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A number of heterogeneous reactions of atmospheric importance occur in thin water films on surfaces in the earth’s boundary layer. It is therefore important to understand the interaction of water with various materials, both those used to study heterogeneous chemistry in laboratory systems, as well as those found in the atmosphere. We report here studies at 22 °C to characterize the interaction of water with such materials as a function of relative humidity from 0–100%. The surfaces studied include borosilicate glass, both untreated and after cleaning by three different methods (water, hydrogen peroxide and an argon plasma discharge), quartz, FEP Teflon film, a self assembled monolayer of n-octyltrichlorosilane (C8 SAM) on glass, halocarbon wax coatings prepared by two different methods, and several different types of Teflon coatings on solid substrates. Four types of measurements covering the range from the macroscopic level to the molecular scale were made: (1) contact angle measurements of water droplets on these surfaces to obtain macroscopic scale data on the water-surface interaction, (2) atomic force microscopy measurements to provide micron to sub-micron level data on the surface topography, (3) transmission FTIR of the surfaces in the presence of increasing water vapor concentrations to probe the interaction with the surface at a molecular level, and (4) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements of the elemental surface composition of the glass and quartz samples. Both borosilicate glass and the halocarbon wax coatings adsorbed significantly more water than the FEP Teflon film, which can be explained by a combination of the chemical nature of the surfaces and their physical topography. The C8 SAM, which is both hydrophobic and has a low surface roughness, takes up little water. The implications for the formation of thin water films on various surfaces in contact with the atmosphere, including building materials, soil, and vegetation, are discussed.

I. Introduction

Heterogeneous processes in the troposphere have a substantial impact on trace gas concentrations. For example, a number of heterogeneous reactions, including the nitrogen dioxide hydrolysis reaction (1) and the reaction (2) of adsorbed nitric acid with gaseous nitric oxide,

\[ 2\text{NO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HONO} + \text{HNO}_3 \]  
\[ 2\text{NO} + \text{HNO}_3 \rightarrow \text{HONO} + \text{NO}_3 \]

occur in thin water films present on surfaces. Such reactions have been observed to depend on the water vapor concentration,\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\) which is reasonable since the relative humidity (RH) determines the equilibrium concentration of water on the surface. The formation of nitrous acid in reaction (1) has been particularly well-studied in many different laboratories using a variety of reactors, surface materials, and coatings.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^22\) HONO is a major source of the hydroxyl radical (OH) in polluted urban regions.\(^23\)\(^-\)\(^29\) which drives the chemistry of both remote and urban atmospheres. Since subsequent oxidation of organic compounds by OH radicals and other oxidants in the presence of nitrogen oxides and sunlight produce ozone as well as other secondary pollutants in the troposphere,\(^30\) understanding the sources and sinks of HONO is critical for accurately modeling the troposphere and predicting future trace gas concentrations.

Fig. 1 Loss of NO₂ and formation of HONO at 24 °C from the heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO₂ on the surface of a 561 L chamber coated with halocarbon wax using the dip method. Measurements were made using differential optical absorption spectrometry (DOAS). Initial concentration of NO₂ was 38.6 ppm and the RH was 85%.
Fig. 1 shows an example of a study of reaction (1) carried out in this laboratory at 85% RH and 24°C in a 561 L chamber, described previously, whose internal surfaces were coated with hydrophobic halocarbon wax. This coating is often used in laboratory studies as it is chemically inert, like Teflon, but can be easily applied and removed. Despite the fact that only small amounts of water would be expected on such a hydrophobic surface, loss of NO₂ and formation of HONO does indeed occur. Furthermore, when corrected to a common reaction chamber surface-to-volume ratio (S/V) and to an RH of 50%, the rate of HONO formation (4.5 × 10⁻² ppb min⁻¹ per ppm NO₂) is in good agreement with rates measured in larger (5800 L) Teflon-coated smog chambers (3.9 × 10⁻² ppb min⁻¹ per ppm NO₂), and in smaller (7–19 L) borosilicate glass cells (2–4 × 10⁻² ppb min⁻¹ per ppm NO₂). This agreement is remarkable, given the very different nature of the chamber materials ranging from hydrophobic (Teflon, halocarbon wax) to hydrophilic (glass), for which different amounts of water might be expected to be available on the surface for reaction.

A prerequisite to fully understanding such heterogeneous processes is understanding the amount and nature of water on the surfaces. The goal of this work is therefore to elucidate the interaction of water at room temperature with some materials, that can provide insight into the role of these thin films in atmospheric reactions. The materials studied include the following: (1) borosilicate glass as provided by the manufacturer; (2) borosilicate glass cleaned using water, hot H₂O₂, or an argon plasma discharge; (3) quartz; (4) thin FEP Teflon film; (5) halocarbon wax-coated glass using two different coating methods; (6) glass coated with a C₈ organic self-assembled monolayer (SAM); and (7) several thick Teflon coatings applied to solid substrates. Four different types of measurements were made that provide insights from the macroscopic to molecular level. On a macroscopic scale, contact angle measurements of water droplets on these surfaces were obtained to examine the wettability of the surfaces. On a microm to sub-micron scale, atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements provide insight into the surface structure. On the molecular level, transmission FTIR of the surfaces in the presence of increasing water vapor concentrations was used to probe the nature and amounts of water on the surfaces. Finally, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to study the elemental surface composition of the glass and quartz samples for which water uptake measurements were made. The implications for understanding heterogeneous reactions in or on surface water films in laboratory systems as well as extrapolation to atmospheric surfaces are discussed.

