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Rotator Cuff Tendon Assessment Using Magic-Angle Insensitive 3D Ultrashort Echo Time Cones Magnetization Transfer (UTE-Cones-MT) Imaging and Modeling With Histological Correlation

Yanchun Zhu, PhD,1,2 Xin Cheng, MD,1,3 Yajun Ma, PhD,1,4 Jonathan H. Wong, BS,1,4 Yaoqin Xie, PhD,2 Jiang Du, PhD,1 and Eric Y. Chang, MD4*

Background: Rotator cuff tendons (RCTs) are challenging to image due to the “magic angle effect” and their short T2. Purpose: To assess the degree of magic angle sensitivity of human RCTs and to utilize a 3D ultrashort echo time Cones sequence with magnetization transfer preparation (UTE-Cones-MT) and two-pool quantitative MT modeling with histological correlation. We hypothesized that MT parameters would be less sensitive to the magic angle compared with conventional T2 measurements. Study Type: Prospective imaging pathologic correlation. Specimen: Twenty cadaveric rotator cuff tendons were imaged at five sample orientations ranging from 0–90° relative to the B0 field. Field Strength/Sequence: 3T/3D UTE-Cones-MT and Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG). Assessment: Two-pool quantitative MT modeling parameters and T2 values were calculated in regions of interest drawn by a medical physicist. Histopathological analysis was performed and mild and severe tendinopathy groups were assigned by a histopathologist and histotechnician. Statistical Tests: Coefficients of variations (CVs) were calculated for measures between the different orientations and group means were compared for each measure. Results: CVs of T2 and macromolecular fractions between orientations were 26.14 ± 16.82% and 6.18 ± 2.77% (mean ± SD), respectively. T2 measurements at 0°, 27°, 70°, and 90° showed significant differences between the two histological groups (P = 0.004, 0.008, 0.003, and 0.015, respectively), but not at 55° (P = 0.611). Mean T2 value ranges between orientations for the mild and severe tendinopathy groups were 15.27–30.32 msec and 20.81–35.85 msec, respectively, showing overlap despite statistically significant differences (P = 0.003). Macromolecular fractions at all angles showed significant differences between the two groups (P < 0.0001). Mean fraction ranges between orientations for the mild and severe tendinopathy groups were 14.32–17.17% and 10.00–13.75% respectively (P < 0.0001) with no overlap. Data Conclusion: Compared with T2, macromolecular fraction obtained with the 3D UTE-Cones-MT technique is resistant to the magic angle effect and is more sensitive to RCT degeneration.

Level of Evidence: 1
Technical Efficacy: Stage 2

The rotator cuff tendon (RCT) is the primary dynamic stabilizer of the glenohumeral joint.1 RCT pathology is common, and is present in up to 70% of cases that seek medical attention for shoulder pain.2 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is widely considered the gold standard for noninvasive evaluation of RCT tearing, but studies have shown low performance for the assessment of RCT tissue quality. For instance, using conventional MRI techniques,
sensitivity for tendinosis ranges from 13–79% and there is exceedingly poor interobserver reliability.3,4 Unfortunately, this represents an unmet need, since tissue quality affects clinical decision making and plays a central role in the likelihood of RCT healing after surgical repair.3

Two critical barriers to the use of MRI for assessment of tendon tissue quality include the confounding factor of the "magic angle effect" and the short $T_2$ of tendons. Magic angle effect is seen in collagen-rich tissues and is due to unaveraged dipolar interactions of proton nuclear spins.5 As the tissue fiber orientation approaches 54.7° relative to the main magnetic field, frequency changes from dipolar interactions are minimized and signal intensity is maximum.6 This may be less of an issue for tendons with a more constant orientation in clinical imaging, such as the Achilles tendon, but the RCT orientation is varied and likely consistently courses through the magic angle. A previous study has reported up to a 6-fold change in signal intensity of histologically normal RCT at 3T purely due to different orientations of the shoulder.7 Such large signal intensity changes may exceed those produced by disease.

Another challenge with imaging of tendons is due to their composition and structure, which results in a very short $T_2$. In particular, the portions of RCT where fascicles tend to be oriented parallel or perpendicular to the main magnetic field have short $T_2$s, and are often seen as hypointense when imaged with conventional clinical sequences.6,7 The low signal-to-noise ratio of these portions of tendon may preclude differentiation of healthy tendon versus fibrotic tissue.

