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ABSTRACT

The catalytic steam gasification of graphite and several chars to
$H_2$ and $CO_2$ has been studied using a K-Ca-O$_x$ catalyst (K-Ca/C=0.01
atomic ratio) in a flow reactor system at relatively low temperatures
(600-950K) and in a controlled atmosphere electron microscope (CAEM). A
kinetic study of graphite gasification with water was performed.
K-Ca-O$_x$ appeared to be less sensitive to hydrogen partial pressure that
has an inhibiting effect on this reaction than other active catalysts.
Evidence was found for water dissociation on the catalyst. Activation
energies obtained in the flow reactor system were essentially the same
for graphite and chars and for various catalysts. The K-Ca-O$_x$
catalyst was more resistant to sulfur poisoning than a K-Ni-O$_x$ catalyst.
described in a previous publication (13). A CAEM study of the K-Ca-0\textsubscript{x} catalystized steam gasification of graphite showed: 1) a homogeneous composition of the dispersed K-Ca catalyst mixture; 2) graphite consumption by edge recession during steam gasification; 3) an activation energy close to that found in the flow reactor system. The results indicate that steam gasification proceeds via water dissociation and oxygen transfer to the carbon. The rate controlling step, however, is the C-C bond breaking next to a surface carbon-oxygen complex which produces CO\textsubscript{2}. Chars gasify at an order of magnitude higher rates than graphite. The K-Ca-0\textsubscript{x} catalyst exhibits superior poison resistance.

INTRODUCTION

The catalysed steam gasification of carbon solids such as graphite and chars has been quite extensively reported (1-3). This catalytic reaction is unusual because the gasification of the solid reactant occurs at a solid-solid (carbon-catalyst) interface. The reaction is used for production of gaseous fuels, the removal of polymeric carbon from oxide surfaces, and gasification of biomass (4,5). There have been studies of the intermediates and the oxygenated surface species formed during carbon gasification (6-11).

We have previously described research using only potassium oxide as a catalyst for gasification (12,13). We also found that potassium-nickel oxide mixtures exhibited markedly higher activity than
either K₂O or NiO (13). In this paper, we introduce and describe the behaviour of a superior poison resistant catalyst mixture, potassium-calcium oxide, for the steam gasification of carbon solids. This catalyst exhibits high activity at relatively low temperatures (850-900 K) and it produces primarily H₂ and CO₂. The activity is at least partially attributable to the excellent wetting of carbon by the catalyst precursors in their molten state prior to their decomposition.

Electron microscopy studies indicate that a homogeneous binary catalyst is formed after decomposition of the nitrate precursor salts and that gasification occurs by edge recession of the graphite prismatic planes while the basal plane is unreactive. This is similar to findings with K-Ni-O catalysts (14). Water dissociation by the K-Ca-Oₓ catalyst was found to be an important reaction step. Hydrogen is released and oxygen forms compounds with the carbon. The rate limiting step appears to be the breaking of C-C bonds releasing carbon oxides (12).

The rate equation obtained for graphite gasification is given by:

\[
\text{Rate} = A' \exp \left(-50 \text{ kcal/mol/RT}\right) P_a^{\alpha}(H_2O) \times P_b^{\beta}(H_2)
\]

where: \( a \approx 0.5 \) and \( b \approx -0.2 \) to \(-1\)

(The order of \( b \) for \( H_2 \) is catalyst dependent as shown in Table 2. It is: \(-0.21 \) for K-Ca-Oₓ; \(-0.71 \) for K-Oₓ; and \(-1.04 \) for K-Ni-Oₓ.)
The rate is expressed in moles of carbon converted per mole of catalyst per second; $P(H_2O)$ is the water partial pressure; $P(H_2)$ represents hydrogen partial pressure, $a$ and $b$ are the respective partial orders.

Chars from coals gasify at much higher rates (e.g. ten fold) than graphite. The relative ease of gasification of different types of carbon, is lignite > subbituminous char > bituminous char > graphite and is independent of the catalyst used. The potassium-calcium oxide catalyst is much more resistant to poisoning than the potassium-nickel oxide catalyst by either the mineral content of the char or by sulfur artificially added to the sample.