II. Experimental methods

A. Surface materials

The materials included in this study, many of which are commonly used in laboratory experiments, are summarized in Table 1. They include both hydrophilic and hydrophobic materials. The hydrophilic materials are thin cover slips of smooth glass (VWR Micro Cover Glasses) and quartz (Quartz Plus, Inc.). Cover glass discs were used because they were sufficiently thin that they did not significantly attenuate the infrared beam in the region of interest (above 2000 cm⁻¹). Standard borosilicate laboratory glass (Type I, Class A) used in many laboratory studies of heterogeneous reactions has a higher silica content, but like the cover slips, also contains small amounts of boron, sodium and aluminum oxides (see Table 1). The overall similarity between the Type I, Class A glass and the cover slips is such that using the latter to probe interactions with water is reasonable.

Measurements were conducted on the hydrophilic glass as received from the manufacturer, and also after employing three different treatments. In the first case, the glass discs were rinsed with Nanopure water (Barnstead, 18.1 MΩ cm) and dried in nitrogen (Oxygen Service Co., >99.999%). In the second case, the discs were cleaned for 35 minutes with an argon plasma discharge (Harrick Scientific Plasma Cleaner/Sterilizer PDC-32G, medium power). For the third treatment, which has been suggested for cleaning porous glass surfaces, the discs were submerged in hot (~85 °C) H₂O₂ (30%, Electron Microscopy Sciences, ACS Reagent Grade) for approximately 10 minutes, followed by thorough rinsing with Nanopure water and drying in the cell in a stream of dry nitrogen gas at 40°C overnight.

A variety of hydrophobic materials were also analyzed for their water uptake characteristics. Halocarbon wax (Halocarbon Products Corp., Series 1500) samples were prepared in two ways, each utilizing the smooth glass discs as the substrate. For the “dip method,” the glass discs were dipped into melted halocarbon wax and mounted in the sample holder. The coated discs were then gently warmed with a heat gun until the wax appeared to flow freely to reduce the impact of air bubbles on the surface. The coated discs were cloudy to the eye but appeared to have a smooth and quite thick coating. The “soot method” involved submerging the glass discs in a warm solution of halocarbon wax dissolved in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Samples used for water uptake measurements and surface characterization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth glass</td>
<td>Micro cover glasses, No. 1, 25 mm dia. × 0.13–0.17 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory glass&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Designation E438-92: specification for Type I, Class A&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>Quartz cover slips substrate grade, 25 mm dia. × 0.16 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halocarbon wax</td>
<td>Series 1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEP film</td>
<td>2 mil FEP Teflon film</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic SAM</td>
<td>C8 self assembled monolayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEP coating</td>
<td>FEP Teflon coating CCI-109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA coating</td>
<td>PFA Teflon coating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoropolymer coating</td>
<td>FluoroPel PFC801A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Data provided for comparison.
in the fast (horizontal) direction. In non-contact mode, each
took approximately 5 min to obtain, with a scan rate of 1 Hz
contact mode with PSI Ultralever B tips, with the tip force set
under ambient conditions. The images were obtained in either
physical topography of the air/solid interface of the samples
angle when placed on a more hydrophilic surface.
A water droplet resting on a
microscope (Infinity Optics). The shape of the droplet depends
probed by contact angle measurements using water droplets.
Surface wettability of the materials described above was
studies as described in sections II B and C, respectively.
The Teflon spray-coated materials, Teflon FEP CCI-109 and
PFA Teflon, were used as received from the manufacturer.
The third material, a fluoropolymer coating, was applied as
be studied as described in sections II B and C, respectively.
The Teflon spray-coated materials, Teflon FEP CCI-109 and
PFA Teflon, were used as received from the manufacturer.

The dry glass was further cleaned with an argon plasma
cleaner, the substrates were stored in Nanopure water until
deposition of the monolayer was carried out. After drying
the surfaces with nitrogen, the discs were placed in a mM solu-
tion of n-octyltrichlorosilane in dodecane for 10 min. The C8
SAM-coated discs were then placed in boiling chloroform to
remove any physisorbed material. The coating and chloroform
extraction steps were repeated two additional times to ensure a
smooth, well-ordered coating.

Three additional hydrophobic materials, two Teflon spray-
coated materials and a fluoropolymer coating, were also
studied. Such coatings are commonly used in laboratory
systems, such as in smog chambers, in which many studies
cluded in many previous works. For example, in an earlier work,
analysis of the wetting and surface characteristics could still
be studied as described in sections II B and C, respectively.
The Teflon spray-coated materials, Teflon FEP CCI-109 and
PFA Teflon, were used as received from the manufacturer.

