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**ABSTRACT**

Imaging-pathological correlation studies show that in vivo amyloid-$\beta$ (A$\beta$) positron emission tomography (PET) strongly predicts the presence of significant A$\beta$ pathology at autopsy. We sought to determine whether regional PiB-PET uptake would improve sensitivity for amyloid detection in comparison with global measures (experiment 1), and to estimate the relative contributions of different A$\beta$ aggregates to in vivo PET signal (experiment 2). In experiment 1, 54 subjects with $[^{11}C]$ PiB-PET during life and postmortem neuropathologic examination (85.2% with dementia, interval from PET to autopsy 3.1 ± 1.9 years) were included. We assessed Thal amyloid phase ($N = 36$) and CERAD score ($N = 54$) versus both global and regional PiB SUVRs. In experiment 2, there were no differences in Area Under the Curve for amyloid phase ≥ A2 and CERAD score ≥ C2 between global and highest regional PiB SUVR ($p = 0.186$ and 0.230). In experiment 2, when NPs, DPs, and/or CAA were included in the same model, moderate to severe NPs were independently correlated with PiB SUVR in all regions except for the inferior temporal and calcarine ROI ($\beta = 0.414–0.804, p < 0.05$), whereas DPs were independently correlated with PiB SUVR in the angular gyrus ROI ($\beta = 0.446, p = 0.010$). CAA was also associated with PiB SUVR in the inferior temporal and calcarine ROI ($\beta = 0.222–0.355, p < 0.05$). In conclusion, global PiB-PET SUVR performed as well as regional values for amyloid burden in our cohort. The substrate-specific binding of PiB might differ among the brain specific regions.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Imaging-pathological correlation studies show that in vivo amyloid-$\beta$ (A$\beta$) positron emission tomography (PET) strongly predicts the presence of significant A$\beta$ pathology at autopsy (Clark et al., 2012; Curtis et al., 2015; Ikonomovic et al., 2008; Sabri et al., 2015). The majority of these studies have dichotomized A$\beta$-PET results based on either a visual read or a global measure of cortical tracer retention, and compared this classification to the maximal density of neuritic plaques (NPs). However, A$\beta$-PET ligands such as carbon-11 labelled Pittsburgh Compound-B ($[^{11}C]$ PiB) have been shown to also bind in vitro to diffuse plaques (DPs) and cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) (Lockhart et al., 2007). Understanding the relative contributions of different A$\beta$ aggregate types to in vivo signal is critical, particularly since NPs are more strongly associated with cognitive decline than DPs and CAA (Dickson et al., 1988). Furthermore, while A$\beta$ is usually distributed in the association neocortex by the onset of cognitive symptoms (Ogomori et al.,...
1989), recent studies have proposed that specific cortical hub regions may show the earliest Aβ deposition and thus may be more sensitive in patients at early stages of Aβ accumulation (Mintun et al., 2006; Mormino et al., 2012; Villeneuve et al., 2015). With the move in the field towards early detection of less severe Aβ burdens and early intervention, it is important to determine whether earlier detection of Aβ pathology may be possible by using regional rather than global PET thresholds.

In this study, we investigated these questions in a cohort of patients who underwent PiB-PET during life and post-mortem brain autopsy. We hypothesized that regional PiB retention would be more sensitive to Aβ pathology than global PiB retention. We further hypothesized that PiB binding would correlate more strongly with NPs than other Aβ aggregates, given their higher fibrillar content (compared to DPs) and greater overall mass in most patients (compared to CAA).

2. Subjects and methods

2.1. Participants

Participants were enrolled in longitudinal studies of aging and dementia at the University of California, San Francisco Memory and Aging Center (UCSF-MAC, N = 56) or the University of California, Davis (UCD, N = 11) (Fig. 1). Fifty of the 67 were included in a previous study relating the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s disease (CERAD) score and global [11C]PiB-PET retention (Villeneuve et al., 2015). Clinical diagnosis was established at a multi-disciplinary conference applying standard research criteria for mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and dementia syndromes (Albert et al., 2011; Armstrong et al., 2013; Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011; McKhann et al., 2011; Petersen, 2004; Rascovsky et al., 2011). Our recruitment was enriched for patients with clinical Alzheimer’s disease (AD) or frontotemporal dementia (FTD), and only a small minority had MCI or normal cognition at the time of PET (Table 1). We obtained written consent from each patient or their surrogate decision makers, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of UCSF, UCD and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

