated with 1 μM arabinoside-cytosine (Sigma) 3 days in vitro (DIV) to inhibit glial proliferation and refreshed twice a week with conditioned medium. Neurons were used for experiments on 17–21 DIV. At this age, mature synapses are generally present and the presence of postsynaptic density (PSD95) immunocytochemistry (ICC) serves as a reliable marker of mature synapses. In addition, it enables visualization of small, thin spines that have PSD95 but might be missed by other methods (see below). Because PSD95 might rarely occur on dendritic shaft (Arnold and Clapham, 1999), additional methods were used. (2) Neurons were infected with green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing lentiviruses. This led to migration of GFP to all neuronal compartments including dendritic spines; spines were visualized using anti-GFP ICC. Very small, thin spines might not be fully filled; therefore, the method was complemented by the others. (3) Because the core components of dendritic spines consist of polymerized actin (Lynch et al., 2007), low doses of phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor568 were used to label filamentous (F), polymerized actin. Phalloidin was diluted to a final concentration of 165 nM in PBS and incubated with the cultures for 1 h at room temperature. When phalloidin labeling was combined with ICC, phalloidin was added to the blocking buffer along with secondary antibody. Phalloidin labeling was used primarily for qualitative co-localization experiments. Phalloidin interacts preferentially with polymerized actin (Levitsky et al., 2008), and can be used to visualize enlarging spines (Lin et al., 2005). However, imaging resolution might not allow all spines to be visualized, resulting in lower spine densities.

**Experimental design and pharmacological manipulations**

CRH (Bachem) was maintained in a stock solution of 100 μM prepared in sterile water and then diluted to 100 nM in NBM + B27 just before use. Dissociated neurons on glass coverslips were treated in 24-Well plates with a minimum volume of 0.5 ml at 36°C for 30–60 min. The selective blocker of CRH receptor type 1 (CRHR1), 3-(6-(dimethylamino)-4-methyl-pyrid-3-yl)-2,5-dimethyl-N,N-dipropyl-pyrazolo[2,3-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (NB30775), was a gift from D.E. Grigoriadis (Neurocrine Biosciences). The CRHR1 antagonist was dissolved in sterile water and used at a final concentration of 100 nM. NB30775 was applied alone for 5 min to allow the compound to bind CRHR1 receptors, and this was followed by application of a solution containing both NB30775 and CRH.

**Tetrodotoxin (TTX; Abcam)** was stored as a 1 μM stock solution in sterile water and freshly diluted to a final concentration of 1 μM. The selective blockers of ionotropic glutamate receptors, APV, CNQX, MK-801, and NBOX (Sigma) were dissolved in sterile water. Neurons were treated with NMDA and AMPA glutamate receptor antagonists together or each blocker separately for 60 min at the following final concentrations: 100 μM APV, 50 μM CNQX, 10 μM MK-801, and 10 μM NBOX.

The calpain inhibitor III (Calbiochem), an antagonist of both calpains I and II, was dissolved in cell culture grade dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma). Neurons were treated with a final concentration of 100 nM calpain inhibitor III 5 min before incubation with CRH. After 60 min, neurons from all of the experimental groups were fixed for ICC or rapidly processed for Western blot analysis.

**ICC of fixed cells and F-actin labeling**

Coverslips with cultured neurons were placed immediately into ice slush (0°C). Neurons were fixed with ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS, pH 7.4, for 20 min. All antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer (3% bovine serum albumin, 0.1% Triton-X in PBS, pH 7.4) overnight at 4°C. The following antibodies were used: mouse anti-PSD95 1:4000 (Thermo Fisher), goat anti-CRHR1 1:2000 (directed against the N terminus of the receptor; Everest Biotech), mouse anti-GFP 1:1000 (Sigma), rabbit anti-calpain-1 1:1000 (Abcam), rabbit anti-GluR1 1:1000 (Millipore), and rabbit anti-NR2A 1:500 (Invitrogen). The next day, coverslips were washed and incubated in the appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488, Alexa Fluor 568, or Alexa Fluor 633 at a concentration of 1:400 (Invitrogen) at room temperature for 1 h.

F-actin was visualized using phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen). Neurons were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS, pH 7.4, in an ice slush for 20 min. Phalloidin (final concentration of 165 nM in PBS) was applied for 1 h at room temperature. When phalloidin labeling was combined with ICC it was added along with the secondary antibody. Neurons were processed for confocal imaging with Fluoromount G (Southern Biotech) mounting medium to protect against bleaching.

**ICC of nonpermeabilized neurons.** Coverslips containing cultured neurons were briefly fixed with cold 4% PFA for 10 min on ice, and washed gently three times for 1 min each in PBS without detergents. These non-permeabilized neurons were incubated in anti-GluR1 1:100 (directed against the extracellular N terminus domain of the receptor; Calbiochem) for 48 h or anti-CRHR1 1:50 (directed against the extracellular domain of the receptor; Everest Biotech) overnight at 4°C. Antibodies against the surface receptor were diluted in 3% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in PBS (lacking detergent). The next day, visualization of surface receptor was performed by diluting the appropriate secondary antibody 1:400 in 3% FBS in PBS. Coverslips were washed three times in PBS. To enable detection of internal antigens, neurons were incubated with antibodies against PSD95 and internal CRHR1 diluted in blocking buffer (containing detergents; see method for fixed cells) for 1 h at room temperature. Secondary antibodies for internal proteins were diluted 1:400 in blocking buffer, and coverslips were incubated for 1 h at room temperature.

**GFP lentiviral infection**

Recombinant lentiviruses expressing GFP under the H1 promoter were produced by transient transfection in HEK293T cells as previously described (Regev et al., 2011). Supernatants was collected from transfected HEK293T cells and virus particles were titered to 2.5 × 10^5 particles per microliter. Lentiviral infections were performed on DIV and neurons
were used on 17–21 DIV. All GFP-expressing neurons were processed for anti-GFP ICC. 

