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results

...and weaker difference in judgments...

Materials and Procedure

An introductory psychology course was taught...

Participants

Method

Measures included both perceptual judgments of visual similarity and perceptual judgments of visual similarity. The participants were presented with a series of visual stimuli, which were presented in random order.

Results

The results showed that...

Discussion

These findings suggest that...

References

The references include...

Appendix

The appendix contains...
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**DISCUSSION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.02</th>
<th>0.01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparisons Asymmetries**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Regressions of Cinity and Power Match on Agreement**

- Cinity
- AG agreement
- Standard agreement
- Difference
- Agreement
- Significance

**Table 3: Cinity and Power Match as Function of Normal Versus Abnormal**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To test our main hypothesis, we used regression analysis to predict the success of the model. A significant positive correlation was found between Cinity and the dependent variable, suggesting that high Cinity values are associated with higher agreement. Further analysis revealed that the relationship between Cinity and agreement is stronger for abnormal cases compared to normal cases, indicating a potential role of Cinity in identifying abnormal conditions.
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We apologize for the inconvenience.

Participants had taken part in the earlier experiment.

Experiment 1 was paid for their participation. None of the older adults from the same population as in addition. 128 older adults from the same population as in addition. In exchange for a small monetary payment.

One hundred forty-six participants.

NEW TEXT (corrected in bold):

part in the earlier experiment.

None of the participants had taken part in the earlier experiment. None of the older adults from the same population as in addition. In exchange for a small monetary payment.

Sixteen participants.

OLD TEXT (errors in bold):

On p. 213 under the section Subjects and Design, paragraph one:


Due to an oversight, an error appears in M. K. Johnson, J. C.

Erratum

ERRATA