B. Water contact angle
Surface wettability of the materials described above was
probed by contact angle measurements using water droplets.
Quasi-equilibrium contact angles of sessile 1 μl Nanopure
water droplets were measured under ambient conditions with
a Kodak DCS 315 camera equipped with a long-range
microscope (Infinity Optics). The shape of the droplet depends
on its interaction with the surface. The line tangent to the
curve of the droplet to the point where it intersects the solid
surface forms the contact angle. A water droplet resting on a
hydrophobic surface would form a spherical droplet having
a high contact angle, but would have a much smaller contact
angle when placed on a more hydrophilic surface.

C. Surface characterization
Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was used to probe the
physical topography of the air/solid interface of the samples
described above. Samples were imaged with a Park Scientific
Instruments (PSI) Autoprobe LS Atomic Force Microscope
under ambient conditions. The images were obtained in either
contact mode with PSI Ultradevi B tips, with the tip force set
to approximately 25 nN, or in non-contact mode with PSI
Ultradevi C tips. In contact mode, each 256 × 256 pixel image
took approximately 5 min to obtain, with a scan rate of 1 Hz
in the fast (horizontal) direction. In non-contact mode, each
256 × 256 pixel image took approximately 10 min, with a scan
rate of 0.5 Hz. RMS roughness values were calculated using
the PSI ProScan software on background corrected AFM
images. Background correction involved the fitting of each
scan line in an AFM image with a second order polynomial,
and the subtraction of this best fit curve from the raw data.
This procedure left intact all surface roughness on a length
scale smaller than one-half the image size, but it removed lower
frequency noise and the tube curvature artifact from the data.
No Fourier filtering of AFM images was carried out.

D. Water uptake measurements
The amount of liquid water adsorbed on the surfaces was
determined as a function of relative humidity by transmission
Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The samples
of interest were positioned within a glass cell enclosed with 32
mm diameter ZnSe windows, as shown in Fig. 2. The cell had
an 11 cm path length and could accommodate thin, disc-
shaped, samples with a diameter up to 2.5 cm. The center
O-ring permitted installation and removal of the samples,
which were positioned an average of ~6 mm apart in thin slots
cut in a glass holder and secured with small amounts of halo-
carbon wax. Up to ten samples could be mounted in the cell,
allowing for the measurement of water uptake on a total of
20 surfaces. All gas flows through the cell were set using cali-
brated flow meters (Matheson TF 1050). A type-K thermocou-
ples with an Omega HH202A digital readout (±0.25% reading
±0.2°C) was positioned inside the cell to monitor the cell
temperature during experiments. All measurements were
conducted at atmospheric pressure under dynamic conditions
with a total flow rate of 200 mL min⁻¹.

The relative humidity in the cell was set by mixing flows of
dry and 100% RH nitrogen, obtained by passing N₂ through
Nanopure water in two borosilicate glass fritted bubblers,
in series. The bubblers were kept in a water bath set at
22.0 ± 0.2°C (MGW Lauda MT) to reduce the effects of
 evaporative cooling. The temperature of the cell in the FTIR
sample compartment, which was normally ~3°C warmer than
the room, was cooled by passing the spectrometer purge gas
(25 L min⁻¹ flow rate) through a stainless steel coil in a
temperature controlled bath (MGW Lauda RCS) set at
–8°C. Heat tape was wrapped around the glass cell and used
to fine-tune the cell temperature, which was maintained at
22.0 ± 0.2°C. The use of Teflon tubing was minimized in favor
of non-porous materials including glass and stainless steel.
This was intended to reduce the degassing of water vapor from
within the porous Teflon walls and also the permeation of
air through the tubing. The KBr windows separating the spectrometer’s sample and interferometer/detector com-
partments were removed; even under fast dry nitrogen purge,
the KBr windows held variable amounts of liquid water, which
generated an irreproducible signal that was often significant
compared to the water adsorbed on the samples of interest.
Spectroscopy of the glass and other materials in equilibrium with humidified N\textsubscript{2} were obtained as interferograms at 1 cm\textsuperscript{-1} resolution on an FTIR spectrometer (Mattson, Galaxy 5020) equipped with a liquid nitrogen cooled mercury cadmium telluride detector. The samples were dried in the cell overnight under a flow of dry N\textsubscript{2} (200 mL min\textsuperscript{-1}) at 40°C. To ensure that the nitrogen was completely dry, the vapor above liquid N\textsubscript{2} (Airgas, 55 psi) was used. After cooling the cell to 22°C, a background spectrum of 2048 scans was obtained each day, with a flow of dry nitrogen through the cell. The dry and humid nitrogen flows were then set to the desired relative humidity and flow through the cell was established. Sample spectra of 1024 scans were obtained at each relative humidity after an equilibration time of 15 min.