Ultrashort echo time (UTE) MRI sequences are one solution to this problem by permitting signal detection before decay to background levels.8 More recently, the UTE magnetization transfer (UTE-MT) technique with two-pool modeling was implemented on cadaveric Achilles tendons.9 The UTE-MT technique relies on the phenomenon of magnetization exchange between directly detected water protons (both free and restricted) and macromolecular protons, with transverse relaxations too short to directly image even with UTE sequences. Signal model fitting provides multiple parameters, including water and macromolecular proton fractions, as well as relaxation and exchange rates.10 UTE-MT has demonstrated promise as a clinically compatible quantitative technique that is resistant to the magic angle effect.9 However, the degree of magic angle sensitivity of the RCT has not been systematically studied and quantitative MT techniques have not yet been employed on RCT. Furthermore, it is currently unclear how sensitive MT parameters are to pathology.

The purpose of this study was to assess the degree of magic angle sensitivity of human RCT and to utilize the 3D UTE Cones sequence with magnetization transfer preparation (UTE-Cones-MT) and two-pool quantitative MT modeling with histological correlation.

Materials and Methods

Sample Preparation

This prospective study was approved by our Institutional Review Board with a waiver of informed consent for cadaveric specimen work. Written, informed consent was obtained from all living participants prior to examination. For this project, 20 RCTs were harvested from 10 donor shoulders (five females, five males; mean age 86 years old). Specimens had undergone a single freeze–thaw cycle prior to dissection and subsequent imaging. A deltopectoral approach was used to expose the superior portion of the rotator cuff. No full-thickness cuff tears were appreciated at the time of dissection in nine of the shoulders. In these cases, the supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendons were removed by cutting at the myotendinous junction and sharply dissecting the tendon from the greater tuberosity. In one shoulder, a massive superior cuff tear was present with retraction to the glenoid margin, and the scarred supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendons were removed. On average, tendon specimens measured ~4.5 cm in length, as shown in Fig. 1A. Tendons were placed in a sealed container with Fomblin to minimize dehydration and susceptibility artifact.11

MRI Protocol

Imaging was performed with a 3T clinical MRI scanner (Signa Twinspeed, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) with a maximum gradient strength of 40 mT/m and a maximum slew rate of 150 mT/m/ms. A birdcage extremity coil (BC-10, Medspira, Minneapolis, MN) was used for signal excitation and reception. The 3D UTE-Cones-MT sequence employed an MT preparation followed by multiple 3D UTE-Cones interleaves to accelerate data acquisition.10 Specifically, this sequence uses a unique k-space trajectory that samples data along evenly spaced twisting paths in the shape of multiple cones.12–14 The MT preparation consisted of a Fermi shaped radiofrequency (RF) pulse (duration = 8 msec, bandwidth = 160 Hz) followed by a gradient crusher. The 3D UTE-MT imaging parameters include: repetition time (TR) = 100 msec, echo time (TE) = 32 μs, flip angle (FA) = 7°, bandwidth (BW) = ±62.5 kHz, field of view (FOV) = 8 cm, reconstruction matrix = 128 × 128, slice thickness = 3 mm, slice number = 10–12; nine interleaves per MT preparation pulse, three MT powers (300°/550°/750°), and five MT frequency offsets (2/5/10/20/50 kHz), with a total of 15 different MT datasets, and a total scan time of ∼10 minutes. T$_1$ was measured with the 3D UTE-Cones acquisition with the same plane and spatial resolution and other imaging parameters including: TRs = 5.4/20/50/80/120 msec, TE = 32 μs, FA = 20°, BW = ±62.5 kHz. A multislice 2D Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) sequence was used for $T_2$ measurement with imaging parameters including: TR = 800 msec, TEs = 7.9/15.8/23.7/31.6/39.5/47.4/55.3/63.2 msec, FOV = 8 cm, acquisition matrix = 256 × 192, slice thickness = 3 mm, slice space = 0.6 mm, BW = ±32.5 kHz. The same protocol was applied to each RCT five times, with the long-axis of the tendons oriented 0°, 27°, 55°, 70°, and 90° relative to the $B_0$ field.
In Vivo MRI Protocol
To demonstrate in vivo feasibility, the 3D UTE-Cones-MT sequence was applied to three healthy volunteers (three males; 34.0 ± 4.6 years, mean ± standard deviation [SD]). A three-channel shoulder coil was used for signal reception. The 3D UTE-Cones-MT sequence was acquired in the coronal oblique plane with imaging parameters including: TR = 100 msec, TE = 32 μs, FA = 7°, BW = ± 62.5 kHz, FOV = 17 cm, reconstruction matrix = 256 × 256, slice thickness = 3 mm, slice number = 20; 11 interleaves per MT preparation pulse, three MT powers (300°/550°/750°), and five MT frequency offsets (2/5/10/20/50 kHz), with a total of 15 different MT datasets, and a total scan time of 15 minutes. T1 was measured with the 3D UTE-Cones acquisition with the same plane, spatial resolution, and other imaging parameters including: TR = 20, TE = 32 μs, FA = 5/10/20/30°, BW = ±62.5 kHz, with a total scan time of ~5 minutes. In addition, a 3D dual-TR UTE-Cones sequence was also used for actual flip angle imaging similar to that previously described15 in order to correct for B1 inhomogeneity as a result of off-isocenter imaging, with a total scan time of ~5 minutes.