2.2. MR imaging

MR images were obtained on two 1.5 T instruments (Siemens Magnetom Avanto and Vision), a 3T instrument (Siemens Tim Trio) and a 4T instrument (Bruker/MedSpec). Acquisition parameters have been described previously (Mormino et al., 2012). For this study T1 images were used only for definition of the cerebellar gray matter reference region in native space (using FreeSurfer v 5.1 software), and for tissue segmentation and spatial warping to the Montreal Neurological Institute template (using statistical parametric mapping, SPM8; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm).

2.3. PET acquisition and pre-processing

All subjects underwent PET imaging with [11C] PiB at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory on a Siemens ECAT EXACT HR camera (N = 66) or a Siemens Biograph PET/CT scanner (N = 1) as described in a previous study (Villeneuve et al., 2015). Approximately 15 mCi of [11C] PiB was injected intravenously. The imaging protocol varied depending on study, but all subjects had images collected at t = 50–70 min post-injection except for one, who had data acquired between t = 55–70 min. PET frames were realigned, co-registered to the subject’s MP-RAGE MRI sequence, then normalized to mean activity in the cerebellar gray matter in order to generate Standardized Uptake Value Ratios (SUVR) images (Villeneuve et al., 2015).

2.4. Neuropathological examination

Brain autopsies were performed by the UCSF Neurodegenerative Disease Brain Bank (UCSF-NDBB, N = 49), UCSF-Department of Pathology (N = 44), UCD (11), University of Pennsylvania (1), University of California Los Angeles (1) and Mayo Clinic Jacksonville (1). Pathological
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Fig. 1. Flow chart of study participants. Aβ = Amyloid β; PiB = Pittsburgh Compound-B; SUVR = standardized uptake value ratio.
assessments were performed using institution-specific protocols (Villeneuve et al., 2015). All autopsies included tissue sampling in regions relevant to the differential diagnosis of dementia based on published consensus criteria (Hyman et al., 2012; Mackenzie et al., 2010). Blocks were embedded in paraffin wax, cut into 8 micron-thick sections, and stained with hematoxylin/eosin. Immunohistochemistry for Aβ1 (4G8, 1:2000, Covance, NJ) was performed in all cases. Immunohistochemistry for hyperphosphorylated tau (CP-13, 1:500, gift from Peter Davies, NY), α-synuclein (1:500, LB509, Invitrogen, CA), and transactive response DNA binding protein 43 (TDP-43, 1:500, Proteintech, Chicago, IL) were performed using an avidin-biotin complex detection system (Vectorstain ABC kit; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) with 3,3-diaminobenzidine as the chromogen. Slides were pretreated for antigen retrieval by immersion in citrate pH 6.0 in an autoclave at 121 °C for 5 min. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 °C and species-specific biotinylated secondary antibodies were incubated for one hour at room temperature. Other institutions’ protocols were similar. Neuritic and diffuse plaques were counted in sections immunostained for Aβ1. Neuritic plaques were defined as plaques with a distinctive core or a plaque with well-defined borders and neuritic components. Diffuse plaques lacked a morphologically identifiable substructure. In both cases, neuritic and diffuse plaques’ densities were based on the highest density of each type of plaques found in each area. Sections were rated unadjusted for age and rated in the following manner: 1–5 plaques in a 100 × field were classified as sparse, 6–14 as moderate and ≥15 as frequent. (Montine et al., 2012)

