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The human body has been shaped by natural selection during the past 4–5 million years. Fossils preserve bones and teeth but lack muscle, skin, fat, and organs. To understand the evolution of the human form, information about both soft and hard tissues of our ancestors is needed. Our closest living relatives of the genus Pan provide the best comparative model to those ancestors. Here, we present data on the body composition of 13 bonobos (Pan paniscus) measured during anatomical dissections and compare the data with Homo sapiens. These comparative data suggest that both females and males (i) increased body fat, (ii) decreased relative muscle mass, (iii) redistributed muscle mass to lower limbs, and (iv) decreased relative mass of skin during human evolution. Comparison of soft tissues between Pan and Homo provides new insights into the function and evolution of body composition.
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The human body has been shaped by natural selection during the past 4–5 million years. The large brain and expanded neurocranium of Homo sapiens (1,100–1,550 cm³) is triple the size of closely related chimpanzees (Pan, 275–420 cm³) and fossil australopithecines (e.g., Australopithecus afarensis AL-444, 550 cm³; Australopithecus africanus Taung, 382 cm³; and Australopithecus sediba MH1, 420 cm³) (1–6). Long lower limbs in humans accommodate habitual bipedality and contrast with the relatively short lower limbs of quadrupedal African apes. These changes in limb proportions can be tracked across millions of years of australopithecine and early Homo remains, (e.g., partial skeletons of Au. afarensis AL-288 “Lucy,” Au. africanaus STS 14, Homo erectus WT 15000 “Nariokotome Boy”) (7–9).

Fossils, even relatively complete ones, preserve only bone, one component of body composition and a small proportion of body mass. The remaining muscle, skin, fat, and vital organs that make up the other 85% do not leave a record, although, separately and together, they underpin locomotor activity, energetics, health, and reproduction (10–14). There has been much speculation about their interrelationships. The “expensive tissue hypothesis” attempts to explain the threefold expansion of the human brain (15–18). It argues that because human brain tissue requires a disproportionately high energy supply, its increase during evolution necessitated a compensatory decrease in another component, the gastrointestinal tract (18). Another hypothesis suggests that body fat in australopithecines was as high as the body fat of modern humans (19). Tests of hypotheses about the evolution of body composition require a comparative database, one that includes the major tissues.

One way to fill in the missing information is to compare human body composition with the body composition of our closest living relatives, members of the genus Pan (20, 21). Few such comparative data are available on apes (but cf. 22–25). The rarity of apes in captivity, their long lives, and the logistics of obtaining relatively complete bodies for dissection pose challenges for accumulating a comparative sample. Here, we present a unique dataset on the body composition of Pan paniscus, one of the two species of Pan (26). These data provide a comparison with H. sapiens and a basis for assessing evolutionary change in the major components of the body from an ape-like ancestor to modern humans.

Results
Muscle, bone, skin, and fat as a percentage of total body mass for six female and seven male adult P. paniscus individuals are shown in Table 1.

In body mass, females average 34,278.3 g and males average 42,676.3 g. The values differ significantly (ANOVA: F1,11 = 9.498, P = 0.010). Removal of the three captive-born individuals from the total sample does not change the significance of sexual dimorphism in body mass (ANOVA: F1,9 = 8.806, P = 0.018). The ranges included in this sample fall well within body masses that have been previously published for female and male wild P. paniscus (27). In this sample, sexual dimorphism in body mass is 80.3%, similar to previously published results for a P. paniscus sample (78.4%, n = 18) (28).

In brain size, females average 337.7 mL and males average 365.3 mL. The values differ significantly in this small sample (ANOVA: F1,11 = 6.4, P = 0.028). However, in a larger sample of 56 individuals, no significant sex difference is exhibited (3). Because both body mass and brain size are available for each individual (Table 1), we are able to calculate the ratio of brain size to body mass, which is higher for females than males (0.99 vs. 0.86), a catarrhine pattern for species with moderate to extreme sex differences in body mass (29).