MRI Analysis
Analysis was performed using MatLab (MathWorks, 2016b, Natick, MA) on the Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) images obtained by the protocols described above. Rigid body linear image registration was performed using the Functional MRI of the Brain (FMRIB) Linear Image Registration Tool among different angle orientations to ensure that the same anatomic location was compared.16,17 Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn using the UTE-Cones images without MT preparation with an average of 30 pixels per ROI (Y.Z., who was blinded to the histology results). UTE-Cones images were used to minimize selection bias since the images demonstrated a relatively uniform appearance. ROIs for T2 measurements were adjusted based on matrix sizes of CPMG and T1 images with an average of 60 pixels per ROI. In cases where the ROIs were found by the senior author (E.Y.C.) to encompass regions that were extremely heterogeneous on histology (eg, locations where a confident histology score could not be assigned), the ROIs were redrawn.

A Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm was employed for the nonlinear least-squares fitting in MT modeling, as well as T1 and T2 fitting. Two-pool UTE-Cones-MT modeling and parameter mapping were performed on the datasets using previously described methods.9,10 ROI analyses were performed and mean and standard deviation of T2 relaxation and macromolecular proton fractions were calculated.

Histologic Preparation and Analysis
After MRI protocols were fully completed, tendons were fixed in 10% zinc formalin, dehydrated with alcohol, and embedded in paraffin. The 7-μm sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). On average, 30 slides were made per RCT specimen, sampling various regions of each tendon. The senior author (E.Y.C.) located the slides corresponding to the ROIs drawn on MRI and a pathologist (X.C., 19 years of experience in histopathology) and histotechnician (J.H.W., 16 years of experience in histopathology), who were both blinded to the MRI results,
independently applied a modified Bonar score. Specifically, tendons were evaluated for changes in tenocyte morphologic characteristics, collagen bundle characteristics, and variations in vascularity, with scores in each category ranging from 0 to 3. Two groups were assigned, defined as those with mild tendinopathy (Bonar score < 3) or with severe tendinopathy (Bonar score ≥ 3). After the provided slides corresponding to the MRI ROIs were scored, all histology slides were qualitatively assessed.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software package (v. 21; SPSS, Chicago, IL). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess normality. Two-way mixed intraclass correlation (ICC) coefficients were used to assess interrater reliability for determination of Bonar scores. (ICC < 0.40, poor agreement; ≥ 0.40 and < 0.60, moderate agreement; ≥ 0.60 and < 0.80, substantial agreement; and ≥ 0.80, excellent agreement). Descriptive statistics were performed and coefficient of variations (CVs, representing the ratio of standard deviation to the mean) were calculated for MRI measures between the different orientations. Student’s t test was performed to compare means of each MRI measure for the Bonar < 3 and Bonar ≥ 3 groups. P < 0.05 was considered to represent significant differences.