EXPERIMENTAL

A) Sample preparation

Graphite was obtained from Ultra Carbon Corp. (spectroscopic grade type UCP2;325 mesh). Chars were obtained from the Institute of Gas Technology. Table 1 lists the relevant characteristics of the carbon sources tested. The samples were impregnated with nitrate solutions of potassium and nickel, or potassium and calcium to incipient wetness. The atomic ratio $K/M^{+2}$ was kept equal to 1 and the ratio $K/C=0.01$. The samples were dried at 420K for one hour.
B) Flow reactor System (FRS)

Fig. 1 shows a diagram of the FRS used. The reactor is a 5 mm. ID alumina tube. About 0.5 g. of sample is deposited between two alumina wool plugs. Steam is produced by pumping water with a Harvard compact infusion pump Model 975 through heated lines. By keeping water flows below 0.1 cc/min, the total pressure into the reactor is very close to 760 torr. A liquid rate of 0.06 cc/min was selected as a standard flow, equivalent to 240 cc/min of steam at 850K. Steam leaving the reactor is condensed by volume expansion. Gas flow produced is recorded by a precalibrated thermal mass flowmeter connected to a base time recorder and is analyzed by a gas chromatograph using a thermal conductivity detector and a carbosieve S-II 100/120 mesh 10'x1/8" column.

The unit permits careful mass balancing, product analysis and control of feed rates and temperature.

The sample treatment in the unit prior to steam gasification comprises an increase in temperature from ambient to the decomposition temperature (td) of the precursor salts plus 20K at a rate of 12 K/min. This temperature is maintained for half an hour. The temperature is then raised to reaction temperature at a rate of 12 K/min for the K-Ca containing samples or 2 K/min for the K-Ni containing samples. A small He stream is maintained until 10 min after reaction temperature is reached in order to avoid air passing into the lines during the start of reaction.
C) Controlled Atmosphere Electron Microscopy (CAEM)

Transparent flakes of graphite were prepared by attaching the graphite to a glass slide using low melting point wax and repeatedly cleaving the graphite along its basal plane with adhesive tape until only a small section was left stuck to the glass slide. The section was released using acetone and picked up on a gold 500 mesh grid and allowed to dry in air. The graphite was then impregnated with K and Ca nitrate and the flow reactor system described was used to decompose the salts.

The experiments were performed in a KRATOS EM-1500 transmission electron microscope at the National Center for Electron Microscopy at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory.

A Gatan single tilt heating stage was used in a Gatan environmental cell. Argon was bubbled through water and the water vapor/Argon mixture was fed directly into the cell at a pressure of 3 torr. The microscope was operated at its maximum accelerating voltage, 1.5 MeV, and dynamic images were displayed and recorded via a video camera.
RESULTS

A) Steam Gasification of Graphite - Kinetic Study.

The relative activities of various catalysts for gasification of graphite, a hydrocarbon-free carbon source, were studied.

At constant conditions the main products of steam gasification are CO$_2$ and H$_2$, with small amounts of CO(<2%) and CH$_4$(<0.5%). The selectivity to CO$_2$, (moles of CO$_2$ formed per mole of carbon converted) was always higher than 97% with a H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio very close to 2. We therefore believe that the reaction occurring is

$$C + 2H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2.$$  

Table 2 shows the rates and conversions reached after 700 min of reaction at standard conditions (T=893K, FW=0.066ml/min, total P<760 torr, 0.5g. sample) for the bimetallic compounds studied and for the respective monometallics. K and Ca oxides by themselves show some activity under our experimental conditions; Ni oxide is inactive; increased activity is found with the metallic mixtures.

A kinetic study was performed to enhance the comparison between the two most active bimetallic catalysts of those studied for the steam gasification of the various carbon samples investigated. The partial orders of reaction were determined with graphite samples containing a
catalyst/carbon molar ratio equal to 0.01 with a mole of catalyst comprising one mole of K and one mole of Ca, or of Ni. The same determination was also made with a K/graphite sample having a catalyst/carbon molar ratio equal to 0.02. One of the reactants was diluted with inert gas (He), while keeping the partial pressure of the other gaseous reactants constant. Every system was kinetically tested after 30% of graphite conversion to insure that a steady state was achieved (pseudo zero order). Also, no tests were made after 70% conversion, so that major changes in catalyst/carbon ratio did not affect the final carbon conversion rates. These conditions required selection of different temperatures for each system: K-Ni/graphite 933K, K-Ca 941K, K/graphite 953K.

The high activation energies found for all the carbonaceous and catalyst samples studied in our system along with the very small grain size and the high linear velocity of the gases used indicate that chemical reaction steps are controlling the rate of reaction and not diffusion.

