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\textbf{Abstract.} We theoretically study the loading of a two-species Bose–Einstein condensate to an optical lattice in a tightly confined one-dimensional trap. Due to quantum fluctuations, the relative inter- and intra-species phase coherence between the atoms and the on-site atom number fluctuations are reduced in the miscible regime. For the immiscible case the fluctuations are enhanced and the atoms form metastable interleaved spatially separated domains where the domain length and its fluctuations are affected by quantum fluctuations.
1. Introduction

Two-species atomic Bose–Einstein condensates (BECs) exhibit notably richer dynamical phenomena than single-species BECs. The inter-species interactions between the two components affect the nonlinear dynamics of the individual BECs and the two-species mixture may be in a miscible or immiscible phase [1–8], exhibiting, e.g., spin [9] and shock waves [10], vector solitons [10–18] and other topological defects and textures [19]. In optical lattices a bosonic two-component mixture has attracted increasing experimental interest, e.g. in controlled collisions and multi-particle entanglement [20], in the mixing of $^{87}\text{Rb}$ and $^{41}\text{K}$ in three-dimensional (3D) lattices [21], in super-exchange interactions [22], in spin-gradient thermometry [23] and in sub-shot-noise quantum interferometry [24].

In this paper, we study both numerically and analytically the effects of quantum and thermal fluctuations on a two-species BEC when the condensates are confined in an optical lattice in a highly elongated 1D trap and the lattice potential is slowly turned up. In single-species bosonic atomic gases the interplay between enhanced quantum fluctuations in an optical lattice and the repulsive inter-atomic interactions has experimentally been shown to result in strongly reduced atom number fluctuations and the loss of phase coherence between the atoms in different lattice sites [25–33]. The reduction in atom number fluctuations has been exploited in the preparation of spin-squeezed states [29] that are suitable for quantum-enhanced interferometry. The phase separation dynamics of a two-species harmonically trapped BEC was experimentally observed in two immiscible hyperfine components of $^{87}\text{Rb}$ [1], due to the long lifetimes of the two-fluid system that results from a fortuitous cancellation of the scattering lengths [34]. Controllable spatial separation dynamics has recently been observed in a mixture of $^{85}\text{Rb}$ and $^{87}\text{Rb}$ atomic BECs by tuning the inter-species interactions with a magnetic Feshbach resonance [2] and by introducing a linear electromagnetic coupling between the two internal states [35] that creates an effective dressed state description of the two components [36, 37].
In our simulations the atoms are initially confined in a shallow lattice and we continuously turn up the lattice potential. The enhanced effective interactions result from the reduced hopping amplitude of the atoms along the lattice, and quantum fluctuations become more dominant in a deep lattice. We calculate the on-site atom number fluctuations in individual lattice sites and the inter- and intra-species relative phase coherence between the atoms in different sites. The numerical results are compared with the analytically calculated values that we derive in the appendix using the Bogoliubov theory. Even in the miscible regime of the two-species BEC system, quantum fluctuations eventually destroy the long-range coherence of the atoms along the lattice, fragmenting the condensates. The inter- and intra-species relative phase coherence between the atoms even in the adjacent sites is notably reduced in deep lattices, but the inter-species coherence remains higher close to the onset of the phase separation instability. We find that the repulsive inter-species interactions increase the inter-species relative phase coherence, but have only a weak effect on the intra-species coherence and the on-site atom number fluctuations. The coherence typically stabilizes to a non-vanishing finite value after the lattice ramping and we evaluate its spatial dependence along the lattice, demonstrating a clearly reduced spatial coherence length of the system. In the dynamically unstable regime we find considerably enhanced atom number fluctuations, stronger loss of phase coherence and the spontaneous formation of metastable configurations of interleaved domains of the two spatially separated components. We calculate quantum mechanical expectation values and uncertainties of the domain length and find that they depend on the strength of quantum fluctuations, deviating from the classical mean-field values.

The experimentally observed phase separation dynamics of [2] in the uniform space was theoretically studied using the classical mean-field theory in [38]. A multi-orbital wavefunction analysis was employed in [39] to demonstrate that stronger inter-species interactions lead to a shorter domain length. There has been increasing interest in the experimental studies of spontaneous symmetry breaking and pattern formation also in other ultra-cold atomic systems [40, 41] and the two-species condensate with a coupling between the two internal states [35] has been proposed as a system to study the Kibble–Zurek defect formation mechanism in phase transitions [42, 43].

In order to perform efficiently the numerical simulations in a lattice, we develop an approximate method to describe the non-equilibrium dynamics of a two-species condensate mixture that is based on the truncated Wigner approximation (TWA) [44–50] of the stochastic phase space dynamics. The two-species BEC equilibrium state is solved within the classical Bogoliubov approximation where the excitations are evaluated in the tight-binding approximation of the uniform two-species lattice Hamiltonian. The amplitudes of the Bogoliubov phonon modes are then stochastically sampled according to a probability distribution given by the Wigner distributions of the ideal harmonic oscillators, as in the single-component TWA approaches [47]. Each stochastic realization of the initial state is propagated in time according to the classical mean-field dynamics, so that individual stochastic trajectories represent potential outcomes of single experimental runs and quantum mechanical expectation values and fluctuations are calculated from the ensemble averages of the stochastic dynamics. One of the advantages of the approximate two-species model is its simplicity and the possibility to calculate analytic solutions to the initial state mode functions. The analytic approach to linearized excitations can also be used to calculate approximate ground state properties of the two-species system. We use this in the appendix to evaluate the intra-species relative phase coherence and the on-site atom number fluctuations.
2. Theoretical model

2.1. Classical mean-field equation

We assume that a two-species BEC is in a tightly confined highly elongated 1D trap, so that any density fluctuations along the radial direction perpendicular to the trap axis can approximately be ignored. Along the axial direction the atoms experience an optical lattice potential that is deep enough so that the atoms can be described in the tight-binding approximation in which case one trap mode per lattice site is included in the dynamics. The classical mean-field model then follows our previous descriptions \cite{8,16} and the equation that governs the dynamics of two component BEC is the two-component discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation (TCDNLSE),

\[ i \frac{d}{dt} \psi_n^{(j)} = -J_j (\psi_{n+1}^{(j)} + \psi_{n-1}^{(j)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \chi_{jk} |\psi_n^{(k)}|^2 \psi_n^{(j)}, \tag{1} \]

where \( J_j \) \((J_j > 0) \) and \( \psi_n^{(j)} \) denote the nearest-neighbour hopping amplitudes and the wave-function amplitude at the lattice site \( n \) of the atoms of species \( j \) \((j = 1, 2) \), respectively. The nonlinearities are given by the interaction coefficients \( \chi_{jk} \) \((j, k = 1, 2) \), which are proportional to the on-site atom–atom interaction strengths and to the overlap of the lowest vibrational state wave functions \( \phi_n^{(j)} \) (the Wannier functions) of the two species in a given lattice site, i.e.

\[ \chi_{jj} \simeq \frac{4\pi \hbar N_j a_{jj}}{m_j} \int d^3\vec{r} |\phi_n^{(j)}(\vec{r})|^4 \tag{2} \]

and

\[ \chi_{jk} \simeq \frac{2\pi \hbar \sqrt{N_j N_k a_{jk}}}{\mu} \int d^3\vec{r} |\phi_n^{(j)}(\vec{r})|^2 |\phi_n^{(k)}(\vec{r})|^2 \tag{3} \]

for \( j \neq k \). The inter- and intra-species scattering lengths are denoted by \( a_{jk} \) and \( a_{jj} \), respectively, and \( N_j \) is the atom number of the species \( j \). Here the reduced atomic mass \( \mu \) is given in terms of the atomic mass of the \( j \)th component \( m_j \) as

\[ \mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)} . \tag{4} \]

Since the number of atoms in each species is a conserved quantity, in the following we use the normalization

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{L} |\psi_n^{(j)}|^2 = 1 , \tag{5} \]

where \( L \) denotes the number of lattice sites.

2.2. Parameter regimes of the simulations

We study the quantum dynamics of a two-species BEC in an optical lattice. The initial state of the TWA simulations is generated by calculating the classical Bogoliubov modes whose amplitudes are sampled stochastically. The time evolution for each stochastic realization then follows TCDNLSE of equation (1). The simulations involve a large parameter space. There are three nonlinearities \( \chi_{11}, \chi_{22} \) and \( \chi_{12} \) in equation (1) and the hopping amplitudes \( J_j \) for the two species may differ. In addition, the two components can be moving with different carrier
momenta \( p_k \). The stochastic initial state fixes the atom numbers \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) (for given \( \chi_{11}, \chi_{22} \)) and we can also vary the number of lattice sites \( L \). The lattice potentials of the two components may be shifted with respect to each other and the two species could also experience different radial confinements. In the finite-temperature examples, we also vary the initial temperature \( T \).

In the following, we will demonstrate how a simple analytic description of the initial state of the TWA simulations in terms of the Bogoliubov modes may be obtained whenever the two atom currents are equal, i.e. for \( J_1 \sin(p_1) = J_2 \sin(p_2) \). In order to demonstrate some basic effects of the two-species quantum dynamics in a lattice, we concentrate on a simple set of parameter values for which the mode functions have especially compact analytic expressions.

In all the numerical simulations we consider condensates with zero centre-of-mass momenta \( p_1 = p_2 = 0 \) and

\[
J_1 = J_2, \quad \chi_{11} = \chi_{22}, \quad N_1 = N_2. \tag{6}
\]

We show that the particular choice of the set of parameters is by no means necessary, however, and that the general formalism with the analytic initial state derivation is more general. For the selected parameter set we may investigate the main physical phenomena of the two-species lattice dynamics: the effect of the phase separation dynamics can be controlled by the ratio \( \chi_{12}/\chi_{11} \), quantum fluctuations and nonlinearity by \( \chi_{11}/N_1^2 \) and \( \chi_{11}/J_1 \).