Results
All RCTs were heterogeneous in appearance on both MRI pixel maps and when assessed histologically. For the ROI analyses, seven demonstrated mild tendinopathy (Bonar scores < 3), with the rest demonstrating severe tendinopathy (Bonar scores ≥ 3). ICC coefficient for Bonar scores between the two readers was 0.89 (P < 0.0001), indicating excellent agreement. Using binarized categories of Bonar scores < 3 or ≥ 3, histology readers agreed perfectly on all cases.

A representative RCT sample is shown in Fig. 1, with results of both T2 fitting and UTE-Cones-MT modeling for the supraspinatus tendon oriented at 0° and 55°. Tendon signal intensity varied tremendously on CPMG images between angles and this was reflected by a vast variation of T2 values, which for the ROI demonstrated, increased from 12.78 msec at 0° to 29.33 msec at 55° (129% increase). The UTE-Cones-MT images demonstrated abundant signal on all orientations with resultant excellent fitting (residuals between 0.5–0.6%). The macromolecular fraction remains relatively constant from 13.74% at 0° to 14.16% at 55°, representing a 3% difference.

Figure 2 shows a supraspinatus tendon sample from an 81-year-old woman. Both T2 and macromolecular fraction pixel maps are heterogeneous. Magic angle effects complicate the interpretation of T2 pixel maps, whereas the macromolecular fraction demonstrates very little variability between angles. ROI analysis in an area with less degeneration at the bursal side shows a lower mean T2 value.
compared with an area with more degeneration in the intra-substance region (35.85 msec vs. 22.14 msec, respectively). However, there was a large range of values at individual angles (29.85–42.58 msec vs. 19.75–29.40 msec, respectively). Mean macromolecular fractions were higher in regions of less degeneration (15.25% vs. 11.05%). Of note, however, the range of values at individual angles was narrow and the values between groups were well separated (14.38–16.05% vs. 10.58–11.42%).

A sample with an ROI that was histologically normal (Bonar score 0) is shown in Fig. 3. Mean T2 value was 19.83 msec, but a range of 12.24–29.44 msec was seen, representing a 141% change between the two orientations. Mean macromolecular fraction was 16.68%, with a range of 16.29–17.16%, representing a 5% change between orientations.

FIGURE 3: Infraspinatus tendon ROI that was histologically normal. (a) Sample spin-echo image and T2 pixel maps at 55° and 90° demonstrate tremendous variability in T2 values between orientations. For the ROI shown, T2 values ranged from 12.24–29.44 msec, with a 141% change between the two orientations. (b) Sample UTE-Cones-MT image with macromolecular fraction pixel maps at 55° and 90° show stable values between orientations. For the ROI shown, macromolecular fractions ranged from 16.29–17.16%, representing a 5% change between orientations. (c) H&E slide demonstrates a total Bonar Score of 0 (normal cells, collagen, and vascularity).

A sample with an ROI that was histologically normal (Bonar score 0) is shown in Fig. 3. Mean T2 value was 19.83 msec, but a range of 12.24–29.44 msec was seen, representing a 141% change between orientations. Mean macromolecular fraction was 16.68% with a range of 16.29–17.16%, representing a 5% change between orientations. Another sample with minimal degeneration is shown in Fig. 4. Mean T2 value was 30.32 msec with a range of 17.09–68.19 msec, representing a 299% change between orientations. Mean macromolecular fraction was 14.76% with a range of 14.16–15.08%, representing a 6% change between orientations.

One of the samples with the highest Bonar scores is shown in Fig. 5. Mean T2 value was 33.36 msec, with a range of 31.25–34.80 msec, representing an 11% change between orientations. Mean macromolecular fraction was 10.88%, with a range of 10.08–11.74%, representing a 16% change between orientations. Less orientation dependence of T2 was present in the severely degenerated samples. As Figs. 3–5 show, mean T2 values increase in trend with degeneration; however, the range of values between orientations demonstrate considerable overlap. In contrast, the range of values for the macromolecular fractions demonstrate little to no overlap.

Bar graphs with 95% confidence intervals are shown in Fig. 6, illustrating several overlapping mean T2 values when orientations are compared between groups. Specifically, for mean T2 values, a range of 15.27–30.32 msec was seen for the mild tendinopathy group and a range of 20.81–35.85 msec was seen for the severe tendinopathy group. On the other hand, no overlap was seen for the mean macromolecular fractions, with a range of 14.32–17.17% for the mild tendinopathy group and 10.00–13.75% for the severe tendinopathy group.