Bone averages about 13% of body mass and shows little sex difference (females, 13.4%; males, 13.0%). Skin average is higher in females at 13.0% compared with males at 10.8%.

Significance
During human evolution, the body changed in shape, partially to accommodate bipedal locomotion. Concurrently, brain size underwent a three-fold increase recorded in evidence from fossils and from comparative anatomy of chimpanzees, Homo sapiens' closest living relatives. Because soft tissues like muscle, skin, and fat do not fossilize, and little information is available on these components for the genus Pan, reconstructing tissue changes has primarily relied on what is known about humans. This study presents unique quantitative data on major body components of muscle, bone, skin, and fat of 13 bonobos (Pan paniscus) for interpreting evolutionary forces that have shaped the human form for survival in a savanna mosaic environment.
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Body fat among females that could be dissected from the necropsied trunk and limbs ranges from less than 1–8.6%, whereas all males had negligible amounts in these regions, less than 0.01%, an observation we noted during dissections. These fat estimates are minimum values (Materials and Methods).

Muscle in females averages 37.4% of total body mass, ranging from 30.1–44.1%. Males have more than half of their body mass as muscle, 51.6% on average, ranging from 48.0–56.1%. There is no overlap with females. Although muscle mass differs between females and males, its distribution to the limbs is similar. Muscle acting on the upper limbs averages 36.4% of total muscle mass in females and 35.0% in males. Muscle acting on the lower limbs averages 44.0% in females and 47.2% in males (Table 1).

Discussion

Body Composition in H. sapiens.

Direct measure. Body composition data for *H. sapiens* are derived from both direct and indirect methods. Direct dissection distinguishes the main gross anatomical components of body composition. Only a few such studies are available on *H. sapiens* because manual separation of the components is tedious at best (30), and it is difficult to collect a representative sample. The most robust dissection results come from a Belgian study of 51 individuals (31, 32). Few were prime adults, and only a small number died accidently. These conditions may have distorted the effects of age, poor health, or disease on body composition (cf. 32). Even with these drawbacks, the study is of interest because it measures all body components (muscle, bone, skin, and fat) for each individual, and therefore provides a basis for comparison with data collected in this study (Table 2).

The *H. sapiens* dissection data parallel the pattern of sex differences in the *P. paniscus* sample in that body mass overlaps slightly in women and men, although men are heavier and have more muscle and less fat. The Belgian sample on older *H. sapiens* may slightly underestimate the average percentage of muscle and slightly overrepresent the percentage of body fat, because both women and men from settled and industrial populations tend to lose muscle and gain fat as they age (14, 33–35).

Bone mass averages are similar in *Homo* and *Pan* samples, around 13%, although the Belgian group has a wider range. Available information on other apes shows *Gorilla* and *Pongo* bone averages 12–13% (23–25).

Skin contributes the least mass to body composition in *H. sapiens* and, combined with muscle, comprises a lower percentage of body mass compared with those tissues in *P. paniscus*. Skin has a higher average in gorillas and orangutans at 14–15% (23–25).

The regional distribution of muscle in *H. sapiens* contrasts significantly with the regional distribution of muscle in *P. paniscus* and other apes. Of total muscle mass in *H. sapiens*, more than half acts on the lower limbs and only a fifth acts on the upper limbs (36, 37), whereas a third of *P. paniscus* muscle acts on the upper limbs (Fig. 1).

Indirect measure. Research on the body composition of *H. sapiens* receives considerable attention because it assists in assessing an individual’s physical fitness, clinical health, and disease (diabetes, obesity, and amenorrhea), and growth and development (10, 34, 38, 39). Most of this research relies on the application of indirect, noninvasive methods on living subjects, such as anthropometry, bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), computed tomography and MRI scans, and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (10, 14, 34, 39, 40). Each indirect technique varies in its precision and in the

