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1. Introduction

Vortex rings exist in nature wherever “propulsive systems” or “transient jet flows” are present; from flow through the mitral valve to the vortex that pushes a jelly fish forward. Various characteristics related to vortex rings’ formation, structure and dynamics have been extensively studied in the past, many of which are well reviewed by Shariff and Leonard [1]. The shape of the vortex ring indicates the degree of axisymmetry of the flow. No fluid dynamics measure currently exists to quantify the axisymmetry of a vortex ring with a single value. Here, we develop an index that quantifies the axisymmetry of vortex rings. This index not only allows differentiating between non-axisymmetric rings but also quantifies their difference, which is important in designing propulsive systems and for diagnostic purposes (e.g., cardiac flows).

There are many conditions in which vortices are non-axisymmetric as have been numerically and experimentally shown. One example is the non-axisymmetric vortex that forms inside a healthy left ventricle of the heart. Non-axisymmetry can be induced either because of an external strain field [2] or due to a nonlinear perturbation of an axisymmetric vortex [3]. The metamorphosis of non-axisymmetric vortices into axisymmetric structures and vice versa has been frequently reported. It has been suggested that non-axisymmetry relaxes to an axisymmetric state for a class of elliptic vortices through the ‘axisymmetrization’ process [4]. Along the same line, it has been shown that the axisymmetrization process is due to the shear-diffusion averaging mechanism [5,6]. Alternatively, for sufficiently large Reynolds numbers, axisymmetric vortices can evolve into an asymmetric or non-axisymmetric state during a process called ‘asymmetrization’. Axisymmetric vortex rings formed along with a starting jet out of a circular nozzle are observed to ultimately become asymmetric. Feng et al. numerically simulated the azimuthal instability for the ring and showed that the ring remains axisymmetric during early formation, but later on undergoes a wavy azimuthal instability [7]. Asymmetrization has been shown to be an indicator of performance and control of aircraft and other flight vehicles capable of extreme maneuvers [8].

For two-dimensional turbulence, the axisymmetrization process results in the circular shape of the vortex [9]. The tendency of isolated and initially non-axisymmetric vortices in becoming axisymmetric by purely inviscid mechanisms has been demonstrated by Dritschel [10]. Dritschel also showed that vortices with sufficiently steep edge gradients can indefinitely remain non-axisymmetric, and further defined the limits for the tendency to axisymmetrization [10]. In 3D flows, O’Farrell and Dabiri [11] studied the variation of vortex formation time on non-axisymmetric
vortex rings, formed from different elliptical nozzles [12]. Furthermore, Couch and Krueger [13] experimentally investigated the interaction of vortex rings with oblique surfaces in three dimensions. They studied the symmetry of the flow based on moments of kinetic energy and vorticity. In their study, symmetry was defined using three moments of kinetic energy and vorticity. In that study, the symmetry of the flow was investigated with respect to planes and axisymmetry of the vortex ring with respect to its axis was not addressed.

In this study, we introduce an index to quantify the degree of non-axial-symmetry for vortex rings generated behind a nozzle or in other propulsive systems. We systematically examine the performance of the index for a range of vortex structures in analytical vortex rings and in realistic computational fluid dynamics (CFD) cases.

2. Methods and results

In this section, we describe an index to assess the axisymmetry of 3D vortex rings. Here, by a vortex ring, we refer to any closed vorticity structure (one complete ring) that can be either axisymmetric or non-axisymmetric. In other words, a vorticity patch in an otherwise “almost” irrotational flow field, where “almost” stands for the possible presence of others “isolated” structures that do not directly interact with each other (in terms of distances comparable with the viscous length-scale).

According to Batchelor [14], the impulse for a general vortex ring, \( I_v \), is

\[
I_v = \frac{\rho}{2} \int x \times \omega \, dV,
\]

where \( x \) is the position vector, \( \omega \) is the vorticity vector, and \( V \) is the volume of the fluid where the ring is located. For an axisymmetric vortex ring, Eq. (1) simplifies to \( I_{a\theta} \) [1], as follows

\[
I_{a\theta} = I_a \hat{x} = \pi \rho \hat{x} \int \omega_\theta (x, \sigma) \, \sigma^2 \, d\sigma \, d\sigma,
\]

where \( \hat{x} \) is the axis of the ring; \( \rho \) is the density of the fluid; \( \omega_\theta \) is the vorticity distribution in any azimuthal plane \( \theta \); and \( x \) and \( \sigma \) are the axial and radial coordinates, respectively.

