UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

Analysis of the Basidiomycete Coprinopsis cinerea Reveals Conservation of the Core Meiotic Expression Program over Half a Billion Years of Evolution

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8073m3vf

Journal PLOS Genetics, 6(9)

ISSN 1553-7390

Authors

Burns, Claire Stajich, Jason E Rechtsteiner, Andreas <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2010

DOI

10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

Analysis of the Basidiomycete *Coprinopsis cinerea* Reveals Conservation of the Core Meiotic Expression Program over Half a Billion Years of Evolution

Claire Burns¹, Jason E. Stajich², Andreas Rechtsteiner³, Lorna Casselton⁴, Sean E. Hanlon⁵, Sarah K. Wilke⁵, Oleksandr P. Savytskyy¹, Allen C. Gathman⁶, Walt W. Lilly⁶, Jason D. Lieb⁵, Miriam E. Zolan¹, Patricia J. Pukkila⁵*

 Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, United States of America, 2 Plant Pathology and Microbiology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, California, United States of America, 3 Department of Biological Sciences, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California, United States of America, 4 Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, 5 Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America, 6 Department of Biology, Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau, Missouri, United States of America

Abstract

Coprinopsis cinerea (also known as Coprinus cinereus) is a multicellular basidiomycete mushroom particularly suited to the study of meiosis due to its synchronous meiotic development and prolonged prophase. We examined the 15-hour meiotic transcriptional program of C. cinerea, encompassing time points prior to haploid nuclear fusion though tetrad formation, using a 70-mer oligonucleotide microarray. As with other organisms, a large proportion (\sim 20%) of genes are differentially regulated during this developmental process, with successive waves of transcription apparent in nine transcriptional clusters, including one enriched for meiotic functions. C. cinerea and the fungi Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe diverged ~500-900 million years ago, permitting a comparison of transcriptional programs across a broad evolutionary time scale. Previous studies of S. cerevisiae and S. pombe compared genes that were induced upon entry into meiosis; inclusion of C. cinerea data indicates that meiotic genes are more conserved in their patterns of induction across species than genes not known to be meiotic. In addition, we found that meiotic genes are significantly more conserved in their transcript profiles than genes not known to be meiotic, which indicates a remarkable conservation of the meiotic process across evolutionarily distant organisms. Overall, meiotic function genes are more conserved in both induction and transcript profile than genes not known to be meiotic. However, of 50 meiotic function genes that were coinduced in all three species, 41 transcript profiles were well-correlated in at least two of the three species, but only a single gene (rad50) exhibited coordinated induction and well-correlated transcript profiles in all three species, indicating that coinduction does not necessarily predict correlated expression or vice versa. Differences may reflect differences in meiotic mechanisms or new roles for paralogs. Similarities in induction, transcript profiles, or both, should contribute to gene discovery for orthologs without currently characterized meiotic roles.

Citation: Burns C, Stajich JE, Rechtsteiner A, Casselton L, Hanlon SE, et al. (2010) Analysis of the Basidiomycete *Coprinopsis cinerea* Reveals Conservation of the Core Meiotic Expression Program over Half a Billion Years of Evolution. PLoS Genet 6(9): e1001135. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135

Editor: Harmit S. Malik, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, United States of America

Received May 5, 2010; Accepted August 24, 2010; Published September 23, 2010

Copyright: © 2010 Burns et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health grant GM43930 (to MEZ), National Science Foundation grant EF 0412016 (to PJP), a postdoctoral fellowship from the Miller Institute for Basic Research (to JES), and in part by the Indiana METACyt Initiative of Indiana University, which is funded in part through a major grant from the Lilly Endowment, Inc.. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: pukkila@bio.unc.edu

Introduction

Meiosis is a specialized cell division process in which one round of DNA replication is followed by two divisions to produce haploid products. The basidiomycete mushroom *Coprinopsis cinerea* (also known as *Coprinus cinereus*) [1] is ideal for eukaryotic meiotic studies due to its short, well-defined life cycle and the highly synchronous development of both the mushroom and its meiotic tissues [2]. The mechanisms and molecular machinery associated with meiosis are well-conserved within eukaryotes, albeit with some modifications observed in widely studied systems, for example, the lack of synaptonemal complex (SC) in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (reviewed in [3,4]), Mre11-dependent double-strand break formation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (reviewed in [5]), and uncoupled recombination and SC formation in Caenorhabditis elegans and Drosophila melanogaster (reviewed in [3,4]). Meiosis in C. cinerea resembles that of most complex eukaryotes, with SC formation dependent on recombination, Mre11-independent double–strand break formation, and an average of one chiasma per chromosome arm [6–12].

The assembled 36.29 Mbp genome sequence of *C. cinerea* [6,13,14], has \sim 13,400 open reading frames computationally predicted based on available EST data, comparisons with other fungal gene sets, and *ab initio* methods [6]. The availability of these data, combined with the tractability of *C. cinerea*, presents an ideal opportunity for the development and use of genomic technologies

Author Summary

Meiosis is the part of the sexual reproduction process in which the number of chromosomes in an organism is halved. This occurs in most plants, animals, and fungi: and many of the proteins involved are the same in the different organisms that have been studied. We wanted to ask whether the genes involved in the meiotic process are turned on and off at the same stages of meiosis in organisms that separated a long time ago. To do this we looked at three fungal species, Saccharomyces cerevisiae (baker's yeast), Schizosaccharomyces pombe (a very distantly related fungus of the same phylum), and Coprinopsis cinerea (a mushroom-forming fungus of a different phylum), which had a common ancestor 500-900 million years ago (in comparison, rats and mice separated \sim 23 million years ago). We lined up meiotic stages and found that gene expression during the meiotic process was more conserved for meiotic genes than for non-meiotic genes, indicating ancient conservation of the meiotic process.

to investigate meiosis in this organism and compare meiotic transcription among eukaryotes.

The use of transcription profiles to infer gene function is particularly well-suited for the study of meiosis. While changes in transcription do not always correspond to functional effects, it was noted that timing of gene expression and protein function are often coincident during meiosis in *S. cerevisiae*, particularly for specialized processes such as recombination (reviewed in [15,16]). Expression of meiotic genes likely requires tight control to prevent deleterious effects in other tissues; indeed, aberrant expression of meiotic genes has been implicated in mammalian cancer [16].

Insights into meiosis and spore development were provided by meiotic time courses in *S. cerevisiae* [17,18] and *S. pombe* [19]. Meiosis and gametogenesis have also been profiled, by microarray and other methods, in several plant species (wheat, petunia, maize, rice) [20–23], silkworm [24], *D. melanogaster* [25], *C. elegans* [26,27], and mammalian testis [16,28–31]. These studies vary in their ability to distinguish meiotic stages due to the difficulties of dissecting purely meiotic tissues from larger structures such as anthers, and are hampered by the lack of synchrony, limiting the ability to sample defined meiotic stages. However, in common with the *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* studies [17–19], transcriptional waves were apparent in a number of organisms [20,28,30,31], with differential expression of genes essential for recombination, chromosome cohesion, and segregation noted in each species.

Comparative analysis of meiotic expression data from *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* showed a lack of conservation of meiotic regulatory machinery, but nevertheless allowed definition of a "core meiotic transcriptome" of 75 genes [15]. This "core" group contained a number of previously characterized meiotic genes, such as *dmc1*, *rec8*, and *hop2*. However, several key meiotic genes are surprisingly absent from the list of core genes, including *spo11*, which encodes a key meiotic protein that makes double-strand breaks, suggesting that this list is not comprehensive.

S. cerevisiae, S. pombe and C. cinerea are highly divergent. Ascomycetes and basidiomycetes diverged \sim 500–900 million years ago [32,33], with the divergence of S. cerevisiae and S. pombe occurring shortly afterwards. Stimulation of entry into meiosis in both S. cerevisiae and S. pombe is induced by nutritional restriction, in contrast to the largely light-mediated induction of fruit body development and meiosis in C. cinerea [34]. The inclusion of C. cinerea, a more evolutionarily distant fungus with complex multicellular structure and differing meiotic cues, allows us to further investigate the evolutionary conservation of meiosis.