### Table 1. Age, body mass, cranial capacity, and relative percentages of major tissues for 13 *P. paniscus* individuals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>Body mass, g</th>
<th>Brain, mL</th>
<th>Muscle, %</th>
<th>Muscle, % forelimb</th>
<th>Muscle, % hind limb</th>
<th>Bone, %</th>
<th>Skin, %</th>
<th>Fat, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF1* 11.5</td>
<td>35,500</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF2 24</td>
<td>37,170</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>10.1†</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF3 24</td>
<td>30,500</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF4 33</td>
<td>31,400</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF5 54</td>
<td>35,100</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF6 57</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Males: identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>no. and age, y</th>
<th>Body mass, g</th>
<th>Brain, mL</th>
<th>Muscle, %</th>
<th>Muscle, % forelimb</th>
<th>Muscle, % hind limb</th>
<th>Bone, %</th>
<th>Skin, %</th>
<th>Fat, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM1 11</td>
<td>36,364</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2* 15</td>
<td>53,070</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM3 21</td>
<td>40,900</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM4 22</td>
<td>36,500</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM5* 25</td>
<td>48,600</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>9.6†</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM6 32</td>
<td>40,800</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM7 38</td>
<td>42,500</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a., not available; PF, paniscus female; PM, paniscus male.

*Born captive.

†Minimum estimate due to necropsy damage.
robusticity of the samples (cf. 38), and no one technique can independently measure all body tissues of the same individual. Based on average physical dimensions derived from anthropometric measurements on thousands of individuals from Western populations, Albert Behnke developed a theoretical ‘reference woman’ and ‘reference man’ (14) to use as a comparative framework. The reference woman, between 20 and 24 y of age, has 36% muscle of total body mass, 12% bone, and 24–31% fat, and the reference man has 43% muscle and 12–20% fat (14, 41, 42). Averages for body fat, typically 25% for women and 15% for men, are proposed as a basis for evaluation in diverse groups, such as athletes and underweight or obese individuals (14).

Body fat is a particular focus of study because of its wide-ranging implications for an individual’s nutritional status and activity level. Furthermore, body fat can be relatively easy to measure in individuals in the field using anthropometry and BIA. Studies on non-Western populations are particularly useful because they provide the environmental and cultural context to evaluate the effects of subsistence activities, caloric level, and seasonal shortage of food on weight fluctuations, typically in body fat (19, 43–48) (Table 3).

Hadza hunter-gatherers, who live in a savanna-woodland habitat in northern Tanzania, have a high activity level, because both women and men traverse the landscape daily to collect food they bring back to camp, and exhibit lower levels of body fat than the Behnke model (19.0% females and 10.6% males). Ariaal pastoral nomads, camel herders in the desert of northern Kenya, live in conditions of chronic undernutrition and have low average body fat (6.2%), indicating acute undernutrition (49). The Turkana pastoral nomads in northern Kenya also experience chronic undernutrition and carry a relatively high disease burden. Male body fat (5.7%) is near the lowest threshold sustainable for humans (39).

Settled populations have fewer precarious food shortages and lower activity levels and slightly higher fat reserves than their nomadic counterparts. The Ariaal, who live at higher altitudes as cattle herders and farmers, have less food stress and somewhat higher body fat than their nomadic counterparts, although still low (7.7%). The settled Turkana men have significantly more body fat (9.1%) than the Turkana nomads, in part due to better nutrition.

An urban population in Harare, Zimbabwe is intermediate in nutrition and activity between settled Kenyan populations and Western populations (34, 50). The Zimbabwe men are mildly underweight, with average body fat at 14.8%, but are not under severe nutritional stress. An urban population sample of healthy adults in Geneva, Switzerland shows men with 19.7% average body fat, similar to the direct dissection data from the Belgium study (32) (20.3%, Table 3) but higher than estimated for the Behnke model, whereas the Geneva women had body fat (28.8%) within the range calculated for the Behnke model (24–31%).