In contrast to an axisymmetric vortex ring, the circulation, \( \Gamma \), of a non-axisymmetric ring is not inevitably constant, as some vortex lines can escape from the ring on one side and attach either to the wake on the other side or to the upstream boundary layer [15]. Because of this, we computed the equivalent axisymmetric impulse by examining the spectrum of axisymmetric impulses, \( I_{a\theta} \), at multiple \( \theta \)-planes. A \( \theta \)-plane is a plane that sections the vortex ring and passes through the axis of the ring while making an angle \( \theta \) with the reference plane.

The radial vorticity centroid [1] for each \( \theta \)-plane is defined as

\[
R_\theta = \frac{\int_{A_\theta} \sigma \omega_\theta (x, \sigma) \, d\sigma \, d\sigma}{\int_{A_\theta} \omega_\theta (x, \sigma) \, d\sigma \, d\sigma}.
\]

The axisymmetric impulse for each \( \theta \)-plane is

\[
I_{a\theta} = I_a \hat{x} \omega_\theta (x, \sigma) \, \sigma^2 \, dA_\theta,
\]

where \( A_\theta \) is the area of the \( \theta \)-plane whose extent is from the axis of the ring to either the boundary of the domain or infinity, and makes an angle \( \theta \) with respect to the axis. \( I_\theta \) is the average of all the impulses of the \( \theta \)-planes and is called the impulse threshold, i.e., the average of \( I_{a\theta} \) as

\[
\tilde{I}_\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \| I_{a\theta} \| \, d\theta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| I_{a\theta} \|,
\]

where \( N \) is the number of \( \theta \)-planes. In this study, using 72 \( \theta \)-planes, \( \theta = 0, \frac{\pi}{360}, \ldots, \frac{715\pi}{360} \) with respect to the axis of the ring and with a resolution of 100 \( \times \) 100, provided the convergency of the results, as schematically shown in Fig. 1. Depending on the complexity of the vortex ring, increasing the number of \( \theta \)-planes might yield more accurate values for the equivalent axisymmetric impulses and therefore, must be checked for convergency of the results. It should be noted that for calculation of \( I_{a\theta} \), each \( \theta \)-plane is assumed to be an axisymmetric plane in its own \( (x, \sigma) \) coordinate system. We describe the axisymmetry index, \( \xi \), to be a non-dimensional impulse

\[
\xi = \frac{\bar{I}_\theta}{\tilde{I}_\theta},
\]

where \( \bar{I}_\theta \) is the average of all the impulses that are less than the impulse threshold, i.e., \( I_\theta < \bar{I}_\theta \). Similarly, \( \tilde{I}_\theta \) is the average of all the impulses that are greater than the impulse threshold, i.e., \( I_\theta > \tilde{I}_\theta \). This concept is further clarified in Fig. 2.

The circulation in each \( \theta \)-plane is found by

\[
\Gamma_\theta = \int_{A_\theta} \omega_\theta \cdot dA_\theta.
\]

The mean circulation and mean radial vorticity centroid are defined as

\[
\bar{\Gamma}_\theta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_\theta, \quad \bar{R}_\theta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_\theta.
\]

For an axisymmetric vortex ring, \( \xi \) is equal to unity as there is no difference between \( \bar{I}_\theta \) and \( \tilde{I}_\theta \). The less the difference, the more
axisymmetric the vortex ring is. Once the vortex ring deviates from axisymmetry, \( \xi \) deviates from unity. This deviation in the impulse ratio is described in Eq. (6) and can be due to the eccentricity of the vortex ring centroid, which is linked to a non-axisymmetric distribution of circulation, \( I_q \), radial vorticity centroid, \( R_0 \), and impulse, \( I_0 \), among all the \( \theta \)-planes. In other words, the more uniform the \( I_q \)-spectrum is, the more axisymmetric the vortex ring is. Consequently, an axisymmetric ring is only represented by one impulse value: \( I_0 \) while a non-axisymmetric vortex ring possesses three distinct representatives: \( I_0, I_\alpha, \) and \( I_\gamma \).