In this study, 70bp oligonucleotides were designed against the whole predicted *C. cinerea* transcriptome and used to assess transcript-level changes across a broad-scale time course encompassing meiosis in *C. cinerea*. The resultant transcriptional data were compared with similar data sets in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* to ask whether genes induced upon entry into meiosis and the transcript abundance during meiosis are conserved among the three fungal species.

Results/Discussion

A large proportion of *C. cinerea* genes change in expression during meiosis

Oligonucleotide microarrays representing all the predicted C. cinerea genes were constructed and validated (see Materials and Methods), and used to investigate transcript level changes during meiosis. Gill tissue samples were taken from six time points spanning a 15-hour period. In C. cinerea dikaryons, haploid nuclei remain separate until just prior to meiosis, when they fuse (karyogamy). Gill tissue was collected at three hours before karyogamy (K-3; prior to meiotic DNA replication), at karyogamy (K), three hours after karyogamy (K+3; leptotene/ zygotene), six hours after karyogamy (K+6; pachytene), nine hours after karyogamy (K+9; metaphase I), and twelve hours after karyogamy (K+12; tetrads have formed; Figure 1). cDNA populations derived from these samples were comparatively hybridized to microarrays, with a mixed sample as reference. Data were collected from four biological replicates for each time point. After data filtering and statistical analysis (see Materials and Methods), 2,851 probes (representing 2,721 genes) were found to exhibit changing expression during the meiotic time course. This is \sim 20% of the 13,230 probes on the array, and corresponds with similar proportions of genes displaying differential expression in S. cerevisiae and S. pombe over similar time courses [17-19].

In several organisms, many meiotic genes are primarily expressed only in meiotic tissue (e.g. [26,29,35–38] and references therein). We identified genes expressed only in meiotic gill tissue in comparison with dikaryotic vegetative mycelia. In this comparison, 886 genes were expressed in meiotic gill tissue only, including genes with well-characterized roles in meiosis such as *hop1*, *spo11*, *rec8*, *mer3*, and *dmc1* (Table S1). Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis (using EASE within MeV [39,40]; Materials and Methods) was used to identify over-represented gene functions within this group, revealing, as expected, enrichment of genes with meiotic function (Table S2).

Clustering and gene ontology enrichment analysis of genes significantly changing during *C. cinerea* meiosis reveal transcriptional waves and distinct temporally regulated processes

Gene transcripts significantly changing during the *C. cinerea* meiotic time course were classified by clustering. Genes were K-means clustered using the Pearson correlation, which groups genes according to similarity in their temporal expression patterns, using a successive bifurcation strategy that removed user-choice from the resultant number of clusters (Materials and Methods, Figure S1). This strategy produced nine distinct gene transcript profiles (Figure 2), exhibiting successive "waves" of transcription, as reported for other organisms [16–31].

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the nine clusters was used to identify over-represented gene functions within each of



Figure 1. *C. cinerea* **nuclei during meiosis.** Adjacent basidia (meiotic cells) in gill tissue collected at 3-hour intervals from K-3 to K + 12 (A-F) and stained with DAPI. Two separate nuclei (!) are present prior to karyogamy (A), nuclei are fused or fusing (*) at karyogamy (B), chromosomes are condensing (<) at K+3 (C), are fully synapsed (arrow) at K+6 (D), and are undergoing the first meiotic division (**) at K+9 (E), and four nuclei are apparent (bracket) at K+12 (F). Fainter nuclei lie in a different focal plane. Note that the basidia become more widely spaced as meiosis progresses due to expansion of the underlying gill tissue. Scale = 2 μ m.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.g001

the nine *C. cinerea* clusters (Table S3). The nine clusters exhibit a clear difference in transcript profile between clusters 1–5 and 6–9, as indicated by the initial bifurcation (Figure 2). This is supported by the distinct classes of genes enriched in these clusters, which represents a broad switch from expression of genes required for the meiotic prophase I activities in early clusters, to expression of gill maturation and sporulation-related genes in later clusters (Table S3). This corresponds with a similarly dramatic transcriptional switch from "early" to "middle" gene expression, as observed in *S. cerevisiae* [17,18].

Pre-meiotic DNA replication in *C. cinerea* occurs just prior to nuclear fusion [41]. Many aspects of DNA replication are wellconserved [42], such as the origin-recognition complex (ORC) and MCM2–7 complex, and these genes are expressed primarily in early clusters 1–3 (Table S1). Clusters 1–3 are enriched in functional categories of genes involved in early meiotic processes (Table S3); DNA replication is reflected in categories such as nucleic acid binding. Cluster 2, which exhibits a more prolonged transcript presence than other clusters, is enriched for regulation and organization of the cytoskeleton. This is likely to be important for karyogamy, organization of the meiotic spindle, and segregation of chromosomes; these processes span the entire time course, explaining the prolonged requirement of these transcripts. RNA splicing functions are also enriched in cluster 2, which is notable because control of splicing has been implicated in meiotic regulation [43,44]. All the genes encoding components of the cohesin complex (*scc3*, *smc1*, *smc3* and the meiosis-associated factor *rec8*) are present in cluster 3. Cohesin holds sister chromatids together during meiosis, and primarily loads early, during replication. The gene encoding Spol1, which initiates recombination through its formation of double-strand breaks [45] is also in cluster 3. This suggests that cluster 3 may be a source of promising candidates for early-acting meiotic genes.

We noted a massive enrichment of genes involved in ribosome production, translation, protein catabolism, and ribosomal RNA processing in cluster 4. In S. cerevisiae, ribosomal protein genes are repressed on entering meiosis, with a subsequent increase in expression during sporulation, reflecting the starvation conditions required to induce meiosis in this organism [17]. In C. cinerea, transcript levels of ribosomal protein genes are relatively high until karvogamy, after which a gradual decline is observed, with no subsequent increase of transcription. Ribosomal degradation prior to meiosis and subsequent resynthesis during meiosis or sporulation have been previously noted in S. cerevisiae and Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (reviewed in [46]), and ribosomal turnover is implicated in regulation of cell growth and proliferation in Xenopus laevis and D. melanogaster (reviewed in [47]). Enhanced expression of ribosomal genes in C. cinerea at K-3 and K may be in preparation for meiosis and for the massive, rapid cellular expansion in the gills and fruit body over the timescale examined in this time course.

Cluster 5 genes exhibit intermediate transcript levels prior to karyogamy, with increased levels of expression during nuclear fusion and leptotene/zygotene, after which transcripts decrease rapidly. Cluster 5 is highly enriched for genes known to be involved in meiotic processes such as damaged-DNA binding, mismatch repair, and DNA modification (Table S3). Characterized genes in this cluster include those critical for key meiotic events such as strand exchange (*dmc1*, *rad5*, *rdh54*), axial element formation and synapsis (*hop1*), and crossover formation (*msh5*, *mlh1*). Several of the genes expressed in cluster 5 play key roles in meiosis in other organisms (as summarized in [37]), making this cluster a rich source for exploration of meiotic gene candidates.

Clusters 6–9 are enriched in genes required for spore formation. We observed a progressive shift from expression of biosynthetic genes, which may play a role in gill expansion due to carbohydrate acquisition and vacuolation (e.g. fatty acid synthesis in cluster 6, sugar and energy reserve synthesis in cluster 7) to those involved in formation of spore structure and spore packaging (e.g. cell wall biogenesis in cluster 8 and extracellular polysaccharide and carbohydrate transport in cluster 9) as well as preparation for spore germination (spore germination associated genes in cluster 8, and those involved in perception of external stimuli in cluster 9). A comparative analysis of spore formation, although potentially of great interest, is beyond the scope of this study.

Meiotic function genes are more conserved in their induction and expression patterns than genes not known to be meiotic

Previously, meiotic genes in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* were found to be more likely to be co-induced than a control set of genes with orthologs in *S. pombe* that were induced in one *S. cerevisiae* strain but not another [19]. We wished to ask if meiotic genes are also more likely to be co-induced than non-meiotic genes in comparisons among the two yeasts and *C. cinerea*.