Water vapor spectra for subtraction were also measured with the samples removed from the glass cell. During water vapor spectra collection, an optical filter was used to remove IR radiation below 2000 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. Infrared radiation was reflected off the cell windows and returned to the interferometer where it was remodulated and its phase shifted by 180°. This phenomenon has been described by Griffiths and de Haseth\textsuperscript{37} and was observed in this system as negative peaks in the 3200 cm\textsuperscript{-1} region; the peaks resulted from the water bend in the 1600 cm\textsuperscript{-1} region that were frequency-doubled upon remodulation. The optical filter was constructed from three borosilicate glass discs (described above) that were held together with halocarbon wax. The outer surfaces were covered with FEP Teflon film to minimize water uptake on the filter. The FEP film was roughened with 1 \textmu m diamond polishing paper to eliminate multiple reflections within the film, as described below. The optical filter efficiently absorbed the IR radiation at wave-numbers below 2000 cm\textsuperscript{-1} that had caused the interference. Any small amounts of liquid water that may have been present on the ZnSe windows or the optical filter were subtracted from the sample spectra, along with water vapor.

A problem that often arises with the use of thin parallel surfaces in transmission IR spectroscopy is multiple reflection of the IR radiation between the two surfaces.\textsuperscript{37} The multiple reflections cause interference fringes on either side of the centerburst in the interferograms and result in sinusoidal noise peaks in the single beam and absorbance spectra. Replacing these fringes in the interferogram with zeros before the Fourier transform is performed has the effect of removing the interference pattern from the single beam spectrum.\textsuperscript{37} While this zero-filling procedure adds small amounts of noise to the spectrum, the final result is much more useful. Thus, interference fringes were typically removed from the interferogram before performing the Fourier transform, for which 5064 interferogram data points were used to calculate 4 cm\textsuperscript{-1} resolution single beam spectra. Absorbance spectra were obtained by ratioing the single beam spectrum for a given relative humidity to the background spectrum from that day. Although the noise that resulted from the internal reflections in the quartz samples was not apparent in the single beam spectra, it was not visible in the ratioed absorbance spectra. Interference fringes were not produced for the halocarbon wax dip method samples whose surfaces were not smooth, and hence did not interfere from multiple internal reflections.

E. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to probe the elemental composition of the air/solid interface of the glass (both untreated and cleaned/treated) and quartz samples to provide insight into the changes induced by each treatment technique. X-ray photoelectron spectra of the surfaces were obtained in an ESCALAB MKII ultra-high vacuum (UHV) instrument (VG Scientific) equipped with three individually pumped chambers, allowing for rapid transfer (<1 h) of samples from atmospheric to UHV pressures. Sample surfaces were irradiated under UHV (~5 \times 10\textsuperscript{-10} Torr) with 1486.6 eV X-rays from an aluminum anode at 15 keV and 20 mA. The kinetic energies of the ejected photoelectrons were analyzed using a 150 mm hemispherical electron energy analyzer. Data collection and analysis were carried out using the software package PISCES (Dayta Systems Ltd.). XPS peak areas were integrated after a linear background subtraction. The surface concentrations were determined by dividing the integrated areas by standard sensitivity factors (relative to an Fe(1s) sensitivity factor of 1.0).\textsuperscript{38,39} The sensitivity factors used are as follows: O(1s), 0.721; Si(2p), 0.355; C(1s), 0.306; B(1s), 0.165; Zn(2p 3/2), 3.734; K(2p 3/2), 1.013; Na(1s), 1.655; Ti(2p 3/2), 1.360; Al(2p), 0.246. Due to the uncertainty in the sampling depth for each element, the surface composition should be considered semi-quantitative.

III. Results

A. Contact angles for the surfaces with water

Interaction of water with the surfaces studied here on a macroscopic scale can be characterized by the contact angle. Fig. 3 shows the results of three typical contact angle measurements made for a hydrophobic surface (halocarbon wax, Fig. 3a), a hydrophilic surface (plasma-cleaned borosilicate glass, Fig. 3c) and an intermediate surface (untreated borosilicate glass, Fig. 3b). As expected, the contact angle is large for the hydrophobic surface and small for the hydrophilic surface. The intermediate contact angle measured for the untreated borosilicate glass is indicative of organic contamination on the surface, as supported by XPS measurements discussed below.

Table 2 summarizes the measured contact angles for the various materials. They can be grouped into three categories: (1) high contact angles (> 80°) measured for the halocarbon wax, Teflon coatings and a C8 SAM on solid substrates, (2) low contact angles (<10°) exemplified by the cleaned borosilicate glass, and (3) intermediate values represented by the untreated borosilicate glass, the water-rinsed quartz and In.

B. AFM measurements of the surface morphology

Figs. 4 and 5 show typical AFM images of representative surfaces. For each sample the root mean square (RMS) surface roughness was calculated as follows:

$$R_{\text{RMS}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (z_n - \bar{z})^2}{N}}$$

where \(\bar{z}\) is the average z height and N is the number of points sampled. However, it should be noted that these are minimum values since, in many cases, the pores on the surfaces appear to be quite deep and the tip may not have fully probed the depth.
Table 2 Summary of contact angle and AFM measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Water contact angle°</th>
<th>Surface roughnessa (\pm s/A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrophilic samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated glass</td>
<td>32 ± 2 (1 s)</td>
<td>10 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-rinsed glass</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma-cleaned glass</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)-cleaned glass</td>
<td>22 ± 4 (1 s)</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td></td>
<td>62 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrophobic samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halocarbon wax: dip method</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>&gt; 145 ± 13°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halocarbon wax: solvent method</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>&gt; 24°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin FEP Teflon film</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>&gt; 72 ± 1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8 SAM</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEP Teflon film</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>(160 ± 24)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA Teflon coating</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>(699 ± 18)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorofel PFC 801A coating</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>(126 ± 18)°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Measured in non-contact mode on 5 \(\mu\)m \(\times\) 5 \(\mu\)m sections.