**Spectrin breakdown product Western blots and analyses**

Presence of activated calpain was determined using Western blot analysis for the presence of a cleaved substrate of calpain, spectrin. Specifically, spectrin breakdown products (SBDP) were examined using an antisem to spectrin, and looking for low molecular weight moieties of the expected size. The expected molecular weight of full-length brain spectrin is 240 kDa, whereas the SBDP is 140 kDa (Suman et al., 1984). Neurons were cultured in 60 mm CellBIND dishes coated with PDL, and exposed to CRH at a final concentration of 100 nM CRH, with or without 100 nM calpain inhibitor for 1 h at 36°C. To determine whether CRH-mediated NMDA receptor activation was responsible for the increase in SBDP, neurons were exposed to 100 nM CRH with or without 100 μM APV. To distinguish CRH-induced SBDP from constitutive calpain activity, all cultures were pre-incubated with 500 nM calpain inhibitor for 3 h and washed with ice-cold sterile PBS before vehicle or CRH exposure. Dishes were immediately chilled on an ice slush, carefully washed twice with sterile PBS, and neurons were lifted off with a 1% Triton X-100 lysis buffer (50 m Tris-HCl, 150 m NaCl, 2 m EDTA, 1% Triton) with a protease inhibitor cocktail. lysate was centrifuged at 16,000 rpm for 20 min twice, both times discarding the pellet. Following a Bradford assay, protein samples were boiled in sample buffer (6 mercaptoethanol, 0.03% brilliant blue, 48% glycerol) and loaded on a 4–12% PAGE gel (Lanza; VWR) run at 125 V for 90 min. Samples were transferred to a PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare) and blocked for 1 h in 10% milk in PBS-Tween. The membrane was incubated in 1:20,000 anti-α-spectrin (also called α-fodrin; Abcam) overnight at 4°C in 5% milk. This was followed by incubating the membrane and secondary conjugated to horse radish peroxidase at 1:10,000 at room temperature in 5% milk and developed using the ECL detection kit (Thermo Fisher). Optical densities from Western blot analysis were quantified using ImageJ. Individual experiments were combined by assigning a value of 1 to the optical densities of each experiment’s control group. Other groups were compared with control using one-way ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni’s multiple-comparisons test.

**Systematic analyses and statistical considerations**

Each experiment included 3–4 sister coverslips per treatment group, and neurons were sampled equally from each coverslip for imaging. For PSD95 quantification, a total of at least 12 dendrites from six neurons per treatment group were analyzed. Each experiment was repeated at least twice; therefore, a minimum of 24 dendrites per treatment group were included in the analyses. For GFP spine quantification, six neurons per treatment group were analyzed, and each experiment was repeated twice for a total of 12 dendrites.

All imaging and quantification was done without knowledge of treatment group. To ensure appropriate comparisons and address quantitatively differences between imaging studies, dendritic spines were systematically sampled among treatment groups. Images were scaled for distance per pixel length, and the distance from the soma was measured and divided into 20 μm segments using ImageJ. A total of 4800–6000 μm of dendritic length, derived from at least 12 dendrites per treatment group, was analyzed. Spine counts were pooled from two to three experiments. For PSD95 quantification, each individual puncta was considered a separate spine and counts were not adjusted for puncta size. For GFP-expressing neurons, spines were defined by a clear neck and head protruding from the dendrite. Images for analysis were generated using confocal microscopy, Zeiss LSM 510. Images (40×) were generated to show the whole neuron using an oil-immersion objective (NA 1.3). The 3 μm z-series (0.5 μm steps) images were captured from dendrites that were distinct from other dendrites and dendritic crossings and extended at least 100 μm from the soma at 63× (NA 1.4) using an oil-immersion objective. Analysis of all treatment groups across the distance of the dendrite was accomplished using two-way repeated-measures (RM)-ANOVA. Control and CRH treatment groups were combined if there was no significant interaction. All RM-ANOVAs were followed by Tukey’s post hoc multiple-comparisons test. Significance levels were set at 0.05 and data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 Software.

**Results**

CRH, at nanomolar concentrations, reduces dendritic spine density in 17–21 DIV hippocampal neurons

Severe, hours-long stress reduces spine density in apical dendrites of CA3 and CA1 hippocampal pyramidal cells, and this is significantly abrogated by application of a CRHR1 blocker directly into the brain. This finding suggests that the effects of stress are mediated, at least in part, by the actions of endogenous, hippocampal CRH (Chen et al., 2010). In accord, we have previously found that CRH application to organotypic or acute hippocampal slices can cause loss of dendritic spines in a distribution similar to the effects of stress (Chen et al., 2008, 2013). In the current study, we used 17–21 DIV hippocampal neurons in culture as an accessible and controllable system that enables a better understanding of the mechanisms. We first examined if CRH-induced dendritic spine loss could be replicated in these neurons by exposing them to CRH levels that may reflect levels present in hippocampus during severe stress (100 nM) (Khan et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2010), followed by two independent methods of analysis. First, we conducted ICC for the integral postsynaptic density protein PSD95 (Fig. 1A,B), followed by sampling and quantification of the number of PSD95-immunoreactive (ir) puncta (Fig. 1C–F). Spine density varies considerably with branch order, as does the vulnerability of dendritic spines to stress (Chen et al., 2010) and to CRH (Chen et al., 2008) Therefore, we quantified PSD95-ir puncta density by branch order. CRH did not change the shape, size, or general appearance of neurons (Fig. 1A,B). Exposure to CRH reduced the density of PSD95-ir puncta along dendritic branches ($F_{(1,66)} = 3.81, p = 0.006$), and this reduction became more apparent in the third and fourth order branches ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 1E). The inhomogeneous reduction in dendritic spine density along the dendrite in vitro was reminiscent of the predilection of short stress–and of CRH in acute hippocampal slices–to preferentially affect third- and fourth-order dendritic spines in the stratum radiatum, where they contact terminals of the commissural projection/associational fibers (Chen et al., 2008).

We also measured the effects of CRH on spine density as a function of distance from the soma and found that spine density decreased on this distance ($F_{(4,88)} = 50.28, p < 0.0001$, Fig. 1H). CRH reduced the density of PSD95-ir puncta along dendrites ($F_{(1,88)} = 7.09, p = 0.014$), and post hoc comparisons showed that the peptide reduced PSD95 puncta density as compared with controls at 40–100 μm from the soma ($p < 0.05$). In view of the comparable results using branch order or distance from soma, we elected to use a single type of analysis. We chose to analyze by distance from soma (0–100 μm, using 20 μm segments) to avoid the possibility that, in the high-magnification microscopy images (63×) obtained for each treatment group, the numbers of third- and fourth-order branches might be small or unequal among groups.