### 2.3. Classical Bogoliubov theory and stability analysis

We can find steady-state solutions to the TCDNLSE (1) that represent propagating plane waves [8, 16]

\[
\psi_n^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{i(p,n-\omega_j t)}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( \omega_j \) is given by

\[
\omega_j = -2 J_j \cos p_j + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \Delta_{jk}, \quad \Delta_{jk} \equiv \frac{\chi_{jk} L}{2}. \tag{8}
\]

The carrier wave momenta, \( p_j \), are quantized according to \( p_j = P_j \frac{2\pi}{L} \) where \( P_j \) is an integer that takes value in the interval \( \left[-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}\right) \).

The linear stability analysis of the steady-state solution was performed in [8] using the classical Bogoliubov expansion. In the Bogoliubov approach, the wavefunctions for each component in equation (1) are written as

\[
\psi_n^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \left(1 + u_n^{(j)} e^{i\chi_n} - [v_n^{(j)}]^* e^{-i\chi_n}\right) e^{i(p,n-\omega_j t)}. \tag{9}
\]

In the limit of weak perturbations, the system of equations for \( u_n^{(j)} \) and \( v_n^{(j)} \) may be expressed as an eigenvalue problem

\[
i \frac{d}{dt} \xi_q = \sigma M_q \xi_q, \quad \xi_q = \begin{pmatrix} u_q^{(1)} \\ v_q^{(1)} \\ u_q^{(2)} \\ v_q^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_z & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_z \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}
\]
where $\sigma_z$ denotes the $2 \times 2$ Pauli spin matrix. The elements of the $4 \times 4$ matrix $\mathfrak{M}_q$ are obtained from the Bogoliubov linearization procedure [8]. The quasimomenta $q = \frac{2\pi Q}{L}$ may be defined such that $Q$ takes integer values except zero in the range $[-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2})$. The eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{M}_q$ correspond to the normal mode (excitation) frequencies $\Omega_q$ of the system that have simple analytic expressions when two BECs have the same atomic currents [8] ($J_1 \sin(p_1) = J_2 \sin(p_2)$). In that case we obtain [8, 16]

$$
\Omega_q = t_1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(t_2 \pm t_3)},
$$

where

$$
t_1 = 2J_1 \sin(p_1) \sin(q)
$$

represents a Doppler shift term of the excitation frequencies due to the superfluid flow,

$$
t_2 = v_{1,q}^2 + v_{2,q}^2,
$$

$$
t_3 = \sqrt{(v_{1,q}^2 - v_{2,q}^2)^2 + 16\epsilon_{1,q}\epsilon_{2,q}\Delta_{12}^2 \cos p_1 \cos p_2}
$$

are defined in terms of the single-condensate normal mode frequencies $v_{j,q}$,

$$
v_{j,q}^2 = \epsilon_{j,q} \cos(p_j)[\epsilon_{j,q} \cos(p_j) + 2\Delta_{jj}],
$$

and

$$
\epsilon_{j,q} = 4J_j \sin^2(q/2),
$$

is the spectrum of an ideal, non-moving BEC. The flow is stable if the frequencies in equation (11) are real for all $q \neq 0$; otherwise there are small excitations in the system that grow exponentially in time. In these equations the normal mode frequencies of the two condensate species are coupled by the inter-species interactions and in the absence of the inter-species term, $\chi_{12} = 0$, we have two decoupled condensate spectra equation (20). The simplest case is obtained when both BECs are in the normal dispersion regime with $p_1, p_2 < \pi/2$ and $\chi_{jk} > 0$. In that case the instability condition for the modes $q$ reads [8]

$$
\chi_{12}^2 > \chi_{11}\chi_{22} + q^2L(\frac{j_2\chi_{11} + j_1\chi_{22}}{2} + q^4L^2j_1j_2/4),
$$

where $j_k = J_k \cos p_k$. In the normal dispersion regime the instability first sets in for the modes for which $|q|$ is small and therefore $q^2L \propto 1/L$. In the limit of a large lattice $L \to \infty$, we then obtain the criterion for instability

$$
\chi_{12}^2 > \chi_{11}\chi_{22}.
$$

(18)

This stability condition is notably altered if one of the BECs exhibits anomalous dispersion due to superfluid flow [8]. If the interaction strengths in equation (17) are tuned in such a way that the instability is characterized by a single unstable mode, the two-component system can also be found in a state that is no longer dynamically stable but does not undergo a phase separation [16]. Instead, the two-species mixture exhibits a periodically appearing and disappearing vector soliton structure.

In this paper, we only consider initially stationary BECs with the vanishing condensate momenta $p_1 = p_2 = 0$. We also assume that the hopping amplitudes for the two BECs are equal: $J_1 = J_2 = J$ and $\epsilon_{1,q} = \epsilon_{2,q} \equiv \epsilon_q = 4J \sin^2(q/2)$. This simplifies the stability analysis.
The eigenvalue system for the linear stability analysis in equation (10) can then be expressed as
\[ \mathcal{M}_q = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{1,q} & -\Delta_{11} & \Delta_{12} & -\Delta_{12} \\ -\Delta_{11} & \eta_{1,q} & -\Delta_{12} & \Delta_{12} \\ \Delta_{12} & -\Delta_{12} & \eta_{2,q} & -\Delta_{22} \\ -\Delta_{12} & \Delta_{12} & -\Delta_{22} & \eta_{2,q} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19} \]
with the definition \( \eta_{j,q} = U_{jj} + \epsilon_q \). The system exhibits two physical normal mode frequencies
\[ \Omega_q^\pm \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (t_2 \pm t_3)}. \tag{20} \]
For a positive definite \( \mathcal{M}_q \) these are real, indicating dynamical stability of the system. The corresponding dynamically stable eigenvectors \( \xi_q \) satisfy the normalization condition \( \xi_q^\dagger \sigma \xi_q = 1 \). The eigenvalues \(-\Omega_q^\pm \) of equation (10) represent unphysical solutions with the corresponding eigenvectors satisfying the negative normalization \( \xi_q^\dagger \sigma \xi_q = -1 \).

The BEC system becomes dynamically unstable when the normal mode frequencies exhibit nonvanishing imaginary parts, indicating perturbations that grow exponentially in time. The corresponding eigenvectors satisfy \( \xi_q^\dagger \sigma \xi_q = 0 \). The rate at which the instability sets in depends on the magnitude of the imaginary part of the eigenfrequency.

We can solve the eigenvectors of equation (10) analytically. The expressions for the mode functions notably simplify when we consider the case \( \chi_{11} = \chi_{22} \). We then obtain (for \( \chi_{12} \neq 0 \))
\[ \Omega_q^\pm = \sqrt{\epsilon_q (\epsilon_q + 2\Delta_{11}) \pm 2\epsilon_q \Delta_{12}}, \tag{21} \]
\[ u_{q,\pm}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{4\Delta_{12}\Omega_q^\pm + (\Omega_q^+)^2 - (\Omega_q^-)^2}{4\sqrt{2\Delta_{12}\Omega_q^\pm \left[(\Omega_q^+)^2 - (\Omega_q^-)^2\right]}}, \tag{22} \]
\[ v_{q,\pm}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{4\Delta_{12}\Omega_q^\pm + (\Omega_q^-)^2 - (\Omega_q^+)^2}{4\sqrt{2\Delta_{12}\Omega_q^\pm \left[(\Omega_q^+)^2 - (\Omega_q^-)^2\right]}}, \tag{23} \]
and \( u_{q,\pm}^{(2)} = \pm u_{q,\pm}^{(1)}, \) \( v_{q,\pm}^{(2)} = \pm v_{q,\pm}^{(1)} \). Here, we have assumed, for notational simplicity, that \( \Delta_{12} > 0 \). In TWA simulation, we generate the initial state noise for the configuration that is dynamically stable (we specifically consider thermal equilibrium states). For such states the normal mode frequencies are all real and the eigenmodes satisfy the normalization condition
\[ \xi_q^\dagger \sigma \xi_q = [u_{q,\pm}^{(1)}]^2 - [v_{q,\pm}^{(1)}]^2 + [u_{q,\pm}^{(2)}]^2 - [v_{q,\pm}^{(2)}]^2 = 1. \tag{24} \]

The two-species BEC normal modes describe the dynamics of mixing between the two components as well as excitations of the total density in the system. In the nonlinear regime, we have \( \chi_{jj} \gg J \). If we also have \( \chi_{12} \simeq \chi_{11} \chi_{22}, \) one of the frequencies approaches zero corresponding to the phase separation instability equation (18). We obtain in that case \( \Omega_{q,\pm}^2 \simeq v_{1,q}^2 + v_{2,q}^2 \) and \( \Omega_{q,\pm}^2 \ll v_{1,q}^2, v_{2,q}^2 \). The low-energy excitations then correspond to the mixing of the two species with only a weak variation in the total density of the two-species condensate.
In both stable and unstable regimes of the two-species mixture we can investigate the degree of overlap between the two species. We define the overlap integral of the wavefunctions for the mixture as

$$\kappa(t) = \left| \sum_n \left[ \psi_n^{(1)}(t) \right]^* \psi_n^{(2)}(t) \right|^2. \quad (25)$$

In the stable regime, equation (25) describes the spin excitations of the two-component system. When the two-species interaction strengths satisfy the condition equation (17), the two-species system is dynamically unstable and undergoes phase separation, resulting in strongly reduced overlap integral values. A measure that can particularly well identify the phase separation of the densities of the two species may be calculated from the sum

$$\tau(t) = \sum_n \left| \psi_n^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 \left| \psi_n^{(2)}(t) \right|^2. \quad (26)$$

### 2.4. Truncated Wigner approximation

In the TWA simulations, we calculate ensemble averages of stochastic trajectories for which the time evolution follows the classical mean-field theory, but in each realization the initial state is stochastically sampled from a Wigner distribution that approximately synthesizes the quantum statistical correlations of the initial state. Approaches introduced in the TWA initial state generation in single-component BECs involve evaluating the initial state correlations within the Bogoliubov approximation [47, 51] or by solving the ground state and the excited state populations self-consistently within the Hartree–Fock–Bogoliubov approximation [33].