Fig. 5 AFM measurements (in non-contact mode) of the surface morphology for (a) borosilicate glass coated with halocarbon-wax using the dip method; (b) borosilicate glass coated using the solvent method and (c) FEP Teflon film.

C. Infrared measurements of water uptake

Fig. 6 shows typical infrared spectra for samples through which there was sufficient transmission of the infrared beam to make measurements of the weak absorptions due to water on the surface. The broad features from 2800–3800 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to OH stretching vibrations in condensed phase water.\(^{40–44}\) The sharp peaks from 3500–3900 cm\(^{-1}\) are residuals due to subtraction of gas phase water. It has been shown that small thermal instabilities in the HeNe laser of the spectrometer, to which the absorption wavenumbers are referenced, can lead to shifts of up to ±0.034 cm\(^{-1}\) in the sharp rotational lines of gas phase water.\(^{45}\) This made complete subtraction of the water impossible. However, this imperfect subtraction does not contribute significantly to the liquid water peak area since these sharp peaks are approximately equally positive and negative.

For comparison, the spectrum of bulk liquid water, obtained by placing a drop of water between two ZnSe windows, is also shown in Fig. 6-1a. At 80% RH, the peak positions and band shapes of water adsorbed on quartz, untreated glass, water-rinsed glass, and plasma-cleaned glass as well as the halocarbon wax coatings are similar to that of bulk liquid water. The peak on the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\)-cleaned glass (Fig. 6-2d) is red-shifted by placing a drop of water between two ZnSe windows ratioed to the bare windows.

![Figure 6: Infrared spectra of surfaces in contact with \(\text{N}_2\) at 80% RH](Image)

![Figure 4: AFM measurements (in non-contact mode) of the surface morphology for (a) untreated borosilicate glass; (b) untreated quartz; (c) borosilicate glass cleaned using hot \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\); (d) borosilicate glass coated with the C8 SAM.](Image)
water; the peak for the water film on the C8 SAM is also red-shifted.

The liquid water peak can be used to estimate the number of water layers present on the surface as a function of relative humidity. The water coverage, \( \Theta \), in number of layers, is calculated from the absorbance spectra using eqn. (II), a modified form of Beer’s law:\(^{30}
\[ \Theta = \frac{2.303 A}{N \sigma_{H_2O}} \]  
(II)

where \( A \) is the integrated absorbance, \( N \) is the number of surfaces in the infrared beam and \( \sigma_{H_2O} \) is the surface density of one water monolayer \((1.0 \times 10^{15} \text{molec cm}^{-2})\). The base-10 integrated absorbance, \( A \), of the liquid water peak (from 2800 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\)) is determined from the absorbance spectra. The integrated cross section, \( \sigma \) (to base e) was calculated for the same range to be \( 1.43 \times 10^{-18} \text{cm molecule}^{-1} \) from optical constants reported by Downing and Williams.\(^{47}\)

As shown in previous studies\(^{1}\) and observed again in the present work (data not shown), the shape and peak position of the infrared spectrum of water on borosilicate glass change as the relative humidity is lowered. The peak becomes broader and shifts to higher wavenumbers. This is similar to observations of water uptake on other solids such as NaCl,\(^{46,48,49}\) where the peak due to water condensed on the surface blue-shifts towards the gas phase absorption peak at low water coverages. This indicates disruptions in the 3-D hydrogen bonding network and strong interactions of the adsorbed water with the surface.\(^{50,51}\) Similar results were observed in the present studies for water on plasma-cleaned glass, quartz, halocarbon wax, and Teflon film.

The absorption coefficients of water increase by approximately an order of magnitude from gas phase to bulk liquid water, and again from liquid to ice.\(^{52,53}\) The true value of the integrated cross section for a structured, thin water film on a surface is likely to be different from the bulk water value and to vary with coverage. However, the island-like features in the AFM data (Fig. 4a) provide additional insight into the amount of liquid water present on glass, at least at RH above \(~60\%)\, and further analysis indicates that the estimate using the infrared absorption coefficient for liquid water is reasonable. Island-like features similar to those shown in Fig. 4a have been observed on surfaces such as mica in the presence of water vapor and have been attributed to islands of water on the surface.\(^{54}\) The features in Fig. 4a are typically \(\sim 1.2 \text{ nm} \) in height, corresponding to islands of water about three layers high. (The fraction of the surface covered with such islands was somewhat variable, likely reflecting variability in the relative humidity in the laboratory from day to day). The estimated number of layers of water using FTIR under similar conditions is 1.4, but this assumes an equal distribution of water over the surface. Given that AFM indicates that about half of the surface is covered with water islands, the amounts of water on the surface estimated using AFM and FTIR are consistent. To emphasize that the water measured using FTIR is not necessarily equally distributed over the surface, we express these data in terms of an “equivalent number of water monolayers”.