For all samples, mean CV of T2 values between orientations was 26.14 ± 16.82% (mean ± SD) and mean CV of macromolecular fraction was 6.18 ± 2.77% (mean ± SD). Mean T2 and macromolecular fractions for tendon groups with mild tendinopathy (Bonar <3) versus severe tendinopathy (Bonar ≥3) are shown in Tables 1 and 2.
respectively. Using $T_2$ measurements, four of the five angles ($0^\circ$, $27^\circ$, $70^\circ$, and $90^\circ$) and the mean value of all angles showed significant differences between the two groups (Table 1). Using macromolecular fractions, all angles and the mean value of all angles showed significant differences between the two groups (Table 2).

In vivo feasibility was demonstrated on the three healthy volunteers, yielding high-quality images with minimal artifacts (Fig. 7). ROI analysis of the distal supraspinatus tendons near the critical zone of Codman showed excellent fitting curves, with a macromolecular fraction of $14.47 \pm 0.27$ (mean $\pm$ SD).

**Discussion**

In this study we utilized the 3D UTE-Cones-MT sequence with two-pool MT modeling on cadaveric RCTs. Our results demonstrate that macromolecular fractions are more resistant to the magic angle effect compared with $T_2$ relaxation measurements and demonstrate higher performance for distinguishing between degrees of tendinopathy. Hodgson et al previously used a 2D version of the technique to assess the Achilles tendons of eight healthy volunteers and one patient with psoriatic arthritis. They similarly found that the clinically pathologic tendon demonstrated a lower macromolecular fraction than all eight volunteers. Ma et al also previously used the 2D UTE-MT technique on Achilles tendons and found minimal angular dependence with mean macromolecular fractions ranging from 19.6–20.0%. Ma et al also recently used a 3D UTE-Cones-MT technique on cortical bone samples and showed mean macromolecular fractions of 59.4%. Our results demonstrate that a lower range of macromolecular fractions are expected from the unique RCT in comparison to the Achilles tendon or cortical bone. Furthermore, our results suggest that a lower macromolecular fraction appears to be associated with worse tissue degeneration.

$T_2$ values are sensitive to water and macromolecular content, and differences in relaxation times have long been used to differentiate between normal and abnormal tissues. However, in collagen-rich anisotropic tissues, $T_2$ values are
TABLE 1. Comparison of T2 Values Between Mild and Severe Tendinopathy Groups for Various Orientations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>0° T2 (msec)</th>
<th>27° T2 (msec)</th>
<th>55° T2 (msec)</th>
<th>70° T2 (msec)</th>
<th>90° T2 (msec)</th>
<th>Mean all angles T2 (msec)</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonar &lt; 3</td>
<td>15.37 ± 3.46</td>
<td>17.00 ± 6.38</td>
<td>29.97 ± 18.53</td>
<td>22.84 ± 3.78</td>
<td>22.54 ± 3.86</td>
<td>21.54 ± 4.67</td>
<td>37.51 ± 17.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonar ≥ 3</td>
<td>25.92 ± 7.60</td>
<td>26.04 ± 5.64</td>
<td>33.15 ± 5.28</td>
<td>32.09 ± 6.13</td>
<td>29.66 ± 5.97</td>
<td>29.37 ± 4.54</td>
<td>18.18 ± 10.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. Comparison of Macromolecular Fractions Between Mild and Severe Tendinopathy Groups for Various Orientations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>0° Macromolecular fraction (%)</th>
<th>27° Macromolecular fraction (%)</th>
<th>55° Macromolecular fraction (%)</th>
<th>70° Macromolecular fraction (%)</th>
<th>90° Macromolecular fraction (%)</th>
<th>Mean all angles Macromolecular fraction (%)</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonar &lt; 3</td>
<td>16.10 ± 1.25</td>
<td>15.64 ± 1.19</td>
<td>15.64 ± 1.24</td>
<td>15.92 ± 1.56</td>
<td>15.98 ± 1.34</td>
<td>15.86 ± 1.16</td>
<td>4.09 ± 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonar ≥ 3</td>
<td>11.97 ± 1.51</td>
<td>12.15 ± 1.27</td>
<td>11.32 ± 1.67</td>
<td>11.35 ± 0.93</td>
<td>11.27 ± 1.01</td>
<td>11.61 ± 1.06</td>
<td>7.64 ± 2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
exquisitely sensitive to orientation. In cartilage, Shao et al found that $T_2$ values can increase by 232% depending on orientation.$^{22}$ In Achilles tendon, Ma et al found up to a 6-fold increase in $T_2^*$ depending on orientation.$^9$ We have now shown similar changes in RCT with up to a 299% change in $T_2$ values depending on orientation. It is important to point out that anisotropy leads to variations in $T_2$ values, including both increases and decreases, depending on the reference orientation.$^{23–25}$ Spencer and Pleshko highlighted a fundamental limitation of $T_2$—specificity that a statistical significance of group means in a research setting does not necessarily translate into a clinically useful outcome measure for detection of disease on an individual level.$^{26}$ Utilizing a single $T_2$ relaxation value for differentiation between normal and abnormal cartilage leads to an estimated sensitivity of 82% and specificity of 84% when the highest quality data (acquired on a high-performance nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer) is available.$^{26}$ Our results mirror this observation, whereby the range $T_2$ values of mild versus severely degenerated tendons overlapped for all angles, despite demonstrating statistical significance between means for 3 of 5 angles evaluated.