At 30 nm, the effects of CRH on spine density were not significant ($F_{(1,90)} = 2.31, p = 0.135$). Peptide concentrations of 60 nm provoked reduction of spine density ($F_{(1,90)} = 6.86, p = 0.012$), as did 100 nM ($F_{(1,90)} = 4.14, p = 0.047$; Chen et al., 2013). CRH-induced loss of PSD95-ir puncta occurred in a time-dependent manner ($F_{(3,80)} = 17.66, p < 0.001$; Fig. 1G). The significant reduction of synapses by 30–60 min was in line with the actions of the peptide in hippocampal slices (Chen et al., 2008).

The postsynaptic density, labeled with anti-PSD95, represents an intact synaptic structure at the head of dendritic spines. However, it remains unclear if the correlation of PSD95 puncta and
the integrity of dendritic spines is absolute. Therefore, we examined directly the effects of CRH on spine density using an independent visualization of dendritic spines expressing a fluorescent protein (Fig. 1H–K). Neurons (13 DIV) were infected with a lentivirus to induce expression of GFP, rendering the whole neuron, including dendritic spines, visible. Four to six days later (17–19 DIV), a subset of cultures was exposed to 100 nM CRH for 60 min. Initial experiments with the GFP-filled neurons used the same 30 min exposure duration that reduced the density of PSD95-immunoreactive puncta. However, there was only a modest reduction in the density of GFP-filled dendritic spines after this exposure duration (data not shown), suggesting that loss of visible PSD95 aggregates might precede spine breakdown. For this reason, the majority of experiments used both methods and consisted of a 60 min exposure to 100 nM CRH. Using GFP-infected neurons, spines, defined as dendritic protrusions with a clear head and distinct neck, were quantified (Fig. 1I, J). Similar to the results found using PSD95 immunolabeling, the number of dendritic spines decreased with increased distance from the soma (F(4,48) = 17.37, p < 0.0001; Fig. 1K). CRH significantly reduced dendritic spine density (F(1,48) = 7.55, p < 0.018), and post hoc comparisons showed that CRH significantly reduced dendritic spine density at 60–100 μm from the cell body (p < 0.05; Fig. 1K). Spine density was approximately half of that observed using the stress neuropeptide CRH causes a loss of PSD95-ir puncta and dendritic spines in cultured rat hippocampal neurons. Exposure to 100 nM CRH leads to a significant reduction in PSD95-ir puncta, an indication of dendritic spine loss. A, B, Control neuron and (B) neuron exposed to 100 nM CRH at 36°C for 30 min and processed for ICC for PSD95 (green) and for F-actin (red). C, Confocal images were used to quantify PSD95-ir puncta and dendritic spines from GFP-expressing neurons. Neurons used for quantification were clearly demarcated and devoid of dendritic crossings from other neurons that could confound counts. D, Example of a confocal image processed for quantification with 20 μm segments measured out from the soma. E, Exposure to CRH reduced the density of PSD95-ir puncta along dendritic branches (F(1,66) = 3.81, p = 0.006), and this effect became more apparent in third-order (p = 0.004) and fourth-order (p < 0.001) branches (n = 12). Similar results were obtained by quantifying PSD95 by distance from the soma. F, Graph quantifying PSD95-ir puncta per 20 μm segment in cultures incubated in the presence or absence of CRH (F(1,88) = 7.09, p = 0.014; n = 12). G, CRH reduced PSD95 puncta in a time-dependent manner (F(3,80) = 17.66, p < 0.001; n = 6). H, Lentiviral infection of neurons did not change the size or shape of the soma, and enabled direct visualization of spines. I, J, An example of a control GFP-filled dendrite and (J) a dendrite after exposure to 100 nM CRH at 36°C for 60 min. K, Graph quantifying GFP-filled spines per 20 μm segment with and without exposure to CRH (F(1,48) = 7.55, p = 0.017; n = 12). The values for spine density were approximately half of those found using PSD95-ir puncta because the GFP analysis is limited to spines perpendicular to the dendrite. Scale bars: A–D, H, 20 μm; I, J, 5 μm.
PSD95-ir puncta because analysis was limited to spines perpendicular to the dendrite in GFP-expressing neurons. Together, these data, using two independent and systematic quantification measures of dendritic spines, indicated that the effects of CRH on spine density in cultured neurons recapitulate the results observed following short stress in vivo, and following CRH application to the intact hippocampal slice.

CRH-induced spine loss requires activation of CRHR1
Of the two types of CRH receptors, rat hippocampal pyramidal neurons express primarily CRHR1 (Eghbal-Ahmadi et al., 1998; Sánchez et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2000; Van Pett et al., 2000; Lim et al., 2005; Refojo et al., 2011). Colocalization and electron microscopy studies have shown that CRHR1 immunoreactivity is present throughout the neuron, including dendritic spines and within the postsynaptic density (Chen et al., 2004). Here, we examined specifically the localization of surface CRHR1, because cell-membrane located G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are those activated by their respective ligands. We conducted ICC under conditions where the cell membrane was either permeabilized or not (Fig. 2A), a method enabling distinction between surface CRHR1 and internal pools of the receptor. In line with

Figure 2. CRH-induced spine loss involves CRHR1. Cultured hippocampal neurons express CRHR1. Nonpermeable and permeabilized ICC conditions discriminate surface versus internal pools of CRHR1. A, Surface CRHR1 (green) was immunolabeled under nonpermeable conditions. After permeabilization, the neuron was processed for ICC for internal CRHR1 (red). The dashed lines approximate the contour of the dendrite. Green arrows point to surface CRHR1, the majority of which are located away from the dendrite and likely on dendritic spines. Red arrows point to external CRHR1 away from the dendritic shaft that colocalize with internal pools of CRHR1. These colocalized puncta are likely spines carrying the external receptor within the postsynaptic density as well as internal pools of CRHR1. B, Graph depicting that the CRHR1-selective antagonist (NBI30775; 100 nM) prevents CRH-induced loss of PSD95 puncta (p < 0.05; n = 12; C, D, CRH-induced spine loss requires neuronal activity. In the presence of TTX, CRH no longer reduces PSD95 puncta (F(3,368) = 20.31, p < 0.001; n = 12; C) or GFP-filled spines (F(3,176) = 6.29, p = 0.001; n = 12; D). Scale bar: A, C, D, 5 μm.
previous work, CRHR1 immunoreactivity was apparent in the soma and dendritic shafts of permeabilized hippocampal neurons (red), consistent with intracellular synthesis and trafficking. Interestingly, the majority of surface CRHR1 (green) was found on apparent dendritic spines, away from the shaft, and likely within the synaptic components on spine heads. These data are consistent with a role for CRHR1 activation in CRH-induced spine loss.