The axisymmetry of the 3D naturally-formed vortex ring is measured with respect to \((\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0, \bar{z}_0)\), which is the center of the 3D vorticity distribution, \( \omega(x, y, z) \),

\[
(\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0, \bar{z}_0) = \left( \frac{\sum_X x \| \omega \| \Delta V}{\| \omega \| \Delta V}, \frac{\sum_Y y \| \omega \| \Delta V}{\| \omega \| \Delta V}, \frac{\sum_Z z \| \omega \| \Delta V}{\| \omega \| \Delta V} \right),
\]

where \( x, y, \) and \( z \) are the Cartesian coordinates; \( \| \omega \| \) is the magnitude of the vorticity; and \( \Delta V \) is the finite cell volume. The centroid and the axis of the ring are used in defining the \( \theta \)-planes as well as in the calculation of \( \xi \). Alternatively, the vortex ring centroid can be found by the methods described by Chong et al. [16], Braun et al. [17], or Oulette and Gollub [18]. For the cases where no information is available about the axis of the propulsion or transient jet, one may use Principal Component Analysis to obtain the axes of the ring.

In the following sections, we describe a systematic validation for the axisymmetry index, \( \xi \), in analytically and numerically obtained vortex rings. First, we validate \( \xi \) for a Gaussian vortex ring in an axisymmetric plane. Since a non-axisymmetric vortex ring is a 3D flow structure, the performance of \( \xi \) in three dimensions is examined. To test this, we utilize Hill’s spherical vortex [19] as a 3D benchmark for \( \xi \). Additionally, several non-axisymmetric vortex rings are generated by asymmetrically revolving the Gaussian vorticity distribution around the axis. Finally, we examine the axisymmetry of a vortex that is numerically formed from an oval-shaped nozzle.

### 2.1. Gaussian vortex ring

First, we examine the axisymmetry index, \( \xi \), for a Gaussian vortex ring whose vorticity field is defined by [20] as follows

\[
\omega_{G}(x, \sigma) = \frac{I}{\pi R^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{(x-x_0)^2 + (\sigma - R_0)^2}{R^2} \right],
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the distance from the axis, \( I \) is the circulation, \( R \) is the core radius, and \( (x_0, R_0) \) are the coordinates of the Gaussian vortex ring location.

A Gaussian vortex ring was generated using Eq. (10) in the \( x\sigma \)-plane, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The ring was located at \( R_0 = 5.0 \) and \( x_0 = 5.0 \), with \( R = 0.5 \) and \( I = 1.0 \) at the domain of \( x \in [0, 10.0] \) and \( \sigma \in [0, 10.0] \). The vorticity distribution was revolved around the axis in a grid of 100 \( \times \) 100 \( \times \) 100 to compute the axisymmetry index, as shown in Fig. 3(b). In this case, \( \xi \) was found to be 0.9965.

### 2.2. Hill’s spherical vortex (HSV)

HSV is a convenient example for the purpose of validation [19]. This vortex is an extreme member of the Norbury family vortex rings [21], which is used as a model in applications such as the motion of bubbles and droplets at high Reynolds number. The vorticity inside the HSV varies linearly with the distance from the axis of symmetry, while the vorticity outside the HSV is zero. In a HSV, the external flow is irrotational around a sphere whereas the internal flow possesses an axisymmetric vorticity distribution.

The HSV is described by two velocity fields. The internal field, \( \mathbf{u}^i \), is defined for points inside the unit sphere \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \leq 1 \) and the external velocity field, \( \mathbf{u}^e \), is when the points lie outside the sphere \( r \geq 1 \)

\[
\mathbf{u}^i(x, y, z) = \left( \frac{xy}{x^2 + 1 - 2r^2}, \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 1 - 2r^2}, \frac{z}{x^2 + 1 - 2r^2} \right), \quad (11a)
\]

\[
\mathbf{u}^e(x, y, z) = \left( \frac{x^2 - 2r^5}{2x^2 + r^5}, \frac{y^2 - 2r^5}{2y^2 + r^5}, \frac{z^2}{2z^2 + r^5} \right). \quad (11b)
\]

This results in a linear vorticity field distribution inside the sphere

\[
\omega_{x} = 0, \quad (12a)
\]

\[
\omega_{y} = -5z, \quad (12b)
\]

\[
\omega_{z} = 5y, \quad (12c)
\]

while the vorticity is zero everywhere outside \( r \geq 1 \). Eqs. (11a) and (11b) create a HSV whose axis is along the \( x \)-axis.