To determine which genes are induced upon entry into meiosis in *C. cinerea*, we compared gene expression during vegetative dikaryotic growth to expression in meiotic gill tissue at K-3. We



Figure 2. *C. cinerea* **meiotic gene clusters.** *C. cinerea* genes that changed in expression during the time course were grouped into nine clusters, as illustrated by heatmaps and average expression profiles of each cluster. Expression ratios are log_2 transformed. Y-axis ratio scale markers = 0.2. Time (hours) relative to karyogamy are shown at the top of the heatmaps. Meiotic stages are indicated at the bottom of the graphs; D = dikaryon, K = karyogamy, L/Z = leptotene/zygotene, P = pachytene, MI = first meiotic division, MII = second meiotic division. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.g002

observed 886 genes to be expressed only in gill tissue, with a further 3,621 genes expressed in vegetative tissue but significantly induced upon entry into meiosis. To ask whether genes meiotically induced in *C. cinerea* are also induced upon meiotic entry in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*, we identified single copy, unambiguous, putative orthologs (henceforth referred to as "orthologs"; see

Materials and Methods) and compared their patterns of induction. Transcript level changes upon meiotic entry in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* were determined from previously published microarray data [17,19], and induction of the meiosis-associated gene *spo11* was used as a control indicator of the transition between non-meiotic and meiotic cells. Transcript level changes in *S. cerevisiae* and *S.* *pombe* were compared with one another and with the significant changes between vegetative tissue and K-3 in *C. cinerea.*

Orthologs and expression data were available in all three fungal species for 2,006 genes. Genes were assigned to "meiotic function" (MF) or "no known meiotic function" (NKMF) categories as defined by the Saccharomyces Genome Database [48] and the Gene Ontology [49] (Table S1). Of the 2,006 pertinent genes, significant induction on entry to meiosis was observed for 1.046 C. cinerea genes. In the yeasts, 829 S. cerevisiae genes and 869 S. pombe genes were induced. We considered the 829 genes induced in S. cerevisiae, as this is the maximum number of genes with the potential to be induced in all three species, and asked how many were induced upon entry into meiosis in all three species for the MF and NKMF classes. We observed that 50 of the 119 MF genes were induced in all three fungal species, while only 169 of the 710 NKMF genes were co-induced ($p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$, Fisher's Exact test). The names and putative functions of genes in the MF_co-induced, MF_not_coinduced, and NKMF_co-induced categories are listed in separate tabs in Table S1.

The 50 commonly induced MF gene set contains a number of genes known to be crucial for meiosis, such as all three genes of the Mre11 complex (*mre11*, rad50 and xrs2/nbs1), genes encoding strand invasion proteins (*dmc1* and rad51), and genes encoding meiosis-associated proteins (*spo11*, rec8, hop1 and dmc1). This suggests that coordinate induction of genes across multiple species may prove to be an indicator of meiotic function; the inclusion of *C. cinerea* as a comparator clarifies those genes that are likely evolutionarily conserved in their meiotic behaviour. Several of the genes that are coordinately induced in all three species but currently have no known meiotic function are involved in spindle formation, chromosome segregation or DNA-metabolic processes, and may yet prove to be important in meiosis (Table S1).

Comparison of genes coordinately induced on entry into meiosis is necessarily a binary approach, asking "on/off" questions that do not query the changes in transcript level through a time course. A complementary approach is to compare the temporal transcript profiles of genes during meiosis. Comparative studies of mammalian gametogenesis asked whether genes were conserved in their relative expression patterns in distinct pre-meiotic, meiotic, and post-meiotic tissues in rat and mouse (\sim 23 million years divergent [50]), and found that correlated genes were enriched for reproductive function [15,28,29]. The availability of time course data describing meiosis in three different fungal species affords us the opportunity to ask if conservation of transcript profile can also be observed within meiotic cells in these more diverged organisms. This may also highlight similarities and differences in meiotic process not observed by examining coordinate induction.

We examined the 2,721 genes with significantly changing transcript levels in *C. cinerea*, and found *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* orthologs and corresponding expression data for 743 genes [17,19]. Meiotic progression in the three fungi differs with respect to the overall time required for completion of meiosis, and the duration of certain stages within the meiotic program. Thus, in order to compare meiotic transcript profiles in the three species, we aligned expression data according to previously described meiotic landmarks and defined an eight-point time course (Figure 3). Data were unavailable for all three species at every stage defined; in these cases, expression data were interpolated by averaging the expression from flanking time points.

The 743 orthologs were again divided into MF genes (81) and NKMF genes (662). For each orthologous gene, the transcript profiles were compared for each of the three possible interspecies pair-wise combinations (i.e., Ccin vs. Scer, Ccin vs. Spom, Scer vs. Spom), and correlation coefficients (r) were generated. In all comparisons, more transcript profiles are well-correlated (r > 0.5)for MF genes than NKMF genes (Ccin/Spom, 44% vs. 28%; Ccin/ Scer, 54% vs. 30%; Spom/Scer, 32% vs. 29%), and the correlation value distributions (Figure 4) were significantly different when MF genes were compared with NKMF genes (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test: Ccin/Spom, W = 38049, p < 0.0001; Ccin/Scer, W = 37655, p < 0.0001; Scer/Spom, W = 34760, p < 0.0112). Thus, the transcript profiles of MF genes are more highly conserved than those of NKMF genes. Fifty-two genes of the NKMF class are well-correlated in all three pair-wise comparisons (and six of these genes are also coinduced in all three species); these subsets (Table S1) provide an interesting pool of candidates that may have additional, as yet uncharacterized, meiotic functions.

Given that MF genes are enriched both for coordinate induction on entry to meiosis and transcript profile correlation though meiosis, we noted some surprising differences in induction



Figure 3. Timing of meiotic events in *C. cinerea, S. pombe,* and *S. cerevisiae.* Time points used to examine meiotic transcription in *S. pombe* [19] and *S. cerevisiae* [17] were aligned with those used in *C. cinerea* according to observations from existing time courses [2,17–19,85–87]. Time points are shown as hours after switching to sporulation media (*S. pombe* and *S. cerevisiae*) or hours after karyogamy (nuclear fusion) in *C. cinerea*. Aligned time points are indicated with dashed lines. sHJs = single Holliday junctions, DSBs = double strand breaks, SEIs = single end intermediates, dHJs = double Holliday junctions, M I = first meiotic division, M II = second meiotic division.





Figure 4. Distribution of gene expression profile correlation coefficients. Distributions of pair-wise correlation coefficients for *C. cinerea vs. S. pombe* (red), *C. cinerea vs. S. cerevisiae* (yellow) and *S. pombe vs. S. cerevisiae* (blue) for genes with no known meiotic function (A) and for meiotic function genes (B). Note that the meiotic function genes (B) have relatively more pairwise expression profiles with positive correlation coefficients than genes with no known meiotic function (A). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.g004

and correlation in some meiotic genes, highlighting the complementary value of both these types of analysis. Of genes coordinately induced in the three species, several do not exhibit well-correlated transcript profiles. Of the 50 coordinately induced MF genes, only 41 are well correlated between at least 2 of the three species, with only a single gene (rad50) coordinately induced and well-correlated in all three species (Figure 5), indicating that transcript profile conservation reveals additional information about meiotic regulation; coordinate induction does not predict transcript profile correlation or vice versa. Meiotic genes may be expected to be all induced upon meiotic entry, but their subsequent expression behavior may be able to inform us about the different ways meiosis is achieved in different organisms.