These studies demonstrate that the contribution of body fat to body composition varies broadly depending on subsistence base and seasonal caloric intake, activity level, and sex. Lower overall body fat in the Hadza nomads compared with settled populations may reflect seasonal fluctuations of resources in this environment. Fat reserves in ecologies where caloric intake fluctuates provide a useful survival strategy (43, 51). Urban dwellers have lower activity levels and more consistent access to food than do hunter-gatherer or nomadic populations, as well as higher body fat. Even with severe nutritional stress and high activity levels, men in non-Western societies maintain body fat. Human body fat values as low as 4–6% lead to cannibalization of muscle tissue for body maintenance, and thus have a negative impact on health (39).

**Implications for Human Evolution.**

**Body fat.** The negligible measurable fat in all seven *P. paniscus* males was unexpected, overriding captivity, age, and body mass. Among wild chimpanzees, there is little indication of an ability to mobilize fat stores during times of caloric restriction, a key adaptive feature found in orangutans and possibly to a lesser degree in gorillas (24, 52, 53). Without selection pressure for storage fat, and with over half of body mass in muscle, the male *P. paniscus* does not easily accumulate body fat, even under optimal circumstances of captivity. Remarkably, none of the males and females manifested detrimental health as a consequence of having little fat, in stark contrast to *H. sapiens*.

There is evidence in female *P. paniscus* that fluctuation in body fat is associated with reproductive history. Individual *paniscus* female 1 (PF1) with the most body fat was lactating at the time of death and had considerable fat in her breasts, trunk, and limbs. Individual *paniscus* female 4 (PF4) died within 1 wk after giving birth to a full-term offspring, her first, and had not fat deposits in the trunk and limbs. Individual *paniscus* female 3 (PF3) had no offspring or pregnancies during her life, had remarkably low levels of dissecetable fat, and stood out as having the highest percentage of muscle mass among the females (44.1%, Table 1). Body fat is also sexually dimorphic in some monkeys, as well as in gorillas and orangutans (23–25), and has a demonstrated role in reproduction (54–56). The same is true for *H. sapiens* (19, 43–48).

**Table 3.** Means and SDs of percentage of body fat for selected human groups, adults only, divided by sex when available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Body fat, %</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Sample size, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hadza nomads (51) in Tanzania</td>
<td>19.0 ± 7.0 F</td>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>235 (F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.6 ± 3.2 M</td>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>238 (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ariaal nomads (49) in Kenya</td>
<td>6.2 ± 3.1 M</td>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ariaal settled (49) in Kenya</td>
<td>7.7 ± 3.8 M</td>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkana nomads (33) in Kenya</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2.1 M</td>
<td>SK</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkana settled (33) in Kenya</td>
<td>9.1 ± 3.6 M</td>
<td>SK</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (50) in Zimbabwe</td>
<td>14.8 ± 6.8 M</td>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (34) in Switzerland</td>
<td>28.8 ± 6.6 F</td>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>2,490 (F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.7 ± 5.6 M</td>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>2,735 (M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SK, skinfolds.
In the course of human evolution from early australopithecines onward, the ability to store and mobilize body fat must have played an increasing role in successful reproduction (43, 44, 57, 58), coupled with the shift to bipedal locomotion (59). We posit that early australopithecine females, such as \textit{P. paniscus}, put on more body fat than the males and had the ability to vary their adiposity with reproductive cycles. We conclude that body fat was sexually dimorphic in australopithecines, as it is for \textit{P. paniscus}, variable in females but consistently low in males: as high as 8–10% in females and 2–3% in males. Although Wells (19) suggests that both female and male australopithecines had 11–13% body fat, our data suggest, to the contrary, it is unlikely that male australopithecines approached the level of body fat found in male Hazda hunter-gatherers.

It may be that in early \textit{Homo} and \textit{H. erectus}, with the increase in brain size (60) and body mass (61), fat began to play a more significant role in female reproduction. As the early \textit{Homo} species expanded out of Africa, the ability to put on, store, and mobilize body fat provided a clear adaptive advantage for both females and males as a backup against extremes of food availability in a variety of environments, as well as mitigating adverse effects on pregnancy and lactation in females. Although body fat for males may not be as critical for reproduction as it is for females, we suspect that male \textit{H. erectus} would have needed an estimated 7–8% body fat as a buffer against “seasonal hunger” (43, 45, 46). In order for females of ancestral species of \textit{Homo} to nourish larger branded infants without the extended developmental period characteristic for \textit{H. sapiens} (62), they probably needed additional body fat, in the range of 12–14%.