In order to implement the TWA phase-space model in a two-component BEC system we similarly assume that the two-component stochastic fields $\tilde{\psi}^{(j)}$ obey the classical field equations similar to the TCDNLSE (equation (1))

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\psi}^{(j)}_n = -J \left( \tilde{\psi}^{(j)}_{n+1} + \tilde{\psi}^{(j)}_{n-1} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^2 \chi_{jk} |\tilde{\psi}^{(k)}_n|^2 \tilde{\psi}^{(j)}_n. \quad (27)$$

For the stochastic initial state generation, we introduce an approximate model based on the classical Bogoliubov theory, described in the previous section, that provides simple analytically solvable mode functions. We write both the components $j = 1, 2$ as

$$\sqrt{N_j} \tilde{\psi}^{(j)}_n = \phi_n^{(j)} \alpha_0^{(j)} + \delta \psi_n^{(j)}, \quad (28)$$

where $\phi_n^{(j)}$ denotes the normalized ground state solution of the BEC component $j$ and the excited-state fluctuations are given by

$$\delta \psi_n^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{q \neq 0, \eta = \pm} \left( u_{q,\eta}^{(j)} \alpha_{q,\eta}^{(j)} e^{i\eta q} - [v_{q,\eta}^{(j)}]^{\star} [\alpha_{q,\eta}^{(j)}]^{\star} e^{-i\eta q} \right). \quad (29)$$

Here the eigenmodes $(q, \pm)$ correspond to the eigenfrequencies $\Omega_q^{\pm}$ of equation (20). The mode amplitudes $\alpha_0^{(j)}, \alpha_q^{(j)}$ are stochastically sampled from the Wigner distribution of harmonic oscillators as explained below. We consider a two-species system with equal populations $N_1 = N_2 = N$, with the interaction strengths satisfying $\chi_{11} = \chi_{22}$. In that case the modes $u_{q,\eta}^{(j)}$ and $v_{q,\eta}^{(j)}$ are given by equations (22) and (23).

Using the field decomposition equation (28) and the mode functions, equations (22) and (23), we then stochastically sample the amplitudes of the mode functions by treating them...
as ideal harmonic oscillators whose distributions are determined by the corresponding Gaussian Wigner function \[ W(\alpha^{(j)}_{q,\pm}, \alpha^{(j)}_{q,\pm}') = \frac{2}{\pi} \tanh(\xi_q^\pm) \exp\left[-2 |\alpha^{(j)}_{q,\pm}|^2 \tanh(\xi_q^\pm)\right], \] (30)

where \( \xi_q^\pm = \Omega_q^\pm/2k_B T \). The stochastic mode function amplitudes \( \alpha^{(j)}_{q,v} \) produce the ensemble averages \[ \left\langle \left[ \alpha^{(j)}_{q,\pm} \right]^* \alpha^{(j)}_{q,\pm} \right\rangle_W = \tilde{n}_{q,\pm} + \frac{1}{2}, \] (31)

where

\[ \tilde{n}_{q,\pm} = \frac{1}{\exp(\Omega_q^\pm/k_B T) - 1} \] (32)

is the usual Bose–Einstein distribution function. The factor 1/2 in equation (31) results from the Wigner distribution that returns symmetrically ordered expectation values, providing the vacuum noise in each mode. For each stochastic realization the total number of excited-state atoms varies according to

\[ N^{(j)}_e = \sum_{q \neq 0, v = \pm} \left( |u^{(j)}_{q,v}|^2 + |v^{(j)}_{q,v}|^2 \right) \left( [\alpha^{(j)}_{q,\pm}]^* \alpha^{(j)}_{q,\pm} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + |v^{(j)}_{q,v}|^2 \] (33)

with the average number given by

\[ \langle N^{(j)}_e \rangle = \sum_{q \neq 0, v = \pm} \left( |u^{(j)}_{q,v}|^2 + |v^{(j)}_{q,v}|^2 \right) \tilde{n}_{q,v} + |v^{(j)}_{q,v}|^2 \] (34)

The ground state amplitudes \( \alpha^{(j)}_0 \) fluctuate in each stochastic realization [50, 53]. The ground-state atom number is then obtained from the fixed total atom number \( N \) in each atomic species, so that in each stochastic realization \( N^{(j)}_e = N - N^{(j)}_e \) and we set \( \alpha^{(j)}_0 = \sqrt{N^{(j)}_e + 1/2} \).

3. Numerical results

3.1. Turning up the optical lattice

We solve the stochastic dynamics during the turning up of the lattice potential for given initial conditions. We study the response of the system to the ramping so that both the tunnelling coefficients and nonlinearity are time dependent. We assume that at all times \( J_1 = J_2 = J \) and \( \chi_{11} = \chi_{22} \). For simplicity, we consider a situation where both the species have an equal mass \( m \), so that the recoil frequencies \( \omega_R \) are equal and are given by

\[ \omega_R = \frac{\hbar \pi^2}{2md^2}, \] (35)

where \( d \) denotes the lattice spacing. In a deep lattice the hopping amplitude \( J \) is then approximately given by [54]

\[ J = \frac{4 e^{-2\sqrt{\pi} \frac{3}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \omega_R. \] (36)
Here $s$ denotes the lattice height in units of the lattice photon recoil energy. In a tightly confined elongated 1D trap the atoms are assumed to be confined in the radial vibrational ground state. If the radial confinement is the same for both the species, we obtain

\[
\chi_{ij} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2N_i N_j}{\pi} \frac{\Omega_{ij}}{l_s}} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2\pi N_i N_j}{\delta}} \frac{\Omega_{ij} s^{1/4}}{d},
\]

where $\Omega_{ij}$ denotes the trapping frequency of the radial confinement and $l_s = (\hbar/m\Omega_s)^{1/2}$, where $\Omega_s \simeq 2s^{1/2}\omega_R$ is the axial trap frequency at the lattice site minimum.

In our simulations we numerically solve the time evolution using the split-step method [55].

As the lattice is turned up the hopping amplitude $J$ rapidly decreases according to equation (36) and the interaction strength $\chi_{ij}$ slowly increases according to equation (37), due to the stronger confinement of atoms in individual sites. We increase the lattice height linearly at the rate $\delta$, so that the lattice height satisfies $s(t) = s_i + \delta t$, where $s_i$ denotes the initial height. We choose $\delta = 2 \times 10^{-3}\omega_R$ and $s_i = 2$, resulting in the initial value of $J \simeq 0.22\omega_R$. Unless it is stated explicitly, the length of the lattice is $L = 64$ and the number of atoms in each species in each run is taken to be $N/L = 40$. In most cases we choose the initial value for the intra-species interaction strengths $\chi_{11} = \chi_{22} \simeq 0.60\omega_R$, resulting in $\Omega_{ij}/d \simeq 7.9 \times 10^{-5}\omega_R$. The initial state fluctuations are evaluated within the Bogoliubov approximation and the interaction strengths are selected in such a way that the excited state population remains low. For $\chi_{11} = \chi_{22} \simeq 0.60\omega_R$ and $\chi_{12}/\chi_{11} = 0.1$ at $T = 0$, the number of atoms initially depleted from the ground state due to quantum fluctuations $\langle N_e^{(1)} \rangle = \langle N_e^{(2)} \rangle \simeq 70$ (corresponding to 2.7% depleted fraction). All the presented simulation results are for $T = 0$, except the finite-temperature cases studied in section 3.2.4.

We study the effect of ramping of the lattice in both the stable ($\chi_{12} \simeq \sqrt{\chi_{11}\chi_{22}}$) and the unstable ($\chi_{12} \gtrsim \sqrt{\chi_{11}\chi_{22}}$) regime and write the inter-species interaction strength $\chi_{ij}$ (for $i \neq j$) as

\[
\chi_{ij} = \gamma \sqrt{\chi_{ii}\chi_{jj}}.
\]

The nonlinearity corresponding to the inter-species interactions is tuned by varying the parameter $\gamma$ in equation (38). Here $\gamma \lesssim 1$ corresponds to the stable regime while $\gamma \gtrsim 1$ implies the dynamical phase-separation instability. In the cases where we study the two-species system in the unstable phase separation regime, we use the initial two-species mixture that is dynamically stable, but change the value of $\gamma$ from the stable to the unstable regime immediately after the lattice ramp.

For a fixed nonlinearity, quantum fluctuations are enhanced by reducing the atom number (and correspondingly increasing the scattering length). For large atom numbers and in shallow optical lattices, quantum effects are weak and the system can be accurately described by classical mean-field theory. As the lattice is turned up, the effective interactions become stronger and quantum fluctuations are enhanced.

The ramping of the lattice is adiabatic if the rate of change in the parameters in the Hamiltonian is slow compared to the lowest collective excitation frequency [47, 56]. The fastest varying parameter during the ramping is the hopping amplitude and we require for an adiabatic ramp

\[
\zeta(t) \equiv \left| \frac{\partial J(t)}{\partial t} \right| \lesssim \min[\Omega_q(t)].
\]

If this condition is not satisfied, the system can be excited from its ground state during the turning up process of the lattice.