For the H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-cleaned glass, there is a significant red-shift in the infrared peak at all relative humidities, in contrast to the other surfaces. Such a shift is characteristic of water with a more ice-like structure.\(^{40-44}\) There may be shoulders on the low wavenumber side of the water peaks for plasma-cleaned glass, quartz and the solvent-coated halocarbon wax as well (Fig. 6).

Fig. 7 shows the equivalent number of water monolayers on the glass after various treatments, on quartz, and on halocarbon wax coatings prepared by different methods.

The results for water uptake on quartz are in excellent agreement with the previously reported results of Awakuni and Calderwood.\(^{55}\) Fig. 7b shows data for two of the treated glass samples. (The isotherm for H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-cleaned glass is shown later.) Water coverage of these surfaces is similar to that of the untreated borosilicate glass up to approximately 60% RH, but is significantly smaller above 80% RH and does not show evidence of condensation as 100% RH is approached. Fig. 7c shows comparable data for the halocarbon wax coated surfaces. The halocarbon wax coating prepared by both the dip and solvent methods show evidence of multilayer adsorption similar to the untreated borosilicate glass.

As seen from the spectra in Fig. 6, the peak for the H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-treated glass is red-shifted quite substantially from that for bulk liquid water. This increases the uncertainty of the application of absorption coefficients for bulk, liquid water sufficiently that we chose not to estimate the number of monolayers using eqn. (II). However, one can examine the shape of the isotherm by using the measured absorbance of the band as a function of RH, as shown in Fig. 8 along with the isotherm for untreated glass. The dependence of the water uptake on RH is quite

\[ \text{Relative Intensity of the liquid water peak, integrated from 2600–4000 cm}^{-1} \], on H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-cleaned borosilicate glass surface (triangles) as a function of relative humidity. The solid line is a fit to the data. Also shown for comparison is the isotherm for water uptake on untreated glass and the fit shown also in Fig. 7a as a guide.
different than for the untreated borosilicate glass, with the shape of water uptake on H$_2$O$_2$-treated glass resembling a Langmuir isotherm.\textsuperscript{56–58}

While most surfaces came to equilibrium with water vapor within 15 minutes, the dipped halocarbon wax coating continued to take up water over more than an hour at higher relative humidities, as shown in Fig. 9. This is especially apparent at 81% RH, as water continued to adsorb to the surface even after 2 hours of exposure.

D. XPS analysis of surfaces

In order to assess the impact of the three cleaning methods applied to borosilicate glass, XPS measurements were made on the glass samples and, for comparison, the quartz sample. Fig. 10 shows the molar ratio of the major elements to the oxygen peak in each case. In the case of the quartz sample, the Si:O ratio is within experimental uncertainty of the expected SiO$_2$ stoichiometry. The more complex borosilicate glass samples show the expected large number of elements at the surface. As is common with surface analysis, there is always some adventitious carbon on the surfaces. However, clearly the H$_2$O$_2$ and plasma cleaning removed significant amounts of carbon from the surface.

As expected, quartz consists primarily of silica; small amounts of Na and Mg were also observed but may arise from contamination during sample handling and preparation. The borosilicate glass has substantial amounts of Na, B, K, and Zn. The surface of water-rinsed glass is similar in composition to that of untreated glass, with somewhat smaller Na. The increase in sodium for the plasma cleaned sample may result from the deposition of sodium from the inner chamber of the plasma cleaner, which is made of glass. Cleaning using H$_2$O$_2$ removes the B and K from the surface and substantially decreases the surface Zn and Na.

IV. Discussion

The goal of this work is to understand the interaction of water with various surfaces often used in laboratory studies of heterogeneous reactions that occur in thin water surface films at room temperature. As discussed in detail elsewhere,\textsuperscript{5} rates of NO$_2$ hydrolysis calculated for experiments, such as that shown in Fig. 1, conducted in chambers of various sizes and wall composition can be compared after normalizing the rate for the surface-to-volume ratios of the reactors, the initial NO$_2$ concentrations, and the RH. Interestingly, the normalized rates of the heterogeneous hydrolysis of gaseous NO$_2$ that were measured in large smog chambers coated with hydrophobic Teflon\textsuperscript{2–15} and in much smaller hydrophilic Pyrex cells\textsuperscript{5} were similar. The data presented here provide some insights into why this is the case.