Increased body fat during human evolution reflected more than one selective pressure. Females experienced increased nutritional demands for successful reproduction and for maintenance of a high level of activity, walking several miles a day collecting and carrying food and dependent infants (cf. 44). These needs were compounded by pronounced seasonal variation in food sources characteristic of the tropical savanna mosaic (63) and the unpredictability of new environments as early \textit{Homo} species expanded their home ranges regionally and geographically.

\textbf{Muscle.} Muscle is overall the heaviest body tissue in \textit{P. paniscus} and underpins locomotor function (14, 54, 65). Muscle size is not only sexually dimorphic, with females ranging between 30.1% and 44.1% and males ranging between 56.1% and 58.0% of total body mass of the ape ancestors. Femur length in this \textit{Au. afarensis} at 280 mm (8) is similar to \textit{P. paniscus} femur length at 290 mm (69, 70), whereas the shorter AL-288 humerus at 255 mm falls below the \textit{P. paniscus} average at 285 mm (69, 70). The humeral/femoral index in AL 288 of 84 thus indicates a shift to a more human index of 75, reduced from the bonobo index of 98 (8, 70). This index signals that \textit{Au. afarensis} deemphasized the upper limbs in locomotion, a conclusion concordant with our proposal of a decrease in overall muscularity.

Because the longer lower limbs of \textit{H. erectus} WT 15,000 (9) approached the condition of \textit{H. sapiens}, muscle mass must have decreased further in the shoulder and upper limbs and increased in the hip and thigh. A restructured body with relatively longer legs and additional muscle to maximize lower limb function contributed to an energetically efficient biped. At this point in human evolution, the changes in the locomotor system of \textit{Homo} further facilitated long-distance bipedal walking and running (71) as species of this genus dispersed out of Africa to distant regions in central and south Asia.

\textbf{Skin.} African apes’ hairy skin constitutes between 10% and 16% of their total body mass (24, 25). Long hair shafts and follicles grow in a relatively thick epidermis. This epidermis has patchy, inconsistent areas of pigmentation under the body hair. Eccrine sweat glands, common on the hairless palms and soles of all primates, are also present on chimpanzees’ hairy trunk and limbs (72–74). However, these sweat glands do not respond to heat stimulation (73).

Human skin accounts for only a small fraction of body mass: 6% on average. \textit{H. sapiens} is unique among primates in that its eccrine sweat glands respond to thermal stimulation (74) triggered by external heat from direct solar radiation and from heat internally generated by muscle action during walking and running. The sweat glands give off moisture, and through evaporation, they cool the body. Reduced hair shafts and smooth skin facilitate the evaporation process. Hair shafts are so reduced over most of the body that the skin appears naked (74).

Human skin is uniformly pigmented. However, in the absence of ape-like hair covering, UV radiation can penetrate the epidermis, cause damage to the DNA, interrupt protein production, and destroy vitamins in the body (75). Pigmentation throughout the skin forms a protective shield against radiation (74, 75). The functional complex of skin shields the body from UV damage through pigmentation and, through sweating, acts to moderate overheating, thereby enabling long-distance walking and work effort even in hot regions with direct sunlight (76).

Most populations of \textit{Pan} live in forest or woodland habitats with tolerable ambient temperatures. However, some populations endure in hot, dry areas, with open grasslands and limited tree cover (77–81). These chimpanzees can travel freely in the open terrestrial areas during the wet season when air temperatures are tolerable, but during the dry season when temperatures are high, their activities are restricted to areas with shade. At Fongoli, Senegal, at the hottest times of the year, chimpanzees retreat into caves as shelters, where temperatures are significantly cooler than in open habitats (81).