3.1.1. Validity of the parameter regimes. Our chosen set of parameters, explained in section 2.2, captures the essential features of the condensate fragmentation, reduced atom number fluctuations and the domain formation. For the phase separation dynamics, the important condition is that of the dynamical instability \( \chi_{12}^2 \gtrsim \chi_{11}\chi_{22} \) and the precise ratio \( \chi_{11}/\chi_{22} \) is less relevant. Experimentally, the interaction strengths \( \chi_{ij} \) can be controlled using two-species Feshbach resonances [2] or by introducing a linear electromagnetic coupling between the two internal states [35]. The interaction parameter \( \chi_{ij} \) incorporates the atom numbers and the ratio \( \chi_{11}/\chi_{22} \) may also be tuned by changing the relative atom population of the two condensate components. The intra-species interaction strength \( \chi_{12} \) can be controlled in a spin-dependent optical lattice [20] by changing the relative lattice positions of the two species and therefore modifying the spatial overlap integral between the lattice site wavefunctions.

The two-species condensate system may be realized by using two different hyperfine levels of the same atom [1, 2] or by trapping two entirely different atoms, e.g. a \(^{41}\)K–\(^{87}\)Rb mixture [21]. In the case of a far-detuned optical lattice, the potential experienced by the atoms in two different hyperfine levels of the same atom is typically the same, resulting in identical values for the hopping amplitudes.

The atom dynamics can be described by a 1D model if the frequency of the radial trapping potential \( \Omega_\perp \) is larger than the chemical potential of the atoms \( \omega_j \) in equation (8) and the thermal energy \( k_B T \). The typical values used in the numerics are \( \chi_{11} = \chi_{22} \simeq 0.60 \omega_R \) at \( s = 2 \), corresponding to \( \chi_{11} \simeq 1.3 \omega_R \) at \( s = 40 \) and \( \Omega_\perp a_{11}/d \simeq 7.9 \times 10^{-5} \omega_R \). We therefore obtain for the requirement of the 1D dynamic description \( \Omega_\perp \gtrsim 1.3 \omega_R/L \) and \( d/a_{11} \gtrsim 250 \). The first expression yields in terms of the lattice spacing \( d \gtrsim 0.3 l_\perp \) where \( l_\perp = \sqrt{\hbar/(m\Omega_\perp)} \) denotes the radial width of the lattice site mode function. For the scattering length \( a_{11} \simeq 5 \text{nm} \) we obtain from the second inequality \( d \gtrsim 1.3 \mu \text{m} \). In 1D lattices the lattice spacing can be easily controlled by adjusting the angle between the counter-propagating lasers that form the standing-wave pattern, so that \( d = \pi/[k \sin(\theta/2)] \) for the intersection angle \( \theta \) and wavenumber \( k \). In the simulations we assume \( 40 \) atoms per site and we require that the atom density is sufficiently low so that the inelastic atom losses remain weak. The three-body loss rate of the atoms at the site \( l \) may be approximated by

\[
\frac{dn_l}{dr} = -\Gamma n_l^3, \quad \Gamma \simeq K_3 \int d^3\bar{r} |\phi_l^{(j)}(\bar{r})|^{6} \simeq \frac{K_3\sqrt{\bar{s}}m^2\Omega_\perp^2}{3\sqrt{3}\pi d^3\hbar^2},
\]

where \( K_3 \) denotes the three-body recombination rate. In order to have a weak three-body loss rate \( n_l^3\Gamma/\omega_R \ll 1 \), the radial trap frequency should be sufficiently weak. The parameters depend on the particular atom and the hyperfine state. For instance, for \(^{87}\)Rb in the \(|F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle \) state we have \( K_3 \simeq 5.8 \times 10^{-30} \text{cm}^6 \text{s}^{-1} \) [57] and we obtain for the condition of the three-body loss rate to be weak \( \Omega_\perp \ll 1.2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \). This condition can be satisfied when the system dynamics is strictly the 1D. It should also be noted, however, that even in elongated traps that are not tightly confined, 1D numerical model can provide a good qualitative description of the atom dynamics in the lattice [33].

In the tight-binding approximation we assume that only the lowest energy band is occupied and one mode function per lattice site is sufficient to represent the dynamics. This approximation becomes better in deeper lattices and provides a reasonable description for \( s \gtrsim 2 \) [54]. We also require that the nonlinearity be smaller than the energy gap between the lowest two energy bands \( \sim \hbar \Omega_\perp = 2 \sqrt{\bar{s}} \hbar \omega_R \), so that the higher bands are not occupied. This yields \( 2\sqrt{\bar{s}} \omega_R \gtrsim \chi_{ij}/L \), which is well satisfied for all the studied lattice heights.

Experimentally, the atoms are trapped in a combined optical lattice and a harmonic trap. The harmonic potential introduces a non-uniform atom density. This influences the phase separation dynamics; the condensate component with a weaker nonlinearity energetically favours higher density regions close to the centre of the trap [1]. The phase coherence and number fluctuations in a harmonic trap depend on the spatial location with quantum and thermal fluctuations stronger close to the edge of the atom cloud [33]. Some other possible effects on the phase coherence are addressed in section 3.2.1. A lattice with a uniform density and periodic boundary conditions may be realized in a toroidal trap with an optical lattice formed by the interference of two counter-rotating Laguerre–Gaussian laser beams [58].

3.2. Dynamically stable regime

We first consider the two-species BEC dynamics in an optical lattice in the dynamically stable regime of the spatially overlapping condensate mixture for $\gamma < 1$. This corresponds to the situation where the inter-species interaction is not strong enough to cause the phase separation of the two components and all the normal mode frequencies in equation (11) are real.

3.2.1. Condensate fragmentation and phase coherence. The atoms are initially confined in a shallow lattice and we continuously turn up the lattice potential. The effect of quantum fluctuations on atom dynamics in the lattice can be studied by calculating the phase coherence between the atoms in different lattice sites. When the lattice is turned up the hopping amplitude of atoms between adjacent sites rapidly decreases, resulting in the reduction of kinetic energy of the atoms and hence stronger effective interactions. Quantum fluctuations in the system are enhanced and the phase coherence between the atoms in different sites is destroyed as the condensates undergo fragmentation. We evaluate the loss of phase coherence by calculating the absolute value of the normalized relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in different sites,

$$C_{k-l} = \frac{\langle \psi_{k}^{*(j)} \psi_{l}^{(j)} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi_{k}^{(j)} \rangle \langle \psi_{l}^{(j)} \rangle}} (j = 1, 2),$$

between the atoms of the same species $j$ in sites $k$ and $l$. Since we choose $N_1 = N_2 = N$ and $\chi_{11} = \chi_{22}$, the two species have identical nonlinear properties that are spontaneously broken only due to nonlinear interactions, e.g. in the phase separation. We describe the relative phase coherence between the atoms in the two different species by

$$C_{k-l}^{(12)} = \frac{\langle \psi_{k}^{*(1)} \psi_{l}^{(2)} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi_{k}^{(1)} \rangle \langle \psi_{l}^{(2)} \rangle}}.$$  

In figure 1 we show the relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in two adjacent sites $C_1$ during and after the turning up of the lattice potential. The different curves correspond to different values of the final lattice height $s = 10, 20, 30$ and 40. The displayed cases have the inter-species interaction strength $\gamma = 0.1$ and $\gamma = 0.5$, defined by equation (38). We also show the corresponding stationary (averaged) values of the coherence $C_1$ that are obtained after the turning up of the lattice potential. These demonstrate how the intra-species coherence rapidly decreases as the lattice becomes deeper, indicating an increasing degree of fragmentation of the BEC as a function of the final lattice height. We only find a...
Figure 1. Relative phase coherence between the atoms in the same atomic species at two adjacent sites $C_1$ (left) during and after the turning up of the lattice potential for different final lattice heights $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (curves from top to bottom) with inter-species nonlinear parameter $\gamma = 0.1$ (top row) and $\gamma = 0.5$ (bottom row). In all the cases the lattice is turned up at the same rate and the end of the ramping times are marked on the $x$-axis using the same colours as in the corresponding curves. The corresponding stationary (averaged) values of the coherence $C_1$ (right) as a function of lattice height. The coherence $C_1$ monotonically decreases as the lattice is ramped up. The initial lattice height is $s_i = 2$ and the corresponding nonlinear interaction parameter is $\chi_{11} = 0.60\omega_R$.

very weak dependence of the intra-species relative phase coherence $C_1$ on the inter-species interaction strength $\gamma$ for the values of $\gamma$ in the stable regime we considered in the simulations (from $\gamma = 0.1$ to 0.95). In [21] the presence of $^{41}$K was found to lead to lower visibility of the interference fringes of the $^{87}$Rb in the two-species mixture in an optical lattice. In the experiment, however, the interactions increased the atom density of $^{87}$Rb close to the trap centre due to the inhomogeneous trapping potential and drove the system closer to the onset of the Mott insulator transition, as also demonstrated in [59] where the adiabaticity and the visibility of interference fringes in the loading of a bosonic mixture to an optical lattice was studied using the Gutzwiller mean-field method.

The intra-species long-range spatial coherence is shown in figure 2. We display the relative phase coherence $C_{10}$ between the atoms in one of the sites and in its tenth nearest-neighbour site. This decays notably faster than the coherence $C_1$ between the atoms in the adjacent sites in figure 1. The spatial dependence of the relative phase coherence along the lattice is also shown in figure 2. We calculated the stationary, averaged values of $C_j$ for different $j$ when the value of the coherence was stabilized after the end of the lattice ramp. The graphs show the decay of the spatial coherence along the lattice. The coherence exhibits a very slow decay for large values of $j$ and remains high for the case of shallow lattices.