2.5. Experiment 1: global versus regional PIB SUVR

In order to determine whether global or regional PIB SUVR would better predict pathological Aβ1 burden or Alzheimer’s Disease Neuropathological Change (ADNC) (Hyman et al., 2012), autopsy reports were reviewed by an experienced neurologist (S.W.S) who extracted Thal phases for amyloid plaques (no plaques = 0, Phase1–2 = A1, Phase3 = A2, Phase4–5 = A3), Braak stages for neurofibrillary degeneration (no forebrain NFTs = 0, Stage1–2 = B1, Stage3–4 = B2, Stage5–6 = B3), the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s disease (CERAD) scores for neuritic plaques (no neuritic plaques = 0, sparse = C1, moderate = C2, frequent = C3), and ADNC scores according to recently revised NIA-AA guidelines (Hyman et al., 2012). Thal amyloid phase “A2 to A3”, CERAD scores of “C2 to C3”, and ADNC levels of “intermediate to high” were considered to be positive. We obtained Thal phases in only 36 cases because Aβ1 burden was not assessed in the subcortical structures, midbrain and cerebellum before 2012. ADNC levels, however, could be assessed in 46 cases because in some cases ADNC may be determined in spite of missing data elements. For instance, if cases had sparse NPs and Braak stage 2, then their ADNC levels would be rated as low regardless of Thal phase.

To estimate mean cortical PIB retention, we created a “PIB index” region of interest (ROI) as described in a previous study (Rabinovici et al., 2010). The PIB index ROI included the following regions defined within the Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) atlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002): superior frontal gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, frontal superior/middle/inferior orbital gyr, superior medial frontal gyrus, inferior operculum, inferior triangularis, Rolandic operculum, supplemental motor areas, rectus, olfactory bulb, insula, anterior cingulate, superior/middle/inferior temporal, superior/inferior parietal lobe, supramarginal gyrus, angular gyrus, posterior cingulate, precuneus, and middle cingulate. These regions consistently show high PIB retention in studies of AD and aging (Mormino et al., 2012; Rabinovici et al., 2010). Regional PiB SUVR values were also extracted from individual ROIs defined in the AAL atlas.

The global PIB index was extracted in template space across voxels with a gray-matter probability of at least 30% within the PIB index region of interest. In 3 subjects, regions of cortical stroke were masked and not included in the target regions of interest (ROI) for calculation of PIB index. A total of 11 cases were not included in this study because of difficulty with PIB data quantification: missing MRI data needed to generate quantitative values for PIB retention (N = 4), inadequate PIB acquisition (N = 6), and failure of realignment due to subject motion (N = 1). Thus, the final sample for analysis included 54 individuals with both quantitative Pib-PET data and Aβ1 assessment at autopsy (Fig. 1).
2.6. Experiment 2: pathological Aβ types and PiB SUVR

To investigate the correlation between in vivo Aβ retention quantified using PiB PET and postmortem Aβ types including NPs, DPs and CAA in the same neuropathologic ROI, we selected the cases (N = 49) whose neuropathologic assessments were performed at UCSF-NDBB. At UCSF-NDBB, amyloid NP burden was quantified using a modified CERAD score, unadjusted for age according to the UCSF NDBB regional sampling scheme (Mirra et al., 1991). CERAD scores of “absent” or “sparse” were categorized pathologically to be negative, while “moderate” and “frequent” CERAD scores were considered to be positive. DPs were also classified using the same method (Supplementary Fig. 1). CAA severity of “absent” or “positivity in leptomeningeal vessels only” was categorized pathologically to be negative, while “positivity in cortical and/or subcortical vessels” was considered to be positive. Pathologic grading of amyloid was performed prospectively by one of two experienced raters (WW and LTG) who in some cases had access to the clinical histories and thus may not have been blinded to PiB-PET results.

Template space PiB SUVR were analyzed in five cortical ROI: anterior cingulate cortex, middle frontal gyrus, inferior temporal gyrus, angular gyrus and calcarine cortex (Fig. 2). Custom ROI were created on a template MRI by creating squares centered at coordinates simulating the post-mortem sampling regions in coronal slices, then extending the ROI 3 mm anteriorly and 4 mm posteriorly to create an 8 mm thick slice. A total of seven cases were not included in this analysis because of difficulty with PiB data quantification: missing MRI data needed to generate quantitative values for PiB retention (N = 3), inadequate PiB acquisition (N = 3) and failure in realignment due to subject motion (N = 1). Thus, the final sample for analysis included 42 individuals with both quantitative PiB-PET data and Aβ assessment at autopsy (Fig. 1).