A HSV, with the centroid at the origin in a domain of \( 1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5 \) was studied. The HSV vorticity distribution was populated in 100 \( \times \) 100 \( \times \) 100 grid. For HSV, the axisymmetry index was found to be 0.9917.

### 2.3. Parametric generation of non-axisymmetric vortex rings

In this section, we describe how we artificially generated non-axisymmetric vortex rings. In fact, non-axisymmetry can be found
in a wide variety of forms and the ones created in this section are only examples to test the performance of the index. To produce a non-axisymmetric vortex ring in three dimensions, \((x, \sigma)\) was parametrically transformed to \((x, y, z)\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= x, \\
    y &= \sigma \cos(\alpha), \\
    z &= \sigma \sin(\alpha),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\alpha\) varies from 0 to \(2\pi\). In fact, \((x, \sigma)\) coordinates were revolved around the \(x\)-axis to generate a 3D field. To introduce non-axisymmetry in the vorticity distribution, we utilized the following revolving relations for the coordinates

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= x [\rho + \cos(\alpha)]^n, \\
    y &= \sigma \cos(\alpha) [\rho + \cos(\alpha)]^m, \\
    z &= \sigma \sin(\alpha) [\rho + \cos(\alpha)]^m,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\rho\) is the revolving factor and varied from 2.3 to 105, \(n = 1\), and \(m = 2\). \(\rho = 2.3\) creates the most non-axisymmetric ring in the set and \(\rho = 105\) creates an axisymmetric one. Eq. (14) introduces non-axisymmetry both axially and radially. In addition, in the process of revolving, the vorticity at point \((x, \sigma)\) is mapped to point \((x, y, z)\), i.e., \(\omega_\theta(x, \sigma) \mapsto \omega(x, y, z)\), according to

\[
\begin{align*}
    \omega_x &= 0, \\
    \omega_y &= -\omega_\theta \sin(\alpha), \\
    \omega_z &= \omega_\theta \cos(\alpha).
\end{align*}
\]

By using Eqs. (10), (14), and (15) along with different values for the revolving factor, 50 Gaussian three dimensional vortex rings were created with different degrees of axisymmetry. For each case, the ring was located at \(R_0 = 5.0\) and \(\chi_0 = 5.0\), with \(R_i = 0.5\) and \(\Gamma = 1.0\) at the domain of \(x \in [0, 10.0]\) and \(\sigma \in [0, 10.0]\). The resolution of the grid was \(100 \times 100 \times 100\). Three of the generated vortex rings \((\rho = 3.8, \rho = 4.9, \text{and } \rho = 105)\) are illustrated in Fig. 4, as examples. Figs. 5 and 6 show the variation of circulation, radial vorticity centroid, and impulse among \(\theta\)-planes when \(\rho = 3.8\) and \(\rho = 105\). By varying the revolving factor, \(\rho\), from 2.3 to 105, the broadness of the circulation spectrum in the \(\theta\)-planes of each ring became narrower. In other words, the ratio of the maximum to the minimum circulation, \(\Gamma_{\text{max}}/\Gamma_{\text{min}}\), for each vortex ring decreased from 15.9905 to 1.0705 and approached unity. Different revolving factors resulted in variation of the axisymmetry index from 0.2035 to 0.9781. Fig. 7 shows the variation
of axisymmetry index, \( \xi \), versus the breadth of the spectrum of three features of each vortex ring: circulation, radial vorticity centroid, and impulse. As the ratio of the circulation approached unity, the axisymmetry index asymptotically approached unity as well (Fig. 7). Alternatively when the \( \Gamma_{\max}/\Gamma_{\min} \) increased, the axisymmetry index non-linearly deviated from unity. Similar observations were found for the radial vorticity centroid and impulse of the ring. By varying from \( \rho = 2.3 \) to \( \rho = 105 \), the ratio of maximum to minimum of the radial vorticity centroid decreased from 1.4706 to 1.0045 (Fig. 7(b)). Also, the ratio of maximum to minimum of impulse of \( \theta \)-planes reduced from 34.7087 to 1.072 (Fig. 7(c)).