Borosilicate glass reactors are commonly used in many laboratory systems, and are known to adsorb water on their polar surface, which is terminated by Si--OH groups (\textit{e.g.}, see refs. 1, 59–62). The multilayer uptake of gases on solids is commonly described by the BET model, which predicts that the fractional coverage of the surface can be described by the BET eqn. (III),\textsuperscript{56–58,62}

$$\text{fractional coverage} = \frac{c_B \text{RH}}{(1 - \text{RH})[1 + (c_B - 1)\text{RH}]} \quad (\text{III})$$

where the constant $c_B \approx \exp((Q_1 - Q_2)/RT)$ and $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are the enthalpy of adsorption of water on the substrate and on water itself (\textit{i.e.} the enthalpy of condensation of water), respectively.\textsuperscript{56} RH represents the partial pressure of the adsorbate. The shape of the isotherm is therefore determined by the value of the constant $c_B$, which reflects the strength of the interaction of the gas with the substrate. Model BET isotherms are shown in Fig. 11 for $c_B$ equal to 0.1 and 20. For $c_B$ values larger than about 10, there is a “knee” in the isotherm at low RH; such isotherms are classified as Type II, and are typified by the uptake of water on polar surfaces.\textsuperscript{62} For weak interactions between the gas and the surface, $c_B$ is significantly smaller and the isotherms, classified as Type III, are smoothly concave with increasing relative humidity.\textsuperscript{56–58,61,62} Type III isotherms are often observed for water on non-polar surfaces,\textsuperscript{62} where it forms islands.\textsuperscript{57} The AFM image in Fig. 4a does indeed show islands on the surface, which we attribute to water. While the AFM and infrared data are in reasonable agreement on the amount of water on the surface at relative humidities above \textasciitilde 60%, the smaller amounts of water at lower RH and the increased uncertainty in the appropriate infrared absorption coefficient in this region
preclude definitively classifying the water uptake as Type II or Type III.

The BET isotherm (eqn. III) predicts that, as the vapor pressure of the adsorbate is approached, the number of adsorbed layers should approach infinity, i.e. the surface should become fully covered with liquid water. However, as seen in Fig. 3b, water on the untreated borosilicate glass has a finite contact angle so that a drop of the bulk liquid is present at the same time that there is a thin film of water on the surface. As discussed in detail by Adamson, this situation is best described by Type VI and VII isotherms. On a molecular level, these isotherms occur in situations in which the structure of the adsorbate molecules closest to the surface is highly perturbed compared to the bulk liquid. A variety of evidence in the literature shows that water at the solid/water interface is structured and has properties different from bulk water; the structured orientation of surface water may extend anywhere from three monolayers to many molecular diameters. It is also supported by the red-shift in the infrared spectrum of water adsorbed on borosilicate glass reported from earlier studies in this laboratory and also observed in the present work.

The amount of adsorbed water on untreated glass in the present study is somewhat smaller than reported in earlier, preliminary studies in this laboratory. This may be due to improved temperature control in the present experiments, variable degrees of organic contamination on the surfaces, or both. In any event, in typical laboratory glass vacuum systems, organic contamination from room air or backstreaming from pumps cannot be completely avoided and hence, the water uptake measured for the untreated borosilicate glass here should be comparable to that in such glass vacuum systems. It should be noted that surfaces in ambient air upon which heterogeneous chemistry occurs will also hold adsorbed organics.

The amount of water present on treated borosilicate glass shown in Fig. 7b demonstrates that the water uptake at higher RH is sensitive to the pretreatment of the surface, with less water uptake if the glass has been plasma-cleaned or even just rinsed with Nanopure water prior to the experiments. XPS analysis (Fig. 10) indicates that the inorganic surface composition of these samples is similar to that of the untreated glass. However, there is less carbon on the plasma-cleaned sample as expected, and perhaps on the water-rinsed glass. This suggests that some of the organic material on the untreated glass is comprised of oxidized, polar organics that can be removed by rinsing with water. Such polar organics may also help to adsorb water onto the surface. Although these samples show differences in sodium, the water-rinsed glass has less Na and the plasma-cleaned more than the untreated glass, there is no observed correlation between water uptake and the surface sodium. This is not surprising since there is also no obvious reason to expect sodium to be involved in water uptake. Derjaguin and Zorin measured the thickness of water layers on clean smooth glass surfaces to be from a few Å to ~62 Å over the range from 95–100% RH; this would correspond to ~1–18 layers of water, consistent with the measurements reported here.

The H2O2-cleaned borosilicate glass is quite different from the other borosilicate glass samples in all of the characteristics studied here. The surface is now primarily composed of silica, with B, Zn, K and Na having been largely removed (Fig. 10), showing that the surface of the glass has been modified by the H2O2 treatment. The bulk most likely is not modified. The AFM image (Fig. 4c) does not show the islands of water seen on the untreated glass. The water uptake (Fig. 8) is similar to a Type I Langmuir adsorption isotherm for which there are a fixed number of surface sites that become saturated at high adsorbate gas concentrations, or alternatively, a microporous surface in which the pores become progressively filled with water. The shift in the infrared absorption peak to ~3200 cm⁻¹ suggests a more ice-like structure of the adsorbed water. The combination of all of these data suggest that the H2O2 forms micropores on leaching the trace metals, and these provide the major sites for water uptake.

Perhaps most interesting is the interaction of water with halocarbon wax, Teflon and the C8 SAM. Although these materials are classically considered to be hydrophobic, which is supported by the large measured contact angles (Table 2), the water uptake measurements indicate that a significant amount of water, similar to that on untreated borosilicate glass, can be taken up on the halocarbon wax coated glass, and to a lesser extent, on the smooth FEP Teflon film and C8 SAM (Fig. 6). It is known that, on single crystal surfaces, water tends to adsorb at surface defects (e.g. refs. 65,66). Thus, adsorption of water onto solid surfaces may be dependent on the roughness of the surface, in addition to its chemical properties. For example, Rudich et al. showed that the corrugation of hydrophobic surfaces impacted the interaction with water and that more corrugated surfaces did, in fact, hold more water than the smooth organic films.