2.7. Partial Volume Correction

The primary models were repeated after correcting PiB PET data for partial volume effects. The three-compartment partial volume correction (PVC) method estimates the “true” gray matter signal by adjusting for signal from subjacent white matter and CSF (Muller-Gartner et al., 1992). Gray matter, white matter and CSF probabilities used for PVC were derived from SPM MRI segmentation.

2.8. Statistical analyses

In experiment 1, to determine whether global or regional PiB SUVR would better predict global pathological Aβ burden, receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analyses were performed. We also explored area under the ROC curve (AUC), and the optimal cut-offs that optimize sensitivity and specificity. DeLong analyses (DeLong et al., 1988) were performed to compare ROC curves between global and regional PiB SUVR.

In experiment 2, to investigate the relationship between in vivo imaging and pathological Aβ types in five customized PiB ROIs that correspond to the post-mortem sampling regions, multiple linear regression analyses with the “Enter” method were performed using two models. In Model 1, we entered the period of PET to autopsy and each pathological Aβ type (NPs, DPs, or CAA) as the independent variables and PiB SUVR from the customized ROI as dependent variable. In Model 2, we additionally entered all the resulting statistically significant pathological amyloid aggregates from Model 1 (defined as p < 0.05) as independent variables.

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and MedCalc for Windows, version 9.3 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium).

3. Results

3.1. Experiment 1: global versus regional PiB SUVR

3.1.1. Demographics of participants

Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean interval between PET scanning and death was 3.1 years (range: 0.2–8.3 years). At the time of PET, 46 subjects had a dementia diagnosis (primarily FTD or AD), six had MCI and two had normal cognition. At autopsy, the most common neuropathological diagnoses were frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) and AD (often with mixed pathology) (Table 1). The prevalence of amyloid pathologic stages was: 61.1% A0/A1, 38.9% A2/A3 for Thal amyloid phase, and 51.5% C0/C1, 48.1% C2/C3 for CERAD scores. The frequencies of not, low, intermediate and high levels of ADNC were 19.6%, 47.8%, 10.9% and 21.7%, respectively (Table 1).

3.1.2. ROC analyses of regional versus global PiB index

The results of the ROC analyses are shown in Table 2. For Thal amyloid phase A2/A3, CERAD NP score C2/C3, and ADNC level intermediate to high, SUVR in the right lateral parietal, left lateral frontal and left lateral frontal regions achieved the highest AUCs (0.917, 0.891, and 0.891), respectively. However, there were no statistical differences between global and highest regional PiB SUVR for Thal phase (p = 0.186), CERAD score (p = 0.230) and ADNC (p = 0.221).

3.1.3. Relationship between global PiB SUVR and ADNC levels

ROC analyses showed that the discrimination of absent from low or higher ADNC was fair (AUC = 0.730), of absent-low from intermediate to high was good (AUC = 0.854), and of absent-moderate from high was excellent (AUC = 0.974) (Supplementary Fig. 2).

3.2. Experiment 2: pathological Aβ types and PiB SUVR

3.2.1. Demographics of participants

The mean interval between PET scanning and death in this subset was 3.3 years (range: 0.2–8.3 years). The overall prevalence of amyloid
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Association between pathological Aβ types and PiB SUVR

When examined separately (adjusting for PET-autopsy interval) in Model 1, moderate to severe NPs (β = 0.445–0.923, p < 0.05) and DPs (β = 0.334–0.844, p < 0.05) each correlated with PiB SUVR in all regions (Table 3). CAA also predicted high PiB SUVR in all examined regions (β = 0.410–0.740, p < 0.05) (Table 3).

When all the statistically significant pathological Aβ types were included in the same model (Model 2), moderate to severe NPs were independently correlated with PiB SUVR in all regions except for the inferior temporal and calcarine ROI (β = 0.414–0.804, p < 0.05), whereas DPs were independently correlated with PiB SUVR in the angular gyrus ROI (β = 0.446, p = 0.010), and at a trend level in anterior cingulate (p = 0.098) (Table 3). CAA was also associated with PiB SUVR in the inferior temporal and calcarine ROI (β = 0.222–0.355, p < 0.05) (Table 3).