Interestingly, despite their well-characterized meiotic roles, and although they are induced upon entry into meiosis in all three fungal species, the transcript profiles of spo11 and rec8, which encodes a meiosis-associated cohesin subunit [51,52], are wellcorrelated only between *C. cinerea* and *S. pombe*, with *S. cerevisiae* expression peaking late in meiosis, just before the first meiotic division, later than the timing of the corresponding protein activity (Figure 6). Other genes essential for meiosis, such as hop1 and dmc1, also exhibit a similar late transcript peak in *S. cerevisiae*. This unexpected lack of correlation may indicate additional or differing functions for some meiotic proteins; for example, Spo11 forms meiotic double-strand breaks independently of the Mre11 complex



Figure 5. rad50 expression is well-correlated in C. cinerea, S. pombe, and S. cerevisiae. Expression profiles of rad50 in C. cinerea (red ♦), S. cerevisiae (blue ●) and S. pombe (green ▲) are shown across eight time points for which biological stages are comparable. Symbols representing interpolated data points are smaller. Expression profile correlation coefficients (r) are shown for C. cinerea vs. S. pombe (c/p), C. cinerea vs. S. cerevisiae (c/s) and S. cerevisiae vs. S. pombe (s/p). C. cinerea vs. S. cerevisiae (c/s) and S. cerevisiae vs. S. pombe (s/p). C. cinerea vs. S. cerevisiae (c/s) and S. cerevisiae vs. S. pombe (s/p). C. cinerea meiotic stages are as follows: K, karyogamy; L/Z, leptotene/zygotene; P, pachytene; M I, just after first meiotic division; M II, just after second meiotic division. Corresponding meiotic stages for S. pombe and S. cerevisiae are shown in Figure 4. To allow visual comparison of profiles from different species, expression data are adjusted to show relative expression change across the time course for each species. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.g005

in *C. cinerea* and *S. pombe*, but in an Mrel1-dependent manner in *S. cerevisiae* [5,53,54]. Alternatively or additionally, post-transcriptional regulation might be more prevalent in core meiotic genes in *S. cerevisiae*. For example, alternative splicing of introns is disproportionately involved in meiotic gene regulation when compared to other biological processes in *S. cerevisiae* [43].

Other genes exhibit the correlated transcript profiles expected given the roles of their proteins. For example, the genes encoding three members of the cohesin complex, Smc1, Smc3, and Scc3, are all well-correlated between C. cinerea and S. cerevisiae, and somewhat correlated between S. cerevisiae and S. pombe (Figure 7). Single genes encode the cohesin Scc1 (S. cerevisiae)/Rad21 (S. pombe) in the yeasts, whereas C. cinerea has two homologous genes encoding this protein. For one of these, rad21.2, the transcript profile is well-correlated with those of its S. cerevisiae and S. pombe homologs. The Rad21.2 protein is found exclusively in meiotic tissue (Palmerini et al., in preparation). In contrast, rad21.1 displays a very different transcript profile (Figure 7). The Rad21.1 protein is the only mitotic kleisin in C. cinerea (Palmerini et al., in preparation) and its RNA abundance spike late in meiosis may reflect transcription in preparation for the first post-meiotic mitosis.

We also noted an unusual expression pattern of some MCM complex genes. This complex, composed of MCM2–7, is involved in replication, and thus one would expect these genes to be expressed early and coordinately. Most of the genes are indeed expressed in such a manner, with the exception of *S. pombe mcmG* and *S. cerevisiae mcm5*, which have very similar, late-peaking, expression profiles (Figure 8). *C. cinerea mcm2* also exhibited this late expression profile, but the change through meiosis was not statistically significant. In *Drosophila melanogaster, mcm5* mutants are defective in the resolution of meiotic double-strand breaks to crossovers [55] raising the possibility of a similar meiotic role for *mcm5* in *S. cerevisiae*. The similar expression patterns of *S. pombe*



Figure 6. Gene expression in *spo11* and *rec8* are well-correlated only between *C. cinerea* and *S. pombe*. Gene expression profiles of *spo11* (A) and *rec8* (B) are shown for *C. cinerea* (red \blacklozenge), *S. cerevisiae* (blue \bullet) and *S. pombe* (green \blacktriangle) as in Figure 5. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.g006

mcm6 and *C. cinerea mcm2* suggest this additional role may not be confined to a specific MCM subunit.

Previous studies have shown both conservation and apparent divergence in proteins required for meiosis [37,38,56–61]. Our work shows that, for proteins whose primary sequence is conserved enough for homology to be recognized, gene expression profiles throughout meiosis are significantly conserved. That this conservation occurs across >500 million years of evolution suggests that meiosis is more conserved than hitherto recognized. Based on our data and existing criteria, we propose an expanded inventory of genes involved in meiosis (Table S4).

We also predict that additional conservation of meiotic genes will be found as the algorithms for detecting homology become more sophisticated. This has practical implications, in that previously uncharacterized genes with meiotic roles could be identified both by similarity of expression profile to known meiotic genes within an organism (i.e., Figure 2) and by conservation of expression profile across organisms. For example, *ubc9*, which is induced upon entry into meiosis in the three fungi, has well correlated expression profiles in a comparison between *C. cinerea* and *S. pombe*, and a late peaking expression profile similar to that of *spo11* and *rec8* in *S. cerevisiae*. Ubc9 has no known meiotic role in the yeasts but is involved in sumoylation during meiosis in *C. cinerea* [62,63]. Genes with orthologs in the *C. cinerea* meiotic cluster 5 with no currently identified meiotic function (53) are also compelling candidates for study. Of these genes, four, including those encoding two transcription factors (Hirl and Tfb2) are coordinately induced in all three fungal species. An additional six genes are well-correlated in pair-wise comparisons in all three fungi. In addition, given the sequence divergence of many meiotic genes, such as those encoding synaptonemal complex components, a proportion of the genes in cluster 5 with no currently apparent orthologs are likely to have meiotic roles. This is illustrated by *bad42*, which has a critical meiotic role in *C. cinerea* meiosis but has no known orthologs [64].

It is logical that meiotic processes must be tightly controlled to avoid deleterious effects of renegade gene expression (e.g. [16]). The broad conservation of meiosis opens up interesting possibilities for the study of this process in different organisms. Thus, protein function can be inferred from studies in different species and the exploitation of the benefits of various study organisms, such as the elegant cytology and uncoupled recombination and SC formation in *C. elegans* and *D. melanogaster*; the tractability of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*; and the synchronous meiosis, facile screening, and prolonged prophase in *C. cinerea*. In addition, the striking differences in expression pattern between species for necessarily tightly controlled genes such as *spol1* indicate differences in meiotic gene regulation, highlighting the value of different types of analysis.

Materials and Methods

Design, production and validation of a *Coprinopsis cinerea* 70-mer oligonucleotide microarray

To produce a microarray for C. cinerea, 70-mer oligonucleotides were designed against the then-available $\sim 12,500$ predicted gene sequences using ArrayOligoSelector [65] in successive design rounds, initially using a secondary target binding energy cut-off of -25 kcal/mol and relaxing where necessary. Where possible, oligonucleotides were 3'-biased and filtered to maximize the chance of specific hybridization; using FastA [66] and BLASTn [67], oligos were discarded that had a secondary match with >85% similarity, or a secondary hit with >20 bp contiguous match [68]. Oligos that spanned more than 1 intron were also discarded. Oligonucleotides were also designed against repeat elements (without secondary match filtering), known C. cinerea genes, and a small subset of EST sequences that were not represented by gene predictions. In total, 13,230 probes were designed, with 1,061 of the predicted genes represented by more than one probe. A total of 12,104 probes representing 11,746 genes of the Jan06m300_GLEAN prediction set were designed, plus an additional 165 probes representing 162 aug_GLEAN predicted genes. Some predictions from the Jan06m300_GLEAN set do not have oligos, as a proportion were shorter than the 70bp oligo length, and many corresponded to repeated elements and were removed. Some ESTs were not represented by gene predictions, and 390 additional probes represent unique ESTs. A total of 467 probes were designed to distinct repeated elements. Also, 48 probes represent mating factor genes from different C. cinerea strains that are thus not present in the sequenced strain. The 56 remaining probes were designed against existing NCBI C. cinerea sequences, which often had small variations in comparison with the sequenced strain. These slightly mismatched oligos were therefore not mapped to gene predictions. Oligonucleotides were resuspended in 3×SSC at a final concentration of 20µM, and were printed onto amine-coated glass slides (Cel) by the Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics, Indiana University. Microarrays were UV-crosslinked at 450 mJ prior to hybridization. To assess probe performance, data from the genes represented on the array by more than one probe were compared and found to be well-



Figure 7. Gene expression profiles of cohesin subunits are well correlated between *C. cinerea* and *S. cerevisiae*, and between *C. cinerea* and *S. pombe*. Gene expression profiles of smc1 (A), smc3 (B) and scc3 (C) are shown for *C. cinerea* (red \blacklozenge), *S. cerevisiae* (blue \bullet) and *S. pombe* (green \blacktriangle) as in Figure 5. Panel D shows expression profiles of scc1/rad21 in *S. cerevisiae* (blue \bullet) and *S. pombe* (green \bigstar), as well as the two homologs in *C. cinerea*, rad21.1 (orange \blacksquare) and rad21.2 (red \blacklozenge). Correlation coefficients are as indicated for rad21.1 (*), and rad21.2 (**). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.g007

correlated, as determined by a Pearson product-moment test (correlation coefficient = 0.89); data from single probes are thus highly reliable (Figure S2).