Few studies to date have utilized quantitative MRI on RCTs and, to the best of our knowledge, all have been measures of transverse relaxation time ($T_2$ or $T_2^*$).$^{27–29}$ The $T_2$ values in our sample are within the range of those reported by Anz et al, who evaluated supraspinatus tendons in 30 asymptomatic volunteers and found mean $T_2$ values ranging from 30–40 msec, although large standard deviations were present.$^{27}$ Ganal et al evaluated 50 subjects (15 volunteers, 11 patients with tendinosis, and 24 patients with tears) and found statistically significant differences in 3 of 12 supraspinatus tendon regions when compared between groups.$^{28}$ Most tendon regions demonstrated tremendous overlap in $T_2$ values between groups, and even in those regions that demonstrated statistically significant differences, overlapping ranges were present, consistent with our findings.

Our study has several limitations. First, this was primarily a cadaveric study with a selection bias of elderly patients. While Anz et al did not find a relationship between $T_2$ values and age,$^{27}$ the relationship between age and MT parameters remains to be studied, and therefore caution should be exercised prior to extrapolation of our results to a younger population. Second, use of the Bonar score remains under debate. Fearon et al found that the extent of tendon degeneration as assessed by the Bonar score varied depending on the area that was graded.$^{30}$ Our study attempted to overcome this by utilizing ROIs that were more histologically homogenous, but this was not standardized. Third, relaxation times are temperature-dependent$^{31–33}$ and the RCTs in our experiments were imaged at room temperature. In particular, $T_1$ would be expected to increase from room temperature to body temperature. However, preliminary results from our healthy volunteers suggest that macromolecular fractions between specimens and in vivo are comparable. Fourth, the UTE-Cones-MT sequence is not yet commercially available. However, utilization of this sequence only requires software installation without hardware modifications. Of note, the UTE sequence has been successfully employed on MRI machines from every major vendor. Finally, our UTE-Cones-MT imaging parameters were not optimized for the in vivo condition, since our study focused on cadaveric specimens. A number of acceleration techniques are possible, including lowering the total number of datasets (fewer powers and/or frequency offsets) from the 15 that were utilized in this study. In addition, 20 slices were obtained for each volunteer of this study; fewer slices would directly decrease imaging times. Utilization of advanced acceleration techniques such as parallel imaging or compressed sensing reconstruction$^{34}$ could further optimize imaging times, although the impact of this on quantification also remains to be studied.

In conclusion, we found that anisotropy has a large effect on $T_2$ values and a very small effect on

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)
macromolecular fractions as obtained through the 3D UTE-Cones-MT technique. The large variability of $T_2$ values limits the ability of this measure for distinguishing between mild and severe tendinopathy as measured through histology. In comparison, the macromolecular fraction is able to be used to distinguish between mild and severe tendinopathy at all angles and the range of values between groups are better separated when compared with $T_2$.
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