Behavioral and anatomical adaptations to heat stress must have been initiated early in human evolution, concurrent with the initial expansion of australopithcine species across several savanna mosaic regions in eastern and southern Africa. To exploit open, high-sunlight areas without continuous tree cover, the australopithecines must have begun to develop the ability to maintain year-round use of all parts of their range, facilitated by an air-conditioning sweating mechanism (82). Keeping the body and especially the brain cool was essential for survival in this new habitat (83).

The functional complex of eccrine gland secretion, reduced hair shafts on trunk and limbs, and continuous pigmentation must have been fully developed by early \textit{Homo} species, as they walked and ran long distances, fully exploited resources of the savanna mosaic, and expanded their geographic range out of Africa.
Once prominent hair shafts became reduced and the skin surface became “naked,” sensory and immune functions of skin may have increased significantly compared with our primate relatives. Bare skin heightens the sense of touch over the body and plays an important role in human bonding and communication. The skin’s surfaces harbor multiple species of microorganisms and create an ecosystem that contributes to the health of the human species (e.g., 84–86). Even in early Homo, these profound changes in the skin probably approached those changes found in modern humans. Such adaptive features freed early Homo from temperature- and activity-level restrictions and allowed bipedal hominids to exploit regions of the African savanna mosaic not previously available to their great ape relatives.

Summary and Conclusions

Comparison of the body composition of P. paniscus with the body composition of H. sapiens helps elucidate the human body’s evolutionary history. The savanna mosaic with mixed vegetation, seasonal rainfall, and fluctuating food availability presented challenges for evolving hominins. Habitual bipedal locomotion and an increase in daily travel allowed early hominins to forage more broadly over terrestrial landscapes, even with limited shade. The combinations of habitat, caloric, reproductive, and health-related selective pressures acted on body components of fat, muscle, and skin.

In the changing environment, different body tissues adapted in particular ways. Increased ability to store fat in both females and males served as a buffer during seasonal fluctuations and unpredictable food resources. For females, storing fat enhanced effective pregnancy and lactation to nourish larger brained infants without extended developmental periods. Decreased muscularity accompanied the new method of locomotion. Muscle redistributed to the hips and thighs for efficient bipedalism. Reorganized and reduced skin tissue evolved to facilitate heat dissipation, cellular protection, and immune function.

The fossil record preserves primarily cranial, facial, and dental remains and relatively few postcranial bones that, together, represent only 15% of body mass. Comparing the body composition of our closest living relatives with the body composition of Homo sapiens, we can address the other 85% and extend our perspective on how natural selection has shaped the human body.

Materials and Methods

Sample. Our research did not involve live animals or experiments. We collected the individuals after death for dissection. Thirteen captive adult individuals from zoos and research institutions died of natural causes and were made available for postmortem study over a 35-y period. Includes and immune functions of skin

Another individual, panicus female 3 (PF3), was not necropsied and was dissected completely intact.

Analysis. Each component tissue is calculated relative to the individual's total body mass (Table 2). Further analysis of total muscle mass (100%) is calculated to determine its distribution to the body. All of the forelimb muscles plus the rotator cuff (infraspinatus, supraspinatus, subscapularis, and teres minor) and trunk muscles that on the shoulder joint and attach on the humerus (latisimus dorsi, teres major, and pectoralis major) are calculated as a percentage of total muscle mass. Similarly, muscles from the hind limbs plus muscles that act on the hip joint and attach on the femur (gluteus maximus, medius, and minimus as well as obturator externus and internus, gemelli, quadratus femoris, and iliopsoas) are taken as a percentage of total muscle mass. The remaining percentage includes muscles from the trunk and head.

Methods of Dissection. All individuals were frozen after death and dissected unreserved. Twelve were dissected in the Zihlman Anthropology Laboratory, University of California, Santa Cruz, and one was dissected in the Field Museum of Natural History in Chicago. Veterinary necropsies before dissection usually removed thoracic and abdominal contents and the brain. One individual, panicus male 1 (PM1), had the skin removed before dissection.