Most of the kinetic studies reported in the literature have been carried out in gravimetric systems in which the carbon conversion is monitored by weight loss. Because of the characteristics of this reaction (solid-solid and solid-gas reaction), care has to be taken to perform kinetic studies in our flow reactor system as shown by Holstein (15). We therefore describe below in some detail how we analyzed our data.
During steady state operation our reactor can be visualized as one in which a constant "flow" of carbon is passing through a stationary catalyst. If all other conditions are constant, including H\textsubscript{2}O, H\textsubscript{2}, and CO\textsubscript{2} partial pressures, the reaction rate should be constant. This state is experimentally observed with graphite and can be expressed because of our conditions (low water conversion levels, high space velocity) in a very simplified way as occurring in a differential reactor. Assuming that the number of moles of catalyst participating in the reaction is constant during the period of investigation one finds:

\[ R_c = \left( \frac{F_0}{N_{cat}} \right) X \quad \text{or} \quad R_c = SX \]  

(1)

Where:

- \( R_c \): rate of carbon conversion per mole of catalyst
- \( F_0 \): "molar flow of carbon"
- \( N_{cat} \): moles of catalyst in the reactor
- \( X \): conversion
- \( S \): molar space velocity which at our conditions is approximately constant.

The rate expression can be generalized as:

\[ R_c = k f(P^{a}(H_2O) P^{b}(H_2)\ldots) \]  

(2)

The partial orders of reaction can then be determined by varying the partial pressure of one component while keeping the partial pressures of the other components constant, using an inert gas to maintain the total pressure constant.
At our conditions, CO₂ has been shown to have no effect on the reaction rate.

In the presence of a large excess of water or, alternatively, hydrogen, expression (2) becomes:

\[ R_c = k' P^a(H₂O) \quad \text{or} \quad R_c = k'' P^b(H₂) \]

Plotting the experimental data, good correlations were found and the orders obtained for every system are presented in Table 2.

From these results it is apparent that the inhibiting effect of H₂ decreases in the order K-Ni > K > K-Ca. The close values of the activation energies indicate that the rate controlling step may be independent of the catalysts. The high value found (about 60 Kcal/mole or 270 Kjoule/mol) indicates that the rate depends on a thermally activated step such as the surface complex decomposition suggested by Mims et al (8).

B) Water dissociation with K-CaOₓ catalyst.

When steam is passed over the K-Ca-Oₓ catalyst in the absence of carbon the dissociation of water is observed and the evolution of H₂ is readily detectable. The reaction stops after two hours at 900K when using 0.3 g. of catalyst and steam at 1 atm. If the
temperature is raised, an additional \( \text{H}_2 \) release is observed, but this always stops after a few minutes at each temperature. No release of \( \text{O}_2 \) was observed at any time. Blank checks without catalyst showed no \( \text{H}_2 \) release. \( \text{H}_2 \) release was observed for one cycle of increasing temperatures only. This is most likely due to the oxidation of the catalyst to a form that is no longer active for water dissociation as indicated by the absence of oxygen evolution. In the presence of carbon the water dissociation becomes catalytic, as the carbon provides a sink for the oxygen produced from \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) thereby preventing the catalyst deactivation.

C) Controlled Atmosphere Electron Microscopy Studies of Graphite Gasification.

The entire process sequence from formation to reaction of the K-Ca oxide/graphite samples was followed by electron microscopy. Fig. 2 shows the state of the sample before and Fig. 3 after decomposition of the salts at room temperature but before reaction. Good dispersion is observed after decomposition of the salts with a relatively wide distribution of particle sizes. The black areas are covered by catalyst, while the white background is due to carbon. A large and a small catalyst particle are denoted by A and B in Fig. 3.

Figs. 4a and 4b show EDS electron micrograph analysis of particles of different sizes encircled as A and B in Fig. 3. The spectra are
almost identical and apparently the metal oxide catalyst is thoroughly mixed, leading to the supposition that the catalyst activity is not due to an addition of individual activities but the result of a quite homogeneous combination of K and Ca.

The reaction in the electron microscope cell was initiated by heating to 853K with a pure Ar stream (total P=2 torr). Once that temperature was reached, wet Ar was introduced at the same pressure. The temperature range explored at these conditions was 880 to 950K. No visible reaction occurred until 893K and 40 torr of total pressure were reached. In Figs. 5, 6, 7, and 8 the progress of the reaction at 940K is shown until all carbon in the focused area has been consumed as evidenced by no further movement over an extended time period (Fig. 8).

Figure 5 shows an edge at the center with a dark band of catalyst in contact with it; this is in contrast with the particle distribution shown in Fig. 3. A catalyst spot on the basal plane is observed in the middle right side of the picture and at the extreme right can be noted a barely visible edge which appears clearly in Fig. 6 taken 1 minute after Fig. 5. The left and right edges converge as gasification proceeds and finally merge.