Tests for trends across dose dependent amyloid burden (none, sparse, moderate and frequent) showed similar results to those obtained with dichotomous categorization of amyloid aggregates (Supplementary table 1).

We repeated the primary models with partial-volume corrected data. For ROC analyses, results were consistent with those derived from non-PVC data, showing there were no differences in the accuracy of detection of amyloid burden or ADNC between regional and global SUVR (Supplementary table 2). We also found that NPs were correlated with PiB SUVR in all ROIs except for the calcarine region, and DPs were correlated with PiB SUVR in the anterior cingulate region (Supplementary table 3).

4. Discussion

We assessed the relationships between in vivo imaging and Aβ burden at autopsy. Our major findings were as follows. First, there was no difference in accuracy between regional and global PiB SUVR in predicting pathological Aβ burden as measured by Thal phase or CERAD score. Second, the substrate-specific binding of PiB might differ among the brain specific regions. Taken together, these findings have implications for clinical and research applications of amyloid PET.
4.1. Global versus Regional PiB SUVR for prediction of pathological Aβ burdens

Our first major finding demonstrated that there was no difference in accuracy between peak regional and global PiB SUVR in predicting pathological Aβ burden. While neuropathological staging studies have described amyloid deposition as widely distributed within the association neocortex even at the earliest Thal phase, imaging studies with PiB and other Aβ tracers have highlighted medial prefrontal cortex and precuneus as regions of early tracer retention, particularly in the preclinical state (Mintun et al., 2006; Mormino et al., 2012; Sojkova et al., 2011; Villeneuve et al., 2015). Another study found that patients with intermediate global PiB retention levels (falling below the global threshold for positivity) showed regionally elevated uptake in a variety of regions including dorsolateral prefrontal, medial orbital and temporoparietal cortices (Mormino et al., 2012). Therefore, there may be variability across subjects in the regions showing early PET signal. We found that PiB SUVR in these regions showed numerically higher AUC than global PiB SUVR, but there were no statistical differences in diagnostic accuracy between global and peak regional PiB SUVR. Regional heterogeneity in early PET signal across subjects, or high inter-correlation across regions even at an early stage, may explain why global measures perform as well as regional ones. An important caveat to interpreting these results is that neuropathologic studies are subject to sampling error, and in particular precuneus, an important early region of PiB-PET retention, is not routinely sampled in diagnostic autopsy protocols (including our own), though we did sample anterior cingulate cortex, another important region for early PiB signal (Mintun et al., 2006; Mormino et al., 2012; Sojkova et al., 2011; Villeneuve et al., 2015). It may therefore be that Thal and CERAD scores derived from traditional brain sampling may underestimate early amyloid deposition, and that broader sampling of the brain would show an advantage for regional versus global PiB values. Notably, while the vast majority of our subjects were cognitively impaired, the underlying neuropathological substrates were quite diverse, and our sample did include a broad range of amyloid neuropathology, including sparse and intermediate cases that are critical for assessing early detection. Nevertheless, we recognize that our cohort consisted primarily of patients with clinical dementia at the time of imaging. Our findings need to be reproduced in cohorts consisting primarily of individuals with preclinical or prodromal AD and short PET-to-autopsy intervals in order to assess for generalizability to these populations.

4.2. Global PiB SUVR for prediction of ADNC

We found that even though ADNC includes measures of both amyloid and tau neurofibrillary pathology, global PiB SUVR differentiated ADNC levels. Considering that Braak neurofibrillary score is correlated with amyloid Aβ burden (Murray et al., 2015), it might be reasonable to expect that PiB SUVR would differentiate ADNC levels as well. Although the accuracies of the PiB SUVR for intermediate to high or high levels of ADNC were “good” or “excellent”, the accuracy for low levels of ADNC, as seen in the earliest preclinical stage of AD, was just “fair”. While it is conceivable that other neurodegenerative protein aggregates found post-mortem may have impacted our study, this is unlikely since post-mortem binding and correlative studies have demonstrated high selectivity for PiB to bind to Abeta versus tau or synuclein aggregates (Bacsokai et al., 2007; Ikonomovic et al., 2008; Kantarci et al., 2012; Klink et al., 2003). Previous studies have shown that PiB uptake in clinically diagnosed (Engler et al., 2008; Rabinovici et al., 2011; Rowe et al., 2007) and autopsy confirmed (Villeneuve et al., 2015) patients with FTLD-Tau or FTLD-TDP does not differ from binding in amyloid-negative normal controls. Similarly studies applying other beta-amyloid tracers did not find that mixed pathologies modified amyloid PET signal (Dugger et al., 2014).