The numerically calculated values of the intra-species relative phase coherence may be compared to the analytic estimates obtained for the ground state of the optical lattice system in the appendix. The results for the nearest-neighbour phase coherence for the
Figure 2. Relative phase coherence between the atoms separated by ten lattice sites and belonging to the same atomic species $C_{10}$ (left) for different final lattice heights $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (curves from the top to the bottom) with inter-species nonlinear parameter $\gamma = 0.5$. The end of the ramping times are marked on the $x$-axis. The corresponding stationary (averaged) values of $C_{10}$ (middle) as a function of lattice height. The spatial dependence of the relative phase coherence along the lattice between the atoms in the same species, displaying the stationary (averaged) values of $C_j$ as a function of the relative lattice position $j$ (right). We specifically show $C_j$ for $j = 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30$ for different cases of the final lattice height $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (curves from the top to the bottom). The coherence $C_{10}$ decreases notably more rapidly than the coherence $C_j$ between the atoms in adjacent sites as a function of the lattice height. As in the case of $C_j$, we find that $C_{10}$ depends only weakly on $\gamma$ in the stable regime for the values we studied from $\gamma = 0.1$ to 0.75.

The linearized Bogoliubov theory of the fluctuations in the ground state, displayed in figure A.1 of the appendix, provide good agreement with those obtained in the numerical TWA simulations, shown in figure 1. The long-range coherence along the lattice in the TWA numerics, however, decays more rapidly as a function of the spatial separation than in the case of the ground-state calculation.

The inter-species coherence $C^{(12)}_1$ is shown in figure 3 for different final lattice heights and for different values of inter-species interactions, $\gamma = 0.1, 0.25, 0.5$ and 0.75. Although the intra-species coherence $C_1$ is not strongly affected by the inter-species interaction strength $\gamma$, the inter-species coherence $C^{(12)}_1$ is very sensitive to $\gamma$ even when the two-species mixture is miscible. In particular, the relative inter-species coherence $C^{(12)}_1$ is enhanced due to the interspecies interactions when $\chi_{12}$ is increased. $C^{(12)}_1$ becomes high as the system approaches the onset of the phase separation instability, as shown in stationary averaged values of figure 4 that are calculated after the turning up of the lattice. This is because the effective interactions in a perfectly overlapping two-species mixture are almost completely cancelled out immediately below the onset of the instability for $\chi_{11} \simeq \chi_{22} \simeq \chi_{12}$. We also show the decay of the spatial coherence along the lattice by displaying $C^{(12)}_j$ for different values of $j$ in figure 4 (right). The stationary, averaged values for the coherence are calculated after the end of the ramp when the coherence has stabilized.

3.2.2. Atom number fluctuations. The stochastic simulations in the Wigner representation yield expectation values for the operators that are symmetrically ordered. These can be transformed to normally ordered expectation values of the atoms in each lattice site. For instance,
Figure 3. Relative phase coherence between the atoms in different atomic species at two adjacent sites $C^{(12)}_1$ for different final lattice heights $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (curves from the top to the bottom) in the stable regime with inter-species nonlinear parameter $\gamma = 0.1, 0.25, 0.5$ and 0.75. The other parameters are the same as in figure 1.

Figure 4. The stationary averaged values of the relative inter-species phase coherence $C^{(12)}_1$ as a function of the inter-species interaction strength $\gamma$ (left) for different values of the final lattice height $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (curves from the top to the bottom) and as a function of the lattice height (middle) for different values of the inter-species interaction strength $\gamma = 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75$. The stationary values are obtained after the phase coherence is stabilized after the end of the ramping. $C^{(12)}_1$ increases as the inter-species interaction strength increases in the miscible regime. The spatial dependence of the inter-species relative phase coherence along the lattice, displaying the stationary (averaged) values of $C^{(12)}_j$ as a function of the relative lattice position $j$ (right). We show $C^{(12)}_j$ for $j = 1, 5, 10, 15, 20$ for different cases of the final lattice height $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (curves from the top to the bottom).
Figure 5. Scaled on-site atom number fluctuations $n_{sqz}^{(j)}$ in one of the lattice sites in the stable regime for different final lattice heights $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ during and after the turning up of the lattice for different values of the inter-species nonlinearity $\gamma = 0.1$ and 0.75. The other parameters are the same as those in figure 1. Due to the symmetry $\chi_{11} = \chi_{22}$, the average atom number fluctuations are the same for both the species of the two-component system.

the atom number expectation values and fluctuations are obtained from

$$\langle n_j^{(j)} \rangle = \langle [\psi_j^{(j)}]^{\ast} \psi_j^{(j)} \rangle - \frac{1}{2},$$ \hspace{1cm} (43)

$$\Delta n_j^{(j)} = \sqrt{\langle ([\psi_j^{(j)}]^{\ast} \psi_j^{(j)})^2 \rangle - \langle [\psi_j^{(j)}]^{\ast} \psi_j^{(j)} \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{4}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (44)

for each species $j$ at the lattice site $l$.

It is useful to scale the atom number fluctuations to those obtained in the Poissonian limit that correspond to the fluctuations resulting in an instantaneous splitting or in the splitting of a non-interacting gas

$$n_{sqz}^{(j)} = \frac{\Delta n_j^{(j)}}{\sqrt{\langle n_j^{(j)} \rangle}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (45)

so that the values $n_{sqz}^{(j)} < 1$ indicate reduced on-site atom number fluctuations. The on-site and the relative atom number fluctuations between the atoms in different lattice sites were calculated within TWA for a single-species BEC and compared with experimental observations in [33], providing good qualitative agreement.

In figure 5, we show the scaled on-site atom number fluctuations $n_{sqz}$ in one of the sites for different interaction strengths. The stationary averaged values of atom number fluctuations are shown in figure 6. We show both the dependence of the fluctuations on the lattice height as well as on the inter-species interactions. The atom number fluctuations are strongly reduced as the final lattice height is increased. Strong suppression on number fluctuations for deep lattices is associated with the enhanced phase fluctuations and the condensate fragmentation, and the reduced atom number fluctuations correlate with the previously calculated values of the loss of phase coherence. We also find that the inter-species interactions generally enhance the atom number fluctuations.

The non-equilibrium dynamics of the TWA simulations may again be compared to the analytic estimates obtained for the ground state of the optical lattice system in the appendix. The agreement between the linearized ground-state results of figure A.1 in the appendix and the TWA results of figures 5 and 6 are very good, indicating that the effects of nonadiabaticity in the lattice ramping on the on-site atom number fluctuations are weak.
3.2.3. Adiabaticity and excitations of relative atom populations. In figure 7, we show the population of the first five lowest momentum Fourier modes for the inter-species interaction strength $\gamma = 0.1$ as a function of time and a snapshot momentum distribution of all the modes. The four figures correspond to the value of lattice height $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$. Turning up of the lattice potential results in a decrease in the lowest mode population. The effect is stronger when the lattice becomes deeper, indicating breakdown of the adiabaticity in the turning up of the lattice potential. Consequently, the deeper the lattice, the larger the depletion in the lowest mode. The breakdown of the adiabaticity in deep lattices may be understood from equation (39). The number fluctuations are strongly reduced as a function of the final lattice height, but the dependence of the number fluctuations on the inter-species interactions is less significant.

We may estimate the adiabaticity of the turning up of the lattice potential using the expression equation (39). In the TWA simulations the lattice is turned up at the rate $s(t) = s_i + \delta t$, with $s_i = 2$. For $\gamma = 0.1$ and $\delta = 2.0 \times 10^{-3} \omega_R$ we obtain $\Omega_{q}^{\text{min}}(t)/\xi(t) \simeq 10$ at $s = 2$ and 0.7 at the end of the deep lattice ramp $s = 40$. The condition $\Omega_{q}^{\text{min}}(t)/\xi(t) \simeq 1$ is reached at about $s \simeq 34$. The adiabaticity condition is much easier to violate close to the onset of the phase separation. For $\gamma = 0.95$ and $\delta = 2.0 \times 10^{-3} \omega_R$ we obtain $\Omega_{q}^{\text{min}}(t)/\xi(t) \simeq 4$ at $s = 2$, reducing to 1 at $s \simeq 12$, and to 0.2 at $s = 40$.

In figure 8, we show the relative phase coherence between the atoms in the adjacent lattice sites and the on-site atom number fluctuations for three different speeds of the lattice ramp, representing $\delta = 2.0 \times 10^{-3} \omega_R$, $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \omega_R$ and $0.67 \times 10^{-3} \omega_R$. For $\gamma = 0.1$ and the two slowest ramp cases the condition $\Omega_{q}^{\text{min}}(t)/\xi(t) \simeq 1$ is never reached during the turning up of the lattice potential. For $0.67 \times 10^{-3} \omega_R$ the initial value $\Omega_{q}^{\text{min}}(t)/\xi(t) \simeq 29$ at $s = 2$ is reduced to about 2.1 at $s = 40$ for $\gamma = 0.1$ and from about 12 at $s = 2$ to about 0.5 at $s = 40$ for $\gamma = 0.95$ ($\Omega_{q}^{\text{min}}(t)/\xi(t) \simeq 1$ is reached at about $s \simeq 29$).

Despite the improvement in the adiabaticity condition between the three different ramps, there are very small changes in figure 8, especially in atom number fluctuations. Reaching the limit where the ratio $\Omega_{q}^{\text{min}}(t)/\xi(t) \gg 1$ is both numerically and experimentally demanding in the

**Figure 6.** The stationary averaged values of the scaled on-site atom number fluctuations $n^{(j)}_{sqz}$ as a function of lattice heights $s$ (left) for different values of inter-species nonlinearity $\gamma = 0.1, 0.75$ and as a function of the inter-species interaction strength $\gamma$ (right) for different lattice final heights $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (curves from the top to the bottom). The parameters are the same as in figure 5. The number fluctuations are strongly reduced as a function of the final lattice height, but the dependence of the number fluctuations on the inter-species interactions is less significant.
Figure 7. The population of the first five lowest momentum Fourier modes during the turning up of the lattice potential for different final lattice heights $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (top two rows). Here $\gamma = 0.1$. The lowest mode is initially occupied, while the population of the higher modes is negligible. In the case of a deep lattice the population of excited modes is increased, indicating non-adiabatic turning up of the lattice potential. The ensemble-averaged populations of all the momentum modes at $t = 20000/\omega_R$ (bottom row). Only the lowest momentum modes are occupied.