The catalyst spot does not change until it is reached by the recession of the left edge (Fig. 7) as indicated by the diminishing distance between it and the large amount of catalyst in the center of the
picture (Figs. 6 and 7). In Fig. 7 the catalyst spot has been incorporated into the larger catalyst area at the left edge. In any of the areas monitored at the different temperatures studied, no variations were observed for the catalyst spots deposited on the basal plane of graphite. Only edge recession was observed.

The reaction was completely stopped when a wet stream of Ar plus 6% H₂ was passed through the cell. Hydrogen inhibits the reaction as also shown by the kinetic studies.

The activation energy of the reaction with wet Ar has been calculated from four different temperatures and two different areas monitored. Fig. 9 shows the Arrhenius plot giving an activation energy of 217 Kjol or 52 Kcal/mol. This value is reasonably similar to that found in the flow reactor system.

D) Char Gasification With Steam

Chars contain C-H bonds in addition to C-C bonds and have much higher surface areas than graphite. Since both the H/C ratio and the surface area (as well as mineral impurities content) vary from char to char, several different char types were investigated to establish the catalyst performance for their steam gasification. In Table 3 data for the K-Ca-Ox catalyzed gasification of various chars are compared. The activation energies are similar to that of graphite, indicating that the
much higher conversion and rates as compared to graphite must be due to
the char composition. The rate of gasification proceeds in the order
lignite > subbituminous > bituminous > graphite. It should be noted that
another mixed oxide, K-Ni-O_x exhibited identical trends when comparing
different chars with graphite. Thus, the observed rate data is not a
unique property of the K-Ca-O_x catalyst. The chars contain
hydrocarbons that appear to gasify more rapidly than graphite. The
reaction of steam with C-H bonds is more facile than the reaction with
C-C bonds. In no case were hydrocarbons observed in the reaction
products.

It was found that the K-Ca-O_x catalyst is highly resistant to
poisoning by the sulfur content in the char. When K-Ni-O_x catalyst was
used for steam gasification it was rapidly poisoned in presence of sulfur.

Figure 10 compares the rates of steam gasification at the standard
conditions of a demineralized char loaded with either K-Ca-O_x or
K-Ni-O_x in the presence or absence of 3% sulfur (obtained by the
decomposition of dibenzothiophene) to demonstrate the poison resistant
behavior exhibited by the K-Ca-O_x catalyst.

DISCUSSION

The K-Ca-O_x catalyst is much more active than potassium oxide or
calcium oxide alone as shown in Table 1. Its reaction rate is twice that
of K-O_x and five-fold that of Ca-O_x at a temperature of 893K and 750 torr of steam. This high activity is probably due to the inhibition of a stable calcium carbonate formation that results in the case of calcium alone as a catalyst. In addition the formation of a molten eutectic phase which is observed during the catalyst preparation and prior to decomposition of the nitrate salts provides good wetting of the carbon solids.

The rate equation for the gasification reaction and the activation energies for gasifying the various carbon solids (graphite and different chars) are very similar for different catalysts although the hydrogen inhibition effect varies somewhat from catalyst to catalyst, being the smallest for the K-Ca-O_x catalyst. Several oxygenated carbon species can co-exist at the temperature range used in this work. However, the decomposition temperature for each of these species is different and the type of carbon oxide released can be different. Phenolic species decompose at temperatures higher than those used here and produce predominantly CO rather than CO_2. At our conditions carboxylates and lactone species are decomposed, producing CO_2 (11).

It appears that the mechanism of gasification is very similar for the three catalysts. As suggested by earlier studies (8,12) the C-C bond breaking next to a surface carbon-oxygen complex to produce CO_2 (or CO at higher temperatures) is the likely rate determining step for carbon gasification. There is a great deal of supporting evidence for this
model that includes oxygen and carbon labelled isotope studies and temperature programmed thermal desorption (8,12). The formation of stable oxygenated surface species has been identified by spectroscopic techniques such as EPR, FTIR, and XPS (10,17,18). Also, the change of $\text{H}_2/\text{CO}_2$ ratio during steam gasification clearly indicates oxygen uptake by carbon in the beginning of the reaction (16).

The dissociation of water into hydrogen and oxygen by the catalyst has also been identified as an important reaction step. Surface science studies (19,20) have shown the ability of potassium to dissociate water to produce $\text{K-O}_x$. It appears that the evolution of hydrogen derives from this process, as does the oxidation of carbon at the catalyst interface. Our results show that water dissociates by a stoichiometric reaction over the $\text{K-Ca-O}_x$ catalyst. In the presence of carbon this reaction becomes catalytic and is an important step in the gasification although its activation energy is relatively low (138 Kjol/mol or 33 Kcal/mol of $\text{H}_2$) (13).