Figure 8. MCM complex gene expression declines through meiosis, with key exceptions. Gene expression profiles of mcm7 in *C. cinerea* (gray \diamond), *S. cerevisiae* (gray \bigcirc) and *S. pombe* (gray \triangle), and *S. pombe* mcm6 (green \blacktriangle) and *S. cerevisiae* mcm5 (blue \bullet) are shown as in Figure 5.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.g008

Array results were validated using qPCR data for a number of genes, including genes of high and low expression, genes with steady expression throughout the time course, and genes of known function in *C. cinerea* (Figure S2, Table S5). Data were normalized against an average of all the data points for a given primer pair or probe in order to render array and qPCR data directly comparable. Array and qPCR data were determined to be well-correlated as determined by a Spearman rank order test, which gave a correlation coefficient of 0.84; this compares favorably with similar analyses of other microarray datasets [69]. Furthermore, array results for characterized *C. cinerea* genes correspond with published northern data [8,11,12,70–73]. Statistical analysis for comparison of array and qPCR data, and comparison of multiple probe gene data, were done using Minitab (http://www.minitab. com).

Fungal strains and culture conditions

C. cinerea wild-type monokaryon strains J6;5-5 and J6;5-4 [74] were incubated at 37°C on YMG media. These strains were mated, and the resulting dikaryon subcultured into fruiting tubes, grown at 37°C for 2 days, and then moved to 25°C under a regime of 8 hours dark, 16 hours light, as previously described [75]. Fruiting initials emerged after *ca.* 7 days and synchronous mushroom caps were harvested at three hours prior to karyogamy (K-3), karyogamy (K), and 3, 6, 9, and 12 hours post-karyogamy (K+3, K+6, K+9, K+12) and frozen with liquid nitrogen. For

vegetative tissue, fragmented dikaryotic or monokaryotic mycelia were used to inoculate static 20ml liquid YMG cultures. Static cultures were incubated at 37°C for 2 days, then used to inoculate 100ml YMG. These larger cultures were shaken at ~150 rpm at 37°C for 2 days, then harvested with a Buchner funnel and immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen.

RNA isolation and array hybridization

Mushroom gill tissue was excised from 7-10 fruiting bodies per time point and, after removal of veil tissue, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Dikaryotic and monokaryotic vegetative mycelia were harvested through a Buchner funnel. RNA was extracted from all the tissue collected using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA yield typically ranged from ca. 100µg-1mg, of which 20µg was used per array hybridization. First strand cDNA was synthesized and labeled with Alexa-fluor dyes using the Superscript Indirect cDNA Labeling System (Invitrogen) as described. For gill samples, twochannel hybridizations were performed, comparing a time point (test) sample with a reference mixture of time point samples, to maximize array resolution. Due to sample limitations, the reference consisted of cDNA from the latter 4 time points only. Four biological replicates of each time point were tested, incorporating dyeswaps. Vegetative dikaryotic and monokaryotic mycelia were directly compared in two-channel hybridizations. Microarray slides were blocked prior to hybridization with 5×SSC, 0.1%SDS, 0.1mg.ml⁻¹ BSA at 42°C for 45 min, after which slides were rinsed twice in room temperature 0.1×SSC for 5 min each, followed by a final 10s rinse in water. Slides were dried by centrifugation for 1min in a Labnet C1303 slide spinner. Arrays were placed in Corning hybridization chambers and mSeries Lifterslips (Erie Scientific) placed over the array grids. Labeled cDNAs were combined in 50µl with 30% deionized formamide (Ambion), $5 \times SSPE$, 0.2% SDS, $1 \mu g. \mu l^{-1}$ tRNA (Invitrogen), $40 n g. \mu l^{-1}$ oligo dA (Invitrogen). The hybridization mixture was heated at 100°C for 2min, cooled to 25°C, and applied to the microarray slide. Slides were hybridized for 16 hours at 42°C, then washed for 5 min each in 1×SSC, 0.03%SDS (37°C), then 0.2×SSC (ambient temperature), and finally 0.1×SSC (ambient temperature). Slides were dried by centrifugation, as previously, and scanned immediately.

Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction

Primers for quantitative RT-PCR were designed using Primer Quest (idtdna.com) with an amplicon size of 200-250bp, target Tm of 59°C with no more than 2°C Tm difference between primer pairs, 50% GC, target primer length 24nt. Eleven genes were examined with qPCR (Table S5). RNA samples were treated with Turbo DNase (Ambion) and quantified using a Nanodrop Spectrophotometer. Equal quantities of RNA were reverse transcribed using qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quanta). The resulting cDNA was diluted 16-fold for use in qPCR. PCR was performed in triplicate, in 15µl reactions using PerfeCTa SYBR Green FastMix Low ROX mix (Quanta), 150nM primers (final concentration) and 5µl cDNA. Duplicate qPCR reactions of a standard curve comprising a 4-fold dilution series of genomic DNA or mixed cDNA was performed alongside test reactions, as well as no template and no reverse transcriptase controls. Reactions were assembled in 96 well plates (Stratagene) and performed on a Stratagene MX3000P instrument, with the following cycle: 95°C, 10 min; (95°C, 30 s; 59°C, 1 min; 72°C, 1 min ×40 cycles (qPCR); 95°C, 1 min; 55°C, 30 s; 95°C, 30s

(melt curve analysis). Transcript copy number was estimated from the standard curve.

Microarray data capture and analysis

Microarray slides were scanned using a GenePix 4200A scanner (Molecular Devices). Spots were identified using GenePix Pro software (Molecular Devices) and manual inspection. Scans were quality assessed using the Basic Hybridization Analysis R script (http://cgb.indiana.edu/downloads/1). Spots were manually flagged to be excluded from analysis if there were areas of poor quality such as scratches or dust. Spots were also flagged for omission, using GenePix software, if they fulfilled any of the following criteria: manually flagged, buffer only spots, spots not found, percentage of saturated pixels in both channels >3, percentage of pixels above background plus 1 standard deviation in both channels<60, spot pixels<40.

Data normalization and filtering were performed using the Bioconductor [76] (http://www.bioconductor.org/) packages marray and OLIN [77] as well as custom scripts. OLIN [77] was used for intra-slide normalization and log₂ transformation.

For statistical analysis of meiotic time course data, data from a given probe were included only if they fulfilled one or both of the following criteria. First, data for a given oligonucleotide were included if two out of four biological replicates for every time point contained data for both probes. This criterion was chosen to avoid the inclusion of data from single replicates (i.e., for which none or only one replica produced data of acceptable quality). However, data for probes that had robust data for all four replicates for at least one time point were also included, whether or not they fulfilled the first criterion. Of the 13,230 array probes, 8,413 fulfilled one or both of these criteria, of which 286 fulfilled only the first criterion, 941 fulfilled only the second, and 7,186 fulfilled both. Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM) software [78] was utilized to determine which genes were changing in expression during the meiotic time course progression, using a false discovery rate (FDR) of 10%. This cut-off encompassed most of the C. cinerea genes previously characterized as having differential expression during meiosis. Using the 10% FDR cut-off, 2,851 probes (representing 2,721 genes) exhibited differential expression over the 15-hour time course.