The excitation of higher modes (figure 7) induced during the ramping process indicate a non-adiabatic turning up of the lattice. The lattice-induced excitations are also reflected in relative atom populations between the two species (spin-1/2 waves). We show such excitations in the stable regime $\gamma < 1$ in figure 9 by displaying the overlap integral, defined by equation (25), between the wave functions of two atomic species for various values of inter-species nonlinear
Figure 8. The relative phase coherence between the atoms in the adjacent lattice sites $C_1$ and the on-site atom number fluctuations for three different lattice ramp speeds $\delta = 2.0 \times 10^{-3} \omega_R$, $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \omega_R$ and $0.67 \times 10^{-3} \omega_R$. The lattice is turned up according to $s(t) = s_i + \delta t$. We show two different cases of $\gamma = 0.1$ (top row) and 0.95 (bottom row).

Figure 9. Overlap integral between the two condensate species (as defined by equation (25)) in the stable regime for different values of inter-species interactions $\gamma = 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75$ for the final values of the lattice height $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (curves from the top to the bottom).
Figure 10. Effect of temperature on the on-site atom number fluctuations $n_{\text{sqz}}^{(j)}$ and on the relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in the adjacent lattice sites $C_1$. Here the nonlinearities $\chi_{11} = \chi_{22} = 0.6\omega_R$, the lattice height $s = 30$ and $\gamma = 0.50$. The number of excited-state atoms per condensate component for $k_B T/\hbar\omega_R = 0.01$ and 0.02 is approximately 370 and 870, respectively.

Figure 11. The stationary averaged values of the relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in the adjacent lattice sites $C_1$ and the on-site atom number fluctuations $n_{\text{sqz}}^{(j)}$ as a function of temperature, corresponding to figure 10.

Parameter $\gamma = 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75$. In deeper lattices the population difference is clearly increased. The effect of interactions, however, is again reduced as $\gamma \to 1$.

3.2.4. Effects of temperature. Finite temperature in non-equilibrium quantum dynamics introduces additional noise into the system, increasing the atom number fluctuations. In experiments on atom number squeezing and reduced on-site atom number fluctuations in optical lattices the finite temperature has been an important factor limiting the achievable spin squeezing and the suppression of the atom number fluctuations [29, 33]. Here we demonstrate the effects of the initial temperature of the atoms on the coherence properties of the two-species system as the lattice potential is turned up. The temperature can be incorporated into the stochastic sampling of mode populations according to equation (32) in which case the width of the Gaussian stochastic distribution for the sampling of the initial state is increased due to thermal population of each phonon mode. In figure 10, we show the effect of variation of temperature on the relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in different lattice sites and on the on-site atom number fluctuations. The inter-species interaction parameter $\gamma = 0.5$. The corresponding stationary averaged values of atom number fluctuations $n_{\text{sqz}}^{(j)}$ and the relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in the adjacent lattice sites $C_1$ are shown in figure 11.
3.3. Unstable regime

In the previous section, we considered a two-species condensate mixture in the regime where the spatial overlap of the two species is dynamically stable, corresponding to the values of the inter-species interaction strength \( \gamma \lesssim 1 \). When the parameter \( \gamma \) is increased the system becomes dynamically unstable and the normal mode frequencies of equation (11) exhibit non-vanishing imaginary parts, indicating perturbations that grow exponentially in time. The instability criteria in different regimes for static and moving condensates were analysed in detail in [8, 16] and also the effects of matter-wave grating of the other species [60] have been studied. Phase separation is a generic phenomenon that occurs in different forms of matter. The phase separation instability condition for a two-species BEC in a lattice is analogous to the phase separation instability criterion of the two BEC components that occurs in free space when the square of the inter-species interaction coefficient exceeds the product of the intra-species interaction coefficients.

Here we consider the unstable regime of \((\gamma > 1)\) by first evaluating the thermal equilibrium state of the atoms in the initial state for some value of \( \gamma < 1 \) corresponding to a stable regime of an overlapping two-species mixture. Stable initial ground-state configuration allows us to evaluate the statistical noise for the initial state of the TWA simulations within the Bogoliubov approximation. We then continuously turn up the optical lattice potential as in the dynamically stable case and immediately after the final lattice height is reached we change the inter-species interaction \( \gamma \) to the unstable regime. Varying the final lattice height and the atom number then provides information about the dependence of the phase separation dynamics on the lattice parameters and on quantum fluctuations. Experimentally, the manipulation of the scattering lengths for BECs in order to drive the system from the stable to unstable regime has been realized for an \(^{85}\text{Rb}–^{87}\text{Rb}\) condensate mixture using a Feshbach resonance [2]. Related experiments on single-component BECs by rapidly changing the scattering length from the stable positive to unstable negative value have generated a condensate collapse and the formation of bright solitons [61–63]. In two-species condensates the effective interaction strengths have been manipulated between stable and unstable regimes using dressed atomic states by electromagnetically induced Raman transitions between the internal atomic states [35].

3.3.1. Domain formation. The system develops instability when the inter-species interaction exceeds a threshold \( \gamma \simeq 1 \) determined by the intra-species interactions. After the interaction parameters are switched to the unstable regime at the end of the lattice ramping the atom densities of the two BECs show violent phase separation dynamics and individual sites become dominantly occupied by a single species alone. In the ground-state configurations of phase-separated systems one species typically forms a shell around the other, minimizing the surface area between the two components [38]. In an optical lattice system we consider, quantum noise and the nonlinear interactions spontaneously break the symmetry of the uniform spatial configurations of the two species. Individual stochastic realizations of the TWA dynamics that represent possible outcomes of individual experimental runs show density domain formations of the two species. The system settles down to a metastable configuration of several interleaved spatially separated density domains of the two species where the entire system may consist of multiple domain boundaries along the lattice. Individual sites are typically dominantly occupied by one atomic species alone, except close to the domain boundaries for the case...
of large domain length. In figure 12, we show typical single realization results for the atom density distribution for lattice heights $s = 5, 10, 15, 20$ after the system has phase-separated. The number of domains increases as the lattice height increases.

The observed phenomenon is related to the experiments on a harmonically trapped $^{85}\text{Rb} - ^{87}\text{Rb}$ condensate mixture in the absence of a lattice [2] in which case one of the species was found to split into multiple separated atom cloudlets that appeared as distinct holes in the density distribution of the other species. The condensation experiment was theoretically analysed in [38] and it was demonstrated how the dynamics leads to continuous separation of the two species into smaller and smaller domains. As shown in [16], the formation of only a few density spikes and holes in the phase separation dynamics can be identified as a spontaneous generation of bound pairs of dark and bright solitons [12]. The phase separation provides a mechanism for the background of a dark soliton (density hole) in one species to stabilize a bright soliton (density spike) in the other species due to an effective trap that results from the repulsion between the two species [13]. In the present system, the stabilization of the small domains is similar to the stabilization in energetically metastable particle-like solitons [13] and results in metastable configurations that are not necessarily energetically close to the ground state. The formation of the metastable states is a non-equilibrium process and the interleaved

Figure 12. Representative individual stochastic realizations of the atom density distributions for the two-species condensates in the dynamically unstable phase separation regime that represent possible outcomes of single experimental runs. The two curves correspond to the densities of the two atomic species. The different figures correspond to different final lattice heights $s = 5, 10, 15, 20$ after the system has reached a metastable density configuration. The initial value of the interspecies interaction parameter during the turning up of the lattice potential is in the stable regime with $\gamma = 0.95$. After the ramping up of the potential it is changed to the unstable value $\gamma = 1.1$. The unstable dynamics results in the spatial phase separation pattern of interleaved density domains. Here the lattice size $L = 128$. 

pattern does not represent a thermal state. One should compare the observed phase-separated state to the ground state that is a maximally phase-separated state and minimizes the surface area between the two components by forcing them to the opposite sides of the trap (one component to the right and the other one to the left).

In the spontaneous pattern formation, due to an instantaneous switch of the interaction strengths, the domain length is expected to be approximately determined by the wavelength of the phonon mode with the largest imaginary part of the eigenfrequency, since this corresponds to the unstable eigenmode that grows most rapidly (provided that the perturbation is strong enough to populate this mode). We can calculate the wavenumber of the mode \( q_{\text{max}} \) analytically from the expressions of \( \Omega_q \). The value of \( q_{\text{max}} \) represents the fastest growing mode and gives an order-of-magnitude estimate for the domain length by \( l \sim 1/|q_{\text{max}}| \). For \( J_1 = J_2 \), we obtain for the phase separation domain length

\[
|q_{\text{max}}| = | \cos^{-1} \theta |, \quad \theta = \max(-1, \beta), \\
\beta \equiv \frac{4J + \Delta_{11} + \Delta_{22} - \sqrt{(\Delta_{11} - \Delta_{22})^2 + 4\Delta_{12}^2}}{4J} = \frac{2J + \Delta_{11} - |\Delta_{12}|}{2J}, \quad (46)
\]

where \( \Delta_{12} > \Delta_{11} \Delta_{22} \) (where \( \Delta_{ij} \) is defined in equation (8)) and the latter equality is valid for \( \Delta_{11} = \Delta_{22} \). The domain length from equation (46) depends on the lattice height that modifies the dispersion relation. In deep lattices and with stronger interactions the domain length becomes shorter according to equation (46), as also numerically demonstrated in [39]. Note that reaching the ground state of the maximally phase-separated state would require a very slow transition to the unstable regime so that only the lowest energy unstable mode is seeded in the process.