4.3. Regional correlations between in vivo amyloid imaging and post-mortem burden of amyloid species

Our second major finding that the substrate-specific binding of PiB might differ among the brain specific regions is supported by the following observations: (1) moderate to severe NPs were independently correlated with PiB SUVR in all regions except for the inferior temporal and calcineurle ROI; (2) CAA was associated with PiB SUVR in the inferior temporal and calcineurle ROI. Overall, our findings are consistent with the notion that PiB binding is proportional to the fibrillar content of the Aβ lesion (Klunk et al., 2003). Previous studies applying PiB as well as florine-18 labelled Aβ tracers, showed that high cortical retention reliability discriminates CERAD moderate-frequent NPs density from absent-low NPs (Clark et al., 2012; Curtis et al., 2015; Murray et al., 2015; Sabri et al., 2015). On the other hand, other studies found that clinical probable CAA patients had occipital predominant PiB uptake, reflecting the predilection of CAA for occipital areas (Bacsokai et al., 2007; Ly et al., 2010). In the present study, significant relationships between CAA and PiB SUVR were observed in the inferior temporal as well as calcineurle ROI, consistent with the early involvement of inferior temporal cortex in CAA (Thal et al., 2003). Interestingly, we found that DPs contribute to PiB uptake in the angular gyrus after adjusting for NPs. A previous study applying autoradiography to post-mortem samples showed that PiB delineates DPs and CAA as well as NPs (Lockhart et al., 2007). Furthermore, single case reports have highlighted high PiB retention that can be indistinguishable from an AD pattern in patients with very high density DPs and low NPs (Kantarci et al., 2012). Discrepancies between previous studies and our findings may be due to differences in study populations. In general, our sample showed high regional overlap between NPs, DPs and CAA. We did not have a significant number of patients with DLB, who tend to show disproportionate DPs relative to NPs. Therefore, while the majority of PiB signal seems to emanate from highly fibrillar NPs, a high density of DPs (despite lower fibrillarity) or CAA can lead to similar levels of tracer retention.

4.4. Strengths and limitations

Strengths of our study include the prospective setting, and the standardized pathology and PiB-PET imaging protocol. Furthermore, pathological amyloid-beta aggregates and in vivo amyloid imaging were measured in comparable ROIs, which enabled us to investigate direct pathological and in vivo imaging correlates of amyloid aggregate types. Some methodological issues need to be considered. First, there was an average 3.3 years interval from amyloid imaging to autopsy. Although we controlled for this interval in the analyses, the prolonged delay in some cases leaves open the possibility that significant Aβ pathology evolved after PiB-PET and prior to death. This argument is mitigated by some degree by the very slow observed annual rates of change in PiB signal in longitudinal studies (Kemppainen et al., 2014; Ossenkoppele et al., 2012). Next, our assessment of pathological Aβ was semi-quantitative, and does not allow true correlation of pathological Aβ as a continuous measure (Ikonomovic et al., 2008). Third, amyloid burden was only assessed in a subset of brain regions. In addition to potential sampling error in the cortex, we did not investigate the role of DPs in subcortical structures where DPs are known to be predominant (Suenaga et al., 1990), or of amyloid species in the cerebellum which was used as the reference region for SUVR (Suenaga et al., 1990). Fourth, our sample size might render us under-powered to detect partial correlations of DPs or CAA after adjusting for NPs. This possibility is supported by a number of trend-level results in the combined model. Finally, an important methodological consideration is that diffuse and neuritic amyloid plaque densities are estimated at our center based on morphological features seen on beta IHC. Results may therefore differ from those obtained with amyloid-tau double immunostaining or silver staining. Generalizability of our findings may be limited by the unique composition of our sample, which, by virtue of the clinical
Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2016.11.008.
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