### 2.4. Non-axisymmetric vortex ring downstream of an oval-shaped nozzle

Here we examined the axisymmetry index in a realistic vortex ring formed downstream of an oval-shaped nozzle. The ring was numerically created in a piston-cylinder mechanism, as shown in Fig. 8. The geometry of the domain was designed such that the axis of the cylinder was the \( x \)-axis. ANSYS Fluent 13.0 (Ansys Inc., Cecil Township, PA, USA) was used for the simulation. Because of the symmetry of the problem, only half of the domain was modeled. The boundary condition for the inlet of the oval was a constant velocity \( U_{\max} = 0.04 \text{ m/s} \). Equivalent diameter of the oval was \( D_e = 0.05680 \text{ m} \). The fluid was water, and the Reynolds number, \( Re = \rho U_{\max} D_e/\mu \), was 2048. Boundary conditions on the lateral and bottom wall of the flow domain as well as the surfaces of the oval cylinder were all no-slip boundary conditions. We assumed that the free surface at the top boundary of the tank does not considerably vary and therefore, considered to be an outflow boundary condition. The simulation continued until \( L/D_e = t U_{\max}/D_e = 2.51 \). Fig. 9(a) shows a formed vortex ring downstream of the nozzle at time 3.56 s (L/D_e = 2.51). Fig. 9(b) illustrates the vorticity distribution in two planes. The axisymmetry index for this case was calculated as \( \xi = 0.84 \). In other words, the formed ring deviated approximately 16% from axisymmetry. The spectrum of non-dimensional circulation, radial vorticity centroid, and impulse are shown in Fig. 10. The evolution of the vortex ring with time is shown in Fig. 11. Axisymmetry of the ring increased, followed by a decrease when \( L/D_e < 0.2 \). The ring then showed a monotonic increase shortly after its formation.

### 3. Discussion

Vortex rings occurring in nature have been identified as important flow features. The shape of a vortex ring is proven to be an important feature of blood flow in the heart. Kilner et al. emphasized the significance of the asymmetry of the flow through the heart cavities [22]. The shape of the transmural vortex ring that develops during the filling phase of the left ventricle has been shown to be a measure of diastolic function [23] as well as being a tool to assess the mitral valve [24].

To date, vortices have been examined from many different perspectives and in diverse applications including aerospace [8], cardiac flows [22,23,25,26], and free-swimming jellyfish [27,28]. However, no study has yet discussed the measurement of the inherited non-axisymmetry of vortex rings with a single value.
We studied our developed axisymmetric index, $\xi$, for several different vortex ring cases. The index was assessed against the variation of the ratio of extreme values in the spectrum of circulation, radial vorticity centroid, and impulse, i.e. $\Gamma_{\text{max}}/\Gamma_{\text{min}}$, $R_{\text{max}}/R_{\text{min}}$, and $I_{\text{max}}/I_{\text{min}}$. We found that the ratio of the extremes of circulation, radial vorticity centroid, and impulse are all directly correlated with the deviation of the vortex ring from axisymmetry. As a result, the broader the spectrum of circulation, radial vorticity centroid, and impulse, the less the axisymmetry index is, and hence, the more non-axisymmetric the vortex is. In other words, as the degree of non-uniformity in the distribution of the second moment of vorticity about a vortex ring’s axis is increased (i.e., the impulse on one side of the ring is a factor of the impulse of the other side), the value for $\xi$ decreases accordingly. Furthermore, as this non-uniformity tends to vanish (i.e. the ratio of maximum to minimum of $\Gamma$, $R$, and $I$ tends to unity), the change in axisymmetry becomes steep while its variation loses sensitivity at higher degrees of non-uniformity.

According to Couch and Krueger [13], symmetry with respect to planes was investigated by three vorticity moments. However, one needs to combine them in order to measure the axisymmetry with
density of the fluid, $\rho$, found to be 0.84.

The circulation, $\Gamma$, radial vorticity centroid, $R_{\theta}$, and impulse, $I_{\theta}$, in 72 $\theta$-planes for the non-axisymmetric vortex ring resulted from CFD. Figures on the left represent a polar distribution while the ones on the right are a linear distribution versus the angle that each $\theta$-plane makes with the xy-plane. The values are non-dimensionalized by inlet velocity, $U_0$, equivalent diameter of the nozzle, $D_e$, density of the fluid, $\rho$, the average of the circulation, $\bar{\Gamma}$, and average of the radial vorticity centroid, $\bar{R}_{\theta}$. The plotted $I_{\theta}$ is divided by the density of the fluid. $\xi$ was found to be 0.84.