Gene expression in vegetative dikaryotic mycelia was compared to K-3 expression by combining single channel dikaryotic data and single channel K-3 expression data. Single channel data from three independent dikaryotic replicates were scaled to the same median, and the data averaged. Data for a given spot were excluded if only one replicate was present. The average dikaryotic data were combined with individual K-3 replicates within four separate GPR files. Data were flagged, OLIN normalized, and analyzed with SAM as described above.

Data clustering and gene ontology

All clustering and Gene Ontology (GO) analysis was performed within the MeV framework [39]. Genes assessed to be differentially expressed across the time course by SAM were clustered using the K-Means Support function, with the Pearson correlation as similarity measure [79] and a successive splitting strategy similar in approach to [80]. Within K-Means Support, the clustering algorithm was performed 100 times, and genes were assigned to a cluster if they fell within the same group for at least 90 of these iterations. Initially, the full set of 2,851 genes was divided into two clusters, after which each of these resultant clusters was further bifurcated repeatedly. Terminal clusters were those that could not be further divided at the 90% level. Nine robust clusters resulted from this strategy. After removal of duplicate probes to the same gene in a cluster, EASE analysis [40] was performed to determine enrichment of gene classes by gene ontology. Gene ontology terms assigned to genes from the Jan06m300_GLEAN prediction set (11746 of which are represented on the array) were used for EASE analysis. Gene ontology classes were deemed enriched if there was >1 gene of that class in the cluster and the Fisher's Exact statistic was <0.01.

Identification of putative orthologs of C. cinerea, S. cerevisiae, and S. pombe

OrthoMCL [81] was run using WU-BLASTP with significance cutoff of $1e^{-4}$, with Smith-Waterman post-alignment, and low-complexity filtering with seg+xnu on the protein sets of *Coprinopsis cinerea* (v2, Jan 2009; Broad Institute and [6]), *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (downloaded Feb 19, 2009; GeneDB) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (downloaded Feb 20, 2009; SGD). Briefly, OrthoMCL uses the Markov Clustering approach [82,83] to identify clusters of genes by similarity with additional correction for paralogous gene distances. An inflation value of 1.5 was used to build the clusters. It has been shown to be one of the more accurate approaches to identifying orthology [84]. The OrthoMCL clusters containing *C. cinerea* genes and single-copy members of described meiotic genes from *S. pombe* and *S. cerevisiae* were used to identify the complement of these genes in *C. cinerea*.

Comparative analysis of gene expression in different fungi

For comparison of genes induced on entry to meiosis in *C. cinerea, S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*, we identified the time-interval during which *spol1* was initially expressed. For *C. cinerea*, this was the interval between vegetative dikaryotic growth and K-3. Significantly changing genes were identified using SAM as for the meiotic time course. For *S. cerevisiae*, the suitable interval was between time 0 (the time of nutritional restriction to stimulate meiosis) and 1 hour subsequently [17]. In *S. pombe, pat1* mutant vegetative cells were preconditioned for meiosis by starving overnight, before induction of *spol1* (and other meiotic genes) occurred in the starvation interval, between vegetative and time 0.

To compare expression profiles of genes throughout meiosis, expression data from time courses of *C. cinerea, S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* were compared using the Pearson correlation within Excel. Genes were compared only if single putative orthologs were found in all three fungal species. Gaps in biological expression data were interpolated by taking the mean of flanking data points. The Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test was used to determine whether the distribution of correlation coefficients differed between groups of genes. Statistical comparisons were performed using Minitab (http://www.minitab.com). "Meiotic function" genes were defined by using "meiosis", "DNA-replication", "DNA repair", and "recombination" to search the *Saccharomyces* Genome Database [48] and the Gene Ontology [49].

Microscopy

Images of meiotic gill cells were obtained as previously described [54].

Data

Raw microarray expression data obtained in this study are accessible in the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO), with accession numbers GSE13731 and GSE18540. Table S1 provides summary data on all array probes.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Schematic of gene clustering strategy. The 2,851 probes identified as changing in expression by SAM at an FDR less than 10% were grouped into clusters using a successive bifurcation strategy, as illustrated.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.s001 (0.02 MB PDF)

Figure S2 Assessment of microarray probe performance. Array probes were tested for reliability by comparison of expression with a second probe for the same transcript (A; correlation coefficient = 0.89) and by comparison with qPCR expression data (B; correlation coefficient = 0.84).

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.s002 (0.05 MB PDF)

Table S1 *C. cinerea* probe and comparative data. Probe IDs, *C. cinerea* genes, and *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* unique orthologs are indicated for all *C. cinerea* array probes. In addition, *C. cinerea* cluster numbers, genes induced on entry to meiosis in the three species as well as correlation coefficients are shown. As some genes are represented more than once on the array, probes used in comparative analyses are also indicated. In addition, gene names and putative functions of genes in the following categories are listed under separate tabs: MF_co-induced, MF_non_co-induced, NKMF_co-regulated.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.s003 (3.18 MB XLS)

Table S2 GO analysis of *C. cinerea* meiosis-only genes. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed for the 886 genes expressed in meiotic gill tissue only using EASE [39]. Enrichments were discarded if only one gene of a particular class were identified. Enrichments with a Fisher's Exact score >0.01 were also discarded.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.s004 (0.05 MB XLS)

Table S3 GO analysis of *C. cinerea* gene clusters. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed for each gene cluster using EASE [39]. Enrichments were discarded if only one gene of a particular class were identified. Enrichments with a Fisher's Exact score >0.01 were also discarded.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.s005 (0.08 MB XLS)

Table S4 An expanded inventory of meiotic process genes. Genes are included if they are designated as meiotic function (identified as involved in DNA repair, recombination, replication, or meiosis by gene ontology) and also fulfill one or more of the following criteria: (1) Genes changing significantly during C. cinerea meiosis (C) that also have single, unambiguous orthologs in S. pombe and S. cerevisiae as defined by OrthoMCL (orthologs as indicated), (2) core meiotic genes as defined by [38] (M), (3) genes with characterized C. cinerea meiotic functions (as referenced). Mcm2, which is part of the MCM complex but has an FDR>10, and *bad42*, which is known to be critical for meiosis in C. cinerea, but for which lack of current known orthologs prevents "meiotic function" designation, are also included. In the indicated pair-wise species comparisons, coinduced genes (+) and genes with a correlation coefficient >0.5 (\diamondsuit) are shown. For *C. cinerea*, genes with expression only in meiotic tissue are highlighted in bold. Pair-wise comparisons marked "n/a" are those for which comparative data are not available, as gene orthology is not currently apparent in those species; "n/d" indicates a lack of expression data for C. cinerea. *C. cinerea has a hop2 ortholog (CC1G_02025), but no microarray oligonucleotide.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.s006 (0.18 MB DOC)

Table S5qRT-PCR primers. Primers used for amplification oftime point cDNA for qRT-PCR.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001135.s007 (0.02 MB XLS)

Acknowledgments

We thank the Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics (CGB) at Indiana University, in particular John Colbourne for microarray production management, Rupali Patwardhan and Angela Burr for bioinformatics advice, and Monica Sentmanant, Heejung Yang, Nicole Di Camillo, and James Ford for microarray production. We are grateful for CGB provision