To test directly whether activation of CRHR1 was required for CRH-induced spine loss, neurons were treated with CRH in the presence of the CRHR1-specific blocker, NBI30775. We chose short incubation periods and modest blocker doses, because cultured hippocampal neurons contain populations of interneurons that release CRH, and long incubation of organotypic slice cultures in the presence of CRHR1 blockers increased the numbers of dendritic spines (and eventually dendritic branching), likely by interfering with the endogenous peptide (Chen et al., 2004). Previous studies identified 60 nM CRH as the lowest effective dose to cause a significant loss of PSD95-ir puncta (Chen et al., 2013), and we used this dose here for 30 min in the presence or absence of 100 nM NBI30775 (Fig. 2B). Under these conditions, dendritic spine density in the presence of NBI30775 did not differ significantly from that in controls (p > 0.05). CRH significantly altered dendritic spine density compared with the untreated control groups (F(3,128) = 17.90, p < 0.001), and post hoc comparisons revealed that CRH reduced PSD95-ir elements compared with controls at all distance points along the dendrites (p < 0.05). The CRHR1 blocker restored numbers of PSD95-ir puncta to control values (all distance points p > 0.05). These data indicate that activation of the GPCR, CRHR1, contributes to the rapid CRH-induced destabilization and loss of dendritic spines.

**CRH-induced loss of dendritic spines requires neuronal activity**

How might CRH-CRHR1 signaling lead to loss of dendritic spines? Considering the rapid action of this neuromodulator and the location of the CRHR1 within the PSD, we reasoned that canonical mechanisms that influence spine integrity and size (Tada and Sheng, 2006; Chen et al., 2007; Anggono and Huganir, 2012) might be exploited by CRH. Because afferent stimulation of neurons is a robust signal that leads to rapid functional and structural changes in synapses and spines, we used TTX to examine if such stimulation was required for CRH-induced spines and synapse loss. In the presence of TTX, CRH no longer reduced the density of PSD95-ir puncta along neuronal dendrites (F(3,368) = 20.31, p < 0.001; Fig. 2C). TTX also protected GFP-filled dendritic spines from CRH-induced spine loss (F(3,176) = 6.29, p = 0.001; Fig. 2D). These findings indicate that neurotransmission is required for CRH-induced spine loss *in vitro*, and suggest that during stress, concurrent neurotransmitter and CRH release functions to rapidly destroy excitatory synapses.

**Potential role of ionotropic glutamate receptors in CRH-induced loss of dendritic spines**

In view of the requirement for axon-potential firing for CRH-induced loss of dendritic spines, we next studied if activation of glutamate receptors, molecules that contribute crucially to activity-dependent dendritic spine dynamics, was required for the underlying mechanisms. We first examined the colocalization of CRHR1 with AMPA- and NMDA-type glutamate receptors. Using triple-labeled ICC, a subset of GFP-expressing dendritic spines coexpressed the GluR1 subunit of AMPA receptors and CRHR1 (Fig. 3A). Similarly, CRHR1 and the NR2A subunit of the NMDA-type glutamate receptor co-resided on dendritic spines (Fig. 3B). Quantification of the triple-labeled ICCs revealed that 46.7% of spines were positive for GluR1, 59% of spines were positive for NR2A, and 39.5% of spines contained CRHR1 receptors. Of dual-labeled spines sampled (n = 446), 31.9% contained both GluR1 and CRHR1 receptors. When dual-labeled CRHR1/NR2A spines were evaluated (n = 369), 35% contained both NR2A and CRHR1. More spines expressed NR2A compared with GluR1, consistent with the typical location of the latter selectively on large, mushroom-type spine heads. This observation is consistent with several electron microscopic studies showing that not all synapses have GluR1/AMPA receptors (Takumi et al., 1999; Nusser, 2000; Petralia et al., 2005) and GluR1/AMPA receptor content increases as the synapse or spine increases in size. We visualized the mature neuronal NR2A subunit (Williams et al., 1993; Tovar and Westbrook, 1999) rather than NR1, the obligate subunit of the receptor, because of technical difficulties with several NR1 antisera, and in view of literature supporting that NR2A and NR1 have similar ICC patterns (Petralia et al., 1994). Together, these data suggest that the CRH receptor and ionotropic glutamate receptors reside in close physical proximity, providing support for the notion that they might interact to mediate CRH-induced spines loss.
Exposure to CRH selectively eliminates GluR1-lacking dendritic spines

To probe the interaction between CRH and ionotropic glutamate receptors, we tested if dendritic spines impacted by CRH-CRHR1 signaling contained specific types of ionotropic glutamate receptors. ICC analyses of surface AMPA receptor subunit GluR1 (sGluR1) together with PSD95 (Fig. 4A), indicated that the total number of PSD95-ir puncta was significantly reduced after exposure to CRH (\(F_{(1,88)} = 20.11, p = 0.0002\); Fig. 4A, B, solid lines). Remarkably, the number of PSD95-ir puncta that also expressed sGluR1 (PSD95-sGluR1; dotted lines; \(F_{(1,88)} = 1.43, p = 0.244; n = 12\)) compared with total, PSD95-ir puncta (solid lines). These differed among groups (\(F_{(1,88)} = 20.11, p = 0.0002; n = 12\): CRH led to a marked reduction in total PSD95-ir puncta, but did not influence significantly the density of dual-labeled puncta. Upon exposure to CRH, the ratio of sGluR1-positive PSD95-ir puncta over the total PSD95-ir puncta was increased throughout the dendrite (\(F_{(1,88)} = 35.27, p < 0.0001; n = 12\), supporting the preferential loss of sGluR1-lacking dendritic spines. Scale bars: A, 5 \(\mu m\).