**Fig. 10.** Spectrum of circulation, $\bar{\Gamma}$, radial vorticity centroid, $R_{\theta}$, and impulse, $I_{\theta}$, in 72 $\theta$-planes for the non-axisymmetric vortex ring resulted from CFD.

**Fig. 11.** Axisymmetry versus non-dimensional time $L/D_e$ for the CFD case.

one single value. Here, we defined this combination as

$$\xi' = 1 - \frac{\omega_{12}^2 + \omega_{13}^2 + \omega_{23}^2}{\omega_{11}^2 + \omega_{22}^2 + \omega_{33}^2}$$

(16)

where $\omega_{ij}$ is the vorticity moments ($i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$), as defined in [13]. With this definition, this index yields results between 0 and 1 that can be compared with Eq. (6). The comparison is shown in Fig. 12. As can be seen, the sensitivity of $\xi' = \frac{I_{\theta}}{\bar{\Gamma}}$ to axisymmetry is greater than the metrics developed based on the concept introduced by Couch and Krueger.

**Fig. 12.** The comparison between Eq. (16) and $\xi' = \frac{I_{\theta}}{\bar{\Gamma}}$ for the non-axisymmetric vortex rings family (Eqs. (14) and (15)).

It should be noted that $\xi$ and $\xi'$ are both positive numbers $\leq 1$. According to Eq. (6), when $\xi = 0$, it implies that $I_{\theta}$ should be absolutely zero. This cannot physically exist as $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the average of the impulses of the spectrum that is less than the threshold defined by Eq. (5). Therefore, $I_{\theta}$ is a non-zero number. However, if theoretically, $I_{\theta}$ would be orders of magnitude smaller than $\bar{\Gamma}$, i.e., $\theta (I_{\theta}) \ll \theta (\bar{\Gamma})$, then axisymmetry index, $\xi$, would approach zero. In other words, the vortex ring would possess a very broad spectrum, which would cause a huge difference between $I_{\theta}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}$. As a result, $\xi$ is a positive number $\leq 1$.

Similar to $\xi$, $\xi'$ is a positive number $\leq 1$. In order for $\xi'$ to become zero, $\omega_{12}^2 + \omega_{13}^2 + \omega_{23}^2$ should be equal to $\omega_{11}^2 + \omega_{22}^2 + \omega_{33}^2$. This implies that the summation of strengths of the off-diagonal vorticity moments is the same as that of the diagonal terms. For propulsive systems as well as the transient jets, $\omega_{12}^2 + \omega_{13}^2 + \omega_{23}^2$ is always less than $\omega_{11}^2 + \omega_{22}^2 + \omega_{33}^2$. This limits $\xi'$ to values larger than zero. In addition, adding an exponent, $n$, to Eq. (16), i.e., $\xi = 1 - \left(\frac{\omega_{12}^2 + \omega_{13}^2 + \omega_{23}^2}{\omega_{11}^2 + \omega_{22}^2 + \omega_{33}^2}\right)^n$, allows $\xi'$ to be more sensitive to the variation of the vorticity moments. However, even improving the sensitivity of $\xi'$ would not provide the capability to correctly evaluate the symmetry around an axis. This is due to the inherent dependency of $\xi'$ on vorticity moments with respect to Cartesian coordinate planes. Because of this definition, there can be non-axisymmetric vortices for which $\xi' = 1$, which therefore limits the application of $\xi'$ to measuring symmetry rather than axisymmetry.

**4. Conclusions**

A quantitative measure of axisymmetry is developed based on the comparison of a naturally-formed vortex ring with equivalent axisymmetric rings, as discussed in the methods section. We tested the performance of the index in the analytical cases of Gaussian vortex rings and Hill’s spherical vortex. For these cases, the axisymmetry index, $\xi$, was found to be in close agreement with the axisymmetric nature of the rings (i.e., $\xi = 1$). Furthermore, a series of independent non-axisymmetric vortex rings were parametrically generated in three dimensions and their $\xi$ were studied versus the ratio of the maximum to minimum of circulation, radial vorticity centroid, and impulse. The axisymmetry index was found to be correlated to the second moment of vorticity about the vortex ring’s axis. As the second moment of vorticity on one side increases compared to the other side, the axisymmetry index decreases accordingly. The index was also examined in the evolution of the formed vortex ring in a realistic CFD case in which the nozzle was oval-shaped. The ring showed a monotonic increase in axisymmetry shortly after its formation.
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