References

- Redhead SA, Vilgalys R, Moncalvo JM, Johnson J, Hopple JS (2001) Coprinus Pers. and the disposition of Coprinus species sensu lato. Taxon 50: 203–241.
- Raju NB, Lu BC (1970) Meiosis in *Coprinus*. 3: Timing of meiotic events in C. lagopus (sensu Buller). Canadian Journal of Botany 48: 2183–2186.
- Page SL, Hawley RS (2004) The genetics and molecular biology of the synaptonemal complex. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 20: 525–558.
- Henderson KA, Keeney S (2005) Synaptonemal complex formation: where does it start? Bioessays 27: 995–998.
- Li WX, Ma H (2006) Double-stranded DNA breaks and gene functions in recombination and meiosis. Cell Research 16: 402–412.
- Stajich JE, Wilke SK, Ahren D, Au TCH, Birren B, et al. (2010) Genome evolution in mushrooms: Insights from the genome and assembled chromosomes of *Coprinopsis cinerea* (*Coprinus cinereus*). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. pp 11889–11894.
- Celerin M, Merino ST, Stone JE, Menzie AM, Zolan ME (2000) Multiple roles of Spo11 in meiotic chromosome behavior. Embo Journal 19: 2739–2750.
- Gerecke EE, Zolan ME (2000) An mre11 mutant of Coprimus cinereus has defects in meiotic chromosome pairing, condensation and synapsis. Genetics 154: 1125–1139.
- Li LB, Gerecke EE, Zolan ME (1999) Homolog pairing and meiotic progression in *Coprinus cinereus*. Chromosoma 108: 384–392.
- Merino ST, Cummings WJ, Acharya SN, Zolan ME (2000) Replicationdependent early meiotic requirement for Spol1 and Rad50. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 10477–10482.
- Seitz LC, Tang KL, Cummings WJ, Zolan ME (1996) The rad9 gene of Coprinus cinereus encodes a proline-rich protein required for meiotic chromosome condensation and synapsis. Genetics 142: 1105–1117.
- Stassen NY, Logsdon JM, Vora GJ, Offenberg HH, Palmer JD, et al. (1997) Isolation and characterization of *rad51* orthologs from *Coprinus cinereus* and *Lycopersicon esculentum*, and phylogenetic analysis of eukaryotic *recA* homologs. Current Genetics 31: 144–157.
- Coprinus cinereus Sequencing Project. Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard (www. broad.mit.edu).
- 14. Gathman AC, Lilly WW. Coprinopsis cinerea genome. Available: http://genome. semo.edu/ccin.
- Schlecht U, Primig M (2003) Mining meiosis and gametogenesis with DNA microarrays. Reproduction 125: 447–456.
- Chalmel F, Lardenois A, Primig M (2007) Toward understanding the core meiotic transcriptome in mammals and its implications for somatic cancer. Testicular Chromosome Structure and Gene Expression 1120: 1–15.
- Chu S, DcRisi J, Eisen M, Mulholland J, Botstein D, et al. (1998) The transcriptional program of sporulation in budding yeast. Science 282: 699–705.
- Primig M, Williams RM, Winzeler EA, Tevzadze GG, Conway AR, et al. (2000) The core meiotic transcriptome in budding yeasts. Nature Genetics 26: 415–423.
- Mata J, Lyne R, Burns G, Bahler J (2002) The transcriptional program of meiosis and sporulation in fission yeast. Nature Genetics 32: 143–147.
- Cnudde F, Hedatale V, de Jong H, Pierson ES, Rainey DY, et al. (2006) Changes in gene expression during male meiosis in *Petunia hybrida*. Chromosome Research 14: 919–932.
- Crismani W, Baumann U, Sutton T, Shirley N, Webster T, et al. (2006) Microarray expression analysis of meiosis and microsporogenesis in hexaploid bread wheat. BMC Genomics 7: Available: http://www.biomedcentral.com/ 1471-2164/7/267. Accessed 2008 October 7.
- Ma J, Duncan D, Morrow DJ, Fernandes J, Walbot V (2007) Transcriptome profiling of maize anthers using genetic ablation to analyze pre-meiotic and tapetal cell types. Plant Journal 50: 637–648.
- Wang Z, Liang Y, Li CJ, Xu YY, Lan LF, et al. (2005) Microarray analysis of gene expression involved in anther development in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Plant Molecular Biology 58: 721–737.
- Xia Q, Cheng D, Duan J, Wang G, Cheng T, et al. (2007) Microarray-based gene expression profiles in multiple tissues of the domesticated silkworm, *Bombyx* mori. Genome Biol 8: R162.

of equipment and software for microarray scanning and qPCR. We are indebted to Zhao Lai (CGB), Krys Kazmierczak (Indiana University), and David Schuster (Quanta Biosciences) for qRT-PCR advice.

We thank John Logsdon, Shehre-Banoo Malik, Andrew Schurko, Arthur Pightling, Britt Koskella, Nicole Crown, and Heather Palmerini for access to unpublished gene data. We are grateful to Shehre-Banoo Malik for providing comments on the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CB JDL MEZ PJP. Performed the experiments: CB LC SKW OPS. Analyzed the data: CB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JES AR SEH ACG WWL. Wrote the paper: CB. Revised the manuscript: PJP JES AR SEH ACG WWL JDL MEZ. Consulted on data analysis: JES AR.

- Andrews J, Bouffard GG, Cheadle C, Lu JN, Becker KG, et al. (2000) Gene discovery using computational and microarray analysis of transcription in the *Drosophila melanogaster* testis. Genome Research 10: 2030–2043.
- Reinke V, Gil IS, Ward S, Kazmer K (2004) Genome-wide germline-enriched and sex-biased expression profiles in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Development 131: 311–323.
- Reinke V, Smith HE, Nance J, Wang J, Van Doren C, et al. (2000) A global profile of germline gene expression in *C. elegans*. Molecular Cell 6: 605–616.
- Schlecht U, Demougin P, Koch R, Hermida L, Wiederkehr C, et al. (2004) Expression profiling of mammalian male meiosis and gametogenesis identifies novel candidate genes for roles in the regulation of fertility. Molecular Biology of the Cell 15: 1031–1043.
- Chalmel F, Rolland AD, Niederhauser-Wiederkehr C, Chung SSW, Demougin P, et al. (2007) The conserved transcriptome in human and rodent male gametogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 8346–8351.
- Johnston DS, Wright WW, DiCandeloro P, Wilson E, Kopf GS, et al. (2008) Stage-specific gene expression is a fundamental characteristic of rat spermatogenic cells and Sertoli cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 8315–8320.
- Pang ALY, Johnson W, Ravindranath N, Dym M, Rennert OM, et al. (2006) Expression profiling of purified male germ cells: stage-specific expression patterns related to meiosis and postmeiotic development. Physiological Genomics 24: 75–85.
- Taylor JW, Berbee ML (2006) Dating divergences in the Fungal Tree of Life: review and new analyses. Mycologia 98: 838–849.
- Blair JE (2009) Fungi. In: Hedges SB, Kumar S, eds. The Timetree of Life. Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp 215–219.
- Kues U (2000) Life history and developmental processes in the basidiomycete Coprinus cinereus. Microbiology And Molecular Biology Reviews 64: 316–353.
- Wrobel G, Primig M (2005) Mammalian male germ cells are fertile ground for expression profiling of sexual reproduction. Reproduction 129: 1–7.
- Mitchell AP (1994) Control of meiotic gene expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiological Reviews 58: 56–70.
- Ramesh MA, Malik SB, Logsdon JM (2005) A phylogenomic inventory of meiotic genes: Evidence for sex in *Giardia* and an early eukaryotic origin of meiosis. Current Biology 15: 185–191.
- Malik SB, Pightling AW, Stefaniak LM, Schurko AM, Logsdon JM, Jr. (2008) An expanded inventory of conserved meiotic genes provides evidence for sex in *Trichomonas vaginalis*. PLoS ONE 3: e2879. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002879.
- Saeed AI, Sharov V, White J, Li J, Liang W, et al. (2003) TM4: A free, opensource system for microarray data management and analysis. Biotechniques 34: 374–378.
- Hosack DA, Dennis G, Sherman BT, Lane HC, Lempicki RA (2003) Identifying biological themes within lists of genes with EASE. Genome Biology 4: R70.
- Kanda T, Arakawa H, Yasuda Y, Takemaru T (1990) Basidiospore formation in a mutant of incompatibility factors and in mutants that arrest at meta-anaphase-I in *Coprimus cinereus*. Experimental Mycology 14: 218–226.
- Diffley JFX, Labib K (2002) The chromosome replication cycle. Journal of Cell Science 115: 869–872.
- Juneau K, Palm C, Miranda M, Davis RW (2007) High-density yeast-tiling array reveals previously undiscovered introns and extensive regulation of meiotic splicing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 1522–1527.
- Moldon A, Malapeira J, Gabrielli N, Gogol M, Gomez-Escoda B, et al. (2008) Promoter-driven splicing regulation in fission yeast. Nature 455: 997–1001.
- Keeney S, Giroux CN, Kleckner N (1997) Meiosis-specific DNA double-strand breaks are catalyzed by Spo11, a member of a widely conserved protein family. Cell 88: 375–384.
- Heywood P, Magee PT (1976) Meiosis in protists some structural and physiological aspects of meiosis in algae, fungi, and protozoa. Bacteriological Reviews 40: 190–240.
- Thomas G (2000) An encore for ribosome biogenesis in the control of cell proliferation. Nature Cell Biology 2: E71–E72.
- 48. http://www.yeastgenome.org/.

- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, et al. (2000) Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nature Genetics 25: 25–29.
- Adkins RM, Gelke EL, Rowe D, Honeycutt RL (2001) Molecular phylogeny and divergence time estimates for major rodent groups: evidence from multiple genes. Mol Biol Evol 18: 777–791.
- Klein F, Mahr P, Galova M, Buonomo SBC, Michaelis C, et al. (1999) A central role for cohesions in sister chromatid cohesion, formation of axial elements, and recombination during yeast meiosis. Cell 98: 91–103.
- 52. Watanabe Y, Nurse P (1999) Cohesin Rec8 is required for reductional chromosome segregation at meiosis. Nature 400: 461–464.
- Young JA, Hyppa RW, Smith GR (2004) Conserved and nonconserved proteins for meiotic DNA breakage and repair in yeasts. Genetics 167: 593–605.
- Acharya SN, Many AM, Schroeder AP, Kennedy FM, Savytskyy OP, et al. (2008) Coprinus cinereus Rad50 mutants reveal an essential structural role for Rad50 in axial element and synaptonemal complex formation, homolog pairing and meiotic recombination. Genetics 180: 1889–1907.
- Lake CM, Teeter K, Page SL, Nielsen R, Hawley RS (2007) A genetic analysis of the *Drosophila mcm5* gene defines a domain specifically required for meiotic recombination. Genetics 176: 2151–2163.
- Gerton JL, Hawley RS (2005) Homologous chromosome interactions in meiosis: Diversity amidst conservation. Nature Reviews Genetics 6: 477–487.
- Hwang SY, Oh B, Knowles BB, Solter D, Lee JS (2001) Expression of genes involved in mammalian meiosis during the transition from egg to embryo. Molecular Reproduction and Development 59: 144–158.
- Mata J, Bahler J (2003) Correlations between gene expression and gene conservation in fission yeast. Genome Research 13: 2686–2690.
- Richard GF, Kerrest A, Lafontaine I, Dujon B (2005) Comparative genomics of hemiascomycete yeasts: Genes involved in DNA replication, repair, and recombination. Molecular Biology and Evolution 22: 1011–1023.
- Sherwood RK, Bennett RJ (2009) Fungal meiosis and parasexual reproductionlessons from pathogenic yeast. Current Opinion in Microbiology 12: 599–607.
- Schurko AM, Logsdon JM, Jr. (2008) Using a meiosis detection toolkit to investigate ancient asexual "scandals" and the evolution of sex. Bioessays 30: 579–589.
- Sakaguchi K, Koshiyama A, Iwabata K (2007) Meiosis and small ubiquitinrelated modifier (SUMO)-conjugating enzyme, Ubc9. Febs Journal 274: 3519–3531.
- 63. Koshiyama A, Hamada FN, Namekawa SH, Iwabata K, Sugawara H, et al. (2006) Sumoylation of a meiosis-specific RecA homolog, Lim15/Dmc1, via interaction with the small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO)-conjugating enzyme Ubc9. Febs Journal 273: 4003–4012.
- Medina PMB (2003) Isolation of genes required for meiotic synapsis in the basidiomycete *Coprinus cinereus*. Ph.D Thesis. Chapel Hill, University of North Carolina.
- 65. Bozdech Z, Zhu JC, Joachimiak MP, Cohen FE, Pulliam B, et al. (2003) Expression profiling of the schizont and trophozoite stages of *Plasmodium falciparum* with a long-oligonucleotide microarray. Genome Biology 4: Available: http://genomebiology.com/2003/4/2/R9. Accessed 2006 January 8.
- Pearson WR, Lipman DJ (1988) Improved tools for biological sequence comparison. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 85: 2444–2448.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215: 403–410.
- He ZL, Wu LY, Li XY, Fields MW, Zhou JZ (2005) Empirical establishment of oligonucleotide probe design criteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71: 3753–3760.

- Morey JS, Ryan JC, Van Dolah FM (2006) Microarray validation: factors influencing correlation between oligonucleotide microarrays and real-time PCR. Biological Procedures Online. pp 175–193.
- Iwabata K, Koshiyama A, Yamaguchi T, Sugawara H, Hamada FN, et al. (2005) DNA topoisomerase II interacts with Lim15/Dmc1 in meiosis. Nucleic Acids Research 33: 5809–5818.
- Namekawa S, Ichijima Y, Hamada F, Kasai N, Iwabata K, et al. (2003) DNA ligase IV from a basidiomycete, *Coprinus cinereus*, and its expression during meiosis. Microbiology-Sgm 149: 2119–2128.
- Nara T, Saka T, Sawado T, Takase H, Ito Y, et al. (1999) Isolation of a Lim15/ Dmc1 homolog from the basidiomycete *Coprinus cinereus* and its expression in relation to meiotic chromosome pairing. Molecular and General Genetics 262: 781–789.
- Yamaguchi T, Namekawa SH, Hamada FN, Kasai N, Nara T, et al. (2004) Expression of flap endonuclease-1 during meiosis in a basidiomycete, *Coprinus* cinereus. Fungal Genetics And Biology 41: 493–500.
- Valentine G, Wallace YJ, Turner FR, Zolan ME (1995) Pathway analysis of radiation-sensitive meiotic mutants of *Coprinus cinereus*. Molecular & General Genetics 247: 169–179.
- Zolan ME, Tremel CJ, Pukkila PJ (1988) Production and characterization of radiation-sensitive meiotic mutants of *Coprinus cinereus*. Genetics 120: 379–387.
- Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, et al. (2004) Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biology 5: Available http://genomebiology.com/2004/ 5/10/R80. Accessed 2007 June 23.
- Futschik ME, Crompton T (2005) OLIN: optimized normalization, visualization and quality testing of two-channel microarray data. Bioinformatics 21: 1724–1726.
- Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G (2001) Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 5116–5121.
- Soukas A, Cohen P, Socci ND, Friedman JM (2000) Leptin-specific patterns of gene expression in white adipose tissue. Genes & Development 14: 963–980.
- Ernst J, Vainas O, Harbison CT, Simon I, Bar-Joseph Z (2007) Reconstructing dynamic regulatory maps. Molecular Systems Biology 3: 74.
- Li L, Stoeckert CJ, Jr., Roos DS (2003) OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes. Genome Research 13: 2178–2189.
- Enright AJ, Van Dongen S, Ouzounis CA (2002) An efficient algorithm for large-scale detection of protein families. Nucleic Acids Res 30: 1575–1584.
- van Dongen S (2005) Graph clustering by flow simulation. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Utrecht, Netherlands.
- Chen F, Mackey AJ, Vermunt JK, Roos DS (2007) Assessing performance of orthology detection strategies applied to eukaryotic genomes. PLoS ONE 2: e383. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000383.
- Padmore R, Cao L, Kleckner N (1991) Temporal comparison of recombination and synaptonemal complex formation during meiosis in *S. cerevisiae*. Cell 66: 1239–1256.
- Hunter N, Kleckner N (2001) The single-end invasion: An asymmetric intermediate at the double-strand break to double-Holliday junction transition of meiotic recombination. Cell 106: 59–70.
- Bahler J, Schuchert P, Grimm C, Kohli J (1991) Synchronized meiosis and recombination in fission yeast - Observations with Pat1-114 diploid cells. Current Genetics 19: 445–451.