In figure 13, we show the classical field-theory estimates for the domain lengths obtained from equation (46) with \( l \sim 1/|q_{\text{max}}| \) for different values of the nonlinearity as a function of the final lattice depth. The domain length rapidly decreases as the lattice becomes deeper, eventually saturating due to the finite range of available \( q \) values in the lowest-energy band.

Figure 13. Domain length calculated from equation (46) with \( l \sim 1/|q_{\text{max}}| \) for different values of the nonlinearity \( \Delta_{11} = \Delta_{22} \). The curves from the top to the bottom represent the values \( \Delta_{11} = 2.8 \times 10^{-3}, 1.4 \times 10^{-3}, 0.7 \times 10^{-3} \) and \( 3.5 \times 10^{-4} \omega_R \) at the lattice height \( s = 2 \). The corresponding domain length values for deeper lattices are obtained from the value at \( s = 2 \) by changing \( \Delta_{ij} \rightarrow \chi_{ij}/L \) and \( J \) in equation (46) as a function of the lattice height, as in equations (36) and (37). The different curves can be considered to represent either different atom densities or different interaction strengths. The values of the inter-species interaction parameter \( \gamma = 1.5 \) (left) and 1.1 (right).
Figure 14. Quantum mechanical expectation values and uncertainties for the number of domains in the dynamically unstable regime of the two-species condensate in phase separation. We vary the number of atoms per lattice site \( n = N/L \) by changing the value of the parameter \( \Omega_{\perp} a_{jj}/(Nd) \) while keeping the nonlinear interaction strengths \( \chi_{jj} \) constant. The limit \( n \to \infty \) corresponds to the classical mean-field result, while quantum fluctuations become progressively stronger as \( n \) is reduced. We find that quantum fluctuations increase the number of domains and the fluctuations in the number of domains. The initial value of the inter-species interaction parameter during the turning up of the lattice potential is in the stable regime with \( \gamma = 0.95 \). After the ramping up of the potential it is changed to the unstable value of \( \gamma = 1.5 \). Here \( L = 128 \) and the final lattice height \( s = 15 \).

In order to study the effect of quantum fluctuations of the atoms on the domain formation we vary the strength of quantum fluctuations in the simulations. We may continuously interpolate from the regime of strong quantum fluctuations to the classical mean-field limit by keeping the nonlinear interaction strengths \( \chi_{jj} \) constant, but varying the atom number \([64]\). This is done by changing the parameter \( \Omega_{\perp} a_{jj}/(Nd) \) for constant \( \chi_{jj} \). In the Bogoliubov approximation the nonlinearities \( \chi_{jj} \) fix the number of excited-state atoms depleted from the ground state, so varying the total atom number changes the depleted fraction due to quantum fluctuations.

We show in figure 14 the quantum mechanical expectation value of the number of domains and the corresponding quantum mechanical uncertainty, obtained by the ensemble-averaging stochastic phase-space simulations. The two-species mixture is miscible with \( \gamma = 0.95 \) during the turning up of the lattice potential from \( s_i = 2 \) to \( s = 15 \), after which the system is switched to the unstable regime by changing the parameter value to \( \gamma = 1.5 \). The initial nonlinearity \( \chi_{jj} = 0.60 \omega_0 \), but the number of atoms per site \( n = N/L \) in one of the species is varied from 20 to 1000, with \( L = 128 \). The limit \( n \to \infty \) corresponds to the classical mean-field limit. We find that both the number of domains and the fluctuations in the number of domains are increased due to quantum fluctuations.

The domain boundaries between the two species may be viewed as defects and by introducing an electromagnetic coupling between the two components that mixes the atom populations provides a phase-separation scheme suitable for testing the Kibble–Zurek mechanism for defect formation in phase transitions \([42, 43]\). Changing the value of the interaction parameter through the phase transition point spontaneously breaks the symmetry of the system in which case the formation of the defects is expected to depend on the timescale of the transition, providing an interesting link to condensed matter physics and cosmology.
3.3.2. Relative phase coherence and number fluctuations. In the unstable regime, there is a dramatic loss in the relative phase coherence between the atoms in different lattice sites and the growth of on-site atom number fluctuations. The enhanced on-site atom number fluctuations may be understood in terms of the domain formation where the spatial location of the domain boundaries fluctuates from one stochastic realization to another. In figure 15, we show the atom number fluctuations and the relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in adjacent sites for different values of the final lattice height $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ during and after the turning up of the lattice. The initial value of the inter-species interaction parameter during the turning up of the lattice potential is in the stable regime with $\gamma = 0.95$. After the ramping up of the potential it is changed to the unstable value of $\gamma = 1.5$ (top row) and $\gamma = 2.0$ (bottom row).

Figure 15. On-site atom number fluctuations (left column) and the relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in adjacent sites (right column) for one of the atomic species in the unstable regime for different values of the final lattice height $s = 10, 20, 30, 40$ during and after the turning up of the lattice. The initial value of the inter-species interaction parameter during the turning up of the lattice potential is in the stable regime with $\gamma = 0.95$. After the ramping up of the potential it is changed to the unstable value of $\gamma = 1.5$ (top row) and $\gamma = 2.0$ (bottom row).

Figure 16. Stationary values of fluctuations and the density overlap between the two BEC components. The averaged stationary values of the relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in adjacent sites (left) and the on-site atom number fluctuations (middle) in the unstable regime as a function of the final lattice height, obtained from the results of figure 15. The density overlap integral between the two BEC components $\tau(t)$, defined by equation (26), for different values of the inter-species interaction strength $\gamma$. The value depends on the ratio between the interaction strengths $\chi_{11}/\chi_{12}$.
Figure 17. Occupations of the lowest five momentum states corresponding to the Fourier modes for different values of the final lattice height \( s = 10, 20, 30, 40 \) in the unstable regime for \( \gamma = 1.1 \), as in figure 15. We also show a snapshot image of the occupations of all the momentum components both for a single stochastic realization (middle row) and for the ensemble average over many realizations (bottom row).

number fluctuations in one lattice site for one of the atomic species (left column) and the relative intra-species phase coherence between the atoms in adjacent sites (right column) for different lattice heights \( s = 10, 20, 30, 40 \) and different inter-species interactions \( \gamma = 1.5 \) (top row) and \( \gamma = 2.0 \) (bottom row). Even though there is a rapid loss of phase coherence due to the dynamical instability, the non-vanishing value of \( C_1 \) indicates that even the dynamically unstable system exhibits non-vanishing phase coherence. The loss of phase coherence is much faster due to dynamical instability than due to the ramping of the lattice. The stationary averaged values as a
function of the lattice height after the system has reached a metastable configuration are shown in figure 16. We also display in figure 16 the density overlap integral between the two BEC components \( \tau(t) \) (equation (26)) for different values of the inter-species interaction strength \( \gamma \) that indicates the degree of spatial phase separation between the components.

In figure 17, we show the populations in the lowest five momentum modes in the unstable regime. The decay of population in the ground state is fast due to the dynamical instability. The rapid decay of the population is a characteristic feature of the instability. We also show the populations of all the momentum states at a given time \( t = 20000/\omega_R \) and \( \gamma = 1.1 \) for a single stochastic realization and for an ensemble average over many realizations.

4. Concluding remarks

We have demonstrated via numerical simulations how a simple approximate stochastic phase-space method can provide valuable information about a two-species superfluid system in the presence of significant quantum fluctuations. We identified the contributions of quantum fluctuations in the pattern formation of the two-species system that results from the modulational instability of dynamically unstable excitations. The parameter space of the two-component condensate system in the lattice is especially large. Although the essential effects of the phase separation dynamics, the loss of the relative phase coherence between the atoms and the reduced atom number fluctuations were captured by the selected parameter regimes, the parameter space could be explored in more detail. In particular, novel phenomena would be observed in the case of moving condensates. The centre-of-mass motion of the atoms results in dissipative transport properties \([64, 65]\). The stability criteria are also changed when the velocities of the two BECs are different and one of the BECs is not in the normal dispersion regime \([8]\).

Moving condensates may be experimentally studied in a combined optical lattice and a harmonic trap by suddenly displacing the harmonic trap, e.g. by using a magnetic field gradient in which case the displacement excites dipolar oscillations of atoms along the lattice direction \([65]\). The other alternatives to create an analogous effect, for example, are to use a moving-standing wave, so that the atoms experience a moving optical lattice potential \([66]\), introduce a phase shift for the hopping amplitudes of the atoms between adjacent sites or to make the hopping amplitudes time dependent by a periodically pulsating lattice.

It would be particularly interesting to investigate soliton structures in a two-species BEC. Modifying the ratio \( \chi_{22}/\chi_{11} \) \([16]\), for example, by unbalanced relative populations or inducing flow instabilities \([17, 67]\), would lead to the emergence of vector solitons. The solitons can be persistent (metastable) or pulsating. Another potential application follows from the observation that repulsive interactions and quantum fluctuations lead to suppressed atom number fluctuations in the lattice. Two-species systems have already been used in experimental realizations of spin-squeezed atom interferometry \([24]\), and squeezing can be employed in interferometers to achieve sub-shot-noise accuracies that are not achievable using classical interferometers limited by the standard quantum limit \([68–71]\).
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Appendix. Analytic estimates of the atom number and relative phase fluctuations

Here we provide analytic estimates for the fluctuations of the intra-species on-site atom number and the relative phase between the atoms in different lattice sites in the ground state of an optical lattice. We introduce the atom number and phase operators for each site as in \(\hat{n}_j^{(q)}\) by the annihilation and creation operators \(\hat{\alpha}_q^{(j)}\), \(\hat{\alpha}_q^{\dagger (j)}\), so that \(\hat{\alpha}_q^{(j)} \rightarrow \hat{\alpha}_q^{(j)}\) and \([\hat{\alpha}_q^{(j)}, \hat{\alpha}_q^{\dagger (j)}] \rightarrow [\hat{\alpha}_q^{(j)}, \hat{\alpha}_q^{\dagger (j)}]\), we may obtain analytic estimates for the ground-state properties, provided that the inter-species correlations can be ignored.