Figure 4. CRH selectively eliminates GluR1-lacking dendritic spines. A, Neurons were exposed to CRH or a control medium for 30 min. ICC for surface GluR1 was performed under nonpermeabilized conditions (see Materials and Methods). In the control condition, \(\sim 40\%\) of PSD95-ir puncta (red) colocalized with sGluR1 (green). CRH reduced the number of PSD95-ir puncta, and of those remaining, the majority colocalized with surface GluR1. These findings indicate that GluR1-negative spines are more vulnerable to CRH. B, Graph showing the effects of CRH on sGluR1-positive PSD95-ir puncta (dotted lines; \(F_{(1,88)} = 1.43, p = 0.244; n = 12\)) compared with total, PSD95-ir puncta (solid lines). These differed among groups (\(F_{(1,88)} = 20.11, p = 0.0002; n = 12\): CRH led to a marked reduction in total PSD95-ir puncta, but did not influence significantly the density of dual-labeled puncta. C, Upon exposure to CRH, the ratio of sGluR1-positive PSD95-ir puncta over the total PSD95-ir puncta was increased throughout the dendrite (\(F_{(1,88)} = 35.27, p < 0.0001; n = 12\), supporting the preferential loss of sGluR1-lacking dendritic spines. Scale bars: A, 5 \(\mu m\).

Exposure to CRH selectively eliminates GluR1-lacking dendritic spines

To probe the interaction between CRH and ionotropic glutamate receptors, we tested if dendritic spines impacted by CRH-CRHR1 signaling contained specific types of ionotropic glutamate receptors. ICC analyses of surface AMPA receptor subunit GluR1 (sGluR1) together with PSD95 (Fig. 4A), indicated that the total number of PSD95-ir puncta was significantly reduced after exposure to CRH (\(F_{(1,88)} = 20.11, p = 0.0002\); Fig. 4A, B, solid lines). Remarkably, the number of PSD95-ir puncta that also expressed sGluR1 (PSD95-sGluR1; dotted lines) was not significantly affected by CRH (\(F_{(1,88)} = 1.43, p = 0.244\)). This observation can be also expressed as a ratio of double-labeled, GluR1-positive PSD95-ir puncta (sGluR1-PSD95) over the total number of PSD95-ir elements (Fig. 4C). On dendritic segments 40–100 \(\mu m\) from the soma, \(\sim 40\%\) of the PSD95 colocalized with sGluR1. In CRH-exposed neurons, the number of PSD95-ir puncta decreased, and 50–60% of the remaining spines expressed sGluR1 (\(F_{(1,88)} = 35.27, p < 0.001\)) as compared with controls. Together, these data indicate that GluR1-lacking synapses and spines are more vulnerable to CRH compared with those containing GluR1.

The emergence of subpopulation-specific spine vulnerability to CRH is intriguing: large, mushroom-type dendritic spines typically harbor AMPA receptors, in particular sGluR1-containing AMPA receptors. In contrast, thin spines contain very few AMPA receptors, and little to no sGluR1. Our previous work suggested that thin spines are preferentially lost in intact hippocampus exposed to CRH, in accord with the current data. These findings are important, because thin spines are thought to carry the population of potentiation-ready excitatory synapses (Bourne and Harris, 2007). Hence, preferential loss of sGluR1-lacking spines may result in a paucity of synapses amenable to potentiation, with effects on learning and memory that are disproportionate to the total number of spines lost.
Ionotropic glutamate receptor activation is required for CRH-induced spine loss

The differential effects of CRH on dendritic spines based on their glutamate receptor complement suggested that activation of ionotropic glutamate receptors might contribute to CRH-induced spine destabilization. To test this idea, neurons were exposed to CRH in the presence or absence of selective blockers of the NMDA- and AMPA-type glutamate receptors: APV and CNQX, respectively (Fig. 5A, B), and analyzed using the two independent measures of GFP-visible spines and PSD95-ir puncta. CRH reduced the number of PSD95 puncta as compared with controls (treatment, $F_{(2,180)} = 64.22$, $p < 0.0001$), and the combined use of glutamate receptor antagonists restored numbers of PSD95-ir elements to control levels (at all distance points $p < 0.001$). Densities of GFP-labeled dendritic spines were not different between the control and APV/CNQX treatment groups ($F_{(1,96)} = 0.12$, $p = 0.728$). Similar to the PSD95 analyses, there was a significant effect of exposure to CRH ($F_{(2,196)} = 25.46$, $p < 0.0001$) and post hoc analyses showed that the combined treatment with ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists and CRH was significantly different from CRH exposure alone (all distance points $p < 0.01$).

CRH-induced spine loss requires activation of NMDA receptors

To distinguish whether the mechanism of CRH-induced spine loss required AMPA or NMDA receptor activation (or both); we blocked the receptors individually and tested the effects of exposure to nanomolar levels of CRH (Fig. 5C–E). In the studies quantifying PSD95 puncta, neurons incubated with APV or CRH were indistinguishable from controls ($F_{(2,180)} = 62.78$, $p < 0.0001$; interaction, $F_{(8,180)} = 2.36$, $p = 0.019$), but did not protect from the effects of CRH on GFP-filled spines ($F_{(2,240)} = 43.05$, $p < 0.0001$; interaction, $F_{(8,240)} = 34.65$, $p < 0.0001$; $n = 12$). Scale bars: A, C, 5 µm.
and differed significantly from those treated with CRH alone (at all distance points \( p < 0.001 \)). These results were confirmed using GFP-expressing neurons: neurons exposed to APV + CRH had similar numbers of spines as did controls (at all distance points, \( p > 0.05 \)). In addition, spine counts from the APV + CRH treatment group were significantly different from those of neurons treated with CRH alone \((F_{2,240} = 34.65, p < 0.0001); \) at all distance points \( p < 0.01 \). These results suggest that the CRH-mediated spine loss involves NMDA receptor activation.

