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Abstract

Pressure dependent spectrophone Q's and frequency shifts were measured
for 11 buffer gases and explained in terms of classical boundary layer
effects and molecular relaxation.
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In studies of gas phase photoacoustic spectroscopy, the gas whose spectrum is being investigated is generally one of several present in the sample, and often only in trace amounts. The response of the spectrophone is determined by the characteristics of the sample gas as a whole, and depends on the various thermal and molecular relaxational properties of the gases present. In particular, for an acoustically resonant spectrophone, important characteristics will include the sound velocity, heat capacity, thermal conductivity, viscosity, and the energies and relaxation times of the molecular vibrations. The sound velocity determines the resonant frequencies of the cavity, while the other parameters govern the loss mechanisms that determine the quality factors of the resonances, and also cause small shifts in the resonant frequencies. In an earlier study,\(^1\) the resonant frequencies and quality factors of acoustical resonances were determined for various buffer gases at atmospheric pressure, and the results were compared to theoretical predictions\(^2\) based on classical surface viscous and thermal losses. Significant discrepancies were observed for all non-noble gases.\(^3\)

It is the goal of this work to investigate the pressure dependent behavior of the spectrophone, and to incorporate molecular relaxation effects into the theoretical interpretation of that behavior. We have made a number of extensions and improvements in experimental and analytical technique. The spectrophone used was a stainless steel cylinder polished to a 1/3 micron surface finish to guarantee well defined boundary layers whose losses could be calculated accurately from theory. The spectrophone and vacuum system were bakeable to reduce impurity effects due to outgassing. Whereas in the earlier experiment a large concentration of the optically absorbing component (9000 ppm of CH\(_4\)) was used, we kept the absorber concentration small
(50 ppm of C$_2$H$_4$) so as not to disturb the properties of the buffer gas. Use of an electro-optic modulator system allowed access to modes at much higher frequencies, thus enabling the study of lighter gases. We have measured the resonant frequency and quality factor as a function of pressure from atmospheric pressure down to 10 torr or lower for each buffer gas. Data analysis consisted largely of nonlinear least squares curve fitting of the resonant response curves, which gave more accurate determinations of the resonant frequency and Q than the half power point method used in the previous experiment.

Buffer gases studied included monatomic (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe), diatomic (H$_2$, N$_2$, O$_2$) and polyatomic (CO$_2$, N$_2$O, SF$_6$) gases. In all cases except SF$_6$ (which is already a strong absorber), 50 ppm of C$_2$H$_4$ was added to provide optical absorption at CO$_2$ laser wavelengths. For each gas, the Q and resonant frequency of the first radial mode were determined as a function of pressure, and the Q's and frequencies of other modes were determined at atmospheric pressure; in some cases, other modes were also studied at sub-atmospheric pressures. The resulting data on $f_{\text{res}}$ and Q vs. pressure were compared to theoretical curves generated by summing the surface viscous and thermal losses, the bulk viscous and thermal (Stokes-Kirchhoff) losses, and the losses due to molecular relaxation effects. For the latter it was assumed that all vibrational levels relaxed with a single relaxation time which was adjusted to give the best fit to the data.

It was found that for monatomic gases, the classical surface losses accounted almost entirely for the observed Q factors at all pressures. For the diatomic molecules N$_2$ and O$_2$ the relaxation times are so long that they do not produce much relaxational damping at or below atmospheric pressure and the classical surface losses again largely account for the observed Q. For H$_2$, the vibrational energy is so high that the acoustic wave does not significantly excite molecular vibrations, but it is necessary to include rotational relaxation to explain the observed Q's. For polyatomic gases, we found that the observed pressure dependence of Q could be well explained by the combined effects of classical losses plus vibrational relaxation, provided the assumed relaxation times were adjusted to fit the data.

It has already been pointed out$^4$ that molecular relaxation effects will also give rise to a frequency shift as a function of pressure. However, even
for monatomic gases, for which molecular relaxation effects should be absent, we observed pressure-dependent frequency shifts. For all of the noble gases, we observed a downward shift in frequency at low pressure which appeared consistent with a $p^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ pressure dependence. We interpret this as being due to a reduction in the speed of sound in the thermal boundary layer, whose thickness varies as $p^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. The gas in this layer is in good thermal contact with the walls, and hence sound propagation is no longer adiabatic, as in the bulk of the gas, but tends toward isothermal behavior as one approaches the wall. We have calculated to first order that the frequency shift is

$$\frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} \vert_{bl} = d_h \left( \frac{1}{L} + \frac{1}{R} \right) (1 - \sqrt{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \gamma)$$

where $d_h = \left( \frac{2\kappa}{\omega \rho C_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the thermal boundary layer thickness, $L$ and $R$ are the cell length and radius, and $\gamma = C_p/C_v$. This is reasonably consistent with observed frequency shifts.

For Xe, we also observed a downward shift in frequency with increasing pressure above $\sim 100$ torr. This shift is accounted for by a change in the speed of sound due to deviation of the equation of state from that of an ideal gas, and is given by

$$\frac{\delta \omega}{\omega} \vert_{vir} = \frac{B}{R_g T} \left( B + \frac{T}{h} \frac{d B}{d T} + \frac{T^2}{2h(h+1)} \frac{d^2 B}{d T^2} \right)$$

where $B$ is the second virial coefficient, $h = C_v / R_g$, and $R_g$ is the gas constant.

Having accounted for these shifts, which may be present for any gas, we next proceed to the relaxing gases. The diatomic gases produced no observable relaxational frequency shifts in the pressure range of our experiment. For the polyatomics, the frequency vs. pressure data could be fitted with theoretical curves by assuming a single effective relaxation time. The times thus arrived at are consistent with those obtained from the dependence of $Q$ on $p$.

One other interesting effect observed was a coupling between modes due to boundary layer effects. Although the gas absorbed too weakly to allow direct excitation of modes having longitudinal dependence, these could be indirectly excited in cases where they were nearly degenerate with radial modes. We believe this is due to a coupling between these modes caused by viscous effects on the end walls. Isolated longitudinal modes, far from any radial modes, are never observed (except for the strong absorber $SF_6$).
In conclusion, we have gained some insight into the physics of resonant spectrophones. This should be useful in their application over a wide range of pressure and with a wide variety of buffer gases, and potentially also in the determination of molecular relaxation times.

We are grateful to Kenneth Jackson for his help in this experiment. This research was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Environment, Pollutant Characterization and Measurement Division of the U. S. Department of Energy, under contract No. W-7405-ENG-48.
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3. In Reference 1, Table I, the ratio $\frac{Q_{\text{exp}}}{Q_{\text{cal}}}$ was based on incorrectly calculated values of $Q_{\text{cal}}$. The $\frac{Q_{\text{exp}}}{Q_{\text{cal}}}$ column should read: Ne, 0.87; Ar, 0.77; Kr, 0.82; Xe, 0.76; CO, 0.73; N₂, 0.82; $^{12}$CO₂, 0.15; N₂O, 0.36; SF₆, 0.44.
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