Chars gasify at much higher rates than graphite (over ten-fold increase, Table 3). It is clear that gasification of the carbons that contain many C-H bonds in addition to C-C bonds is more facile. This has the effect of increasing the rate without change of the activation energy for the process. Thus, it appears that the rate of gasification is the same for graphite and for chars but that the pre-exponential factor is greatly increased for chars. This could be related to the much higher
edge density of chars. These are the sites from which gasification proceeds.

The K-Ca-O$_x$ catalyst exhibits superior poison resistance as compared to the K-Ni-O$_x$ catalyst which has similar steam gasification activity. This property should be of importance in the technology as it permits the use of carbon feedstocks that have not been demineralized or contain sulfur.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1  Diagram of flow reactor system.

Fig. 2  Electron microscope picture of K-Ca(NO$_3$)$_3$/graphite on a gold grid.

Fig. 3  Electron microscope picture of the sample of Fig. 2 after decomposition and before reaction.

Fig. 4  Electron micrographs of a big size particle (a) and a small size particle (b) showing homogeneous compositions of K and Ca.

Fig. 5  State of a K-Ca(O)$_x$/graphite sample after 45 sec reaction.

Fig. 6  Picture taken 1 minute after Fig. 5. The progress of the left edge relative to the central catalyst spot of Fig. 5 can be observed and a second edge coming from the right side of the picture shows up more clearly.

Fig. 7  Taken 3 minutes after Fig. 6. The central catalyst spot of Fig. 5 has been covered by the graphite edge progressing from the right side of the picture.
Fig. 8  Final view of the catalyst after all the graphite has been consumed.

Fig. 9  Arrhenius plot for catalytic graphite gasification obtained by electron microscopy.

Fig. 10  Sulfur poisoning of K-Ni/KY 13 char and K-Ca/KY 13 char systems.

Rate vs conversion plots:

A:  K-Ca/KY 13 char;  B:  K-Ca/KY 13 char + 3%S (ex DBT)

C:  K-Ni/KY 13 char;  D:  K-Ni/KY 13 char + 3%S (ex DBT)
**TABLE 1**

CHARACTERISTICS OF CARBON SOURCES USED IN THIS WORK*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CARBON SOURCE</th>
<th>ULTIMATE ANALYSIS wt% (dry basis)</th>
<th>SPECIFIC AREA (m²/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C       H   S   N   O   ASH (by diff)</td>
<td>BET N₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH DAKOTA CHAR (LIGNITE)</td>
<td>64.0 4.6 0.5 1.5 18.0 11.4</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSEBUD CHAR (SUBBITUMINOUS)</td>
<td>62.8 4.4 1.3 1.0 15.9 14.7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY 13 CHAR (BITUMINOUS)</td>
<td>73.7 4.8 1.4 1.9 10.1 8.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAPHITE</td>
<td>99.9    -   -   -   -   -</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Analysis supplied by I.G.T.

Surface area measured by dynamic BET in this laboratory.
**TABLE 2**

Steam gasification of graphite with various catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATALYST</th>
<th>AFTER 700 MIN OF REACTION AT STD COND</th>
<th>KINETIC STUDY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conversion %</td>
<td>Rate (mol/mol/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-O</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-O</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Ca-O</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Ni-O</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KINETIC STUDY**

- H₂O Partial Order (a) ± 0.04
- H₂ Partial Order (b) ± 0.03
- Regression (a) 0.94 - 0.99
- Regression (b) 0.96 - 0.98
### TABLE 3

Steam gasification of three chars with K-Ca-O Catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHAR</th>
<th>ACTIVATION ENERGY (KJ/mol)</th>
<th>% CONVERSION AFTER 120 MIN REACTION</th>
<th>REACTION RATE (mol/mol/min) 120 MIN</th>
<th>SELECTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NORTH DAKOTA</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; 0.02, CO &lt;0.006, CH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; 0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LIGNITE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSEBUD</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; 0.02, CO &lt;0.005, CH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; 0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SUBBITUMINOUS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY 13 FRANK.</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; 0.98, CO &lt;0.01, CH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BITUMINOUS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Reactor
2. Temp. control
3. Condenser
4. Flowmeter
5. Gas sample valve
6. Gas chromatograph
7. Thermocouple

Fig. 1