Indeed, studies blocking AMPA receptors selectively with CNQX and assessing PSD95 puncta density confirmed the predominant role of NMDA receptors. There was a significant effect of exposure to CRH \(( \text{Fig. 5D, } F_{2,180} = 38.79, \ p < 0.0001); \) post hoc analysis: reduction of PSD95-ir puncta at all distance points \( p < 0.001 \), and no protective effect of concurrent treatment with CNQX (significant difference of PSD95-ir puncta in CRH-CNQX vs controls at all distance points). Effects of CNQX + CRH exposure were significantly different from those of CRH treatment alone \( (p > 0.05 \) at all distance points), suggesting a modest effect of blocking AMPA receptors. The use of GFP-expressing dendritic spines yielded largely analogous results: CRH reduced the number of dendritic spines \((F_{2,240} = 43.05, \ p < 0.0001) \) and CNQX did not block this spine loss. Here, spine counts did not differ between neurons exposed to CNQX + CRH and cells treated with CRH alone. Together, these data indicate that NMDA rather than AMPA receptor activation is largely responsible for CRH-provoked dendritic spine loss. The partial rescue of PSD95-ir puncta compared with the lack of effect of CNQX on dendritic spines assessed structurally was intrigu-

Figure 6. Exposure to CRH increases NMDA receptor-dependent calpain activity. A. Cultured hippocampal neurons express calpain throughout the soma and dendrites. The punctate appearance of calpain-1 is consistent with its presence in dendritic spines. B. To distinguish CRH-induced calpain activation from constitutively active calpain, all of the groups were exposed to 500 nM calpain inhibitor III for 3 h before the onset of the experiment. The amount of SBDP (140 kDa) increased with exposure to CRH (pink column). The increase in the SBDP was abolished by calpain inhibitor III (purple and green columns). C. Graph showing optical density analysis of the ratio of SBDP/full-length spectrin for each treatment group \((F_{4,13} = 5.592, \ p = 0.001) \) and of the ratio of the SBDP/actin loading control \( (F_{4,13} = 9.356, \ p = 0.001); \) results were from two to four experiments. D. Representative gel, showing that the NMDA receptor blocker, APV, prevented CRH-induced increase in calpain activation. E. Quantitative graph derived from two experiments. Optical densities of SBDP/full-length spectrin and of SBDP/actin loading control were increased by CRH, and this effect was blocked by APV. Scale bar, A, 7 \( \mu \text{m}. \)
ing, and might be attributed to the previously observed incomplete correlation of PSD95-ir puncta and structural spines (Woods et al., 2011). These complex relationships support the need for several independent methods for assessing dendritic spine density.

A potential explanation for the failure of CNQX to protect from the effects of CRH might derive from pharmacological properties unique to this compound (Munetz et al., 2007; Milstein and Nicoll, 2008). To test this possibility, we used structurally distinct blockers for both AMPA and NMDA receptors. Neurons expressing GFP were exposed to 100 nM CRH in the presence or absence of the non compétitive NMDA antagonist MK-801. As found for APV, CRH reduced dendritic spine density compared with controls (all distance points p < 0.01), and MK-801 restored spine numbers to control levels at all distance points (treatment, \( F_{(2,44)} = 23.52, p < 0.0001 \); interaction, \( F_{(8,84)} = 1.51, p = 0.166 \)). When a second competitive antagonist of the AMPA-type glutamate receptor, NBQX, was used in the same manner, CRH still reduced dendritic spine density and NBQX failed to protect from this effect (treatment, \( F_{(2,44)} = 27.27, p < 0.001 \); interaction, \( F_{(8,84)} = 1.13, p = 0.349 \)). Together, these data suggest that CRH-mediated spine loss requires the activation of NMDA receptor-mediated signaling pathways.

The NMDA receptor-activated, calcium-dependent enzyme, calpain, contributes to CRH-induced spine loss

What mechanisms downstream from NMDA receptor activation mediate CRH-induced spine loss? Spine disintegration involves the breakdown of the spine’s actin cytoskeleton (Halpain, 2000; Hering and Sheng, 2001; Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2001; Chen et al., 2007; Holtmaat and Svoboda, 2009; Kramár et al., 2009; Kasai et al., 2010; Penzes et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013). NMDA receptor stimulation and the subsequent influx of calcium activate the enzyme calpain (Vanderklish et al., 2000), which is expressed within dendritic spines (Perlmuter et al., 1990). Calpain substrates include spectrin (also known as fodrin in the brain), and homologous proteins (e.g., actinin), which cross-link and stabilize actin filaments. Spectrin cleavage disrupts the spine cytoskeleton as well as the organization of the postsynaptic density (Dosemecci and Reese, 1995). Previous work has shown that calpain activation in hippocampal neurons depends on NMDA receptor activation (Adamec et al., 1998) and that antagonists to NMDA receptors prevent the activation of calpain (del Cerro et al., 1994).

Cultured rat hippocampal neurons expressed calpain throughout the soma and dendrites (Fig. 6A). To test the role of calpain in CRH-induced loss of dendritic spines, we initially tested if CRH-CRH1 signaling activated this enzyme. To distinguish CRH-induced SBDP from constitutively active calpain, neurons were incubated with 500 nM calpain inhibitor III for 3 h before the onset of the experiment. Following an exposure to CRH (100 nM for 1 h), Western blot analysis revealed the presence of calpain-cleaved SBDP (Fig. 6B). Whereas full-length \( \alpha \)-spectrin (MW 240 kDa) and the principal breakdown product (MW 140 kDa) were detected in both control and CRH-exposed cultures, the ratio of the breakdown product to intact spectrin was significantly increased in cultures exposed to CRH. This breakdown product was largely eliminated by treating the cultures with 100 nM calpain inhibitor III (Fig. 6B,C), and this was apparent both as the ratio of SBDP to full-length \( \alpha \)-spectrin \( (\bar{F}_{(1,11)} = 5.392, p = 0.008) \) and as the ratio of the SBDP to its actin loading control \( (\bar{F}_{(1,13)} = 9.356, p = 0.001) \). CRH significantly increased the ratio of SBDP to intact spectrin (post hoc Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison test, \( p < 0.05 \)). These data indicate that CRH increases the activation of endogenous hippocampal calpain. CRH-induced calpain activation required NMDA receptor function, because it was abrogated by the NMDA receptor blocker APV (Fig. 6D,E): CRH increased the ratio of SBDP to full-length \( \alpha \)-spectrin \( (\bar{F}_{(3,1,12)} = 7.758, p = 0.004) \), and increased the ratio of SBDP to its actin loading control \( (\bar{F}_{(3,1,12)} = 15.28, p = 0.001) \). This effect was prevented by adding APV to the medium, whereas APV alone had little effect.