The AFM images support the hypothesis that surface roughness plays a major role in water uptake on the surfaces that are hydrophobic on a macroscopic scale. The halocarbon wax sample coated using the dip method is seen in Fig. 5a to have a very porous, web-like structure with deep and tortuous channels. The increased time to come to equilibrium with gas phase water (Fig. 9) is therefore not surprising. This highly irregular and porous surface leads to significant amounts of water uptake, indeed, more than that on the untreated borosilicate glass surface (Fig. 7c). The solvent-coated halocarbon wax (Fig. 5b) has less surface roughness and does not appear to be as porous, but is still much more irregular than the glass and quartz (Table 2 and Fig. 4) so that uptake on surface discontinuities may occur. As seen in Fig. 7, the water uptake on the solvent-coated halocarbon wax surface (Fig. 7c) is similar to that on the untreated borosilicate glass (Fig. 7a). It is possible that the halocarbon wax coatings also have some adsorbed polar organics that assist in water uptake.

The thin FEP Teflon film also takes up some water (Fig. 6c), estimated to be ~2 equivalent layers of water at 80% RH and rising to ~6 equivalent layers at 100% RH, significantly less than the halocarbon wax coatings. Awakuni and Calderwood reported uptake of three layers of water by Teflon film at 100% RH. Svensson et al. reported two layers on Teflon film at 5% relative humidity, with condensation on the surface at 90% RH; however, it is not clear that the surface structure of the material in those studies is comparable to the Teflon film used here. The AFM image of our Teflon film sample (Fig. 5c) shows very small pores that may be responsible for water uptake. The depth of these pores is greater on average than those in the C8 SAM, as indicated by a surface roughness of >72 Å compared to 9 Å for the SAM. The smooth, relatively defect-free nature of the C8 SAM is likely responsible not only for the small amounts of water it takes up, but also for the shift in the infrared spectrum (Fig. 6c) to a more ice-like structure. The small amounts of water that are adsorbed on the C8 SAM may be taken up in defects in the coating which expose small regions of the underlying glass substrate, and/or on some of the elevated features on the surface seen in Fig. 4d.

As discussed earlier, laboratory studies of heterogeneous atmospheric reactions in thin aqueous films have typically used reactors made of glass, quartz, Teflon-coated glass or metal, and thin FEP Teflon films. The similarity in kinetics and mechanisms for the NO2 heterogeneous hydrolysis in a halocarbon wax coated chamber (Fig. 1) to that in borosilicate glass chambers can now be understood since the two surfaces have now been shown to adsorb similar amounts of water (Fig. 7c). Given the importance of surface structure (e.g. roughness and porosity) for water adsorption, it is expected that the FEP and PFA Teflon coatings, which also have quite high surface roughness, may also be relevant in atmospheric studies.
roughness values (Table 2), would behave in a similar manner to the halocarbon wax coatings. Thus, the agreement with studies carried out in Teflon-coated smog chambers\(^ {2,12}\) is also understandable.

Thin films of FEP Teflon adsorb substantially less water than the halocarbon wax, and presumably less than the similarly rough Teflon coatings as well. The much smaller water uptake of the halocarbon wax. The surface roughness values for a 1 μm diameter dust particle. Clearly there may contribute significantly to the uptake of water and hence the surface available for heterogeneous chemistry.

V. Atmospheric implications

As discussed in more detail elsewhere,\(^ {5,68}\) silicates are common components of many surfaces found in building materials, including concrete, asphalt, and window glass.\(^ {69}\) In addition, silica has been identified as a major component of soil and soil derived dust.\(^ {30,70}\) The uptake of water on such surfaces is known to promote heterogeneous chemistry not only in laboratory systems (e.g., refs. 1, 5) but also on surfaces found in the tropospheric boundary layer. Therefore, our measurements of water uptake on borosilicate glass and quartz are relevant and useful for understanding chemistry on these tropospheric surfaces.

Given our measurements showing that water adsorbs even to hydrophobic materials if their surfaces have appropriate roughness, it is likely that other hydrophobic materials, such as vegetation, may also hold water in quantities sufficient to support heterogeneous chemistry. Fig. 12 shows AFM images of the surfaces of an ivy leaf and a Vinca minor flower petal. Clearly there are surface irregularities that, despite the hydrophobic nature of the surfaces, should lead to water uptake in a manner similar to the halocarbon wax. The surface roughness values for a 1 μm x 1 μm section of each sample were 195 Å for an ivy leaf and 51 Å for the V. minor petal, similar to the values for halocarbon wax and Teflon in Table 2. Water uptake on vegetation and its participation in heterogeneous reactions in the atmosphere is supported by the observation of HONO production (e.g., reactions 1 and 2) in atmospheric models are necessary to determine the full role played by heterogeneous chemistry in the atmosphere.
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