We may derive the atom number operator \(\hat{n}_1^{(j)}\) at the site \(l\) of the species \(j\) by expanding the atom population \(n_1^{(j)}\) of the species \(j\) in the site \(l\) to first order in fluctuation terms. We obtain

\[
n_1^{(j)}(l) = \left( \sqrt{n^{(j)}_{gr} + \delta \hat{\psi}_1^{(j)}} \right) \left( \sqrt{n^{(j)}_{gr} + [\delta \hat{\psi}_1^{(j)}]^\dagger} \right) \approx n^{(j)}_{gr} + \hat{n}_1^{(j)}, \tag{A.1}
\]

where

\[
\hat{n}_1^{(j)} = \sqrt{n^{(j)}_{gr}} \left( \delta \hat{\psi}_1^{(j)} + [\delta \hat{\psi}_1^{(j)}]^\dagger \right) = \sqrt{n^{(j)}_{gr}} \sum_{q,\eta = \pm} \left( w_{q,\eta}^{(j)} \hat{\psi}_{q,\eta} e^{iql} + \text{H.c.} \right), \tag{A.2}
\]

\(n^{(j)}_{gr} \approx N_j/L\) denotes the ground state atom number per site of the species \(j\) and \(u_{q,\eta}^{(j)} \equiv u_{q,\eta}^{(j)} + v_{q,\eta}^{(j)}\).

We may introduce the corresponding phase operator at the site \(l\) as

\[
\hat{\phi}_1^{(j)} = -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{N_j}} \sum_{q,\eta = \pm} (r_{q,\eta}^{(j)} \hat{\psi}_{q,\eta} e^{iql} - \text{H.c.}), \tag{A.3}
\]

for which the commutator \([\hat{n}_1^{(j)}, \hat{\phi}_1^{(j)}] = i\) and we have defined \(r_{q,\eta}^{(j)} \equiv u_{q,\eta}^{(j)} + v_{q,\eta}^{(j)}\). Then the on-site atom number fluctuations in the \(l\)th site \((\Delta n_1^{(j)})^2\) and the relative phase fluctuations between the atoms in the \(k\)th and \(l\)th sites \((\Delta \varphi_{kl}^{(j)})^2\), respectively, read

\[
(\Delta n_1^{(j)})^2 = \left[ \hat{n}_1^{(j)} \right]^2 - \left[ \hat{n}_1^{(j)} \right]^2 = \frac{n^{(j)}_{gr}}{L} \sum_{q,\eta = \pm} |u_{q,\eta}^{(j)}|^2 (2\tilde{n}_{q,\eta} + 1) \]

\[
\frac{\sum_{q} \epsilon_q}{2} \left( \frac{2\tilde{n}_{q,-} + 1}{\Omega_{q}^-} + \frac{2\tilde{n}_{q,+} + 1}{\Omega_{q}^+} \right), \tag{A.4}
\]

\[
(\Delta \varphi_{kl}^{(j)})^2 = \left[ \hat{\varphi}_k^{(j)} - \hat{\varphi}_l^{(j)} \right] = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{q,\eta = \pm} |r_{q,\eta}^{(j)}|^2 \sin^2 \left[ \frac{q(k - l)}{2} \right] (2\tilde{n}_{q,\eta} + 1) \]

\[
\frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{q} \frac{\epsilon_q}{2} \left[ (2\tilde{n}_{q,-} + 1)\Omega_{q}^- + (2\tilde{n}_{q,+} + 1)\Omega_{q}^+ \right] \sin^2 \left[ \frac{q(k - l)}{2} \right], \tag{A.5}
\]

where \(\tilde{n}_{q,\pm}\) is the thermal population of the phonon mode \((q, \pm)\) in the lattice given in equation (32). In the second line of equations (A.4) and (A.5), we have used the specific relations for \(u_{q,\eta}^{(j)}, v_{q,\eta}^{(j)}\) and \(\Omega_{q}^\pm\) given by equations (21)–(23), respectively. These were obtained

by choosing a particular set of parameter values \( \chi_{11} = \chi_{22}, \ J_1 = J_2 = J, \ N_1 = N_2, \) etc. The single-particle energy \( \epsilon_0 = 4J \sin^2(q/2) \).

At \( T = 0 \) we have \( \bar{n}_q,n = 0 \) and we can evaluate the expressions analytically by replacing in the continuum limit the momentum sums by integrals

\[
\frac{1}{L} \sum_q \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dq.
\]

For the atom number fluctuations we obtain

\[
\left( \Delta n^{(j)} \right)^2 = \frac{n_{gr}^{(j)}}{\pi} \left[ \arctan(\lambda_+) + \arctan(\lambda_-) \right], \tag{A.6}
\]

where \( \Delta_{11} = \Delta_{22} > \Delta_{12} > 0; \Delta_{ij} \) is defined in equation (8)

\[
\lambda_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{2J}{\Delta_{11} \pm \Delta_{12}}} \tag{A.7}
\]

In the nonlinear limit of \( (\Delta_{11} \pm \Delta_{12}) \gg J \) this simplifies to

\[
\left( \Delta n^{(j)} \right)^2 \approx \frac{n_{gr}^{(j)} \sqrt{2J}}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{11} + \Delta_{12}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{11} - \Delta_{12}}} \right). \tag{A.8}
\]

Similarly, for the relative phase fluctuations between the atoms in the adjacent sites \( (k - l = 1) \), we obtain

\[
\left( \Delta \varphi^{(j)}_{l+1,j} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2n_{gr}^{(j)} \pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_+} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_+} + \frac{1}{\lambda_-} + \frac{1}{\lambda_+^2} + 1 \right] \right] \arctan(\lambda_+) + \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_-^2} + 1 \right) \arctan(\lambda_-) \tag{A.9}
\]

In the nonlinear case \( (\Delta_{11} \pm \Delta_{12}) \gg J \), this reads

\[
\left( \Delta \varphi^{(j)}_{l+1,j} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{n_{gr}^{(j)} \pi \sqrt{2J}} \left( \sqrt{\Delta_{11} + \Delta_{12}} + \sqrt{\Delta_{11} - \Delta_{12}} \right). \tag{A.10}
\]

Similar relationships to equation (A.9) may also be calculated for different values of \( k \) in \( (\Delta \varphi^{(j)}_{l+1,j})^2 \) in the continuum limit.

The analytic expression (A.9) for the relative phase fluctuations can be used to evaluate

\[
C_i' = \langle \exp \left[ i \left( \hat{\varphi}_{k+l} - \hat{\varphi}_k \right) \right] \rangle \approx \exp \left[ -\left( \langle \hat{\varphi}_{k+l} - \hat{\varphi}_k \rangle^2 \right)/2 \right], \tag{A.11}
\]

as displayed in figure A.1 in which case we show the relative phase coherence between the atoms in the adjacent sites \( C_i' \) together with the on-site atom number fluctuations. We also use the continuum limit approximation to calculate \( \langle (\hat{\varphi}_{k+l} - \hat{\varphi}_{k})^2 \rangle \) for different values of \( j \) in order to obtain the coherence along the lattice \( C_j' \) as a function of the site separation \( j \). The parameters of figure A.1 are the same as those used in the TWA simulations for the dynamically stable \( T = 0 \) cases, with the dependence of the hopping amplitude \( J \) and the nonlinearity \( \chi_{11} = \chi_{22} \) on the lattice height determined by equations (36) and (37), respectively, where we set the value \( \chi_{11} = 0.6\omega_q \) at \( s = 2 \). The atom number \( N = 2560 \) and the number of sites \( L = 64 \).

In figure A.1, the relative phase coherence between the atoms in the adjacent sites decreases rapidly as a function of the lattice depth and increases as the inter-species interaction strength \( \gamma \) is increased closer to the onset of the phase-separation instability. The numerical values of the nearest-neighbour coherence are very close to those of the TWA simulations in figure A.1, but the long-range coherence values are higher than in the TWA case (figure 2). One should...
Figure A.1. The analytic estimates of the ground-state fluctuations. On the left, the scaled on-site atom number fluctuations $n_{sqz}^{(1)} = \frac{\Delta n^{(1)}}{\sqrt{n}}$ in one of the lattice sites are shown, obtained from equation (A.6). In the middle, the relative phase coherence between the atoms in the adjacent sites $C'_1$, calculated from equation (A.11), is shown. In both the cases the upper curve corresponds to $\gamma = 0.9$ and the lower curve to $\gamma = 0.1$. On the right, we show the phase coherence $C'_j$ along the lattice as a function of the lattice site separation $j$, calculated from equation (A.11). The curves from the top represent $(s = 20, \gamma = 0.1)$, $(s = 30, \gamma = 0.1)$, $(s = 40, \gamma = 0.9)$ and $(s = 40, \gamma = 0.1)$.

note, however, that $C'_j$ does not include the atom number contributions incorporated in to the definition of $C'_1$ (equation (41)) which is used in analysing the relative phase coherence in the TWA numerics.

We can implement a nonlinear least-square fit for the coherence along the lattice $C'_j$ using a trial function

$$C'_x = a_1 \exp(-a_2 x^a_3) + a_4,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.12)

and determine the coefficients $a_i$. An accurate fit for the calculated values in figure A.1 with $\gamma = 0.1$ is obtained for $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (0.11, 0.55, 0.16, 0.92)$ for $s = 20$ and $(0.24, 0.44, 0.38, 0.77)$ for $s = 40$. These indicate asymptotic values for the coherence for large spatial separations 0.92 and 0.77, respectively.

The on-site atom number fluctuations from the analytic estimates in figure A.1 are also very close to the numerical TWA simulation results of figures 5 and 6. The on-site atom number fluctuations are enhanced as the inter-species interaction strength increases.
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