We then examined if calpain activation was required for CRH-induced dendritic spine loss by exposing neurons to CRH in the absence or presence of 100 nM calpain inhibitor. Quantification of PSD95-ir puncta showed that CRH significantly reduced their numbers (Fig. 7A–C; \( \bar{F}_{(0,264)} = 37.21, p < 0.0001 \); post hoc analysis, CRH vs control, \( p < 0.001 \) at all distances). Inhibition of calpain fully prevented this CRH-induced loss (post hoc analysis at all distance points, \( p > 0.05 \)). Visualization of GFP-expressing spines confirmed these results: CRH reduced spine density, and blocking calpain activity during CRH exposure prevented CRH-induced reduction of spine density \( (\bar{F}_{(0,264)} = 65.56, p < 0.0001) \); post hoc analysis at all distance points \( p > 0.05 \).

Together, these results indicate that the mechanism through which CRH disrupts dendritic spines involves NMDA-mediated activation of calpain, resulting in cleavage of actin-associated proteins and destabilization of the spine’s actin cytoskeleton.

Discussion

This series of experiments demonstrates how fundamental mechanisms of dendritic spine dynamics are co-opted by a stress hormone to enable rapid loss of hippocampal dendritic spines. Because this CRH-mediated loss of dendritic spines results in loss of excitatory synapses and contributes to stress-provoked memory defects, it might represent an adaptive mechanism to reduce pathological memories associated with short, yet severe, stress.

In essence we found that 60–100 nM CRH, concentrations expected during severe stress (Khan et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2012), reduced dendritic spine density. The levels of CRH bathing hippocampal synapses during stress are difficult to estimate. Microdialysis studies in the amygdala and hypothalamus have reported that stress-induced increases in CRH occur within 20 min, and are in the 100–200 nM range (Richter et al., 1995; Cook, 2004; Merali et al., 2004; Maras and Baram, 2012). Microdialysis studies in the hippocampus are not available, so basal and stress levels of CRH in this area of the brain remain speculative. Indirect observations suggest that severe stress and increased network activity (e.g., seizures) may lead to peptide levels as high as 200 nM (Khan et al., 2004; Tringali et al., 2009). The loss of spines by the presumed-stress levels of CRH required the binding of the peptide to the CRHR1 receptor located at the surface of dendritic spine heads in the presence of neuronal activity, followed by the selective activation of NMDA-type glutamate receptors. Downstream of NMDA receptors, the calcium-dependent enzyme calpain contributed crucially to degradation of the spine actin-skeleton, resulting in destabilization and loss of GluR1-lacking (typically thin) dendritic spines.

Dendritic spines, memory processes, and stress

Dendritic spines are specialized structures that are crucial for synaptic function and plasticity (Augustine et al., 2003; Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2004). Changes in the number and shape of spines may be critical components of mechanisms of synaptic plasticity (Zhou et al., 2004; Segal, 2005; Chen et al., 2007), and are regulated by factors including neurotransmitters, growth factors, and
Figure 7. The calpain inhibitor prevents dendritic spine loss induced by CRH. A, The 100 nM calpain inhibitor prevented CRH-induced reduction of PSD95-ir puncta. B, The calpain inhibitor abolished the loss of GFP-filled spines. C, Graph showing quantification of PSD95-ir puncta ($F_{(5,264)} = 37.21, p < 0.0001; n = 12$) and GFP-filled spines ($F_{(5,264)} = 65.56, p < 0.0001; n = 12$). Scale bars: A, B, 5 μm.
hormones that, in turn, are governed by environmental signals including stress (Calabrese and Halpain, 2005; Segal, 2005). Both the formation (Engert and Bonhoeffer, 1999; Maletic-Savatic et al., 1999) and retraction (Fu et al., 2007; Biou et al., 2008) of spines ("spine dynamics") are regulated, and are considered crucial elements of synaptic plasticity in both developing and mature neurons (Nägerl et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004). Thus, a rearrangement of spine dynamics that favors loss of spines is a plausible candidate mechanism for stress-induced synaptic dysfunction and memory problems. Whereas earlier work regarding the effects of stress on dendritic spines and dendrites themselves focused on chronic stress, i.e., the time frame of days and weeks (Luine et al., 1994; Margaritinos and McEwen, 1995; Conrad et al., 1996; Krugers et al., 1997; Pavlides et al., 2002; Wilson et al., 2007), more recently, hours-long stress has been found to influence dendritic spine integrity in adult hippocampus (de Quervain et al., 1998; Conrad et al., 1999; Pawlak et al., 2003; Diamond et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2010, 2013). Together, this body of work suggests that while acute stress (lasting seconds) enhances memory, hours-long stress (similar to chronic stress) might impair memory processes, at least in part via loss of dendritic spines and their excitatory synapses (Conrad et al., 1999; de Kloet et al., 2005; Joëls and Baram, 2009). Whereas a key role for glucocorticoids and their receptors has been established (Liston and Gan, 2011), the mechanisms for the relatively rapid effects of hours-long stress on hippocampus-dependent memory processes are not fully understood.

Glutamate receptors and the regulation of dendritic spine dynamics

Mechanisms of dendritic spine dynamics in the time frame of minutes to hours are often initiated by afferent stimulation of the neuron and involve glutamate receptors. The major forces that influence dendritic spine growth, shrinkage, and collapse take place through glutamate receptor activation. A large body of work has focused on the mechanisms involved (Halpain, 2000; Tada and Sheng, 2006; O’Donnell et al., 2011; Penzes et al., 2011). Afferent input to the postsynaptic density and the activation of glutamate receptors leads to a number of molecular and structural cascades. The recruitment of AMPA receptors containing GluR1 subunits into the postsynaptic density within spines is associated with spine enlargement and LTP (Shi et al., 1999; Bochm et al., 2006; Ehlers et al., 2007; Fedulov et al., 2007; Kopec et al., 2007), whereas internalization and trafficking of the receptors away from the postsynaptic density is a fundamental mechanism for the rapid spine remodeling during LTD (Barria and Malinow, 2002; Bredt and Nicoll, 2003; Brown et al., 2005; Biou et al., 2008). In view of the established and potent role of ionotropic glutamate receptors in dendritic spine dynamics, we queried if the rapid actions of CRH, leading to spine loss within minutes, involved co-option of these mechanisms of synaptic plasticity.

How might CRH receptor occupancy interact with glutamate receptor function?

The CRHR1 receptor is a member of the B subfamily of GPCRs (Perrin and Vale, 1999; Holmes et al., 2006). However, unlike the majority of GPCRs, which are extrasynaptic, surface CRHR1 localizes to the postsynaptic density, in close proximity to glutamate receptors (Chen et al., 2004; Fig. 3). The current studies demonstrate that NMDA receptor function was required for CRH-mediated spine loss. CRH-CRHR1 signaling might influence NMDA receptor function at several potential molecular steps. CRH1R occupancy might influence the activation of NMDA via phosphorylation (as found, for example, for interleukin-1β). Alternatively, CRH1R internalization (Holmes et al., 2006; Reyes et al., 2006, 2008) might influence the anchoring or trafficking of closely located NMDA receptors within the postsynaptic density. Here we found that CRH enhanced the NMDA-dependent (Adamec et al., 1998) activity of calpain, which was required for spine loss. However, it is feasible that other mechanisms exist, including, for example, augmentation or inhibition of NMDA receptor-mediated changes of actin-regulating Rho GTPases (Swinny and Valentino, 2006; Chen et al., 2013).

Indeed, dendritic spine integrity depends on the presence of a stable, F-actin “skeleton” (Dent et al., 2011; Penzes et al., 2011; Penzes and Rafalovich, 2012; Chen et al., 2013). Actin polymerization and disintegration (“dynamics”) are tightly regulated by a large number of proteins including scaffolding proteins and enzymes (Hotulainen and Hoogenraad, 2010; Moutin et al., 2012). Activation of specific glutamate receptors phosphorylates (activates) or dephosphorylates (deactivates) families of GTPases that influence actin polymerization directly or through additional molecular interactions (Rao and Craig, 2000; Hering and Sheng, 2001; Penzes et al., 2003; Ethell and Pasquale, 2005). The growing repertoire of actin-interacting proteins that govern the formation and stability of the polymerized F-actin include Rac1, RhoA, Cdc42, GEF-H1 (Lic), Kalirin-7, and others (Nakayama et al., 2007; Ehlers et al., 2007; Biou et al., 2008). In view of the established and potent role of ionotropic glutamate receptors in dendritic spine dynamics, we queried if the rapid actions of CRH, leading to spine loss within minutes, involved co-option of these mechanisms of synaptic plasticity.

**Figure 8.** Schematic of the proposed molecular signaling involved in CRH-induced dendritic spine loss. The CRH receptor, CRHR1, is located on dendritic spine heads, within the postsynaptic density and in close proximity to NMDA- and AMPA-type ionotropic glutamate receptors. When CRH (released during stress from hippocampal interneurons), binds CRHR1 in the presence of network activity, this triggers an NMDA receptor-dependent signaling cascade that culminates in spine loss. Specifically, the influx of calcium ions through NMDA receptors activates calpain. Calpain cleaves actin-associated scaffolding proteins, such as spectrin, leading to the breakdown of the spine cytoskeleton and spine loss. The presence of GluR1 may protect subsets of mature spines from the actions of CRH.
2000; Xie et al., 2007; Kang et al., 2009). We have previously found that RhoA was involved in CRH-induced spine loss in adult hippocampus. Notably, RhoA activation is also required for increases in spine F-actin associated with the induction of LTP (Rex et al., 2009). However, the degree, time frame, and balance of activated actin-regulating factors are important to their effect on spine integrity: modest activation of RhoA enabled F-actin branching and growth, whereas runaway CRH-induced activation provoked F-actin degradation. As discussed below, a similar principle (U-shaped curve) might obtain activation of calpain (Lynch and Seubert, 1989).

**NMDA receptor-mediated calpain activation is necessary for CRH-induced spine loss**

NMDA receptors play complex roles in spine dynamics and integrity during learning and memory processes (Shi et al., 1999; Barria and Malinow, 2002, Brown et al., 2005; Gao et al., 2011). NMDA receptor activation as a result of network activity, and the resulting calcium influx, recruit the enzyme calpain in dendritic spines (Perlmuter et al., 1990; Vanderklish et al., 2000). NMDA-mediated activation of calpain is necessary for LTP (Vanderklish et al., 1996), and calpain-dependent reorganization of actin is required for spine (and likely synapse) expansion. Presumably, this involves moderate, regulated activation of the enzyme, because significant breakdown of calpain substrates including spectrin disrupts spine actin-backbone (Dosemeci and Reese, 1995; Lu et al., 2000). Here, CRH-induced NMDA receptor-dependent calpain activation contributed to dendritic spine loss. This might result from a quantitatively higher (“runaway”) enzyme activity, from activation of calpain in atypical dendritic spine compartments, or in the context of distinct calcium signals produced by different types of stimulation and leading to distinct biochemical cascades.

In summary, the current studies probed the mechanisms by which CRH contributes to loss of hippocampal dendritic spines, an important basis of memory problems that arise after short severe stress (Fig. 8). We found that CRH-induced spine loss required action potentials, and the activation of NMDA receptors but not of AMPA receptors. CRH receptor occupancy together with the activation of NMDA receptors recruited the calcium-dependent enzyme, calpain, and the breakdown of the spine-actin interacting protein, spectrin. Pharmacological approaches demonstrated that calpain recruitment contributed critically to CRH-induced spine loss. Thus, the stress hormone CRH co-opts mechanisms that contribute to the plasticity and integrity of excitatory synapses, leading to selective loss of dendritic spines. Whereas other mechanisms for CRH effects on dendritic spines exist (Wang et al., 2013), and the effects of stress or CRH on inhibitory synapses have not yet been studied, this spine loss described here might function as an adaptive mechanism preventing the consequences of adverse memories associated with severe stress.
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